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§ 1. Introduction

Flanagan and RabinoVitch has recently observed the rate of catalysed formation of different deuteroethylenes from trans-dideutero-ethylene or from 1:1 mixture of light and tetradeutero-ethylene in the presence of nickel wire and explained their experimental results quantitatively by a scheme involving chemisorbed hydrogen atom and ethyl radical as intermediates, taking the isotopic difference of rates into account. They assumed in their explanation that ethylene dissociates to form chemisorbed hydrogen atoms once for all initially but never later. This implies an abrupt change of the catalytic activity at the first interaction of the catalyst with ethylene, which is rather improbable.

The present paper deals with an alternative explanation without such an assumption but based on the reaction scheme

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{H}_2\text{C}-\text{CH}_3 & \xrightarrow{\text{Ia}} \text{C}_2\text{H}_4 \\
\text{H}_2\text{C}-\text{CH}_2 & \xrightarrow{\text{Ib}} \text{HC} = \text{CH}_2 \\
\text{HC} = \text{CH} & \xrightarrow{\text{Ic}} \text{HC} = \text{CH}
\end{align*}
\]

consistently related to the schemes which satisfactorily accounted for the experimental results of the catalytic exchange reaction between ethane and deuterium or between acetylene and deuteroacetylene and of the catalytic hydrogenation of ethylene, where H stands for protium P and deuterium D, and * signifies the bond of chemisorption. I, Ia, Ib and Ic are steps respectively in overall equilibrium but not

*) Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Science, Hokkaido University.
necessary in the exchange equilibrium, i.e. the isotopically detailed equilibrium.

It is concluded from the results of the analysis that the chemisorption I of ethylene, although quickest among the steps of the Scheme (1) at observed temperatures, may control the exchange reaction at sufficiently low temperatures and hence a prediction accessible to experimental test is deduced.

The present author has further investigated the statement of FLANAGAN and RABINOVITCH that their rate equations have been derived both from the Rideal mechanism and the associative mechanism. It is now shown that their rate equations are derived from the Rideal mechanism but not generally from the associative mechanism, provided that the Rideal mechanism as called by them is formulated as

\[ \text{II}_a \]
\[ \text{C}_2\text{H}_4 + H \rightleftharpoons \text{H}_2\text{C} = \text{CH}_3 \] (2. R)

and the so-called associative mechanism as

\[ \text{I} \]
\[ \text{C}_2\text{H}_4 \rightleftharpoons \begin{cases} \text{H}_2\text{C} = \text{CH}_2 \rightleftharpoons \text{H}_2\text{C} = \text{CH}_3 \\ \text{H} \end{cases} \] (2. A)

where H may be supplied either as assumed by FLANAGAN and RABINOVITCH or as in (1), i.e. by steps II\textsubscript{b} and II\textsubscript{c}; the so-called associative mechanism or (2. A) leads to the rate equations of FLANAGAN and RABINOVITCH in the extreme case when I is quick enough to attain the exchange equilibrium and hence (2.R) and (2.A) are kinetically identical with each other.

§ 2. The Detailed Scheme and the Rate Equations

Scheme (1) is now developed, discriminating between P and D and denoting trans-, cis- or asymmetric dideuterioethylene by t-, c- or a-\(\text{C}_2\text{P}_2\text{D}_2\) respectively, as
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\[
\begin{align*}
C_2P_4(a) & \rightleftharpoons C_2P_4 \\
(P_2C-CP_2) & \rightleftharpoons (P_2C-CP_2) \\
C_2P_4D(a) & \rightleftharpoons C_2P_4D \\
(PDC-CP_2) & \rightleftharpoons (PDC-CP_2) \\
C_2D_4(a) & \rightleftharpoons C_2D_4 \\
(D_2C-CD_2) & \rightleftharpoons (D_2C-CD_2)
\end{align*}
\]
where the dotted or full line denotes the transfer of P or D respectively and the annexed number indicates the fraction of the rate of dissociation specified by the line, of the atom group on its left over the sum of those of its all possible dissociations; the structures of the atom groups $C_5P_5$, $C_2P_D(0)$ etc. are shown in the parentheses underneath respectively.

