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Abstract

Growth inhibition caused by acid soils, especially due to P deficiency and Al stress, is a serious problem for crop production. To comprehend the adaptation mechanisms of rice plants to P deficiency and Al stress conditions, a proteomic analysis of rice roots in hydroponic cultivation was demonstrated. 464 detectable proteins spots were separated by 2D-PAGE. 56 of 94 spots selected at random were identified by peptide mass fingerprinting. In general, the proteomic alterations under P deficiency and Al stress conditions were similar trend, indicating that a common metabolic system is responsive to both P deficiency and Al stress. An increase in nucleotide monomer synthesis was indicated from the related proteomic alterations, which mediate the reversible reactions of the triose phosphate/pentose phosphate pool, and the oxidative reactions of the pentose phosphate pathway under both stress conditions. Carbon flow to the TCA cycle and N assimilation were altered in proteomic level. The changes could be contributed to the complementation of TCA components from suppression of photosynthates partitioning from leaves, and partly contribute to organic acid secretion. Induction of S-adenosylmethionine (SAM) synthetase is a significant and unique response to Al stress, suggesting that SAM is related to ethylene-mediated inhibition of root growth and/ or the alteration of cell wall structures and polymers in roots.
Abbreviations: ACC, 1-aminocyclopropane-1-carboxylic acid; ADK, adenosine kinase; 2D-PAGE, 2 dimensional polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis; DTT, dithiothreitol; PEPC, phosphoenolpyruvate carboxylase; Pi, inorganic phosphate; PMF, peptide mass fingerprinting; SAH, S-adenosyl-L-homocysteine; SAM, S-adenosylmethionine.
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Introduction

Growth inhibition caused by acidic soils, especially due to P deficiency and Al stress, is a serious problem for the production of many of the world’s important crops. Acid soils are distributed worldwide, and 30 – 40% of the world’s soils are below pH 5.5 [1]. Marschner [2] has summarized the major constraints of acid soil on plant growth as H⁺, Al and Mn toxicity, Mg, Ca, K, P and Mo deficiency, and inhibition of root growth and water uptake. Of these, P deficiency and Al stress are the most serious problems.

Plants employ several strategies to obtain P efficiently when they grow under low P conditions. Roots grown under P-deficient conditions secrete acid phosphatase (APase) to release inorganic phosphate (Pi) from organic compounds, and organic acids to release Pi from insoluble inorganic phosphate compounds [3,4]. Several genes related to low P adaptation have been isolated. Secreted APase, designated as LASAP2, has been isolated from white lupin [5]. It was also reported that gene expression of a high affinity Pi transporter increased in roots of P-deficient plants [6-9]. To increase root surface area, the diameter of main roots is decreased and the number of root hairs is increased [10]. Proteaceae and lupin plants develop bottle-brush like clustered roots, known as ‘cluster roots’ or ‘proteoid roots’, under P deficient conditions [3,11-13]. The function of these roots is not only to increase root surface area, but also to stimulate vigorous inorganic phosphate liberation from unavailable forms by secretion of
APase and organic acids, and overexpression of Pi transporters [7,14,15].

Plants have a number of mechanisms to maximize the efficient use of absorbed P. The bypass pathway, which substitutes Pi- and adenylate-requiring enzymes with pyrophosphate- and NADP-dependent enzymes, conserves Pi rather than producing energy [16,17]. Production of ribonucleases also increases under P deficiency to mobilize Pi from internal RNA pools [18-21]. Phosphoenolpyruvate carboxylase (PEPC) not only serves as the alternative pathway supplying carbon skeleton to the TCA cycle but also for Pi recycling via the PEP-consuming and glycolate pathways [22].

Al is the most common among metallic elements in the soil. It is sparingly soluble in neutral pH and is thus innocuous. At low pH, however, the soluble Al ions are toxic. The distinctive toxicity is manifest as the inhibition of root growth, Al accumulation on the root surface, induction of callose synthesis and lignin accumulation. Lipid peroxidation in the plasma membrane and inhibition of Ca\(^{2+}\) channel transport, altered transcription of mRNA, and inhibition of DNA synthesis are also considered as expressions of solubilized Al toxicity.

