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Abstract

Nickel film reduced by hydrogen at 200°C or 300°C for an hour or longer was compared with those freshly evaporated or once used for deuteration of light ethylene, as regards deuteration rate, deuterium distribution in reacted hydrogen and ethylene in the early stage of deuteration, activation heat, hydrogen isotopic effect on hydrogenation rate of light ethylene and the optimum temperature. It was found that the results obtained by reduced film was quite similar to those of film once used. It was hence concluded with reference to the previous discussion1) that the dehydrogenated adsorption of ethylene in course of its hydrogenation was practically suppressed at temperatures below the optimum on reduced film similarly as in the case of film once used. A number of existing data on ethylene hydrogenations over reduced nickel catalysts thus proved to be competent for the analysis of the mechanism.

Introduction

The catalyzed hydrogenation of ethylene in the presence of metallic catalysts, especially of nickel, has been a subject of intensive investigations as a type of olefine hydrogenations. Rather poor reproducibility of the observed reaction rate, however, make them unsound basis for discussion of the mechanism; its rate as well as those of associated reactions, e.g., parahydrogen conversion and deuterium exchange etc., markedly decay on repetition of the runs of reaction over the same charge of catalyst. It has been found that the decay is caused not only by impurities in the reactants, e.g., mercury,2) grease vapors
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and oxygen etc., but also by side reactions to form irreversibly adsorbed products e.g., dehydrogenated adsorption and polymerization of ethylene, and that the latter is pronounced on metallic catalysts of less catalytic activity for the hydrogenation.

Various pretreatments of metallic catalysts have been tried to exploring the reproducible condition; nickel catalyst, for instance, was preliminarily brought into contact with or heated in ethylene, or preliminarily oxidized at ca. 600°C and then reduced by hydrogen at ca. 300°C or simply reduced by hydrogen. The last-mentioned treatment of catalyst has been adopted by many investigators as a simple and effective method for securing the reproducibility.

Recently we have found that the catalyzed hydrogenation on freshly evaporated nickel surface was accompanied with dehydrogenated adsorption of ethylene in the initial stage of hydrogenation even in case of pure reactants were used at a temperature as low as −45°C; the dehydrogenated adsorption of ethylene was practically suppressed at low temperatures over nickel film once used for the hydrogenation and evacuated at the same temperature. On the basis of the results obtained with film once used, the mechanism of steady hydrogenation and associated reactions were quantitatively analyzed according to the generalized statistical mechanical theory of heterogeneous reactions.

Jenkins and Rideal have, on the one hand, found that the hydrogenation rate was quite reproducible over nickel film preliminarily carbided by heating it at 200°C in ethylene, however, its kinetics was found quite different form that on the once used nickel film. Foss and Eyring has, on the other hand, observed that the hydrogenation rate was reproducibly observed over a carbided nickel film with half a rate over a freshly evaporated nickel film in the case when it was preliminarily treated by 5 cmHg hydrogen at 250°C for 30 min. They gave, however, no account of the associated reactions, especially of the dehydrogented adsorption of ethylene.

The present work is devoted to investigate the catalyzed hydrogenation of ethylene over evaporated nickel film reduced by hydrogen after uses for several runs of reaction, with special regards to the associated dehydrogenated adsorption of ethylene.

Three states of evaporated nickel film, i.e., freshly evaporated, once used or reduced by hydrogen after once use or more, are compared with each other with regards to the decreasing rate of total pressure and the relative amounts of deuterosubstitution products of hydrogen and ethylene in the initial stage of reaction of one to one mixture of light ethylene and deuterium.
It was found that the rate of total pressure decrease at −45° or 0°C on reduced film was tolerably reproducible from run to run and ca. 1.5 or ca. 0.75 times as large as that over once used or fresh film respectively. The relative amounts of deuterosubstitution products of hydrogen and ethylene produced by the reaction over reduced film were found quite similar to those over the film once used. It was hence concluded that reduced film is more active than film once used but similar to the latter in its kinetics and elimination of the dehydrogenated adsorption.