On the basis of Scheme (3) the rate of formation of every atom group is given, expressing the overall forward or backward rate of step I etc. as $V(I)$ etc. and the fraction of the number of $C_2P_4$ etc. over the sum of those of all isotopic substitution products of the respective atom group as $y^{C_2P_4}$ etc., as below for deuterioethylenes in gas

\[
\begin{align*}
V^\circ P_5 &= V(I) (y^{C_2P_4}_{(a)} - y^{C_2P_4}) \\
V^C P_5 D &= V(I) (y^{C_2P_D}_{(a)} - y^{C_2P_D}) \\
\vdots &\vdots \\
V^D P_5 &= V(I) (y^{C_2D}_{(a)} - y^{C_2D})
\end{align*}
\] (4. a)

for chemisorbed ethylenes

\[
\begin{align*}
V^\circ P_5_{(a)} &= V(I) (y^{C_2P_4}_{(a)} - y^{C_2P_4}_{(a)}) + V(I) \left( y^{C_2P_4} + \frac{1}{3} y^{C_2P_D(1)} - y^{C_2P_4_{(a)}} \right) \\
V^C P_5 D_{(a)} &= V(I) (y^{C_2P_D}_{(a)} - y^{C_2P_D_{(a)}}) + V(I) \left( y^{C_2P_D} + \frac{2}{3} y^{C_2P_D(1)} \right) \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{3} y^{C_2P_D(1)} y^D + \frac{2}{3} y^{C_2P_D(1)} y^D + V(I) \left( y^{C_2P_D} y^D \right) \\
&\quad + y^{C_2P_D_{(a)}} y^D + y^{C_2P_D(1)} y^D + y^{C_2P_D(1)} y^D + y^{C_2P_D(1)} y^D \\
\vdots &\vdots \\
V^C C P_5 D_{(a)} &= V(I) (y^{C_2P_D}_{(a)} - y^{C_2P_D_{(a)}}) + V(I) \left( \frac{1}{3} y^{C_2P_D(1)} y^D \right) \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{3} y^{C_2P_D(1)} y^D + \frac{1}{2} y^{C_2P_D(1)} y^D + \frac{1}{2} y^{C_2P_D(1)} y^D \\
&\quad + y^{C_2P_D_{(a)}} y^D + y^{C_2P_D(1)} y^D + y^{C_2P_D(1)} y^D (4. b)
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
V^c C P_5 D_{(a)} &= V(I) (y^{C_2P_D}_{(a)} - y^{C_2P_D_{(a)}}) + V(I) \left( \frac{1}{3} y^{C_2P_D(1)} y^D + \frac{1}{3} y^{C_2P_D(2)} y^D \\
&\quad - y^{C_2P_D_{(a)}} \right) + V(I) \left( \frac{1}{2} y^{C_2P_D(1)} y^D + \frac{1}{2} y^{C_2P_D(2)} y^D \right) \\
V^a C P_5 D_{(a)} &= V(I) (y^{C_2P_D}_{(a)} - y^{C_2P_D_{(a)}}) + V(I) \left( y^{C_2P_D(0)} + \frac{1}{3} y^{C_2P_D(2)} \right)
\end{align*}
\]
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\[
\begin{align*}
+ \frac{1}{3} y_{C,P,D_i}^{(1)} & + y_{C,P,D_i}^{(3)} - y_{C,P,P_i}^{(a)} \\
+ V(II_a)(y_{C,P,D_i}^{(1)}y_P^{P} + y_{C,P,D_i}^{(3)}y_P^{P} - y_{C,P,D_i}^{(a)}) \\
V_{C,P,D_i}^{(a)(a)} &= V(I)(y_{C,P,D_i}^{(a)} - y_{C,P,D_i}^{(a)}(a)) + V(II_a) \left( \frac{2}{3} y_{C,P,D_i}^{(1)} + \frac{1}{3} y_{C,P,D_i}^{(3)} \right) \\
&+ \frac{2}{3} y_{C,P,D_i}^{(1)} + y_{C,P,D_i}^{(3)} - y_{C,P,D_i}^{(a)} \\
&+ V(II_b)(y_{C,P,D_i}^{(a)}y_P^{P} \\
&+ y_{C,P,D_i}^{(1)}y_P^{P} + y_{C,P,D_i}^{(3)}y_P^{P} - y_{C,P,D_i}^{(a)}) \\
V_{C,P,D_i}^{(a)} &= V(I)(y_{C,D_i}^{(a)} - y_{C,D_i}^{(a)}(a)) + V(II_a) \left( \frac{1}{3} y_{C,D_i}^{(1)} + y_{C,D_i}^{(3)} - y_{C,D_i}^{(a)} \right) \\
&+ V(II_b)(y_{C,D_i}^{(1)}y_P^{P} - y_{C,D_i}^{(a)}) \\
&+ V(II_a)(y_{C,D_i}^{(1)}y_P^{P} - y_{C,D_i}^{(a)}),
\end{align*}
\]

