Glutamate Receptor δ2 Is Essential for Input Pathway-Dependent Regulation of Synaptic AMPAR Contents in Cerebellar Purkinje Cells
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Introduction
The AMPA-type glutamate receptor (AMPAR) mediates most fast excitatory transmission in the CNS. AMPARs are tetrameric channels assembled from GluA1–4 subunits (GluR1–4 or GluR-A to -D) (Keinanen et al., 1990; Hollmann and Heinemann, 1994). In particular, inclusion of GluA2 in AMPARs determines channel kinetics, rectification, and Ca2+ permeability (Bochet et al., 1994; Jonas et al., 1994). Subunit composition is also important for AMPAR trafficking (Shi et al., 2001; Malinow and Malenka, 2002; Bredt and Nicoll, 2003; Shepherd and Huganir, 2007). GluA2-containing receptors constitutively cycle into and out of synapses, whereas GluA1-containing receptors undergo activity-dependent insertion and, otherwise, are excluded from synapses. At synapses, the number of AMPARs critically depends on specific interaction with transmembrane AMPAR regulatory proteins (TARPs) and “slot” proteins, such as PSD-95 (Schnell et al., 2002; Stein et al., 2003; Ehrlich and Malinow, 2004; Bats et al., 2007).

Most neurons in the CNS receive glutamatergic inputs from several sources, and AMPAR contents at given synapses are differentially regulated. For example, in hippocampal CA3 pyramidal cells, mossy fiber synapses have approximately four times more AMPARs than associational/commissural fiber (A/C) synapses, suggesting input pathway-dependent regulation (Nusser et al., 1998; Masugi-Tokita et al., 2007). Likewise, in cerebellar Purkinje cells (PCs), climbing fiber (CF) synapses have five times more AMPARs than parallel fiber (PF) synapses (Masugi-Tokita et al., 2007). On the other hand, A/C synapses on interneurons have four times more AMPARs than those on pyramidal cells in the hippocampal CA3, showing target cell type-dependent regulation (Nusser et al., 1998). However, the mechanisms underlying the biased synaptic distribution of AMPARs remain unclear.

Glutamate receptor GluRδ2 (GluD2) is selectively expressed at postsynaptic sites of PF synapses, but not CF synapses, in PCs (Takayama et al., 1996; Landsend et al., 1997). At PF-PC synapses, GluRδ2 plays a key role in the formation and maintenance of PF-PC synapses (Kashiwabuchi et al., 1995; Kurihara et al.,...
1997; Lalouette et al., 2001; Takeuchi et al., 2005; Matsuda et al., 2010; Uemura et al., 2010). Furthermore, GluR2 regulates en- docytosis of AMPARs (Hirai et al., 2003) and mediates long-term depression (LTD) at PF-PC synapses (Kashiwabuchi et al., 1995). These characteristics led us to hypothesize that GluR2 could also be involved in the input pathway-dependent biased distribution of AMPARs at PC synapses. To explore this possibility, we applied postembedding immunogold electron microscopy to GluR2 knock-out (KO) and control mice, and measured labeling density for GluA1-4 at three excitatory synapses in the cerebellar molecular layer. In GluR2-KO mice, GluA1-3 exhibited a marked (three- to fivefold) increase at PF-PC, but not CF-PC, synapses. Consequently, input pathway-dependent distribution of synaptic AMPARs in control PCs was almost eliminated in GluR2-KO PCs. Unexpectedly, a modest (twofold) increase of GluA2 and GluA3, but not GluA4, was also found at PF synapses in molecular layer interneurons (basket/stellate cells), where we identified low but significant expression of GluR2. Therefore, GluR2 is involved in a common mechanism that suppresses synaptic expression of particular GluA subunits at PF synapses in PCs and basket/stellate cells.

Materials and Methods

Animals. All experiments were performed according to the guidelines laid down by the animal welfare committees of Hokkaido University and Niigata University. The Grid2-Cre mutant mouse line was produced by homologous recombination of the GluR2 gene (Grid2) with the Cre recombinase gene inserted into the translational initiation site of the GluR2 gene (Grid2) and by electroporation into the C57BL/6N ES cell line RENKA (Mishina and Sakimura, 2007) (supplemental Fig. S1, available at www.jneurosci.org as supplemental material). We constructed a targeting vector whose Cre gene had been inserted into the translation initiation site of the Grid2 in frame. The 1.8-kb DNA fragment, which carried the poly(A) signal sequence and Pkg-1 promoter-driven neomycin phosphotransferase gene (neo) flanked by two flippase recognition target (frt) sites (Takeuchi et al., 2002), was inserted into the downstream of Cre. The targeting vector ptd2Cre contained the translational initiation site of the GluR2 gene inserted by Cre sequences, 4.9 kb upstream and 6.8 kb downstream genomic sequences, and 4.3 kb pMC1DTpA (supplemental material (Kitayama et al., 2001). Heterozygous mutant pairs (Grid2Cre/+) were mated to obtain the homozygous male mutant mice (Grid2CreCre) to be used as GluR2 knock-out (GluR2-KO) mice and to identify Cre-expressing cell types. Cre-expressing cells were further tested using a reporter mouse line (Grid2Cre/+, CAG-CAT-Z11/+) with a product of intercrossing the Grid2-Cre line with the Cre-inducible lacZ reporter mouse line (CAG-CAT-Z11) (Araki et al., 1995). Selective Cre expression in the cerebellum of the reporter mouse was tested by β-galactosidase assay (supplemental Fig. S8A–L, available at www. jneurosci.org as supplemental material). C57BL/6 male mice were used as control mice. For specificity control for immunohistochemistry, we used null-KO male mice for each of GluA1-4 subunits, which will be reported elsewhere (H. Azechi, M. Abe, M. Watanabe, and K. Sakimura, unpublished observations).

