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ABSTRACT

Ohnishi, S., Echizenya, I., Yoshimoto, E., Boumin, K., Inukai, T., and Masuta, C. 2011. Multigenic system controlling viral systemic infection determined by the interactions between Cucumber mosaic virus genes and quantitative trait loci of soybean cultivars. Phytopathology 101:575-582.

Soybean ‘Harosoy’ is resistant to Cucumber mosaic virus soybean strain C (CMV-SC) and susceptible to CMV-S strain D (CMV-SD). Using enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay and Northern hybridization, we also showed that at least three QTLs affected systemic infection of CMV in soybean. Our study on Harosoy resistance to CMV-SC revealed an interesting mechanism, in which multiple host and viral genes coordinately controlled viral systemic infection.

Cucumber mosaic virus (CMV), the type member of the genus Cucumovirus, has a wide host range encompassing >1,000 species (21). The CMV genome consists of three positive-sense RNAs designated RNA1, -2, and -3. Five genes are located on either the genomic RNAs or two subgenomic RNAs (RNA4 and RNA4A). Genes 1a and 2a on RNA1 and -2, respectively, encode the proteins necessary for viral replication. The 2b protein expressed from RNA4A functions as an RNA-silencing suppressor against the host defense system. The 3a gene encoding the movement protein and the coat protein (CP) gene are located on RNA3; CP is expressed by RNA4.

Based on nucleotide sequence similarities, CMV isolates are classified into subgroups I and II, and subgroup I is further divided into IA and IB (22). The CMV soybean strains (CMV-S), previously called Soybean stunt virus, were first reported in Japan (14). Phylogenetic analysis based on the nucleotide sequence similarities of the 3a and CP genes showed that the CMV-S isolates formed a distinct cluster within CMV subgroup IB (8). Takahashi et al. (28) showed that CMV-S isolates had a relatively narrow host range and were classified into five strains (CMV-SA, -SB, -SC, -SD, and -SAE) based on their systemic infectivity to a large set of soybean (Glycine max (L.) Merr. subsp. max Ohashi) cultivars. Additionally, we previously reported that CMV-S infected not only cultivated soybean but also wild soybean (G. max subsp. soja (Sib. et Zucc.) Ohashi) (8). Both wild and cultivated soybean seem to have differentiated the CMV-strain-specific resistance genes, and resistance responses were determined by the specific combination of soybean cultivar and CMV-S strain (8,28). However, the inheritance of those resistance has not yet been elucidated well.

Resistance to CMV has been well characterized in other species (12,13,27). For instance, the resistance associated with a hyper-sensitive reaction in Arabidopsis thaliana (L.) Heynh is induced by the interaction between the CP in CMV-Y and the coiled-coil nucleotide binding site leucine-rich repeat protein, which is encoded by RCY1 in the host (26,27). In Arabidopsis, inaccessibility of CMV to host factors such as translation initiation factors 4E and 4G resulted in resistance (31–33). In addition to such resistance genes leading to major effects, quantitative trait loci (QTLs) concerned with partial resistance to CMV have also been reported for pepper (2–4). Some of those QTLs were associated with restriction of CMV long-distance movement (3). On the other hand, the viral CP 2b and 3a genes have been reported to be involved in CMV long-distance movement (6,15,30). Our previous work also demonstrated that the 3a gene of CMV-SC was associated with viral long-distance movement in wild soybean (9).

In contrast to virus resistance depending on the interaction between resistance (R) and avirulence (Avr) genes, little is known about the molecular mechanism underlying virus resistance determined by the interactions between multiple host and viral factors. In this study, in order to dissect the system controlling long-distance movement of CMV in soybean, we analyzed the viral factors using infectious cDNA clones and chimeric RNAs and the host factors using QTL analysis. The results showed that multiple host and viral genes coordinately controlled viral systemic infection. Here, we describe a multigenic system that controls long-distance movement of CMV in soybean.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Construction of infectious cDNA clones and chimeric RNAs. Infectious cDNA clones of CMV-SC and CMV-SD were made essentially as described by Suzuki et al. (25). Briefly, genomic RNAs were prepared from the purified virus, and full-length
cDNAs were then synthesized by reverse-transcription polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) using the Takara RNA LA PCR kit (Takara, Otsu, Japan). The 5′ end primer has the T7 promoter sequence. The PCR products were then inserted into a plasmid vector (pUC119 or pBluescript) and in vitro transcription was performed to obtain infectious transcripts. Using those infectious clones, six pseudorecombinants were generated (Fig. 1). Chimeric viruses were also generated by domain swapping using the restriction sites. Those used between CMV-SC and CMV-SD for RNA3 (Fig. 2A and B) were Blnl (position 240 on CMV-SC RNA3), HpaI (943), and ApaI (1,166). For RNA2, FhaI (position 2,355 on CMV-SC RNA2) and BlnI (2,948) were used (Fig. 2C and D). All recombinant clones were confirmed by sequencing.