and for chemisorbed ethyl radicals

\[
\begin{align*}
V_{C,P}^{(a)} &= V(II_a)(y_{C,P,D_i}^{(a)}y_P^{P} - y_{C,P}^{(a)}) \\
V_{C,P,D_i}^{(b)(0)} &= V(II_a) \left( \frac{1}{2} y_{C,P,D_i}^{(0)}y_P^{P} - y_{C,P,D_i}^{(a)}(0) \right) \\
V_{C,P,D_i}^{(1)} &= V(II_a) \left( y_{C,P,D_i}^{(a)}y_P^{P} + \frac{1}{2} y_{C,P,D_i}^{(a)}y_P^{P} - y_{C,P,D_i}^{(a)}(1) \right) \\
V_{C,P,D_i}^{(b)} &= V(II_a) \left( \frac{1}{2} y_{C,P,D_i}^{(a)}y_P^{P} + \frac{1}{2} y_{C,P,D_i}^{(a)}y_P^{P} - y_{C,P,D_i}^{(a)}(b) \right) \\
V_{C,P,D_i}^{(c)(1)} &= V(II_a) \left( \frac{1}{2} y_{C,P,D_i}^{(a)}y_P^{P} + \frac{1}{2} y_{C,P,D_i}^{(a)}y_P^{P} - y_{C,P,D_i}^{(a)(c)} \right) \\
V_{C,P,D_i}^{(c)} &= V(II_a) \left( \frac{1}{2} y_{C,P,D_i}^{(a)}y_P^{P} + \frac{1}{2} y_{C,P,D_i}^{(a)}y_P^{P} - y_{C,P,D_i}^{(a)(c)} \right) \\
V_{C,P,D_i}^{(2)} &= V(II_a) \left( \frac{1}{2} y_{C,P,D_i}^{(a)}y_P^{P} + \frac{1}{2} y_{C,P,D_i}^{(a)}y_P^{P} - y_{C,P,D_i}^{(a)(2)} \right) \\
V_{C,P,D_i}^{(3)} &= V(II_a) \left( \frac{1}{2} y_{C,P,D_i}^{(a)}y_P^{P} + \frac{1}{2} y_{C,P,D_i}^{(a)}y_P^{P} - y_{C,P,D_i}^{(a)(3)} \right) \\
V_{C,P}^{(a)} &= V(II_a)(y_{C,P}^{(a)}y_P^{P} - y_{C,P}^{(a)}). 
\end{align*}
\]

The \( y_{C,P}^{(a)}, y_{C,P,D_i}^{(b)(0)}, \ldots \) and \( y_{C,P}^{(a)} \) are expressed, in accordance with the conclusion of the previous paper\(^2,\(^p\)\) that the step II\(_c\) is quick enough to attain the exchange equilibrium, as

\[ - 91 - \]
The above developments are now applied below to the analysis of experimental results.

i) The isomerization of trans-dideuteroethylene at 75°C.

Specializing Eqs. (4.b) and (4.c) to the initial conditions, i.e.,

\[ y_{C,P} = 1, \quad y_{C,P} = y_{C,P} = y_{C,P} = y_{C,D} = y_{C,D} = 0 \quad (6) \]

for the reaction of trans-dideuteroethylene at 75°C, putting rates \( V_{C,P} \) etc. of intermediates \( P_2C - CP_2 \) etc. individually zero for the steady state, and eliminating \( y_{C,P} \) etc. from the resulting equations, we have

\[
\begin{align*}
(1 - \alpha \frac{1+2y^P}{3}) y_{C,P}(a) & = \frac{\alpha}{3} y_{C,P}(a) + \beta(y^P) \\
(1 - \alpha \frac{3+2y^P}{6}) y_{C,P}(a) & = \frac{\alpha}{3} (2y_{C,P}(a) + y_{C,P}(a) + y_{C,D}(a) + y_{C,D}(a) + y_{C,D}(a) + y_{C,D}(a)) \\
(1 - \alpha \frac{1+2y^P}{3}) y_{C,P}(a) & = (1 - \alpha \beta) + \frac{\alpha}{6} (y_{C,P}(a) + 2y_{C,P}(a) + y_{C,D}(a) + y_{C,D}(a) + y_{C,D}(a) + y_{C,D}(a)) \\
(1 - \alpha \frac{3+2y^P}{6}) y_{C,P}(a) & = \frac{\alpha}{6} (y_{C,P}(a) + y_{C,P}(a) + y_{C,P}(a) + y_{C,D}(a) + y_{C,D}(a) + y_{C,D}(a)) + \beta(y^P) \\
(1 - \alpha \frac{2}{3}) y_{C,P}(a) & = \frac{\alpha}{6} (y_{C,P}(a) + y_{C,D}(a) + 2y_{C,D}(a) + y_{C,D}(a) + y_{C,D}(a) + y_{C,D}(a)) + \beta(y^P) \\
(1 - \alpha \frac{3+2y^P}{6}) y_{C,D}(a) & = \frac{\alpha}{6} (y_{C,D}(a) + y_{C,D}(a) + y_{C,D}(a) + y_{C,D}(a) + y_{C,D}(a) + y_{C,D}(a)) + \beta(y^P) \\
(1 - \alpha \frac{1+2y^P}{3}) y_{C,D}(a) & = \frac{\alpha}{6} y_{C,D}(a) + \beta(y^P) 
\end{align*}
\]

where

\[ \alpha \equiv V(II_a)/\{ V(II) + V(II_a) + V(II_b) \} \quad \text{and} \quad \beta \equiv V(II_b)/\{ V(II) + V(II_a) + V(II_b) \}. \]

\[ (7. \alpha), (7. \beta) \]
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The \( y^{C,P,D(a)} \) etc. are now solved as functions of \( \alpha \) and \( \beta \) from the six independent equations of (7, \( y \)), and the relations

\[
y^{C,P,D(a)} + y^{C,P,D(a)} + \ldots + y^{C,P,D(a)} + y^{C,P,D(a)} = 1
\]

and

\[
y^{e} = y^{D} = \frac{1}{2} ,
\]

which follows from (6) by neglecting the isotopic difference in rates, as

\[
y^{C,P,D(a)} = 1 + \sum_{m} B_{m} , \quad y^{m} = A_{m} y^{C,P,D(a)} - B_{m}
\]

and

\[
A = 12 \left( \frac{1}{a} - \frac{2}{3} \right)
\]

\[
A_{1,2} = A_{e,2} = A_{a,2} = a (A_{1} + A_{3}) / 12 \left( 1 - \frac{2a}{3} \right)
\]

\[
A_{3} = \left( \frac{1 - 2a}{3} A_{1} - \frac{a}{3} \right) / \left( 1 - \frac{2a}{3} - \frac{12a^{2}}{3 - 2a} \right)
\]

\[
A_{4} = A_{3} / A_{1}
\]

\[
B_{1} = 3\beta / 16 a
\]

\[
B_{e,2} = - \frac{1 - a - \beta}{2} \left( \frac{6 - 2a}{3 - 2a} \right) + \frac{1}{A_{1}} (B_{1} + B_{3}) - \frac{\beta (9 - 2a)}{16 (3 - 2a)}
\]

\[
B_{e,2} = - \frac{1 - a - \beta}{2} \left( \frac{2a}{3 - 2a} \right) + \frac{1}{A_{1}} (B_{1} + B_{3}) - \frac{\beta (3 + 2a)}{16 (3 - 2a)}
\]

\[
B_{a,2} = \frac{1}{A_{1}} (B_{1} + B_{3}) - \frac{3\beta}{8 (3 - 2a)}
\]

\[
B_{n,2} = \left\{ - \frac{\beta (12 - 7a)}{16 (3 - 2a)} + \left( \frac{1 - 2a}{3} \right) B_{1} + \frac{\beta}{4} \right\} / \left( 1 - \frac{2a}{3} - \frac{12a^{2}}{3 - 2a} \right)
\]

\[
B_{4} = \frac{3}{4 (3 - 2a)} \left( B_{3} - \frac{\beta}{4} \right)
\]

where \( m = 1, 2, c - 2, a - 2, 3 \) or 4 denotes \( C_{2}P_{3}(a) \), \( t - C_{2}P_{3}D_{4}(a) \), \( c - C_{2}P_{3}D_{4}(a) \), \( a - C_{2}P_{3}D_{4}(a) \), \( C_{2}PD_{4}(a) \) or \( C_{2}D_{4}(a) \) respectively. The values of \( \alpha \) and \( \beta \) are adjusted according to the equations (4, \( a \)), (9, \( y \)) and (9, \( A, B \)) to the experimental results of FLANAGAN and RABINOVITCH with satisfactory agreements, as shown in Table I, between calculated and observed relative values of initial formation rates of \( C_{2}P_{3}D \) etc., i.e. the ratio of \( V^{C,P,D} \) etc.
TABLE I. Observed and calculated relative values of the initial formation rates \( V^{D}_{C,P} \) etc. of deutoethylenes from trans-dideuteroethylene at 7.4 cmHg and 75°C on nickel.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters adjusted</th>
<th>Relative values of initial formation rates, %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Parameters</td>
<td>( \alpha )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adjusted values</td>
<td>( 6 \times 10^{-2} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ii) The exchange reaction between light and tetradeutero-ethylene at 153°C.

The analysis has shown that both \( \alpha \) and \( \beta \) are vanishingly small compared with unity or, according to (7.\( a \)) and (7.\( b \)), I is practically in the exchange equilibrium. Identifying in consequence \( y^{C,P}_{D} \) etc. or \( V^{C,P}_{D} \) etc. with \( y^{D,P} \) etc. or \( V^{D,P} \) etc. respectively and putting rates \( V^{C,P} \) etc. of intermediates \( P_{C} \)—\( P_{D} \) etc. individually zero for the steady state, we have from Eqs. (4.\( b \)), (4.\( c \)), (5), (8) and the initial conditions, i.e.