Al tolerance is apparently due to both apoplastic and symplastic mechanisms. Selective permeability of the plasma membrane, induction of a pH barrier in the rhizosphere, release of chelating ligands and secretion of Pi and Al have been proposed as apoplastic mechanisms [23]. Much of the research on Al tolerance, however, has centered on organic acid
secretion. Plant roots grown under Al stress secrete organic acids and moderate the toxicity of Al ions by chelation [24]. A viscous mucilage, consisting mainly of polysaccharides, is secreted from roots and may act as a barrier to Al toxicity [25,26]. Moderation by organic acids, proteins, and other ligands, accumulation of Al in vacuoles, and activation of Al-tolerant enzymes have been suggested as symplasmic tolerance mechanisms [27].

Adaptation mechanisms to P deficiency and Al stress have been proposed by transcriptomic analyses [28-34]. Wu et al. [33] and Hammond et al. [28] reported that the expression of various genes in Arabidopsis, such as those involved in the bypass pathways of C metabolism and signal transduction, changes when plants are grown under low-P conditions. Wang et al. [31] developed microarrays containing mineral nutrition-related cDNAs to analyze the transcriptomic changes caused by P, K and Fe deficiency, suggesting that deficiency of these three essential elements caused cross-talk during gene regulation. Wasaki et al. [32] reported some low P adaptation strategies, such as the enhancement of C skeleton supply required for organic acid exudation and alteration of lipid metabolism.

Transcriptomic analysis provides a lot of information, but knowing which genes are transcribed, and when, is not enough to understand the full extent of metabolic changes because of post-transcription and post-translation modifications. In fact, Anderson and Seihamer [35] reported that the correlation factor between the number of transcripts and translated protein was
below 0.5. Only a few reports have addressed the expression of root proteins as a whole [36-38].

In the current study, proteomic analysis was applied to comprehend the metabolic network of alterations in rice roots caused by low P and high Al under low pH hydroponic conditions. Total protein from roots was separated by 2D-PAGE and profiled. The spots were identified by Edman sequencing and peptide mass fingerprinting (PMF).
Materials and Methods

Plant Material

Rice (*Oryza sativa* L. cv Michikogane) seeds were surface sterilized in 1% (HClO)\textsubscript{2}Na for 10 min and then incubated in tap water overnight. All cultures were hydroponically conducted at greenhouse. The seeds were transferred into a 56 L container and germinated on a net floated on tap water for 3 weeks. Seedlings were transferred to nutrient solution in a 56 L container containing 1.07 mM NH\textsubscript{4}NO\textsubscript{3}, 0.03 mM NaH\textsubscript{2}PO\textsubscript{4}·2H\textsubscript{2}O, 0.39 mM K\textsubscript{2}SO\textsubscript{4}, 0.39 mM KCl, 1.25 mM CaCl\textsubscript{2}·2H\textsubscript{2}O, 0.82 mM MgSO\textsubscript{4}·7H\textsubscript{2}O, 35.8 µM FeSO\textsubscript{4}·7H\textsubscript{2}O, 9.1 µM MnSO\textsubscript{4}·4H\textsubscript{2}O, 46.3 µM ZnSO\textsubscript{4}·7H\textsubscript{2}O, 0.16 µM CuSO\textsubscript{4}·5H\textsubscript{2}O, and 0.05 µM (NH\textsubscript{4})\textsubscript{6}Mo\textsubscript{7}O\textsubscript{24}·4H\textsubscript{2}O. The pH of the solution was adjusted to 5.0 every day. After 2 weeks, seedlings were transplanted to low pH (4.5) solution and cultivated for 7 days to habituate them to low pH conditions, and then transferred to the control standard nutrient solution, or to the experimental stress treatment solutions containing either no phosphorus (–P) or Aluminum (+Al) (3 mM Al\textsubscript{2}(SO\textsubscript{4})\textsubscript{3}·18H\textsubscript{2}O in the standard solution). The pH of each solution was adjusted to 3.5 daily. The Pi concentration of the control and +Al solutions was adjusted daily to compensate for plant assimilation.

The plants were collected 0, 1, 3 and 5 d at daytime and 0.5 d at nighttime with four
replications of four plants per replication after transfer to the treatment and control solutions. Rice plants grown in control and –P solutions were also collected 10 d after transplanting. Roots were separated, frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at -80 °C until protein extraction.