**Plant materials and infectivity tests.** Eight soybean cultivars were used in this study (Table 1). The seed of these cultivars were provided by the National Institute of Agricultural Sciences Gene-bank, Tsukuba, Japan. A cross between ‘Harosoy’ and ‘Nemashirazu’ was made, and the 11 F1 plants and the F2 population, consisting of 100 plants, were developed. The 91 F3 families were also generated from the F2 plants, and seven plants of each F3 family were used for the genetic analysis. Plants were maintained in a greenhouse under either a 16-h photoperiod and temperatures of 24 to 26°C or natural conditions. Infectious transcripts synthesized from the constructs mentioned above were first used to inoculate *Nicotiana benthamiana* L., and the propagated virus was then purified. The first pair of true leaves of soybean or cotyledons of cucumber (*Cucumis sativus* L.) were dusted with carborundum and rub inoculated with the purified virus at 10 mg/ml. The infection of the virus in all the inoculated leaves was confirmed by enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) with anti-CMV polyclonal antibody (Japan Plant Protection Association, Tokyo). The systemic infection of the virus was investigated at uninoculated upper leaves by ELISA.

**Northern blot analysis.** Total RNA was extracted by a conventional sodium dodecyl sulfate–phenol method (1), separated in a 1% formamidyl-denaturing gel, and blotted onto the Hybond N+ membrane (GE Healthcare UK, Little Chalfont, UK). The applied RNA (1 µg/lane) was confirmed by ethidium bromide staining of rRNAs. The 3′ region (≈300 nucleotides) of CMV RNA3 was used as a probe after digoxigenin (DIG)-dUTP (Roche Diagnostics, Tokyo) was incorporated into the DNA fragment by PCR. For detection of the hybrid viruses, a 1:1 mixture of each probe synthesized from the 3′ regions of CMV-SC and CMV-SD was used. The blots were then treated with alkaline phosphatase-conjugated anti-DIG gamma globulin and incubated in the solution containing the chemiluminescent substrate CDP star (Tropix, Maryland).

**Preparation of soybean protoplasts and viral inoculation.** Protoplasts were prepared from leaves of soybean plants grown in a growth chamber. The epidermal tissues were first scratched off with forceps, and the leaf tissues were then incubated in an enzyme solution containing 0.5 M mannitol, 5% Cellulase Onozuka R10 (Yakult Honsha, Tokyo), 0.5% Pectolyase Y-23 (Seishin Pharmaceutical Co., Nagareyama, Japan), 0.1% Driselase (Kyowa Hakko Kirin, Tokyo), and 1% Macerozyme R10 (Yakult Honsha) at 30°C for 1.5 days. In all, ≈10⁶ protoplasts from ‘Shiromame’ or Harosoy were inoculated with 10 µg of purified virus in the presence of 1% poly-L-ornithine. After 1 day of incubation in the dark at 28°C, virus accumulation was determined by ELISA. For microscope observation, fluorescein isothiocyanate (FITC) labeled anti-CMV antibodies was also used (29). The protoplast experiments were repeated three times.