Sections. Under deep pentobarbital anesthesia (100 mg/kg body weight, i.p.), brains for in situ hybridization were freshly obtained and immediately frozen in powdered dry ice. Fresh frozen sections (20 μm) were cut on a cryostat (CM1900; Leica Microsystems), and mounted on silane-coated glass slides. For immunohistochemistry, mice under deep pentobarbital anesthesia were fixed transcardially with 4% paraformalde- hyde in 0.1 M sodium phosphate buffer (pH 7.2, PB). Free-floating microslicer sections (50 μm in thickness; VT1000S, Leica Microsystems) were prepared for multiple immunofluorescence labeling and β-galactosidase assay (Tsujita et al., 1999). Paraffin sections (4 μm; SM1000R; Leica Microsystems) were stained for the following antigens: for postembedding immunogold electron microscopy, microslicer sections (400 μm) were cryoprotected with 30% glycerol in PB, and frozen rapidly with liquid propane in the EM CPC unit (Leica Microsystems). Frozen sections were immersed in 0.5% uranyl acetate in methanol at −90°C in the AFS freeze-substitution unit (Leica Microsystems), infiltrated at −45°C with Lowicryl HM-20 resin (Chemische Werke Loewi), and polymerized with ultraviolet light. For conventional electron microscopy, mice were perfused transcardially with 2% paraformaldehyde and 2% glutaraldehyde in 0.1 M sodium cacodylate buffer, pH 7.2. The straight portion of lobules 4/5 was excised, postfixed with 1% osmium tetoxide for 15 min, stained in block with 2% uranyl acetate for 30 min, dehydrated, and embedded in Epon 812. Ultrathin sections were used as an Ultracut ultramicrotome (Leica Microsystems).

In situ hybridization. Mouse cDNA fragments of GluA1 (nucleotides 344–1183 bp; GenBank accession number, NM_001133252), GluA2 (408–1247, NM_001083086), GluA3 (262–1201, NM_0168866), GluA4 (126–1250, AB102777), GluR2 (1058–1677, NM_008167), and 67 kDa glutamic acid decarboxylase (GAD67; 1036–2015; NM_008077) were subcloned into the pBluescript II plasmid vector. Digoxigenin (DIG)– or fluorescein-labeled cRNA probes were prepared for simultaneous detect- ing multiple mRNAs by fluorescent in situ hybridization (Yamazaki et al., 2010). In brief, fresh frozen sections were hybridized with a mixture of DIG- or fluorescein-labeled cRNA probes diluted to 1:1000 with hy- bridization buffer. After stringent posthybridization wash, DIG and flu- orescein were detected by the two-step method as follows: first the detection with peroxidase-conjugated anti-fluorescein antibody (Roche Diagnostics, 1:500, 1 h) and the FITC-TSA plus amplification kit (PerkinElmer), and the second detection with peroxidase-conjugated anti-DIG antibody (Roche Diagnostics, 1:500, 1 h) and the Cy3-TSA plus amplification kit (PerkinElmer). Residual activities of peroxidase intro- duced in the first detection were inactivated by incubation of sections with 1% H2O2 for 30 min. TOTO3 (Invitrogen) was used for fluores- cent nuclear counterstaining.

Immunohistochemistry. We used the following primary antibodies: mouse monoclonal anti-Cre recombinase (Millipore Bioscience Research Reagents, MAB3120), goat anti-calbindin (Miura et al., 2006), rabbit anti-GluA1, GluA2, and GluA3 (Yamazaki et al., 2010), guinea-pig anti-GluA4 (Nag et al., 2004), rabbit anti-GluR2 (Takeuchi et al., 2005), anti-metabotropic glutamate receptor 1 (mGluR1) (Tanaka et al., 2000), rabbit anti-parvalbu- min (Miura et al., 2006), rabbit anti-neurogranin (Miyazaki et al., 2011), rabbit anti-PSD-95 and PSD-95 (Fukaya and Watanabe, 2000), rabbit anti-stargazin (TARP2) (Yamazaki et al., 2010), rabbit anti-type 1 ves- icular glutamate transporter (VGluT1) (Miyazaki et al., 2003), and guinea pig anti-VGluT2 (Miyazaki et al., 2003).

All immunohistochemical incubations were done at room tempera- ture. For multiple labeling immunofluorescence, microraster sections were incubated successively with 10% normal serum for 20 min, mouse solution overnight, and colloidal gold-conjugated (10 nm) anti- rabbit or anti-guinea pig IgG (1:100, British BioCell International) in the blocking solution for 2 h. After extensive washing in TTBS, grids were
incubated with 2% normal rabbit or guinea pig serum for 30 min, and then overnight with VGluT1- or VGluT2-conjugated colloidal immunogold (15 nm). Colloidal immunogold was prepared according to the method of Slot and Geuze (1985). Finally, grids were washed in TTBS for 30 min, fixed with 2% glutaraldehyde in PBS for 15 min and 1% OsO4 for 20 min, and stained with 2% uranyl acetate for 5 min and Reynold’s lead citrate solution for 1 min. Photographs were taken with an H-7100 electron microscope (Hitachi). For quantitative analysis, postsynaptic membrane-associated immunogold particles, being defined as those apart <35 nm from the cell membrane, were counted on scanned electron micrographs and analyzed using a MetaMorph software (Molecular Devices). Measurements were made from three control and three GluR62-KO mice. Collected data were pooled together because there was no significant difference in the labeling density among the three animals examined. Statistical significance of the immunogold density was evaluated by Mann–Whitney U test. Statistical significance of cumulative frequency was assessed by Kolmogorov–Smirnov test.