**Extraction of Protein**

Whole roots were homogenized using pestle and mortar containing liquid nitrogen. One hundred mg of polyvinylpolypyrrolidone and 2 mL of extraction buffer (20 mM Tris-HCl, 400mM NaCl, pH7.5) were added to a 1 g sample of homogenized root tissue. The suspension was centrifuged at 4°C and 11,833 x g for 10 min and the supernatant was re-centrifuged for 5 min. An equal amount of TCA/acetone solution (20% (w/v) trichloroacetic acid, 0.14% (w/v) dithiothreitol [DTT] in acetone) was added to the supernatant and incubated at -20°C for 30 min. The suspension was sonicated two times for 10 min on crushed-ice water, and centrifuged at 4°C for 15 min. The supernatant was discarded and 1.5 mL of acetone containing 0.07% DTT was added to the pellet for sonication on crushed-ice water until the pellet was completely resuspended. The precipitated protein was washed in acetone containing 0.07% DTT, pelleted at 4°C and 11,833 x g for 15 min, dried in a vacuum desiccator and dissolved in 100 µL of lysis buffer (7 M Urea, 2 M thiourea, 4% CHAPS, 65 mM DTT) by sonication for 15 min on crushed-ice water. Protein concentration was measured according to Bradford [39].


2D-PAGE

2D-PAGE was performed with 4 replications of each treatment as below. A tube gel containing 4% acrylamide, 8 M Urea, 2% Triton X-100 and 2% ampholine (1% each Bio-Lyte 5/8 and Bio-Lyte 3/10; Bio-Rad Laboratories, Hercules, CA, USA) was used to separate the crude proteins in a 300 µg aliquot containing ampholine at the same concentration as the tube gel by pI. The cathode solution consisted of 20 mM NaOH and the anode solution was 10 mM H$_3$PO$_4$. The protein was electrophoresed using equipment for tube gel electrophoresis (NA-1313B; Nippon Eido, Tokyo, Japan) at room temperature for 0.5 h at 200 V constant voltage, for 16 h at 400 V constant voltage and then for 60 min at 600 V constant voltage.

The tube gel was equilibrated twice in 625 mM Tris-HCl (pH6.8) containing 4% SDS, 5% 2-mercaptoethanol, 0.0025% BPB for 15 min, transferred in the dark to 5 mL of carbamoyl methylation buffer containing 30 mM Tris-HCl at pH 6.8, 2.5% w/v SDS, 300 mM iodoacetamide and 0.003% w/v bromophenol blue for 15min and applied to the top of the SDS-PAGE gel. The tube gel was fixed in place by overlaying melted 0.5% agarose containing 250 mM Tris-HCl (pH 6.8) and 0.1% SDS. SDS-PAGE was performed using a Protean IIxi cell (Bio-Rad Laboratories) for 40 min at 120 V constant voltage, then for another 5 h at 190 V constant voltage using concentration (5%, 125mM Tris-HCl, 0.1% SDS, pH6.8) and separation
gels (10% acrylamide, 375 mM Tris-HCl, 0.1% SDS, pH8.8) according to Laemmli [40]. The running buffer was 25 mM Tris, 192 mM Glycine and 0.1% SDS.

Separated protein was stained with Coomassie Brilliant Blue. The stained gel was scanned (EPSON ES-2200, Seiko Epson, Nagano, Japan) and analyzed using PDQuest software (Bio-Rad Laboratories). The strength of detected spots was determined and averaged for 4 electrophoresed digital images. Background intensity was used for each missing spot to analyze the modifications of protein expression during treatments. To accurately compare spot quantities between gels, some variation in spot intensity was globally normalized by lowess (locally weighted linear regression) fitting, as well as the same method of microarray data normalization. The normalization is based on robust local regression, implemented in the statistical software package R [41] to perform the linear fits with lowess (locally weighted linear regression) scatter plot smoother [42]. The effect on each treatment was evaluated as log ratio (treatment / control) for each data point. After normalization, hierarchical cluster analysis was performed to identify relatively homogeneous groups of protein expression using average linkage between groups algorithm and cosine coefficient in software packages SPSS 13.0 software (SPSS Inc., USA). The significant differences of the signal intensities between control and treatment were analyzed for all time points by ANOVA.
Identification of Separated Proteins

Stained gels were transferred to Sequi-blot\textsuperscript{TM} PVDF Membranes (Bio-Rad Laboratories) for Edman sequencing of N-terminal amino acids [43]. Only distinct spots were applied to an amino acid sequencer (Procise\textsuperscript{TM} 491-HS Protein Sequencing Systems; Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA).