**Hammer blotting and tissue printing.** Hammer blots were prepared essentially as previously described (24,27). Soybean leaves (six plants total) were inoculated and detached 2 and 4 days postinoculation (dpi). The entire leaf was printed onto a

![Fig. 1. Schematic representation of the genome structure and number of plants systemically infected by the pseudorecombinants constructed between *Cucumber mosaic virus* (CMV)-SC and CMV-SD.](image)

**Fig. 1.** Schematic representation of the genome structure and number of plants systemically infected by the pseudorecombinants constructed between *Cucumber mosaic virus* (CMV)-SC and CMV-SD. Sequences corresponding to CMV-SC and CMV-SD are indicated with hatched and black boxes, respectively. Soybean cultivars ‘Harosoy’ and ‘Dekisugi’ and *Nicotiana benthamiana* L. were inoculated with purified virus (100 µg/ml), and systemic infection was confirmed by enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay. Infectivity is expressed as the number of systemically infected plants/number of plants inoculated.
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**Fig. 2.** Schematic representation of the genome structure and number of plants systemically infected by the chimeric recombinants constructed between *Cucumber mosaic virus* (CMV)-SC and CMV-SD. A, Chimeric RNA3 transcripts were inoculated with RNA1 and -2 of CMV-SD. B, Schematic map of the genome structure of RNA3. Restriction sites used to construct the recombinants are indicated by B (BlnI), H (HpaI), and Ap (ApaI). C, Chimeric RNA2 transcripts were inoculated with RNA1 and -3 of CMV-SD. D, Schematic map of the genome structure of RNA2. Restriction sites used were B (BlnI) and F (FhaI). Vertical bars above the open reading frames are positions of different amino acids between CMV-SC and CMV-SD. Inoculation results for parental viruses (CMV-SC and CMV-SD) were duplicated in A and C.
filter paper (grade no. 2) (Advantec, Tokyo) with a hammer, and the blots were incubated with anti-CMV primary antibody, then with goat anti-rabbit immunoglobulin alkaline phosphatase conjugate. The color was developed in a substrate solution containing nitroblue tetrazolium and 5-bromo-4-chloro-3-indolyl phosphate. Tissue prints on nitrocellulose membrane were prepared as previously described (1,19). Sections of stem and petiole were sampled 14 dpi.

**DNA extraction and simple sequence repeat markers.** Total DNA was extracted from young leaves using MagExtractor (TOYOBO, Osaka, Japan). The primer sequences for the Sat and Satt simple sequence repeat (SSR) markers used in this study were obtained from the SOYBASE website (23). The PCR reaction mix contained 1 unit of Ex Taq DNA polymerase (Takara, Tokyo), 10 mM each dNTPs, 20 µM each primer, 1x PCR buffer prepared for Ex Taq, and 30 ng of template DNA. The reactions were first subjected to 95°C for 2 min. The PCR program was set for 33 cycles of 92°C for 1 min, 47°C for 1 min, and 68°C for 1 min; and a final extension at 68°C for 5 min. The PCR products were separated on 8% polyacrylamide gels, and the fragments were visualized with ethidium bromide staining.

**QTL analysis.** QTL analysis was carried out using the computer program Map Manager QTXb20 (17,18). To detect QTLs, we used a single-marker regression analysis. The significance of the association between the trait value and the expected contribution of the hypothetical QTL was tested using likelihood ratio statistics (LRS) (18). The LRS can be converted to a conventional base-10 logarithm of odds (LOD) score by dividing it by 2ln(10), and a LOD threshold of 3.0 was used to claim the presence of a QTL.

**RESULTS**

**Viral genes responsible for compatibility with cultivars.** Takahashi et al. (29) originally found five unique CMV isolates from soybean (CMV-SA, -SB, -SC, -SD, and -SAE) which were classified based on the systemic infectivity of soybean cultivars. For example, CMV-SC and CMV-SD can systemically infect some soybean cultivars but CMV-SC cannot systemically infect Harosoy and is restricted to the inoculated leaf (Table 1). To determine which viral RNA is involved in systemic movement, we generated six pseudorecombinants (CD1 to CD6) using full-length cDNA clones of CMV-SC and CMV-SD (Fig. 1). The results of subsequent inoculations revealed that both RNA2 and -3 of CMV-SD were necessary to break the resistance of Harosoy because only CD2, which carried RNA1 from CMV-SC and RNA2 and -3 from CMV-SD, was capable of systemic infection. To analyze the gene or genes involved in Harosoy resistance in detail, we further created several chimeric viruses (Fig. 2).