**Electron microscopy for three-dimensional reconstruction.** Serial ultrathin sections (~90 nm in thickness) were mounted on formvar-supported copper grids, and stained with 2% uranyl acetate for 5 min and Reynold’s lead citrate solution for 2 min. For measurements of postsynaptic density (PSD) area at PF-PC synapses and of PSD length and synapse density in interneuron dendrites, sampling fields were randomly chosen from a lower half of the molecular layer, and consecutive electron micrographs were taken at an original magnification of 7000× using an H-7100 electron microscope (Hitachi). Negative film images of serial sections were scanned, aligned, and three-dimensionally (3D) reconstructed using a personal computer-based Reconstruct software (John Fiala and Kristen M. Harris, Center for Learning and Memory, The University of Texas at Austin, Austin, TX; http://synapses.clm.utexas.edu/tools/reconstruct/reconstruct.stm). PSD area was estimated as the product of the total measured length of a given PSD appearing in a series of sections and the section thickness (90 nm). Interneuron dendrites were identified as small-calibered dendritic shafts forming numerous asymmetrical synapses. The PSD with the maximal length is selected and measured using a MetaMorph software (Molecular Devices). Statistical significance in synaptic density and PSD length was evaluated by Mann–Whitney U test.

**Results**

**Marked increase of GluA2 and GluA3 and mild increase of GluA1 in GluR62-KO molecular layer**

To investigate a regulatory role of GluR62 in synaptic expression of AMPARs at PC synapses, we examined the cerebellar molecular layer by immunohistochemistry using subunit-specific GluA antibodies. The specificity of each antibody was verified by characteristic immunolabeling in brains of control mice (Fig. 1A–D, upper) and negative labeling in those of corresponding GluA subunit-KO mice (lower). To reliably evaluate genotypic differences, a pair of control (C57BL) and mutant (GluR62-KO) mouse brains were embedded in single paraffin blocks, mounted on the same glass slides, and subjected to immunohistochemical incubation under the same conditions (n = 3 pairs). In both GluR62-KO and control mice, the molecular layer was immunostained for all four GluA subunits, while the granular layer was for GluA2 and GluA4 (Fig. 1E–L). In the molecular layer of GluR62-KO mice, we consistently observed a marked increase in immunoreactivity for GluA2 and GluA3, and a mild increase for GluA1 (Fig. 1E–J). No such changes were observed for GluA2 in the granular layer (Fig. 1G,H) or for GluA4 in the granular and molecular layers (Fig. 1K,L).

The subunit-dependent increase of GluA immunoreactivity in the molecular layer appeared to reflect cell type-specific changes of GluA expression. Distinct cellular expression pattern of four GluA mRNAs has been previously shown by isotopic in situ hybridization and single cell reverse transcriptase-PCR (Keinänen et al., 1990; Pellegrini-Giampietro et al., 1991; Burnshev et al., 1992; Lambolez et al., 1992). We confirmed these observations and further characterized cellular expression of four GluA mRNAs by double-labeling fluorescent in situ hybridization. The specificity of each antisense probe was confirmed by its characteristic labeling (Fig. 2A–D) and also by blank labeling with the sense probes (insets). Within the molecular layer, GluA1 mRNA was weakly expressed in GAD67 mRNA-expressing neurons in the PC layer (i.e., PCs), but hardly detected in GAD67 mRNA-expressing neurons in the molecular layer (i.e., basket/stellate cells) (Fig. 2E). Rather, its prominent signals were detected in Bergmann glia, as GluA1 transcripts were dispersed among PCs (Fig. 2E) and overlapped with glutamate transporter GLAST mRNA (data not shown). By contrast, GluA2 and GluA3 mRNAs were high in PCs and interneurons, whereas they were negative in Bergmann glia (Fig. 2F–G). GluA4 mRNA was moderately expressed in interneurons and Bergmann glia (Fig. 2H). Given that neuronal AMPARs are expressed exclusively, if not all, in postsynaptic elements (Masugi-Tokita et al., 2007), these data predict cellular origins of respective GluA immunoreactivity in the molecular layer: GluA1 is mainly expressed in Bergmann glia and additionally in PCs, GluA2 and GluA3 are in PCs and interneurons, and GluA4 is mainly in Bergmann glia and additionally in interneurons. Therefore, a marked increase in immunoreactivity for GluA2 and GluA3 and a mild increase for GluA1 in the molecular layer of GluR62-KO mice suggest the possibility that synaptic expression of AMPARs in PCs is increased in GluR62-KO mice.

**Target- and input-dependent regulations of synaptic GluA subunits**

Next, we investigated this issue by postembedding immunogold electron microscopy. Synaptic localization of four GluA subunits was examined at three major excitatory synapses in the molecular layer, i.e., PF-PC synapse, CF-PC synapse, and PF-interneuron synapse. To identify the source of inputs, we used double immunogold labeling for GluA subunits and terminal markers: VGluT1 for PF terminals and VGLUT2 for CF terminals (Miyazaki et al., 2003). Moreover, PF-PC and PF-interneuron synapses were distinguished by VGluT1-labeled synapses made onto dendritic spines or shafts, respectively. As we could not distinguish PF synapses on Golgi cell dendrites from those on basket/stellate cell dendrites in the molecular layer, our observation and measurement on PF-interneuron synapses in the following analyses should also include PF-Golgi cell synapses to some extent. Representative images from control and GluR62-KO mice are shown in Figures 3 and 4 for GluA2 and GluA3 and in supplemental Figures S3 and S4 (available at www.jneurosci.org as supplemental material) for GluA1 and GluA4. Numerical data are summarized in Figure 6, supplemental Figures S5 and S6, and supplemental Tables S1 and S2, available at www.jneurosci.org as supplemental material.

First, we tested the specificity of immunogold labeling for GluA subunits, because GluA1-3 antibodies, which have been produced recently (Yamazaki et al., 2010) and used in the present study, gave much higher immunogold labeling than those produced previously (Takeuchi et al., 2005). No significant labeling was seen for any GluA subunits in the corresponding GluA-KO mice (Fig. 6, red columns; supplemental Fig. S2, available at www.jneurosci.org as supplemental material), indicating the specificity of immunogold labeling for each GluA subunit.

