



Title	Taxonomic reappraisal of <i>Typhula variabilis</i> , <i>Typhula laschii</i> , <i>Typhula intermedia</i> , and <i>Typhula japonica</i>
Author(s)	Ikeda, Sachiko; Hoshino, Tamotsu; Matsumoto, Naoyuki; Kondo, Norio
Citation	Mycoscience, 56(5), 549-559 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.myc.2015.05.002
Issue Date	2015-09
Doc URL	http://hdl.handle.net/2115/62732
Rights	© 2015, Elsevier. Licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/
Rights(URL)	http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/
Type	article (author version)
File Information	Reappraisal of <i>Typhula</i> 150515-1.pdf



[Instructions for use](#)

1 **Full paper**

2

3 **Taxonomic reappraisal of *Typhula variabilis*, *Typhula laschii*, *Typhula intermedia*, and**
4 ***Typhula japonica***

5 Sachiko Ikeda^{a,b,*}, Tamotsu Hoshino^c, Naoyuki Matsumoto^d, Norio Kondo^e

6

7 ^aDepartment of Phytopathology and Entomology, Hokkaido Research Organization, Kitami
8 Agricultural Experiment Station, Yayoi 52, Kunneppu, Hokkaido 099-1496 Japan

9 ^bGraduate School of Agriculture, Hokkaido University, Kitaku Kita 9, Nishi 9, Sapporo 060-
10 8589, Japan

11 ^cNational Institute of Advanced Industrial Science and Technology (AIST) Hokkaido, 2-17-
12 2-1, Tsukisamu Higashi, Toyohira, Sapporo 062-8517, Japan

13 ^dGraduate School of Agriculture, Hokkaido University, Kitaku Kita 9, Nishi 9, Sapporo 060-
14 8589, Japan

15 ^eResearch Faculty of Agriculture, Hokkaido University, Kitaku Kita 9, Nishi 9, Sapporo, 060-
16 8589, Japan

17

18 *Corresponding author:

19 S. Ikeda

20 Tel.: +81 157-47-2184

21 Fax: +81 157-47-2774

22 E-mail: ikeda-sachiko@hro.or.jp

23 Text: 17 pages; tables: 4, figures: 11

24

25 Abstract

26

27 We have redefined *Typhula variabilis*, *T. laschii*, *T. intermedia*, and *T. japonica* on the basis
28 of morphological and molecular evidence. *Typhula variabilis*, *T. laschii*, and *T. intermedia*,
29 hitherto regarded as synonymous, were compared by critical observations of sclerotial rind
30 cells. Rind cells of *T. variabilis* were thick and plateaued in the center, whereas of *T. laschii*
31 had a ridge in the center. An isolate from winter wheat that we had previously identified as *T.*
32 *variabilis* was reidentified as *T. intermedia* because it failed to mate with *T. variabilis*, even
33 though rind cells of *T. intermedia* were digitate and occasionally had double-line contours, as
34 in the case of *T. variabilis*. Sequencing of the internal transcribed spacer regions, including
35 5.8S, supported these differences, indicating that *T. variabilis*, *T. laschii*, and *T. intermedia*
36 are separate species. *Typhula japonica* was characterized by two-spored basidia and
37 basidiospores that often remained agglutinated with each other and germinated on
38 basidiocarps. Its single basidiospores normally developed into dikaryotic mycelia and rarely
39 into monokaryotic mycelia.

40

41 *Keywords*

42

43 Morphology, Taxonomy, Typhulaceae

44

45 **1. Introduction**

46

47 The genus *Typhula* (Pers.: Fr.) Fr. has clavarioid basidiocarps that arise from sclerotia or
48 directly from mycelia, and includes more than 50 species. Several species are
49 phytopathogenic and have been studied in detail in terms of their systematics and genetics,
50 but most have been characterized only on the basis of their morphology, with little or no
51 comparison of specimens. We found two fungi on overwintered leaves of dicots (carrot,
52 canola, and sugar beet) that resembled *T. variabilis* Riess and *T. japonica* Terui. To date,
53 characterization of *T. variabilis* has been ambiguous, often resulting in confusion with *T.*
54 *laschii* Rabenhorst and *T. intermedia* Appel & Laubert. *Typhula variabilis* has not so far been
55 reported in Japan, and we compared our isolates with previous descriptions. *Typhula japonica*
56 was first described by Terui (1941) on rapeseed (*Brassica rapa* L. var. *nippo-oleifera*
57 (Makino) Kitam.). However, the description was incomplete and specimens were not
58 available. Hence, we characterized both fungi on the basis of morphological and molecular
59 studies and of mating reactions in the present study, and found that they represent separate
60 species. We present more detailed descriptions of *T. variabilis* and *T. japonica*, and show that
61 *T. laschii* and *T. intermedia* are distinct from *T. variabilis*.

62

63 **2. Materials and methods**

64

65 **2.1. Fungal materials**

66

67 Isolates of *T. variabilis* and *T. japonica* were obtained from sclerotia that formed on carrot
68 leaves and crowns and on canola leaves in Memuro, Utoro, and Takikawa, Hokkaido, Japan,
69 shortly after snowmelt in 2010. Representative isolates were selected with stratified random
70 sampling for detailed study (Table 1). *Typhula intermedia* was isolated from sclerotia
71 attached to a wheat leaf in Sapporo, Hokkaido, in May 2009. All isolates were maintained on
72 potato dextrose agar (PDA; Difco Laboratories, Detroit, MI, USA) plates at 0 °C until use.