Experimental

The reaction over nickel film was investigated, similarly to the previous work\textsuperscript{1,13}) with regards to the three states of the film as follows; the nickel film, freshly evaporated directly upon the inner surface of reaction vessel of ca. 150 cc capacity or upon the nickel film previously evaporated and used, was heated in deuterium gas of ca. 1.5 cmHg for an hour at 500°C, evacuated at the same temperature to $1 \times 10^{-6}$ mmHg or higher vacuum and then coated anew with freshly evaporated nickel, immersing the vessel into a salt bath at 300°C. Within 5 min after the end of evaporation the salt bath was replaced by another one of the scheduled reaction temperature, when a known amount of the reactant gas, i.e., one to one mixture of light ethylene and deuterium gas, was introduced into the vessel at ca. 0.1 mmHg total pressure; the initial decrease of total pressure was followed by a Pirani gauge and a pen-recorder and then a part of the reacted gas was diffused into a sampling vessel for mass spectrometric analysis. After a run over the freshly evaporated film thus conducted, the vessel was evacuated, constantly immersing it in the same bath, to a vacuum higher than $1 \times 10^{-6}$ mmHg and then the reactant mixture was introduced into it to conduct a run on the “film once used.” After a run on the film once used the reaction vessel was evacuated and the (twice) used film was heated at 200 or 300°C in deuterium gas of ca. 1.5 cmHg for a period indicated in Table 1 and then evacuated again to a vacuum higher than $1 \times 10^{-6}$ mmHg at the same temperature. The reactant gas mixture was now introduced to conduct another run for the third time. “Reduced film” refers now in what follows to a film used twice, thrice or more times each followed by the reduction mentioned above.

