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# REVISION OF Hypomesus olidus (PALLAS) AND Hypomesus japonicus (BREVOORT) OF HOKKAIDO, JAPAN 

Keikichi Hamada<br>(Faculty of Fisheries, Hokkaido University)

Hypomesus olidus has very generally been confused with Hypomesus japonicus. Some scholars have considered them to be a single species while others have differentiated them as two species.

According to HubBS (1925), H. olidus differs sharply from H. japonicus in the smaller number of the scales, there being 54 to 62 rather than 63 to 68 in the course of the lateral line. According to BERG (1932), however, H. olidus has 53 to 68 scales in the course of the lateral line, and by him $H$. japonicus, $H$. vercundus and $H$. olidus are referred to a single species.

In consequence of the confusion in the classification of these species, as stated above, the present author has encountered difficulty in the synecological research of the pond smelt, H. olidus, which inhabits off the coast of Hokkaido, Japan. Therefore, he is faced with the urgent necessity of making a taxonomic revision of genus of Hypomesus taken from Hokkaido.

As results of the present research, it was confirmed that there are differences in the size of scales, the number of vertebrae and the position of pelvic insertions between $H$. olidus and H. japonicus, as was pointed out by Jordan \& Hubbs (1925), Hubbs (1925) and NoJImA (1938). Moreover, it was found that the length and shape of the maxillary, the shape of the stomach and its appendage are different in the two different species.

## 1. Materials

With the exception of a few collections, all of the material used in this study was obtained off the coast of Hokkaido. Two series were taken in the Ishikari River. The specimens are shown in Tables 1 and 2. All specimens were preserved in $10 \%$ formalin.

## 2. Ratio of head-length to body-length

So far as the small individuals are concerned the relative length of the head in proportion to the body length without caudal fin, is about the same in H. olidus as in H. japonicus (Fig. 1), but it is diverse in specimens larger than about 90 mm long. The head is about $23 \%$ of body length in H . olidus, and about $22 \%$ in H. japonicus. This difference is, however, too slight to establish two species. The relative length of the head to the body length is not sufficiently different in the present case to form a basis for classification in two species.


Fig. 1. Relation between the head length and the body length. The dots and the crosses show respectively $H$. japonicus and $H$. olidus
form, small in number and sometimes entirely lacking (Figs. 2, C and D).

## 4. Number of fin rays

The number of fin rays has been examined by many scholars, such as Pallas (1811), SCHMIDT (1904), Jordan \& Hubbs (1925), Soldatov \& Lindberg (1930), Berg (1932), NoJIMA (1938) and others. The point was reexamined by the author but no clear differences were found. The detailed results of the re-examinations are as follows;
a) According to the older papers, the number of dorsal rays varied between 8 and 11 in $H$. olidus, and from 9 to 11 in $H$. japonicus. Taranetz (1936) counted only the branched rays; therefore his data can not be compared with others. In the present research, the number of the dorsal rays varied from 9 to 11 in H . olidus, and from 10 to 13 in H. japonicus, with one abnormal specimen which has 17 rays, taken at Oshoro Bay on December 9, 1952 (Tables 5 and 6).
b) On the number of the anal rays, the older papers reported that $H$. olidus varied from 13 to 14, and H. japonicus from 13 to 17 . In this re-examination, that number from 14 to 20 in H. olidus, and from 13 to 18 in H. japonicus as shown in Tables 7 and 8.
c) Schmidt (1904) and BERG (1932) say that the pectoral rays of $H$. olidus varied from 10 to 15 in number,

## 3. Length and shape of maxillary

H. olidus differs sharply from H. japonicus in the length of maxillary. Though the maxillary of H. olidus is 38 to $47 \%$ of head length, it is no more than 29 to $39 \%$ in $H$. japonicus (Tables 3 and 4). Canine-like teeth are always formed on the maxillary in H. olidus (Figs. 2,A and B). The corresponding teeth of $H$. $j a$ ponicus are, however, modified in