Randomly selected spots were cut from the gel and transferred to a multiwell plate. The spots were digested with trypsin (Promega, Madison, MI, USA) for peptide mass fingerprinting using time of flight mass spectrometry (TOF-MS) (Voyager DE-STR/15000; Applied Biosystems) according to Toda and Kimura [44] (summarized in http://proteome.tmig.or.jp/2D/2DE_method.shtml). AcTH18–39 and Angiotensin I (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA) were used as standards. A database search of peptide mass fingerprints was performed using Mascot software [45].
Results

Overview of root growth response and identified spots

Root growth was slightly enhanced a few days after –P treatment, whereas inhibited by Al stress (Table 1). A master image, which was produced as a composite of all analyzed gel images, is shown in Figure 1. 464 spots were detected with 2D-PAGE. Peptide mass fingerprinting (PMF) of 94 spots selected at random resulted in the identification of 56 spots. Edman sequencing successful for 3 spots (ssp5310, 6304 and 3411), giving N-terminal amino acid sequences corresponding to PMF, thus supporting the reliability of PMF identification. The list of identified proteins and the relative amounts of each identified spot are in the Table 2.

Nearly half of the 56 identified spots were involved in C metabolism. Nitrogen-related metabolism (4 spots), nucleotide-related (4 spots) and oxidation/reduction-related proteins (8 spots) were also identified (Table 2). Some relatively constitutive proteins, such as 2 actin isoforms, are also listed (Table 2).

Five sets of 2 or 3 spots had same accession number, though detected in other places (Figure 1 and Table 2; ssp4801 and 4804, transketolase; ssp5307, 5310 and 6304, glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase; ssp7301 and 7305, cytosolic glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase; ssp2701 and 2711, trypanothione-dependent peroxidase; ssp3105
and 4101, L-ascorbate peroxidase). This suggested that modifications of the same protein caused the protein spots to shift, possibly due to expression of alternate alleles or post-translational modifications. Among the shifted proteins, 4 sets had a similar expression pattern (Table 2). In the case of glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase, an isoform detected as ssp5307 was regulated by both low P and Al stress.

A large number of proteins were up- or down-regulated during the treatment. When an increase of 100% was used as the criterion for defining up-regulation (i.e. 2-fold increase), 15 proteins were up-regulated among the identified spots. 29 proteins were increased at the 50% increase threshold (i.e. 1.5-fold increase). When the criteria were a reduction to half of the expression in the controls (i.e. 2-fold reduction) 14 spots were down-regulated. 24 spots had a decrease in intensity to two-thirds of the control (i.e. 1.5-fold reduction) by –P treatment at any point in time (Table 2). 14 and 24 spots were up-regulated at the 2- and 1.5-fold levels and 11 and 17 spots were down-regulated by the 2.0 and 1.5 fold levels by Al treatment (Table 2), respectively. These alteration data could be possible to assess the reliability by the mode of standard errors for all datasets of each treatment, which is 0.57 for log₂(-P/+P) data sets and 0.57 for log₂(+Al/-Al).

Proteomic alterations related to carbon metabolism
Fructokinase I (ssp2311) was transiently up-regulated at 0.5 d by Al stress. Fructosebisphosphate aldolase (ssp6410) was at a low level in both treatments with a maximum decrease at 5 d in the +Al treatment. An isoform of glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase (ssp5307) was expressed at a lower level in the –P treatment than in the control plants at each time period except at 1 d.

In the triose phosphate/pentose phosphate pool pathways, transketolase (ssp4801 and 4804) was up-regulated at 0.5 d in both treatments. UDP-glucose dehydrogenase (ssp4608) had its maximum decrease at 3 d in both treatments. UDP-glucose pyrophosphorylase (ssp4503) peaked at 3 d in both treatments. 6-phosphogluconate dehydrogenase (ssp5511) oscillated during the Al treatment.

Most but not all TCA cycle-related enzyme expression was relatively constant over time. Cytoplasmic malate dehydrogenase (ssp4311), mitochondrial malate dehydrogenase (ssp5306, except at 1 d in –P treatment), dihydrolipoamide dehydrogenase (ssp6603), citrate synthase (ssp6501) and NADP-specific isocitrate dehydrogenase (ssp5416) did not change significantly. Aconitate hydratase (ssp4911) was strongly up-regulated at 1 d in both treatments and at 10 d in the –P treatment. Malic enzyme (ssp4711) was down-regulated at 3 d in the +Al treatment and up-regulated at 10 d in the –P treatment. Succinate dehydrogenase (ssp5708) was down-regulated at 5 d under Al stress.
Comparison between –P and Al stress