The results of the RNA3 chimera showed that the 5′ end region of RNA3 from CMV-SD (nucleotides 1 to 240) was necessary for systemic infection (Fig. 2A). In comparison with 3DR, 3DW has a single amino acid difference in the 3a open reading frame (ORF) and 15 different nucleotides in the 5′ untranslated region (UTR). The results of the RNA2 chimera (Fig. 2C) showed that the region containing the 3′ end of the 2a ORF and the entire 2b gene between the FbaI and BlnI sites were necessary. The differing amino acids are concentrated within the domain that contains this region (Fig. 2D); 18 positions differed between 2DZ and 2DX in the 2b gene, and 19 amino acids were changed in the overlapped 2a gene. Thus, we conclude that both the 5′ end region

---

**TABLE 1. Ratio of plants with systemic mosaic to total Cucumber mosaic virus (CMV)-inoculated plants of various soybean cultivars**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cultivars</th>
<th>CMV-SD</th>
<th>CMV-SC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Harosoy</td>
<td>10/10</td>
<td>0/12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sakamotowase</td>
<td>8/8</td>
<td>18/18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nemashirazu</td>
<td>3/3</td>
<td>9/9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clark</td>
<td>2/2</td>
<td>4/4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dekisugi</td>
<td>12/13</td>
<td>4/4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Williams</td>
<td>2/2</td>
<td>4/4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tsurunoko</td>
<td>6/7</td>
<td>17/20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shiromame</td>
<td>7/8</td>
<td>20/20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Systemic infection was confirmed with enzyme-linked immunosorbert assay.*

---

**Fig. 3.** Accumulation of genomic RNAs of pseudorecombinant and chimeric viruses in ‘Harosoy’ and ‘Shiromame’. Total RNA was extracted from the inoculated leaves at A, 7 and B, 14 days postinoculation (dpi) and C, the upper leaves 14 dpi. Lane 1, RNAs from Cucumber mosaic virus (CMV)-SC virion; lane 2, RNAs from mock-inoculated leaf; lanes 3 to 8, pseudorecombinants; lanes 9 to 15, chimeric recombinants as indicated above each lane; lane 16, RNAs from the CMV-SC-infected Shiromame leaf; lanes 17 and 18, RNAs from the leaves infected with CMV-SC and with CMV-SD, respectively. Lanes 19 to 33 correspond to the lanes 2 to 16, respectively.
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of RNA3 (mostly in the UTR) and the region in RNA2 including the 3′ end of the 2a ORF and the entire 2b gene are responsible for systemic infection in Harosoy.

**Viral accumulation of the pseudorecombinant and chimera in Harosoy.** When a resistant melon plant was inoculated with CMV, the relative amount of the viral RNAs was sometimes affected (7). Thus, we used RNA blotting to analyze viral accumulation of the pseudorecombinant and chimeric viruses used for the present study. The viruses differentially accumulated in the inoculated leaves. In the inoculated leaves of Harosoy, the viruses that could systemically infect (CMV-SD, CD2, 3DR, and 2DZ) tended to accumulate more efficiently than did viruses that failed to move to the upper leaves (CMV-SC, CD1, CD3, CD4, CD5, CD6, 3DB 3DW, and 2DX) (Fig. 3). Additionally, the presence of RNA2 and -3 from CMV-SD increased the level of virus in the inoculated leaves (Fig. 1) (e.g., CD4 and CD5 against CD6). Interestingly, CMV-SC accumulated to high levels in the inoculated leaves of Shiromame but to very low levels in Harosoy.

**Protoplast inoculation.** To determine whether CMV-S can multiply in protoplasts isolated from resistant Harosoy, protoplasts were prepared from leaves and inoculated with CMV-SC and CMV-SD. The infected protoplasts were stained with FITC-labeled anti-CMV antibodies and observed under a microscope (Fig. 4A). The observation that >80% of protoplasts were infected suggests that CMV-SC as well as CMV-SD are efficiently replicating in the Harosoy protoplasts. Viral accumulation in protoplasts was then measured by ELISA using anti-CP antibodies 1 dpi. In four repeated experiments, the difference in relative accumulation levels of CMV-SC versus CMV-SD were similar between susceptible Shiromame and resistant Harosoy (Fig. 4B).