73 A specimen labeled as *T. laschii* (Herb. Univ. Upsaliensis F-608676) was provided by the
74 herbarium of Uppsala University, Sweden.

75

76 **2.2. Growth temperature relations**

77

78 Minimum, maximum, and optimal growth temperatures of all isolates on PDA plates were
79 determined in the dark at 0 to 25 °C. The diameter of each colony was measured every 7 d
80 after inoculation. The experiments were conducted three times with three replicates.

81

82 **2.3. Morphological observations**

83

84 Sclerotia of isolates S1, S3, RMM1112, TK1118, and UT1114 that formed on oat grain
85 medium, which was prepared in a similar way of barley grain medium (Pierson and Gaskill
86 1961), were incubated outdoors in pots planted with canola (*Brassica napus* cv.
87 Kizakinonatane) in Memuro for 2 mo from Sept in 2011 and 2012 to develop basidiocarps.
88 Colors of basidiocarps and sclerotia follow the *Flora of British Fungi Colour Identification*
89 *Chart* (RBG Edinburgh 1969).

90 Basidia and basidiospores were mounted on glass slides for light microscopic
91 examination. Spore dimensions, excluding the apiculus, were determined using 50–100
92 basidiospores of each isolate by eye against a graduated scale. Basidia and basidiospores were
93 observed by scanning electron microscopy (SEM; JSM-5310LV, JEOL, Tokyo Japan) as
94 described in Woo et al. (2012). The surface and fractures of the sclerotia were also observed
95 by SEM.

96 For transmission electron microscopy (TEM), sclerotia were first soaked in sterilized
97 distilled water for 1 h, cut in dual-partitioning with a razor, and prefixed and postfixed as
98 described by Xing and Guo (2008). They were then dehydrated through a 50% to 100%
99 ethanol series and embedded in Luft's low-viscosity resin (Glauert and Lewis 1998). Ultrathin
100 sections were prepared for TEM observations (JEM-2100, JEOL), using an ultramicrotome
101 (Leica EM UC7, REICHERT-NISSEI URTRACUT Ñ, Leica, Wetzlar, Germany) and stained
102 with 2% uranyl acetate solution for 15 min and lead citrate for 2 min.

103

104 **2.4. Mating tests**

105

106 **2.4.1. Isolation of monokaryons**

107

108 One mature basidiocarp was selected from each of isolates S1 and S3 and secured to Petri
109 dish lids with double-sided adhesive tape to collect spores in sterile water in the dish at 4 °C
110 for 3 d. The spore suspension was spread over PDA plates and incubated at 4 °C for 4–7 d.
111 Colonies with smooth hyphae were selected to obtain monokaryons. Ten monokaryons were
112 randomly selected from each isolate for the monokaryon-monokaryon (mon-mon) mating
113 tests described in section 2.4.2.

114 Since basidiospores of isolates RMM1112 and TK1118 were stuck together, one
115 basidiocarp of each isolate was soaked in 2 mL of sterile water with or without 0.1% Tween
116 20 in a 2-mL microtube to collect the spore masses: spore suspensions were vortexed 10 times
117 for 10 s each time and then left still for 10 min. The proportion of single spores that were
118 separated from the clusters was then determined using light microscope. Aliquots of the spore
119 suspension were spread on PDA plates. After incubation at 4 °C for 7–10 d, single hyphae
120 from single colonies were transferred onto fresh PDA plates. They were then incubated at
121 10 °C for 2 wk and examined under a microscope for the presence or absence of clamp
122 connections.

123

124 2.4.2. *Monokaryon-monokaryon (mon-mon) mating tests*

125

126 Two monokaryons were inoculated 2 cm apart at the periphery of PDA plates and incubated
127 at 10 °C for 2 wk. Agar blocks with mycelia were taken from the colony junction and
128 transferred to an unoccupied area of the plate. Seven d after incubation, the presence of clamp
129 connections in mycelia grown from the blocks was examined under a microscope. The
130 presence of clamp connections was the criterion for mating compatibility.

131

132 2.4.3. *Dikaryon-monokaryon (di-mon) mating tests*

133

134 Monokaryons of *T. variabilis* isolate S3 were used for di-mon mating tests with isolates S1,
135 S2, and S4. Four monokaryons of S3 were also tested with *T. intermedia* VB1-1 (AB267394).
136 Monokaryons of isolates TK1118 and UT1114 were paired with their respective parent
137 isolates and RMM1112. Four monokaryons of *T. ishikariensis* Imai provided by AIST
138 Hokkaido were paired with isolates S1, S2, and S4. Pairing was performed as in mon-mon
139 mating tests. Agar blocks with mycelia were taken from colonies of tester monokaryons and
140 transferred to an unoccupied area of the plate. Mycelia grown for 7 d after incubation were

141 examined for the presence or absence of clamp connections under a microscope.