All 464 detected spots were subjected to hierarchical cluster analysis to identify relatively homogeneous groups of protein expression. As the results, the modifications of root protein expression under P deficiency and Al stress were similar (Supplemental Figure 1). In general, the proteomic alterations were similar trend in both treatments although the counter ions such as Na\(^+\) and SO\(_4\)\(^{2-}\), which are always essential in hydroponics, might affect the metabolic responses. It is likely that this is due to the similarity in adaptation strategies, such as the secretion of organic acids. Malic enzyme (ssp4711), dihydrolipoamide dehydrogenase (ssp6603), thioredoxin reductase (ssp5309), aconitate hydratase (ssp4911), mitochondrial malate dehydrogenase (ssp5306) and two S-adenosylmethionine (SAM) synthetases (ssp4416 and 5402) responded differently to –P and +Al treatments, with the largest difference in the response of the two SAM synthetases (ssp4416 and 5402).
Discussion

It has been reported that P deficiency generally influences carbon metabolism end products such as secretion of organic acids from roots, starch accumulation in shoots, and bypass pathways for recycling internal Pi. Al stress also affects organic acid exudation, alteration of cell wall structures, and prevention of gene expression by binding Al to nucleotides. However, responses to P deficiency and Al stress on the metabolic network are unclear. The proteomic analyses in this study indicate that P deficiency and/or Al stress are implicated in adjustments to nucleotide synthesis and related carbon pathways the glycolytic pathway, and cell wall structure.

Nucleotide synthesis and related carbon pathways affected by P deficiency and Al stress

Proteomic responses to P deficiency and Al stress on carbon metabolism are summarized in Figure 2. Interpreting from the response of fructokinase I (ssp2311), fructosebisphosphate aldolase (ssp6410) and glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase (ssp5307), fructose 6-phosphate would be transiently synthesized at first, whereas fructose 6-phosphate and glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate could be supplied to the triose phosphate/pentose pool with the decline of fructose-bisphosphate aldolase and glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate
dehydrogenase. Up-regulation of transketolase (ssp4801 and 4804) could provide ribose 5-phosphate reversibly, since ribose 5-phosphate is well known as a substrate of nucleic acid synthesis. The increased activity of this enzyme could be concerned with meeting the demand of RNA synthesis. The up-regulation of cytidine deaminase (ssp3311) supports this speculation because it is involved in uridine and deoxyuridine synthesis. In earlier transcriptomic studies, a dynamic change of transcripts was found under low P stress conditions [28,30-33] and Al stress conditions [34].

DNA damage is a well known phenomenon of Al toxicity [46-48]. Matsumoto [49] proposed that DNA-Al complex formation and repression of transcription by Al could be a major cause of reduced root growth. The increase in nucleotide monomer concentration is a reasonable adaptation phenomenon under these stress conditions.

The expression of 6-phosphogluconate dehydrogenase (ssp5511) oscillated during +Al treatment. This enzyme synthesizes ribulose 5-phosphate with the formation of NADPH. A decrease in the enzyme at 1 d coincides with an increase of transketolase. Moreover, the increase in 6-phosphogluconate dehydrogenase over 3 d also coincides with changes in UDP-glucose dehydrogenase and UDP-glucose pyrophosphorylase levels. Adaptation to high Al concentrations may not be limited to the reversible reactions of the triose phosphate/pentose phosphate pool, as the oxidative reactions of the pentose phosphate pathway also could be
regulated during Al treatment.

**Effect of –P for 10 d on glycolysis**

Ten days after removal of P, many glycolysis-related proteins were up-regulated and a glutamate synthase was down-regulated (Table 2). This result corresponded with the results of transcriptomic analyses in rice roots by Wasaki et al. [32] suggesting that several genes related to glycolysis are up-regulated and that ammonium assimilation-related genes are down-regulated when held under P-deficiency treatment for 9 d. Not only in monocot plants, also in dicotyledonous Arabidopsis roots and leaves, the genes involved in N assimilation were down-regulated after 24 h of Pi starvation [33]. Uhde-Stone et al. [30] also reported that some glycolytic pathway related genes were induced in P-deficient white lupin proteoid roots. Wasaki et al. [32] concluded that the stimulation of glycolysis contributes to the acceleration of carbon skeleton supply for organic acid synthesis. Proteomic data also supports this conclusion. The down-regulation of ammonium assimilation-related genes and proteins supports this speculation, because organic acid synthesis consumes carbon skeleton molecules as a source of amino acids.

