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ABSTRACT
Total internal reflection fluorescence correlation spectroscopy (TIR-FCS) allows us to measure diffusion constants and the number of fluorescent molecules in a small area of an evanescent field generated on the objective of a microscope. The application of TIR-FCS makes possible the characterization of reversible association and dissociation rates between fluorescent ligands and their receptors in supported phospholipid bilayers. Here, for the first time, we extend TIR-FCS to a cellular application for measuring the lateral diffusion of a membrane-binding fluorescent protein, farnesylated EGFP (EGFP-F), on the plasma membranes of cultured HeLa and COS7 cells. We detected two kinds of diffusional motion, fast three-dimensional diffusion ($D_1$) and much slower two-dimensional diffusion ($D_2$), simultaneously. Conventional FCS and single-molecule tracking (SMT) confirmed that $D_1$ was free diffusion of EGFP-F close to the plasma membrane in cytosol and $D_2$ was lateral diffusion in the plasma membrane. These results suggest that TIR-FCS is a powerful technique to monitor movement of membrane-localized molecules and membrane dynamics in living cells.

Running Title: TIR-FCS measurement in living cells

Key words: Fluorescence correlation spectroscopy; Total internal reflection fluorescence microscopy; Farnesylated EGFP; Plasma membrane; Diffusion constant; Single-molecule tracking

Abbreviations: FCS, fluorescence correlation spectroscopy; TIR, total internal reflection; TIRFM, total internal reflection fluorescence microscopy; SMT, single-molecule tracking; FAF, fluorescence autocorrelation function; EGFP, enhanced green fluorescent protein; DMEM, Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium; FCCS, fluorescence cross correlation spectroscopy; MSD, mean square displacement
INTRODUCTION

Fluorescence correlation spectroscopy (FCS) monitors the fluctuations in fluorescence intensity caused by fluorophores diffusing freely in a small observation volume. The measured fluctuation signals are converted to diffusion constants and the absolute number of fluorescently labeled particles at the single-molecule level (1). FCS has been used as a powerful tool for characterizing the dynamic behavior and kinetic properties of biochemical reactions in vitro (2-6) and in vivo (7-13).

Intracellular signaling pathways are triggered by the binding of a ligand to its receptor, stimulation, stress, etc., on the cell surface to regulate cell activities (proliferation, differentiation, apoptosis, etc.). Hence, elucidation of the molecular interactions on the plasma membrane is required for understanding of cellular functions and is expected to be useful for the discovery of drug targets as well. Growing numbers of FCS studies at the single-molecule level have revealed the kinetic properties of biomolecular interactions in subcellular organelles in living cells (7-12). However, with confocal optics-based FCS there are some difficulties in measuring molecular diffusion on the plasma membrane because of the relatively low spatial resolution along the optical axis and the very large scattering of background light at the coverslip. To improve this, we combined FCS with objective-lens type total internal reflection fluorescence microscopy (TIR-FM). For excitation light, objective-lens type TIR-FM generates an evanescent field, using a totally internally reflected laser beam after it passes through the objective (14). The depth of the evanescent field is very thin (100-200nm). Therefore, the field excites only fluorophores near the surface. The narrow excitation achieved by the evanescent field allows visualization of molecular dynamics at the plasma membrane without interference from fluctuations in cytosol (15). FCS combined with TIR-FM, TIR-FCS (16), has developed into a useful method to quantitatively study ligand-receptor associations and kinetics on a supported membrane (17, 18). However, there has been no report on the application of TIR-FCS to cell biology.

Herein, for the first time, we report cellular application of TIR-FCS for measuring lateral diffusion of membrane-binding proteins, carried out as a feasibility study for the development of TIR-FCS. The TIR-FCS setup is constructed with an FCS detector unit and objective-type TIR-FM (Fig. 1) (19, 20). By using TIR-FCS, we observed lateral diffusion of membrane binding proteins, i.e., the enhanced green fluorescent protein (EGFP)-fused farnesylated domain (EGFP-F) in the plasma membrane facing the coverslip in HeLa cells and COS7 cells. Conventional FCS and single-molecule tracking (SMT) using a charge-coupled device (CCD) camera were carried out to assess the obtained TIR-FCS data.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Sample preparation

EGFPs were prepared using a wheat germ extract (cell-free) translation system (21). Glass chambers (384-well glass-bottom plate, Olympus, Tokyo, Japan) for in vitro TIR-FCS experiments were treated with a blocking reagent (N101, NOF Corp., Tokyo, Japan) to prevent nonspecific adsorption of EGFPs.

Cells were cultured in a 5% CO2 humidified atmosphere at 37°C in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium (DMEM) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum, 2×10^5 U/L penicillin G, and 200 mg/L streptomycin sulfate. For microscopy the cells were grown on glass-base dishes (φ 12 mm, Asahi Technoglass Corp., Chiba, Japan).

