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Introduction

The Pyrolaceae is a small family of evergreen perennials distributed in principally cool north temperate regions. The Pyrolaceae is related to the Ericaceae and often included within it as subfamily Pyroloideae\(^6,13,21\). In this study, I followed the treatment by Cronquist\(^2\) who considered it as a separate family based on the small and undifferentiated embryo and its herbaceous or half-shrubby habit.

The four genera, *Orthilia* (1 sp.), *Pyrola* (c. 30 spp.), *Moneses* (1 sp.) and *Chimaphila* (7 spp.) included in the family Pyrolaceae, are clearly distinguished from each other by the palynological features: pollen unit and aperture condition\(^3,4\). In this family, palynological feature is one of the useful means for the clarification of the phylogenetic relationships.

Pollen development of *Chimaphila* polyads and *Pyrola* tetrads has been examined by transmission electron microscopy (TEM) by the author\(^14,17\). Although the scheme of the pollen development of *Orthilia* monad was listed in that study\(^17\) for the comparison of the genera in this family, the presentation of TEM photographs has not been done until now. In this paper, the data on the pollen development of *Orthilia* is presented for the first time.

Pollen morphological variability within the genus *Chimaphila* and *Pyrola* have been revealed mainly in the scanning electron microscopy (SEM)\(^15,16\). Following these reports, the description of mature *Orthilia* pollen is given in SEM, TEM as well as in light microscopy (LM) in this study. Though several reports on the pollen morphology of *Orthilia secunda* in LM have been described, the descriptions are not identical. We need to make comparisons between the descriptions in LM and in EM.

Based on these pollen developmental and morphological data, the phylo-
genetic relationship between *Orthilia* and the related genus *Pyrola* of the Pyrolaceae is discussed.

**Materials and Methods**

For TEM observations on the pollen development, the samples were obtained from *Orthilia secunda* (L.) House naturally growing at the foot of Mt. Rausu in the Shiretoko Peninsula, Hokkaido. These were processed and examined as described in the previous studies\(^{14,17,19}\).

For LM, SEM and TEM observations on mature pollen, the samples were obtained from the dried specimens deposited in the following herbaria: MO, SAPT and TI. Abbreviations of the herbarium names except for SAPT are according to the Index Herbariorum\(^{7}\), and for SAPT see “News and notes” in Taxon 32: 703 (1983). Pollen was processed in accordance with the methods outlined by the previous studies\(^{15,16,18}\).

**Specimens examined**


**Results**

**Pollen development** (Figs. 1–10)

Pollen mother cells (PMCs), each of which has a polyhedral shape, are separated from each other by a thin primary cell wall (Fig. 1). Adjoining cells are sometimes connected by cytomictic channels, ca. 0.5 \(\mu m\) in diameter, and an intercellular communication is shown by some organelles as seen in Fig. 2. At this moment, nuclear membrane shows a wavy contour, and the nucleolus and the synaptonemal complex are observed within the nucleus.
(Fig. 3). These features mean that the developmental stage of Figs. 1-3 is around premeiotic or meiotic prophase. Subsequently, each PMC becomes spherical and progressively enveloped in a thick callose wall, which is deposited between the plasma membrane of PMC and the primary cell wall (Fig. 4). The nuclear membrane disappears and the chromosomes become arranged at the center of PMC (metaphase I). Fig. 5 shows PMC at telophase I when two daughter nuclei are under formation at the opposite poles. Many organelles are situated at the center of PMC, i.e., between two daughter nuclei. At this stage, some cytomictic channels are still found between PMCs. In meiotic division II, two daughter nuclei further divide into four nuclei which show a tetrahedral arrangement. The cytokinesis is accomplished by the callose deposition between the four nuclei (Fig. 6). At this stage, the cytomictic channels are no longer visible. Thereafter, four microspores are perfectly separated from each other by a thick callose layer. A thin primexine appears on the plasma membrane of each microspore still within the callose wall (Fig. 7). In Fig. 8, the primexine increases in thickness with three exinous sub-layers; tectum, probacula and foot layer which are well recognized in the primexine matrix (Fig. 9). The future aperture is recognized as the region where the primexine matrix is thick and where three sub-layers are less developed (Fig. 10).

