



Title	Kleptochloroplast Enlargement, Karyoklepty and the Distribution of the Cryptomonad Nucleus in Nusuttodinium (= Gymnodinium) aeruginosum (Dinophyceae)
Author(s)	Onuma, Ryo; Horiguchi, Takeo
Citation	Protist, 166(2), 177-195 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.protis.2015.01.004
Issue Date	2015-05
Doc URL	http://hdl.handle.net/2115/61930
Rights	(C) 2015, Elsevier. Licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/
Rights(URL)	http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/
Type	article (author version)
Additional Information	There are other files related to this item in HUSCAP. Check the above URL.
File Information	Protist_166_p177-.pdf



[Instructions for use](#)

1 Kleptochloroplast enlargement, karyoklepty and the distribution of the cryptomonad
2 nucleus in *Nusuttodinium* (= *Gymnodinium*) *aeruginosum* (Dinophyceae)

3

4 Ryo Onuma^a, Takeo Horiguchi^{b, 1}

5

6 ^aDepartment of Natural History Sciences, Graduate school of Science, Hokkaido

7 University, North 10, West 8, Sapporo 060-0810 Japan

8 ^bDepartment of Natural History Sciences, Faculty of Science, Hokkaido University,

9 North 10, West 8, Sapporo, 060-0810 Japan

10

11

12 Running title: Kleptochloroplastidy in *N. aeruginosum*

13

14

15 ¹Corresponding author; fax +81 11 706 4851

16 e-mail horig@mail.sci.hokudai.ac.jp

17

18

19

20

21

22 The unarmoured freshwater dinoflagellate *Nusuttodinium* (= *Gymnodinium*)
23 *aeruginosum* retains a cryptomonad-derived kleptochloroplast and nucleus, the former
24 of which fills the bulk of its cell volume. The paucity of studies following
25 morphological changes to the kleptochloroplast with time make it unclear how the
26 kleptochloroplast enlarges and why the cell ultimately loses the cryptomonad nucleus.
27 We observed, both at the light and electron microscope level, morphological changes to
28 the kleptochloroplast incurred by the enlargement process under culture conditions. The
29 distribution of the cryptomonad nucleus after host cell division was also investigated.
30 The volume of the kleptochloroplast increased more than 20-fold, within 120 h of
31 ingestion of the cryptomonad. Host cell division was not preceded by cryptomonad
32 karyokinesis so that only one of the daughter cells inherited a cryptomonad nucleus. The
33 fate of all daughter cells originating from a single cell through five generations was
34 closely monitored, and this observation revealed that the cell that inherited the
35 cryptomonad nucleus consistently possessed the largest kleptochloroplast for that
36 generation. Therefore, this study suggests that some important cryptomonad nucleus
37 division mechanism is lost during ingestion process, and that the cryptomonad nucleus
38 carries important information for the enlargement of the kleptochloroplast.

39

40 Key words: cryptomonad nucleus; karyoklepty; kleptochloroplast; morphological
41 transition; *Nusuttodinium aeruginosum*; ultrastructure

42

43

44 **Introduction**

45 Dinoflagellates have proved interesting subjects for the study of chloroplast
46 endosymbiosis because some of them have replaced their original peridinin-containing
47 chloroplasts with those of phylogenetically-distinct algae via serial secondary or tertiary
48 endosymbiosis (e.g. Horiguchi 2006; Keeling 2013). In addition, some dinoflagellates
49 possess unique chloroplasts, called kleptochloroplasts, which are transient chloroplasts
50 retained in the host cell (Schnepf and Elbrächter 1992). These dinoflagellates originally
51 are colourless and ingest photosynthetic algae, retaining their chloroplasts. However,
52 these chloroplasts are eventually lost due to digestion or imperfect distribution to
53 daughters of the host cell following division (Schnepf and Elbrächter 1992).

54 Kleptochloroplastidy have been reported in many groups of organisms including sea
55 slugs, ciliates, foraminifera and katablepharid in addition to dinoflagellates (e.g.
56 Johnson 2011; Nowack and Melkonian 2010). Kleptochloroplastidy in dinoflagellates
57 can be seen in both armoured and unarmoured species, and exhibit different types in
58 terms of selection of prey, acquisition strategy and degree of retention of organelles of
59 prey organisms other than chloroplast. The armoured dinoflagellates *Amylax* spp. and
60 *Dinophysis* spp. retain cryptomonad chloroplasts and obtain them from
61 kleptochloroplastidic ciliate *Mesodinium rubrum*, which retains the chloroplast derived
62 from *Teleaulax* (Cryptophyceae), indicating that these two dinoflagellates obtain their
63 kleptochloroplasts through the intermediate organisms (Koike and Takishita 2008;

64 Nagai et al. 2008; Nishitani et al. 2008; Park et al. 2006; Park et al. 2013). *Amylax* spp.
65 ingest cryptomonad nucleus, nucleomorph and mitochondria in addition to the
66 chloroplast and retain the chloroplast for about a month (Kim et al. 2014). By contrast,
67 *Dinophysis* spp. retain the chloroplasts that elongated and arranged in group without any
68 other cryptomonad organelles and keep them in the cell at least for two months (Park et
69 al. 2008; Schnepf and Elbrächter 1988). *Cryptoperidiniopsis* sp. and *Pfiesteria piscicida*
70 ingest cryptomonad cell directly and are suggested to use the chloroplast for carbon
71 metabolisms (Eriksen et al. 2002; Lewitus et al. 1999). Two unnamed dinoflagellates
72 (RS 24 and W5-1) obtain their kleptochloroplasts from the haptophyte *Phaeocystis* and
73 retain the chloroplast for 29.5 months (Gast et al. 2007; Sellers et al. 2014). The
74 unarmoured dinoflagellates *Amphidinium latum* (Horiguchi and Pienaar 1992), *A.*
75 *poecilochroum* (Larsen 1988), *Gymnodinium acidotum* (Farmer and Roberts 1990;
76 Fields and Rhodes 1991; Wilcox and Wedemayer 1984), *G. aeruginosum* (Schnepf et
77 al.1989), *G. eucyaneum* (Xia et al. 2013), *G. gracilentum* (Skovgaard1998) and *G.*
78 *myriopyrenoides* (Yamaguchi et al. 2011) are known to retain chloroplasts of
79 cryptomonad origin. Recently, Takano et al. (2014) proposed a generic name,
80 *Nusuttodinium* to accommodate *Amphidinium latum*, *A. poecilochroum*, *A.*
81 *amphidinioides*, *Gymnodinium acidotum*, *G. aeruginosum* and *G. myriopyrenoides*.
82 Therefore, hereafter we will use newly proposed combinations for these species.
83 Of these, the closely-related freshwater species, *Nusuttodinium acidotum* and *N.*
84 *aeruginosum*, possess a single cup-shaped kleptochloroplast that occupies most of the

85 cell volume (Farmer and Roberts 1990; Fields and Rhodes 1991; Schnepf et al. 1989;
86 Wilcox and Wedemayer 1984). These kleptochloroplasts were derived from the
87 blue-green cryptomonad, *Chroomonas* spp. (Fields and Rhodes 1991; Onuma and
88 Horiguchi 2013). The kleptochloroplast is surrounded by double chloroplast membranes
89 and a double chloroplast endoplasmic reticulum (ER), and the cryptomonad cytoplasm
90 containing the kleptochloroplast and additional cryptomonad organelles is separated
91 from the dinoflagellate cytoplasm by a single membrane (referred as the ‘perisymbiont’
92 membrane in Schnepf et al. 1989) (Farmer and Roberts 1990; Fields and Rhodes 1991;
93 Schnepf et al. 1989; Wilcox and Wedemayer 1984). These studies also showed that the
94 kleptochloroplast is considerably enlarged within the host cell relative to the size of the
95 original chloroplast in the free-living form of the cryptomonad prey. Previously, we
96 followed the morphological transition of the kleptochloroplast in *N. aeruginosum* up to
97 24 h after the ingestion of *Chroomonas* sp. During this period, the kleptochloroplast was
98 enlarged and deformed although not as enlarged as in field-sampled cells (Onuma and
99 Horiguchi 2013). Using confocal microscopy, we demonstrated that the
100 kleptochloroplast keeps growing up to 72 h after ingestion (Onuma and Horiguchi
101 2013). However, details of the morphological transition of a growing kleptochloroplast
102 after 24 h have not been observed using a transmission electron microscope (TEM).
103 Therefore, it remains unclear how the host dinoflagellate stimulates a single
104 kleptochloroplast to occupy most of its cell from its original size in the free-living
105 cryptomonad.