The supply of carbon skeleton molecules by the acceleration of glycolysis seems appropriate for organic acid secretion as proposed in a previous paper [32], because it has been
reported that rice roots secrete citrate or oxalate \([50,51]\). However, the amount of oxalate secreted from rice roots was at a markedly lower level than the amount of citrate or malate secreted from other plants that have a significant tolerance for low P or Al stress. Begum et al. [49] showed that the total organic acid concentration in rice roots grown under reduced P was similar level to conditions with sufficient P. Rice plants are able to grow well even under internal low P content conditions. Thus, the main tolerance strategies to low P conditions would be the efficient utilization of internal P. In fact, it has already been shown that the activities of internal phosphatase and ribonuclease increase under low P conditions [52]. Furthermore, Nanamori et al. [52] concluded that strategies for low P tolerance in rice involves (1) decreased carbon flow to amino acids and organic acids, and decreased N concentration; and (2) improved partitioning of photosynthates to sucrose, combined with restricted sugar catabolism. We conclude, then, that the carbon flow to the TCA cycle and repression of N assimilation indicated in this study (Table 2) and in previous transcriptomic data [32] contribute to the complementation of TCA components from suppression of photosynthates partitioning from leaves, and contribute partly to organic acid exudation.

*Induction of SAM synthetase by Al stress*

Massot et al. [53] reported that a rapid increase of ethylene evolution precedes
aluminum ion-induced inhibition of root growth in bean. Although SAM is the precursor of ethylene, it is known that the key enzyme of ethylene synthesis is 1-aminocyclopropane-1-carboxylic acid (ACC) synthase. Since ACC synthase was not identified in this study, further research is required to determine whether the up-regulation of SAM synthetase is involved in ethylene-mediated inhibition of root growth.

Stimulation of SAM synthesis could be involved in the alteration of cell wall and polymer structures in roots. Using an adenosine kinase (ADK) mutant, Moffatt et al. [54] showed that the hydrolysis of S-adenosyl-L-homo-cysteine (SAH) produced from SAM-dependent methylation reactions is a key source of adenosine in plants. ADK activity and the level of methylesterified pectin in seed mucilage were correlated directly in their experiments. Root mucilage exudation is considered to be an aluminum toxicity prevention strategy [55,56], since the modification of mucilage seems to be a reasonable response under Al stress conditions. Shen et al. [57] reported the decrease of lignin, which is known as a metabolic sink of SAM, in a SAM synthetase-3 gene mutant in Arabidopsis. These observations are corroborated by the maximum down-regulation of UDP-glucose dehydrogenase (ssp4608) at 3 days in +Al treatments in the present study (Table 2). UDP-glucose dehydrogenase synthesizes UDP-glucuronate, which is a precursor of hemicellulose and pectin. In addition, a recent transcriptomic experiment revealed that cellulose synthase was also up-regulated in Al tolerant
wheat cultivar, as reported by a review article [34]. The structure of cell wall polymers in roots may be important under Al stress conditions, though further experiments are necessary to understand their function.

**Conclusion**

In present study, 56 protein spots extracted from rice roots were identified. Many of them were regulated by P deficiency and Al stress, which are limiting factors on the growth of plants in acid soils. The effects of P deficiency and Al stress on metabolic adaptations are likely to be reflected in the expression of root proteins. A comparison of the proteins present in roots grown under aluminum stress, phosphorus deficiency and control conditions suggests that; 1) in general, modifications of root protein expression under P deficiency and Al stress were similar, 2) nucleotide synthesis was stimulated by P deficiency and Al stress, 3) carbon flow to the TCA cycle was maintained by stimulation of glycolysis by P deficiency for 10 d, and 4) Al stress caused changes in some cell wall structures. Some of these protein expression changes have not yet been demonstrated by transcriptomic analyses. Because proteins can now be quickly mapped on 2-DE gel and identified, the proteomic analyses can generate an accurate and rapid catalog of enzymes and structural proteins under any set of growth conditions. Proteomic analysis is thus a useful tool for understanding the physiological alterations of plant roots under
abiotic stress.
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Figure Legends

Figure 1  Master image, produced as a composite of all analyzed gel images. Image was scanned and analyzed as described in Materials and Methods. The horizontal axis is the isoelectric focusing for first dimension from pI 3 (left) to pI 10 (right). The vertical axis is SDS-PAGE for second dimension from about 27 kDa (bottom) to at least 99 kDa (top). The protein spot number was indicated under every 94 spots (all cross symbol), which were selected at random for peptide mass fingerprinting analysis, resulted in the identification of 56 spots (red cross symbol).