Fig. 2 A shows the maxillary of a female $H$. olidus obtained on October 30, 1934 in Akkeshi Bay; body length 119 mm , maxillary length 11 mm . B shows the maxillary of a male H . olidus obtained on November 10, 1952 off the coast of Mombetsu; body length 97.3 mm , maxillary length 9 mm . C shows the maxillary of a male H. japonicus obtained on May 6, 1953 in Oshoro Bay; body length 111 mm , maxillary length 9.5 mm . D shows the maxillary of a female H. japonicus obtained on October 6, 1934 in Akkeshi Bay; body length 110 mm , maxillary length 9.5 mm .
and according to GTENTHER (1866) the rays of $H$. japonicus number fourteen. As a result of the present re-examination, the number of pectoral rays was found to vary from 12 to 14 in H. olidus, and from 12 to 15 in H. japonicus as shown in Tables 9 and 10.

## 5. Number of scales in the course of the lateral line

According to Hubbs (1925), there are 54 to 62 scales in H. olidus, 63 to 68 scales in H. japonicus. Jordan \& Hubbs (1925), Jordan \& Evermann (1896) and Nojima (1938) also classified $H$. olidus and $H$. japonicus by the number of the scales. Schmidt (1904) reported that $H$. japonicus and $H$. pretiosus are local varieties of $H$. olidus. BERG (1932) and Soldatov \& Lindberg (1930) referred to $H$. olidus and H. japonicus as a single species, and they reported that the scales counted 53 to 68 in the course of the lateral line. In the present research, H. olidus was found to differ sharply from H. japonicus in the larger size of the scales, there being 54 to 60 rather than 61 to 69 in the course of the lateral line (Tables 11 and 12).

## 6. Position of pelvic fins

H. olidus is distinguished from $H$. japonicus in the more forward position of the pelvic fins in reference to the dorsal. The present specimens show the pelvic insertion to be usually in advance of, instead of a little behind, a vertical line from the origin of the dorsal, as was described by HubBS (1925).

Jordan \& Gilbert (1899) reported that the position of the pelvic fins of H. olidus varied from slightly in advance of this point in the young to slightly behind in the adult. This variation, however, was found because they erroneously identified $H$. japonicus, which had been collected at Petropoulovsk, with Mesopus olidus (HUBBS 1925).

## 7. Number of vertebrae

The number of vertebrae is a striking taxonomic characteristic of genus Hypomesus. According to Taranetz (1936), the vertebrae of $H$. olidus number 54 to 58, and those of H. pretiosus 61 to 64. NOJIMA (1938) counted 54 to 57 in H. olidus, and 61 to 64 in H. japonicus taken from Hokkaido. Sato, R. (1951) reported that the number of vertebrae of H. olidus in several lakes of Japan was 53 to 58 . The number counted by the auther, fluctuated from 52 to 58 in $H$. olidus and 59 to 66 in $H$. japonicus (Urostyle was included) as is shown in Tables 13 and 14. H. pretiosus described by Taranetz (1936) had the same number of vertebrae as $H$. japonicus which was identified by the author. Taranetz (1936) emphasized that $H$. japonicus is a synonym of $H$. pretiosus.

Soldatov \& Lindberg (1930) and Berg (1932) say that H. japonicus is a synonym of H. olidus, and that $H$. pretiosus is a different species.

Fortunately, by the courtesy of Asst. Prof. R. Sato of the Tohoku University, Sendai, Japan, the author had an opportunity to make a detailed examination of two specimens of the surf-smelt, collected from Puget Sound and presented to Asst. Prof. R. Sato by Dr.

Welander. These specimens were identified as $H$. pretiosus by American ichthyologists. The specimens differ from $H$. japonicus in respect to the small size of scales, there being 70 in the course of the lateral line. Therefore, the author maintains that $H$. olidus and $H$. japonicus are independent species respectively, as was proposed by HUBBS (1925). The possibility must be examined that $H$. japonicus may be a local variety of $H$. pretiosus, but it is a subject to be decided after ecological and embryological researches. H. vercundus may be a synonym of H. japonicus, for the description by Jordan \& Metz (1913) indicates no clear difference from $H$. japonicus.