106 Another interesting question concerning *Nusuttodinium acidotum* and *N.*
107 *aeruginosum* is the presence or absence of the cryptomonad nucleus. These
108 dinoflagellates retain not only the kleptochloroplast but also the nucleus, nucleomorph
109 and mitochondria of the cryptomonad within their cytoplasm (Farmer and Roberts 1990;
110 Fields and Rhodes 1991; Onuma and Horiguchi 2013; Schnepf et al. 1989; Wilcox and
111 Wedemayer 1984). The membrane structure of the kleptochloroplast is invariable in any
112 natural population, but the composition of other cryptomonad organelles is unstable,
113 especially with respect to the presence or absence of the cryptomonad nucleus and
114 nucleomorph. For example, 10% of *N. aeruginosum* cells and 33-57% of *N. acidotum*
115 cells are reported to retain the cryptomonad nucleus in natural populations (Farmer and
116 Roberts 1990; Fields and Rhodes 1991; Schnepf et al. 1989). Fields and Rhodes (1991)
117 reported that *N. acidotum* can retain the kleptochloroplast for at least 14 days even in
118 unialgal culture where host cell division is active. This means that the host cell can
119 divide its kleptochloroplast simultaneously with host cell division and apportion them to
120 each of the daughter cells (Fields and Rhodes 1991). Although they successfully
121 maintained the strain for at least 9 months by co-culturing them with *Chroomonas* sp. as
122 prey (Fields and Rhodes 1991), they did not observe the mechanisms of inheritance of
123 the cryptomonad organelles (nucleus and nucleomorph). In previous study on
124 *Gymnodinium eucyaneum*, which has synonymised to *N. acidotum* (Takano et al. 2014),
125 the kleptochloroplast, originated from *Chroomonas* sp., and nuclear substances
126 (probably cryptomonad nuclei and nucleomorph) are retained in the host cell (Shi et al.

127 1983; Xia et al. 2013). Light microscopic (LM) observation shows that, when the host
128 cell divides, the nuclear substance is randomly distributed into the daughter cells (Shi et
129 al. 1983). However, this study was performed using cells from natural populations with
130 no concomitant TEM observations being made, with the result that the precise pattern of
131 inheritance of the cryptomonad nucleus remains unclear.

132 In addition to these questions regarding the enlargement of the kleptochloroplast and
133 the presence or absence of the cryptomonad nucleus, another revolves around the
134 functionality of the retained cryptomonad nucleus. This is prompted by the fact that
135 cells which have apparently lost the cryptomonad nucleus continue to look healthy in
136 nature (Farmer and Roberts 1990; Schnepf et al. 1989). In the kleptochloroplastidic
137 ciliate, *Mesodinium rubrum*, the ingested cryptomonad nucleus, which is retained in the
138 host cytoplasm in addition to cryptomonad chloroplasts, remains transcriptionally-active
139 for 30 days. Such a phenomenon, where the host cell uses the stolen algal cell's nucleus,
140 is known as karyoklepty (Johnson et al. 2007). It is therefore possible that the retained
141 cryptomonad nucleus in *Nusuttodinium acidotum* and *N. aeruginosum* is similarly
142 functional, but this still needs to be investigated.

143 Therefore, the present study followed, at the LM and TEM level, the morphological
144 transition of kleptochloroplasts in cells of *Nusuttodinium aeruginosum* during the
145 enlargement process through 48, 72, 96 and 120 h after the ingestion of a single
146 cryptomonad cell. Cell division only commenced once the kleptochloroplast
147 enlargement process was completed. To observe morphological changes and the

148 distribution of kleptochloroplasts and other organelles to each daughter cell, we
149 observed both cells following the first division and all four cells after the second
150 division. The role of the cryptomonad nucleus in maintaining the kleptochloroplast was
151 determined by following the morphology of all the fifth generation daughter cells (32 in
152 number) derived from a single cell. Each daughter was isolated after every cell division.
153 The size of the kleptochloroplast was determined in each of the 32 cells at the end and
154 the cell possessing the cryptomonad nucleus was identified by LM. Our *a priori*
155 assumption was that the dinoflagellate with the largest kleptochloroplast must have
156 retained the cryptomonad nucleus. This was confirmed by isolating the cell possessing
157 the largest kleptochloroplast, and checking it by TEM to confirm the presence of the
158 cryptomonad nucleus.

159

160 **Results**

161

162 **LM Observations during Kleptochloroplast Enlargement**

163 The dinoflagellate cell has an enlarged, peripheral, cup-shaped kleptochloroplast within
164 48 h of ingestion of a single *Chroomonas* sp. cell. The periphery of the
165 kleptochloroplast was ramified into small lobes (Fig. 1A, B) and the pyrenoid and
166 cryptomonad nucleus were visible (Fig. 1A), but no cryptomonad eyespot was detected.
167 After 72 h of ingestion, the kleptochloroplast almost filled the host and the lobes of the
168 kleptochloroplast increased in size and number (Fig. 1C, D). At this stage, the pyrenoids

169 had also multiplied (Fig. 1C). By 96 h of ingestion, the lobes had extended to the cell
170 periphery, filling the vast majority of the cell interior (Fig. 1E, F). By 120 h of ingestion,
171 the kleptochloroplast further enlarged and its periphery became corrugated (Fig. 1G, H).
172 The dinoflagellate cell grew with the progressive enlargement of the kleptochloroplast
173 (Fig. 1). The cryptomonad nucleus was always located in the hypocone of the host cell.
174 (Fig. 1A, C, E, G).

175

176 **TEM Observations during Kleptochloroplast Enlargement**

177 **Membranes around cryptomonad cell and kleptochloroplast:** By 48 h of ingestion,
178 the kleptochloroplast was surrounded by two chloroplast membranes (Fig. 2A white
179 arrowheads) and two chloroplast ER membranes (Fig. 2A black arrowheads), indicating
180 that the membranes of the original cryptomonad chloroplast remained intact, and
181 therefore identical to the structure of the kleptochloroplast observed 24 h after ingestion
182 (Onuma and Horiguchi 2013). The cryptomonad cytoplasm containing mitochondria
183 with flat cristae was separated from the dinoflagellate host by a single membrane (= the
184 perisymbiont membrane) (Fig. 2A arrow). The thylakoid membranes were stacked in
185 pairs (Fig. 2A). During kleptochloroplast enlargement, up to 120 h, the four
186 kleptochloroplast membranes and the perisymbiont membrane were retained (Fig. 2B).

187 **The kleptochloroplast and cryptomonad organelles:** At the 48 h stage, the
188 kleptochloroplast was enlarged and randomly ramified (Fig. 3A). The cryptomonad
189 cytoplasm was contained within the cup-shaped kleptochloroplast, and contained the

190 cryptomonad nucleus, nucleomorph(s), and cryptomonad mitochondria (Fig. 3A). By 72
191 h of ingestion, the kleptochloroplast had almost reached the periphery of the host cell on
192 all sides (Fig. 3B). The pyrenoids had multiplied and been distributed in both the
193 epicone and the hypocone of the host cell (Fig 3B). The composition of the individual
194 cryptomonad organelles was the same as in the previous stage (Fig. 3B). At 96 h, the
195 number of pyrenoids had significantly increased and a longitudinal section of the cell
196 showed at least 5 pyrenoids (Fig. 3C). By 120 h of ingestion, the host cell possessed an
197 enlarged kleptochloroplast that pervaded the entire cell, the cryptomonad nucleus and
198 the nucleomorph (Fig. 3D). TEM observations showed that the cryptomonad nucleus
199 was always located in the hypocone of the host cell and that the nucleus,
200 nucleomorph(s) and mitochondria were not digested during kleptochloroplast
201 enlargement at least up to 120 h of ingestion (Fig. 3A-D). No digestive vacuole was
202 observed.