**Localization of CMV-S strains in inoculated leaves.** To detect virus localization in inoculated leaves, we used hammer blotting. Shiromame is a systemic host for both CMV-SC and CMV-SD, whereas CMV-SD can systemically infect Harosoy and CMV-SC is restricted to the inoculated leaves of Harosoy. We used a total of six plants and performed hammer blotting at 2 or 4 dpi. Even in the Harosoy leaves, there was little difference in the number and size of infection sites between CMV-SC and CMV-SD (Fig. 5). However, systemic movement was clearly detected in the CMV-SD-inoculated plants (Fig. 6). When Harosoy was inoculated with CMV-SC, we observed that the veins in the inoculated leaves were clearly stained with the antibodies 4 dpi. Similarly, the virus was detected all over the inoculated leaves 4dpi when CMV-SC was inoculated onto Shiromame.

**Inheritance of CMV resistance in Harosoy at the level of long-distance movement.** In Harosoy leaves, systemic movement of CMV-SC was inhibited. When CMV-SC was used to inoculate F1 plants derived from a cross between Harosoy and Nema-shirazu, which is completely susceptible to CMV-SC, all the F1 plants tested were systemically infected (Table 2). Based on these results, we first hypothesized that the Harosoy resistance was controlled by a single recessive gene or two complementary genes (one was recessive and the other was dominant). However, the segregation ratio of the F2 population was 36 resistant (R) to 64 susceptible (S) plants, which fitted to neither the 1:3 nor 3:13 expected ratios (Table 2). The segregation ratio of the F3 families was 29 all R:25 segregating:37 all S. This ratio also did not fit to either the 1:2:1 or 1:8:7 expected ratios (Table 2). To determine the chromosomal location of the CMV-SC resistance genes, we first tested 157 SSR markers distributed on the 20 soybean linkage groups (LGs) for polymorphism between the parental cultivars. Among 157 markers, 66 markers were polymorphic between the parents and 2 to 7 markers were distributed in each LG. By the linkage analysis using those SSR markers, loose linkages between the resistant trait and multiple SSR markers were detected, for instance, in LG M containing the QTL described later. However, the resistance locus was not well

---

**Fig. 4.** Susceptibility of protoplasts from ‘Harosoy’ and ‘Shiromame’ to infection with *Cucumber mosaic virus* (CMV)-SC or with CMV-SD. Results were reproducible in four independent experiments; this figure is representative. Protoplasts (10^6) were inoculated with 10 µg of purified virus in the presence of poly-L-ornithine. A, Percentage of infected protoplasts was determined by fluorescein isothiocyanate (FITC)-fluorescent antibody staining (80 to 90% for all treatments). There was little difference among the experimental groups in the number of surviving protoplasts. As a control, the soybean protoplasts that were stained with FITC-fluorescent antibody immediately after CMV-SC inoculation (0 h of incubation) were shown. Note that there is no fluorescence in the virus-treated cells. BF, blight filed. B, Viral accumulation after incubation for 24 h was assayed by enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA).
integrated on the map because the map distances between each marker locus and the resistance locus were not consistent with each other. Thus, the inheritance of the Harosoy resistance to CMV-SC could not be explained by simple Mendelian factors. Because the resistance for restriction of CMV long-distance movement in pepper was previously shown to be regulated by QTLs (3), we next performed a single-marker regression analysis to detect the QTLs for resistance to CMV-SC in Harosoy. In this QTL analysis, binary data for a resistant (= 1) or susceptible (= 0) response in the F2 population were first used. This result showed

Fig. 5. Localization of Cucumber mosaic virus (CMV)-S in soybean leaves inoculated with CMV-SC or CMV-SD at 2 and 4 days postinoculation. Detection of coat protein (CP) in CMV-infected ‘Harosoy’. Stained veins are indicated by a gray arrow. Detection of CP in CMV-infected ‘Shiromame’. CMV-SC never moved to the upper leaves of Harosoy even after 1 month. The experiment was repeated three times, and results were reproducible.