Figure 2  Carbon metabolism map with proteomic alterations. Alterations of identified protein expression were shown with ssp No. The alteration at each time point for both treatments was indicated as colored squares; white, no change; blue and light blue, up-regulated over 2.0 fold and 1.5-2.0 fold, respectively; red and pink, down-regulated over 2.0 fold and 1.5-2.0 fold, respectively. Top and bottom lines indicate the alteration by –P and Al treatment, respectively. DHAP, Dihydroxyacetone phosphate; Fru, Fructose; Fru-1,6-BP, Fructose-1,6-bisphosphate; Glc, Glucose; G-3-P, Glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate;
PGA, phosphoglycerate; 1,3-DPGA, 1,3-Diphosphoglycerate; TP, Triose phosphate.

Supplemental Figure 1  Hierarchical cluster analysis of the entire 464 protein spots.

As the results, 10 clusters could be grouped as homogeneous. Left graphs (a) indicate the modifications of root protein expression under both P deficiency and Al stress. Right Venn diagrams (b) show the number of co-expression spots in each cluster.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>g FW/plant</th>
<th>0 d</th>
<th>0.5 d</th>
<th>1 d</th>
<th>3 d</th>
<th>5 d</th>
<th>10 d</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>control</td>
<td>1.74±0.05</td>
<td>1.64±0.14</td>
<td>1.61±0.08</td>
<td>1.67±0.12</td>
<td>2.01±0.14</td>
<td>2.19±0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-P</td>
<td>1.62±0.09</td>
<td>1.72±0.13</td>
<td>1.91±0.10</td>
<td>2.10±0.11</td>
<td>2.38±0.11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+Al</td>
<td>1.44±0.08</td>
<td>1.63±0.08</td>
<td>1.52±0.10</td>
<td>1.72±0.04*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