203 **The cryptomonad nucleus and nucleomorphs:** We have observed three cells 48 h
204 after ingesting a cryptomonad. One of these possessed a nucleomorph near the eyespot
205 (Fig. 4A) while another had two nucleomorphs near the cryptomonad nucleus (Fig. 4B,
206 C), indicating that the position of nucleomorphs at this stage was variable. The third cell
207 had one nucleomorph near the cryptomonad nucleus (data not shown). By 72 h and 96 h
208 of ingestion, the nucleomorph had divided and their products were located between the
209 kleptochloroplast and cryptomonad nucleus (Fig. 4D, E). Serial sectioning confirmed
210 that, the three cells we observed at the 72 h stage possessed 3, 3 and 2 nucleomorphs,

211 respectively, and it was suggested that the number of these nucleomorphs was increased
212 from a single nucleomorph in the original cryptomonad cell. We observed two cells at
213 the 96 h stage and detected 2 nucleomorphs in each cell. Of two cells observed at
214 the 120 h stage, one had 9 nucleomorphs while the other had 8 (all nucleomorphs in the
215 latter cell are shown in Fig. 4F-J). Most of them were located near the cryptomonad
216 nucleus, but some were positioned in the middle of the host cell (Fig. 4J). During
217 kleptochloroplast enlargement, the cryptomonad nucleus retained the integrity of its
218 nuclear envelopes and no digestion of the nucleus was detected (Fig. 4B-F). No division
219 of cryptomonad nucleus was observed up to 120 h stage.

220

221 **Estimates of kleptochloroplast volumes**

222 The average kleptochloroplast volume ($n = 10$) was $1694.3 (\pm 519.6 \text{ SD}) \mu\text{m}^3$ (Fig. 5),
223 with a maximum and minimum volume of 2627.1 and $1064.4 \mu\text{m}^3$, respectively. The
224 relatively large value of standard deviation indicated large variability of the volume
225 depending on the cells measured. These data, combined with those of Onuma and
226 Horiguchi (2013) (the volumes from 0 min stage (= right after ingestion) to 72 h stage),
227 are shown in Fig. 5. The volume at 120 h was more than 20-fold that at the 0 min stage
228 (Fig. 5). Serial autofluorescence images of the kleptochloroplast at the 120 h stage,
229 shows that the lobes of the kleptochloroplast had extended to reach the plasma
230 membrane on all sides, filling almost the entire host cytoplasm (Supplemental Movie
231 S1).

232

233 **Morphological Observations after Host Cell Division**

234 **LM and TEM observations of the cells after the first host cell division (2 cell stage):**

235 The enlargement of kleptochloroplast continued for 5-6 days (120 – 148 h) following
236 ingestion and during this period, cell division never took place. After the
237 kleptochloroplast reached its maximum size, the first host cell division took place. At
238 that time, the kleptochloroplast simultaneously divided and was inherited by both
239 daughter cells. In this study, 3 pairs of daughter cells from the first division were
240 observed by LM, while 3 other pairs were observed by TEM (see Supplemental Table
241 S1). Each daughter cell had a cup-shaped, blue-green kleptochloroplast filling its
242 volume (Fig. 6 A-D), indicating that the kleptochloroplast seemingly was
243 equally-inherited by each daughter cell. However, only one of the daughter cells
244 inherited the cryptomonad nucleus, visible at the LM level in the hypocone of the cell
245 (Fig. 6A). Observation using TEM confirmed that the kleptochloroplast occupied most
246 of the host cell volume and had duplicated pyrenoids, just as is found in cells prior to
247 division (Fig. 6E, I). It also confirmed that the cryptomonad nucleus was inherited by
248 only one cell (Fig. 6E). The cryptomonad nucleus was situated in the hypocone,
249 indicating the position was not changed by cell division (Fig. 6E). In contrast to the
250 cryptomonad nucleus, nucleomorphs were inherited by both daughter cells (Fig. 6G, H,
251 J, K). However, the nucleomorphs were not equally distributed between the two
252 daughters, i.e. the cell possessing the cryptomonad nucleus inherited 4-8 nucleomorphs,

253 while the other cell inherited 2-3 (see Table 1). Most of nucleomorphs in the former cell
254 were located between the kleptochloroplast and the cryptomonad nucleus (Fig. 6F, G),
255 while some of them were detected in the centre or the epicone of the host cell (Fig. 6H).
256 In the cell lacking a cryptomonad nucleus, the nucleomorphs were positioned in the
257 hypocone (Fig. 6I-K). Both daughters had cryptomonad mitochondria within the
258 cryptomonad cytoplasm (Fig. 6F-H, J). The membrane structure surrounding the
259 kleptochloroplast was unaltered after the first division (Fig. 2C).

260 **LM and TEM observations of the cells after the second host cell division (4**
261 **cell stage):** We observed 4 sets (set I – IV, 4 cells in each set) of daughter cells after the
262 second host cell divisions using LM and 2 sets using TEM. All daughters of one set
263 examined by TEM are shown in Figures 7 and 8. The two daughter cells derived from
264 the cell that inherited the cryptomonad nucleus after the first cell division are shown in
265 Figure 7 and the two daughter cells derived from the cell lacking the cryptomonad
266 nucleus are shown in Figure 8. LM observation showed that all four daughters inherited
267 a kleptochloroplast that retained its cup-shape and which enlarged to fill the host cell
268 (Fig. 7A-D, Fig. 8A-D). The cryptomonad nucleus was detected in only one daughter,
269 indicating that it was not capable of division even through the second host cell division
270 (Fig. 7A, C, Fig. 8A, C). TEM observation confirmed that the cryptomonad nucleus was
271 only inherited by one of the four daughter cells (Fig. 7E). The cryptomonad nucleus
272 retained its nuclear membranes and nucleolus (Fig. 7F). Nucleomorphs were distributed
273 to all 4 cells (Fig. 7F-K, Fig. 8F-K). In the cell possessing the cryptomonad nucleus,

274 nucleomorphs tended to be situated around the cryptomonad nucleus (Fig. 7F, G), but
275 with some more-distantly positioned (Fig. 7H). In cells lacking the cryptomonad
276 nucleus, almost all the nucleomorphs were positioned inside the cup-shaped
277 kleptochloroplast, some of them near the dinoflagellate nucleus (Fig. 8G-H).
278 Nucleomorphs were not equally-distributed among the four daughters (Table 2). TEM
279 observations were suggestive of a random distribution of nucleomorphs between
280 daughters and of a random number of putative nucleomorph division events, but there
281 was a tendency for the cell possessing the cryptomonad nucleus to inherit the largest
282 number of nucleomorphs (Table 1 and 2). The integrity of all membranes around the
283 kleptochloroplast was not compromised in any of the four daughter cells (Fig. 2D)

284 **LM and TEM observations of the cells after the fifth host cell division (32 cell**
285 **stage):** The extent of the kleptochloroplast in each of the 32 daughter cells was observed
286 by chloroplast autofluorescence. After LM observation, the cell thought to have the
287 cryptomonad nucleus was selected and processed for TEM observation to confirm its
288 presence. We repeated the five generation cell tracking on four different initial cells (i.e.
289 in quadruplicate). The numbering system of the divided cells is shown in Figure 9. In
290 one of the four replicates, we obtained LM micrographs of (almost; see below) all the
291 final daughter cells (Fig. 10). It was clear that all cells inherited the kleptochloroplast
292 even after the fifth cell division, although Cell 31 stopped cell division after the fourth
293 cell division and digested its kleptochloroplast before fifth cell division (Fig. 10).
294 Another observation was that Cell M (Fig. 9), the cell that inherited cryptomonad

295 nucleus (see Fig. 11), possessed the largest kleptochloroplast (Fig. 10M) and that Cell N
296 (Fig. 9), derived from the same parent cell (Cell 22) as Cell M, had a similarly-large
297 kleptochloroplast to that of Cell M (Fig. 10N). Moreover, Cell M, N, O and P (=Fig.
298 10M-P) that were derived from the same mother cell (Cell 11, Fig. 9) had the largest
299 kleptochloroplasts of all final generation cells (= Fig. 10A-L, 10Q-AC). By contrast,
300 Cells Q-AC, which were derived from Cell 3 (Fig. 9), that had lost the cryptomonad
301 nucleus after the first cell division, had reduced kleptochloroplasts that failed to form a
302 cup-shape (Fig. 10 Q-AC). Unfortunately, Cell AD collapsed before a LM micrograph
303 was captured, but the size of the kleptochloroplast was similar to that of Cell AC (Fig.
304 10AC). In other tracking experiments, cells with a cryptomonad nucleus and some cells
305 lacking a cryptomonad nucleus were observed after being isolated and this same
306 tendency as described above was confirmed (data not shown). TEM observations on
307 Cell M (Fig. 10), identified at LM as possessing a cryptomonad nucleus (Fig. 11),
308 confirmed its presence (Fig. 11A, B). In addition, Cell M possessed 12 nucleomorphs
309 (confirmed by serial sectioning and 5 of which are shown in Fig. 11A-D). In this
310 preparation, no sign of digestion was detected in the cryptomonad nucleus, the
311 kleptochloroplast or the cryptomonad cytoplasm with cryptomonad mitochondria, and
312 the thylakoid stacks were still retained (Fig. 11). The same results were recovered in all
313 four replicate trackings up to the 32 cell stage. Thus cells that inherited the cryptomonad
314 nucleus possessed the largest kleptochloroplast and cells with the longest history of
315 having a cryptomonad nucleus tended to possess larger kleptochloroplasts than those

316 which had lost it earlier on.

