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Atp6ap2/(Pro)renin Receptor Interacts with Par3 as a Cell Polarity Determinant Required for Laminar Formation during Retinal Development in Mice
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(P)RR required for laminar formation during retinal development. We propose that this cellular activity associated with the Par-aPKC complex (i.e., the Par-aPKC system) interacts with adherens junctions for the establishment and maintenance of cell polarity during retinal development. Genetic ablation of each of those adhesion and polarity molecules has been reported to result in severe disorganization of the retinal architecture (Horne-Badovinac et al., 2001; Wei and Malicki, 2002; Malicki et al., 2003; Masai et al., 2003; Wei et al., 2004; Koike et al., 2005).

(P)RR was found as a truncated form of (P)RR at the C-terminal region associated with vacuolar H+ -ATPase (v-ATPase), an ATP-dependent multisubunit proton pump, and termed ATP6 accessory protein 2 (Atp6ap2; Ludwig et al., 1998; Nguyen et al., 2002). The v-ATPase is involved in various fundamental and physiological cel-

Introduction

Six types of neurons (rod and cone photoreceptors, horizontal, bipolar, amacrine, and ganglion cells) and one type of Müller glial cell in the developing mouse retina originate from common multipotent progenitor cells in a highly conserved order, in which specific transcription-regulatory factors control cell fates (Masland, 2001; Swaroop et al., 2010). Retinal progenitor cells, initially composed of a single layer, extend processes to both apical and basal regions of the neuroepithelium. Photoreceptors at the apical side generate adherens junctions with Müller glial cells, and these cells are connected by cadherins at adherens junctions that play critical roles in photoreceptor cell shape and retinal tissue integrity. The partitioning defective 3 homolog (Par3) protein, which is known to function in the Par-atypical protein kinase C (aPKC) system. Furthermore, yeast two-hybrid assays demonstrated direct molecular interaction between ATP6AP2(P)RR and PAR3. Our present data revealed the novel function of Atp6ap2/(P)RR protein, which is known to function in the Par-atypical protein kinase C system. Furthermore, yeast two-hybrid assays demonstrated direct molecular interaction between ATP6AP2(P)RR and PAR3. Our present data revealed the novel function of Atp6ap2/(P)RR in photoreceptor development.

at the apical side generate adherens junctions with Müller glial cells, and these cells are connected by cadherins at adherens junctions that play critical roles in photoreceptor cell shape and retinal tissue integrity. The partitioning defective 3 homolog (Par3)-Par6-atypical protein kinase C (aPKC) complex (i.e., the Par-aPKC system) interacts with adherens junctions for the establishment and maintenance of cell polarity during retinal development. Genetic ablation of each of those adhesion and polarity molecules has been reported to result in severe disorganization of the retinal architecture (Horne-Badovinac et al., 2001; Wei and Malicki, 2002; Malicki et al., 2003; Masai et al., 2003; Wei et al., 2004; Koike et al., 2005).

(P)RR is known to bind with prorenin causing the dual activation of tissue renin-angiotensin system (RAS) together with RAS-independent intracellular signaling pathways (i.e., receptor-associated prorenin system), and contributes to the molecular pathogenesis of end-organ damage, such as inflammation and angiogenesis (Kanda et al., 2012; Satofuka et al., 2012). Initially, (P)RR was found as a truncated form of (P)RR at the C-terminal region associated with vacuolar H+ -ATPase (v-ATPase), an ATP-dependent multisubunit proton pump, and termed ATP6 accessory protein 2 (Atp6ap2; Ludwig et al., 1998; Nguyen et al., 2002). The v-ATPase is involved in various fundamental and physiological cel-
lular activities including endocytosis, processing of proteins and sig-ning molecules, membrane sorting and trafficking, activation of lysosomal and autophagosomal enzymes, and left-right patterning during development (Nishi and Forgac, 2002; Adams et al., 2006). Recently, Atp6ap2/(P)RR and v-ATPase-mediated acidification were shown to be essential for both of the Wnt/β-catenin and Wnt/planar cell polarity signaling pathways in Xenopus and Drosophila (Buechling et al., 2010; Cruciat et al., 2010; Hermle et al., 2010). Cardiomyocyte- or podocyte-specific gene ablation in mice revealed that Atp6ap2 is essential for the maintenance of cell structure and survival (Kinouchi et al., 2010; Oshima et al., 2011; Riediger et al., 2011). Therefore, Atp6ap2/(P)RR is suggested to contribute not only to tissue RAS activation but to multiple biological processes via the v-ATPase function.

