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The electrical type, resistivity, and donor or acceptor concentration of CdTe films deposited electrochemically at various potentials were measured. The carrier mobilities of the films were determined from these results. The deposition potential dependence of the mobility was small and the deposition potential dependence of the resistivity was mainly controlled by the deposition potential dependence of the donor or acceptor concentration. The carrier mobilities were very small compared with those in single crystals due to the scattering of the carriers at grain boundaries.

I. INTRODUCTION

CdTe is one of the most important materials for thin-film solar cell application. The electrochemical deposition is an attractive method to prepare CdTe films because the physical properties of the films can be easily controlled by the deposition conditions, e.g., deposition potential and bath composition. To establish the preparation method, it is essential to understand the effect of deposition and post-treatment conditions on the physical properties of CdTe films. We and others have already reported the effects of the deposition and post-treatment conditions on the composition, electric resistance, and photoelectrochemical properties of CdTe films. However, the detail of the electronic properties, e.g., carrier concentration and carrier mobility, of CdTe films and the effect of deposition conditions on these should be investigated further.

In this paper, we measured the electrical type, resistivity, and donor or acceptor concentration of CdTe films deposited electrochemically at various potentials. The carrier mobilities of the films were determined from these results. The deposition potential dependence of the carrier mobilities was small and the deposition potential dependence of the resistivity was mainly controlled by that of the donor or acceptor concentration. The carrier mobilities were very small compared with those in single crystals due to the scattering of the carriers at grain boundaries.

II. EXPERIMENT

CdTe films were deposited cathodically on Ti sheets (The Japan Lamp Industrial Co. Ltd., 0.2 mm thick), which were degreased by a chloroform and an ethanol vapor, treated by a 10% HF solution to remove an oxide layer and washed by deionized water before use, from an aqueous sulfuric acid solution containing 1 M CdSO₄ and 1 mM TeO₂. A potentiostat (Wenking Model 68 FRO.5) was used to control the electrode potential. The reagent grade CdSO₄ (purity 99.5%) and TeO₂ (purity 99%) were used as purchased. Water was purified by the Milli Q water purification system. A usual three-electrode cell was used for the deposition of CdTe films. A platinum sheet and a Ag/AgCl electrode were used as a counter and a reference electrode, respectively. The deposition of the films was carried out at room temperature after the electrolyte solutions were deaerated by passing a purified He gas through the solution for about 20 min.

To avoid the complication due to conduction through Ti substrate, CdTe film was fixed on a glass plate by using epoxy resin, and then Ti substrate was removed. Resistivity of the films was measured in dark at room temperature by means of a four-point probe technique using a specially designed probe (Mitsubishi Petrochemical Co. Ltd., MCP-probe). To carry out impedance measurements, Au and In were vacuum evaporated onto each end of the films. While Au forms an ohmic contact and In forms the Schottky barrier at p-CdTe, Au and In give the Schottky barrier and an ohmic contact, respectively, at n-CdTe. Impedance between the two metal contacts was measured for over a wide range of frequencies (10 kHz–100 Hz), by using a potentiostat (Nikkos Keisoku NPGS-301s) and a frequency response analyzer (NF Electronics Co. Ltd., s-5720B), which was controlled by a personal computer (NEC Co. Ltd., PC-8801) via GP-IB interface. The electrical type of the films was determined both by thermoelectric power measurements and by the impedance measurements.

III. RESULTS

The dependence of the resistivity on the deposition potential is shown in Fig. 1. The electrical type of the films determined by thermoelectric power measurements is also shown in Fig. 1. These results are essentially in good agreement with the results already reported.