Mass spectra of sampled gases were obtained by fixing the electron accelerating voltage at 70 volt for hydrogen and at 13 volt for ethylene similarly as in the previous works\textsuperscript{1,13}); at the latter voltage we have a mass spectrum of ethylene consisting exclusively of peaks of parent ions of respective deutero-ethylenes.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>I Reaction temp. °C</th>
<th>II State of Ni film</th>
<th>III reacted %</th>
<th>IV $V_p$ mmHg/min</th>
<th>V Relative amounts of deuteromutation products</th>
<th>VI D-atom fraction</th>
<th>V e</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>M2 M3 M4 M28 M32 M31 M32</td>
<td>$\psi_H$</td>
<td>$\psi_E$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-45</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>0.0130</td>
<td>4.5 16.4 79.2 (1.6 22.0 76.4) 65.0 30.0 5.0 0 0</td>
<td>0.874</td>
<td>0.100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>0.0064</td>
<td>0.8 1.6 97.7 (0.0 3.0 97.0) 92.3 7.7 0 0 0</td>
<td>0.985</td>
<td>0.019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R (300°C, 1 hr)</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>0.0097</td>
<td>2.3 3.1 94.7 (0.1 7.1 92.8) 80.0 17.2 2.9 0 0</td>
<td>0.963</td>
<td>0.058</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R (300°C, 3 hr)</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>0.0099</td>
<td>1.6 2.6 95.8 79.2 20.8 0 0 0 0</td>
<td>0.971</td>
<td>0.052</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R (300°C, 14 hr)</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>0.0096</td>
<td>1.4 2.0 96.7 90.6 9.4 0 0 0 0</td>
<td>0.977</td>
<td>0.024</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-45</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>0.0123</td>
<td>3.7 14.1 82.2 71.4 25.0 3.6 0 0 0</td>
<td>0.893</td>
<td>0.081</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>0.0069</td>
<td>3.2 3.3 94.5 66.7 29.6 3.7 0 0 0</td>
<td>0.962</td>
<td>0.083</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R (200°C, 1 hr)</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>0.0091</td>
<td>1.9 3.0 95.1 82.2 17.7 0 0 0 0</td>
<td>0.966</td>
<td>0.044</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R (200°C, 2 hr)</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>0.0091</td>
<td>1.0 2.4 96.6 — — — — —</td>
<td>0.979</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R (200°C, 14.5 hr)</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>0.0089</td>
<td>1.1 2.2 96.7 84.2 14.9 1.0 0 0 0</td>
<td>0.978</td>
<td>0.037</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R (200°C, 70 hr)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>2.2 3.8 94.3 89.7 10.3 0 0 0 0</td>
<td>0.962</td>
<td>0.026</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>0.0339</td>
<td>4.6 16.3 79.1 47.4 36.9 13.2 2.5 0</td>
<td>0.879</td>
<td>0.175</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>0.0176</td>
<td>2.8 3.8 93.9 66.7 26.7 6.6 0 0 0</td>
<td>0.956</td>
<td>0.105</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R (300°C, 1.5 hr)</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>0.0254</td>
<td>2.5 4.6 92.9 61.2 30.6 5.6 2.6 0 0</td>
<td>0.951</td>
<td>0.125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R (300°C, 70 hr)</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>0.0249</td>
<td>3.3 5.7 91.0 (0.4 11.5 88.1) 58.8 32.4 5.9 2.9</td>
<td>0.939</td>
<td>0.122</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>0.0469</td>
<td>19.2 35.6 45.2 (13.7 46.0 39.7) 29.1 30.9 25.5 10.9 3.6</td>
<td>0.630</td>
<td>0.323</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>0.0287</td>
<td>24.9 42.6 32.5 (20.0 50.0 24.0) 18.5 30.9 30.9 15.4 4.3</td>
<td>0.490</td>
<td>0.429</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R (200°C, 2 hr)</td>
<td>9.2</td>
<td>0.0427</td>
<td>30.1 48.1 21.8 (29.3 49.7 21.1) 15.1 30.3 33.3 18.2 3.1</td>
<td>0.459</td>
<td>0.409</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R (200°C, 14.5 hr)</td>
<td>9.2</td>
<td>0.0418</td>
<td>29.7 48.2 22.1 (29.3 49.7 21.1) 14.3 28.6 31.4 20.0 5.7</td>
<td>0.462</td>
<td>0.436</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>86</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>0.0485</td>
<td>25.5 56.8 17.7 13.0 30.4 34.9 17.4 4.3</td>
<td>0.461</td>
<td>0.424</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S</td>
<td>11.2</td>
<td>0.0217</td>
<td>22.4 57.0 20.6 13.2 30.2 34.0 17.0 5.6</td>
<td>0.490</td>
<td>0.429</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R (200°C, 1.5 hr)</td>
<td>11.9</td>
<td>0.0316</td>
<td>28.0 57.1 14.9 9.1 31.8 36.4 18.2 4.5</td>
<td>0.434</td>
<td>0.443</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R (200°C, 2.5 hr)</td>
<td>11.5</td>
<td>0.0344</td>
<td>25.0 59.8 15.2 13.0 30.4 34.8 17.4 4.4</td>
<td>0.441</td>
<td>0.424</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R (200°C, 13.5 hr)</td>
<td>8.7</td>
<td>0.0343</td>
<td>24.3 58.2 17.5 12.8 30.8 33.3 18.0 5.1</td>
<td>0.466</td>
<td>0.430</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Results

Table 1 shows the results obtained by the use of film in fresh, once used or reduced state as signified in the second column of the Table by F, S or R respectively. The parenthesized figures there show the temperature and the period of reduction by deuterium gas. The “Reacted %” in the third column is the percentage ratio of total pressure decrease at the moment of sampling to the initial partial pressure of ethylene and $V_0$ in the fourth column the decreasing rate of the total pressure respectively. The $M_5$, $M_3$ and $M_1$ in the fifth column are the relative heights of peaks of mass numbers 2, 3 and 4 respectively in a mass spectrum of sampled hydrogen gas and $M_3$, $M_29$, $M_30$, $M_31$ and $M_32$ in the same column are those of mass numbers 28, 29, 30, 31 and 32 respectively of the sampled ethylene; the relative heights approximate the relative amounts of $^1H_2$, $^1HD$ and $D_2$ or of $C_2^1H_4$, $C_2^1H_3D$, $C_2^1H_2D_2$, $C_2^1HD_3$ and $C_2D_4$ respectively. The parenthesized figures in the fifth column show the relative amounts of respective deuterosubstitution products.