We recently reported that ATP6AP2/(P)RR is associated with angiogenic activity in human eyes with diabetic retinopathy (Kanda et al., 2012, 2013); however, no functional analysis has ever been reported on the physiological role of ATP6AP2/(P)RR in mammalian eyes. In the present study, we generated conditional knock-out (CKO) mice for photoreceptor-specific disruption of the Atp6ap2/(P)RR gene, and investigated its biological functions in retinal development. We herein report the first evidence that shows the close association between Atp6ap2/(P)RR and retinal lamination together with molecular mechanisms related to the Par-aPKC system.

Materials and Methods

Photoreceptor-specific Atp6ap2-CKO mice. Atp6ap2-foxed mice (Kinouchi et al., 2010) were bred with a cone-rod homeobox (Crx)-Cre transgenic mouse line (Nishida et al., 2003), which expresses Cre recombinase under the control of the photoreceptor-specific Crx promoter. All animal experiments were conducted in accordance with the Association for Research in Vision and Ophthalmology Statement for the Use of Animals in Ophthalmic and Vision Research, and were approved by the Ethics Review Committee for Animal Experimentation of Hokkaido University.

Immunofluorescence microscopy. Mouse eyeballs were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde for 30 min on ice, incubated in an increasing concentration of PBS/sucrose (10, 20, 30%), and embedded in Frozen Section media (Leica). For antibody staining, sections were washed with PBS containing 0.05% Triton X-100, and then blocked in PBS containing 0.05% Triton X-100, 5% goat serum. Sections were probed with the respective primary antibodies: mouse anti-Atp6ap2 (1:50, Kanda et al., 2012; and 1:100, Sigma-Aldrich), mouse anti-rhodopsin (1:500, Millipore), rabbit anti-cone arrestin (1:100, Millipore), rabbit anti-calbindin (1:100, Millipore), mouse anti-glutamine synthetase (1:200, Millipore), rabbit anti-Par3 (1:100, Millipore), rabbit anti-phosphorylated (p)-histone H3 (1:200, Millipore), mouse anti-Pax6 (1:50, Developmental Studies Hybridoma Bank), goat anti-Brn3 (1:50, Santa Cruz Biotechnology) and rabbit anti-Par6 (1:100, Santa Cruz Biotechnology), sheep anti-Chx10 (1:150, Abcam), chicken anti-calciretin (1:100, Abcam) and mouse anti-SkG Golgi protein (1:50, Abcam), mouse anti-N-cadherin (1:100, BD Biosciences), mouse anti-β-catenin (1:100, BD Biosciences) and mouse anti-αPKCA (1:100, BD Biosciences), rabbit anti-zona occludens (ZO)-1 (1:250, Life Technologies), rabbit anti-Crb2 (1:100, Thermof Cientific), rabbit anti-Pals1 (1:100, Proteintech), and mouse anti-α-tubulin (1:200, Sigma-Aldrich) antibodies. The secondary antibodies for fluorescent detection were Alexa Fluor 488 and 546 (1:500, Life Technologies) and Hi-Lyte Fluor 647 (1:500; AnaSpec). Sections were visualized under a Fluoview 1000 confocal microscope (Olympus).