142

143 ***2.5. Sequencing of internal transcribed spacer regions***

144

145 DNA was extracted from sclerotia and the herbarium specimen using a DNeasy Plant Mini
146 Kit according to the manufacture's protocol (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany). The internal
147 transcribed spacer (ITS) region of rRNA genes, including the 5.8S rRNA gene, was amplified
148 by polymerase chain reaction (PCR) with the primer pair ITS1 (5'-TCCGTAGGTGAACCT-
149 GCGG-3') and ITS4 (5'-TCCTCCGCTTATTGATATGC-3'), as described by Hsiang and Wu
150 (2000). The products were purified using a QIAquick PCR Purification kit (Qiagen) and
151 sequenced on an ABI PRISM 3500 Genetic Analyzer (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA,
152 USA) with primer ITS1. Multiple alignments of the ITS sequences were performed, and the
153 nucleotide substitution rates were calculated, using MEGA5 (Tamura et al. 2011). The
154 alignments were deposited in TreeBASE (<http://www.treebase.org/>) under accession number
155 15606. A phylogenetic tree was constructed by maximum-likelihood analysis (Fisher 1936)
156 using the CLUSTALW program (Thompson et al. 1994) with bootstrap values based on 1000
157 replicates (Felsenstein 1985). Sequence data were deposited in GenBank (Table 1).

158

159 **3. Results**

160

161 ***3.1. Culture characteristics***

162

163 Colonies of *T. variabilis* on PDA were white (1A, 7 white, 78 white, or 84 white) to beige
164 (4D, 32 clay buff, or 52 buff) or light brown (27 hazel, 28 milky coffee, or 29 fawn) with thin
165 (thick in some isolates) aerial mycelia. Pale spines, which resembled to be basidiocarp stipes,
166 ejected directly from the surface. Cultures sometimes became crustaceous when incubated for
167 more than 4 mo. Sclerotia were produced on the surface in rings or irregularly and were
168 variable in color. *Typhula variabilis* grew at temperatures from 0 to 25 °C on PDA, with an
169 optimum temperature between 5 and 15 °C. The maximal mycelial growth rate ranged from
170 1.0 to 2.0 mm per d.

171 Colonies of *T. japonica* on PDA were white (1A, 7 white, 78 white, or 84 white) to beige
172 (4D, 32 clay buff or 52 buff), turned brown (17 snuff brown or 24 date brown) with time, and

173 had thin aerial mycelia. Pale, thread-like basidiocarp stipes emerged from sclerotia or mycelia.
174 Sclerotia were scattered over the surface or produced in rings and were variable in color.
175 Isolate UT1114 required more than 5 mo to produce sclerotia on PDA plates but 2 mo in oat
176 grain culture. The fungus grew at temperatures from 0 to 20 °C on PDA, with an optimum
177 temperature of around 10 °C, and failed to grow at 25 °C. The maximal mycelial growth rate
178 ranged from 2.0 to 4.0 mm per d.

179

180 **3.2. Isolating monokaryons and mating tests**

181

182 *3.2.1. Features of single basidiospore isolates of T. japonica*

183

184 Unlike *T. variabilis* basidiospores, those of *T. japonica* were agglutinated (Fig. 1B). Only a
185 few spore clusters were separated after treatment with Tween 20 (Table 2). Most colonies had
186 clamped hyphae, and a few colonies from basidiocarps of isolate TK1118 had smooth hyphae.
187 These monokaryons were dikaryotized by isolates RMM1112, TK1118, and UT1114 (Table
188 3).

189

190 *3.2.2. Mon-mon mating test*

191

192 Ten monokaryons of isolate S3 were paired in all possible combinations to obtain the
193 bifactorial mating pattern. Four monokaryons—S3e1, S3e2, S3e5, and S3e7—were selected
194 as a set that differed in mating incompatibility alleles (Raper 1966).

195

196 *3.2.3. Di-mon mating test*

197

198 The four monokaryons from *T. variabilis* isolate S3 were dikaryotized by isolates S1, S2, and
199 S4 (Table 3). They were not dikaryotized by *T. intermedia* VB1-1. The four monokaryons of
200 *T. ishikariensis* also failed to mate with any of the isolates.

201

202 **3.3. Taxonomy**

203

204 *Typhula variabilis* Riess, Hedwigia 5: 21, 1853.

Figs. 2–5.

205 MycoBank no.: MB152949.

206 One or more basidiocarps emerging from a sclerotium, clavate or cylindrical, simple or
207 sometimes branched, 8.0–28.4 mm long under natural conditions (Fig. 2). Head often tapered,
208 grayish white (1A, 7 white, 78 white, or 84 white) or pale beige (2B or 4D), 4.2–20.1 mm
209 long. Head often paler than stem, which is 1.2–8.3 mm long, with 5–20- μ m-long lateral hairs.
210 Basidiospores 3.8–5.0 \times (6.3–)8.8–12.5 μ m; X_m (interval of mean values per collection) =
211 4.0–4.5 \times 9.5–10.5 μ m; Q (basidiospore length/width ratio, given as an interval of mean
212 value) = 1.8–3.0; white (1A, 7 white, 78 white, or 84 white), cylindrical ellipsoid, fusiform to
213 subfusiform, slightly apiculate (Figs. 3A, 4B). Basidia (22.5–)24.0–30.0 \times 5.0–7.0 μ m,
214 cylindrical, base-clamped, and 4-spored (Figs. 3B, 4A). Sterigmata 3–4 μ m long.