**Mature pollen** (Figs. 11–21, Table 1)

Pollen grains in monads, small in size, (16-) 17-23 (-28) \( \mu m \) (P) \( \times \) (15-) 17-20 (-22) \( \mu m \) (E), \( P/E=(0.9-) 1.0-1.2 (-1.3) \), prolate spheroidal to subprolate sometimes oblate spheroidal in equatorial view (Figs. 11-13), circular to semi-angular in polar view (Fig. 14). Apertures 3-colpor(oid)ate, rarely 2-colpor(oid)ate or 2-syncolpor(oid)ate (Fig. 15), colpi long, (13-) 15-18 (-20) \( \mu m \), attaining over four-fifths of the polar axis, equatorially constricted. Lalongate slit-like, one or two ora (Figs. 16, 17) discernible at the equator of the colpi but frequently not clearly discernible. In SEM, the exine sculpture verrucate (Fig. 18), verrucae 0.2-0.5 \( \mu m \) in diameter, similar sculpturing all over the surface except for the aperture, that of the colpus membrane also verrucate (Fig. 19) with somewhat larger verrucae, 0.3-0.7 \( \mu m \) in diameter. In TEM, the exine similar thickness all over the surface except for the aperture (Fig. 20). The exine composed of a thick tectum, very thin infratectal bacular layer, thick foot layer and endexine (Fig. 21). Total thickness of the exine 0.6-0.7 \( \mu m \).

Infraspecific variation: A somewhat larger pollen is observed in the specimen collected in Mexico (Table 1). In the specimen collected in Alaska, which is recognized as subsp. obtusata (Turcz.) Bocher (MO 2344485), about 70% pollen grains have aberrant colpi; 2-colpor(oid)ate or 2-syncolpor(oid)ate.
TABLE 1. Pollen measurements in *Orthilia secunda*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Locality</th>
<th>Pollen slide number</th>
<th>Polar axis P (µm)</th>
<th>Equatorial axis E (µm)</th>
<th>P/E ratio</th>
<th>Figures</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alaska</td>
<td>345</td>
<td>17-(18)-23</td>
<td>17-(18)-22</td>
<td>0.9-(1.0)-1.1</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mexico</td>
<td>346</td>
<td>21-(23)-28</td>
<td>20-(20)-22</td>
<td>1.0-(1.1)-1.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sweden</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>16-(17)-18</td>
<td>16-(18)-19</td>
<td>0.9-(1.0)-1.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. Siberia</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>18-(19)-21</td>
<td>17-(18)-20</td>
<td>1.0-(1.1)-1.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sakhalin</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>17-(18)-20</td>
<td>15-(17)-19</td>
<td>1.0-(1.1)-1.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kurile Isl.</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>19-(20)-21</td>
<td>17-(18)-19</td>
<td>1.0-(1.1)-1.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hokkaido</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>18-(20)-22</td>
<td>17-(18)-19</td>
<td>1.1-(1.1)-1.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hokkaido</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>16-(18)-19</td>
<td>16-(18)-20</td>
<td>0.9-(1.0)-1.1</td>
<td>16, 17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hokkaido</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>18-(19)-20</td>
<td>15-(17)-18</td>
<td>1.0-(1.1)-1.2</td>
<td>11-14, 19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hokkaido</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>18-(20)-21</td>
<td>15-(18)-21</td>
<td>1.0-(1.1)-1.3</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hokkaido</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>17-(18)-21</td>
<td>16-(17)-19</td>
<td>1.0-(1.1)-1.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hokkaido</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>17-(18)-19</td>
<td>15-(18)-19</td>
<td>0.9-(1.0)-1.2</td>
<td>20, 21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hokkaido</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>18-(19)-21</td>
<td>17-(19)-20</td>
<td>0.9-(1.0)-1.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hokkaido</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>18-(19)-20</td>
<td>17-(18)-20</td>
<td>1.0-(1.0)-1.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Honshu</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>18-(20)-21</td>
<td>15-(17)-19</td>
<td>1.0-(1.2)-1.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Honshu</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>18-(20)-21</td>
<td>16-(18)-20</td>
<td>1.0-(1.1)-1.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All pollen grains are acetolyzed and mounted in silicone oil (viscosity 3000 cs.). The measurements are as a rule based on 20 grains and the smallest-(mean)-largest values are indicated. In pollen slide number 345, normal pollen grains with 3-colporate aperture are selected for the measurements because pollen grains with 2-colpi occur in high percentage.

**Discussion**

Though the developmental stages after the meiotic tetrad were not observed in this study, the morphological events before the callose dissolution are basically in accordance with those which have been reported in many angiosperms having monad pollen until present^8^). Within the Pyrolaceae, however, the monad pollen in *Orthilia* is a remarkable feature clearly distinguished from the tetrad pollen in related genus *Pyrola*^4^,^5^,^6^,^9^,^11^,^12^,^20^). This palynological difference can be traced back to the stage when the four microspores are enveloped in the callose wall. In *Orthilia*, four microspores are perfectly separated from each other by a thick callose layer. On the other hand, in *Pyrola*, four microspores are imperfectly separated by a thin and discontinuous callose layer, in which the cytoplasmic channels and the connection of the primexine matrix are observed between the adjoining microspores^17^). The deposition and dissolution of the callose wall during the micro-
Table 2. Comparison between the representative descriptions in LM reported until now and in EM revealed in this study on the pollen morphology of *Orthilia secunda*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pollen unit</td>
<td>Monad</td>
<td>Monad</td>
<td>Monad</td>
<td>Monad</td>
<td>Monad</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grain diameter (μm)</td>
<td>15-20</td>
<td>19.5×17.8</td>
<td>19×17</td>
<td>c. 20×15.5</td>
<td>17-23×17-20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exine sculpture</td>
<td>Almost smooth</td>
<td>An extremely fine granulation</td>
<td>Psilate or provided with very fine, low processes</td>
<td>Finely reticulated</td>
<td>Verrucate (verrucae 0.2-0.5 μm in diam.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exine wall</td>
<td>Slender and pale</td>
<td>c. 1-1.5 μm thick, endexine &gt; ektexine</td>
<td>c. 1 μm thick, sexine = nexine</td>
<td>c. 1 μm thick, sexine = nexine</td>
<td>0.6-0.7 μm thick (tectum 0.2-0.3 μm, infratectal bacular layer 0.05 μm, foot layer 0.2-0.3 μm, endexine 0.1 μm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aperture</td>
<td>Three folds</td>
<td>3-colporate Furrow long with slightly irregular edge, constricted Pore small narrow slit across the furrow, sometimes not detected</td>
<td>3-colporate Colpal part c. 16 μm long, comparatively broad (contracted at the equator) with granulate membrane Ora small, indistinct</td>
<td>3-colporate Colpi c. 13 μm long Ora c. 1.3 μm in diam.</td>
<td>3-colpor(oid)ate rarely 2-colporate Colpi c. 15-18 μm equatorially constricted, colpus membrane with verrucae (0.3-0.7 μm in diam.) Ora lalongate one or two slits, or frequently not detected</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Locality of the material examined</td>
<td>Europe</td>
<td>Europe</td>
<td>Europe</td>
<td>Canada</td>
<td>Old and New World</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
spore development of the angiosperms plays an important role in the separation of the four microspore cells derived from a PMC. The developmental process of the exine wall of Orthilia is similar to that of many angiosperms. The primexine-template of the exine wall of the pollen grains, is detected on the plasma membrane of each microspore within the callose wall. The region of the future aperture is characterized by a thick primexine matrix, which seems to be a distinct feature from that in many angiosperms. The aperture of the pollen in many angiosperms is destined as the region lacking the primexine matrix or having a thin matrix (cf. Takahashi & Sohma). The same mechanism for the determination of the aperture as in Orthilia has also been reported in the related genus Pyrola of the family Pyrolaceae.

Though there have been several reports on the morphology of Orthilia secunda pollen in LM, they are not necessarily identical. The comparison between the descriptions in LM and in EM revealed in this study is shown in Table 2. Sometimes the exine sculpture in LM observation appears differently from in SEM; the verrucate sculpture revealed in SEM has been erroneously described as “almost smooth” by Overbeck or “finely reticulated” by Nowicke. Furthermore, the exine thickness in LM observation is usually estimated to be thicker than in TEM observation; about 1-1.5 μm in LM against 0.6-0.7 μm in TEM.

The differences in the pollen of Orthilia and that of the related genus Pyrola are: in Orthilia, the pollen is monad, whereas in Pyrola, it is tetrad; in Orthilia, the colpus membrane has a verrucate sculpture, whereas in Pyrola, it has a psilate sculpture. From a quantitative point of view, the value of the grain size and the exine thickness in Orthilia (each E=17-20 μm, 0.6-0.7 μm) is smaller than in Pyrola (each d=21-30 μm, 1.0-1.5 μm). On the other hand, the pollen monad of Orthilia shows some similarities to the pollen tetrad of P. minor in the subgenus Amelia and/or P. grandiflora in section Pyrola of the subgenus Pyrola within the genus Pyrola, in having a small grain size and a verrucate exine sculpture (Table 3).

Orthilia has usually been recognized as a distinct genus from the genus Pyrola based on the character correlation including palynological data such as monad grain, but in recent Japanese flora, Orthilia is still included in the genus Pyrola. Although the pollen of Orthilia shows some resemblance to that of some members of Pyrola, the pollen developmental and morphological aspects revealed in this study indicate that Orthilia is clearly separated from Pyrola as a distinct genus.

An infraspecific variation in Orthilia pollen has been revealed in this
TABLE 3. Comparison of some palynological features between Orthilia and related genus Pyrola

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Genus</th>
<th>Orthilia</th>
<th>Amelia</th>
<th>Pyrola</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Subg.</td>
<td>Orthilia</td>
<td>Amelia</td>
<td>Pyrola</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sect.</td>
<td>(16/1)**</td>
<td>(9/1)</td>
<td>Pyrola (73/8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pollen unit</td>
<td>Monad</td>
<td>Tetrads</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sculpture of colpus membrane</td>
<td>V***</td>
<td>P</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exine thickness (μm)</td>
<td>0.6-0.7</td>
<td>1.0-1.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sculpture at distal pole</td>
<td>V</td>
<td>V, V-R</td>
<td>R, R-P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grain size (μm)</td>
<td>17-20</td>
<td>21-25</td>
<td>21-29</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* P. fauricana H. ANDRES which has been included in section Chlorantha is excluded from it in this Table based on the author's opinion15).
** (Examined specimens/ examined species).
*** V: verrucate, R: rugulate, P: psilate sculpture. In grain size E value is adopted in Orthilia and d value (monad grain diameter) in Pyrola tetrad. The palynological features in Pyrola are according to TAKAHASHI15).

study. Two colporate or two syncolporate aperture conditions are found in many pollen grains in slide number 345, which are obtained from the specimens recognized as subsp. obtusata. This abnormality in pollen morphology seems to be correlated with the irregularity in meiosis, because aberrant chromosomal behavior in meiosis of Orthilia secunda subsp. obtusata collected in Greenland have been reported by BÖCHER15. A larger grain size was detected in the specimen collected in Mexico (slide number 346). More specimens, however, must be examined in order to clarify the correlation between the grain size and their geographical distribution.

Summary

Pollen morphology and development in Orthilia secunda were described based on LM, SEM and TEM observations. Pollen morphological data revealed in this study were compared with those previously reported in LM. The pollen unit and the sculpture of the colpus membrane of Orthilia was different from that of the related genus Pyrola. The difference in the pollen unit can be traced back to the stage when the four microspores are enveloped in the callose wall. The palynological features revealed in this study support
a generic status of Orthilia distinct from the genus Pyrola. The pollen of Orthilia, however, shows some similarities to that of P. minor and P. grandiflora in having a small grain size and a verrucate exine sculpture. An infraspecific variation of pollen morphology was revealed. Frequent occurrence of 2-(syn)colpor(oid)ate grains newly detected in subsp. obtusata may be correlated with the irregular meiosis which has been reported in this taxon.
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Explanation of Plates

Plate 1.

PMC of *Orthilia secunda* (L.) House.

Fig. 1. Each PMC has a large nucleus (N) and many organelles and vesicles (V). Adjoining PMCs are connected by the cytomictic channels (arrows).

Fig. 2. An organelle is found at the cytomictic channel.

Fig. 3. The synaptonemal complex (arrows) is observed in the nucleus. The inner measurement of the synaptonemal complex is 0.13 μm. NM-nuclear membrane.

Bar in Fig. 1 is 5 μm and bars in Figs. 2-3 are 1 μm.
Explanation of Plates

Plate 2.

Pollen development of Orthilia secunda (L.) House at metaphase I, telophase I and cytokinesis following the meiotic division II.

Fig. 4. Metaphase I. PMC is enclosed in a thick callose wall (C) and chromosomes (CH) are situated at the center of the cell. Osmiophilic droplets (arrows) are found.

Fig. 5. Telophase I. Adjoining PMCs are sometimes connected by the cytomictic channel (arrow). T-tapetum.

Fig. 6. Cytokinesis following the meiotic division II. The nuclei (N) show a tetrahedral arrangement. C-callose wall.

All bars in Figs. 4–6 are 5 μm.
Explanation of Plates

Plate 3.

Meiotic tetrad within a callose wall in *Orthilia secunda* (L.) House.

Fig. 7. The early period of the meiotic tetrad within a callose wall. Arrows indicate the first appearance of the primexine. C-callose wall, N-nucleus.

Fig. 8. The primexine becomes distinct on the plasma membrane of each microspore. Arrows indicate the future apertural regions. C-callose wall, N-nucleus.

Fig. 9. Enlargement of the primexine. Tectum (T), probacula (PB) and foot layer (F) are formed in the primexine matrix (PM).

Fig. 10. The region indicating the future aperture. Thick primexine matrix (PM) is found and the three exinous sub-layers are not well developed.

Bars in Figs. 7-8 are 5 μm, in Figs. 9-10 are 0.5 μm.
Explanation of Plates

Plate 4.

Pollen morphology of *Orthilia secunda* (L.) House.

Figs. 11–13. Equatorial view.

Fig. 14. Polar view.

Fig. 15. Abnormal monad with two colpi.

Fig. 16. Equatorial view showing one slit-like os.

Fig. 17. Equatorial view showing two slit-like ora.

Fig. 18. Verrucate exine sculpture at polar area.

Fig. 19. Equatorial view showing long colpi with verrucae.

Fig. 20. Thin section of pollen grain.

Fig. 21. The exine is composed of tectum, thin infratectal bacular layer, foot layer and endexine (arrow).

Figs. 11–17 are the same magnification (bar is 10 μm), bars in Figs. 18–20 are 5 μm, in Fig. 21 is 1 μm.