Fig. 6. Detection of viral coat protein in ‘Harosoy’ inoculated with Cucumber mosaic virus (CMV)-SC or CMV-SD 14 days postinoculation in petiole of an upper, uninoculated leaf; in main stem; and in petiole of inoculated leaf.
that Satt184 on LG D1a and Satt567 on LG M were significantly associated with the resistance to CMV-SC. Additionally, three SSR markers (Sat_413, Sat_244, and Satt345 on LGs D1a, M, and O, respectively) were shown to be potentially associated with the resistance (Table 3). Because the map distance between Sat_413 and Satt184 on LG-D1a and between Satt567 and Sat_244 on LG-M was estimated to be 9.6 and 25.8 centimorgans, respectively, we assumed that two loci were significantly associated with CMV resistance (one each of LG-D1a and -M) and a possible third on LG-O. SSR markers Satt184, Satt567, and Satt345 explained 16, 19, and 12% of phenotypic variance, respectively (Table 3), and all resistance alleles were derived from Harosoy. To confirm these results, the marker regression analysis was also carried out using the percentage of resistant plants within each F3 family as phenotypic value for the parental F2 plant. The distribution of the percentage of resistant plants per F3 family was continuous and bimodal (Fig. 7). In this analysis, the two SSR markers, Satt567 and Satt345, were found to be potentially associated with Harosoy resistance to CMV-SC (Table 3). Although the LOD scores for both SSR markers were <3.0, these results supported the significance of the two QTLs on LGs M and O detected using the F2 population.

**DISCUSSION**

Plants have a network of counter-defense systems against pathogens. One such defense is controlled by dominant R genes against pathogens having corresponding Avr genes. In many pathosystems, R and Avr genes have been sought according to the gene-for-gene model (11). However, R genes alone do not necessarily explain the observed resistance; rather, the majority of defense systems are likely determined by the balance of the interactions between multiple factors of host and pathogen. For the pathosystem of CMV, it has been quite difficult to find such a single, dominant resistance gene; they have been found for only a few specific CMV isolates (12,13,27). In contrast, partial resistance to CMV controlled by multiple genes has been documented for a number of crops, including pepper and soybean (2–4,8). If multiple host genes are involved in CMV resistance, it is conceivable that those genes coordinately operate at various steps which are governed by multiple viral genes during CMV infection. Little is known about the molecular mechanism underlying virus–host pathosystems based on multigenic resistance involving multiple host and viral factors. Along these lines, in this report, we tried to explain the pathosystem of CMV and soybean, characterizing the observed resistance based on the interactions between multiple factors of the host and the virus.

In the CMV-S–soybean pathosystem, we found that two viral factors, the 5’ end of RNA3/the 3a gene and the 3’ end of the 2a gene/the 2b gene are together important for the resistance of Harosoy to CMV-SC. The 3a protein has been reported to be involved in long-distance movement as well as cell-to-cell movement (15). A single amino acid difference between SC and SD in the 3a protein of the 3DW construct may determine the phenotype. Even the 5’ UTR may be able to affect the level of the 3a protein in infected cells; Yoshii et al. (31–33) previously reported that *Arabidopsis* mutations in eIF4E and 4G actually affected the 3a translation efficiency in a 5’ UTR-sequence-dependent manner.

The 2a gene encodes the viral replicase and is also necessary for viral movement (5). The 2b protein is an RNA-silencing suppressor (16), interferes with SA-mediated virus resistance (10), and also has a role in viral movement (6). Therefore, it is likely that the nature of both 2a and 2b proteins can determine the phenotypes in the soybean cultivars.

**TABLE 3. Simple sequence repeat (SSR) marker loci associated with Cucumber mosaic virus (CMV)-SC resistance based on a single marker regression analysis**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data setb</th>
<th>Linkage group</th>
<th>SSR marker</th>
<th>LOD</th>
<th>Var (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>F2 binary data</td>
<td>D1a</td>
<td>Sat_413</td>
<td>2.65</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F2 binary data</td>
<td>D1a</td>
<td>Satt184</td>
<td>3.67</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
<td>Satt567</td>
<td>4.36</td>
<td>19</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
<td>Sat_244</td>
<td>2.58</td>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O</td>
<td>Satt345</td>
<td>2.62</td>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resistant F3</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Satt567</td>
<td>2.60</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resistant F3</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>Satt345</td>
<td>2.15</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* SSR markers with a logarithm of odds (LOD) score >2.0 are indicated. Var (%) = percentage of phenotypic variance explained by individual markers.