215 Sclerotia globose to subglobose, light (13 rust, 14 rusty tawny, or 15 brick) to dark brown
216 (19 bay, 22 purplish date, or 24 date brown) on PDA. Sclerotia on diseased plants dark (36
217 fuscous black) when dry, and light or dark red-brown (19 bay, 20 dark brick, 41 blood red)
218 when wet; (0.8–)1.2–1.8(–2.5) \times (0.8–)1.0–1.6 mm when dry, (0.8–)1.0–1.8(–2.1) \times (0.8–
219)1.0–1.7(–2.1) mm when wet. Rind rugged, thick (Fig. 5A), often cracked (Fig. 5B). Rind
220 cells coalesced at the base but separate on the surface (Fig. 5A). Rind cell contour unclear,
221 typically in double lines (Fig. 3C–E). The features of the rind and rind cells of *T. variabilis*
222 (Fig. 5A, B) were not as evident as in *T. japonica* (Fig. 6A–C) and differed from those of *T.*
223 *laschii*: each rind cell of *T. variabilis* had a plateau (Fig. 5A, B). The rind in oat grain culture
224 was 7–9 μ m thick. That on carrot leaves was as thick as that in oat grain culture, but the
225 surface was not rugged. That on PDA plates was flat and thin (4–5 μ m).

226 Materials examined: Stems, living and dead leaves of *Daucus carota*, Memuro, Hokkaido,
227 Japan, coll. S. Ikeda, April 2010 (specimen: SAPA 100032, 100033; living cultures: MAFF
228 244291, 244292, 244293).

229 Lectotype (designated here): Hedwigia 5: TAF III, Fig. 2. 1853.

230

231 *Typhula laschii* Rabenhorst, Botanische Zeitung 3: 293, 1849.

Fig. 7.

232 MycoBank no.: MB155574.

233 One or more basidiocarps emerging from a sclerotium, clavate or cylindrical, simple or
234 sometimes branched, 10.0–50.0mm long on Herb. Univ. Upsaliensis F-608676. Head often

235 tapered, grayish white (1A, 7 white, 78 white, or 84 white) or pale beige (2B or 4D), and
236 usually paler than stem. Basidia, cylindrical and 4-spored.

237 Sclerotia globose, subglobose to flattened disk, dark (36 fuscous black), 1.0–1.8 mm ×
238 0.5–1.8 mm. Rind rugged and 7–11 μm thick; rind cell has a ridge in its center (Fig. 7A–D).

239 Neotype (designated here): Herb. Univ. Upsaliensis F-608676.

240

241 *Typhula intermedia* Appel & Laubert, Arbeiten aus der Kaiserlichen Biologischen Anstalt für
242 Land- und Forstwirtschaft 5(3): 153, 1905. Fig. 8.

243 MycoBank no.: MB155939.

244 One or more basidiocarps emerging from a sclerotium, clavate or filiform, simple or
245 sometimes branched, 18–40 mm long. Basidiospores 4.3–7.8 × 11.7–16.0 μm, $X_m = 6.2 \times$
246 13.9 μm, $Q = 1.8–2.7$, elongate, cylindrical. Basidia 25–30 × 9–10 μm, 4-spored.

247 Sclerotia globose to subglobose, dark red-brown (19 bay, 22 purplish date, or 24 date
248 brown) on PDA, always single, never coalesced. Sclerotia on dead plants dark (36 fuscous
249 black) when dry, and light or dark red-brown (19 bay, 20 dark brick, 41 blood red) when wet;
250 0.5–1.0 × 0.5–1.0 mm when dry, 0.6–1.3 × 0.6–1.5 mm when wet. Rind flat and thin (3–5
251 μm) on PDA. Rind cell contour in double lines and digitate (Fig. 8A, B); rind of sclerotia on
252 PDA somewhat rugged, flat and thin (3–5 μm). The first description of *T. intermedia* did not
253 show the holotype or any illustrations (Appel and Laubert 1905).

254 Materials examined: Living leaves of *Triticum aestivum*, Sapporo, Hokkaido, Japan, coll.
255 T. Hoshino, May 2009 (specimen: SAPA 100038; living culture: MAFF 244400).

256

257 *Typhula japonica* Terui, Transaction of the Sapporo Natural History Society 17: 40, 1941.

258 Figs. 1, 6, 9, 10.

259 MycoBank no.: MB291705.

260 One or more basidiocarps emerging from a sclerotium, clavate or cylindrical, simple or
261 sometimes branched, 10–60 mm long under natural conditions (Fig. 9). Head often tapered,
262 grayish white (1A, 7 white, 78 white, or 84 white), gray (34 smoke gray), or pale beige (2B or
263 4D), 5.0–40.0 mm long. Head often paler than stem, which is 5.0–30.0 mm long, with 20–
264 100-μm-long lateral hairs and longer mycelia tangled at the base. Basidiospores (9.0–)9.5–

265 10.5(–11.3) × 5.0–7.0 μm, $X_m = 10.0–10.5 \times 5.5–5.7 \mu\text{m}$, $Q = 1.6–2.0$, elongate, white,
266 fusiform to subfusiform, conspicuously apiculate (Figs. 1A–D, 10B). Basidia (20.0–)23.0–
267 26.0 × 5.0–6.0 μm, unclamped, 2-spored (Figs. 1D, 10A), not cylindrical. Sterigmata 4.0–6.0
268 μm long.

269 Sclerotia on carrot leaves and PDA light (4D or 85 buff) to brown (10 cinnamon or 11
270 sienna); (0.8–)1.2–3.3 × (0.7–)1.2–2.5 mm when dry, (0.9–)1.5–3.5 (–4.6) × (0.9–)1.5–3.3(–
271 4.1) mm when wet; hemispherical, subglobose, flattened, convex on the top, flat or concave
272 with a pit below. Rind cells variable in shape (Fig. 6A–C).