Octadecyl rhodamine B chloride (R18) was purchased from Molecular Probes (Eugene, OR, USA). For R18 labeling, COS7 cells were incubated with 2ml of DMEM and 100µl of R18 (1 µM) on poly-L-lysine-coated glass-base dishes 5 hours before TIR-FCS
measurement. Prior to the experiments, COS7 cells were washed five times with PBS.

Cells were transfected with 1.0 µg of plasmid DNA of pEGFP-C1 or pEGFP-F (Clontech, Palo Alto, CA, USA, Although these products are now commercially unavailable, the vector information is provided as a courtesy on the company’s website. http://www.clontech.com/clontech/) and 3.0 µl of FuGENE6 (Roche Molecular Biochemicals, Mannheim, Germany) per glass-base dish 15 hours before TIR-FCS measurement or single-molecule imaging. During microscopic observation, cells were maintained in phenol red-free DMEM/F-12 (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA) at room temperature.

TIR-FCS setup and measurement

The TIR-FCS setup was constructed with an inverted microscope (TE2000, Nikon, Kanagawa, Japan), observation unit for TIR illumination (Nikon), a multimode fiber with a φ50 µm-diameter core, an avalanche photodiode (SPCM-AQ-141-FC, EG&G, QC, Canada), and a digital correlator (ALV 5000/E, ALV, Langen, Germany). The objective-type TIR-FM was equipped with a ×100 oil-immersion objective (Plan Apo, NA=1.45, Nikon). The samples were excited at the 488nm laser line of a semiconductor laser (488-20CDRH, Coherent, CA, USA), and fluorescence signals were detected through a dichroic mirror (>505nm) and a bandpass filter (510-560nm) for EGFP and a longpass filter (>520nm) for R18.

Determination of TIR-FCS observation volume

Fluorescence autocorrelation functions (FAFs), G(τ), were acquired online with a digital correlator and fitted by a one-component model (17, 19, 20) for the control experiments on fluorescein in 10 mM Tris buffer (pH 8.0) to determine the structure parameter defined by 

\[ \omega = \frac{h}{\omega_{xy}} \]  

(20).

\[ h \] and \( \omega_{xy} \) are the height and radius of the TIR-FCS detection volume, respectively.

\[ N \] is the average number of fluorescent particles in an effective volume. \( \gamma \) is a correction factor, describing the deviation of the effective volume. Here, we used the value \( \gamma = 1/3.4 \) according to previous research (20). \( p \) is the average fraction of molecules that are in the triplet state in the effective volume. \( \tau \) is the triplet state decay time. The axial diffusion time is given by \( \tau_z = h^2/4D \). \( D \) denotes the diffusion constant and \( w \) is the complex generalization of the error function, \( w(x) = \exp(-x^2) \operatorname{erfc}(-ix) \).

To estimate the size of the observation volume, we determined the value of \( h \) from the relation \( h = (4D\tau_z)^{1/2} \), using the diffusion constant of fluorescein \( D = 3.0 \times 10^{-6} \text{ cm}^2/\text{s} \), (20)) and the average value of \( \tau_z \) obtained by fitting. In the case of cellular measurements, we used the same values of \( \omega \), \( h \) and \( \omega_{xy} \) as for in vitro experiments.

Analysis of TIR-FCS data

Fitting analyses of FAFs were carried out to yield the diffusion constants, where the value of \( \omega \) was fixed, based on ten measurements from control experiments on fluorescein. For R18-labeled COS7 cells, a model of 2-dimensional diffusion (Eq. 2) was
employed to fit the data (22).

\[
G(\tau) = 1 + \frac{\gamma}{N} \left[ \sum_{i} F_i \left( 1 + \frac{\tau}{\tau_{i,xy}} \right)^{-1} \right] 
\]

where \( F_i \) is the fraction of fluorophores laterally diffusing on the surface. The lateral diffusion time for two-dimensionally diffusing fluorophores on the plasma membrane is given by \( \tau_{i,xy} \equiv \frac{\omega_{xy}^2}{4D_i} (i = 1, 2) \).

The fitting model for experiments of EGFP-F expressed in cells, in which fluorescence fluctuations resulting from both faster free diffusion through the evanescent field and slower lateral diffusion parallel to the surface, was applied to a two-component model containing the terms of the 2-dimensional model.

\[
G(\tau) = 1 + \frac{\gamma}{N} \left[ F_1 \left( 1 + \frac{\omega_1^2 \tau}{\tau_{1,z}} \right)^{-1} \left\{ 1 - \frac{\tau}{2\tau_{1,z}} \right\} w \left( i \sqrt{\frac{\tau}{4\tau_{1,z}}} + \sqrt{\frac{\tau}{\pi\tau_{1,z}}} \right) + F_2 \left( 1 + \frac{\tau}{\tau_{2,xy}} \right)^{-1} \right] 
\]

\( \tau_{1,z} \) is the axial diffusion time of three-dimensionally diffusing fluorophores near the plasma membrane in cytosol. In fact, we used a model function containing a term accounting for triplet state kinetics as described in previous works (19, 20).

**Confocal FCS and Single-Molecule Tracking**

Confocal FCS measurements were carried out with a ConfoCor 2 (Carl Zeiss, Jena, Germany) (11). Single-molecule tracking was performed using a TIR fluorescence microscope equipped with a cooled CCD camera (Cascade:650, Photometrics, Tucson, AZ, USA) and an image intensifier (C8600-03, Hamamatsu Photonics, Hamamatsu, Japan). The single fluorescent molecules were tracked for 0.67 ~ 2 sec (20 ~ 60 frames) using imaging software (MetaMorph, Universal Imaging Corp, Downingtown, PA, USA). The single-molecule trajectories were analyzed with mean-square displacement (MSD) given by \( \langle r^2 \rangle = 4D\tau \). The two-dimensional MSD, \( \langle r^2 \rangle \), was calculated for each particle, where \( r \) denotes the displacement of the fluorescent particle during each time interval, \( \tau \) (multiples of 33.3ms), and \( \langle > \rangle \) denotes the average over the tracking duration (23, 24).

**RESULTS**

**TIR-FCS in vitro experiments**

We first carried out TIR-FCS measurements of fluorescein in Tris-buffered solution (10mM, pH 8.0), in control experiments to determine the TIR-FCS observation volume. Fluorescence fluctuation of fluorescein diffusing near the glass surface in aqueous solution was detected by TIR-FCS (Fig. 2a). The best fitting of the autocorrelation functions by Eq.
yielded the average value of structure parameter $\omega = 0.16$ and axial diffusion time $\tau_z = 3.1 \mu$s. Therefore, $h$ was estimated to be 61nm and $\omega_{xy} = 381$nm.

As the next step, EGFP diffusing near the glass surface in Tris buffer was measured using TIR-FCS (Fig. 2b). With the blocking reagent treatment (see MATERIALS AND METHODS) only free diffusion of EGFP in the buffer solution was measured by TIR-FCS (Fig. 2b, closed circles). On the other hand, when the glass chamber was not treated, nonspecific binding of EGFPs to the glass surface gave a long-time component of autocorrelation (Fig. 2b, open circles). The overall background signal for the negative control with the blocking reagent was about 4.0 kcps ($10^3$ counts/second). The blocking reagent exhibited weak fluorescence but gave no autocorrelation function. The fitting analyses with Eq. 1, where $\omega = 0.16$ was fixed, yielded diffusion constants of EGFP in Tris buffer ($D = 6.9 \times 10^{-7}$ cm$^2$/s, Table 1). This value agreed well with the predicted value calculated from the cube root of fluorescein and the EGFP molecular weight ratio, according to the Stokes-Einstein equation, and was almost the same as that obtained using conventional FCS ($7.8 \times 10^{-7}$ cm$^2$/s, (25)). Figure 2b shows that the average signal $<S>$ was 10.2 kcps, and the average background intensity $<B>$ was 3.8 kcps. Fitting gave the number of fluorophores $N = 0.6$. The background-corrected values (26) of $N$ and cpm were 0.2 and 27.8 kcps, respectively. Therefore, these results demonstrated that the TIR-FCS system was successfully set up.

**TIR-FCS of EGFP in cytosol of HeLa cells**

We also tried to measure EGFP diffusion in cytosol of HeLa cells using TIR-FCS. First, we carried out experiments with the same incident angle of the laser beam near $\theta_{max}$ ($\approx 72^\circ$) as in the control experiments above, which resulted in a small evanescent field. However, no clear correlation curve was obtained (data not shown).

To gain stronger fluorescent signals, HeLa cells were illuminated with a deeper evanescent field ($\theta < 70^\circ$), then correlation curves were observed (Fig. 3). Because of fast photobleaching, we could collect fluorescent signals from HeLa cells for only 10 seconds. Therefore, the ratio of signal per noise (S/N) was lower than in in vitro experiments. The background autofluorescence level of HeLa cells was about 5.0 kcps. With the same incident angle of the laser, fluorescein in Tris-buffer solution was measured, and the fitting of the data gave $\omega = 0.18$. EGFP in solution was also measured in the same way (Fig. 3). By fitting of the FAFs with Eq. 1, where $\omega$ was fixed at 0.18, the average diffusion constants of EGFP were calculated to be $7.2 \pm 1.0 \times 10^{-7}$ cm$^2$/s in solution and $2.7 \pm 1.0 \times 10^{-7}$ cm$^2$/s in HeLa cells (Table 1). The values agreed well with previous research using confocal FCS measurement (solution: $7.8 \times 10^{-7}$ cm$^2$/s, HeLa: $2.4 \times 10^{-7}$ cm$^2$/s, (25)). In comparison between the EGFP diffusion constants in aqueous solution and HeLa cells, the ratio (~ 2.7) reflects the difference of viscosity in Tris-buffer solution and cytosol of living cells (Table 1). These results showed the feasibility of using TIR-FCS to measure diffusion constants of molecules in living cells.

**TIR-FCS of fluorescent lipophilic probes in cell membranes**

To apply TIR-FCS to membrane-localized molecules in living cells, the fluorescent lipophilic probe, R18, which binds to the membrane, was observed by TIR-FCS in the plasma membrane of COS7 cells. The autocorrelation function for R18 in COS7 cellular membranes is shown in Fig. 4 (black line). The data were fitted well by a two-component model of 2-dimensional diffusion (blue line), but not by a one-component model (red line). The fitting results yielded faster diffusion constants $5.7 \pm 8.6 \times 10^{-7}$ cm$^2$/s (40±16%) and slower diffusion constants $6.2 \pm 3.7 \times 10^{-9}$ cm$^2$/s (60±16%). Confocal FCS measurements were also carried out to confirm that there was not only one normal diffusive (lateral) motion but also a faster component of R18 on the cell membrane (Supplementary Material Fig. S1).
These results demonstrated that TIR-FCS was applicable for monitoring lateral diffusion of molecules on the cell membrane.

**TIR-FCS of membrane binding protein in living cells**

TIR-FCS was employed for observation of lateral diffusion of membrane binding farnesylated EGFP (EGFP-F) in living cells. At its C-terminal 20 amino acid region, EGFP-F contains a membrane-anchor domain from H-Ras (Fig. 5a) (27, 28). After modification of the domain by farnesylation and palmitoylation, the EGFP-F was located in the inner leaflet of the plasma membrane. Figure 5b shows that most EGFP-F was anchored in the plasma membrane; however, weak signals from cytosol were presumed to represent EGFP-F without fatty acid modification for membrane-anchorage.

To reduce background signals from cytosol and photobleaching, an evanescent field as thin as possible was formed by adjusting the incident angle of the excitation laser beam to 72°, close to the maximum angle allowed by the numerical aperture of the objective (NA 1.45), for total internal reflection. By such fine-tuning we could collect fluorescence signals from small areas of plasma membranes of HeLa cells transiently expressing EGFP-F (Fig. 5c). When the cells were initially illuminated by the evanescent field for 90 seconds, the fluorescence intensity at the plasma membrane decreased to about 70% because of photobleaching. Thus, after the photobleaching we observed the fluorescence fluctuation using TIR-FCS. The obtained FAFs fit very well with the 3D2D two-component model (Eq. 3), using the fixed value of $\omega = 0.16$ (Fig. 5d). We detected two kinds of diffusional motion; fast diffusion ($D_1 = 1.1 \pm 0.3 \times 10^{-7}$ cm$^2$/s, $F_1 = 72\%$) derived from the 3D term of the fitting model and much slower diffusion ($D_2 = 5.6 \pm 2.2 \times 10^{-9}$ cm$^2$/s, $F_2 = 28\%$) from the 2D term (Fig. 5e). $D_1$ was presumed to express freely diffusing EGFP-F near the plasma membrane in cytosol. Then confocal FCS was carried out to detect EGFP-F randomly moving in cytosol (3D model) and to measure its diffusion constant ($D_1 = 2.7 \pm 1.0 \times 10^{-7}$ cm$^2$/s, $F_1 = 59\%$, Table 2).

**Single-molecule tracking of EGFP-F**

We employed single-molecule tracking analysis to measure the diffusion constants of membrane-anchor EGFP-F. EGFP-F fluorescent signals were visualized as small spots (Fig. 6a). The EGFP-F spots exhibited single-step photobleaching (Fig. 6b), and the distribution of the fluorescence intensity of individual spots showed a Gaussian-like shape (data not shown). These aspects showed that visualization with single-molecule sensitivity was achieved. Some spots had almost double the intensity of single EGFP-F molecules because of the tendency for EGFP to dimerize. Lateral movements of single fluorescent particles were traced and the obtained trajectories are shown in Figure 5c. The mean square displacement (MSD), $<r^2>$, was plotted against the time lag to calculate the diffusion constants of EGFP-F spots. The average diffusion constant was obtained as $4.7 \pm 4.6 \times 10^{-9}$ cm$^2$/s (Fig. 6d).

**TIR-FCS with a series of laser power**

Generally, FCS may not be appropriate for monitoring slow diffusional motion such as lateral diffusion of membrane-binding molecules because of the high possibility of photobleaching artifacts. The problem is that photobleaching may result in a faster apparent diffusion time than the real one. When fluorescent molecules diffuse slowly they are likely to be bleached in the detection volume before they pass through it. Therefore, it is necessary to demonstrate that diffusion constants obtained by TIR-FCS really represent diffusional mobility rather than photobleaching dynamics. By using TIR-FCS, we observed EGFP-F in COS7 cells with a series of excitation laser power densities: 1.0, 0.5 and 0.25 $\mu$W/μm$^2$ (Fig. 7a, 7b, and 7c). These laser power densities were measured through the objective ($\theta = 0^\circ$, $\theta = 72^\circ$, $\theta = 72^\circ$, $\theta = 72^\circ$).
The laser power at the maximum was 1.0 \( \mu \text{W/\mu m}^2 \) in our TIR-FCS system. We were not able to obtain a clear autocorrelation function below 0.25 \( \mu \text{W/\mu m}^2 \). Each autocorrelation function was fitted with Eq. 3 as well as Figure 5. There were differences in the fluorescence intensities (inset) and S/N ratios of the autocorrelation curves among the data for the three different laser powers. On the other hand, the diffusion constants of slow components \( (D_2) \) were almost the same (Table 3). We also carried out confocal FCS of EGFP-F in COS7 cells \( (n=47 \text{ measurements}) \). The results were \( D_1=3.0 \pm 1.8 \times 10^{-7} \text{cm}^2/\text{s}, \ F_1=50 \pm 18\% , \ D_2=5.9 \pm 2.6 \times 10^{-9} \text{cm}^2/\text{s} \) and \( F_2=50 \pm 18\% \).

DISCUSSION

TIR-FCS detection volume

Although the radius of the excitation area was about 10\( \mu \text{m} \), the TIR-FCS detection area was much smaller. \( h \) and \( \omega_{xy} \) of the TIR-FCS observation volume were estimated by both fitting of TIR-FCS data on fluorescein in Tris-buffer solution and using the diffusion constant value of \( D = 3.0 \times 10^{-6} \text{cm}^2/\text{s} \) as a standard value (Fig. 2a). With the larger incident angle of the excitation laser, which produced a thinner evanescent field, the value of \( h \) decreased, while \( \omega_{xy} \) was not changed.

In the case of cellular experiments, the size of the observation volume is presumed to be a little different from that in aqueous solution measurements. Indeed, \( h \), but not \( \omega_{xy} \), would be larger because of the higher refractive indexes of cytosol. However, we used the same value of \( h \) as for in vitro experiments, because exact estimation of the observation volume in cellular applications seems to be very difficult due to heterogeneous attachment of the plasma membrane to the glass surface. The value of \( h \) numerically calculated by MDEs (20) may be appropriate for the cellular experiments to more precisely calculate 3D diffusion constants. In this study, however, the procedure to estimate observation volume used in Fig. 2a should have been sufficient to calculate the 2D diffusion constants on the cell surface we were interested in.

TIR-FCS of R18 in the plasma membrane

The fitting results with the two-component model of 2-dimensional diffusion, but not with the one-component model, agreed well with the measured correlation function in TIR-FCS of R18 in cell membranes (Fig. 4). This may be due to binding processes of R18 to the membrane, micellar structure, or anomalous diffusion (22). Confocal FCS also detected two diffusing species of R18 in the cell membrane (Supplementary Materials Fig. S1). A more appropriate laser wavelength (HeNe 543nm) was used for R18 dyes (absorption maximum 556nm) in confocal FCS, whereas our TIR-FCS system employed only a 488nm laser in all the experiments. That is the reason why the signal bursts, as shown in confocal FCS measurements of R18, could not be observed in TIR-FCS. Nor could we do experiments using different laser powers with R18 in the cell membrane since the maximum power of the 488nm laser only evoked weak excitation of R18, so the laser power could not be reduced.

Diffusion constants measured by TIR-FCS

Confocal FCS measurements \( (D_1=2.7 \times 10^{-7} \text{cm}^2/\text{s}) \) confirmed that \( D_1 \) \( (1.1 \times 10^{-7} \text{cm}^2/\text{s}) \) measured by TIR-FCS represented free diffusion of EGFP-F located near the plasma membrane in cytosol of HeLa cells (Table 2). \( D_2 \) \( (5.6 \times 10^{-9} \text{cm}^2/\text{s}) \) obtained by TIR-FCS was consistent with single-molecule tracking (SMT) data \( (4.7 \times 10^{-9} \text{cm}^2/\text{s}) \) and previous research in tsA201 cells at 22\(^\circ\)C (SMT: 5.3 \times 10^{-9} \text{cm}^2/\text{s}, FRAP: 4.8 \times 10^{-9} \text{cm}^2/\text{s}, (29)). However, the immobile fraction \( (0.6 \times 10^{-9} \text{cm}^2/\text{s}, (29)) \) detected by previous research
was not observed by TIR-FCS in this study. This suggested that the minimum detectable diffusion constant of TIR-FCS was around $10^{-9}$ cm$^2$/s, and was due to photobleaching.

$D_1$ of EGFP-F in Fig. 5 seems to be a little smaller than the value of $D_1$ measured by confocal FCS (Table 2). This might be due to underestimation of $h$ (see the section on TIR-FCS detection volume in DISCUSSION) or some interaction of EGFP-F with the cell surface.

**Fraction of slow mobility on the cell surface**

Although confocal FCS could detect both membrane-bound and free fluorescent molecules near the plasma membrane (Table 2), the standard deviations of the diffusion constants for the slow and fast moving components were much larger than those obtained by TIR-FCS. By using the confocal FCS, the plasma membrane can be detected very easily because the thickness of plasma membrane is only about 10 nm and the length of the confocal element of FCS is about 1 μm. However, detection of stable emission intensity from plasma-membrane-bound fluorophores may be difficult by using the confocal element of confocal FCS, because the distribution of excitation energy is changed according to the optical axis by moving the focal position. This suggests that local observation of the plasma membrane of the living cell is constantly achieved by TIR-FCS, because the thickness of the excitation field of TIR-FCS is constant at the plasma membrane. However, the detection efficiency decays much more in the axial direction in TIR-FCS. Therefore we measured the strongest fluorescence intensity in cells whose membranes were tightly attached to the glass surface and did not undergo axial movement in and out of the exponentially decaying detection volume. However, it is necessary to design control experiments using a fluorescent probe residing exclusively in the cellular or an artificial membrane in order to address the possibility that minute movements of membranes in the axial direction contribute to the fluorescence fluctuation. Moreover, the dependence of diffusion times on the laser power and pinhole size should be checked in the near future as in a previous study (20). One should recognize the similarity between the TIR-FCS curve of eGFP sticking to a non-coated coverslip (Fig. 2b, opened circles) and the TIR-FCS curves of the membrane probes (Fig. 4, black line).

Although EGFP-F signals in the plasma membranes of HeLa cells were stronger than in cytosol as shown in the fluorescence microscope image (Fig. 5b), the average fraction of membrane-binding EGFP-F (28%) was smaller than that of cytosol-localized EGFP-F (72%) (Fig. 5e). This was because the depth of the evanescent field (200 nm) was still thicker than the plasma membrane (10 nm). A higher numerical aperture (NA) objective lens (NA 1.65), which can produce a thinner evanescent field, could be expected to decrease the interference from cytosol. The fraction of $F_1$ measured in confocal FCS was smaller than that in TIR-FCS (Table 2). In the confocal FCS measurements, most of the area focused on by the confocal element might be off the cell. In Table 3, however, the fraction of the membrane-binding component ($F_2$) with laser power density 0.25 μW/μm$^2$ was 53%, which was larger than the $F_2$ (50%) obtained by confocal FCS of EGFP-F in COS7 cells.

**The effect of photobleaching**

We could measure the diffusion of EGFP near the cell surface in cytosol of HeLa cells only when using a deeper evanescent field than that used in Fig. 2 (Fig. 3). Indeed, although the autocorrelation function of EGFP in cytosol was obtained using the same incident angle for the laser as in Fig. 2, FCS signals were too weak to be analyzed by fitting. On the other hand, both cytosol-localized and membrane-anchoring EGFP-F were clearly detected using the same conditions of TIR illumination (Fig. 5d). This might have been due to the interaction of EGFP-F with the plasma membrane, but it could not be explained in detail, so further study is required. However, Fig. 3, Fig. 4 and Fig. 5 demonstrate that
TIR-FCS was at least appropriate for monitoring of membrane-binding molecules, though not cytosol-localized molecules. Due to the reduced photobleaching by the thinner evanescent field, signal collection was performed for a longer time (30~90 seconds). Indeed, 30-second collection gave correlation curves clear enough for fitting (Fig. 7).

The decrease of fluorescence occurring when the cells were first illuminated might correspond to the photobleaching of the mostly immobile fraction (29) or the aggregation of EGFP-F in the plasma membranes of HeLa cells (Fig. 5).

The total number of EGFPs in a cultured cell was much smaller than that in the buffer solution in in vitro experiments, because the volume of a cell is much smaller than the total volume in an in vitro experiment. The few EGFPs in cell space were destroyed readily by the evanescent field. Thus, in cellular experiments with an incident laser-beam angle of < 70°, strong photobleaching interfered with signal collection of FCS for a longer time (Fig. 3).

After modification by double palmitoylation and single farnesylation, EGFP-F might come from the cytosol pool and then anchor in the plasma membrane like H-Ras. However, in this study, we presumed that association and dissociation of EGFP-F with the plasma membrane would rarely occur within the TIR-FCS detection volume. Since EGFP-F has two palmitoyl groups, its residence time at the plasma membrane should be longer than for membrane-anchored proteins with a single palmitoyl group like N-Ras (28). The slow process observed here may arise from EGFP-F molecules that have diffused three-dimensionally from the cytoplasm to the plasma membrane, instead of laterally within the plasma membrane into the detection volume (18, 30). Detailed simulation experiments could resolve this question. However, that is beyond the scope of this paper.

The assumption that diffusion constants may represent artifacts of photobleaching, but not real diffusional mobility, was denied by the experiments with a series of laser powers (Fig. 7). If \( D_2 \) represents photobleaching dynamics, the \( D_2 \) value would become smaller with weaker laser power. However, this effect did not occur (Table 3).

The disadvantage of TIR-FCS is that the total power of the laser used for illumination of the specimen is stronger than that in confocal FCS. Therefore, the fluorophores in the overall specimen, but not the detection volume, may be more easily bleached than in confocal FCS, in which the total power is lower and the excitation area is smaller. However, this weak point of TIR-FCS may be improved by a reduction of the illumination area in the xy plane with a smaller field diaphragm (FD).

On the other hand, the laser power density (the value obtained by division of total laser power by the illumination area), but not total power, is approximately 50 times lower than that in confocal FCS. This advantage of TIR-FCS may yield a smaller possibility of photobleaching artifacts, compared to confocal FCS.

Incident laser intensity of approximately 1 \( \mu \)W/\( \mu \)m\(^2\), which was measured through the objective (\( \theta = 0^\circ \), epi-illumination), was used for TIR-FCS. This was about 50 times weaker than that for confocal FCS, suggesting that there was little possibility of photobleaching in the detection volume on the cell surface and the usefulness of dual-color TIR-fluorescence cross-correlation spectroscopy (TIR-FCCS) application in combination with both EGFP-fused proteins and photochemically unstable monomeric red fluorescent proteins.

Finally, we conclude that TIR-FCS simultaneously detects membrane-bound movement and free movement of protein in cytosol in the living cell. In the near future, we plan to employ TIR-FCS to examine the dynamic processes of proteins that undergo localization changes between the cytosol and plasma membrane (PKC, MAPKKKs, etc.). Furthermore, TIR-FCCS should be useful as a method with high sensitivity for detecting weak pairs (31) or a leading method for monitoring of protein-protein associations on the surfaces of living cells (30). Our future goal is to develop TIR-FCCS and then to employ the technique for identification and characterization of ligand-receptor or protein-protein interactions at the plasma membranes in living cells.
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**Table 1. TIR-FCS of EGFP in solution and living cells.**
Average diffusion constants ($D$) of EGFP in Tris buffer (pH 8.0) and HeLa cells measured using TIR-FCS. Structure parameter $\omega$ was fixed, based on the fitting results of fluorescein measurements. $n$ is the number of measurements. ND, not determined.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>EGFP</th>
<th>$\omega$</th>
<th>$D$ (cm$^2$/s)</th>
<th>$n$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>in Tris buffer</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>6.9±0.9×10$^{-7}$</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>7.2±0.7×10$^{-7}$</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>in HeLa cells</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>2.7±1.0×10$^{-7}$</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Comparison of TIR-FCS with other methods
Average diffusion constants ($D$), fraction ($F$) of EGFP-F expressed in HeLa cells measured using TIR-FCS, single-molecule tracking (SMT) and confocal FCS. $n$ is the number of measurements (FCS) or EGFP-F molecules (SMT). ND, not determined.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Fast</th>
<th>Slow</th>
<th>$n$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TIR-FCS</td>
<td>$D_1$</td>
<td>$F_1$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.1±0.3×10$^{-7}$</td>
<td>72±11</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5.6±2.2×10$^{-9}$</td>
<td>28±11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMT</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>4.7±4.6×10$^{-9}$</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Confocal FCS (PM)</td>
<td>2.7±1.0×10$^{-7}$</td>
<td>59±14</td>
<td>7.0±8.6×10$^{-9}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. The relationship between TIR-FCS results and laser excitation power.
TIR-FCS of EGFP-F in COS7 cells with a series of laser excitation powers. The statistical data are based on TIR-FCS results for the same cells ($n = 14$ cells).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Laser power (µW/µm²)</th>
<th>Fast</th>
<th>Slow</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$D_1$ (cm$^2$/s)</td>
<td>$F_1$ (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TIR-FCS</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.7±0.2×10$^{-7}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.6±0.3×10$^{-7}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.5±0.3×10$^{-7}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(mean±s.d)
**FIGURE LEGENDS**

**Fig. 1. Schematic diagram of objective-type TIR-FCS setup for cellular application.**
Total internal reflection (TIR) illumination, achieved by objective-lens type TIR-FM, produces an evanescent field. Cultured cells are illuminated by the evanescent field near the glass surface. Fluorescence signals are focused into an optical fiber (ϕ 50 µm) and collected by an Avalanche photodiode (APD). The fluorescence autocorrelation function is calculated by a digital correlator.

**Fig. 2. Autocorrelation function of TIR-FCS control experiments.**
Control measurements were carried out on samples (a) 300 nM fluorescein in 10 mM Tris (pH 8.0). Fitting analysis with Eq. 1 yielded $N = 10.4$, $p = 44\%$, $\tau_i = 0.3\;\mu\text{s}$, $\tau_z = 2.7\;\mu\text{s}$, $\omega = 0.15$. (b) EGFP in 10 mM Tris (pH 8.0) near the glass surface with (closed circles) and without (open circles) blocking treatment. The best fit of the data (closed circles) to Eq. 1 gave $N = 0.6$, $\tau_i = 0.2\;\mu\text{s}$, $p = 78\%$ and $\tau_z = 16\;\mu\text{s}$. The structure parameter $\omega$ was fixed to 0.16.

**Fig. 3. Autocorrelation functions of EGFP in aqueous solution and in cytosol of HeLa cells using TIR-FCS.**
Representative normalized autocorrelation functions of EGFP in Tris buffer solution (pH 8.0) (red cross) and in cytosol of HeLa cells (blue circle). Diffusion constants were given as $8.2 \times 10^{-7}\text{ cm}^2/\text{s}$ in solution and $1.6 \times 10^{-7}\text{ cm}^2/\text{s}$ in a HeLa cell by fitting.

**Fig. 4. Autocorrelation function of R18 in cell membranes of living COS7 cells using TIR-FCS.**
Autocorrelation function of R18 in the cell membrane (black line) and fitting curves of one-component model (red line, Eq.2, $i = 1$) and two-component model (blue line, Eq.2, $i = 2$). The fit residues are shown in the bottom panel.

**Fig. 5. TIR-FCS measurements of HeLa cells expressing EGFP-F.**
(a) Schematic diagram of EGFP-F. EGFP-F are anchored in the inner surface of the plasma membrane by one farnesylation and two palmitoylations at its C-terminal region. (b) LSM image of HeLa cells expressing EGFP-F. Bar represents 20 µm. (c) TIR-FM image of a HeLa cell expressing EGFP-F. Arrow and small circle indicate TIR-FCS observation area. Bar represents 5 µm. (d) The black line shows the autocorrelation function acquired by TIR-FCS of EGFP-F and the red line shows the fitting curve. (Inset) Time course of the fluorescence intensities. The bottom panel shows the fit residue. (e) Distribution analysis of diffusion constants. Dispersion plots of diffusion constants and their fractions from TIR-FCS of EGFP-F.

**Fig. 6. Single-molecule tracking of EGFP-F on plasma membrane.**
(a) Single fluorescent molecule images of EGFP-F expressed in HeLa cells. Bar represents 5 µm (left). Enlarged image of area surrounded by red rectangle. The fluorescence intensity is viewed in pseudocolor. Single molecules (single arrowheads) and a dimer (double arrowheads) of EGFP-F are shown. Bar represents 1 µm (right). (b) Time course of fluorescent intensity of EGFP-F spot. (c) Representative trajectories of EGFP-F moving with small (red) and typical (black) diffusion constants on the plasma membrane. Bar represents 1 µm. (d) Histogram of diffusion constants of EGFP-F spots measured by single-molecule tracking.
Fig. 7. Autocorrelation functions of EGFP-F expressed in living COS7 cells with a series of laser powers.

Autocorrelation functions of EGFP-F in COS7 cells by using a series of laser powers: 1.0, 0.5 and 0.25 µW/µm² are shown in a, b, and c, respectively. Autocorrelation functions (black line) were fitted with Eq. 3 (red line). The results were $D_1=0.6\times10^{-7}\text{cm}^2\text{/s}$ (46%) and $D_2=3.1\times10^{-9}\text{cm}^2\text{/s}$ (54%) in Fig. 7a, $D_1=0.5\times10^{-7}\text{cm}^2\text{/s}$ (46%) and $D_2=3.5\times10^{-9}\text{cm}^2\text{/s}$ (54%) in Fig. 7b and $D_1=0.4\times10^{-7}\text{cm}^2\text{/s}$ (41%) and $D_2=3.5\times10^{-9}\text{cm}^2\text{/s}$ (59%) in Fig. 7c. The insets and the bottom panels show the fluorescence intensities and the fit residues, respectively.
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Legends to supplementary figures

Fig. S1 Autocorrelation function for R18 on the membrane of living COS7 cell measured by confocal FCS.
Autocorrelation function of R18 in the cell membrane. Inset shows fluorescence intensity. A HeNe laser (543nm) was used for the experiments. The autocorrelation curve (black line) was fitted with Eq.2 (i=2, red line). The obtained diffusion times were $\tau_1=98\pm113\mu s$ (40±8%) and $\tau_2=12\pm10ms$ (60±8%), corresponding to $D_1= 8.3\pm7.2 \times 10^{-7} \text{ cm}^2/\text{s}$ and $D_2= 6.8\pm8.1 \times 10^{-9} \text{ cm}^2/\text{s}$ ($n$=31 measurements).
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