[Graph showing Arrhenius plots of hydrogenation rate of light ethylene with equimolar light hydrogen ($^1H_2$) or deuterium ($D_2$) at ca 0.1 mmHg initial total pressure in the presence of a reduced nickel film.]

Fig. 1. Arrhenius plots of hydrogenation rate of light ethylene with equimolar light hydrogen ($^1H_2$) or deuterium ($D_2$) at ca 0.1 mmHg initial total pressure in the presence of a reduced nickel film.
of hydrogen and ethylene calculated, in the representative cases, assuming the random distribution of deuterium atoms in gaseous hydrogen or ethylene respectively of observed deuterium atom fraction $y_H$ or $y_E$ as given in the sixth column of the Table.

Fig. 1 is the Arrhenius plots of $V_o$'s of hydrogenation by $^1H_2$ or $D_2$ over other samples of R than that of Table 1. In Fig. 1 the optimum temperature estimated at ca. 60 or 80°C and the activation heat at temperatures below the optimum is read as 52. kcal/mole or 5.5 kcal/mole for hydrogenation by $^1H_2$ or $D_2$ respectively. We have 2.8 kcal/mole for the latter from $V_o$-values at $-45$ and $0°C$ given in Table 1. The activation heat amounts, at temperatures above the optimum, nearly $-5.3$ kcal/mole both for hydrogenation by $^1H_2$ and $D_2$ as derived from date in Fig. 1. From Fig. 1 the ratio, $\alpha$, of the rate of hydrogenation by $^1H_2$ to that of $D_2$ is evaluated as ca. 2.3 at $-45°C$ and it decreases monotonously with rise of temperature down to 1.0 at the optimum.

**Discussion**

Table 1 shows that the hydrogenation rate, $V_o$, over R is tolerably reproducible from run to run at $-45$ or $0°C$, i.e., at temperatures sufficiently below the optimum, and ca. 0.75 or ca. 1.5 times as large as that over F or S respectively, while the amount of evolution of $^1H_2$ and $^1HD$ over R are much the same as that over S,$^{1,6,13}$ but far smaller than that over F. These behaviours of R remain the same irrespective of the reduction temperature being 200 or 300°C and of the reduction period ranged from one to 70 hr.

It has been concluded in the previous work$^1$ that the evolution of $^1H_2$ and $^1HD$ at temperatures below the optimum was due to the dehydrogenated adsorption of ethylene and practically suppressed over film S. It follows now from the previous and the present results that the pretreatment of film S to prepare R accelerates the deuteration of ethylene without stirring the dehydrogenated adsorption of ethylene. The optimum temperature and isotopic effect, $\alpha$, were as well found over R quite similar to those over S. A good many data on nickel catalysts reduced similarly are thus competent for analysis of the mechanism$^{6,13}$ and presumably for investigation of the difference in the activity of various metallic catalysts for the hydrogenation of ethylene,$^{5,16}$ which is the main purpose of the present series of work.

The fresh, once used and reduced films result in, at temperatures near and above the optimum, similar relative values of $M_2$, $M_3$ and $M_4$, as seen from Table 1, which shows the dehydrogenated adsorption of ethylene proceeds to the same extent over them in course of hydrogenation as discussed in the previous papers.$^{1,12}$
Table 1 shows that observed relative amounts of $M_2$ etc. of ethylene are close to those calculated for the random distribution irrespective of the state of film and the reaction temperature, whereas the observed relative values of $M_2$ etc. of hydrogen over R are remarkably different from those calculated for random distribution at temperatures sufficiently below the optimum; observed $M_2$ etc. over R approach, however, those of random distribution and $Y_H$ approaches $Y_E$ as the temperature rises beyond the optimum. These results over R are in agreement with those over S in the previous work, from which it was concluded, in accordance with the Horiuti's theory, that the rate-determining step of the hydrogenation switches over, with rise of temperature, from the chemisorption of hydrogen to the combination of chemisorbed hydrogen atom and adsorbed ethyl radical to form ethane.
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