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Delamination structure imaged in the source area of the 1982 Urakawa-oki earthquake
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Abstract. The Kuril arc collides with the northeast Japan arc in the southern part of Hokkaido, Japan. 3-D tomographic inversion of data from a dense network of sensitive ocean-bottom seismographs and land stations has allowed imaging of previously unseen details of the arc-arc collision structure. A low velocity body dips gently southwestward, at depths of 35 to 45 km, from east of the Hidaka Mountains to the source area of the 1982 Urakawa-oki destructive earthquake ($M_s$ 6.8). The low velocity body is the lower half of the lower crust of the Kuril arc, which must have been delaminated by the collision. We believe that the continuing collision of the delaminated lower crust with the northeast Japan arc resulted as an episode of aseismic slow slip prior to the 1982 Urakawa-oki earthquake as well being the reason for the high seismic activity in this region.

1. Introduction

Arc-arc collisions are important process in the transformation of island-arc crust to new continental crust [Kimura, 1996]. In the southern Hokkaido region of Japan, the Kuril arc has been colliding with the northeast Japan arc since middle Miocene [Kimura, 1996], resulting in the uplift of the Hidaka Mountains behind the Hidaka Main Thrust. Petrologic and deformational studies on the surface geology show that the relatively pristine lower crustal rocks of the Kuril arc have been exposed in the Hidaka Mountains by overthrusting of the Kuril arc [Komatsu et al., 1983; Osanai et al., 1986]. Seismic tomographic and exploration studies confirm that the Kuril arc is obducted towards the west [Takanami, 1982; Miyamachi and Moriya, 1984; Iwasaki et al., 1998; Tsumura et al., 1999]. The west-southwestward motion of the Kuril arc is also confirmed by GPS data [Mazzotti et al., 2000]. However the spatial resolution of the previous tomographic studies is rather poor for the deep crustal and uppermost mantle structure, especially in the offshore area. Recently Tsumura et al. [1999] used data from seismic reflection surveys to show that the lower crust of the Kuril arc is delaminated at a depth of 23-33 km in the eastern side of the Hidaka Mountains and that the lower part is descending westward. However their data do not allow adequate spatial coverage. It is necessary to image the 3-D structure in order to clarify the collision tectonics.
It is also important in order to understand the patterns of earthquake occurrence. Around the southwestern foot of the Hidaka Mountains, the seismic activity is high and the earthquakes occur to a maximum depth of 65km, which corresponds to the upper boundary of the subducting Pacific plate [Moriya et al., 1997, 1998]. This suggests the materials are still brittle even in such a deep region. In addition, destructive earthquakes such as the 1982 Urakawa-oki earthquake (Ms 6.8) occurred in this area. Arc-arc collision is also thought to be responsible for the earthquake occurrence [Moriya et al., 1997, 1998] but this issue has remained a matter of speculation. Here we present a higher resolution 3-D arc-arc collision structure inferred from a tomographic inversion of seismic travel time data and discuss its implications for earthquake occurrence.

2. Data and Methods

In 1999, we conducted observations of microearthquakes with a dense network of 87 land stations and 21 pop-up type ocean-bottom seismographs (OBSs) (Figure 1 (left)). We continued observation with this land array for about 2 years, while the OBSs had recording periods of about either 4 or 8 weeks in 1999. We used only events recorded for the 8 weeks of the OBS deployment to obtain a homogeneous data set for the tomographic inversion.

We first determined the hypocenters by using a maximum-likelihood estimation algorithm with a Bayesian approach [Hirata and Matsu’ura, 1987] to analyze the arrival time data from the land and OBS arrays. We removed travel time delays due to unconsolidated sediments beneath the OBS stations. These station corrections were estimated from travel time differences between direct P and P-S basement conversions, assuming sediment P- and S-wave velocities of 2.0 and 0.725 km/s, respectively [Iwasaki et al., 1991]. We did not apply station corrections for the land stations because they are located on bedrock. We used the laterally homogeneous P-wave velocity structure Models L and O in Figure 1 (right top) for land and OBS arrays, respectively, and assumed a P- to S-wave velocity ratio of 1.73. Model L is the velocity structure routinely used for locating earthquakes with the seismic network operated by Hokkaido.
University. Model O is based on the velocity structure determined from a seismic refraction experiment in
the southwest Hokkaido region [Asano et al., 1979]. Because crustal structure deeper than 15 km depth was
not estimated, we sought the crustal thickness and $P$-wave velocity of the mantle which minimized travel
time residuals in trial hypocenter determinations using only the OBSs data. We determined the hypocenters
of 420 events with the land array for the 8 weeks of the OBS deployment, while we obtained 424
hypocenters from the OBS array (Figure 1 (right bottom)). As 148 of them are determined by both arrays,
we relocated these events by merging the arrival data and using the laterally homogeneous velocity structure
Model C in Figure 1 (right top), which is an ‘average’ of Models L and O and minimizes travel time
residuals. The combined land-OBS array provides a favorable station geometry for this study.