Reverse transcription PCR analyses for Atp6ap2 in mouse retinas. Total RNA was isolated from retinas using TRIzol (Life Technologies). Reverse transcription was performed with GoScrip Reverse Transcriptase (Promega) and oligo dT(20) primers, as previously described (Kanda et al., 2012). PCR analyses were performed with the following primers for mouse genes: Atp6ap2; forward 5'-CTG GTG GCG GGT GCT TTA G-3', reverse 5'-GCT ACG TCT GGG ATT CGA TCT-3' and Actb; forward 5'-CAT CCG TAA AGA CCT CTA TGC CAA C-3', reverse 5'-ATG GAG ACC TGC TCG ACC-3'.

Figure 1. Retinal localization and expression of Atp6ap2 in wild-type mice. A, B, Atp6ap2 immunostaining of the retina at E14.5 (A) and P14 (B). NBL, Neuroepithelial layer; OPL, outer nuclear layer; INL, inner nuclear layer; GCL, ganglion cell layer. Scale bar, 30 μm. C, Gene expression analysis of Atp6ap2 during mouse retinal development. D, Immunoblot analysis of Atp6ap2 during mouse retinal development.

Cell counting of retinal cell types. For quantitative analysis of retinal cells, postnatal day (P)14 retina were dissected in DMEM, cut into small pieces, and dissociated by continuous mixing in DMEM containing tryptophan at 37°C for 15 min. Dissociated cells were fixed for 10 min at room temperature, then for 20 min at 37°C in 4% paraformaldehyde in PBS. Immunostaining was performed as described above with the respective retinal marker antibodies. Four independent experiments in triplicate were performed to count the number of each type of retinal cells.

ERG. Electoretinography (ERG) recordings were performed as described previously (Yuki et al., 2011). In brief, male mice at the age of 4 weeks were dark-adapted overnight, and then anesthetized with an intramuscular injection of 70 mg/kg pentobarbital sodium and the pupils were dilated with a mixed solution of 0.5% tropicamide and 0.5% phenylephrine (Mydrin-P, Santen) just before light exposure. Single-flash ERG responses were recorded with the active contact-lens electrodes (Mayo) placed on the cornea (PowerLab system 2/25; AD Instruments). Light pulses of 800 cd · s/m² with 4 ms duration were delivered via a commercial Ganzfeld stimulator (Ganzfeld System SG-2002, LKC Technologies). Amplitudes were measured from baseline to the a-wave trough for a-waves and from the a-wave trough to the b-wave peak for b-waves.

Plasmid construction and mutagenesis. Human ATP6AP2 cDNA (GenBank No. NM_005765) was subcloned in the pcI vector with FLAG-tag (Promega). All deletion mutants from the ATP6AP2 expression construct were generated by PCR. Human PAR3 cDNA (GenBank NM_001184785) was subcloned in the pCMV-Tag 3B vector with Myc-tag (Agilent Technologies). All constructs were sequence-verified before use.

Commonprecipitation and immunoblot analyses. HEK293T cells were transiently transfected with plasmid DNA using FuGENE HD (Promega). Mouse retinas or the transfected cells were homogenized in a radioimmunoprecipitation assay (RIPA) buffer containing protease inhibitors.
After preincubation of sonicated extracts with Protein G beads (Life Technologies), antibodies were added and left overnight at 4°C with gentle mixing. The beads were washed with the RIPA buffer, suspended in SDS sample buffer, and separated by SDS-PAGE. Proteins were transferred to polyvinylidene fluoride membrane by electroblotting, and immunoblot analyses were performed as previously described (Kanda et al., 2012). Antibodies for protein analysis were anti-FLAG and Myc (1:1000, Wako Pure Chemical Industries).