273 Materials examined: Both living and dead leaves of *Brassica napus*, Memuro, Hokkaido,
274 Japan, coll. S. Ikeda, Apr. 2010 (specimen: SAPA 100036; living culture: MAFF 244279).

275 Lectotype (designated here): *Trans. Sapporo Nat. Hist. Soc.* 17: 40, 1941. Fig. 1.

276 Epitype (designated here): SAPA 100036 (RMM1112).

277 Ex-epitype: MAFF244279 (RMM1112).

278

279 **3.4. Sequencing of ITS regions**

280

281 ITS sequences were obtained from three *T. variabilis* isolates (S1 [AB889545], S3
282 [AB889546], and S4 [AB889547]), two *T. japonica* isolates (RMM1112 [AB889549] and
283 UT1114 [AB889550]), a *T. intermedia* isolate (VB1-1 [AB267394]), and a *T. laschii*
284 specimen from Uppsala University (AB889548), and from other accessions. Phylogenetic
285 analysis revealed the separation of *T. variabilis*, *T. laschii*, and *T. intermedia* (Fig. 11); *T.*
286 *japonica* was positioned in the clade of *T. laschii* despite their differences in basidia (4 spores
287 in *T. laschii*, 2 in *T. japonica*) and sclerotia (dark and 1 mm globose to subglobose in *T.*
288 *laschii*, light to brown and 1–4 mm hemispherical to subglobose in *T. japonica*).

289

290 **4. Discussion**

291

292 Most species in the genus *Typhula* (Pers.: Fr.) Fr. are ambiguously defined, and few
293 comparative studies have been performed. *Typhula variabilis*, *T. laschii*, and *T. intermedia*
294 are similar (Corner 1950), and Berthier (1976) regarded *T. intermedia* as a synonym of *T.*
295 *variabilis*. The original papers describing each were written by Riess in 1853 (*T. variabilis*),

296 by Rabenhorst in 1849 (*T. laschii*), and by Appel and Laubert in 1905 (*T. intermedia*), and
297 their descriptions were not rigorously compared to validate the separate species identities,
298 unlike current taxonomic classification. *Typhula variabilis* was described only by drawings of
299 basidiocarps and basidiospores, with little information on their size and none on rind cells. In
300 addition, the paper on *T. laschii* described the form and color of basidiocarps and sclerotia in
301 only 26 words, with no mention of size or other features. Owing to this scarcity of
302 information, Berthier (1976) questioned whether *T. variabilis* and *T. laschii* were identical,
303 and I. Olariaga (Universidad del País Vasco, personal communication, 2013) supported the
304 suggestion to rename a specimen labeled *T. variabilis* at Uppsala University (Herb. Univ.
305 Upsaliensis F-608676) as *T. laschii* in 2006 owing to the priority of the latter.

306 *Typhula variabilis* has featureless basidiocarps and basidiospores, and characteristic thick
307 rind cells with precipitous margins whose contours were drawn in double lines by Berthier
308 (1976) and Dynowska (1986). However, the rind cells of specimen F-608676 have ridges in
309 the center, which we recognized as lines in the center of cells (Fig. 7A–D). Thus, F-608676 is
310 not *T. variabilis*. On the other hand, rind cells of our isolates S1, S3, and S4 were thick and
311 flat on top with precipitous margins, giving them a plateau-like shape (Figs. 3C–E, 5A, B).
312 These features are consistent with those illustrated by Berthier (1976) and Dynowska (1986)
313 and described by Remsberg (1940). These characteristics of rind cells indicate that isolates S1,
314 S2, S3, and S4 are *T. variabilis*. In addition, rind cells were not completely coalesced in *T.*
315 *variabilis* (Fig. 5A, B), making microscopic observation difficult. The rugged surface of *T.*
316 *intermedia* sclerotia (Fig. 8B) complicated observations of rind cells intended to distinguish
317 the fungus from *T. variabilis*. However, they are separate species because monokaryons of *T.*
318 *variabilis* were not dikaryotized by *T. intermedia* (Table 3). In addition, *T. intermedia* is
319 pathogenic on wheat (T. Hoshino, unpublished), whereas *T. variabilis* is not, but is
320 pathogenic on members of the Apiaceae and *Beta vulgaris* (data not shown). Repeated
321 attempts to develop basidiocarps of *T. intermedia* were unsuccessful, so we have based our
322 description of the taxonomic features of basidiocarps, basidia, and basidiospores on the
323 original article (Appel and Laubert 1905) and Remsberg's monograph (1940). The original
324 descriptions of *T. intermedia* and *T. laschii* did not designate the holotype or show any
325 illustrations; typification is needed. Remsberg (1940) described the characteristics of *T.*
326 *intermedia* in detail, but no other descriptions of the fungus were available. We think
327 Remsberg's specimen is worthy of typification as a neotype, but we were unable to examine it.
328 The typification of *T. intermedia* requires future surveys.

329 No species described by Remsberg (1940), Corner (1950), or Berthier (1976) conformed

330 to the Herb. Univ. Upsaliensis F-608676 specimen. The original report of *T. laschii*
331 (Rabenhorst 1849) was poor, and identification of existing species was difficult. In addition,
332 we were concerned that frequent changes in the name of a specimen would result in further
333 confusion. Hence, we propose to refer to F-608676 as *T. laschii* and set it as the neotype,
334 because the original article did not indicate the holotype and had practically no taxonomic
335 criteria (McNeill et al. 2012: Art. 9.7, Melbourne Code). In the Taxonomy section (3.3), we
336 described the sclerotium and features of basidiocarps and basidia based on the specimen. It
337 also needs further research, especially on living materials.

338 Riess (1853) drew only basidiocarps and basidiospores of *T. variabilis* (lectotype, Art.
339 9.2, Melbourne Code), but the descriptions by Remsberg (1940) and Berthier (1976) have
340 additional information, especially on sclerotia. The identification of *T. variabilis* requires
341 morphological observation of rind cells as described above. The species needs an epitype to
342 identify unambiguously. Remsberg's drawings, photographs, and specimen are adequate, but
343 they were collected in North America. A specimen from Europe that exactly matches the
344 descriptions by Remsberg (1940) and Berthier (1976) should be designated as the epitype,
345 because an epitype should ideally be collected from the same location and host as the original
346 protolog (Hyde and Zhang 2008).

347 *Typhula japonica* was first described in Japan (Terui 1941). Only basidiocarps and
348 basidia of the lectotype of Terui (1941) were illustrated (and their bases were not clearly
349 indicated), and basidiospore measurements were not made. However, it has not been reported
350 since, and no specimen was available. Our isolates RMM1112, TK1118, and UT1114 have
351 two-spored basidia, are pathogenic on canola (data not shown), and originate from Hokkaido,
352 conforming to the original description. We identified these three isolates as *T. japonica*, and
353 applied isolate RMM1112 (SAPA100036) as the epitype (Art. 9.8, Melbourne Code), because
354 the original description by Terui (1941) did not indicate details such as spore size.

355 *Typhula japonica* has two-spored basidia, as have *Typhula pulgensis* (Khurana 1980) and
356 *T. ochraceosclerotiata* (Olariaga and Salcedo 2009). These three species are probably closely
357 related but require critical comparisons. The sclerotial rind cell pattern of *T. japonica* (Fig. 6)
358 resembles that of *T. ochraceosclerotiata* (Olariaga and Salcedo 2009). Basidiospores of *T.*
359 *japonica* are sticky and coherent with each other on basidiocarps (Fig. 1B). Most single
360 basidiospores developed into dikaryotic mycelia, and only a few were monokaryotic. In
361 addition, *T. japonica* basidiospores often germinate on basidia (Fig. 1C). They may not be
362 ejected into the air but are likely to remain on basidiocarps: this species requires further
363 investigations of epidemiology and comparisons with other two-spored species. Several

364 monokaryons from isolates TK1118 and UT1114 were dikaryotized with the isolate
365 RMM1112, which confirmed that these three isolates are all *T. japonica* (Table 3).

366 In this study, morphological investigations, mating tests, and analysis of ITS sequences
367 revealed that *T. variabilis*, *T. laschii*, and *T. intermedia* are separate species with different
368 genetic backgrounds (Fig. 11). Diagnostic features of the three species are summarized in
369 Table 4. A phylogenic tree of all species of the genus *Typhula* whose ITS sequences have
370 been registered in GenBank divided the species roughly into three groups—A, including
371 pathogenic species such as *T. ishikariensis* and *T. incarnata* Lasch; B, including *T.*
372 *phacorrhiza* (Reichardt) Fr. and *Macrotyphula juncea* (Alb. & Schwein.) Berthier; and C,
373 including *T. laschii* and *T. japonica*—and *T. intermedia* as a standoff (Fig. 11). In addition,
374 the results suggest that the genus *Typhula* is genealogically polyphyletic; thus, detailed
375 investigations are needed.

376

377 **Disclosure**

378

379 The authors declare no conflicts of interest. All the experiments undertaken in this study
380 comply with the current laws of Japan.

381

382 **Acknowledgments**

383

384 We thank Prof. Dr. Svengunnar Ryman, Museum of Evolution, Uppsala University, for the
385 loan of the specimen of *T. laschii*; and Prof. Dr. Hideki Takahashi, Hokkaido University
386 Museum, for intermediating with Uppsala University. We give special thanks to Toshiaki Ito
387 and Masanori Yasui for technical assistance with the TEM and SEM.

388

389 **References**

390

391 Appel O, Laubert R, 1905. *Typhula intermedia*. *Arbeiten aus der Kaiserlichen Biologischen*
392 *Anstalt für Land- und Forstwirtschaft* 5: 153–154.

393 Berthier J, 1976. *Monographie des Typhula Fr., Pistillaria Fr. et genres voisins*. Société
394 Linnéenne de Lyon, Lyon.

395 Corner EJH, 1950. *A Monograph of Clavaria and Allied Genera*. Oxford University Press,
396 London.

397 Dynowska M, 1986. *Typhula variabilis* Riess. *Acta Mycologica* 22: 35–42.

398 Felsenstein J, 1985. Confidence limits on phylogenies: an approach using the bootstrap.
399 *Evolution* 39: 783–791.

400 Fisher RA, 1936. The use of multiple measurements in taxonomic problems. *Annals of*
401 *Eugenics* 7: 179–188.

402 Grauert AM, Lewis PR, 1998. *Biological specimen preparation for transmission electron*
403 *microscopy*. Princeton Legacy Library, New Jersey, pp 175–224.

404 Hsiang T, Wu C, 2000. Genetic relationships of pathogenic *Typhula* species assessed by
405 RAPD, ITS-RFLP and ITS sequencing. *Mycological Research* 104: 16–22;
406 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/S0953756299001033>.

407 Hyde KD, Zhang Y, 2008. Epitypification: should we epitypify? *Journal of Zhejiang*
408 *University Science B* 9: 842–846.

409 Khurana IPS, 1980. The Clavariaceae of India 16. The genus *Typhula*. *Mycologia* 72: 723–
410 725.

411 McNeill J, Barrie FR, Buck WR, Demoulin V, Greuter W, Hawksworth DL, Herendeen PS,
412 Knapp S, Marhold K, Prado J, Prud'homme van Reine WF, Smith GF, Wiersema JH,
413 Turland NJ, 2012. *International code of nomenclature for algae, fungi, and plants*
414 *(Melbourne Code) adopted by the Eighteenth International Botanical Congress*
415 *Melbourne, Australia, July 2011*. Koeltz Scientific Books, Koenigstein.

416 Olariaga I, Salcedo I, 2009. Two new species of *Typhula* from the Iberian Peninsula: *T.*
417 *ochraceosclerotiata* and *T. schoeni*. *Mycological Progress* 8: 351–
418 357; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11557-099-0608-2>.

419 Pierson VG, Gaskill JD, 1961. Artificial exposure of sugar beets to *Rhizoctonia solani*.
420 *Journal of American Society of Sugar Beet Technology* 11: 574–590.

421 Rabenhorst, 1849. *Typhula laschii*. *Botanische Zeitung* 3: 293.

422 Raper JR, 1966. *Genetics of sexuality in higher fungi*. Ronald Press, New York.

423 RBG Edinburgh, 1969. *Flora of British fungi, colour identification chart*. Royal Botanic
424 Garden Edinburgh, Edinburgh.

425 Remsberg RE, 1940. Studies in the genus *Typhula*. *Mycologia* 32: 52–96;
426 <http://dx.doi.org/10.2307/3754544>.

427 Riess H, 1853. *Typhula variabilis*. *Hedwigia* 5: 21–23.

428 Tamura K, Peterson D, Peterson N, Stecher G, Nei M, Kumar S, 2011. MEGA5: Molecular

429 evolutionary genetics analysis using maximum likelihood, evolutionary distance, and
430 maximum parsimony methods. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* 28: 2731–2739;
431 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msr121>.

432 Terui M, 1941. *Typhula japonica* n. sp. isolated from decayed leaves of the rape
433 plant. *Transactions of the Sapporo Natural History Society* 17: 40–48.

434 Thompson JD, Higgins DG, Gibson TJ, 1994. CLUSTAL W: improving the sensitivity of
435 progressive multiple sequence alignment through sequence weighting, position specific
436 gap penalties and weight matrix choice. *Nucleic Acids Research* 22: 4673–4680.

437 Woo K. H, Hyun MW, Kim SH, Sung GH, Hyun SH, Lee SY, Choi HK, 2012. Microscopic
438 analysis of *Cordyceps bassiana* (anamorph stage: *Beauveria bassiana*) stromata during
439 artificial cultivation for commercial use. *The Journal of General and Applied*
440 *Microbiology* 58: 325–329.

441 Xing XK, Guo SX, 2008. The structure and histochemistry of sclerotia of *Ophiocordyceps*
442 *sinensis*. *Mycologia* 100: 616–625; <http://dx.doi.org/10.3852/07-007R2>.

443

444 **Figure legends**

445

446 Fig. 1 – *Typhula japonica*. A: Basidiospores (isolate UT1114). B: Basidiospore mass (isolate
447 RMM1112, SEM). C: Basidiospores germinating from the apex (isolate RMM1112, SEM).
448 D: Surface of basidiocarp head (isolate RMM1112, SEM). *Bars*: 10 µm.

449

450 Fig. 2 – Basidiocarps of *Typhula variabilis* (isolate S3), developed under leaves of canola in a
451 pot in the open air.

452

453 Fig. 3 – *Typhula variabilis*. A: Basidiospores (isolate S4). B: Basidia (isolate S3). C–E: Rind
454 cell pattern (isolate S3). C, D, on carrot leaves; E, in oat grain culture. *Bars*: 10 µm.

455

456 Fig. 4 – *Typhula variabilis*. A: Basidia (isolate S3). B: Basidiospores (isolate S3). *Bars*: 10
457 µm.

458

459 Fig. 5 – Rind of *Typhula variabilis* (isolate S3, in oat grain culture). A: Cross-section of rind
460 cells by TEM. B: Surface of a sclerotium by SEM. *Bars*: 10 µm.

461

462 Fig. 6 – Rind cell pattern of *Typhula japonica* (isolate UT1114). A, B, on carrot leaves; C, in
463 oat grain culture. *Bars*: 10 µm.

464

465 Fig. 7 – Rind cells of *Typhula laschii* (specimen from Univ. of Uppsala). A–C: Rind cell
466 pattern. D: Side view of rind; the center of the rind cells is ridged (arrows). *Bars*: 10 µm.

467

468 Fig. 8 – Rind cells of *Typhula intermedia* VB1-1. A: Digitate cells. B: Contour in double lines.
469 *Bars*: 10 µm.

470

471 Fig. 9 – Basidiocarps of *Typhula japonica* (isolate RMM1112), developed under leaves of
472 canola in a pot in the open air.

473

474 Fig. 10 – *Typhula japonica*. A: Basidia (isolate RMM1112). B: Basidiospores and a germinating
475 basidiospore (isolate RMM1112). *Bars*: 10 µm.

476

477 Fig. 11 – Maximum-likelihood analysis tree of *Typhula* species based on sequences of the
478 ITS1–5.8S–ITS2 region. Bootstrap percentages (from 1000 replicates) are shown at branch
479 points. The tree is drawn to scale, with branch length measured in the number of substituins
480 per site. The outgroups are *Cantharellus cibarius* and *Arrhenia auriscalpium*.

1 Table 1 – Inventory of fungal materials used in this study.

Species	Isolate	Isolation source	Locality	GenBank acc. no. (ITS)	MAFF no. ^a	SAPA no. ^b
<i>Typhula variabilis</i>	S1	Carrot	Memuro, Japan	AB889545	244291	100032
	S2	Carrot	Memuro, Japan			
	S3	Carrot	Memuro, Japan	AB889546	244292	100033
	S4	Carrot	Memuro, Japan	AB889547	244293	
<i>T. laschii</i> ^c			Gästribland, Sweden	AB889548		
<i>T. intermedia</i>	VB1-1	Wheat	Sapporo, Japan	AB267394	244400	100038
<i>T. japonica</i>	RMM1112	Canola	Memuro, Japan	AB889549	244279	100036
	TK1118	Carrot	Takikawa, Japan		244280	100035
	UT1114	Carrot	Utoro, Japan	AB889550	244278	100037

2 ^aCultures deposited in Genebank of the National Institute of Agrobiological Sciences, Japan (MAFF).

3 ^bSpecimens deposited in the Hokkaido Univ. Museum, Sapporo, Japan (SAPA).

4 ^cSpecimen from Herb. Univ. Upsalienesis F-608676.

5

6 Table 2 – Rate of single basidiospores of *Typhula japonica* and their karyotic conditions^a.

Isolate	Suspended in	No. basidio- spores/mL	% separate spores ^b	No. monokaryons / no. single-spore colonies examined
<i>T. japonica</i>	Sterilized water	9.3×10^3	67.1	0/39
RMM1112	0.1% Tween 20	5.6×10^3	37.9	0/50
<i>T. japonica</i>	Sterilized water	6.0×10^4	26.0	0/39
TK1118	Sterilized water	7.9×10^3	49.4	1/38
	0.1% Tween 20	5.0×10^2	60.0	2/25
	0.1% Tween 20	9.0×10^2	55.6	3/40
<i>T. variabilis</i> S3 ^c	Sterilized water	2.2×10^4	94.5	32/34

7 ^aOne basidiocarp was examined for each isolate.

8 ^bNo. separate spores / (No. separate spores + No. clustering spores) \times 100

9 ^cDetermined as a reference.

10

11 Table 3 – Di-mon mating tests between dikaryons of *Typhula variabilis*, *T. japonica*, *T. intermedia*
 12 and monokaryons of *T. variabilis*, *T. japonica*, *T. ishikariensis*.

Monokaryon		Dikaryon							
		<i>T. variabilis</i>				<i>T. japonica</i>			<i>T. intermedia</i>
		S1	S2	S3	S4	RMM	TK	UT	VB1-1
						1112	1118	1114	
<i>T. variabilis</i>	S3e1	+ ^a	+	+	+	- ^b	-	-	-
	S3e2	+	+	+	+	-	-	-	-
	S3e5	+	+	+	+	-	-	-	-
	S3e7	-	+	+	+	-	-	-	-
<i>T. japonica</i>	TK1118Am1	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	-
	TK1118Am2	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	-
	TK1118Bm1	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	-
	TK1118Bm2	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-
	UT1114Am1	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	-
	UT1114Am2	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	-
<i>T. ishikariensis</i> ^c	9-4-3(A) ^d	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	7-6-7(A)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	8-2(B) ^e	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	35-8(B)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

13 ^a+: A monokaryon was dikaryotized.

14 ^b-: A monokaryon was not dikaryotized.

15 ^c Provided by AIST.

16 ^d(A): Biotype A.

17 ^e(B): Biotype B.

18

19 Table 4 – Morphological comparisons of sclerotia of *Typhula variabilis*, *T. laschii*, and *T.*
 20 *intermedia*.

Sclerotium	<i>T. variabilis</i>	<i>T. laschii</i>	<i>T. intermedia</i>
Size	1–2 mm ^{de}	1–3 mm ^e	0.5–1 mm ^e
Shape	Hemispherical ^e , subglobose ^{ce} , resemble large brassica seeds ^{ab,e}	Hemispherical ^e , subglobose ^e , flattened ^e	Hemispherical ^e , subglobose ^{ab,e} , always single ^{ae}
Color	Black ^{ab,de} , dark brown ^{ab,de} dark red-brown ^{be}	Black ^{be} , dark brown ^e	Black ^{ae} , dark brown ^{ab,e} , dark red-brown ^{ab,e}
Surface	Very bumpy ^{ade} , rugged ^{ade} , raised ^{de} , but not incisive ^e	Incised ^e , wrinkled ^b	Bumpy ^{ab,e} , raised ^e , wrinkled ^{ab}
Rind cell	Plateaued ^{c,de} , thick in the center and thin at the margin ^{c,de}	Steep ridge in the center ^e	

21 Descriptions assembled from: ^aRemsberg (1940); ^bCorner (1950); ^cBerthier (1976); ^dDynowska (1986); ^e Present study.





