\[
\begin{align*}
y^{C,P}_{D} = y^{D,P}_{C} = \frac{1}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad y^{D,P}_{D} = y^{C,P}_{D} = y^{C,P}_{D} = y^{D,P}_{D} = y^{C,P}_{D} = y^{D,P}_{D} = 0, \\
V^{C,P}_{D}/V(II) = V^{C,P}_{D}/V(II) = -\frac{1}{6} - \frac{7\beta}{16\alpha} \\
V^{C,P}_{D}/V(II) = V^{C,P}_{D}/V(II) = \frac{1}{6} + \frac{\beta}{4\alpha} \\
(V^{C,P}_{D} + V^{C,P}_{D} + V^{C,P}_{D})/V(II) = \frac{3\beta}{8\alpha}.
\end{align*}
\]

TABLE II. Observed and calculated relative values of the initial formation rates \( V^{D,P} \), \( V^{D,P} \) etc. from 1:1 mixture of light and tetradeutero-ethylene each of 3.8 cmHg partial pressure at 153°C on nickel.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter adjusted</th>
<th>Relative values of initial formation rates, %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Parameter</td>
<td>( \beta / \alpha )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adjusted value</td>
<td>( 2.6 \times 10^{-2} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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The relative values of $V^{C,P}$, etc. calculated from the value 0.026 of $\beta/a$ adjusted to the experimental values are shown in Table II in comparison with the latters.

§ 3. Discussion

The experimental results of FLANAGAN and RAHINOVITCH are quantitatively interpreted on the basis of the Scheme (1) without resorting to the improbable assumption referred to in the introduction.

The ratio $V(II_a)/V(II_b)$ is 0.0018 or 0.026 at 75°C or 153°C respectively as computed from the values of parameters given in Tables I and II, i.e. it decreases with decreasing temperature. The ratio $V(I)/(V(I)+V(II_a)+V(II_b))$ decreases on the other hand with decreasing temperature from unity at 153°C, as follows from the exchange equilibrium of I concluded in §2 ii), to 0.94*) at 75°C as calculated from the values of parameters given in Table I.

These ratio may hence be appreciably smaller than unity at sufficiently low temperatures, so that $V(II_b)$ as well as $V(I)$ is negligibly small compared with $V(II_a)$. It follows now according to the Scheme (1) that the exchange reaction proceeds principally through $II_a$ and I, with the rate controlled by the latter resulting in the 'random distribution', i.e.

$$y^p_{C,P} = \frac{4!}{n! (4-n)!} (y^p_{C,P})^{n+1} (y_{C,P})^n \quad (n \leq 4)$$

or according to (4.a) and (8), in an experimentally verifiable conclusion

$$V^{C,P} = V(I) \left( \frac{3}{2} \frac{(y^p_{C,P})}{(4-n)! (4-n)} - y^p_{C,P} \right), \quad (n \leq 4)$$

where $y^p_{C,P} (n \leq 4)$ depends on the initial condition of the experiment.

*) This value of $V(I)/(V(I)+V(II_a)+V(II_b))$ is sensibly discriminated from unity which leads to the negative value of the essentially positive quantity $\beta/a$, when adjusted to the observed values of $V^{C,P}, V^{C',P},$ or $V^{1+C',P}$, according to the rate equations

$$
\begin{align*}
V^{C,P}/V(II_a) &= \frac{1}{6} + \frac{\beta}{18a} \\
V^{C',P}/V(II_a) &= \frac{1}{6} + \frac{\beta}{18a} \\
V^{1+C',P}/V(II_a) &= -\frac{1}{6} - \frac{13\beta}{18a}
\end{align*}
$$