Known-bait and known-prey yeast two-hybrid analyses. Known-bait and known-prey analyses were performed using the Matchmaker Ga4 two-hybrid system, according to the manufacturer’s instruction (Clontech). ATP6AP2 and PAR3 were alternately subcloned into either the bait vector pGBK7 or the prey vector pGADT7 (Clontech). Yeast AH109 cells were transformed sequentially with the bait and prey vectors. Transformants were selected for the bait [minus-tryptophan (TRP) selection] and prey [minus-leucine (LEU) selection] vectors. Yeast AH109 cells containing the bait and prey vectors grew in nutrition selection plates [minus-TRP, -LEU, -histidine (HIS), and -adenosine (ADE) selection] with lac Z gene expression in blue by filter lift assay for β-galactosidase activity.

Statistical analyses. All results were expressed as mean ± SEM. The values were processed for statistical analyses (Student’s t test). Differences were considered statistically significant when the p values were <0.05.

Results

Retinal localization and expression of Atp6ap2 in wild-type mice

Atp6ap2 has been reported to localize on the inner segment, the ganglion cell layer and the inner nuclear layer (within Müller cells) in the retina of adult mice (Kanda et al., 2012); however, no study on its retinal localization at early time points during embryonic development has ever been reported. To investigate Atp6ap2 protein localization on the embryonic retina, we performed immunofluorescence in the retina of wild-type (WT) mice. Atp6ap2 signals were observed abundantly, and relatively stronger at the apical edge of the neuroblastic layer and the ganglion cell layer at embryonic day (E)14.5 retinas (Fig. 1A). Atp6ap2 staining at P14 retinas (Fig. 1B) was consistent with our previous report (Kanda et al., 2012).

To elucidate retinal expression of Atp6ap2 during normal development, we performed semiquantitative PCR experiments using retinal RNA from E12.5 to 2-month-old WT mice (Fig. 1C). The Atp6ap2 transcript was detected at E12.5, and expression levels were not altered remarkably until 2 months of age. Immunoblot analysis also showed similar results with the semiquantitative PCR experiments, and Atp6ap2 protein levels were stable during retinal development (Fig. 1D). These results suggest that Atp6ap2 is required for development and maintenance of the mouse retina.

Retinal structure and function were damaged in Atp6ap2-CKO mice

To understand biological functions of Atp6ap2 in photoreceptor cells, we generated CKO mice for photoreceptor-specific disruption of Atp6ap2 by crossing loxP/Atp6ap2 mice and cone-rod homeobox (Crx)-Cre transgenic mice. Crx-Cre begins to be expressed at E12.5.
in the outer neuroblastic layer of the embryo where the precursors of photoreceptor cells are located (Nishida et al., 2003; Koike et al., 2005). As for the floxed mice, we used the previously established loxP/Atp6ap2 mice (Kinouchi et al., 2010). The resultant observations of the CKO (Atp6ap2lox/Y/Crx-CreH11001) retina in this study were based on Atp6ap2 deficiency from an early developmental stage. Their littermates (e.g., Atp6ap2lox/Y/Crx-CreH11002/H11002) served as control mice.

We first analyzed the cellular structure of Atp6ap2-CKO retinas at E12.5, E14.5, and P14. The control retina showed retinal laminar structure and fully developed at P14 (Fig. 2A–C). No obvious difference between control and CKO retinas was found at E12.5 (Fig. 2D); however, the CKO mice at E14.5 exhibited retinal thinning and cellular defects (Fig. 2E), and retinal lamination was remarkably disrupted with plexiform patches and sporadic rosette-like structures in the outer nuclear layer were observed at P14 (Fig. 2F).