317

318 **Discussion**

319

320 **Enlargement of Kleptochloroplast**

321 This study revealed that the kleptochloroplast was significantly deformed and increased
322 its volume relative to the original cryptomonad chloroplast (Onuma and Horiguchi 2013
323 Supp. Fig. 2), and that the kleptochloroplast grew within the host cell, by developing
324 lobes, increasing the number of pyrenoids and extending the thylakoid membranes. The
325 cryptomonad nucleus, nucleomorphs, mitochondria and the cryptomonad cytoplasm
326 were retained in the dinoflagellate cell in addition to the kleptochloroplast. Such
327 enlargement and deformation parallel those found in kleptochloroplasts of other
328 dinoflagellates. In *Nusuttodinium latum*, the kleptochloroplast drastically deforms with
329 a concomitant multiplication of pyrenoids and nucleomorphs, and cryptomonad nucleus
330 and cytoplasm are retained with the kleptochloroplast like in *N. aeruginosum*. However,
331 the kleptochloroplast in *N. latum* never filled the bulk of the host cell and synchronous
332 division has never been observed (Horiguchi and Pienaar 1992). Contrary to *N. latum*, *N.*
333 *myriopyrenoides* enlarges kleptochloroplast throughout the host cell like in *N.*
334 *aeruginosum* (Yamaguchi et al. 2011). The cryptomonad pyrenoid in *N.*
335 *myriopyrenoides* can multiply to produce several bodies, each covered with a starch
336 sheath (Yamaguchi et al. 2011). Although *N. myriopyrenoides* retains the cryptomonad

337 nucleus and nucleomorph like in *N. aeruginosum*, there is still uncertainty about the
338 number of nucleomorphs and about whether synchronous kleptochloroplast/host
339 division occurs or not (Yamaguchi et al. 2011). Previous studies reported that *N.*
340 *acidotum* possesses a single kleptochloroplast that fills the bulk of the host cell, a
341 cryptomonad nucleus and several nucleomorphs (Farmer and Roberts 1990; Wilcox and
342 Wedemayer 1984). In unialgal culture, *N. acidotum* seems to be able to divide its single
343 kleptochloroplast and distribute it equally to the daughter cells, although the
344 morphology of the divided cell or the kleptochloroplast has never been investigated
345 (Fields and Rhodes 1991). It seems, then, that kleptochloroplastidy in *N. acidotum* is at
346 the same evolutionary stage as that in *N. aeruginosum*.

347 The katablepharid *Hatena arenicola* can also enlarge its chloroplast (Okamoto and
348 Inouye 2006). The kleptochloroplast here enlarges throughout the host cell, its volume
349 increasing more than ten-fold relative to that of the original *Nephroselmis* chloroplast.
350 The host cell can allow the symbiont cell to duplicate the pyrenoid and fill chloroplast
351 with thylakoid membrane, accompanied with enlargement of chloroplast (Okamoto and
352 Inouye 2006). Although *H. arenicola* is phylogenetically distanced from the
353 kleptochloroplastidic dinoflagellates mentioned above, the enlargement of the
354 chloroplast, including the generation of thylakoid membranes and the duplication of the
355 pyrenoid seem to be common features among kleptochloroplastidy.

356 Thus, organisms that enlarge the kleptochloroplast tend to elaborate on existing
357 thylakoid membrane and to duplicate the pyrenoid. Both have to be effected after

358 ingestion by the host cell. Because the kleptochloroplast is originally derived from a
359 foreign photosynthetic alga, the host most probably does not have any chloroplast genes
360 in its nucleus to maintain the stolen chloroplast, and therefore, to maximize the
361 longevity of the chloroplast, the host needs to retain the ingested algal nucleus.
362 Interestingly, *Nusuttodinium latum*, *N. myriopyrenoides*, *N. aeruginosum* and *Hatena*
363 *arenicola* retain the ingested algal nucleus in addition to the kleptochloroplast (Farmer
364 and Roberts 1990; Horiguchi and Pienaar 1992; Okamoto and Inouye 2006; Yamaguchi
365 et al. 2011; Wilcox and Wedemayer 1984), implying the retained stolen nucleus plays a
366 role for the enlargement of the kleptochloroplast.

367

368 **Distribution of Cryptomonad Nucleus and Nucleomorph**

369 This study indicated that both daughter cells of *Nusuttodinium aeruginosum* inherited a
370 kleptochloroplast after each cell division, but the cryptomonad nucleus was inherited by
371 only one of two daughter cells due to the inability of the cryptomonad nucleus to divide.
372 Previous studies reported that 33-57% of *N. acidotum* and 10% of *N. aeruginosum* cells
373 in the natural population retained a cryptomonad nucleus (Farmer and Roberts 1990;
374 Fields and Rhodes 1991; Schnepf et al. 1989). LM observation on cells of *N. acidotum*
375 (as *Gymnodinium eucyaneum*) shows that nuclear substance is passed to one daughter
376 cell by chance during host cell division (Shi et al. 1983). Therefore, cells of these
377 species that lack a cryptomonad nucleus must be brought about due to lack of
378 synchronous division as observed in this study, not from digestion of the cryptomonad

379 nucleus, as was witnessed in *N. poecilochroum* (Onuma and Horiguchi 2013). In
380 free-living cryptomonads, nuclear division occurs by mitosis involving microtubules
381 and a spindle (Oakley and Dodge 1976; McKerracher and Gibbs 1982). However,
382 cryptomonad basal bodies and microtubules are absent in the enlarged kleptochloroplast
383 compartment of *N. aeruginosum* and *N. acidotum* cells (Farmer and Roberts 1990;
384 Schnepf et al. 1989; Wilcox and Wedemayer 1984). Therefore, it is reasonable to
385 assume that the cryptomonad nucleus cannot divide in the host cell because the
386 cryptomonad, upon ingestion, has lost control of its machinery to maintain its shape and
387 spindle (i.e. the microtubules). On the other hand, a previous study showed the presence
388 of two cryptomonad nuclei in a single cell of *N. acidotum* collected from the natural
389 population (Farmer and Roberts 1990). In addition, a light microscopic study on a cell
390 of *N. acidotum* (as *G. eucyaneum*) sampled from a natural population reported that the
391 cryptomonad nucleus can divide, but involved the formation of a single cleavage on the
392 nucleus, somewhat reminiscent of an amitotic division (Shi et al. 1983). However, these
393 studies relied on wild samples which are difficult subjects for tracing morphological
394 change and, especially in the latter species, TEM confirmation of the division of the
395 cryptomonad nucleus is lacking. A further study is required to pursue cryptomonad
396 division, especially focused on whether chromosomes condense and microtubules form
397 when the cell has been ingested by *N. acidotum*.