In control mice, we observed three features of synaptic immunogold labeling. First, immunogold labeling that was significantly higher than the background labeling was noted for GluA1-3 at PF-PC and CF-PC synapses, and for GluA2-4 at PF-
interneuron synapses (Figs. 3A, C, 4A, C, 6A–D; supplemental Fig. S3A, C, available at www.jneurosci.org as supplemental material). These combinations were consistent with those of GluA mRNAs expressed in PCs or interneurons, respectively (Keinanen et al., 1990; Pellegrini-Giampietro et al., 1991; Burnashev et al., 1992; Lambolez et al., 1992). Second, between the two excitatory synapses in PCs, the density of postsynaptic labeling for GluA1-3 was four to six times lower at PF synapses than that at CF synapses (Fig. 6A–C; supplemental Table S1, available at www.jneurosci.org as supplemental material). For example, the mean number of immunogold for GluA2 per 1 μm PSD was 4.7 ± 6.5 (mean ± SD) at PF-PC synapses (Figs. 3A, 4A, 6B), whereas it was 21.5 ± 11.5 at CF-PC synapses (Fig. 4A, 6B), showing significant difference (p < 0.001; U test). This was also
the case for GluA1 (PF-PC, 1.7 ± 3.7; CF-PC, 6.3 ± 6.9) (Fig. 6A; supplemental Figs. S3A, S4A, available at www.jneurosci.org as supplemental material) and for GluA3 (PF-PC, 3.0 ± 5.5; CF-PC, 17.7 ± 10.6) (Figs. 3C, 4C, 6C). Moreover, coefficient of variation of the labeling density for each subunit was larger at PF synapses than at CF synapses, indicating that the postsynaptic labeling is more variable in the former synapses (supplemental Table S1, available at www.jneurosci.org as supplemental material). Third, the labeling density for GluA3 at PF synapses was four times lower in PCs than in interneurons (PF-interneuron synapse, 14.0 ± 11.2; p < 0.001) (Figs. 3C, 6C). Therefore, these features indicate target cell type- and input pathway-dependent regulations of individual AMPAR subunits in the cerebellar molecular layer. Our observations are essentially consistent with a study by Masugi-Tokita et al. (2007); SDS-digested freeze-fracture replica labeling with use of pan-AMPAR antibody has revealed that AMPAR density is five times lower and more variable at PF-PC synapses than at CF-PC and PF-interneuron synapses in the adult rat cerebellum.

**Robust increase of GluA1-3 at GluR2-KO PF synapses**

While virtually all PC spines make synapses with PF terminals in control mice, only 60% of PC spines make synaptic contact with
RF terminals in GluR2-KO mice and the rest are present as free spines (Kurihara et al., 1997). By conventional serial electron microscopy, we measured the PSD area of PF-PC synapses and found no significant difference in the mean PSD area, as follows: 0.09 ± 0.03 μm² in control mice (53 synapses from two mice) and 0.10 ± 0.04 μm² in GluR2-KO mice (46 synapses from two mice; p = 0.20, U test). We thus compare synaptic GluA contents between control and GluR2-KO mice by measuring the density of postsynaptic labeling, i.e., the number of immunogold particles per 1 μm² PSD. In GluR2-KO mice, we found that the density of postsynaptic labeling for GluA1-3 was drastically increased at PF-PC synapses (Fig. 6A–C; supplemental Fig. S5A–C, available at www.jneurosci.org as supplemental material), as follows: the mean density was increased to 294% of that in control mice for GluA1 (5.0 ± 6.3 particles/μm², p < 0.001) (Fig. 6A; supplemental Figs. S3B, S4B, available at www.jneurosci.org as supplemental material), 300% for GluA2 (14.1 ± 9.2, p < 0.001) (Figs. 3B, 4B, 6B), and 567% for GluA3 (17.0 ± 11.4, p < 0.001) (Figs. 3D, 4D, 6C). By contrast, none of these subunits were significantly increased at CF-PC synapses (Figs. 4B, D, 6A–C; supplemental Figs. S4B, S5A–C, available at www.jneurosci.org as supplemental material), as follows: GluA1, 5.0 ± 6.5, p = 0.38; GluA2, 19.9 ± 12.4, p = 0.38; GluA3, 15.9 ± 11.7, p = 0.32.

Labeling for GluA4 remained at the background level at both PF-PC and CF-PC synapses (Fig. 6D; supplemental Figs. S3D, S4D, S5D, supplemental Table S2, available at www.jneurosci.org as supplemental material). Unexpectedly, we also found a moderate and significant increase in GluA2 and GluA3 labeling at PF-interneuron synapses (Fig. 6B, C; supplemental Fig. S6B, C, available at www.jneurosci.org as supplemental material), as follows: 203% of that in control mice for GluA2 (12.2 ± 11.1, p < 0.001) (Fig. 3B) and 167% for GluA3 (23.5 ± 16.6, p < 0.001) (Fig. 3D). At this synapse, the density of GluA1 and GluA4 labeling remained unchanged (Fig. 6A, D), as follows: GluA1, 1.0 ± 2.9, p = 0.53 (supplemental Fig. S3B, available at www.jneurosci.org as supplemental material); GluA4, 14.8 ± 9.9, p = 0.73 (supplemental Figs. S3D, S6A, D, available at www.jneurosci.org as supplemental material). These results demonstrate that GluR2 abolition does increase GluA1-3 at PF-PC synapses, and also increase GluA2 and GluA3 at PF-interneurons in a subunit-dependent manner. Thus, a marked increase in immunofluorescent labeling for GluA1-3 in the molecular layer of GluR2-KO mice reflects their increased expression at PF synapses in PCs and interneurons (Fig. 1E–F).