To investigate the functional loss in the CKO retina, we recorded the dark-adapted ERG responses. Importantly, the amplitude of the CKO mice was significantly reduced compared with

Figure 3. Loss of Atp6ap2 in photoreceptor cells did not affect cell fate determination. Sections of control (A–D, I–K) or Atp6ap2-CKO (E–H, L–N) retinas at P14 were stained with antibodies against individual retinal cell-type markers (red) and counter-stained with DAPI (blue). Rod photoreceptor, rhodopsin (A, E); cone photoreceptor, cone arrestin (CAR; B, F); amacrine and ganglion cells, Pax6 (C, G); bipolar cells, Chx10 (D, H); horizontal cells, calbindin (J, L); Müller cells, glutamine synthetase (GS; J, M); ganglion cells, Brn3 (K, N). ONL, Outer nuclear layer; OPL, outer plexiform layer; INL, inner nuclear layer; GCL, ganglion cell layer. Scale bar, 30 μm. O. The percentage of cell types in control and Atp6ap2-CKO retinas.
that of control mice (a-wave, $p < 0.0006$; b-wave, $p < 0.003$; Fig. 2G–I). These results indicate that photoreceptor Atp6ap2 plays a significant role in retinal function.

**Loss of Atp6ap2 in photoreceptor cells did not affect cell fate determination**

To determine whether Atp6ap2 deficiency in photoreceptors affects cell fate determination, we performed immunostaining for retinal sections from control and CKO mice at P14, using antibodies against retinal cell type markers (Fig. 3A–N). The CKO retina (Fig. 3E–H, L–N) contained all types of retinal cells including rod photoreceptor cells (antirhodopsin antibody), cone photoreceptor cells (anticone arrestin antibody), amacrine and ganglion cells (anti-Pax6 antibody), bipolar cells (anti-Chx10 antibody), horizontal cells (anticalbindin antibody), Müller glial cells (anti-glutamine synthetase antibody), and ganglion cells (anti-Brn3 antibody). Quantification of the different cell types in the whole retinal population revealed no significant proportional change in any of the cell types (Fig. 3O). These results demonstrate that Atp6ap2 deficiency in photoreceptor cells did not affect the normal specification and cell fate determination of retinal cell types.

**Colocalization of Atp6ap2 with cell adhesion and polarity molecules in the developing retina**

Several molecules controlling cell adhesion and cell polarity, such as β-catenin, N-cadherin, Par3, Crumbs (Crb) 2, and protein associated with Lin Seven 1 (Pals1), have been reported to play crucial roles in physiological development, and deletion of any of those in the retina demonstrated the loss of the radial arrangement of retinal progenitor cells (Wei and Malicki, 2002; Erdmann et al., 2003; Malicki et al., 2003; Masai et al., 2003; Wei et al., 2004; Fu et al., 2006; Omori and Malicki, 2006). Because disruption of Atp6ap2 in photoreceptors also led to lamination defects in the photoreceptor layer, we studied the localization and expression of β-catenin, N-cadherin, Par3, Crb2, and Pals1 in the developing retina. In WT retinas at E14.5, the immunofluorescent signals of those molecules were detected ubiquitously in various retinal cells, and relatively stronger at the apical and basal surfaces (Fig. 4A, D, G, J, M, N), consistent with previous findings (Koike et al., 2005; Fu et al., 2006; Cho et al., 2012). Interestingly, Atp6ap2 immunoreactivity was colocalized with β-catenin, N-cadherin, and Par3, but not Crb2 or Pals1, signals at the apical surface (Fig. 4B, C, E, F, H, I, K, L, N, O).

**Loss of Atp6ap2 in photoreceptor cells led to mislocalization of cell adhesion and polarity molecules**

To investigate whether loss of Atp6ap2 in photoreceptor cells affects cell adhesion and polarity molecules, retinal localization of those proteins in the CKO mice was analyzed (Figs. 5, 6). The Crb-Pals1-Patj (Pals1-associated tight junction protein) complex plays a key role in regulating cell polarity and cell shape (Omori and Malicki, 2006; Bulgakova and Knust, 2009; Zou et al.,
phorylated (p)-histone H3, which stains proliferating cells in late G2 and M phases (Prigent and Dimitrov, 2003). In the control retina, β-catenin and N-cadherin were localized mainly at the apical surface with p-histone H3-positive progenitors in place (Fig. 7A, B, A’, B’). In contrast, the distribution of mitotic progenitor cells was markedly scattered in the Atp6ap2-CKO retina; however, β-catenin and N-cadherin were localized around those dispersed progenitors (Fig. 7C, D, C’, D’). Additionally, we performed immunostaining with an antibody against α-tubulin that labels mitotic spindles. Cell divisions in the Atp6ap2-CKO retina showed abnormal spindle orientation (Fig. 7E, F, E’, F’), which is similar with the phenotype of zebrafish mutants for heart and soul (has) encoding aPKCA (Horne-Badovinac et al., 2001). These results further confirm the disruption of retinal laminar structure in the Atp6ap2-CKO mice (Fig. 2) due to the mislocalization, but not the protein expression, of those molecules (Fig. 6).