The donor or acceptor concentration of films was obtained by analyzing the results of the impedance measurements. Typical plots of real part versus imaginary part of impedance for a p-CdTe film deposited at –0.35V vs Ag/AgCl are shown in Fig. 2. Half circles with different radius which depended upon the bias voltage between the film and the barrier metal were obtained. These results were analyzed...
by using the equivalent circuit also shown in Fig. 2, where $R_s, R_{dc},$ and $C_{sc}$ are a semiconductor bulk resistance, a charge-transfer resistance, and a space-charge layer capacitance, respectively. While $R_s$ depended on the applied bias and decreased with the increase of the applied reverse bias, $R_{dc}$ was independent of the applied reverse bias and was in good agreement with the value determined by the four-point probe method. The relation between the space-charge capacitance and the reverse bias voltage $V_{bi}$ is given by

$$\frac{1}{C_{sc}^2} = \pm \frac{2}{\varepsilon_0 N} \left( V_{bi} - \phi_b - \frac{kT}{e} \right),$$

where $N$ is the concentration of donor or acceptor which is completely ionized and $\phi_b$ is the barrier height between a semiconductor and a metal which forms the Schottky barrier at the semiconductor/metal interface. The positive sign stands for $n$-type and negative sign for $p$-type semiconductors. Thus, the electrical type of semiconductor and donor or acceptor concentration can be determined by plotting $1/C_{sc}^2$ against bias voltage (Mott–Schottky plot). The Mott–Schottky plots of films deposited at (a) $-0.35$ V and (b) $-0.45$ V vs Ag/AgCl are shown in Fig. 3. In both cases, linear relations between $1/C_{sc}^2$ and $V_{bi}$ were obtained and the electrical type of the films determined from these results agreed with those determined by the thermoelectric power measurement. The donor or acceptor concentration determined from the slope and the Schottky barrier heights obtained at the intercept of bias axis are listed in Table I for CdTe films deposited at various potentials.

The barrier heights at $n$-CdTe film/Au and at $p$-CdTe/CdTe junctions, $p$-CdTe film and $n$-CdTe film were deposited at $-0.35$ V and $-0.45$ V vs Ag/AgCl, respectively.

The donor or acceptor concentration of films is also shown in Fig. 4 as a function of deposition potential. In $p$-type region, the more negative the deposition potential was, the higher the donor concentration was. On the other hand, the more negative the deposition potential was, the higher the donor concentration was in $n$-type region.

### IV. DISCUSSION

The potential dependence of the electrical type (Fig. 1) and the donor and acceptor concentration (Fig. 4) can be analyzed by considering that of Cd/Te ratio in the CdTe films. We have already reported that the more negative the deposition potential is, the higher the Cd/Te ratio in the electrochemically deposited CdTe films. It is known that cadmium vacancy and interstitial tellurium acted as acceptors, while interstitial cadmium and tellurium vacancy acted as donors. Thus, the more positive the deposition potential is, the more Te is contained and, therefore, the higher the acceptor concentration is. Similarly, the more negative the deposition potential is, the more Cd is contained and, therefore, the higher the donor concentration is. The CdTe films deposited at relatively negative potentials which contain less Te are $n$ type and those deposited at relatively positive potentials are $p$ type. The transition of $p$ type to $n$ type occurs at $-0.4$ V.

The resistivity $\rho$ is given by

$$\rho = 1/[e(\mu_e p + \mu_n n)],$$

where $n$ is the density of free electrons, $p$ is the density of free electrons, and $\mu$ is the mobility of free electrons.
TABLE I. Electronic properties of electrochemically deposited CdTe films.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Deposition potential (V) vs Ag/AgCl</th>
<th>-0.30</th>
<th>-0.35</th>
<th>-0.45</th>
<th>-0.60</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Type</td>
<td>p</td>
<td>p</td>
<td>n</td>
<td>n</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barrier height ($\phi_p$) (eV)</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(1.24)*</td>
<td>(1.24)*</td>
<td>(0.70)*</td>
<td>(0.70)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resistivity (Ω cm)</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Donor or acceptor concentration (cm$^{-3}$)</td>
<td>$6.2 \times 10^{17}$</td>
<td>$1.0 \times 10^{17}$</td>
<td>$9.6 \times 10^{16}$</td>
<td>$2.4 \times 10^{16}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mobility ($\mu$) (cm$^2$ V$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(80)*</td>
<td>(80)*</td>
<td>(1050)*</td>
<td>(1050)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\mu_e$ (cm$^2$ V$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$L$ (nm)</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>0.56</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Values in parentheses are of single crystals.