## 8. Stomach and pyloric caeca

The sotmach of $H$. olidus is V -shaped, and its bending portion is caecal approximately as the result of the swelling of the outside (Fig. 3,A). On the right side of the stomach, there are two finger-like and one to three small pyloric caeca, and one finger-like and one to two small pyloric caeca on the left side (Table 15). The distance from the bending portion of the duodenum, to the end of the finger-like pyloric caeca on the left side of the stomach is usually shorter than half of the distance to the posterior tip of the stomach. The stomach of H. japonicus is U-shaped or nearly so and siphonal. The stomach bends somewhat easily in the posterior portion and swells faintly. The pyloric caeca are the same as in $H$. olidus in number (Table 16), but they are longer than those of $H$. olidus. It is usual that the length from the duodenum to the end of the fingerlike pyloric caeca on the left side of the stomach is longer, except in a case of overeating, than one half of the distance to the posterior tip of the stomach (Fig. 3,B).

## 9. Relative length of pectoral fins to length of way to pelvic insertion

According to Hubbs (1925), $H$. olidus differs from H. japonicus in the larger size of all the fins, the pectoral reaching more, instead of less, than halfway to the pelvic insertion. In the present research in H. olidus, the length of the pectoral fins was found to be 52 to $68 \%$ of the distance to the pelvic insertion, and 43 to $60 \%$ in H. japonicus (Tables 17 and 18). That is to say,


Fig. 3. View of the left-side of the stomach. A shows the stomach of a female H. olidus 69 mm long, obtained on October 30, 1034 in Akkeshi Bay. B shows the maxillary of a female H. japonicus 98 mm long, obtained on November 16, 1952 off the coast of Muroran.
Ai: air-bladder In: intestine Oe: oesophagus
Pc: pyloric caeca St: stomach
the pectorals of some specimens of $H$. japonicus reach more than half-way to the pelvic insertion. According to Taranetz (1936), the length of pectoral fin of H. olidus is 61 to $80 \%$ of the distance to the pelvic insertion and that of $H$. pretiosus (as mentioned before, this species is a synonym of $H$. japonicus) is 51.5 to $61.5 \%$. As stated above, the length of the pectoral fin of H . olidus is generally longer than that of $H$. japonicus. This point is however, not certain as a taxonomic characteristic to classify $H$. olidus and H.japonicus.

## 10. Conclusion

H. olidus differs evidently from $H$. japonicus in several characteristics. If $H$. olidus and H. japonicus are referred to a single species, as BERG (1932) has proposed, H. japonicus ought to be found in the ponds and the rivers. However, H. japonicus has never been found in ponds or rivers at any time of year. The morphological differences are summerized as the following Key.
$\mathrm{a}_{1}$. Maxillary 38 to $47 \%$ of head, canine-like teeth on it.
$\mathrm{b}_{1}$. Scales larger than in $H$. japonicus, 54 to 60 along lateral line.
$c_{1}$. Pelvic fins usually inserted a little before origin of dorsal.
$\mathrm{d}_{1}$. Vertebrae fewer in number than in H. japonicus, 52 to 58.
$\mathrm{e}_{1}$. Stomach V-shaped, and approximately caecal. Pyloric caeca shorter than in H.japonicus. ........... ...... Hypomesus olidus
$\mathrm{a}_{2}$. Maxillary 29 to $39 \%$ of head, teeth smaller than in H. olidus, fewer in number, or lacking.
b2. Scales smaller, 61 to 69 along lateral line.
c. Pelvic fins usually inserted behind origin of dorsal.
$\mathrm{d}_{2}$. Vertebrae more numerous than in $H$. olidus, 61 to 64 .
e. Stomach U-shaped, and siphonal or approximately so. Pyloric caeca longer than in H. olidus. Hypomesus japonicus
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Table 1. H. olidus used in the present study