Interaction of Atp6ap2 with Par3

Given that Atp6ap2 was colocalized with cell adhesion and polarity molecules (Fig. 4) and that the Atp6ap2-CKO mice exhibited disrupted retinal laminar structure (Fig. 2), we hypothesized that Atp6ap2 protein might interact with those molecules and thus participate in retinal lamination. To investigate protein interactions between Atp6ap2 and those adhesion and polarity molecules, we performed coimmunoprecipitation (IP) experiments using mouse retinal extracts as an input sample with antibodies against cell adhesion and polarity proteins, and immunoblot analysis using anti-Atp6ap2 antibody. The anti-Atp6ap2 antibody as a positive control for IP showed an immunoreactive band of the predicted size (Fig. 8A). Interestingly, the anti-Par3 antibody also demonstrated the precipitation of Atp6ap2 protein from the retina, whereas no other antibodies did (N-cadherin, β-catenin or aPKCA; Fig. 8A; Par6, data not shown).

To investigate direct molecular interaction between Atp6ap2 and PAR3, we performed yeast two-hybrid analyses using
ATP6AP2 and PAR3 subcloned alternately into either the bait (pGBK7) or prey (pGADT7) vector. Yeast AH109 cells containing both pGBK7-ATP6AP2 and pGADT7-PAR3 as well as both pGBK7-PAR3 and pGADT7-ATP6AP2 grew well in nutrition selection plates (minus-TRP, -LEU, -HIS, and -ADE) with lacZ expression in blue, whereas those with empty bait or prey vector showed no growth (Fig. 8B).

To further validate the interaction between Atp6ap2 and Par3, IP experiments followed by immunoblot analysis were performed with transfected HEK293T cells using antibodies against Myc- or FLAG-tag. We subcloned human PAR3 and ATP6AP2 cDNAs into expression vectors containing Myc and FLAG, respectively, and cotransfected both of these constructs into HEK293T cells. IP with anti-Myc antibody from the cotransf-
Intracellular localization of Atp6ap2-Par3 complex in the developing retina

The endoplasmic reticulum (ER) and Golgi apparatus are essential for protein biosynthesis and modification, leading subsequently to intracellular distribution of functional molecules. Atp6ap2 was shown to have a predilection for the ER and Golgi network (Schefe et al., 2006). In concert with these findings, the requirement of the TM domain for ATP6AP2 binding to PAR3 (Fig. 8D) led us to hypothesize that those intracellular membranous organelles may harbor the Atp6ap2-Par3 complex. To clarify this, we performed immunofluorescence with antibodies against calreticulin (ER marker) and 58K Golgi protein (Golgi marker) in the WT mouse retina at E14.5. Calreticulin was ubiquitously distributed in retinal cells (Fig. 9E), whereas 58K Golgi mainly localized in the apical surface (Fig. 9E). Importantly, those signals were colocalized with immunostaining for Atp6ap2 and Par3 (Fig. 9B–D, F–H). In contrast, signals of β-catenin exhibited substantially less frequent colocalization with calreticulin and 58K Golgi protein, especially at the apical surface (Fig. 9I–N). These results, in accordance with the finding that Par families are transported from the ER-Golgi network to the apical membrane via endocytic traffic (Shivas et al., 2010), suggest the critical role of Atp6ap2 in the apical distribution of Par3 and the biological significance of Atp6ap2 localization to the ER-Golgi system for developing cell polarity.