398 Previous studies on *Nusuttodinium acidotum* showed that its ingested cryptomonad
399 possesses duplicated nucleomorphs, situated near the cryptomonad nucleus (Farmer and

400 Roberts 1990; Wilcox and Wedemayer 1984). The nucleomorph observed in this study
401 was in a similar locality and duplicated up to 9 in the dinoflagellate cell before host cell
402 division. Therefore, nucleomorph division was successfully demonstrated to occur in
403 the host cell in culture as seen in *N. acidotum* in the natural population (Farmer and
404 Roberts 1990; Wilcox and Wedemayer 1984). In free-living cryptomonads,
405 nucleomorph division takes place in the absence of microtubules or a spindle
406 (McKerracher and Gibbs 1982; Morrall and Greenwood 1982), implying that the
407 nucleomorph in the dinoflagellate cell is divided with the same mechanism as that in
408 free-living cryptomonad. This study also showed that duplicated nucleomorphs were
409 inherited by the daughter cells although the distribution of inherited nucleomorphs in
410 daughter cells was not equal, indicating that the process is random. In the free-living
411 cryptomonad, one product of the duplicated nucleomorph moves to the opposite side of
412 the dividing cell in preprophase, and cell division subsequently occurs (McKerracher
413 and Gibbs 1982; Oakley and Dodge 1976; Sato et al. 2014). In contrast, successive
414 divisions of the nucleomorph in a *N. aeruginosum* host precede kleptochloroplast
415 division. This suggests that the control mechanism of number of nucleomorph per cell is
416 lost following ingestion. However, further study is required to elucidate whether the
417 inherited nucleomorph is functional.

418

419 **Karyoklepty in *Nusuttodinium aeruginosum* and the Evolutionary Step toward**
420 **Acquiring a ‘True’ Chloroplast**

421 No significant difference was observed, either in LM or TEM, in the size of the
422 kleptochloroplast in cells of the same generation following ingestion up to, but not
423 including, the third division cycle. Thus the kleptochloroplast is divided and distributed
424 between the daughter cells almost equally. LM and TEM observation of all products
425 following 5 successive division cycles (32 cell stage) clearly showed that the cells
426 derived from the first daughter that lacked a cryptomonad nucleus (Cell 3; Fig. 9), only
427 retained small kleptochloroplasts, showing that the kleptochloroplast progressively
428 reduced in size with each generation without any subsequent enlargement. On the other
429 hand, cells in the lineage derived from the daughter that retained the cryptomonad
430 nucleus (Cell 2; Fig. 9), tend to possess larger kleptochloroplasts; the longer they have
431 the nucleus present, the greater the growth of the kleptochloroplast following division.
432 Furthermore, the cell that ultimately inherits the cryptomonad nucleus possesses the
433 largest kleptochloroplast for that particular generation. Therefore, to prolong the activity
434 of the kleptochloroplast, *Nusuttodinium aeruginosum* needs to keep the cryptomonad
435 nucleus transcriptionally active and uses it to enlarge the kleptochloroplast.

436 In the marine kleptochloroplastidic dinoflagellate *Nusuttodinium poecilochroum*, the
437 dinoflagellate host digests the ingested cryptomonad nucleus at an early stage and its
438 kleptochloroplast is never enlarged in the host cell (Onuma and Horiguchi 2013). In
439 contrast, the concurrences of enlarged chloroplast and stolen nucleus can be seen in *N.*
440 *latum*, *N. myriopyrenoides*, *N. acidotum* and *Hatena arenicola* as mentioned above
441 (Horiguchi and Pienaar 1992; Okamoto and Inouye 2006; Yamaguchi et al. 2011),

442 suggesting that the presence or absence of stolen nucleus is directly-related to the ability
443 of the host cell to enlarge its kleptochloroplast or not.

444 The kleptochloroplastidic ciliate *Mesodinium rubrum* is the first organism reported
445 to maintain transcription activity in its captive cryptomonad nucleus to permit division
446 of the cryptomonad chloroplast after ingestion (Johnson et al. 2007). *M. rubrum* ingests
447 multiple cryptomonad nuclei and retains them in their original cryptomonad cytoplasm,
448 keeping their function for up to 30 days (Gustafson et al. 2000; Johnson et al. 2007).

449 Just as in *M. rubrum*, *Nusuttodinium aeruginosum* probably requires the cryptomonad
450 nucleus to maintain the kleptochloroplast for a longer period although there are some
451 differences between their kleptochloroplastidy as to the position of cryptomonad
452 nucleus, i.e., *M. rubrum* retains cryptomonad nucleus separately from the chloroplast
453 (Hibberd 1977; Lindholm 1985), while the cryptomonad nucleus in *N. aeruginosum* is
454 consistently located in the proximity of the chloroplast. In our preliminary experiment,
455 *N. aeruginosum* cells inheriting the cryptomonad nucleus can undergo further host cell
456 divisions beyond the fifth generation. As mentioned above, in kleptochloroplastidy, as
457 seen in *M. rubrum* and *N. aeruginosum*, the host organisms can increase the chloroplast
458 volume or number in the host cell after ingestion, and pass the chloroplast into the cells
459 of next generation following its division. Such phenomena are interpreted as
460 pre-requisites for the acquisition of a permanent chloroplast. However, the ingested
461 nucleus is also required to maintain the kleptochloroplast for a longer period, so a
462 critical step in acquiring true chloroplast would be to synchronise the division of the

463 endosymbiont's nucleus with that of the host cell.

464 This is not too far-fetched, because the retention of an endosymbiotic nucleus is
465 known in dinoflagellates possessing a permanent diatom endosymbiont (e.g. Horiguchi
466 2006) and commonly referred to as dinotoms (Imanian et al. 2010). Although a dozen
467 dinotom species are known, the well-studied ones include *Durinskia baltica* and
468 *Kryptoperidinium foliaceum*. These dinotoms retain diatom cytoplasm separated by a
469 single membrane from the dinoflagellate cytoplasm and the cytoplasm contains a
470 diatom nucleus and mitochondria in addition to the chloroplast (Tomas and Cox 1973;
471 Jeffrey and Vesk 1976). When the host cell divides, the diatom nucleus synchronously
472 divides and so it is inherited by each of the daughter cells (Tippit and Pickett-Heaps
473 1976; Figueroa et al. 2009). During the diatom nuclear division, chromatin is not
474 condensed and the organelle divides amitotically, by a simple constriction and without
475 the aid of a spindle (Tippit and Pickett-Heaps 1976). Transcriptome analysis showed
476 that dinotoms have two distinct sets of transcript for the tryptophan biosynthetic
477 pathway (Imanian and Keeling 2014). One of these sets of proteins are derived from the
478 diatom symbiont, and are suggested to be encoded in the diatom nucleus and expressed
479 in the diatom endosymbiont (Imanian and Keeling 2014), which indicates that the
480 diatom nucleus is more or less functional despite of its amitotic division. Therefore, it
481 appears that one of the key events for the permanent establishment of an
482 endosymbiont's nucleus (and thus endosymbiosis) would be the acquisition of a
483 successful amitotic mechanism for nuclear division.

484 This study has shown that *Nusuttodinium aeruginosum* displays an
485 evolutionarily-advanced form of kleptochloroplastidy with a significantly enlarged
486 kleptochloroplast capable of division and karyoklepty. However, the number of
487 generations over which the host cell can keep the cryptomonad nucleus and the
488 kleptochloroplast fully functional remains unclear. To address this, further
489 morphological observation is required. In addition, it is still unknown how the
490 cryptomonad nucleus affects the kleptochloroplast at the molecular level. *N.*
491 *aeruginosum* would be an appropriate subject organism in studies that attempt to
492 understand the process of endosymbiosis, and we intend in future to investigate this
493 species at the genomic level.

494

495 **Material and Methods**

496

497 **Culture for experiments:** All observations undertaken in this study used a strain of
498 *Nusuttodinium aeruginosum* that we established previously (Onuma and Horiguchi
499 2013). We maintained the strain in culture with the prey cryptomonad, *Chroomonas* sp.
500 (strain Dc01) grown in AF-6 medium as described in Onuma and Horiguchi 2013. For
501 the various treatments, the starvation, feeding and culture methods followed the
502 methods described in Onuma and Horiguchi (2013).

503 **LM and TEM observation and estimate of kleptochloroplast volume:** All methods
504 to observe the cell during kleptochloroplast enlargement followed the methods in

505 Onuma and Horiguchi (2013), except that the LM photographs were taken with a CCD
506 camera ZEISS AxioCam ERc 5s (Carl Zeiss Japan, Tokyo).