which are derived similarly as in §2 ii) for the exchange equilibrium of the step I in accordance with unity of the value.
It will now be shown that the rate equations put forward by FLANAGAN and RABINOVITCH without giving theoretical grounds are derived from the Scheme (2.R) but not generally from the Scheme (2.A). According to the Scheme (2.R), \( V^{c',p} \) etc. are given respectively by \( V^{c',p,(a)} \) etc. of (4.b), with \( y^{c',p,(a)} \) etc. and \( V(I) \) there respectively substituted by \( y^{c',p} \) etc. and \( V(I) \), neglecting the terms implying \( V(I) \) in accordance with the absence of the step \( I \) in the Scheme (2.R)*), whereas the appropriate steady state condition is provided by (4.c) with the similar substitutions as those in (4.b) putting rates \( V^{c',p} \) etc. of intermediates \( P_2C_2-CP_2 \) etc. individually zero; noting further that \( \gamma^r+\gamma^p=1 \), we have thus

\[
\begin{align*}
V^{c',p}/V(I) &= \frac{1}{6} y^{c',p,y^r} + \frac{2}{3} y^{c',p,y^p} \\
V^{c',p}/V(I) &= \frac{2}{3} y^{c',p,y^r} + \frac{1}{3} \left( y^{c',p,y^r} + y^{c',p,y^p} \right) y^p + \left( \frac{1}{3} y^r + \frac{1}{3} y^p + \frac{1}{6} y^q \right) y^{c',p,y^p} \\
(V^{c',p,y^r} + V^{c',p,y^p})/V(I) &= \frac{1}{3} y^{c',p,y^r} + \frac{1}{3} y^{c',p,y^p} - \left( \frac{1}{3} y^r + \frac{1}{3} y^p \right) \left( y^{c',p,y^r} + y^{c',p,y^p} \right)
\end{align*}
\]

which give just the rate equations given by FLANAGAN and RABINOVITCH

\[
\begin{align*}
dC^{c',p}/dt &= k_{I}(C-D)_{I} C^{c',p} - 2k_{I}(C-H)_{I} C^{c',p} + C^{c',p,y^r} + \left( k_{I}(C-D)_{I} C^{c',p,y^r} - k_{I}(C-H)_{I} C^{c',p} \right) C^{c',p} \\
dC^{c',p}/dt &= 2k_{I}(C-H)_{I} C^{c',p} C^{c',p} + 2k_{I}(C-D)_{I} \left( C^{c',p,y^r} + C^{c',p,y^r} + C^{c',p,y^r} \right) C^{c',p} \\
(C^{c',p,y^r} + C^{c',p,y^r})/dt &= k_{I}(C-H)_{I} C^{c',p} C^{c',p} + k_{I}(C-D)_{I} C^{c',p} C^{c',p} C^{c',p} \\
(C^{c',p,y^r} + C^{c',p,y^r})/dt &= \left( 2k_{I}(C-D)_{I} C^{c',p} + 2k_{I}(C-H)_{I} C^{c',p} \right) C^{c',p} + C^{c',p,y^r} + C^{c',p,y^r}
\end{align*}
\]

by replacing \( y^r \) or \( y^{c',p} \) etc. with the concentration \( C^r \) or \( C^{c',p} \) etc. of \( P_2 \) or \( CP_2 \) etc. respectively and coefficients 1/6, 1/3, 1/3 and 1/6 respectively

*) Terms implying \( V(I) \) vanish at the replacement of \( y^{c',p,(a)} \) etc. by \( y^{c',p} \) etc. respectively.
with $k_{H}(C-D)$, $k_{D}(C-H)$, $k'_{H}(C-D)$, and $k'_{D}(C-H)$ in accordance with their system of notation. On the basis of the Scheme (2.A), on the other hand, the rate equations (13) result in the case of the exchange equilibrium of I, when (2.A) is kinetically identical with (2.R) and II_1 with II_2, but not generally as seen from the random distribution of (12), which is incompatible with (2.R)*, in the extreme case when the exchange reaction is controlled by I.

The present author wishes to express his sincere thanks to Professor J. Horiuti for his profound interest and valuable discussions on the present work.
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*) The random distribution is attained in this case only at the final stage of the reaction when (2.R) is in the exchange equilibrium.