We selected 488 from 696 hypocenters in Figure 1 (right bottom) for the tomographic inversion.
The selected earthquakes have magnitudes larger than 1.5 and those hypocenters are determined from at
least 5 arrival times. Moreover we excluded hypocenters with negative depth and those shallower than
5.811 km, the deepest OBS, for the earthquakes detected by the OBS array. We used 7,657 $P$ arrival times
with residuals less than 1.5s for the velocity structure models in Figure 1 (right top), to determine the
hypocenters and estimated $P$-wave velocity structure.

We used the seismic tomography method of Zhao et al. [1992, 1994]. In this method, correction
terms for the source and velocity model parameters are estimated iteratively, by minimizing all travel time
residuals in a least squares sense. We iterated the inversion until the reduction in the root-mean-square (rms)
travel time residuals was less than 0.1s. For the 3-D inversion, the conjugate gradient (CG) method and
LSQR algorithm [Paige and Saunders, 1982] are used to solve the large and sparse observation equations
that relate the data to the source and medium parameters. A pseudo-bending method [Zhao et al., 1992;
Koketsu and Sekine, 1998] is used to trace seismic rays.

The model spanned the latitude range of 40.6°N-43.4°N and the longitude range of 141°E-146.4°E
with a grid interval of 0.2°. We placed the shallowest layer of the grid at a depth of 9 km, which is 3.189 km
below the deepest OBS, to take heterogeneity of the sedimentary layer into account. The other layers of the grid spanned the depth range from 15 to 105 km with an interval of 10 km. As the initial model we assumed the laterally homogeneous velocity structure Model C in Figure 1 (right top), where the velocities at the grid points are shown by dots. The station corrections for the OBS data were the same as those used in the hypocenter determination.

The rms of the travel time residuals was reduced from 1.02 s to 0.55 s after 3 iterations of the inversion. We performed a checkerboard resolution test [e.g. Inoue et al., 1990] in order to check the reliability of the estimated parameters. In this test we used a velocity perturbation of 10 per cent with a checkerboard pattern that has the same sizes as the grid intervals and then inverted the artificial travel time data calculated for the test model. The original checkerboard patterns were reconstructed fairly well in the area shown in Figure 2 and for depths shallower than 55 km.

3. Results

From the tomographic images, distinct low-velocity anomalies are detected extending from the western side to the central part of the Hidaka Mountains in the depth interval from 9 to 25 km (Figures 2(a) and 2(b)). These low-velocity anomalies run parallel to the Hidaka Mountains from 42°N to 42.8°N. High-velocity anomalies are found just beneath the crest of the Hidaka Mountains (Figure 2(a)). They stretch in the NW-SE direction so as to overlie the low-velocity anomalies referred to above. At depths of 35 to 45 km, there are low-velocity anomalies beneath the Hidaka Mountains (Figures 2(c) and 2(d)).

Figure 3 shows the vertical cross section along the line A-B in Figure 2(a). This direction is almost perpendicular to the axis of the Hidaka Mountains and the line crosses the aftershock region of the 1982 Urakawa-oki earthquake [Moriya et al., 1998]. A 5.8-6.0 km/s body, whose velocity is consistent with that obtained by seismic refraction experiments [Iwasaki et al., 1998], dips eastward from west of the Hidaka Mountains. It is considered to be the crust of the northeast Japan arc. A 6.7-7.4 km/s body is found just beneath the crest of the Hidaka Mountains with velocity in good agreement with that of the lower crust in
central Hokkaido as determined by seismic refraction experiments [Iwasaki et al., 1998]. It is considered to be the Kuril arc obducted towards the west. These results are consistent with those obtained by previous tomographic studies [Takanami, 1982; Miyamachi and Moriya, 1984].

Our new finding is a 6.9-7.4 km/s body at depths of 35 to 45 km, dipping gently southwestward from east of the Hidaka Mountains to the source area of the 1982 Urakawa-oki earthquake [Miyamachi and Moriya, 1987; Suzuki and Motoya, 1983]. Its velocity is also consistent with that of the lower crust of the Kuril arc and the depth corresponds to the crustal laminations characteristic of the lower crust [Iwasaki et al., 1998]. Therefore we consider it delaminated lower crust of the Kuril arc. The line A-B almost coincides with the survey lines of the seismic reflection experiments by Tsumura et al. [1999] on land and thus we concur with their interpretation that the lower crust of the Kuril arc is delaminated by the collision. Here we find that the lower part of the Kuril arc lower crust extends beneath the sea and that the 1982 Urakawa-oki earthquake occurred near its tip. Our ability to characterize this new structure arises from our use of both land and OBS array data.

4. Discussion

We propose the collision model shown in Figure 3. If we assume the Moho discontinuity to be the 7.6km/s contour, the depth is 20km and 30km at the east and west of Hokkaido Island, respectively, which is consistent with the result of Miyamachi et al. [1994]. In this figure the boundary between the Kuril arc and the northeast Japan arc is shown by a broken line, which is taken to be the boundary between the high and low velocity anomalies at depths shallower than 30km together with the plane where velocity inversion occurs at around 30 km depth and the 7.4km/s contour at greater depths. The length of the lower part of the delaminated Kuril arc lower crust is about 50km, which is comparable to the total crustal shortening length between the two island-arcs evaluated from geological data [Ito et al., 2001]. The upper boundary of the subducting Pacific plate is assumed to be the upper seismic plane of the double-planed deep seismic zone, which is estimated by expressing the 2-D depth distribution of the hypocenters in the upper seismic plane,
determined from land array data as a power series function of latitude and longitude, similar to the procedure by Miyamachi et al. [1994]. Its depth is almost the same as their estimates.

The faulting mechanism of the 1982 Urakawa-oki earthquake shows a thrust type solution with a compressional axis in a NE-SW direction [Takeo et al., 1983; Moriya et al., 1983]. In addition, we estimated the direction of maximum compression by using the stress tensor inversion method of Horiuchi et al. [1995] and the focal mechanism solutions of many microearthquakes. They show NE-SW direction in the western side of the Hidaka Mountains (bars in Figures 2 and 3). The direction of the earthquake-generating stress is consistent with our collision model. The delaminated lower crust appears to reach a depth close to the upper boundary of the subducting Pacific plate in this region. All the earthquake hypocenters determined by the dense network of the land stations are projected in Figures 2 and 3. On the whole, the distribution of the earthquakes is concentrated in the region close to the tip of the subducting lower crust of the Kuril arc. It is plausible that compressional stress is accumulated there due to the collision and is released by earthquake faulting near the structural boundary. Thus our model would explain why the seismicity is high even in such a deep crust in this region. The 1982 Urakawa-oki earthquake ruptured the region shallower than the subducting lower crust. However an aseismic slow slip occurred on the deeper extension of the co-seismic fault plane about 3 years before the earthquake [Tada, 1987]. We infer that the slow pre-slip occurred at the front of the subducting lower crust of the Kuril arc.
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Figure 1. (Left) Distribution of seismographic stations used in this study. Circles and boxes represent OBS sites with recording periods of 4 and 8 weeks, respectively. Inset, regional map showing the location of the study area. Thin lines show the major plate boundaries. Abbreviations: KA, Kuril Arc; NJA, Northeast Japan Arc; EP, Eurasian Plate; PP, Pacific Plate; and PSP, Philippine Sea Plate. (Right top) P-wave velocity structure models for hypocenter determination (Models L, O and C) and velocities at grid points of the initial model for the tomographic inversion (dots of Model C). (Right bottom) Distribution of epicenters
determined in this study. Closed circles represent the epicenters of the events used in the tomographic inversion. Thin line shows the Japan and Kuril Trenches.

**Figure 2.** Estimated seismic velocity images at (a) 15-, (b) 25-, (c) 35-, and (d) 45- km depths. The amplitude of velocity perturbation from the initial model is distinguished by color. Only results with good resolution are plotted. Thick solid line A-B in (a) indicates the location of the cross section displayed in Figure 3. Open circles are epicenters, within a 10-km depth range of the slice, determined by the dense network of land stations for the whole observation period. The maximum compressional axes estimated from the focal mechanism solutions of these earthquakes are shown by bars projected onto the horizontal plane. They are plotted so that the length coincides with that of the reference bar at the base of the figure when the maximum compressional stress is purely horizontal. Location of the Hidaka Main Thrust (HMT) is shown. A star and a thin line indicate the epicenter [Suzuki and Motoya, 1983] and aftershock region [Moriya et al., 1998] of the 1982 Urakawa-oki earthquake, respectively.

**Figure 3.** Vertical profile of the estimated seismic velocity model for the cross section along the line A-B in Figure 2(a). Only results with good resolution are plotted. The origin of the abscissa represents the west coast line of Hokkaido Island. Open circles are the hypocenters that lie within 10 km of the vertical plane. The maximum compressional axes estimated from the focal mechanism solutions of these earthquakes are shown by bars projected onto the vertical plane. They are plotted so that the length coincides with that of the reference when the axis is parallel to this vertical plane. The epicenter [Suzuki and Motoya, 1983] and aftershock region [Miyamachi and Moriya, 1987] of the 1982 Urakawa-oki earthquake are shown projected by a star and thick gray lines, respectively. Geological interpretation is superimposed. We assume the Moho discontinuity to be the 7.6km/s contour. A broken line shows the inferred boundary between the two island-arcs. The upper boundary of the subducting Pacific plate is estimated from the 2-D depth distribution of the hypocenters in the upper seismic plane of the double-planed deep seismic zone. A thick solid line and a triangle at the top represent the locations of the land area and the Hidaka Mountains, respectively.
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