Figure 3. Robust increase of postsynaptic labeling for GluA2 and GluA3 at PF synapses in GluR2-KO PCs and interneurons. A, B, Double-labeling postembedding immunogold for GluA2 (ϕ = 10 nm) and VGluT1 (ϕ = 15 nm), the latter being a neurochemical marker for PF terminals. In control mice, asymmetrical synapses between VGluT1-labeled PF terminal (PF) and PC spine (Sp), and those between PF terminal and dendritic shaft of interneurons (Dn (int)) are only occasionally labeled for GluA2 (arrows) (A). However, GluA2 labeling at PF-PC synapses is robustly increased in GluR2-KO mice (B). C, D, Double-labeling postembedding immunogold for GluA3 (ϕ = 10 nm) and VGluT1 (ϕ = 15 nm). GluA3 labeling at PF-PC synapses is also robustly increased in GluR2-KO mice (D). Edges of PSD are indicated by arrowheads. Numerical data are summarized in Figure 6, supplemental Figures S5 and S6, and supplemental Tables S1 and S2, available at www.jneurosci.org as supplemental material. Scale bars, 200 nm.

Figure 4. Unchanged postsynaptic labeling for GluA2 and GluA3 at CF synapses in GluR2-KO PCs. A, B, Double-labeling postembedding immunogold for GluA2 (ϕ = 10 nm) and VGluT2 (ϕ = 15 nm), the latter being a neurochemical marker for CF terminals. C, D, Double-labeling postembedding immunogold for GluA3 (ϕ = 10 nm) and VGluT2 (ϕ = 15 nm). In control mice, GluA2 or GluA3 labeling at synapses between VGluT2-labeled CF terminal (CF) and PC spine (Sp) is clearly higher than those in VGluT2-unlabeled PF-PC synapses (A, C). In GluR2-KO mice, while GluA2 or GluA3 labeling at PF-PC synapses is robustly increased, that at CF-PC synapses remains unaffected (B, D). Numerical data are summarized in Figure 6, supplemental Figures S5 and S6, and supplemental Tables S1 and S2, available at www.jneurosci.org as supplemental material. Scale bars, 200 nm.
Next, we asked whether the increase of synaptic AMPARs is a phenomenon common to mutant mice defective in LTD at PF synapses in PCs (PF-LTD), such as mGluR1-KO mice (Aiba et al., 2000; Yamazaki et al., 2010), and PSD-93 is pre-
maturely increased in PCs (Fukaya et al., 1999; Roche et al.,
Ehrlich and Malinow, 2004). In the cerebellum, PSD-95 and star-
gazin are expressed in both PCs and basket/stellate cells (Fukaya
et al., 1999). Thus, the increase of synaptic AMPARs is not common to
PC-LTD-deficient mutants, but specific to GluRδ2-KO mice.

PSD-93, PSD-95, and stargazin also increase at GluRδ2-KO
PF synapses
In the hippocampus, the number of synaptic AMPAR is deter-
mained by specific interaction with their interacting proteins
PSD-95 and stargazin (Schnell et al., 2002; Stein et al., 2003;
Ehrlich and Malinow, 2004). In the cerebellum, PSD-95 and star-
gazin are expressed in both PCs and basket/stellate cells (Fukaya
and Watanabe, 2000; Yamazaki et al., 2010), and PSD-93 is pre-
dominantly expressed in PCs (Fukaya et al., 1999; Roche et al.,
1999; McGee et al., 2001). We examined whether the increase of
synaptic GluA expression accompanied that of such interacting proteins in GluRδ2-KO mice. A robust increase in immunofluo-
rescence signal was also evident for PSD-93, PSD-95, and star-
gazin in the molecular layer of GluRδ2-KO mice (Fig. 1M–R).
Postembedding immunogold electron microscopy clarified a sig-
ificant increase in the labeling density for PSD-93, PSD-95, and
stargazin at PF-PC synapses (Fig. 6E–G; supplemental Fig. S5E–G, available at www.jneurosci.org as supplemental material), as follows: 511% of that in control mice for PSD-93 (8.7 ±
8.6, p < 0.001) (Fig. 6E), 200% for PSD-95 (17.4 ± 14.7, p <
0.001) (Fig. 6F), and 424% for stargazin (14.0 ± 11.4; p < 0.001)
(Fig. 6G). A mild but significant increase was found at PF-
terneurons synapses for PSD-95 and stargazin, but not for
PSD-93 (Fig. 6E–G; supplemental Fig. S6E–G, available at www.
jneurosci.org as supplemental material), as follows: 122% of that
in control mice for PSD-95 (44.7 ± 22.9; p < 0.001) (Fig. 6F) and
164% for stargazin (24.4 ± 14.0; p < 0.001) (Fig. 6G). By contrast,
we found no significant changes at CF-PC synapses (Fig.
6E–G; supplemental Fig. S5E–G, supplemental Tables S1 and S2,
available at www.jneurosci.org as supplemental material). Therefore,
in GluRδ2-KO mice, AMPAR-interacting proteins are also increased at PF synapses in PCs and interneurons.

Expression of GluRδ2 at PF-interneuron synapses
GluRδ2 has been reported to be absent not only at CF-PC syn-
apses, but also at PF-interneuron synapses (Landsend et al.,
1997). Nevertheless, we found a modest increase of GluA sub-
units and their interacting proteins at PF-interneuron synapses.
To solve this discrepancy, we reinvestigated cellular expression of
GluRδ2. Consistent with previous in situ hybridization using
radiolabeled probes (Araki et al., 1993; Loleno et al., 1993; Ta-
kayama et al., 1996), antisense riboprobe revealed that GluRδ2

Figure 5. Increased postsynaptic labeling for PSD-95 at PF synapses in GluRδ2-KO PCs and interneurons. A, B, Double-labeling postembedding immunogold for PSD-95 (ϕ = 10 nm) and VGlut1 (ϕ = 15 nm). Compared with control mice, postsynaptic labeling for PSD-95 at PF synapses on PC spines (Sp) and interneuron dendrites (Dn (int)) is markedly increased in GluRδ2-KO mice. C, D, Double-labeling postembedding immunogold for PSD-95 (ϕ = 10 nm) and VGlut1 (ϕ = 15 nm). While PSD-95 labeling in PF-PC synapses is clearly increased, that in VGlut1-positive CF-PC synapses remains unaffected in GluRδ2-KO mice. Numerical data are summarized in Figure 6, supplemental Figures S5 and S6, and supplemental Tables S1 and S2, available at www.jneurosci.org as supplemental material. Scale bars, 200 nm.