Discussion

Multiple studies have been conducted to show that Atp6ap2/(P)RR plays significant roles in various pathological processes such as angiogenesis and inflammation (Kanda et al., 2012, 2013; Satofuka et al., 2012). The present study demonstrated several important data concerning the physiological role of Atp6ap2 in retinal development. First, Atp6ap2 was constitutively expressed in the mouse retina from embryo to adult (Fig. 1). Second, genetic ablation of the Atp6ap2 gene in photoreceptors led to disruption of retinal lamination and function (Fig. 2), but did not affect cell fate determination (Fig. 3). Third, Atp6ap2 was colocalized with cell adhesion and polarity proteins at the apical surface (β-catenin, N-cadherin, and Par3, but not Crb2 or Pals1; Fig. 4), and photoreceptor-specific deficiency in Atp6ap2 caused the mislocalization of these molecules (Figs. 5, 6) and retinal progenitor cells (Fig. 7). Importantly, IP experiments as well as yeast two-hybrid assays revealed molecular interaction of Atp6ap2 with PAR3 (Fig. 8). Finally, the ER-Golgi system was associated with Atp6ap2-Par3 colocalization in the developing retina (Fig. 9). These findings suggest that Atp6ap2 plays a critical role in the apical distribution of Par3 via the
ER-Golgi network, leading to the establishment of retinal lamination during physiological development.

The mammalian retina contains a highly organized laminar structure that captures, integrates, and transmits visual information to the brain for further processing (Masland, 2001; Swaroop et al., 2010). Several studies with mice and zebrafish have reported key proteins for retinal lamination including cell adhesion molecules, such as β-catenin and N-cadherin (Erdmann et al., 2003; McLicki et al., 2003; Masai et al., 2003; Fu et al., 2006) and cell polarity molecules, such as nagoe oko and aPKC (Horne-Badovinac et al., 2001; Wei and Malicki, 2002; Wei et al., 2004; Koike et al., 2005). The Par family proteins are involved in establishing cell polarity, mediating cellular asymmetry through formation and distribution of molecular complexes (Guo and Kemphues, 1996). Par3 forms a complex with aPKC and Par6 (i.e., the Par-aPKC system), which selectively localized to cellular junctions, such as N-cadherin, and contributes to the regulation of polarity in a variety of cells (Izumi et al., 1998; Suzuki and Ohno, 2006; Insolera et al., 2011). Dysfunction of Par3 disturbed retinal lamination in the zebrafish eye (Wei et al., 2004). In this study, deletion of Atp6ap2 in photoreceptors exhibited laminar disorganization in the photoreceptor layer (Fig. 2), which is similar in retinal phenotype to the above-mentioned vertebrates deficient in any of those cell polarity and adhesion molecules, and Atp6ap2 protein interacted and colocalized with Par3 in the ER-Golgi system (Figs. 4, 8, 9). In accordance with those previous reports on genetically modified animals, our present findings suggest that the mislocalization of Par3 protein at the apical edge was responsible for abnormal retinal lamination in Atp6ap2-CKO mice.

When functioning as (P)RR to bind its ligand prorenin, Atp6ap2 is known to have its N-terminal domain targeted to the extracellular space (i.e., to the ER lumen during synthesis) or released in a truncated form as soluble (P)RR (Kanda et al., 2012). On the other hand, single-pass molecules with their C-terminal domains targeted to the ER lumen are topologically classified into type II transmembrane proteins. Indeed, as an accessory subunit for v-ATPase for urinary acidification, Atp6ap2 (C-terminal region) was shown to be detectable within the Golgi apparatus and at the luminal surface of intercalated cells in the kidney collecting duct (Advani et al., 2009). Therefore, it is reasonable to speculate that the direction of Atp6ap2 to penetrate the lipid membrane may differ depending on its multiple functions. The current data on Atp6ap2 interaction with Par3 (Figs. 8, 9) suggest that Atp6ap2 had its N-terminal domain targeted to the cytoplasm harboring Par3.