| Locality | Date | Number of Specimens |  | Body Length | Note |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Akkeshi Bay | Oct. 1936 |  | $\bigcirc 4$ | 69-119 mm |  |
| Oshoro Bay | May 8, 1953 | ¢ 1 |  | 101 |  |
| Coast of Mombetsu | Nov. 10, 1952 | ¢ 1 | $\bigcirc 1$ | 87.5-99.5 | Mombetsu, Kitami |
| Coast of Abashiri | Sept. 21, 1950 | \% 35 | $\stackrel{17}{\circ}$ | 58-80 | Pyloric caeca were not counted. Length of pectoral fin was not measured. |
| Ishikari River | June 1, 1936 |  | ¢ 1 | 90-111 | Fish ascending to spawn. Ebetsu |
| Ishikari River | May 22, 1949 |  | ¢ 3 | 99-108 | Fish ascending to spawn. |

Table 2. H. japonicus used in the present study

| Locality | Date | Number of Specimens | Body Length | Note |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Akkeshi Bay | Sept. 20-Oct. $30,1934$ | ¢2 ${ }^{\text {¢ }} 6$ | $66-141 \mathrm{~mm}$ |  |
| Akkeshi Bay | Apr. 24, 1936 | ¢3 +2 | 115-157 | Spawning season |
| Oshoro Bay | June 1, 1949 | ठ2 94 | 102-120 | After spawning <br> Pyloric caeca were not counted. |
| Oshoro Bay | Dec. 9, 1952 | ¢9 911 | 112-127 |  |
| Oshoro Bay | May 6, 1953 | ¢1 | 111-136 | After spawning |
| Coast of Kiritappu | Dec. 9, 1952 | ¢ 10 ¢ 11 | 96-160 | Pyloric caeca were not counted. |
| Coast of Muroran | Aug. 2, 1952 | 人 + +7 | 39-44 | Maxillary, fin, fin ray, scales were not measured or counted. |
| Coast of Muroran | Oct. 15, 1952 | ¢5 5 | 85-94 | Pyloric caeca were not counted. |
| Coast of Muroran | Nov. 16, 1952 | 万11 99 | 90-107 |  |
| Coast of Mombetsu | Nov. 10, 1952 | $\bigcirc 3$ ¢ 1 | 86-115 |  |
| Coast of Abashiri | Sept. 21, 1950 | ¢ 22 ¢ 25 | 74-87 | Pyloric caeca were not counted. Pectoral fin length was not measured. |

Table 3. Variation in percentage relationship of maxillary to head in H . olidus

| Local. |  | Akkeshi | Mombetsu | Abashiri | Oshoro | Ebetsu | Ishikari | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Date |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Oct. } \\ & 1934 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Nov. 10, } \\ & 1952 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sept. 21, } \\ & 1950 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May 8, } \\ & 1952 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } 1, \\ & 1939 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May } 22 \\ & 1949 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |
|  | 38 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |
|  | 39 | 1 |  | 2 |  |  |  | 3 |
|  | 40 | 1. | 1 | 10 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 15 |
|  | 41 |  |  | 14 |  |  | 2 | 16 |
|  | 42 |  |  | 5 |  |  | 1 | 6 |
|  | 43 | 2 |  | 3 |  | 1 | 1 | 7 |
|  | 44 |  |  | 13 |  | 1 |  | 14 |
|  | 45 | 1 |  |  |  |  | 1 | 2 |
|  | 46 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
|  | 47 |  |  | 2 |  |  |  | 2 |

Table 4．Variation in percentage relationship of maxillary to head in $H$ ．japonicus