* Binary data (1 or 0) for F2 individuals and percentage of resistant plants within each F3 family.

**Fig. 7.** Frequency distribution of the resistant/susceptible segregation ratio (R:S) for *Cucumber mosaic virus* (CMV)-SC resistance within each F3 line developed from the F2 individuals of the cross between ‘Harosoy’ and ‘Nemashirazu’. R:S is expressed as the percentage of resistant plants per line. In this experiment, none of the tested Harosoy plants were systemically infected; all Nemashirazu plants were systemically infected.

**TABLE 2. Segregation of resistance phenotypes to *Cucumber mosaic virus* (CMV)-SC in an F2 population and the F3 families derived from a cross between resistant (R) ‘Harosoy’ and susceptible (S) ‘Nemashirazu’**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cultivar or cross</th>
<th>Generation</th>
<th>R</th>
<th>Seg.</th>
<th>S</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Expected ratio</th>
<th>χ²</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Harosoy (H)</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nemashirazu (N)</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H x N</td>
<td>F1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>1:1</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H x N</td>
<td>F2</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>1:3</td>
<td>6.45</td>
<td>0.011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H x N</td>
<td>F3</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>1:2:1</td>
<td>19.88</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H x N</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>1:8:7</td>
<td>104.99</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Reaction type of each plant was examined 3 weeks postinoculation. Systemic infection was confirmed with enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay. Seg. means that R and S plants are segregated within each F3 line.
To find the operative points of the Harosoy resistance to viral infection, we conducted three experiments: protoplast inoculation, hammer blotting, and Northern blotting. Whether protoplasts were isolated from susceptible Shiromame or resistant Harosoy, there was little difference in relative accumulation of CMV-SC versus CMV-SD in Shiromame and Harosoy, suggesting that the resistance does not operate at the level of viral replication. We then analyzed infection sites of CMV-S in the inoculated leaves by hammer blotting. In the inoculated leaves of Harosoy, both CMV-SC and CMV-SD produced similar infection spots, suggesting that there was little difference in the initial infection and spread of the viruses to neighboring cells between CMV-SC and CMV-SD. The results of Northern blotting analyses indicated that the level of CMV-SD in the inoculated leaves was significantly higher than that of CMV-SC. However, there was little correlation between the accumulation level and the ability of the virus to move systemically in Harosoy. When these results are considered together, Harosoy resistance to CMV-SC appears to operate at the level of long-distance movement.

We showed here that Harosoy resistance to CMV-SC was controlled by multiple QTLs. The results of the marker regression analyses using the binary data for F₂ individuals indicated that at least three QTLs on LGs D1a, M, and O were associated with CMV-SC resistance. Of the three QTLs, the QTL on LG D1a was not detected by the analysis using the percentage of resistant plants within each F₂ line. Because the inoculation tests using the F₂ population and the F₁ families were done in the greenhouse under natural conditions, the effect of the QTL on LG D1a could have been masked by varying environmental conditions.

We showed that both the 5′ end of RNA3/the 3a gene and the 3′ end of the 2a gene/the 2b gene together affected long-distance movement of the virus. Because the systemic movement of CMV-SC was restricted in a cultivar (Harosoy)-specific manner, the products of the identified QTLs may interact directly with the CMV-SC proteins. At this stage, we are unable to identify any genes as concrete candidates for the QTLs because of limitation of the population size and the number of SSR markers used; however, they should be involved in viral systemic movement. For example, Requena et al. (20) previously reported that CMV mainly moved through cucumber sieve tubes as viral particles and that CMV particles interacted with the phloem exudate protein p48, which, thus, is easy to consider as one of the first candidates for the QTLs. However, in this case, the interactions between host and viral factors to restrict the CMV-SC systemic movement in the phloem seem to occur before CMV-SC enters the phloem in Harosoy plants. Because entire soybean genomic sequences are now available in the database, our efforts to identify the QTLs are underway. Considering that the corresponding viral factors have been identified, we may also find the QTL products involved in the Harosoy resistance by screening the binding proteins to the 3a, 2a, and 2b proteins of CMV-SC.
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