Atp6ap2/(P)RR was initially identified as an adaptor protein for the proton pump v-ATPase complex that is a key regulator of acidification of endosomes, lysosomes, and secretory vesicles (Nishi and Forgac, 2002). Mutations in the v-ATPase complex (Atp6ap1, Atp6v0c, Atp6v0d1, Atp6v1e1, Atp6v1f, or Atp6v1h) in zebrafish demonstrated numerous vacuoles within retinal pigment epithelium together with abnormal outer segment morphology; however, retinal lamination appeared unaffected in all the mutants for v-ATPase subunits except Atp6v0c (Nuckels et al., 2009). Similarly, Atp6v0a3 knock-out mice exhibited no obvious change in retinal laminated structure although optic nerve compression developed with retinal degeneration in adults (Kawamura et al., 2010). These results suggest that the disruption of retinal lamination in our Atp6ap2-CKO mice did not depend on the v-ATPase function of Atp6ap2.

In conclusion, our present findings unravel the novel function of Atp6ap2/(P)RR that binds to Par3 as a cell polarity determinant required for retinal laminar organization during physiological development. Atp6ap2/(P)RR has so far been shown to be involved in multiple physiological and pathological events depending on its v-ATPase function and tissue RAS activation. We propose that this cellular activity associated with the Par-aPKC system, in addition to the v-ATPase function and tissue RAS activation, is the third biological role of Atp6ap2/(P)RR.

**Figure 8.** Interaction of Atp6ap2 with Par3. A. IP of WT retinal extracts using indicated antibodies were performed followed by SDS-PAGE and immunoblot analysis using anti-Atp6ap2 antibody. B. Known-bait and known-prey yeast two-hybrid analyses. Top, Represents the growth of yeast transformed with ATP6AP2 (bait or prey) and PAR3 (prey or bait) constructs (growth assay). Interaction between p53 (bait) and T antigen (T-Ag; prey) was used as a positive control, while laminin (LAM; bait) and T-Ag (prey) association served as a negative control in this system. Bottom, Represents the growth of yeast transformed with ATP6AP2 (bait or prey) and PAR3 (prey or bait) constructs (growth assay). Interaction of Atp6ap2 with Par3. C. Schematic representation of ATP6AP2 constructs used. SP, Signal peptide; CS, furin cleavage site; TM, transmembrane domain. D. Cotransfection and IP. FLAG-tagged ATP6AP2 expression plasmids were cotransfected into HEK293T cells with Myc-tagged PAR3 expression constructs. IP with anti-Myc antibody followed by detection with anti-FLAG antibody. E. Known-bait and known-prey yeast two-hybrid analyses. Top, Represents the growth of yeast transformed with the ATP6AP2 deletion mutants (bait) and PAR3 (prey).
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Figure 9. Intracellular localization of Atp6ap2-Par3 complex in the developing retina. A–D, Immunolabeling for calreticulin (ER, green), Atp6ap2 (red), Par3 (gray), and DAPI (blue) on WT retinas at E14.5. E–H, Immunolabeling for 58K Golgi protein (Golgi, green), Atp6ap2 (red), Par3 (gray), and DAPI (blue). Arrowheads and arrows indicate Atp6ap2-Par3 colocalization with the ER-Golgi network and the apical edge of the retina, respectively. Immunolabeling for calreticulin (green), β-catenin (red) and DAPI (blue; I–K), and 58K Golgi protein (green), β-catenin (red), and DAPI (blue; L–N) on WT retinas at E14.5. Open arrowheads and arrows indicate separate localization of β-catenin from the ER-Golgi network and the apical edge of the retina, respectively. Scale bar, 15 μm.