| Loc | cal． | Kiri－ tappu | Akkeshi | Akkeshi | Mom－ betsu | Abashiri | ${ }^{1}$ Muroran ${ }^{\prime}$ | ${ }^{1}$ Muroran | Oshoro | Oshoro | Oshoro | ．Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Date |  | ${ }^{\text {Dec. }} 9$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \text { Sept.- } \\ \text { Oct. } 1934 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Apr. } 24 \\ & 1936 \end{aligned}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \text { Nov. } 10 \\ & 1952 \end{aligned}\right.$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sept. } 21 \\ & 1950 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Oct. } 15 \\ & 1952 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Nov. } 16 \\ & 1952 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June 1 } \\ & 1949 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dec. } 9 \\ & 1952 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\text { May } 6$ |  |
|  | 29 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 边 | 30 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9 | 31 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |
| e | 32 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  | 1 |  | 6 |
| 彩 | 33 | 7 |  |  | 1 | 10 |  | 2 | 1 | 7 |  | 28 |
| E | 34 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  | 3 | 1 | 2 |  |  | 1 | 10 |
| $\stackrel{\square}{6}$ | 35 | 2 | 1 |  | 2 | 22 | 8 | 7 | 4 | 6 |  | 52 |
| ¢ | 36 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 6 |  | 22 |
| 品 | 37 |  | 2 | 2 |  | 11 |  | 3 |  |  | 1 | 19 |
| \％ | 38 | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |  | 1 |  |  | 1 | 4 |
| － |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |

Table 5．Variation in number of dorsal rays in H．olidus

| Local． |  | Akkeshi | Mombetsu | Abashiri | Oshoro | Ebetsu | Ishikari | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Date |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sept.-Oct. } \\ & 1934 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Nov. 10, } \\ & 1952 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sept. 21, } \\ & 1950 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May 8, } \\ & 1953 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Jun. 1, } \\ & 1939 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May 22, } \\ & 1949 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |
|  | 9 | 2 |  | 13 |  |  |  | 15 |
|  | 10 | 4 | 2 | 39 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 52 |
|  | 11 |  |  |  |  | 1 | 2 | ． 3 |

Table 6．Variation in number of dorsal rays in H．japonicus

| Local． |  | Kiri－ tappu | Akkeshi | Akkeshi | Mom－ betsu | Abashiri | Muroran | Muroran | Oshoro | Oshoro | Oshoro | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Date |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dec. } 9, \\ & 1952 \end{aligned}$ | Sept．－ Oct． 1934 | Apr. 24, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Nov. 10, } \\ & 1952 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sept. 21, } \\ & 1950 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Oct. 15, } \\ & 1952 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { Nov. } 16, \\ 1952 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\mathrm{Jun}_{\cdot} 1,$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dec. } 9, \\ & 1952 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May 6, } \\ & 1953 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |
| ¢ ¢ | 10 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 33 | 1 |  | 1 | 1 | 3 | 51 |
| ¢ | 11 | 16 | 5 | 1 | 4 | 14 | 4 | 7 | 3 | 13 |  | 67 |
| E\％ | 12 | 1 |  |  | 5 |  | 5 | 12 | 2 | 5 |  | 30 |
| 云守 | 13 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  | （17） 1 |  | （17）${ }^{1}$ |

Table 7．Variation in number of anal rays in H．olidus

| Local． |  | Akkeshi | Mombetsu | Abashiri | Oshoro | Ebetsu | Ishikari | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Date |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sept.-Oct. } \\ & 1934 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Nov. 10, } \\ & 1952 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sept } 21, \\ & 1950 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May 8, } \\ & 1953 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Jun. 1, } \\ & 1939 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May 22, } \\ & 1949 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 14 \\ & 15 \\ & 16 \\ & 17 \\ & 18 \\ & 19 \\ & 20 \end{aligned}$ | 1 3 1 1 | 1 | $\begin{array}{r} 2 \\ 9 \\ 23 \\ 17 \\ 1 \end{array}$ | 1 | 3 | 1 3 1 1 | 2 10 27 21 8 1 1 |