holes, $\mu_e$ is the electron mobility, and $\mu_h$ is the hole mobility. Knowing $\rho$, $n$, and $p$, carrier mobility can be calculated by using Eq. (2). In the $p$-type region, $\rho \gg n$ and, therefore, Eq. (2) can be simplified as

$$\rho = \frac{1}{e \mu_h}.$$ (3)

Similarly, in the $n$-type region where $n \gg p$,

$$\rho = \frac{1}{e \mu_e}.$$ (4)

It is reasonable to assume the donor concentration in $n$-type region determined by Eq. (1) is equal to density of free electrons. Similarly, the acceptor concentration in the $p$-type region is equal to density of free holes. Thus, the carrier mobilities can be determined either by using Eq. (3) or (4) with the values of resistivity and the acceptor or donor concentration determined experimentally and are listed also in Table I.

The deposition potential dependence of the mobility is very small and, therefore, the deposition potential dependence of resistivity is mainly controlled by the dependence of the carrier concentration on the deposition potential. In the $n$-type region, the more negative the deposition potential, the lower the hole concentration $p$, and therefore, the higher the resistivity [Eq. (3)]. Similarly, in the $n$-type region, the more negative the deposition potential, the higher the electron concentration $n$, and, therefore, the lower the resistivity [Eq. (4)]. At the transition region ($E_{dep} \sim -0.40$ V), both $p$ and $n$ are very small and, therefore, very high resistivity is expected.

The mobilities of both hole and electron of deposited CdTe films were much smaller than those reported for single-crystal CdTe. The major reason for these small values could be the scattering of carriers at grain boundaries, since the grain size in the CdTe films was very small (~10 nm). The mobility in polycrystalline solid can be expressed as follows:

$$\frac{1}{\mu} = \frac{1}{\mu_L} + \frac{1}{\mu_I} + \frac{1}{\mu_N} + \frac{1}{\mu_G},$$ (5)

where $\mu_L$ is the lattice limited mobility, $\mu_I$ the ionized impurity limited mobility, and $\mu_G$ the grain-boundary limited mobility. Similarly, the mobility in a single crystal $\mu_s$ is given by

$$\frac{1}{\mu_s} = \frac{1}{\mu_L} + \frac{1}{\mu_I} + \frac{1}{\mu_N}.$$ (6)

If one assumes that $\mu_L$, $\mu_I$, and $\mu_N$ have same values both in a polycrystalline and in a single crystal, Eq. (5) can be rewritten as

$$\frac{1}{\mu} = \frac{1}{\mu_s} + \frac{1}{\mu_G}.$$ (7)

Thus, $\mu_G$ can be calculated by using the values of $\mu_s$, reported for a single crystal and $\mu$ determined experimentally. The values of $\mu_G$ thus obtained for the CdTe films are also listed in Table I. It is clearly shown that the mobility in the CdTe films are almost solely controlled by the grain boundary limited mobility. $\mu_G$ is related to the mean free path of the carrier as

$$\mu_G = e\tau_G/m^*,$$ (8)
where $m^\ast$ is the reduced mass of the carrier and $\tau_G$ is the grain-boundary controlled relaxation time which is given by

$$\tau_G = L/v.$$  \hspace{1cm} (9)

In the above equation, $L$ is the grain-boundary limited mean free path and $v$ is the average thermal velocity given by

$$v = (3kT/m^\ast)^{1/2}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (10)

By using Eqs. (8) and (9), $T = 300$ K, $m^\ast/m = 0.14,1^7$ $m^\ast/m = 0.35,1^7$ and the values of $\mu_G$, the values of $L$ are calculated and are summarized also in Table I. The calculated values of $L$ are very small (0.5–2 nm) and are similar to those reported for polycrystalline InP, the grain size of which is ~15 $\mu$m.18 These results show that the mobilities of carriers in the electrodeposited CdTe films are limited mainly by grain boundary scattering as expected. Actually, our preliminary results showed that $\mu$, $\mu_G$, and $L$ increased by heat treatment in He atmosphere which increased grain size.
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