Figure 6. Quantitative analyses on labeling density of GluA1-4, PSD-93, PSD-95, and stargazin at three types of excitatory synapses in the cerebellar molecular layer. A–D, In both control (white bars) and GluRδ2-KO (black bars) mice, the combination of GluA subunits expressed is GluA1-3 at PF-PC and CF-PC synapses, and GluA2-4 at PF-interneuron synapses. The specificity of postsynaptic labeling for GluA subunits is confirmed by almost blank labeling in corresponding GluA-KO mice (red bars). E–G, In GluRδ2-KO mice, labeling density for PSD-93, PSD-95, and stargazin is markedly increased at PF synapses in PCs and interneurons, but not at CF-PC synapses. Error bars represent SEM. Numbers of analyzed synapses are indicated in parentheses. Mann–Whitney U test; *p < 0.05; **p < 0.001; ***p < 0.001; N.S., not significant (p > 0.05).
mRNA was preferentially expressed in the cerebellum throughout postnatal development (Fig. 7A; supplemental Fig. S7A, C, E, available at www.jneurosci.org as supplemental material) and detected in GAD67 mRNA-positive cells in the PC layer, i.e., PCs (Fig. 7B; supplemental Fig. S7 B, D, F, available at www.jneurosci.org as supplemental material). Use of the sense probes yielded no significant signals (Fig. 7A, insets). Furthermore, we consistently found one or two tiny clusters of hybridizing signals in GAD67 mRNA-positive interneurons in the molecular layer (Fig. 7B).

Figure 7. GluR82 mRNA and promoter activity are detected highly in PCs and weakly in basket/stellate cells. A, Fluorescent in situ hybridization showing exclusive expression of GluR82 mRNA (green) in the cerebellum in the adult mouse brain. Note that sense probe yields no specific labeling (inset). B, Double-labeling fluorescent in situ hybridization for GluR82 (green) and GAD67 (red) mRNAs. In addition to heavy labeling in PCs (arrowheads), GAD67 mRNA-positive interneurons in the molecular layer (arrows) are consistently labeled for one of two tiny punctate signals for GluR82 mRNA. No such labeling is seen for GAD67 mRNA-positive interneurons in the granular layer (double arrowhead).

C, D, Immunofluorescence for Cre recombinase in Grid2 Cre/+/H11001 mice. No significant staining is observed in the brain of control mice (inset). E, F, Triple immunofluorescence for Cre recombinase (red), calbindin (blue), and parvalbumin (PV, green) in the molecular layer of Grid2 Cre/+/H11001 mice. Intense immunolabeling for Cre recombinase is observed in the nucleus of calbindin/parvalbumin-positive PCs, while weak labeling is also detected in the nucleus of calbindin-negative/parvalbumin-positive interneurons in the molecular layer (arrows in E, F).

G, No Cre recombinase (red) is detected in neurogranin (Ng, green)-positive Golgi cell (double arrow). OB, Olfactory bulb; Ctx, cerebral cortex; Mb, midbrain; Cb, cerebellum; ML, molecular layer; PCL, Purkinje cell layer. Scale bars: A, C, D, 1 mm; B, E–G, 20 μm.
terneurons first became evident at postnatal day 14 (supplementary Fig. S7 B, D, F, available at www.jneurosci.org as supplemental material). No significant signals were discerned in the granular layer (Fig. 7B; supplementary Fig. S7 D, F, available at www.jneurosci.org as supplemental material). These spatiotemporal profiles of GluR2 mRNA expression were faithfully reproduced by β-galactosidase histochemistry in a reporter mouse line (supplementary Fig. S8 A–L, available at www.jneurosci.org as supplemental material), in which the Grid2<sup>Cre<sup>+</sup></sup> mouse line was crossed with the Cre-inducible lacZ reporter mouse line.

Using the Grid2<sup>Cre<sup>+</sup></sup> mouse, we further assessed GluR2 promoter activity by immunofluorescence for Cre recombinase. While no significant staining was observed in the brain of control mice (Fig. 7C, inset), Cre immunoreactivity was clearly noted in the cerebellum of Grid2<sup>Cre<sup>+</sup></sup> mice (Fig. 7C, D). Within the cerebellum, the most intense immunolabeling was observed in the nucleus of PCs (Fig. 7E, F). Furthermore, weak labeling was consistently detected in the nucleus of parvalbumin-expressing interneurons in the molecular layer (Fig. 7E, F). By contrast, Cre immunoreactivity was below the detection threshold in other cerebellar neurons, i.e., neurogranin-positive Golgi cells (Fig. 7G), mGluR1-expressing unipolar brush cells (supplementary Fig. S8 M, available at www.jneurosci.org as supplemental material), and neurons in the deep cerebellar nuclei (supplementary Fig. S8 N, available at www.jneurosci.org as supplemental material). Thus, basket/stellate cells express GluR2 at the transcription level, though at much lower levels than PCs do.

Expression at the protein level was examined by double-labeling postembedding immunogold electron microscopy (Fig. 8). Consistent with a previous study (Landsend et al., 1997), GluR2 was highly localized at PF-PC synapses labeled for VGluT1 (Fig. 8A), whereas no significant labeling was observed at CF-PC synapses labeled for VGluT2 (Fig. 8B). At PF-PC synapses, all synapses examined (159 of 159 synapses) were labeled with more than two immunogold particles for GluR2. A few immunogold particles were frequently observed at PF-interneuron synapses (Fig. 8A). Synaptic distribution of GluR2 was quantitatively evaluated. On average, the density of immunogold labeling at PF-interneuron synapses amounted to 20% of that at PF-PC synapses. By contrast, the density at CF-PC synapses was almost equivalent to the background labeling as determined from GluR2-KO mice (n = 25 and 43 synapses form control and GluR2-KO mice, respectively, p = 0.18). The density at PF-PC and PF-interneuron synapses was much higher than that at the same types of synapses in GluR2-KO mice (Fig. 8C, D), showing significant differences (p < 0.0001 for each comparison) (Fig. 8E). Taken together, low but significant amounts of GluR2 are localized at PF synapses in basket/stellate cells.

**Formation of PF-interneuron synapse is normal in GluR2-KO mice**

The ablation of GluR2 causes two morphological changes at PF-PC synapses, i.e., mismatching between presynaptic and postsynaptic specializations and their disconnection leading to naked spines free of PF innervation (Guastavino et al., 1990; Kashiwabuchi et al., 1995; Kurihara et al., 1997; Lalouette et al., 2001). To determine whether GluR2 also plays a similar role in PF-interneuron synapses, we finally examined fine structure of PF-interneuron synapses using serial electron microscopy (Fig. 9).

Interneuron dendrites have rather irregular contours and form asymmetrical synapses with PF terminals at a high density (Palay and Chan-Palay, 1974). In both GluR2 control and KO mice, virtually all PSDs on dendritic shafts of interneurons had synaptic contact with presynaptic counterparts, and their presynaptic and postsynaptic specializations were well matched (Fig. 9A, B1). We measured the maximal length of given PSDs and the density of asymmetrical synapses on interneuron dendrites. The mean maximal length of PSDs was comparable between control (0.27 ± 0.01 μm) and GluR2-KO (0.26 ± 0.01 μm) mice, showing no significant difference (p = 0.46; n = 88 and 108 synapses from three control and three GluR2-KO mice, respectively). The mean density of asymmetrical synapses per 1 μm of dendritic segment was compared using 3D-reconstructed shaft dendrites of interneurons (Fig. 9C, D). We found that the density of asymmetrical synapses was also comparable between control (1.83 ± 0.25) and GluR2-KO (2.19 ± 0.29) mice, showing no significant difference (p = 0.41; n = 4 dendrites from two control and two GluR2-KO mice). Therefore, unlike PCs, PF synapses in interneurons are normally formed and maintained in the absence of GluR2.

**Discussion**

The majority of PF synapses in PCs yield no electrical responses (Barbour, 1993; Ekerot and Jörntell, 2001; Isope and Barbour, 2002), and these “silent” synapses are thought to be necessary for
optimal learning and information storage (Brunel et al., 2004). In contrast, CF synapses are provided with high release probability and five times more AMPARs than PF synapses, making them highly reliable synapses (Foster et al., 2002; Masugi-Tokita et al., 2007). Thus, input pathway-dependent regulation of synaptic strength in PCs will be important for establishing optimal synaptic weight distribution. In the present study, we confirmed the biased AMPAR distribution between PF and CF synapses in PCs, and further disclosed a robust increase of AMPAR subunits at PF synapses in GluR2/2-KO mice. GluA1-3 mRNAs were expressed in PCs (Fig. 2). By postembedding immunogold, we further showed high labeling for GluA2 and GluA3 and relatively low labeling for GluA1 at both PF and CF synapses in control PCs (Fig. 6). Because AMPAR-mediated EPSCs show little rectification and low Ca2+ permeability (Tempia et al., 1996; Momiyama et al., 2003), the majority of AMPARs in PCs contain GluA2. Therefore, the major AMPARs in PCs should be GluA2/GluA3 channels with additional GluA1/GluA2 channels. In control mice, PF synapses significantly differed from CF synapses in that GluA1-3 labeling in the former was four to six times lower than that in the latter, as follows: 27% of that at CF synapse for GluA1, 22% for GluA2, and 17% for GluA3. In GluR2/2-KO mice, immunogold labeling for GluA1-3 displayed a three- to fivefold increase at PF synapses and consequently became comparable to that at CF synapses, as follows: 100% of that in control mice for GluA1, 71% for GluA2, and 107% for GluA3. Therefore, the loss of the biased synaptic distribution suggests that GluR2 is the molecular determinant for input pathway-dependent disparity of synaptic AMPARs in PCs. Considering that each of GluA1-3 was increased to similar extents at PF-PC synapses in GluR2/2-KO mice, GluR2 likely suppresses synaptic expression of GluA2/GluA3 and GluA1/GluA2 channels with similar potencies.

Possible mechanisms for GluR2-mediated regulation of synaptic AMPARs in PCs

During PF-LTD, the number of postsynaptic AMPARs is reduced (Matsuda et al., 2000; Wang and Linden, 2000). Upon concomitant activation of CF and PF inputs, protein kinase C phosphorylates Ser-880 at the C terminus of GluA2, which leads to the release of GluA2-containing AMPARs from their anchoring protein GRIP (glutamate receptor-interacting protein) and their subsequent endocytosis. Interestingly, application of GluR2 antibody against the putative ligand-binding domain induces AMPAR endocytosis, attenuates synaptic transmission, and abrogates PF-LTD in cultured PCs (Hirai et al., 2003). From these lines of evidence, we assume that increased AMPARs could link to defected AMPAR endocytosis or defected PF-LTD in GluR2/2-KO mice (Kashibuchi et al., 1995). To pursue the latter possibility, we examined mGluR1-KO mice and GluR2/2-T mice as PF-LTD-deficient models, and found no significant increase in the density of GluA2 labeling. Thus, the increase of synaptic AMPARs is not common to PF-LTD-deficient mutants, but specific to GluR2/2-KO mice. Accordingly, the former possibility that increased AMPARs in GluR2/2-KO mice is caused by defected AMPAR endocytosis remains to be solved in future studies.

**Figure 9.** Density and size of PF-interneuron synapses are unaffected in GluR2/2-KO mice. A, B, Conventional electron microscopy. In both control (A) and GluR2-KO (B) mice, virtually all PSDs on dendritic shafts of interneurons have presynaptic counterparts. In GluR2-KO mice, free spines (f) having no presynaptic counterparts are numerous in the neuropil, and mismatched synapse (m) that have disproportionally long PSD are observed on PC spines, but not on dendritic shafts of interneurons even using serial electron micrographs (B1–5). C, D, Partial reconstruction of interneuron dendrites in the molecular layer. Scale bars, 200 nm.

GluR2 is essential for input pathway-dependent AMPAR disparity in PCs

Consistent with previous studies (Keinanen et al., 1990; Pellegrini-Giampietro et al., 1991; Lambolez et al., 1992; Petralia et al., 1997), GluR2 is essential for input pathway-dependent AMPAR disparity in PCs.
Following chronic blockade of neuronal activity, so-called homeostatic synaptic plasticity occurs, for example, as increased synaptic insertion of AMPARs (Gainey et al., 2009; Pozo and Goda, 2010). We next postulate that increased AMPARs might be a compensatory change for reduced number of PF synapses in GluR2-KO PCs (Kashiwabuchi et al., 1995). Despite the number of PF synapses per PC being half in GluR2-KO mice (Kurihara et al., 1997), the frequency of simple spikes as well as complex spikes is normally maintained (Yoshida et al., 2004). Therefore, although homeostatic synaptic scaling by itself appears unlikely or insufficient as the sole underlying mechanism for increased AMPARs, facilitated synaptic insertion of AMPARs may contribute to maintain simple spike activities in GluR2-KO mice.

In the hippocampus, PSD-95 and related proteins are considered to be candidate molecules for slot or placeholder that delimits the number of synaptic AMPARs, through specific interactions with TARPs such as stargazin (Schnell et al., 2002; Stein et al., 2003; Ehrlich and Malinow, 2004). We demonstrated a concomitant increase of PSD-93 and PSD-95 at PF-PC synapses in GluR2-KO mice, suggesting increased capacity for AMPAR-TARP complexes. Compared with AMPARs, GluR2 is much more efficiently transported to the cell surface, in part, due to strong endoplasmic reticulum exit signal in the C-terminal domain (Matsuda and Mishina, 2000; Matsuda et al., 2000; Yuzaki, 2009). In addition to PSD-93/95, GluR2 further binds to other scaffolding molecules, such as Delphlin, Shank, and S-SCAM (Roche et al., 1999; Uemura et al., 2004). Therefore, GluR2 appears to play a key role in the regulation of postsynaptic molecular organization and delimit the number of slots available for AMPARs at PF synapses through competition with AMPARs and related molecules for postsynaptic occupation.

**GluR2 also restricts the number of AMPARs at PF synapses in interneurons**

In basket/stellate cells, we detected hybridizing signals for GluA2-4 mRNAs (Fig. 2) and addressed their protein localization at PF synapses (Fig. 6). This cellular expression is consistent with previous *in situ* hybridization studies (Keinanen et al., 1990; Sato et al., 1993) and immunohistochemistry (Baude et al., 1993; Petralia et al., 1997). Under the resting conditions, PF-stellate cell synapses exhibit inwardly rectifying EPSCs, indicating the predominance of GluA2-lacking Ca \(^{2+}\)-permeable AMPARs (Liu and Cull-Candy, 2000; Gardner et al., 2005). However, Ca \(^{2+}\) influx through GluA2-lacking AMPARs during high-frequency PF stimulation induces a rapid replacement with GluA2-containing AMPARs (Liu and Cull-Candy, 2000, 2002; Gardner et al., 2005). Our present finding that PF-interneuron synapses richly express GluA2 may reflect such plasticity-associated incorporation into the synapses.

Unexpectedly, GluA2 and GluA3, but not GluA4, were increased by twofold at PF-interneuron synapses in GluR2-KO mice. This prompted us to reexamine the cellular and synaptic expression of GluR2. Although signal intensity was much lower than that in PCs, GluR2 mRNA and promoter activity were observed in basket/stellate cells (Fig. 7). Intriguingly, we have previously observed that Chb1, which selectively interacts with the extracellular N-terminal domain of GluR2 to regulate the connectivity of PF-PC synapses (Hirai et al., 2005; Ito-Ishida et al., 2008; Matsuda et al., 2010; Uemura et al., 2010), is also localized in the synaptic cleft at PF-interneuron synapses (Miura et al., 2009). When subtracting the background labeling, labeling density for Chb1 at PF-interneuron synapses also amounts to one-fourth of that at PF-PC synapses [Miura et al. (2009), their Fig. 6]. These results suggest that GluR2 likely interacts with Chb1 at PF-interneuron synapses as well, and that GluR2 restricts the number of AMPARs at PF synapses in PCs and interneurons according to its amounts expressed.

However, unlike PF-PC synapses (Kashiwabuchi et al., 1995; Kurihara et al., 1997; Takeuchi et al., 2005), no apparent abnormalities were found in the density and size of PF-interneuron synapses in GluR2-KO mice (Fig. 9). Considering that approximately a half of PF-PC synapses remain in GluR2-KO mice (Kurihara et al., 1997), synaptic connectivity should also be controlled by other parallel mechanisms, which may sufficiently compensate in interneurons, but not in PCs, for the loss of GluR2 function.

Through the present study, we provide a novel role of GluR2, i.e., restricting the number of synaptic AMPARs at PF synapses in PCs and basket/stellate cells. At PF synapses, therefore, GluR2 strengthens synaptic connectivity on one hand, while it weakens synaptic strength by reducing synaptic AMPARs on the other hand.
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