507 For LM observation of first two daughter cells following the division of the cell that
508 ingested the cryptomonad, one daughter was isolated and observed with a ZEISS
509 Axioskop2 Plus (Carl Zeiss Japan, Tokyo) and photographs were taken using a CCD
510 camera ZEISS AxioCam ERc 5s. Observations on the other daughter subsequently
511 followed. For TEM observation, isolated first daughter cells (2 cell stage), were
512 individually transferred into a drop of AF-6 medium in a plastic Petri dish (35 mm in
513 diameter), and then fixed with half-strength Karnovsky fixative (2.5% glutaraldehyde
514 and 2% paraformaldehyde, final concentration) in 0.1 M cacodylate buffer at pH 7.0 for
515 2 h at room temperature. After the pre-fixation both daughter cells were attached to the
516 same poly-L-lysine pre-coated Thermanox plastic coverslip (Thermo Scientific,
517 Kanagawa, Japan). Subsequent treatments for TEM observation followed those outlined
518 in Onuma and Horiguchi 2013.

519 For LM observations of cells at the 4 cell stage, we separated the daughter cells at
520 the 2 cell stage (after the first host cell division) and transferred the isolated cell into a
521 separate well of the microplate to prevent confusion between the cell lineages. After the
522 second host cell division in each well, we isolated and observed the four daughter cells
523 one by one using the same method outlined above for the 2 cell stage. For TEM
524 observations at the 4 cell stage, we separated the daughter cells after the first host cell
525 division, and after the second division, we picked up and processed the two daughter

526 cells of each lineage together but separately from those of the other lineage. Each of the
527 resultant pairs of each lineage were placed into a drop of AF-6 medium in two different
528 plastic petri dishes. Subsequent treatment followed the same method as described above
529 for the 2 cell stage.

530 For observation of cells resulting from 5 successive cell divisions (the 32 cell stage),
531 we isolated each daughter cell after every cell division into separate wells of a
532 microplate to facilitate the absolute identification of cell lineages. After the fifth cell
533 division, each pair of daughter cells was transferred into a single drop of AF-6 medium
534 in separate plastic petri dishes respectively and pre-fixed as described before. The cells
535 were fixed at room temperature for 2 h. After fixation, the cells transferred into a drop
536 of 0.1 M cacodylate buffer on slide glass and observed on LM. After LM observation,
537 the cell possessing the cryptomonad nucleus was attached to poly-L-lysine pre-coated
538 Thermanox plastic coverslip separately, and prepared for TEM observation as
539 mentioned in Onuma and Horiguchi (2013).

540

541 **Acknowledgements**

542

543 We thank Dr. Stuart D. Sym for reading the manuscript. This work was partly supported
544 by the Grant-in-Aid by the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science (No. 24370034).

545

546 **References**

547

548 **Eriksen NT, Hayes KC, Lewitus AJ** (2002) Growth responses of the mixotrophic
549 dinoflagellates, *Cryptoperidiniopsis* sp. and *Pfiesteria piscicida*, to light under
550 prey-saturated conditions. *Harmful Algae* **1**: 191-203

551

552 **Farmer MA, Roberts KR** (1990) Organelle loss in the endosymbiont of *Gymnodinium*
553 *acidotum* (Dinophyceae). *Protoplasma* **153**: 178-185

554

555 **Fields SD, Rhodes RG** (1991) Ingestion and retention of *Chroomonas* spp.
556 (Cryptophyceae) by *Gymnodinium acidotum* (Dinophyceae). *J Phycol* **27**: 525-529

557

558 **Figueroa RI, Bravo I, Fraga S, Gracés E, Llaveria G** (2009) The life cycle of
559 *Kryptoperidinium foliaceum*, a dinoflagellate with two eukaryotic nuclei. *Protist* **160**:
560 285-300

561

562 **Gast RJ, Moran DM, Dennett MR, Caron DA** (2007) Kleptoplasty in an Antarctic
563 dinoflagellate: caught in evolutionary transition? *Environ Microbiol* **9**: 39-45

564

565 **Gustafson DE, Stoecker DK, Johnson MD, Van Heukelem WF, Sneider K** (2000)
566 Cryptophyte algae are robbed of their organelles by the marine ciliate *Mesodinium*
567 *rubrum*. *Nature* **405**: 1049-1052

568

569 **Hibberd DJ** (1977) Observations on the ultrastructure of the cryptomonad
570 endosymbiont of the red-water ciliate *Mesodinium rubrum*. J Mar Biol Assoc UK **57**:
571 45-61

572

573 **Horiguchi T, Pienaar RN** (1992) *Amphidinium latum* (Dinophyceae), a sand-dwelling
574 dinoflagellate feeding on cryptomonad. Jpn J Phycol **40**: 353-363

575

576 **Horiguchi T** (2006) Algae and their chloroplasts with particular reference to the
577 dinoflagellates. Paleontol Res **10**:299-309

578

579 **Imanian B, Pombert JF, Keeling PJ** (2010) The complete plastid genomes of the two
580 'dinotoms' *Durinskia baltica* and *Kryptoperidinium foliaceum*. PloS one **5**: e10711

581

582 **Imanian B, Keeling PJ** (2014) Horizontal gene transfer and redundancy of tryptophan
583 biosynthetic enzymes in dinotoms. Genome Biol Evol **6**: 333-343.

584

585 **Jeffrey SW, Vesik M** (1976) Further evidence for a membrane-bound
586 endosymbiont within the dinoflagellate *Peridinium foliaceum*. J Phycol **12**: 450-455.

587

588 **Johnson MD, Oldach D, Delwiche CF, Stoecker DK** (2007) Retention of

589 transcriptionally active cryptophyte nuclei by the ciliate *Myrionecta rubra*. Nature **445**:
590 426-428
591

592 **Johnson MD** (2011) The acquisition of phototrophy: adaptive strategies of hosting
593 endosymbionts and organelles. Photosynth Res **107**: 117-132
594

595 **Keeling PJ** (2013) The number, speed, and impact of plastid endosymbioses in
596 eukaryotic evolution. Annu Rev Plant Biol **64**: 583-607
597

598 **Kim M, Kim KY, Nam SW, Shin W, Yih W, Park MG** (2014) The effect of starvation
599 on plastid number and photosynthetic performance in the kleptoplastidic dinoflagellate
600 *Amylax triacantha*. J Eukaryot Microbiol **61**: 354-363
601

602 **Koike K, Takishita K** (2008) Anucleated cryptophyte vestiges in the gonyaulacalean
603 dinoflagellates *Amylax buxus* and *Amylax triacantha* (Dinophyceae). Phycol Res **56**:
604 301-311
605

606 **Larsen J** (1988) An ultrastructural study of *Amphidinium poecilochroum*
607 (Dinophyceae), a phagotrophic dinoflagellate feeding on small species of cryptophytes.
608 Phycologia **27**: 366-377
609

610 **Lewitus AJ, Glasgow HB, Burkholder JM** (1999) Kleptoplastidy in the toxic
611 dinoflagellate *Pfiesteria piscicida* (Dinophyceae). *J Phycol* **35**: 303-312
612
613 **Lindholm T** (1985) *Mesodinium rubrum* (Lohmann)—a unique photosynthetic ciliate.
614 *Adv Aquat Microbiol* **3**: 1-48
615
616 **McKerracher L, Gibbs SP** (1982) Cell and nucleomorph division in the alga
617 *Cryptomonas*. *Can J Bot* **60**: 2440-2452
618
619 **Morrall S, Greenwood AD** (1982) Ultrastructure of nucleo-morph division in species
620 of Cryptophyceae and its evolutionary implications. *J Cell Sci* **54**: 311–328
621
622 **Nagai S, Nishitani G, Tomaru Y, Sakiyama S, Komiyama T** (2008) Predation by the
623 toxic dinoflagellate *Dinophysis fortii* on the ciliate *Myrionecta rubra* and observation of
624 sequestration of ciliate chloroplasts. *J Phycol* **44**: 909-922
625
626 **Nishitani G, Nagai S, Sakiyama S, Kamiyama T** (2008) Successful cultivation of the
627 toxic dinoflagellate *Dinophysis caudata* (Dinophyceae). *Plankton Benthos Res* **3**: 78-85
628
629 **Nowack EC, Melkonian M** (2010) Endosymbiotic associations within protists. *Phil*
630 *Trans Biol Sci* **365**: 699-712

631

632 **Oakley BR, Dodge JD** (1976) The ultrastructure of mitosis in *Chroomonas salina*
633 (Cryptophyceae). *Protoplasma* **88**: 241-254

634

635 **Okamoto N, Inouye I** (2006) *Hatena arenicola* gen. et sp. nov., a katablepharid
636 undergoing probable chloroplast acquisition. *Protist* **157**: 401-419

637

638 **Onuma R, Horiguchi T** (2013). Morphological transition in kleptochloroplasts after
639 ingestion in the dinoflagellates *Amphidinium poecilochroum* and *Gymnodinium*
640 *aeruginosum* (Dinophyceae). *Protist* **164**: 622-642.

641

642 **Park MG, Kim S, Kim HS, Myung G, Kang YG, Yih W** (2006) First successful
643 culture of the marine dinoflagellate *Dinophysis acuminata*. *Aquat Microb Ecol* **45**:
644 101-106

645

646 **Park MG, Park JS, Kim M, Yih W** (2008) Plastid dynamics during survival of
647 *Dinophysis caudata* without its ciliate prey. *J Phycol* **44**: 1154-1163

648

649 **Park MG, Kim M, Kang M** (2013) A dinoflagellate *Amylax triacantha* with plastids of
650 the Cryptophyte origin: phylogeny, feeding mechanism, and growth and grazing
651 responses. *J Eukaryot Microbiol* **60**: 363-376

652

653 **Sato T, Nagasato C, Hara Y, Motomura T** (2014) Cell Cycle and Nucleomorph
654 Division in *Pyrenomonas helgolandii* (Cryptophyta). Protist **165**: 113-122

655

656 **Schnepf E, Elbrächter M** (1992) Nutritional strategies in dinoflagellates. A review
657 with emphasis on cell biological aspects. Eur J Protistol **28**: 3-24

658

659 **Schnepf E, Elbrächter M** (1988) Cryptophycean-like double membrane-bound
660 chloroplast in the dinoflagellate, *Dinophysis* Ehrenb.: evolutionary, phylogenetic and
661 toxicological implications. Bot Acta **101**: 196-203

662

663 **Schnepf E, Winter S, Mollenhauer D** (1989) *Gymnodinium aeruginosum* (Dinophyta):
664 a blue-green dinoflagellate with a vestigial, anucleate cryptophycean endosymbiont. Pl
665 Syst Evol **164**: 75-91

666

667 **Shi Z, Wei Y, Hu H** (1983) An observation on the nucleus and nuclear substance of
668 *Gymnodinium eucyaneum* Hu. Haiyang Yu Huzhao **14**: 161–167 (In Chinese with
669 English abstract)

670

671 **Sellers CG, Gast RJ, Sanders RW** (2014) Selective feeding and foreign plastid
672 retention in an Antarctic dinoflagellate. J Phycol **50**: 1081-1088

673

674 **Skovgaard A** (1998) Role of chloroplast retention in a marine dinoflagellate. *Aquat*
675 *Microb Ecol* **15**: 293-301

676

677 **Takano Y, Yamaguchi H, Inouye I, Moestrup Ø, Horiguchi T** (2014) Phylogeny of
678 five species of *Nusuttodinium* gen. nov. (Dinophyceae), a genus of unarmoured
679 kleptoplastidic dinoflagellates. *Protist* **165**: 759-778

680

681 **Tippit DH, Pickett-Heaps JD** (1976) Apparent amitosis in the binucleate dinoflagellate
682 *Peridinium balticum*. *J Cell Sci* **21**: 273-289

683

684 **Tomas RN, Cox ER** (1973) Observation on the symbiosis of *Peridinium balticum* and
685 its intracellular alga. I. ultrastructure. *J Phycol* **9**: 304-323

686

687 **Wilcox LW, Wedemayer GJ** (1984) *Gymnodinium acidotum* Nygaard (Pyrrophyta), a
688 dinoflagellate with an endosymbiotic cryptomonad. *J Phycol* **20**: 236-242

689

690 **Xia S, Zhang Q, Zhu H, Cheng Y, Liu G, Hu Z** (2013) Systematics of kleptoplastidal
691 dinoflagellate, *Gymnodinium eucyaneum* Hu (Dinophyceae), and its cryptomonad
692 endosymbiont. *PLos One* **8**: e53820

693

694 **Yamaguchi H, Nakayama T, Kai A, Inouye I** (2011) Taxonomy and phylogeny of a
695 new kleptoplastidal dinoflagellate, *Gymnodinium myriopyrenoides* sp. nov.
696 (Gymnodiniales, Dinophyceae), and its cryptophyte symbiont. *Protist* **162**: 650-667

697

698

699 **Tables and Figure Legends**

700

701 **Figure 1.** Bright field and fluorescence micrographs following the morphological
702 change in the kleptochloroplast ingested by *Nusuttodinium aeruginosum*. Times shown
703 in the bright field micrographs indicate the times since the ingestion of *Chroomonas* sp.
704 Each fluorescence micrograph corresponds to the bright field micrograph directly above
705 it. Note that the kleptochloroplast enlarged gradually in cells that retained the
706 cryptomonad nucleus (cN). Arrows indicate pyrenoids. Bar = 10 μ m.

707

708 **Figure 2.** Transmission electron micrographs of the surrounding membranes of the
709 kleptochloroplast in *Nusuttodinium aeruginosum*. **A.** The kleptochloroplast 48 h after
710 ingestion is surrounded by four membranes, two chloroplast membranes (white
711 arrowheads) and two chloroplast ER membranes (arrowheads). The cryptomonad
712 cytoplasm is separated from that of the dinoflagellate by a single membrane (arrows).
713 **B-D.** Surrounding chloroplast membranes 120 h after ingestion (B), after one cell
714 division (the 2 cell stage; C) and after two cycles of cell division (the 4 cell stage; D).

715 The four membranes and the cytoplasmic boundary membrane are still intact. Bar = 200
716 nm. Abbreviations: Chl, kleptochloroplast; cM, cryptomonad mitochondria; cS,
717 cryptomonad starch; cCy, cryptomonad cytoplasm; dN, dinoflagellate nucleus; dS,
718 dinoflagellate starch.

719

720 **Figure 3.** TEM micrographs following the morphological changes to the ingested
721 cryptomonad cells in *Nusuttodinium aeruginosum* over time since ingestion. **A.** TEM
722 micrograph 48 h after ingestion showing the early loss of normal cryptomonad shape
723 caused by peripheral lobing. Bar = 2 μ m. **B-D.** Cells of *N. aeruginosum* 72, 96 and 120
724 h after ingestion showing the elaboration of the kleptochloroplast to fill much of the
725 host cell as well as the duplication of the pyrenoids. Note that a cryptomonad nucleus
726 and nucleomorphs (arrowheads) are located in the hypocone. Bar = 5 μ m.

727 Abbreviations: Chl, kleptochloroplast; cN, cryptomonad nucleus; Nm, nucleomorph;
728 cM, cryptomonad mitochondria; Py, pyrenoid; cCy, cryptomonad cytoplasm; dN,
729 dinoflagellate nucleus.

730

731 **Figure 4.** TEM micrographs of cryptomonad nucleus and nucleomorphs in
732 *Nusuttodinium aeruginosum* cell. **A.** A nucleomorph 48 h after ingestion, near the
733 eyespot. **B, C.** Nucleomorphs in another cell 48 h after ingestion. The nucleomorph has
734 replicated and is near the cryptomonad nucleus. **D-E.** The cryptomonad nucleus and
735 nucleomorphs 72 h (D) and 96 h (E) after ingestion. Note that the nucleomorphs are

736 situated between the cryptomonad nucleus and the kleptochloroplast. **F-J.** The
737 cryptomonad nucleus and up to eight nucleomorphs (Nm1-Nm8) 120 h after ingestion.
738 Abbreviations: Chl, kleptochloroplast; cN, cryptomonad nucleus; Nm, nucleomorph;
739 cM, cryptomonad mitochondria; E, eyespot; cCy, cryptomonad cytoplasm; dM,
740 dinoflagellate mitochondria. Bar = 1 μ m.

741

742 **Figure 5.** Change in volume of ingested kleptochloroplasts up to 120 h from the
743 ingestion of a cryptomonad by *Nusuttodinium aeruginosum*. Error bars indicate SD.

744

745 **Figure 6.** Bright field (**A, C**), fluorescence (**B, D**) and TEM micrographs (**E-K**) of the
746 two daughter cells of *Nusuttodinium aeruginosum* following the first division after the
747 ingestion event. **A-B, C-D.** Bright field and fluorescence micrographs of the daughter
748 cell with, and without, the cryptomonad nucleus respectively, showing similar-sized and
749 cup-shaped kleptochloroplasts that enlarge throughout the host cell. Bar = 10 μ m. **E, I.**
750 TEM micrographs of the two daughter cells respectively, showing the equally-divided
751 kleptochloroplast that ramifies throughout each daughter. The presence of the
752 cryptomonad nucleus in the former only is confirmed. Arrowheads indicate
753 nucleomorphs (Nm1-3). Bar = 5 μ m. **F-H.** TEM details of the daughter with the
754 nucleated cryptomonad. **F.** The intact, double-membraned cryptomonad nucleus and a
755 nucleomorph (Nm1). Bar = 2 μ m. **G-H.** Further nucleomorphs either near the
756 cryptomonad nucleus (Nm2 and Nm3) or distanced from it (Nm4 and Nm5). Bar = 500

757 nm. **J-K.** TEM details of intact nucleomorphs in the daughter lacking the cryptomonad
758 nucleus. Bar = 500 nm. Abbreviations: Chl, kleptochloroplast; cN, cryptomonad
759 nucleus; Nm, nucleomorph; cM, cryptomonad mitochondria; Py, pyrenoid; dN,
760 dinoflagellate nucleus.

761

762 **Figure 7.** Bright field (**A, C**), fluorescence (**B, D**) and TEM micrographs (**E-K**) of
763 daughter cells of *Nusuttodinium aeruginosum* following the second division after the
764 ingestion event of the line possessing the cryptomonad nucleus. **A-B, C-D.** Bright field
765 and fluorescence micrographs of the daughter cell with and without the cryptomonad
766 nucleus respectively, showing the cup-shaped kleptochloroplast pervading much of the
767 host cell. Bar = 10 μm . **E, I.** TEM micrograph of the two daughter cells respectively,
768 showing the equally-divided kleptochloroplast that ramifies throughout each daughter.
769 Bar = 5 μm . **F-H.** TEM details of the daughter with the nucleated cryptomonad. **F.** The
770 intact cryptomonad nucleus and two nucleomorphs located between the nucleus and the
771 kleptochloroplast. Bar = 2 μm . **G-H.** Further nucleomorphs near the cryptomonad
772 nucleus (Nm3) or in the middle of the cryptomonad cell (Nm4 and Nm5). Bar = 500 nm.
773 **J-K.** TEM details of nucleomorphs (Nm2 and Nm3) in the cell lacking a cryptomonad
774 nucleus. Bar = 500 nm. Abbreviations: Chl, kleptochloroplast; cN, cryptomonad
775 nucleus; Nm, nucleomorph; cM, cryptomonad mitochondria; Py, pyrenoid; dN,
776 dinoflagellate nucleus.

777

778 **Figure 8.** Bright field (**A,C**), fluorescence (**B, D**) and TEM micrographs (**E-K**) of the
779 daughter cells of *Nusuttodinium aeruginosum* following the second division after the
780 ingestion event of the line lacking the cryptomonad nucleus. **A-B, C-D.** Bright field and
781 fluorescence micrographs respectively of each of the daughters, showing the cup-shaped
782 kleptochloroplast still pervading the cell. Bar = 10 μ m. **E, J.** TEM micrographs of each
783 of the daughters. Note that the kleptochloroplast pervades the host cell Bar = 5 μ m. **F-I.**
784 TEM details of the nucleomorphs in one of the daughters. Nm1-Nm3 are situated near
785 the dinoflagellate nucleus while Nm4 is located at the middle of the cryptomonad cell.
786 Bar = 500 nm. **K.** TEM details of intact nucleomorphs of the other daughter. Bar = 500
787 nm. Abbreviations: Chl, kleptochloroplast; Nm, nucleomorph; cM, cryptomonad
788 mitochondria; Py, pyrenoid; dN, dinoflagellate nucleus; dM, dinoflagellate
789 mitochondria.

790

791 **Figure 9.** The numbering system used in this study to unambiguously refer to cell
792 lineages and individual cells through 5 successive division cycles following the
793 ingestion of a single *Chroomonas* sp. cell by a single cell of *Nusuttodinium*
794 *aeruginosum* . The number under Cell 1 indicates the number of days taken between the
795 ingestion of a cryptomonad cell to the first cell division. The remaining numbers under
796 the (bold) cell numbers indicate the number of days for that cell to next divide. The cells
797 after the fifth division cycle are labeled alphabetically and correspond to the identifying
798 characters in Figure 10. A circle around a cell number indicates cells that inherited the

799 cryptomonad nucleus.

800

801 **Figure 10.** Bright field and fluorescence micrographs of 29 of the anticipated 32 cells
802 after the fifth cell division cycle following a single ingestion event in *Nusuttodinium*
803 *aeruginosum*. Each fluorescence micrograph corresponds to bright field micrograph
804 shown above. Note that Cell M (M), which has inherited the cryptomonad nucleus,
805 possesses the largest kleptochloroplast and that Cells N-P (N-P) possess large
806 kleptochloroplasts relative to the rest of this generation. The other kleptochloroplasts (in
807 Cells A-H, I-L and Q-AC) are smaller than those of Cell M and Cells N-P. LM
808 micrograph is lacking due to loss of Cell AD before photographing. Cell 31 had
809 digested its kleptochloroplast before the fifth cell division. Bar = 10 μm .

810

811 **Figure 11.** TEM micrographs of the *Nusuttodinium aeruginosum* cell that inherited the
812 cryptomonad nucleus five division cycles after the original ingestion event. **A.** The cell
813 has a similar extensive kleptochloroplast and intact cryptomonad nucleus (cN) as
814 witnessed soon directly after ingestion. Bar = 5 μm . **B.** Detail of the intact nature of the
815 cryptomonad nucleus and nucleomorphs located near the nucleus, showing no sign of
816 digestion. Bar = 3 μm . **C.** Nucleomorph (Nm3 and Nm4) situated near the cryptomonad
817 nucleus showing its intact structure. Bar = 1 μm . **D.** Nucleomorph (Nm5) positioned in
818 the middle of the cell showing no effect of digestion. Bar = 500 nm. Abbreviations: Chl,
819 kleptochloroplast; Nm, nucleomorph; dN, dinoflagellate nucleus.

820

821 **Table 1.** The number of nucleomorphs in each daughter cell of three different
822 *Nusuttodinium aeruginosum* parent cells (Pair1-3). Daughter cells are distinguished
823 according to their possession or lack of a cryptomonad nucleus (cN).

824

825 **Table 2.** The number of the nucleomorphs inherited by daughter cells following
826 subsequent two division cycles of two different *Nusuttodinium aeruginosum* parent cells
827 that ingested a cryptomonad (Set1-2). Daughter cells are distinguished both according
828 to their possession or lack of a cryptomonad nucleus(cN) and to their most recent
829 association with a cryptomonad nucleus.

830

831 **Supplemental Table 1.** The number of cells of *Nusuttodinium aeruginosum* used for
832 light microscopy (LM), transmission electron microscopy (TEM) and confocal laser
833 scanning microscopy (CLSM).

834

835 **Supplemental Movie 1.** Animation of an optically sectioned chloroplast of
836 *Nusuttodinium aeruginosum* under bright-field optics and autofluorescence, 120 h after
837 ingesting a cryptomonad. Bar = 10 μm .

Figure 1.




Figure 2.




Figure 3.




Figure 4.




Figure 5.




Figure 6.




Figure 7.




Figure 8.




Figure 9.




Figure 10.




Figure 11.




Table 1.

	Cell possessing cN	Cell possessing no cN
Pair 1	7	3
Pair 2	4	2
Pair 3	8	3

Table 2.

	Cell inheriting cN, derived from the cell possessing cN	Cell inheriting no cN, derived from the cell possessing cN	Cell inheriting no cN, derived from the cell possessing no cN	Cell inheriting no cN, derived from the cell possessing no cN
Set 1	9	4	2	6
Set 2	13	6	1	1