mean ±SE, n = 4, *n = 8
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SISP</th>
<th>Accession No.</th>
<th>Identified protein</th>
<th>Mass (kDa)</th>
<th>Calculated pI</th>
<th>Alteration of Expression [log2(-P/+P)]</th>
<th>Alteration of Expression [log2(1-A/-A)]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(ii)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Putative Acetohydrazine</td>
<td>5.67</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>-0.18/0.49/0.47/1.32/5.23</td>
<td>-0.71/1.86/0.04/0.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4081</td>
<td>BAD93571</td>
<td>Putative transketolase</td>
<td>8.03</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>-0.72/0.35/0.03/0.35/0.35</td>
<td>-0.19/0.71/0.20/0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4082</td>
<td>BAD93588</td>
<td>Putative transketolase</td>
<td>6.12</td>
<td>-0.14</td>
<td>-0.85/-0.27/-0.49/0.00/0.01</td>
<td>-0.11/-0.77/0.00/0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5708</td>
<td>BAC93151</td>
<td>Putative succinate dehydrogenase flavoprotein alpha subunit</td>
<td>6.93</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>-0.24/0.13/0.17/-0.04/0.03</td>
<td>0.04/0.17/0.22/0.22/0.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4711</td>
<td>NP_915472</td>
<td>P00221 (E2-12 protein (Mitochondrion))</td>
<td>6.88</td>
<td>-0.04</td>
<td>0.29/0.02/0.59/0.39/0.36</td>
<td>0.22/0.21/0.24/0.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4701</td>
<td>BAD92850</td>
<td>Putative 2,3-bisphosphoglycerate-independent phosphoglycerate mutase</td>
<td>6.12</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.06/0.25/0.41/-0.34/0.38</td>
<td>-0.08/-0.13/0.73/0.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4608</td>
<td>AAK16194</td>
<td>Putative UDP-glucose dehydrogenase</td>
<td>5.34</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>0.29/0.34/-0.76/-0.15/1.01</td>
<td>0.34/-0.56/0.00/0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5511</td>
<td>NP_910282</td>
<td>Putative fumarate synthetase</td>
<td>5.30</td>
<td>-0.08</td>
<td>0.51/0.14/0.22/0.73/0.16</td>
<td>0.16/-0.47/-0.12/0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6603</td>
<td>NP_990825</td>
<td>Putative dihydrolipoamide dehydrogenase</td>
<td>5.30</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>0.56/0.80/0.15/-0.03/0.81</td>
<td>-0.18/-0.18/0.19/0.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5503</td>
<td>AAG93777</td>
<td>Citrate synthase</td>
<td>5.24</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>-0.25/-0.30/-0.23/0.11/0.10</td>
<td>-0.12/-0.45/-0.46/0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4589</td>
<td>BAB90069</td>
<td>Putative pyrophosphatase</td>
<td>5.18</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>-0.13/0.49/0.23/-0.13/0.27</td>
<td>0.13/0.23/0.25/0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2505</td>
<td>NP_917673</td>
<td>Putative acetyl transferase</td>
<td>4.96</td>
<td>2.01</td>
<td>-0.02/0.08/-0.49/0.32/0.13</td>
<td>0.34/-0.40/-0.20/0.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5416</td>
<td>NP_917133</td>
<td>Putative succinate dehydrogenase</td>
<td>5.34</td>
<td>-0.03</td>
<td>0.41/0.07/0.29/0.75/0.30</td>
<td>-0.16/-0.04/0.62/0.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5307</td>
<td>CADY9506</td>
<td>Putative glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase</td>
<td>4.24</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>-0.09/0.62/0.34/0.34/0.50</td>
<td>0.80/-0.24/0.73/-0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5310</td>
<td>CADY9506</td>
<td>Putative glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase</td>
<td>4.24</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>-0.09/0.62/-0.11/0.09/0.15</td>
<td>0.29/-0.41/-0.21/0.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6304</td>
<td>CADY9506</td>
<td>Putative glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase</td>
<td>4.24</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>-0.09/0.31/0.28/0.75/0.30</td>
<td>0.16/-0.34/-0.01/0.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5410</td>
<td>AAS43412</td>
<td>Alcohol dehydrogenase 2</td>
<td>4.20</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.27/0.44/0.46/0.98/0.04</td>
<td>-0.35/-0.62/-0.68/0.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6410</td>
<td>P71784</td>
<td>Fructose-bisphosphate aldolase, cytosolic isoenzyme</td>
<td>3.92</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>-0.43/0.60/-0.41/0.14/-0.16</td>
<td>-0.25/-0.24/0.13/0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3314</td>
<td>NP_991911</td>
<td>Putative alpha-1-epimerase, like protein</td>
<td>3.73</td>
<td>7.54</td>
<td>0.00/0.21/0.15/0.00/0.00</td>
<td>0.19/0.75/-0.29/0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2312</td>
<td>AAL10573</td>
<td>Putative fructokinase I</td>
<td>3.59</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.24/0.04/-0.04/0.16/0.09</td>
<td>-0.06/0.21/-0.03/0.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4581</td>
<td>NP_919966</td>
<td>Putative alpha-1-epimerase, like protein</td>
<td>3.59</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.24/0.04/0.03/0.21/-0.01</td>
<td>-0.09/0.11/0.00/0.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5306</td>
<td>NP_917241</td>
<td>Putative maltase dehydrogenase</td>
<td>3.57</td>
<td>7.84</td>
<td>-0.02/0.64/-0.44/-0.06/0.09</td>
<td>0.04/-0.46/-0.11/0.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2511</td>
<td>NP_915318</td>
<td>Putative fructokinase 1</td>
<td>3.49</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.02/0.33/-0.31/-0.30/0.05</td>
<td>0.02/-0.20/0.30/0.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3307</td>
<td>BAB17174</td>
<td>Glycocalyx 1</td>
<td>3.29</td>
<td>5.21</td>
<td>-0.08/0.31/0.33/0.37/0.10</td>
<td>0.14/-0.19/-0.02/0.19/0.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5306</td>
<td>NP_909244</td>
<td>Putative glucose-6-phosphate isomerase</td>
<td>2.73</td>
<td>5.46</td>
<td>0.08/0.36/0.36/0.36/0.76</td>
<td>0.22/0.08/0.02/0.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7103</td>
<td>AAN93972</td>
<td>Putative glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase GAPPDI</td>
<td>2.33</td>
<td>7.08</td>
<td>-0.01/0.60/-0.12/0.67/1.71</td>
<td>-0.84/0.35/-0.17/0.62/0.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7103</td>
<td>AAN93972</td>
<td>Putative glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase GAPPDI</td>
<td>2.33</td>
<td>7.08</td>
<td>-0.01/0.60/-0.12/0.67/1.71</td>
<td>-0.84/0.35/-0.17/0.62/0.63</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Rice root proteins expressed under low P, Al stress under low pH conditions

* significant difference was found by ANOVA (p<0.05)
** significant difference was found by ANOVA (p<0.01)