Table 8．Variation in number of anal rays in $H$ ．japonicus

| Loc | cal． | Kiri－ tappu | Akkeshi | Akkeshi | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mom- } \\ \text { betsu } \end{gathered}$ | Abashiri | Muroran！ | Muroran | Oshoro | Oshoro | Oshoro | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Date |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dec. } 9, \\ & 1952 \end{aligned}$ | Sept．－ <br> Oct． 1934 | $\mid$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Nov. } 10, \\ & 1952 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sept. 21, } \\ & 1950 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Oct. } 15, \\ & 1952 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Noy. 16, } \\ & 1952 \end{aligned}$ | $\operatorname{Jun}_{1946} 1,$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dec. } 97 \\ & 1952 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May }^{6,} \\ & 1953 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 钲 | 13 | 1 |  | 1 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |
| \％ | 14 | 8 |  |  |  | 6 |  | 1 |  | 1 |  | 16 |
| 哭 | 15 | 10 | 2 | 1 |  | 26 | 3 | 6 |  | 9 | 1 | 58 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Co } \\ & \text { Bab } \end{aligned}$ | 16 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 10 | 5 | 10 | 4 | 7 | 2 | 46 |
| 害 | 17 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 3 |  | 17 |
| $\frac{8}{7}$ | 18 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 1 |  |  | 3 |

Table 9．Variation in number of pectoral rays in H．olidus

| Local． |  | Akkeshi | Mombetsu | Abashiri | Oshoro | Ebetsu | Ishikari | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Date |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sept.-Oct. } \\ & 1934 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Nov. 10, } \\ & 1952 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sept. 21, } \\ & 1950 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May 8, } \\ & 19533 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Jun. } 1, \\ & 1939 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May 22, } \\ & 1949 \end{aligned}$ |  |
|  | 12 | 4 |  | 11 | 1 |  | 2 | 18 |
|  | 13 | 2 | 2 | 41 |  | 3 | 2 | 50 |
|  | 14 |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 2 |

Table 10．Variation in number of pectoral rays in H．japonicus


Table 11．Variation in number of scales of $H$ ．olidus in the course of lateral line

| Local． |  | Akkeshi | Mombetsu | Abashiri | Oshoro | Ebetsu | Ishikari | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Date |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { sept.-Oct. } \\ & 1934 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Nov. 10, } \\ & 1952 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sept. 21, } \\ & 1950 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May 8, } \\ & 1953 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Jun. 1, } \\ & 1939 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May 22, } \\ & 1949 \end{aligned}$ |  |
|  | 54 |  |  | 2 |  |  |  | 2 |
|  | 55 | 1 |  | 9 |  |  |  | 10 |
|  | 56 |  | 1 | 14 |  |  |  | 15 |
|  | 57 | 2 |  | 13 |  | 2 | 3 | 20 |
|  | 58 | 3 |  | 11 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 18 |
|  | 59 |  | 1 | 3 |  |  |  | 4 |
|  | 60 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 |

Table 12. Variation in number of scales of $\boldsymbol{H} . \boldsymbol{j a p o n i c u s}$ in the course of lateral line

| Local, |  | Kiritappu | Akkeshi | Akkeshi | Mombetsu | Abashiri | Muroran | Muroran | Oshoro | Oshoro | Oshoro | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Date |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dec. } 9, \\ & 1952 \end{aligned}$ | Sept.- | Apr. 24, | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \text { Nov. 10, } \\ & 1952 \end{aligned}\right.$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sept. 21, } \\ & 1950 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Oct. } 15, \\ & 1952 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Nov. 16, } \\ & 1952 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{lan} 1, \\ & 1949 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dec. } 91 \\ & 1952 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May } 6, \\ & 1963 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |
|  | 61 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
|  | 62 |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |
|  | 63 | 7 | 1 |  | 1 | 5 | 1 | 2 |  | 1 |  | 18 |
|  | 64 | 10 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 7 | 3 | 2 |  | 4 |  | 31 |
|  | 65 | 3 |  | 2 |  | 10 | 1 | 7 | 3 | 5 | 2 | 33 |
|  | 66 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 12 | 2 | 3 |  | 4 | - | 26 |
|  | 67 |  | 2 |  |  | 11 | 3 | 5 | 3 | 4 |  | 28 |
|  | 68 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  | 2 | 1 | 4 |
|  | 69 |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |

Table 13. Variation in number of vertebrae in $H$. olidus

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Local.} \& Akkeshi \& Mombetsu \& Akkeshi \& Oshoro \& Ebetsu \& Ishikari \& Total <br>
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Date} \& $$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Sept.-Oct. } \\
& 1934
\end{aligned}
$$ \& $$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Nov. 10, } \\
& 1952 \\
& \hline
\end{aligned}
$$ \& $$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Sept. 21, } \\
& -1950
\end{aligned}
$$ \& $$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { May 8, } \\
& 1953
\end{aligned}
$$ \& $$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { June 1, } \\
& 1939 \\
& \hline
\end{aligned}
$$ \& $$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { May 22, } \\
& 1949
\end{aligned}
$$ \& <br>
\hline әexqәนә』 jo ләqumn \& $$
\begin{aligned}
& 52 \\
& 53 \\
& 54 \\
& 55 \\
& 56 \\
& 57 \\
& 58
\end{aligned}
$$ \& 1 \& 1
1 \& 10
23
15
4 \& 1 \& 1
2 \& $\begin{array}{r}1 \\ 4 \\ 1 \\ \hline\end{array}$ \& 1

11
31
.22
5 <br>
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table 14. Variation in number of vertebrae in H. japonicus


Table 15. Variation in number of pyloric caeca in H. olidus


Table 16. Variation in number of pyloric caeca in H. japonicus

| Local. |  | Akkeshi | Akkeshi | Mombetsu | Muroran | Oshoro | Oshoro | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Date |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sept.-Oct. } \\ & 1934 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Apr. 24, } \\ & 1936 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Nov. 10, } \\ & 1952 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Nov. 16, } \\ & 1952 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dec. 9, } \\ & 1952 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May 6, } \\ & 1953 \text {. } \end{aligned}$ |  |
|  | 4 5 6 7 | 7 1 | $4$ | 3 1 | ${ }^{8} 12$. | $\begin{array}{r} 9 \\ 10 \\ 1 \end{array}$ | - $\begin{array}{r}1 \\ 2\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3 \\ 29 \\ 27 \\ 1 \end{array}$ |

Table 17. Variation in percentage of length of pectoral fins to distance between pectoral and pelvic insertions in $H$. olidus


Table 18. Variation in percentage of length of pectoral fins to distance between pectoral and pelvic insertions in H. japonicus

| Local. |  | Kiritappu | Akkeshi | Akkeshi | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mom- } \\ \text { betsu } \end{gathered}$ | Muroran | Muroran | Oshoro | Oshoro | Oshoro | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Date |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dec. } 9, \\ & 1952 \end{aligned}$ | Sept.- | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { Apr. } 24, \\ 41936 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Nov. } 10, \\ & 1952 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Oct. } 15, \\ & 1952 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Nov. 15, } \\ & 1952 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June 1, } \\ & 1949 \end{aligned}$ | ${ }_{1952}{ }^{\text {Dec. }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May 6, } \\ & 1953 \end{aligned}$ |  |
|  | 43 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
|  | 44 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 |
|  | 45 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  | 2 |
|  | 46 | 2 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  | 4 |
|  | 47 | 2 |  | 1 |  |  |  | 3 |  |  | 6 |
|  | 48 | 4 |  |  |  |  | 3 | 1 | 3 |  | 11 |
|  | 49 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 |
|  | 50 | 1 |  | 2 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 6 |  | 16 |
|  | 51 | 1 |  |  |  |  | 1 |  | 3 |  | 5 |
|  | 52 |  | . |  | 1 | 4 | 6 |  |  |  | 11 |
|  | 53 | 3 | 3 |  | 1 |  | 1 |  | 3. |  | 11 |
|  | 54 | 2 |  | 2 |  | 2 |  |  | 2 | 1 | 9 |
|  | 55 | 2 | 2 |  |  |  | 2 | . | 2 |  | 8 |
|  | 56 | 1 | 1 |  | 1 | 1 | 2 |  |  |  | 6 |
|  | 57 | 1 |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 2 |
|  | 58 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |
|  | 59 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
|  | 60 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |

