

HOKKAIDO UNIVERSITY

Title	Environmental factors controlling the distribution of forest plants with special reference to floral mixture in the boreo- nemoral ecotone, Hokkaido Island
Author(s)	Uemura, Shigeru
Citation	北海道大学. 博士(環境科学) 甲第3111号
Issue Date	1992-06-30
DOI	10.11501/3089276
Doc URL	http://hdl.handle.net/2115/28100
Туре	theses (doctoral)
File Information	thesis1992.pdf



ENVIRONMENTAL FACTORS CONTROLLING THE DISTRIBUTION OF FOREST PLANTS WITH SPECIAL REFERENCE TO FLORAL MIXTURE IN THE BOREO-NEMORAL ECOTONE, HOKKAIDO ISLAND

Shigeru Uemura

Department of Biosystem Management, Division of Environmental Conservation, Graduate School of Environmental Science, Hokkaido University, Sapporo 060, Japan

Running title Distribution and co-occurrence patterns of forest plants

Thesis of the Doctor of Environmental Science, Hokkaido University

Abstract

Effects of climatic factors on the plant distribution were examined by means of direct gradient analysis, and the relationship of forest flora, life form and phytogeographical distribution was examined. Subsequently, leaf phenology of forest plants were analyzed to evaluate the adaptive significance in relation to the environments in forest understory. In the boreo-nemoral forest ecotone, Hokkaido Island, northern Japan, co-occurrence of northern and southern plants in a certain forest site is more notable in the understory than in the crown, and this dates back to the late-Quaternary period, where the decrease in temperature associated with the glacial period forced the understory flora to adapt their life form or leaf habits to snowcover and light conditions of the interior forests.

Key words: Direct gradient analysis; Floral mixture; Leaf phenology; Mixed forest; Phytogoegraphy; Snowcover; Understory

Introduction

In the upper-middle latitudes of Europe, eastern Asia and eastern North America, the boreal coniferous forest formation confronts to the temperate hardwood forest formation. These areas are called as "pan-mixed forest zone" (Tatewaki 1958), "hemi-boreal forest zone" (Hamet-Ahti et al 1974), or "boreonemoral zonoecotone" (Walter 1979). The general properties of the forest zone are: (1) mosaic arrangements of pure stands of boreal forest and temperate forest, where boreal conifers and temperate hardwoods are complementarily distributed, and their interactions are tensional both in space and in time (Walter 1979); and (2) scattered establishment of mixed forests, in which boreal trees and temperate trees concurrently grow, where their interactions are competitive (Woods and Whittaker 1981) or are in dynamically equilibrium due to the frequent occurrence of catastrophic disturbance (Falinski 1986; Ishikawa and Ito 1989).

As in northeastern Europe and eastern North America, mixed forests are occasionally found in Hokkaido, the northernmost island of Japan, which belongs to the Asian boreo-nemoral ecotone. However, the extent of the floral mixture has scarcely been studied, especially for the forest understory where temperate dwarf bamboos are extensively dominant. The boreal flora of Hokkaido have undoubtedly come from the northeastern Eurasian Continent, migrating mostly through Sakhalin Island and/or Kurile Islands, while the temperate Asian flora and some Japanese endemics have come from Honshu Island through Oshima Peninsula, which is the southernmost area of Hokkaido (e.g. Kawano 1971; Maekawa 1974). During the postglacial periods, many temperate hardwood species have immigrated from Honshu and rapidly taken the place of boreal coniferous forests, which had almost monopolized the island in glacial periods (Tsukada 1983).

Since the distribution of forest plants is primarily limited by temperature, their latitudinal distribution is expected to be outlined by their altitudinal distribution. However, precipitation often distorts the effects of temperature (Austin et al 1984). Especially in Japan, the distribution of many forest plants is usually influenced by snowfall and/or rainfall: e.g. thermal tolerance ranges of many plants appear to vary among regions of different snowfall or rainfall conditions (Kure and Yoda 1984; Hattori and Nakanishi 1985; Tanaka 1986). Snowcover is considered to influence the distribution of For instance, in snow-rich regions where plants were well protected from the frost damage by plants. deep snowcover, some evergreen broad-leaved shrubs are occasionally found in summergreen forests "Heteroptic" shrubs have evergreen leaves on creeping stems in addition to (Sakai 1968). summergreen leaves on arborescent stems; then, they can inhabit summergreen forests of Hokkaido (Kikuzawa 1984, 1989). In order to examine the process of floral mixture in boreo-nemoral forest ecotone, therefore, the inter- and intra-specific variation of distribution should be analyzed among the dominant species of forest plants.

In addition to the climate, geographical isolation has been considered to influence the distribution of plant species, especially in an island: e.g. the distribution of alder in Britain is explicable not only by immigration from the continent in the postglacial age but also by expansion from a certain refugia in the island (Bush and Hall 1987). The flora of the Japanese Archipelago also has a background of paleogeographical histories, because this chain of islands has been frequently isolated from the Eurasian Continent or neighboring islands (Ohshima 1982; Tsukada 1983). For instance, Hidaka District of Hokkaido is isolatedly inhabited by some temperate plant species, which are common on the southern island Honshu (Tatewaki 1960; Uemura and Takeda 1987).

The distribution of plants is controlled by micro environmental factors such as light conditions, soil moisture and nutrients of their habitat. In particular, the light conditions of the habitat ecologically and physiologically affects the plants in photosynthetic activity, leaf number, leaf lifespan, timing of leaf emergence and shedding, etc (Chabot and Hicks 1982; Chapin and Shaver 1985). For forest plants, therefore, shade stress is considered as a fatal factor which determines whether they can establish themselves in a given habitat (Baruah and Ramakrishnan 1989; Woodward 1990). In the boreo©nemoral forest ecosystems, the distribution and establishment of forest plants are affected by the light conditions of forest understory. Hence, the shade tolerance of plants is a key factor for the ecological succession and regeneration of the ecosystems of boreo©nemoral forests.

In Hokkaido, the emergence and survivorship of leaves have been studied frequently for deciduous woody plants (Kikuzawa 1983, 1984; Koike 1988) and ferns (Sato and Sakai 1980), but rarely for understory species. The evolution of plants is a history of their adaptation to environmental stresses including low temperature, drought and shading, and the present distribution of plants is the result of the long-term interactions between plants and environments. Therefore, the floral mixture in boreo-nemoral forest ecotone should be investigated on the basis of physiological and ecological abilities for the establishment of each species.

The aims of the present study are: (1) to analyze the climatic preference of forest plant species for determining the factors controlling the distribution and those facilitating the speciation, (2) to elucidate the co©occurrence of southern and northern floras in Hokkaido, which has a wide dispersion of climate and a particular history of frequent paleogeographical isolation, and (3) to evaluate the leaf phenology in relation to seasonal changes of light conditions in forest understory.

First, effects of proximal climate factors on the distribution of forest plants are revealed in Chubu District, central Japan, where the vertical zonation of forest is well developed and the distribution of temperate or subalpine forests occasionally overlaps with each other, and in Hokkaido: that is, the distribution of forest plant species along three climatic gradients, temperature, snowfall and rainfall were analyzed. In order to evaluate the climatic preference of individual species and the relationship with the life form or floral make-up of forest vegetation, and at the same time the properties of distribution of plants are compared between these regions.

Subsequently, the co-existence of boreal and temperate floras are discussed with reference to the influence of those climatic variables and the history of immigration in the interglacial period. Moreover, the leaf phenology of understory vascular species is analyzed in order to examine the relationship of leaf habit and growth form with shade stress, competition and some other selective factors.

Finally, significance of leaf habit as an aspect of the long©term dynamics of forest vegetation is discussed.

Areas studied

Hokkaido Island

Hokkaido Island (79,000 km2) is one of the latitudinally lowest boreo©nemoral forest ecotone in the northern Hemisphere, being situated within the range of 41 24'-45 31'N and 139 45'-145 50'E (Fig. 1). According to the Koppen's classification of climate, Hokkaido belongs to the humid microthermal climate Df, where the mean temperature is less than 3 C in the coldest month but exceeds 10 C in the warmest month, and precipitation is provided sufficient for forest formation (Trewartha 1957).

The climate of this island is primarily controlled by: (1) the front of polar air masses which often cross the northern part of the island in winter, (2) the cold Kurile current flowing down to the eastern parts of the Pacific side of Hokkaido, (3) the warm Sea of Japan current reaching up to the southwestern part of the island, and (4) a very cold Siberian monsoon providing heavy snowfall to the Sea of Japan side in winter. Based on the climatic diagrams, Hokkaido was divided into the following four climatic divisions (Kojima 1979): the southwestern region, facing the Sea of Japan, which is warm and snowy; the southern central region, facing the Pacific Ocean, which is warm with little snowfall; the northern region facing the Sea of Japan and being cool and snowy; and the eastern region facing the Pacific Ocean and the Sea of Okhotsk and being cool with little snowfall and minimal rainfall (Fig. 1).

In flora of forests Hokkaido is similar to the other boreo-nemoral ecotone of Europe and North America. However, there are some particular features in Hokkaido: for instance, higher score of species richness, well-developed vertical structure, and distribution of many vine species such as Vitis coignetiae Pulliat, Actinidia arguta Miq., Actinidia polygama Maxim., Hydrangea petiolaris Sieb. et Zucc., Schizophragma hydrangeoides Sieb. et Zucc., etc. (Tatewaki 1958; Kojima 1979). Some genera endemic to Japan such as Cercidiphyllus, Taxus and Kalopanax also characterize the forests of Hokkaido. Another feature is the forest floor broadly predominated by dwarf bamboos, e.g. Sasa kurilensis Makino et Shibata, Sasa senanensis Rehd., Sasa cernua Makino, Sasa magacarpa Makino et Uchida, Sasa nipponica Makino et Shibata, Sasa chartacea Makino, etc.

The outline of natural forest vegetation is as follows. Boreal coniferous forests composed of Abies sachalinensis Masters, Picea jezoensis Carr. and Picea glehnii Masters, are dominant in the eastern region, summergreen forests predominated by boreal hardwood Betula ermanii Cham. are occasionally established. Temperate hardwood forests are classified into two types, i.e. beech forests and oak forests. Beech forests largely dominated by Fagus crenata Blume are restricted to the southwestern region, particularly to the Oshima Peninsula. Oak forests are dominant in the southern central region and eastern region, consisting of Quercus mongolica Fischer var. crispula Blume in association with Acer

mono Maxim., Acer mono var. mayrii Koidz., Acer japonica Thunb., Tilia japonica Simonkai, Tilia maximowicziana Thunb., Kalopanax pictus Nakai, Betula maximowicziana Regel, Prunus sargentii Rehder, Magnolia obovata Thunb., Ostrya japonica Sarg., Cercidiphyllum japonicum Sieb. et Zucc., Carpinus cordata Blume, etc. In some cases, there are summergreen forests without Quercus mongolica var crispula, where Quercus serrata Murr., Acer mono, Ulmus davidiana Planch. var. japonica Nakai, etc. are instead dominant. Another conspicuous type of forest ecosystem is mixed forests composed of boreal conifers and many temperate hardwoods except Fagus crenata.

Though mixed forests are the transitional ecosystems between boreal and temperate forest formations, the community has been identified as Fagetea crenatae Miyawaki, Ohba et Murase 1964, simply due to the species richness of the temperate flora being more abundant than the boreal flora.

Chubu District

The Chubu District (65,000 km2), situated in central Japan, has a particular climate; thus, in winter, the very cold Siberian air mass is blown over the warm Sea of Japan current and brings the deepest snowfall of the world (up to 10 m) to the Sea of Japan side of the area, while in summer the hot Pacific air mass brings about 3000 mm rainfall to the Pacific side. In contrast, the inland regions are surrounded by many mountains of over 3000 m (including Mt. Fuji, the highest in Japan, 3776 m), and hence they have only about 1000 mm of annual precipitation (Fig. 2).

Phytogeographically, this district is a most unusual area in Japan with about 3700 vascular plant species, corresponding to ca 70% of all Japanese species (Maekawa 1974; Shimizu 1985). High endemic ratio is another obvious feature on the flora: e.g. 73 flowering plant species are endemics to this district (Shimizu 1985). The main natural vegetation of this district is summergreen forests, which are largely predominated by Fagus crenata associating with Fagus japonica Maxim., Quercus mongolica var. crispula, Magnolia obovata, Acer japonica, Acer sieboldii Miq., Aesculus turbinata Bl., Kalopanax pictus, etc. In these forests, some temperate coniferous species are occasionally distributed, e.g. Abies homolepis Sieb. et Zucc., Tsuga sieboldii Carr. and Cryptomeria japonica D. Don. The forest floor is completely dominated by dwarf bamboo such as Sasa and Sasamorpha.

Laurel (evergreen broad©-eaved) forests composed by Castanopsis cuspidata Schottky, Quercus salicina Bl. and Quercus myrsinaefolia Bl. are occasionally found in the lowlands (Miyawaki and Itow 1960; Miyawaki and Sasaki 1985); the subalpine-coniferous forests of Abies mariesii Masters, Abies veitchii Lindle., Tsuga diversifolia Masters, Picea jezoensis var. hondoensis Rehder, often dominated the highlands between 1500 and 2500 m in altitude (Miyawaki and Itow 1960; Nakamura 1986); mountain tops over 2500 m are covered by alpine heath or meadows including many circumpolar plant species (Nakamura 1987).

The arrangement of these vegetation zones is primarily controlled by the thermal factor (kira 1977). However, the distribution of many plant species which belong to a particular forest zone is rather complicated. For instance, on the Sea of Japan side some evergreen shrubs, e.g. Cephalotaxus harringtonia K. Koch var. nana Rehder and Sasa kurilensis are altitudinally widespread and frequently found in the different forest zones, presumably because of the effect of snow (Hotta 1974). Moreover, the altitudinal boundaries of the various vegetation zones are dependent on rainfall, snowfall, wind exposure and topography, and the thermal conditions at the border of each vegetation zone vary from region to region (Kure and Yoda 1984; Tanaka 1986).

Methods

1. Direct gradient analysis of climatic preference

Chubu District

As shown in Fig. 3, the vegetation was surveyed at 375 stands in 40 areas. The quadrats were set on closed and undisturbed sites in primary forests, size ranging from 15 x 15 m to 20 x 20 m. Coverage, life form and leaf habit for all vascular plant species were recorded both in the crown and in the understory of each stand. This was complemented by additional vegetation records from 430 stands in 31 regions reported in the literature, e.g. Miyawaki et al (1974) and Miyawaki (1977). Of the total of 805 analyses, 378 were from summergreen forests, 413 from subalpine-coniferous forests, and 14 from transitional mixed forests, defined by the 20-80% of the proportion of temperate hardwoods in the canopy coverage.

To evaluate their correlation to proximal climatic factors, direct gradient analysis proposed by Whittaker (1967) was performed on these plant species, in order to determine the habitats they would normally be found in. The following variables were calculated for the analysis:

Climatic data for each stand were estimated from the 1916-1950 records of the Japan Meteorological Agency at 88 weather stations in central Japan. Mean monthly temperature was given by: Tw - 0.6 x 100 (As - Aw), where Tw is the mean monthly temperature at the nearest weather station; As and Aw are the altitudes of the stand concerned and the nearest weather station, respectively. Mean monthly precipitation was treated as identical to that of the nearest weather station, because there is still no agreement upon the way to estimate the stand value. From these estimated values, the following indices were calculated for each site: warmth index WI = (T1 - 5), where T1 is the mean monthly temperature exceeding 5 C (C month, Kira 1977); coldness index CI = (T2 - 5), where T2 is the mean monthly temperature less than 5 C (C month, Kira 1977); annual rainfall Ra (m), represented by the amount of mean monthly precipitation from April to November; winter precipitation Pw (m), amount from December to March. As a snow index, 50 cm or more deep days of snow per year D50 (days) is In the present study, D50 at each stand was estimated from the following regression formula used. derived from the 1891-1963 meteorological records: $D50 = 43.0 \ln \text{CI Pw} - 58.0$, (r = 0.848, P < 0.001).

Table 1 shows the correlation coefficients between each pairing of WI, D50 and Ra, which were used for the direct gradient analysis. The correlations between WI-D50 and D50-Ra are low in spite of

statistical significance and that between WI-Ra is not significant, and hence it is realistic for the Chubu District to analyze the effects of these climatic factors on the plant distribution. Table 2 shows the distribution of values WI against D50 and WI against Ra.

Hokkaido Island

The vegetation was surveyed at 506 stands in 120 areas chosen over the entire island of Hokkaido (Fig. 4). To complement this, additional vegetation records from 105 stands in 31 areas reported in the literatures, e.g. Kojima (1982, 1983). Of the total of 611 stands combined, 170 were grouped into boreal coniferous forests, 100 into beech forests, 249 into oak forests, and 92 into mixed forests. On their localities, 101 stands were chosen from the southwestern region of this island; 140 from the southern central region; 175 from the northern region; and 195 from the eastern region.

The climate at each stand was estimated from the climatic records at 79 weather stations, by the same method previously described in the analysis of Chubu District. In Hokkaido, the regression formula for D50 value is: $D50 = 84.0 \ln CI Pw - 148.1$, (r = 0.884, P < 0.001).

As shown in Table 3, the correlations of WI, D50 and Ra with each other are not statistically significant indicating that these factors are considered to be independent of each other. Table 4 shows the distribution of values WI against D50 and WI against Ra.

2. Co-occurrence of northern and southern plants

The co-occurrence of northern and southern plants was analyzed by using 164 vascular plant species whose climatic preferences were previously revealed. As an index of floral mixture, the following evenness J' proposed by Pielou (1975) was used: J' = - (pn ln pn + ps ln ps) (pn + ps) / ln 2, where pn and ps are relative richness of northern species and southern species, respectively.

3. Leaf phenology of forest plants

The investigation was conducted in thirty©one 20 m x 20 m quadrats chosen from the closed primary forests in Hokkaido (Fig. 5): 9 quadrats from beech forests, 6 from oak forests, 10 from coniferous forests, and 6 from mixed forests. In each quadrat, canopy coverage of all trees taller than 2 m was measured in midsummer, and concurrently, those of understory plants including tree saplings smaller than 2 m were measured in five 2 m x 2 m blocks randomly chosen. Dominance of understory species were represented by mean coverage in the five blocks. Other than epiphytes, a total number of vascular species NS was also recorded in each quadrat. In spring, the leaf habit of understory plants was examined by observing coverage and leaf age in the five blocks in 13 of the 31 quadrats. Of these 13 quadrats, 3 were chosen from each of beech, oak and mixed forests, and 4 from coniferous forests.

The Shannon-Weaver diversity index (Peilou, 1975), H', was used as the index of tree diversity in the overstory: $H' = -p'i \ln p'i$, where p'i is proportion of species i in the canopy coverage.

Attenuation of light intensity within a plant community varies directly with extinction ratio, that is a function of time. As schematically represented in Fig. 6, solar radiation penetrating through a crown of species i at time t is expressed by weighting the coverage of the species: Li(t)' = pi ri(t) L0(t), where L0(t) is incident solar radiation and pi and ri(t) are area and the light extinction ratio of the species, respectively, while solar radiation which is not intercepted by the crown is: Li(t)' = (1 - pi) L0(t). Thus, the average solar radiation passing through each tree species is: Li(t) = Li(t)' + Li(t)''.

In the case of canopy composed of n species, occurrence of overlapping by three or more species is rare since the spatially exclusive spreading of crowns in mature forest (Ishizuka 1984), and additionally, solar radiation penetrating through such areas is nearly zero because of the attenuation by multiplied extinction ratios. Then, solar radiation penetrating through the canopy is approximately given by the amount of solar radiations through each patch with single, double and no species: $L(t) = \{ (pi-ki)ri(t) + ki \}$ ri(t)rj(t) / n(n-1) + p0 L0(t), where ki is overlapped area in the crown of species i, ri(t) rj(t) is average extinction ratio of double©species patches and p0 is the area covered with no crown. If light extinction ratio of a deciduous tree is in direct proportion to attached leaves, ri(t) can be approximately obtained from such leaf events as budbreak, end of leaf emergence, commencement of leaf fall and end of leaf fall. For the deciduous broad-leaved trees, dates of these leaf events were estimated from the leaf survival curves represented in Kikuzawa (1983) as being irrespective of sites, and the maximum and minimum extinction ratios of all species were assumed to be 0.95 and 0.4, respectively. For evergreen conifers, the extinction ratios were conjectured to be 0.95 throughout a year. To evaluate the efficiency of light transmission of each species, transmitted solar radiation TSR was calculated as: TSR = Li(t) dt / L0(t) dt.

Unlike canopy trees, understory plants are also have a limited photosynthesis due to snowcover, hence, the only light resource available is during snow-free season. As the light resource in spring, relative solar radiation (RSR, viz. a ratio to the annual amount on the canopy surface) was integrated from the thawing of continuous snowcover (tt) to June 30 (t = 181): RSR = (tt 181 L(t) dt / (365 L0(t) dt, and likewise, RSR in summer was integrated from July 1 (t = 182) to September 30 (t = 273), and RSR in autumn was from October 1 (t = 274) to the commencement of continuous snowcover (tc). For the values of L0(t), the 1981-1990 observation record of solar radiation (MJ/m2) at the Experimental Farms, Hokkaido University, Sapporo, was used.

Predicted dates of tt and tc in each site were estimated from the records at the nearest weather station by using the 1951-1980 records of the Japan Meteorological Agency of 23 weather stations:

$$\begin{split} tt &= -3.1 \text{ Ti} + 0.22 \quad \text{Pi} - 2, \, (\text{R} = 0.650, \, \text{P} < 0.01); \\ tc &= 4.8 \text{ T12} - 1.60 \text{ P12} + 364, \quad (\text{R} = -0.809, \, \text{P} < 0.01), \end{split}$$

where Ti and Pi are respectively accumulations of mean monthly temperature (C) and precipitation (mm) from January to the last month of which mean monthly temperature was below 0 C, and T12 and P12 are those in December. The snow cover duration at each quadrat (CS, days in a year) was obtained by : CS = tt + (365 - tc).

To reveal the correlation of leaf habits to light resources, cluster analysis was conducted based on the similarity of understory vegetation. Available information for samples was obtained by Mountford's average linkage clustering which was performed by Gleason's index of community similarity PS: $(PS = 2 \quad min (xi, yi) / (xi + yi))$, where xi and yi are the amounts of coverage of species belonging to leaf phenological group i at each quadrat, respectively.

Terminology

To indicate the thermal and snowfall conditions, the following criteria were adopted: "cold (C)" means WI < 35 C month; "cool (c)", 35 C month >= WI < 65 C month; "warm (W)", 65 C month >= WI; "snowy (S)", 90 days >= D50; "snow-poor (s)", D50 < 30 days. The rainfall conditions were classified as follows: in Chubu District, "much rainfall (R)" means 1.5 m >= Ra; "little rainfall (r)", Ra < 1.2 m; and in Hokkaido, "much rainfall (R)", 0.9 m >= Ra; "little rainfall (r)", Ra < 0.7 m.

Vascular plant forms, including species, subspecies and varieties, were divided into phytogeographical groups based on their normal home ranges (cf. Hulten 1972; Kawano 1971; Nakaike 1975; Ohwi and Kitagawa 1984). In Chubu District, those plants were divided into the following five groups: southern plants (S), with their northern margin located in central Japan; northern plants (N), with their southern margin located in central Japan; plants endemic to Chubu District and its vicinity (EE); endemic from central to northern Japan (E); and otherwise widespread plants (O). In Hokkaido, those plants were divided into the following four groups: northern plants (N), mainly distributed in northeastern Eurasia, including circumpolar plants; southern plants (S), mainly distributed south of Hokkaido; plants endemic to Hokkaido and its vicinity (H); and widespread plants (O).

Finally, those forms were divided into nine life form categories based on the morphology and leaf phenology: evergreen trees (Te); summergreen trees (Ts); evergreen woody vine (Ve); summergreen woody vine (Vs); evergreen shrubs (Se); summergreen shrubs (Ss); evergreen herbs (He); summergreen herbs (Hs); and non-green leaved herbs (Hn), i.e. saprophagous plants. In these divisions, plant species with overwintering leaves are clustered into evergreen categories.

Results

1. Climatic preference of forest plants in central Japan

Commodal groups

Out of 731 ferns and flowering plant species found in the present study, 151 species occurring in 30 or more stands were used for the analysis of commodal groups. For each species their occurring stands were arranged along the three climatic factors WI, D50 and Ra. According to the similarity of the stand distribution, the 151 species were divided into 16 commodal groups (see Appendix 1). Some typical species of each group are represented in Fig. 7. These groups were distinguished on the basis of their distribution modes along the three climatic gradients in order. Number of species for the commodal groups are tabulated in Table 5.

As a whole, the distribution of 142 of the 151 species appeared to be controlled by temperature (Cxx + cxx + Wxx; 94.0%); 76 by snowcover (xSx + xsx; 50.3%); 27 by rainfall (xxR + xxr; 17.9%); and 6 to neither factors (OOO; 4.0%). This suggests that the behavior of the forest plants is controlled primarily by temperature and partly by snowcover. Especially, Sasa kurilensis, Heloniopsis orientalis C. Tanaka and Tripterospermum japonicum Maxim. preferred snow regardless of the thermal conditions (OSO). Rainfall factor is considered nearly ineffective to the distribution of many plant species.

Occurrence of commodal groups in forest ecosystems

According to the occurrence frequency in forest ecosystems, those species were arranged in the following three ecological groups (Mueller©Dombois and Ellenberg 1974): I, species of subalpine-coniferous forests; II, species of sand III, species common to both forest ecosystems. As shown instems. As shown in Table 5, species of Wxx groups are associated with summergreen forests while those of Cxx groups are combined with subalpine-coniferous forests, supporting that the species make-up of forest communities are primarily controlled by the thermal factor.

The WSx group consists exclusively of summergreen forest components (I), involving many shrub taxa which are varieties having become differentiated from the parent populations of the species. For instance, Lindera umbellata Thunb. var. membranacea Momiyama is a variety of Lindera umbellata belonging to the WsO group. Most of the varieties are endemic to central Japan and its vicinity (EE). All the species of groups OSO and OOO, which do not respond to WI, are commonly distributed in summergreen and subalpine©coniferous forests (III).

Relationship with phytogeography and life forms

As shown in Fig. 8, none of the northern species (N) requires "warm" conditions; most of them require "cold" conditions irrespective of their response to the snow factor. In contrast, the southern plants (S) do not require "cold" conditions, as predicted from the importance of temperature as a primary factor. However, the distribution of endemic plants seems to depend on snowfall as well as on temperature. The snow-independent species, xOx make up 52.4% of the total of EE species, 39.1% in E, 42.8% in

S, 72.2% in N and 58.5% in O. The values for the southern and endemic group are lowest, suggesting that many such species are influenced by snowfall, which prevents their northward expansion. In particular, the endemic plants limited to the Chubu District and its vicinity (EE) are characterized by many Csx species (33.3%), which cannot advance to northwards because of the heavy snowfall and neither southward because of high temperatures; the widely distributed Japanese endemics (E) require snow-rich

conditions. This suggests that among the endemics, snow-tolerant taxa are most likely to be distributed towards the north of Japan.

Also Fig. 8 shows the relationship with life form categories. Evergreen coniferous trees (Te) preferred the cold climate while avoiding deep snow: the ratio of species requiring "cold" conditions is 70.0%, and that of "snow-poor" is 60.0%. Summergreen broad-leaved trees (Ts) are dominant in "warm" or "cool" regions, but rather independent of the influence of snow: the ratio of snow©independent species is 67.6%. Even for the shrubs the ratio of snow-independent species was higher in the summergreen group (37.0% in Ss) than in the evergreen group (18.8% in Se). All the woody vines required "cool" or "warm" conditions. However, their distribution was not overly affected by the snow: the ratio of snow©independent species is 57.0%. Herbs were not concentrated in a special range of temperature and, like woody vines, they seemed to be less influenced by snow. These facts indicate that the snow exerts more influence on evergreen trees and shrubs than on summergreen trees and shrubs, and on woody vines and herbs.

Life forms and forest types of endemic species

Table 6 shows the number of endemic species (E and EE) and the other broadly distributed plants (S, N and O) in each life form group. The endemic ratio, (E + EE) / total number of species, was higher in evergreen groups than in deciduous groups, and higher in trees and shrubs than in herb species. However, 6 endemics in Te (66.7%) prefer snow©poor stands irrespective of their response to thermal factors, and 9 endemics in Se (75.0%) prefer snowy stands. Thus, regarding snow conditions evergreen trees are different from evergreen shrubs.

Of the evergreen shrubs, all plants which require 'cold conditions are endemics, i.e. Rhododendron brachycarpum D. Don. of CSO, and Rhododendron metternichii Sieb. et Zucc. var. pentamerum Maxim., Epigaea asiatica Maxim. and Ilex rugosa Fr. Schm. of COO. The three ericaceous species commonly have thick curly leaves with numerous trichomes, and the remaining species Ilex rugosa is a creeping dwarf shrub. Other evergreen shrubs requiring "cool" or "warm" conditions prefer snowy stands; they cannot tolerate low temperatures without a snowcover.

Table 7 shows the distribution of those plants in each ecological group combined with particularforest types.The Chubu District endemics (EE) are significantly concentrated in group I, which isassociated with subalpine coniferous forest.Many of those species are the postglacial relict.

Behavior of main tree species

Summergreen forests are usually predominated by Fagus crenata, Fagus japonica and Quercus mongolica var. crispula. As shown in Fig. 9, Fagus crenata preferred warm, snowy and rainy conditions (WSO), but its distribution range was so wide that it was sometimes present in regions as cold as WI < 45 C month if snowfall or rainfall was high. In contrast, Fagus japonica was restricted to a narrow range of WI and D50 (Wsr) and was absent from cold regions, also if there was little snowfall. Quercus mongolica var. crispula was classified into the WOO group; however, it survives even in the cold regions where WI = 35 C month if the snowfall was as much as D50 = 60-120 days.

In subalpine-coniferous forest Tsuga diversifolia, Abies veitchii and Abies mariesii are usually dominant. However, the two former species, belonging to group CsO, occur occasionally in warm regions where WI = 55-75 C month, if there is provided a limited snowfall or rainfall. The distribution of the third species, classified as COO, was completely restricted to the regions with WI < 45 C month.

2. Climatic preferences of Hokkaido forest plants

Commodal groups

A total of 537 vascular plant species were identified, and 164 species found in more than 24 stands were used for analysis. According to the similarity of the stand©distribution along WI, D50 and Ra, the 164 species were divided into 18 commodal groups (see Appendix 2). Figure 10 represents the stand©distribution of typical species in each group.

Table 8 shows the number of species in each commodal group. As a whole, the distribution of 135 of the 164 species appeared to be controlled by temperature (Cxx + cxx + Wxx constituting 82.3%, where arbitrary modes along snowcover and rainfall refer to asterisk, orderly), 77 by snowcover (xSx + xsx; 47.0%), and 59 by rainfall (xxR + xxr; 36.0%). That is the behavior of the forest plant species in Hokkaido are primarily controlled by temperature, but snowcover and rainfall are additional factors affecting their behavior. The comparison with Chubu District demonstrates that the distribution of plant species is more strongly dependent on rainfall, but more weakly on temperature in Hokkaido, thus, fewer species preferring "cool" regions (cxx) and the larger number of species being indifferent to temperature (Oxx).

Occurrence of commodal groups in four forest types

According to the occurrence in 20% or more stands of each forest type, the 164 plant species were divided into following five groups (Fig. 11): I species mainly distributed in boreal coniferous forests. They were subdivided into two types: Ia, found exclusively in boreal coniferous forests and Ib found also in mixed forests. II species mainly distributed in summergreen forests, with five subgroups, i.e. IIa species found only in beech forests, IIb found only in oak forests, IIc common to beecto oak and mixed forests, and IIe found inixed forests, and IIe found in beech, oak and mixed forests. III species common to boreal coniferous forests and summergreen forests, with three subgroups, i.e. IIIa common to

boreal coniferous and beech forests, IIIb common to boreal coniferous, mixed and oak forests, and IIIc common to all forest ecosystems. No species group was exclusively associated with mixed forests. The relationship between commodal groups and forest types is shown in Table 9.

Many species of group I preferred cold climate and little rainfall: Cxx = 100% and xxr = 55.6%. The difference between Ia and Ib is merely dependent on the thermal range. Species of group II prefer warm or cool climate, suggesting that their normal home ranges are in the temperate forests. Of these species, IIa and IIc often found in beech forests were mostly distributed in snowy and rainy regions: xSx and xxR were 100% and 100% in IIa and rast, IIb and IId beingctively. In contrast, IIb and IId being absent in beech forests were biassedly distributed in regions where snowfall and rainfall were little: xsx and xxr were 87.5% and 25.0% in IIb and 43.6% and 20.5% in IId, respectively. The climatic preferences of IIc, IId and IIe were varied among species. The species of group IIIa were closely related to snowy conditions, xSx constituting 75.0%. Most of the species of group IIIb preferred cold or cool climate, Wxx consisting of only 16.7%. The species of group IIIc showed a great variation of distribution and seemed indifferent to the three environmental gradients examined.

The number of summergreen forest species (IIa + IIb + IIc) was small (35 species), which was less than a half of that in central Japan presented in Uemura (1989), though the ratio of summergreen forest stands to all stands surveyed was higher in Hokkaido (57%) than in central Japan (47%). Species exclusively inhabiting boreal coniferous forests was fewer in Hokkaido (Ia = 5 species) than in central Japan (38 of 164 species analysed), suggesting that the altitudinal distribution of forest plants is not completely identical to their latitudinal distribution.

Relationship between phytogeography and life forms

Although southern plants mostly required 'warm' climate (Wxx = 70.6%), a few of them required "cold" climate, Cxx constituting 10.6% (Table 10). Since most of them belong to the group CSR, their expansion to the north seemed to be made possibly by heavy snowfall and much rainfall. Most of northern plants were nearly indifferent to snowfall, xOx constituting 83.3%. Endemics of Hokkaido and its vicinity (H) were as few as only eight species.

Trees and woody vines did not have a particular center of preference along snowcover and rainfall gradients, while those of shrubs and herbs are apparently biassed: xSx and xxR are respectively 64% and 46% in shrubs and, 7% and 8% in contrast with herbs, suggesting that the distribution of understory species of forests is more strongly affected by the snowcover or rainfall.

All species of evergreen tree plant were coniferous and the shrubs other thanCephalotaxus harringtonia var. nana in WSO are broad-leaved. Evergreen herbs included many ferns such as Lycopodium serrata Thunb., Lycopodium obscurum Linn., Polypodium virginianum Linn., Pyrrosa tricuspis Tagawa and Lepisorus ussuriensis Ching var. distans Tagawa and a few flowering plants such as Carex sachalinensis Fr. Schm. Evergreen shrubs particularly preferred snowy regions (xSx = 75%), while evergreen trees and herbs were indifferent to snow conditions, xOx constituting 100% of evergreen trees and 83% of evergreen herbs. Summergreen plants showed a variety of climatic preference without showing any particular tendencies.

Behavior of trees dominating mixed forests

Figure 12 represents the three boreal conifers and three temperate hardwoods dominating many of mixed forests. Boreal conifers such as Abies sachalinensis, Picea jezoensis and Picea glehnii were classified into COr group, preferring cold and little rainfall regions with indifference to snow conditions. Abies sachalinensis and Picea jezoensis sometimes expanded their distribution into the warm regions where WI was 55 C month or more. On the other hand, temperate hardwoods such as Quercus mongolica var. crispula, Acer mono and Tilia japonica belonged to the WOO group. Both the boreal conifers and the temperate hardwoods frequently occurred together over a wide thermal range of 35 C month WI < 65 C month where rainfall was little.

Behavior of some isolated southern species

If most of the southern plants had immigrated into Hokkaido from Honshu in the postglacial period, they might be expected to be found in the southernmost area of Hokkaido, i.e. Oshima Peninsula. However, such southern species as Acer cissifolium K. Koch, Stephanandra incisa Zabel, Cacalia delphiniifolia Sieb. et Zucc., Asperella longe-aridstata Ohwi and Pourthiaea villosa Decne were never or rarely found in Oshima Peninsula but almost exclusively in Hidaka District.

The direct gradient analysis of Acer cissifolium, Stephanandra incisa and Cacalia delphiniifolia demonstrated that their distributional modes were situated in relatively warm and dry zones. As shown in Fig. 13, however, they appeared potentially tolerant to cooler areas where snowfall and rainfall were relatively scarce. Since the precipitation including snowfall during the fullglacial age in Hidaka District is considered to have been very limited (Nogami et al 1980; Ono 1984), this region may have been covered by mixed forests consisting of Quercus mongolica var. crispula, Tilia japonica, Acer mono, whose freezing resistances are adequate to withstand estimated minimum temperature (ca 5-7 C below the present) in the last glacial age (Sakai 1975).

Preferences of species common to Chubu District

A total of 70 species were found both in Hokkaido and Chubu District. Of these common species, 58 (82.9%) show a different modality between the two districts surveyed. Along WI, 16 species (72.7%) preferring "cool" climate in Chubu District shift their mode in Hokkaido: 4 species to "cold", 7 to "warm", and 5 to temperature©indifferent. Their climatic preferences in Hokkaido and Chubu District are shown in Table 11. Modes of the species immigrated to Hokkaido from Honshu (S) shift to the warm side; in contrast, those of northern species (N) were shifted to the cold side. Along the D50 gradient, most of mode©shifted species are southern plants or the Japanese endemics, and no northern species change their modes. Along the gradient of Ra, many species being indifferent to rainfall in Chubu District, including Fagus crenata, prefer rainy areas of Hokkaido (24 species; 80.0%):

Chubu District is provided much rainfall even in the "little rainfall" regions such as about 1000 mm. However, there are three abnormal species such as Oxalis acetosella Linn., Acer ukurunduence Trautv. et Mey. and Cornus canadensis Linn. preferring little rainfall regions in Hokkaido (COr) while they are rainfall©indifferent in Chubu District (COO). All of them are northern species, suggesting that there are some factors limiting their expansion into the much rainfall regions of Hokkaido.

3. Floral mixture in forest ecosystems of Hokkaido

Evenness between northern and southern plants

Of the 164 species analyzed, 47 tree species formed overstories of summergreen or coniferous forests: i.e. 30 southern species (S), 6 northern species (N), 4 endemics to Hokkaido and its vicinity (H) and 7 widespread species (O). Of these 47 species, 44 were also found in mixed forests. In fact, most of the overstory species participated in the co-occurrence of southern and northern floras in the forests, except three specific species such as Fagus crenata, Quercus serrata and Acer cissifolium which are restricted to southwestern or eastern part of southern central regions and are absent in mixed forests.

Understory plants totalled 113 species: i.e. 52 southern species, 32 northern species, 7 endemics and 22 widespread species. As shown in Fig. 14, the floral make-up of the understory was similar among oak forests, mixed forests and coniferous forests. Almost all of the northern species were able to inhabit each type of forest. A slight difference noted was due to large parts of a slightly smaller number of southern species in mixed forests and coniferous forests. In addition, the evenness scores in understory are significantly higher than those in overstory (P < 0.01 in Mann-Whitney U test). The evenness was nearly equal (J' constituting about 0.7) to these three types of forest (Fig. 14). Beech forests were relatively poor in northern species (19 species) producing an evenness score of ca 0.5, significantly lower than that of other forest types (P < 0.001 in Mann-Whitney U test). Thus, the floral mixture in forest understories were notable in that they exceeded in overstories.

Life form, climatic preference and floral mixture

The co-occurrence of understory plants is due to the distributional expansion of northern species into summergreen forests and of southern species into coniferous forests. Table 12 shows the life form and climatic preference of southern plants and northern plants frequently were found in coniferous forests and oak forests, respectively. The relationships between leaf habit and the occurrent frequency are depicted in Fig. 15. Many of the southern plants frequently found in coniferous forests have overwintering leaves and are tolerant to cold winter if thick snow cover is provided. In contrast, most of the northern plants often inhabiting oak forests are summergreen herbs.

Of the northern plants, evergreen species such as Carex sachalinensis did not inhabit oak forests while such summergreen species as Maianthemum dilatatum Nels. et Macbr., Cardamine leucantha O.E. Shulz, Cacalia hastata Linn. var. orientalis Ohwi and Cimicifuga simplex Wormsk., etc. inhabited more than 40% of the oak forests. Of the southern species, however, more than 75% of the summergreen plants were absent or quite rare in coniferous forests while such evergreen species as Sasa kurilensis, Sasa senanensis, Skimmia japonica Thunb. var. intermedia Komatsu f. repens Hara, Ilex rugosa and Rhododendron brachycarpum were frequently found in boreal coniferous forests. The distribution patterns of evergreen plants and summergreen plants shown in Fig. 15 were statistically different from each other in both the oak forests and the coniferous forests (P < 0.01 in G-test).

4. Leaf habits as adaptive strategies in understory

Leaf phenology of understory plants

A total of 242 vascular plant species were found in the understories of the 31 quadrats surveyed. From the leaf survival patterns, the 242 species were divided into eight categories (Fig. 16): (1) perennial©leaved, with leaf lifespan of more than two years, resulting in the overwintering of different aged leaves; (2) biennial©leaved, overwintering leaves which fall after the expansion of new leaves in spring (the "semi©evergreen" type of Sato, 1982); (3) facultative biennial©leaved, in which the overwintering of leaves varies from plant to plant; (4) heteroptic, as defined by Kikuzawa (1984), having two types of leaf, i.e. summergreen leaves and overwintering leaves; (5) summergreen, leaf which emerges in spring and falls in autumn; (6) spring-green, corresponding to the species generally called spring ephemeral, with leaf lifespan from only early spring to midsummer at latest; and (7) wintergreen, where leaves expand in late summer or early autumn and detaches before the following summer.

The first two categories are considered evergreen with no bare phase, while the last three are considered annual or deciduous with leaf lifespan shorter than one year. A few plant species belong to none of these categories but to a saprophagous group lacking green leaves. In the present study, Monotropastrum globosum H. Andres and Gastrodia elata Bl. were the species classified under this group.

Relationship with growth forms

The relationship between leaf habit and growth form is shown in Table 13. All tree species were perennial©leaved or summergreen: conifers such as Abies sachalinensis, Picea jezoensis, Picea glehnii and Taxus cuspidata Sieb. et Zucc. were perennial-leaved; and all other trees were summergreen. Woody vines were mostly summergreen with the exceptions of Hydrangea petiolaris Sieb. et Zucc. which is heteroptic and Euonymus fortunei Hand.-Mazz. var. radicans Rehd. which is perennial©leaved. Most of shrub species were perennial-leaved or summergreen, but some shrubs were heteroptic (Euonymus alatus Sieb. f. dentatus), facultatively biennial-leaved (Ligstrum tschonoskii Decaisne var. glabrescens Koidz.) or wintergreen (Daphne kamtschatica Maxim. var. jezoensis Ohwi).

Herbaceous species showed a great variation of leaf habit. As summergreen, for instance, Dryopteris monticola C. Chr., Cornus canadensis Linn., Maianthemum dilatatum Nels. et Macbr.,

Trillium smallii Maxim., Cardamine leucantha O.E. Shulz, Cimicifuga simplex Wormsk.; as perennial©leaved, Lycopodium serratum Thunb., Lycopodium obscurum Linn., Carex sachalinensis Fr. Schm., Chamaele decumbens Makino; as biennial-leaved, Polystichum tripteron Pr., Dryopteris crassirhizoma Nakai, Arachniodes mutica Ohwi, Tiallera polyphylla D. Don; as facultative biennial-leaved, Solidago virga-aurea Linn. var. asiatica Nakai, Galium trifloriforme Komar., Angelica edulis Miyabe; as heteroptic, Geum macrophyllum Willd. var. sachalinense Hara; as wintergreen, Gentiana zollingeri Fawcett and Cremastra appendiculata Makino; and as spring-green, Anemone yezoensis Koidz., Anemone flaccia Fr. Schm., Corydalis ambigua Cham. et Schlecht, Symplocarpus nipponicus Makino.

All the species of biennial©leaved group were chamaephytes, and most were ferns or sedges: 11 and 4 species, respectively. In summary, diversification in leaf habits was from trees to woody vines, shrubs, and herbs, i.e. vertically from higher to lower, and temporally from longer to shorter lifespan.

Efficiency of light transmission of canopy trees

Transmitted soral radiation (TSR) of 41 deciduous broad-leaved tree species was shown in Table 14. TSR values vary with a wide range from 0.480 in Salix sachalinensis Fr. Schm to 0.276 in Fagus crenata. Such species as Populus sieboldii Miq., Salix sachalinensis, Salix hultenii Floderus var. angustifolia Kimura, Alunus hirsuta Turcz., Alnus japonica Steud. and Magnolia kobus DC. var. borealis Sarg. preferring gap phases or early successional stages show higher TSR exceeding 0.400 while species of late successional stages such as Fagus crenata, Quercus mongolica var. crispula, Acer palmatum Thunb. var. matsumurae Makino, Acer japonicum Thunb. are characterized by lower TSR below 0.300. Many other species show intermadiate values of TSR ranginf from 0.400 to 0.300.

Environments of understory controlled by phenology of canopy

Figure 17 represents the distribution of light resources available for understory plants in each The interior of summergreen forests generally has been considered as similarly dark during quadrat. the growing season and light during canopy dormancy. However, understories were obviously darker in the beech forests than in the oak forests, though both canopies are largely deciduous broad-leaved trees: the differences were significant not only in annual amount but also in each season in Mann-Whitney's U test. The differences are considered to be caused by the following: beech forests are mainly distributed in snowy regions, the leaf emergence of Fagus crenata occurs early and explosively and the commencement of leaf fall is the latest of all hardwood trees in Hokkaido while the ends of leaf emergence of many trees consisting of oak and mixed forests delay the end of spring, and their commencements of leaf fall precede the end of summer. Because of the higher solar radiation in early summer, effects of timing of leaf expansion in overstory are relatively important for understory Consequently, not only by the long duration with snowcover but also by the particular leaf plants. phenology of Fagus crenata, the interior of beech forests is considerably oligophotic. Though solar

radiation in the interior of coniferous forests is strongly restricted both in spring and in autumn, the differences between other forests in summer were not significant (P > 0.05 in Mann-Whitney's U test).

Table 15 shows the correlation coefficients between two of all combination of these environmental variables. The correlation was significantly positive between RSR(annual) and each of other RSR and between H' and each of RSR, but negative between CS and RSR except in summer, suggesting that light resources for understory plants are primarily limited by snowcover and heterogeneity in the canopy. In consequence, the understories both of oak forests and mixed forests seems to be unpredictable, competitive and euphotic, a forest interior with an abundance of solar radiation penetrating through the canopy, while those of beech and coniferous forests seems to be predictable, less competitive and oligophotic.

Cluster analysis for grouping of understory vegetation

Based on the similarity of leaf habit categories, the 31 and 13 quadrats surveyed in midsummer and in spring were clustered at the levels of 60% and 50%, respectively (Fig. 18). Group b in summer and group e in spring consisted of beech and coniferous forests, and group c in summer af in spring were made upe made up of oak and mixed forests. Mean coverage of leaf categories in each clustered group were represented in Fig. 19 Perennial-leaved plants largely dominated in groups b and e while they were absent or rare in groups d and f where biennial-leaved plants and summergreen plants or spring-green plants instead dominated. Group a consisted of various types of forests, in which perennial-leaved plants and summergreen plants d of various types of forests, in which perennial-leaved plants and summergreen plants compete. Of the quadratsting that the temporal habitat segregation occurred between spring-green plants and some of summergreen plants. Group d, which showed lower similarity with any other quadrats, consisted of a single stand of oak forest and was dominated by facultative biennial-leaved plants Sasa nipponica Makino et Shibata. In group g consisting of a mixed forest stand, the total coveransisting of a mixed forest stand, the total coverage of sping-green plants dominated there as well as seen in group f.

Environments of each cluster group were shown in Table 16. Except for CS and RSR(summer), all the environmental variables in group c were higher than those in groups a and b, suggesting that the understory of group c is competitive, unpredictable and euphotic. Highly significant difference between a and b is in RSR(summer), indicating that the dominance of summergreen plants depends on the photosynthesis in summer other than that in spring and autumn. According to the heterogeneity of canopy trees, the oak and mixed forests are characterized by the various patterns of seasonal change in light transmission, and this may proceed the growth of many successional plant species in the understory.

Relationship between leaf habits and environments

Table 17 shows the correlation coefficients between environmental variables and coverage of leaf habit categories surveyed in midsummer and spring. The correlations between coverage of perennial-leaved plants and NS, H', RSR were negative, and in contrast, those with biennial©leaved plants were positive except for RSR(summer). This suggests that the former plants prefer less competitive, predictable and oligophotic habitat while the latter plants are the opposite, though both of them have no bare phase alike. Positive correlations were shown between summergreen plants and NS, H', RSR(summer) and RSR(autumn), suggesting that the solar radiation in summer are the limiting factor for summergreen plants while those in spring and autumn are more important for biennial©leaved plants. For wintergreen plants, a weakly significant correlation was found in RSR(autumn). Facultative biennial©leaved plants showed a positive correlation with NS and H' but were indifferent to snow and light resources. Spring©green plants preferred euphotic habitats. Particular tendency was not shown in heteroptosis plants.

Discussion

Effects of snow on the plants' distribution and speciation

By analyzing the occurrence of each plant species along thermal gradients in Japan, Kira and Yoshino (1967) showed that plant distribution is controlled mostly by the integrated temperature factor; e.g. the summergreen forest species (Fagus crenata, Abies homolepis) and the subalpine-coniferous forest species (Abies mariesii, Abies veitchii, Pinus koraiensis Sieb. et Zucc.) are clearly separated from each other, with an altitudinal boundary where WI = 40-45 C month; the distributions of Abies mariesii and Abies veitchii overlap each other on the thermal gradient. However, many tree species, including Fagus crenata, show different patterns of altitudinal distribution on the Pacific side and the Sea of Japan side of the country, suggesting an effect of snow (Kure and Yoda 1984; Tanaka 1986). Snowfall is highly correlated with air temperature in most districts of Japan; therefore, it has been difficult to distinguish the effect of snow from that of temperature. In the Chubu District, which is geographically and meteorologically complex, the thermal ranges over which a certain species occurs were often differentiated by snowfall conditions. Thus, the behavior of forest plants is not as simple as has previously been thought; for example, Fagus crenata can extend even to cold areas if snowfall or rainfall is high, while Tsuga diversifolia and Abies veitchii occasionally occupy warm areas with little snowfall or rainfall; Abies mariesii is nearly independent of the influence of snow and is often found in the snowy regions which Abies veitchii avoids.

Location of the polar front fluctuated north and south during the Quaternary, resulting in the great variance of total precipitation in the middle latitude regions of the Northern Hemisphere (Austin et al 1984). In post-glacial periods warm sea currents often ran into the Sea of Japan; and the winter air mass provided the Japanese Archipelago with much snow which proceeded the isolated plant species to expand their distribution into a broader spectrum of habitat. However, the snow©intolerant species were restricted in their distribution, enhancing the ratio of evergreen coniferous which generally speciated into endemic species of Japan. The process of isolation and the associated fluctuation of

snowfall may have created an opportunity for divergence to some populations into various taxa or ecotype, and for convergence into a particular life form.

The following morphological and functional attributes are regarded adaptive in the snowy regions: (1) high capacity to sprout from shoot or rhizome (Sakai 1968, 1976); (2) rapid growth, especially in spring (ibid.); (3) shrub, stature especially procumbent or decumbent (ibid.); (4) evergreen, wintergreen or heteroptosis (Sato and Sakai 1980; Kikuzawa 1984); (5) longevity of leaves (Kikuzawa 1984, 1989); (6) large leaf area (Hagiwara 1977). The first two attributes include a capacity of recovery from serious damage by avalanche or snow pressure; the three latter attributes are associated with effective photosynthesis especially in the interior of summergreen forests, and may be considered as stress©tolerant strategy (Grime 1979). Plants with these attributes can assimilate as soon as the snow thaws and the photosynthesis prior to the leaf-expansion in the canopy probably provides benefits which exceeds the total cost for maintaining leaves in winter (Chabot and Hicks 1982), because photosynthesis and metabolic activities of the evergreen leaves rise in spring and in autumn (Yoshie and Kawano 1986). Furthermore, the temperature at a depth of 50 cm in snow stays at around 0 C (Sakai 1976), and the snow serves as protection from frost damage for the photosynthetic organs of plants. As a consequence, the evergreen shrub life form is potentially among the most appropriate for conditions associated with heavy snowfall.

Actually, as shown in the deciduous shrubs Lindera umbellata (csr) and Lindera umbellata var. membranacea (WSO), of which the latter has larger leaves, differences in snow tolerance have been reported in many closely related forms such as Cephalotaxus harringtonia - Cephalotaxus harringtonia var. nana, Aucuba japonica Thunb. - Aucuba japonica var. borealis Miyabe et Kudo, Ilex crenata Thunb. - Ilex crenata var. paludosa Hara, and Daphniphyllum macropodum Miq. - Daphniphyllum macropodum var. humile Rosenthal (Hotta 1974; Sakai 1976). Of these, the parent forms are evergreen broad©leaved trees or shrubs, and are distributed in the laurel forests, and all of them tend to avoid snow; all their varieties are evergreen decumbent plants and frequently found in the summergreen forests on the Sea of Japan side of the country. They are classified into the WSR or WSO and are invariably snow-tolerant. Unlike the tall trees or winter-withered herbs, the shrubs are frequently subject to the stress of snow, and the chance of speciation of snow-tolerant neo©endemics by adaptive radiation may be increased.

Modal shifts of climatic preference

Because of avoidance problems of uneven sample distribution, direct gradient analysis can be applied in a restricted area to examine the responses of individual species to environmental variables (Austin 1987), suggesting that it is required to compare the behavior in different regions to examine the response. At least for the thermal variable, modal positions of southern species and the Japanese endemics in Chubu District and those of northern species in Hokkaido are expected to be nearly their physiological optimum. Therefore, it is expected that there are some other factors such as moisture, topography and geo©history, skewing the ecological behaviors of southern plants in their northern frontiers and those of northern plants in their southern areas. On the other hand, niche segregation between temperate and boreal plants along thermal gradient is not so clear in Hokkaido, that is, a significantly large number of species show indifferent to temperature. One of the reasons is considered to be narrower thermal range of the forest distribution in Hokkaido. However, there are mumerous species of temperature©indifferent and fewer species preferring "cool" climate in Hokkaido, suggesting that geographical factors have a stronger effects on the horizontal distribution of plants than on the vertical distribution.

Although beech forest is the typical and dominant forest ecosystem in the temperate zone of Japan (Sasaki 1970; Kira 1977), its distribution in Hokkaido has not been sufficiently explained by any thermal factors such as WI or CI of Kira (1977). Certain hypotheses for the limiting factors have been proposed, e.g. disturbance by wildfire, short distance of seed dispersed, niche boundary based on species interaction, etc. (Watanabe 1987). Another speculation is that the moisture conditions of the northern margin where less annual precipitation is provided has been charging the migration: i.e. their northward expansion is disequilibrium with the drastic rise of temperature in the postglacial period (Takeda and Nakanishi 1984). This hypothesis appears to be supported by the climatic preference of Fagus crenata, whose distribution is biassed to regions where much snowfall and rainfall are provided in Hokkaido.

In Hokkaido, many temperate hardwood trees seem to be expanding their distribution toward north and east by expelling boreal conifers. This suggests that the distribution of forests is more dependent on geo-historical background than on the present conditions of climate in Hokkaido. In the process of northward expansion of temperate hardwoods during the postglacial age, they have established mixed forest with boreal conifers especially in regions where rainfall is less provided. Indeed, as observed in northeastern Europe, eastern North America and eastern Asia, regions where mixed forests are normally distributed are characterized by relatively less precipitation (MacArthur and Connell 1966). However, climatic preference of individual plant species is one of the proximate factors for the establishment of mixed forests.

Co-occurrence process of northern and southern plants

In Hokkaido, co-occurrence of northern and southern floras is mostly attributed to the expansion of southern evergreen plants into the understories of boreal coniferous forests and of northern summergreen plants into the understories of oak forests. Because the Strait of Sohya isolating Hokkaido from Sakhalin is as shallow as only 60 m deep in maximum, these islands have been frequently connected to each other in glacial periods (Ohshima 1982). During the last glacial maximum, Hokkaido was largely dominated by boreal coniferous forest dominated by the deciduous conifer Larix (Tsukada 1985). The understory of larch forest was probably composed of many summergreen herbs, as the present vegetation of eastern Siberia where forest of the deciduous conifer Larix dahurica Turcz. is the most dominant and many summergreen herbs frequently occur in the understory (Uemura et al 1990).

On the other hand, it is still controversial when or under what circumstance southern species immigrated into Hokkaido over the Strait of Tsugaru. Based on the fossils of pollen, Tsukada (1985)

suggested that most of the southern plants simultaneously immigrated to Hokkaido in the postglacial age. As pointed out by Prentice (1986), however, the absence of pollen does not always imply the absence of the species concerned. This is especially likely in vegetatively expanding plant species like Sasa, which rarely effloresce, or in local and small relicts, which are very restricted distributionally (Davis et al 1986). These plant species makedly contributed to the floral mixture. The Strait of Tsugaru is deeper than 140 m, and Hokkaido has been isolated from Honshu for the last 70,000 years at least (Ohshima 1982). Moreover, this barrier has been widened with rise of temperature throughout the postglacial age. The present frequent mixture of southern plants and northern plants suggests the long-term co-existence of these plant species in Hokkaido.

How and where could the southern species survive in the

glacial age? Unlike in Europe and North America, most areas of Hokkaido was not covered by glacier ice even in the coldest period of the last glacial age. Furthermore, the southwestern part of Hokkaido was covered by snow in winter (Ono 1984), which enabled the survival of the snow-tolerant southern species such as Sasa kurilensis, Sasa cernua, Cephalotaxus harringtonia var. nana, Daphniphyllum macropodum var. humile, Ilex crenata var. paludosa, Skimmia japonica var. intermedia f. repens, Rhododendron brachycarpum, etc. The present study further revealed that some snow-intolerant southern species could withstand a cool climate if precipitation was low, indicating their survival during the last glacial in drier regions such as Hidaka District (Uemura and Takeda 1987). Overall, the present study strongly suggests the postglacial recovery of southern species in Hokkaido is not due to their immigration from Honshu but rather due to the expansion of snow-tolerant species from the Sea of Japan side, especially from Oshima Peninsula, and the expansion of snow-intolerant species from their dry refuges such as Hidaka District.

Patterns of leaf phenology in forest understory

In general, deciduous plants usually have a high efficiency of photosynthesis during a short active season: they are less tolerant to shade stress but competitive in exposed habitats since the little investment for leaf maintenance (Bazzaz 1979; Chabot and Hicks 1982). In contrast, evergreen plants are generally successful in shaded habitat but less competitive, because the total benefit cannot exceed the cost even if they have ability of high assimilation. The distribution analysed in this study supports the generalized theory: summergreen and spring-green plants are dominant in habitat where abundant of solar radiation is provided while perennial-leaved plants are opposite. However, biennial©leaved plants are rather dominant in euphotic habitat, though they have no bare phase in perennial-leaved plants, indicating such physiognomic criteria as "deciduous" or "evergreen" are not so appropriate to evaluate the leaf phenology of forest plants sufficiently.

Unlike spring-green plants which can be considered ruderal in the sense of Grime (1979), summergreen plants correspond to the competitive©ruderal strategy (Grime 1979) can effectively assimilate in summer. This makes it possible to grow in forest understory where solar radiation is restricted by snowcover in spring. In addition, sunflecks occasionally streaming through the canopy are considered to be more important for the photosynthesis of understory plants than the average light intensity (Woodward 1990). For instance, 10-80% of solar radiation in a forest floor and 30-60% of daily carbon fixation of saplings are dependent on the sunflecks (Chazdon 1988), suggesting that summergreen plants are not always severely shaded throughout summer, and the major carbon gain seems to depend on sunflecks.

The wintergreen habit has been considered another strategy to adapt to less shaded habitats: the leaf habit seemed to be one of the ecological factors for seasonally effective use of the light resources (Kikuzawa 1989). In the present study, however, their distribution was not correlated to the light resources, suggesting that the overwintering leaves are not always adaptive to euphotic habitat in winter, where they should often risk themselves to frost and drought damage.

Perennial-leaved habit is considered as the stress©tolerant strategy of Grime (1979). Potentially, the overwintering leaves can assimilate throughout winter, hence, they are most suitable for effective use of light resources in summergreen forests from late autumn to early spring (Kikuzawa 1989). If the defense cost against frost damage was reduced, the benefit obtained in winter should be relatively greater. In fact, shade©tolerance in sapling of an evergreen oak compensated by effective use of such seasonal gap in deciduous forest, where the net production in winter exceeds that in summer resulting their positive growth (Takenaka 1986). For evergreen plants, an adaptation to avoid frost damage is found on a procumbent form, because snowcover serves as a protector for the overwintering leaves (Sakai and Larcher 1987). In Hokkaido such temperate shrubs preferring snowy climate are frequently found in boreal coniferous forest (Uemura, unpublished), suggesting that the snow-tolerance is highly correlated to the shade-tolerance and snowcover has played some roles to raise their fitness. Hence, the shade-tolerance is considered as preadaptation to environment long covered by snow. However. extended snowcover would not be disadvantageous, because their carbon fixation must largely depend on the assimilation in the snow-free duration but never exceed those of summergreen plants.

Biennial-leaved plants nearly correspond to the group designated "evergreen II" type in Kawano (1985), of which productive substance assimilated by current leaf is consumed for the reproductive For many of them, the shedding of overwintering leaves and emergence of new leaves performance. occur rapidly and simultaneously likewise the "flush type" categorized for deciduous woody plants (Kikuzawa 1983). According to the prediction based on the model of Iwasa and Cohen (1989), leaf emergence of a flush typed fern is optimal for species growing in a habitat where phenotypic tolerances exceed environmental variability (Hamilton 1990). Between photosynthetic efficiency and leaf lifespan, a trade-off relationship is known (Chabot and Hicks 1982; Koike 1988), and the timing of leaf emergence and fall is considered to maximize the net gain in a growing season (Harada and Takada 1988). However, less interest has been focused on the role of overwintering leaves to date. The overwintering leaves can serve as storage organs guaranteeing quick expansion of new leaves in the next spring, and additionally, their rapid leaf-emergence seems to give damage to the neighboring plants by If so, an temporal differentiation in leaf function is expected to have occurred, and plants shading. with such leaf habits could be selected in habitats being competitive in spring and euphotic in autumn.

Phenology of facultative biennial-leaved plants is basically similar with biennial-leaved plants. For some monocarpic herbs found on coastal dunes or old fields, the critical conditions as to whether overwintering leaves should be attached or shed is controlled by reproductive events depending on the size, age or environments (Hirose and Kachi 1982; Couvet et al 1990). Among the forest plants, Sasa species are rare examples of monocarpic plants. Of these, Sasa nipponica and Sasa chartacea Makino were facultative biennial-leaved, but the behavior is flexible depending neither on size nor on reproduction. Their flowering is believed to occur once about 60 years or more, and the critical condition is considered to be determined by the environments, i.e. snowcover to escape from frost damage, suggesting that the leaf habit may be preadaptation for unpredictable snow conditions of the habitat.

The heteroptic habit found in Euonymus alatus f. dentatus was considered as a form of developmental plasticity (Kikuzawa 1984), because individual plants will experience different environments throughout the life history and such developmental plasticity is generally found in many species (Bazzaz 1979; Bazzaz and Pickett 1980). As shown in Geum macrophyllum which has overwintering rosette leaves and summergreen stem leaves, however, the morphologically differentiated leaves seem to be determined by the genetic constraints, and such dimorphism cannot be considered as the plastic variance. Moreover, even the phenotypic plasticity in plants is controlled genetically (Bradshaw 1965). Probably, the leaves of these types differ in function from each other since the environments that each of them will experience would be certainly different. Benefit by the overwintering leaves will occasionally exceed the maintenance cost in habitat where light resources are unpredictable in winter, indicating that the habit is considered as bet©hedging strategy which will be favored by risk©spreading in unpredictable environments.

Leaf phenology as a key factor facilitating the floral mixture in

boreo-nemoral ecotone

On the temperate forest plants, differentiation from the evergreen ancestors and the adaptive radiation in leaf habits were facilitated by the establishment of apparent cyclic regularity of illumination in the understory of deciduous forests, where dark period and light period reciprocally occur in a year (Axelrod 1966). However, direction of evolution in leaf lifespan is not always to shorten, and the optimal longevities and timing of emergence and shedding are expected to be selected by stress or available resources (Harada and Takada 1988; Iwasa and Cohen 1989). The divergence of leaf phenology occurred over many phylogenetic taxa, and it is more notable in plants growing in vertically lower niches in forest ecosystems, especially in herbaceous plants which are generally considered to be subject to the extreme influence of shade stress (Grime 1979; Bierzychudek 1982). Unlike in woody plants, frequent adaptive evolution to the seasonal change in light resources occurred in herbaceous plants because of the plasticity and flexibility both in morphology and in function, and the genetic variation will expand easily and rapidly in their gene pools.

Various patterns of leaf survivor were distinguished in the forest plants of Hokkaido where divergence occurred over many phylogenetic taxon as shown in the present study, and the adaptive significance of each habit was discussed above. In the boreo-nemoral forest ecotone, leaf habits have played an important role on the process of floral mixture especially in the forest understory besides the climatic preference of individual plant species. Even for canopy trees, for instance, their distribution is strongly controlled by environments of understory through the early stages of their seedling and sapling growth.

Though boreal forest formation is largely predominated by such evergreen conifers as Picea and Abies (Larsen 1980), northern plants species are not always associated with evergreen forests. Especially in eastern Asia, deciduous larch forests are rather superior, with occasionally distributed by boreal deciduous broad-leaved forests composed of Betula, Populus or Salix (Larsen 1980). Northern species contributing to the floral mixture in Hokkaido is considered to be associated with these boreal deciduous forests. Consequently, postglacial changes in climate including seasonality in precipitation, must have controlled the distribution of individual plant species through the interaction between understory and overstory, and the floral mixture visually observed now in Hokkaido has been just a synthetic outcome of such individual vectors of migration and evolutionary histories of adaptation.

Acknowledgements

I wish to express my sincere thanks to Prof. K. Ito for his useful suggestion and encouragement in the course of this study, Dr. S. Higashi for his helpful comments and valuable discussion. Cordial thanks are also due to Drs. K. Kikuzawa, T. Tsujii, K. Tsutsui, T. Igarashi and H. Fukuda, for their useful suggestions on the manuscript. Special gratitude is due to the late Prof. S. Nakanishi and Prof. Emeritus N. Yano for their useful advice and pertinent guidance on plant ecology.

Summary

1. In the Chubu District, central Japan, the distribution of many forest plant species was controlled not only by temperature but also by snowfall. Sasa kurilensis, Heloniopsis orientalis and Tripterospermum japonicum preferred snow regardless of thermal conditions. The snow seemed to exert more influence on endemics than on the more widespread plants, on evergreen plants than on summergreen plants, and on trees or shrubs more than on herbs or woody vines. Thus, the snow contributes to the high endemic ratio of central Japan. Among the snow©tolerant evergreen shrubs there were many neo-endemics derived from snow-intolerant parent populations of the species which

have restricted distribution in the laurel forests. They could occupy habitats in the summergreen forests, where their life form can withstand heavy snowfall. Because of the heavy snowfall on the Sea of Japan side of central Japan in the postglacial age, evergreen coniferous trees have lost many of their previous habitats since most of them are intolerant of heavy snowfall.

2. In Hokkaido, the northernmost island of Japan, where boreal plants and temperate plants frequently co-occur with each other, distribution of forest plants is exerted more influence by rainfall than that in Chubu District, and many species common to central Japan shift their modal positions along the temperature gradient. From the behavior of predominating tree species, mixed forests are distributed with a wide thermal range, especially in regions where little rainfall was provided. However, co-occurrence in a certain forest site is more notable in the understory than in the crown. A particular case of this is where southern shrubs and herbs attaching overwintering leaves in boreal coniferous Another is the presence of northern summergreen herbs in temperate hardwood forests. It is forests. speculated that the co-occurrence dates back at least to the late-Quaternary period, where the decrease in temperature associated with the glacial period forced understory flora to adapt their life form or leaf habit to snowcover and light conditions of interior forests. Recent geographical evidences suggest that the island has been isolated from the southern island Honshu at least for 70,000 years. Thus postglacial re©expansion of southern species in Hokkaido does not appear to be due to their immigration but rather due to their expansion from refuges on the island such as Oshima Peninsula for snow-tolerant southern species and HidaKa District for the snow-intolerant species.

3. Forest plant species in Hokkaido were categorized by the leaf phenological patterns, and the adaptive significance of the leaf habits was evaluated in relation to the environments of forest understory seriously controlled by the phenology of canopy species. Perennial-leaved plants are dominant in such intensively shaded habitat as beech or coniferous forest while both of summergreen and spring@green plants are more successful in weakly shaded habitat, e.g. oak or mixed forest. The shade@tolerance of some perennial leaved plants is considered as preadaptation to snow@tolerance. Despite of attaching overwintering leaves likewise perennial-leaved plants, biennial-leaved plants are assumed to be adaptive to grow in less shaded habitats. Such leaf habit is expected to be an adaptive trait through the competition in spring, probably because of the quick emergence of current leaves by effective use of the previously accumulated resources. Heteroptosis attaching summergreen leaves and overwintering leaves concurrently are considered as a bet©hedging strategy. Not only by the seasonal change in light conditions related to the phenology of canopy trees but also by the duration of snowcover, divergence of phenological leaf habit of understory plants has been facilitated, therefore, leaf phenology is considered as a key factor facilitating the floral mixture in Hokkaido.

References

Austin, M.P. 1987. Models for the analysis of species' response to environmental gradients. Vegetatio 69: 35-45.

Austin, M.P., Cunningham, R.B. and Fleming, P.M. 1984. New approaches to direct gradient analysis using environmental scalars and statistical curve-fitting procedures. Vegetatio 55: 11-27.

Axelrod, D.I. 1966. Origin of the deciduous and evergreen habits in temperate forests. Evolution 20: 1-15.

Baruah, V. and Ramakrishnan, P.S. 1989. Phenology of the shrub strata of successional sub©tropical humid forests of northeastern India. Vegetatio 80: 63-67.

Bazzaz, F.A. 1979. The physiological ecology of plant succession. Ann. Rev. Ecol. Syst. 10: 351-371.

Bazzaz, F.A. and Pickett, S.T.A. 1980. Physiological ecology of tropical succession: a comparative review. Ann. Rev. Ecol. Syst. 11: 287-310.

Bierzychudek, P. 1982. Life histories and demography of shade- tolerant temperate forest herbs: A review. New Phytol. 90: 757-776.

Bradshaw, A.D. 1965. Evolutionary significance of phenotypic plasticity in plants. Advances Genet 13: 115-155.

Bush, M.B. and Hall, A.R. 1987. Flandrian Alnus: expansion or immigration ? J. Biogeogr. 14: 479-481.

Chabot, B.F. and Hicks, D.J. 1982. The ecology of leaf life spans. Ann. Rev. Ecol. Syst. 13: 229-259.

Chapin, F.S.III and Shaver, G.R. 1985. Arctic. In: Chabot, B.F. and Mooney, H.A. (eds), Physiological ecology of North American plant communities. pp. 16-40. Chapman and Hall, London.

Chazdon, R.L. 1988. Sunflecks and their importance to forest understory plants. Adv. Ecol. Res. 18: 1-63.

Couvet, D., C.A. Mihaliak, and D.E. Lincoln. 1990. Genetic and environmental effects on life©history of a facultative biennial. Oikos 57: 161-166.

Davis, M.B., Spear, R.W. and Shane, L.C.K. 1980. Holocene climate of New England. Quat. Res. 14: 240-250.

Falinski, J.B. 1986. Vegetation dynamics in temperate lowland forests. Dr W. Junk, Dordrecht.

Grime, J.P. 1979. Plant strategies and vegetation process. John Wiley and Sons. New York.

Hagiwara, S. 1977. On the cline of leaf area in Fagus crenata. Shuseibutsugaku Kenkyu 1: 39-49.

Hamet-Ahti, L., Ahti, T. and Kopponen, T. 1974. A scheme of vegetation zones for Japan and adjacent regions. Ann. Bot. Fenn. 11: 59-88.

Hamilton, R.G. 1990. Frond emergence in two species of Athyrioid ferns. Evolutionary Trends in Plants. 4(2): 117-120.

Harada, Y. and Takada, T. 1988. Optimal timing of leaf expansion and shedding in a seasonally varying environment. Pl. Sp. Biol. 3: 89-97.

Hattori, T. and Nakanishi, S. 1985. On the distributional limits of the lucidophyllous forest in the Japanese Archipelago. Bot. Mag. Tokyo 98: 317-333.

Hirose, T. and Kachi, N. 1982. Critical plant size for flowering in biennials with special reference to their distribution in a sand dune system. Oecologia 55: 281-284.

Hotta, M. 1974. History and geography of plants. Sanseido, Tokyo.

Hulten, E. 1972. Outline of the history of arctic and boreal biota during the Quarternary period. Wheldon and Wesley, Stechert Hafner service Agency, New York.

Ishikawa, Y. and Ito, K. 1989. The regeneration process in a mixed forest in central Hokkaido, Japan. Vegetatio 79: 75-84.

Ishizuka, M. 1984. Spatial patterns of trees and their crowns in natural mixed forests. Japanese Journal of Ecology 34: 421-430.

Iwasa, Y. and Cohen, D. 1989. Optimal growth schedule of a perennial plant. Amer. Nat. 133: 480-505.

Kawano, S. 1971. Studies on the alpine flora of Hokkaido, Japan. Phytogeography. J. Coll. Lib. Arts, Toyama Univ. 4: 13-96.

Kawano, S. 1985. Life history characteristics of temperate woodland plants in Japan. In: White, J. (ed), The population structure of vegetation. Junk, Dordrecht.

Kikuzawa, K. 1983. Leaf survival of woody plants in deciduous broad©leaved forests. 1. Tall trees. Can. J. Bot. 61: 2133-2139.

Kikuzawa, K. 1984. Leaf survival of woody plants in deciduous broad-leaved forests. 2. Small trees and shrubs. Can. J. Bot. 62: 2551-2556.

Kikuzawa, K. 1989. Ecology and evolution of phenological pattern, leaf longevity and leaf habit. Evolutionary Trends in Plants 3(2): 106-110.

Kira, T. 1977. A climatological interpretation of Japanese vegetation zones. In: Miyawaki, A. and Tuxen,

R. (eds) Vegetation science and environmental protection. pp. 21-30. Maruzen, Tokyo.

Kira, T. and Yoshino, M. 1967. Distribution of Japanese conifer species along thermal gradient. In:

Morishita, M. and Kira, T. (eds), Natural history, pp. 133-161. Chuokoronsha, Tokyo.

Koike, T. 1986. Photosynthetic responses to light intensity of deciduous broad-leaved tree seedlings raised under various artificial shade. Environmental control in Biology 24: 51-58.

Koike, T. 1988. Leaf structure and photosynthetic performance as related to the forest succession of deciduous broad©leaved trees. Pl. Sp. Biol. 3: 77-87.

Kojima, S. 1979. Biogeoclimatic zones of Hokkaid Island, Japan. J. Coll. Lib. Arts, Toyama Univ. 12: 97-141.

Kojima, S. 1982. Vegetation and soils in the headwater area of Shizunai River, Hokkaido, Japan. Hokkaido Electric Company.

Kojima, S. 1983. Phytogeocoenoses of the montane Abies sachalinensis biogeoclimatic zone in Kitami District, Hokkaido, Japan. I. Analysis of coniferous forest phytogeocoenoses. J. Coll. Lib. Arts, Toyama Univ. 16: 173-281. Kure, H. and Yoda, K. 1984. The effect of the Japan Sea climate on the abnormal distribution of Japanese beech forests. Jap. J. Ecol. 34: 63-73.

Larcher, W. 1979. Physiological plant ecology. Springer-Verlag, Berlin.

Larsen, J.A. 1980. The boreal ecosystem. Accademic Press. New York.

Lechowicz, M.J. 1984. Why do temperate deciduous trees leaf out at different time?: Adaptation and ecology of forest communities. Amer. Nat. 124: 821-842.

MacArther, R.H. and Connell, J. 1966. The biology of population. John Wiley and Sons, New York.

Maekawa, F. 1974. Origin and characteristics of Japan's flora. In: Numata, M. (ed), The flora and vegetation of Japan. pp. 33-86. Elsevier, Amsterdam.

Miyawaki, A. (ed) 1977. Vegetation der Prefektur Toyama. The Toyama Prefecture Government.

Miyawaki, A., Harada, H. and Okuda, S. 1974. Pflanzensoziologische Studien uber die subalpinen und montanen Stufen des Flusses Takase. Takase River Basin Nature Research Commitee.

Miyawaki, A. and Itow, S. 1960. Phytosociological approach to the conservation of nature and natural resources in Japan. Divisional Meeting of Conservation, 11th Pacific Scientific Congress. Tokyo.

Miyawaki, A. and Sasaki, Y. 1985. Floristic changes in the Castanopsis cuspidata var. sieboldii-forest communities along the Pacific Ocean coast of the Japanese Island. Vegetatio 59: 225-234.

Mueller-Dombois, D. and Ellenberg, H. 1974. Aims and methods of vegetation ecology. John Wiley and Sons, New York.

Nakaike, T. 1975. Enumeratio pteridophytarum Japonicarum, Filicales. University of Tokyo Press, Tokyo.

Nakamura, Y. 1987. Pflanzensoziologische Untersuchngen der alpinen

und subalpinen Vegetation der westlichen Gebirge von Honshu (Chubu, Kii und Shikoku). Teil 2. Areale der Pflanzensippen und der Pflanzengesellschaften. Bull. Inst. Environ. Sci. Tech., Yokohama Nat. Univ. 14(1): 83-107.

Nilsen, E.T. 1984. Quantitative phenology and leaf survivorship of Rhododendron maximum L. in contrasting irradiance environments of the southern Appalachian mountains. Am. J. Bot. 73: 822-831.

Nogami, M., Koaze, T. and Fukuda, M. 1980. Periglacial environment in Japan: present and past. Geojournal 4: 125-132.

Ohshima, K. 1982. Lowest sea-level in the last glaciation of the Japanese islands. The Quaternary Research 21: 211-222.

Ohwi, J. and Kitagawa, M. 1984. New flora of Japan. Shibundo, Tokyo.

Ono, Y. 1984. Last glacial paleoclimate reconstructed from glacial and periglacial landforms in Japan. Geogr. Rev. Japan 57: 87-100.

Pielou, E.C. 1975. Ecological diversity. John Wiley and Sons, New York.

Prentice, I.C. 1986. Vegetation responses to past climatic variation. Vegetatio 67: 131-141.

Sakai, A. 1968. Mechanisum of desiccation damage of forest trees in winter. Low Temp. Sci., Ser. B 15: 15-35.

Sakai, A. 1975. Freezing resistance of evergreen and deciduous broad-leaf trees in Japan with special reference to their distribution. Jap. J. Ecol. 25: 101-111.

Sakai, A. 1976. Adaptation of plants to deposited snow. Low Temp. Sci., Ser. B 34: 47-76.

Sakai, A. and Larcher, W. 1987. Frost survival of plants. Springer-Verlag, New York.

Sasaki, Y. 1970. Versuch zur systematischen und geographischen Gliederung der Japanischen Buchenwaldgesellshaften. Vegetatio 20: 214-244.

Sato, T. and Sakai, A. 1980. Phenological study of the leaf of pterophyta in Hokkaido. Jap. J. Ecol. 30: 369-375.

Shimizu, T. 1985. Outline of flora of spermatophytes in Chubu District. In: Miyawaki, A. (ed), Vegetation of Japan. pp. 82-87. Shibundo, Tokyo.

Shukla, R.P. and Ramakrishnan, P.S. 1984. Leaf dynamics of tropical trees related to successional status. New Phytol. 97: 687-706.

Suzuki, S. 1961. Ecology of the bambusaceous genera Sasa and Sasamorpha in the Kanto and Tohoku Districts of Japan, with special reference to their geographical distributions. Ecol. Rev. 15: 131-147. Takenaka, A. 1986. Comparative ecophysiology of two representative Quercus species appearing in different stages of succession. Ecol. Res. 1: 129-140.

Tanaka, N. 1986. Habitat of the Fagus crenata-Abies mariesii forests. Bull. Tokyo Univ. For75: 119-140. Tatewaki, M. 1958. Forest ecology in the islands of the northern Pacific Ocean. J. Fac. Agr., Hokkaido Univ. 50: 368-486.

Trewartha, G. 1957. Element of physical geography. McGraw Hill, New York.

Tsukada, M. 1983. Vegetation and climate during the last glacial maximum in Japan. Quat. Res. 19: 212-235.

Uemura, S. and Takeda, Y. 1987. Phytogeographical study on the temperate plants in Hokkaido. Papers on plant ecology and taxonomy to the memory of Dr. Satoshi Nakanishi, 259-269. Kobe Geobot. Soc., Kobe.

Uemura, S., Tsuda, S. and Hasegawa, S. 1990. Effects of fire on the vegetation of Siberian taiga predominated by Larix dahurica. Can. J. For. Res. 20: 547-553.

Walter, H. 1979. Vegetation of the earth and ecological systems of the geobiosphere. Springer-Verlag, New York.

Watanabe, S. 1987. An ecological geography on the Shiraikawa natural forest in the district of the Fagus crenata northern limit, Hokkaido. Papers on plant ecology and taxonomy to the memory of Dr. Satoshi Nakanishi, 309-317. Kobe Geobot. Soc., Kobe.

Whittaker, R.H. 1967. Gradient analysis of vegetation. Biol. Rev. 49: 207-264.

Woods, K.D. and Whittaker, R.H. 1981. Canopy-understory interaction and the internal dynamics of mature hardwood and hemlock- hardwood forests. In: West, D.C., Shugart, H.H. and Botkin, D.B. (eds), Forest succession, concept and application. pp. 305-323. Springer-Verlag, New York.

Woodward, F.I. 1990. From ecosystems to genes: The importance of shade tolerance. Trends in Evolutionary and Ecology 5: 111-115.

Yoshie, F. and Kawano, S. 1986. Seasonal changes in photosynthetic characteristics of Pachysandra terminalis (Buxaceae), an evergreen woodland chamaephyta, in the cool temperate regions of Japan. Oecologia 71: 6-11.

Appendix I

Commodal species groups of Chubu District forest plants distinguished on the basis of common modes along the WI, D50 and Ra gradients. Group indications refer to gradient positions and attributions in parentheses show its phytogeography, life form and associated forest type, as explained in the text.

CSR: 'Cold, snowy, much rainfall'.

Thelypteris quelpaertensis Ching (O, Hs, II), Smilacina yesoensis Fr. et Sav. (E, Hs, II), Coptis trifolia Salisb. (N, He, II), Euonymus tricarpus Koidz. (E, Ss, II), Vaccinium smallii A. Gray (E, Ss, III). CSO: 'Cold, snowy, rainfall-indifferent'.

Thuja standishii Carr. (EE, Te, II), Shortia soldanelloides Makino (E, He, III), Acer tschonoskii Maxim. (E, Ts, III), Tripetaleia bracteatus Sieb. et Zucc. (E, Ss, II), Rhododendron brachycarpum D. Don (E, Se, II), Vaccinium ovalifolium J.E. Smith (N, Ss, II) Trientalis europaea Linn. (O, Hs, III).

Csr: 'Cold, snow-poor, less rainfall'.

Pteridophyllum racemosumSieb. et Zucc. (EE, Hs, II), Pternopetarum tanakae Hand.-Mazz. (O, Hs, II), Pedicularis keiskei Fr. et Sav. (EE, Hs, II).

CsO: 'Cold, snow-poor, rainfall-indefferent'.

Abies veitchii Lindl. (EE, Te, II), Picea jesoensis Varr. var. hondoensis Rehder (EE, Te, II), Tsuga diversifolia Masters (E, Te, II), Platanthera ophrydioides Fr. Schm. (E, Hs, II), Betula corylifolia Regel et Maxim. (EE, Ts, II), Coptis quinquefolia Miq. (E, He, II), Vaccinium yatabei Makino (EE, Ss, II), Cacalia adenostyloides Matsum. (EE, Hs, II).

COO: 'Cold, snow-indifferent, rainfall-indifferent'.

Arachniodes mutica Ohwi (N, He, III), Athyrium melanolepis Christ (O, Hs, II), Dryopteris austriaca Fraser-Jenkins et Jermy (O, Hs, III), Thelypteris connectilis Watt (O, Hs, II), Abies mariesii Masters (EE, Te, II), Pinus koraiensis Sieb. et Zucc. (N, Te, II), Pinus parviflora Sieb. et Zucc. var. pentaphylla Henry (E, Te, II), Veratrum stamineum Maxim. (E, Hs, II), Streptopus streptopoides Frye et Rigg var. japonicus Fassett (EE, Hs, II), Maianthemum dilatatum Nels. et Macbr. (N, Hs, III), Listera cordata R. Br. (N, Hs, II), Ephippianthus schmidtii Reichb. (N, Hs, II), Betula ermanii Cham. (N, Ts, II), Diphylleia grayi Fr. Schm. (N, Hs, II), Rubus pedatus Smith (N, Hs, II), Rubus ikenoensis Lev. et Van. (EE, Hs, II), Prunus nipponica Matsum. (E, Ts, II), Sorbus matsumurana Koehne (E, Ss, II), Sorbus commixta Hedl. (E, Ts, III), Oxalis acetosella Linn. (N, He, II), Ilex rugosa Fr. Schm. (E, Se, III), Acer ukurunduense Trautv. et Mey. (N, Ts, III), Oplopanax japonicus Nakai (E, Ss, II), Cornus canadensis Linn. (N, Hs, II), Menziesia pentandra Maxim. (O, Ss, III), Rhododendron metternichii Sieb. et Zucc. var. pentamerum Maxim. (EE, Se, III), Epigaea asiatica Maxim. (E, Se, II), Vaburnum urceolatum Sieb. et Zucc. f. procumbens Nakai (EE, Ss, III).

cSO: 'Cool, snowy, rainfall-indifferent'.

Plagiogyria semicordata subsp. matsumureana Nakaike (E, He, III), Sasa senanensis Rehd. (E, Se, III), Clintonia udensis Trautv. et Mey. (N, Hs, III), Paris tetraphylla A. Gray (E, Hs, III), Skimmia japonica Thunb. var. intermedia Komatsu f. repens Hara (E, Se, III), Ilex sugerokii Maxim. var. brevipedunculata S.Y. Hu (E, Se, I), Tripteygium regelii Sprague et Takeda (N, Vs, I), Acanthopanax sciadophylloides Fr. et Sav. (E, Ts, I), Rhododendron albrechtii Maxim. (E, Ss, I), Viburnum furcatum Blume (O, Ss, III). csr: 'Cool, snow-poor, less rainfall'.

Dryopteris crassirhizoma Nakai (O, He, III), Abies homolepis Sieb. et Zucc. (E, Te, I), Euonymus melananthus Fr. et Sav. (E, Ss, I).

csO: 'Cool, snow-poor, rainfall-indifferent'.

Athyrium yokoscense Christ (O, Hs, III), Chamaecyparis obtusa Sieb. et Zucc. (E, Te, I), Carex conica Boott (O, He, I), Lindera umbellata Thunb. (S, Ss, I), Tilia japonica Simonkai (E, Ts, I), Actinidia arguta Planch. (O, Vs, I), Enkianthus campanulatus Nichols. (E, Ss, I), Viburnum wrightii Miq. (O, Ss, I). cOO: 'Cool, snow-indifferent, rainfall-indifferent'.

Athyrium vidalii Nakai (O, Hs, I), Smilacina japonica A. Gray (O, Hs, I), Smilacina hondoensis Ohwi (EE, Hs, I), Betula grossa Sieb. et Zucc. (E, Ts, I), Cimicifuga simplex Wormsk. (O, Hs, I), Rodgersia podophylla A. Gray (N, Hs, I), Hydrangea petiolaris Sieb. et Zucc. (O, Ve, I), Hydrangea paniculata Sieb. (O, Ss, I), Hydrangea macrophylla Ser. var. acuminata Makino (S, Ss, I), Oxalis griffithii Edgew. et Hook. (S, Hs, I), Acer distylum Sieb. et Zucc. (E, Ts, I), Acer micranthum Sieb. et Zucc. (E, Ts, I), Acer nipponicum Hara (E, Ts, I), Menziesia multiflora Maxim. (E, Ss, III), Galium kamtschaticum Steller (N, Hs, III), Peracarpa carnosa Hook. var. circaeoides Makino (O, He, III), Ainsliaea acerifolia Schulz Bipont. var. subapoda Nakai (EE, Hs, III), Cacalia nikomontana Matsum. (EE, Hs, III). WSR: 'Warm, snowy, much rainfall'.

Carex morrowii Boott var. temnolepis K kenth. (EE, He, I), Fagus crenata Blume (E, Ts, I), Magnolia salicifolia Maxim. (E, Ts, I), Hamamelis japonica Sieb. et Zucc. var. obtusata Matsum. (E, Ts, I), Daphniphyllum macropodum Miq. var. humile Rosenthal (E, Se, I), Rhus tricarpa Miq. (O, Ts, I), Tripetaleia peniculata Sieb. et Zucc. (E, Ss, I), Rhododendron nudipes Nakai (E, Ss, I), Leucothoe grayana Maxim. var. oblongifolia Ohwi (E, Ss, I), Vaccinium japonicum Miq. (O, Ss, I), Ardisia japonica Blume (S, Se, I), Mitchella undulata Sieb. et Zucc. (O, He, I).

WSO: 'Warm, snowy, rainfall-indifferent'.

Leptorumohra miqueliana H. Ito (O, He, I), Leptogramma posoi subsp. mollissima Nakaike (O, He, I), Struthiopteris niponica Nakai (E, He, I), Cephalotaxus harringtonia K. Koch var. nana Rehder (E, Se, I), Smilax nipponica Miq. (S, Vs, I), Lindera umbellata Thunb. var. membranacea Momiyama (E, Ss, I), Ilex crenata Thunb. var. paludosa Hara (E, Se, I), Ilex leucoclada Makino (E, Se, I), Camellia rusticana Honda (EE, Se, I), Aucuba japonica Thunb. var. borealis Miyabe et Kudo (E, Se, I).

Wsr: 'Warm, snow-poor, less rainfall'.

Tsuga sieboldii Carr. (E, Te, I),Sasamorpha borealis Nakai (O, Se, I), Carex reinii Fr. et Sav. (E, He, I), Fagus japonica Maxim. (E, Ts, I).

WsO: 'Warm, snow-poor, rainfall-indifferent'.

Carpinus cordata Blume (O, Ts, I), Euonymus oxyphyllus Miq. (O, Ss, I), Styrax obassia Sieb. et Zucc. (O, Ts, I).

WOO: 'Warm, snow-indifferent, rainfall-indifferent'.

Dryopteris sabaei C. Chr. (E, Hs, I), Disporum smilacinum A. Gray (O, Hs, I), Corylus sieboldiana Blume (O, Ts, I), Quercus mongolica Fischer var. crispula Blume (E, Ts, I), Magnolia obovata Thunb. (S, Ts, I), Schizophragma hydrangeoides Sieb. et Zucc. (O, Vs, I),Prunus grayana Maxim. (E, Ts, I), Sorbus alnifolia C. Koch (O, Ts, I), Rhus ambigua Lavallee (O, Vs, I), Euonumus alatus Sieb. f. dentatus Hiyama (O, Se, I), Acer palmatum Thunb. var. matsumurae Makino (E, Ts, I), Acer sieboldianum Miq. (E, Ts, I), Acer japonicum Thunb. (E, Ts, I), Acer mono Maxim. (O, Ts, I), Acer rufinerve Sieb. et Zucc. (EE, Ts, I), Aesculus turbinataf Blume (E, Td, I), Vitis coignetiae Pulliat (E, Vs, I), Kalopanax pictus Nakai (O, Ts, I), Cornus controversa Hemsley (O, Ts, I), Shortia uniflora Maxim. (EE, He, I), Clethra barbinervis Sieb. et Zucc. (E, Ts, I), Fraxinus lanuginosa Koidz. (E, Ts, I), Rhododendron kaempferi Planch. (E, Ss, I). OSO: 'Snowy, and temperate and rainfall indifferent'.

Sasa kurilensis Makino et Shibata (N, Se, III), Heloniopsis orientalis C. Tanaka (E, He, III),

Tripterospermum japonicum Maxim. (S, He, III).

OOO: Indifferent species.

Lycopodium serratum Thunb. (O, He, III), Carex dolichostachya Hayata var. glaberrima T. Koyama (E, He, III), Carex foliosissima Fr. Schm. (O, He, III), Shortia soldanelloides Makino var. magna Makino (EE, He, III), Monotropastrum globosum H. Andr. (O, Hn, III), Vaccinium hirtum Thunb. (E, Ss, III).

Appendix II

Commodal species groups of Hokkaido forest plants.

CSR: 'Cold, snowy, much rainfall'.

Sasa kurilensis Makino et Shibata (S, Se, IIIc); Streptopus amplexifolius DC. var. papillatus Ohwi (N, Hs, IIIc); Clintonia udensis Trautv. et Mey. (N, Hs, IIe); Ilex rugosa Fr. Schm. (S, Se, IIIc); Euonymus tricarpus Koidz. (H, Ss, IIe); Acer tschonoskii Maxim. (S, Ts, IIIa);Menziesia pentandra Maxim. (S, Ss, IIIc); Rhododendron albrechtii Maxim. (S, Ss, IIIc); Vaccinium ovalifolium J.E. Smith (N, Ss, IIIa); Vaccinium hirtum Thunb. (S, Ss, Ia); Vaccinium smallii A. Gray (S, Ss, IIIc). COr: 'Cold, snow-indifferent, less rainfall'.

Polypodium virginianum Linn. (N, He, Ia); Abies sachalinensis Masters (N, Te, IIIc); Picea jezoensis Carr. (N, Te, IIe); Picea glehnii Masters (H, Te, Ia); Anemone debilis Fischer (N, Hs, IIe); Tiarella polyphylla*f*D. Don (S, He, IIe); Ribes sachalinense Nakai (N, Ss, IIe); Oxalis acetosella Linn. (N, He, Ib); Cornus canadensis Linn. (N, Hs, Ib); Acer ukurunduense Trautv. et Mey. (N, Ts, Ib). COO: 'Cold, snow-indifferent, rainfall-indifferent'.
Lycopodium obscurum Linn. (O, He, IIIc); Arachniodes mutica Ohwi (N, He, Ia); Thelypteris connectilisWatt (O, Hs, IIe); Dryopteris austriaca Fraser-Jenkins et Jermy (O, Hs, IIIc), Carex sachalinensis Fr. Schm. (N, He, Ib); Paris verticillata M. v. Bieb. (O, Hs, IIe); Betula ermanii Cham. (N, Ts, IIe); Rubus pseudo-japonicus Koidz. (H, Vs, IIe); Actinidia kolomikta Maxim. (N, Vs, IIe); Circaea alpina Linn. (N, Hs, IIIc); Pyrola renifolia Maxim. (N, He, IIIc); Rhododendron brachycarpum D. Don (S, Se, IIIc); Galium kamtschaticum Steller (N, Hs, Ia); Peracarpa carnosa Hook. var. circaeoides Makino (N, He, IIIc).

csr: 'Cool, snow-poor, less rainfall'.

Adiantum pedatum Linn. (O, Hs, IIc); Schisandra chinensis Baill. (N, Vs, IIc); Stephanandra incisa Zabel (S, Ss, IIa); Acanthopanax senticosus Harms (N, Ss, IIe).

csO: 'Cool, snow-poor, rainfall-indifferent'.

Pyrrosia tricuspis Tagawa (S, He, IIa); Carex siderosticta Hance (O, Hs, IIc); Cercidiphyllum japonicum Sieb. et Zucc. (S, Ts, IIc); Fraxinus lanuginosa Koidz. (S, Ts, IIIb); Viburnum wrightii Miq. (S, Ss, IIIb). cOr: 'Cool, snow-indifferent, less rainfall'.

Cardmine leucantha O.E. Schulz. (N, Hs, IIc); Ribes latifolium Jancz. (H, Ss, IIe).

cOO: 'Cool, snow-indifferent, rainfall-indifferent'.

Polystichum tripteron Pr. (O, He, IIc); Taxus cuspidata Sieb. et Zucc. (S, Te, IIe); Cimicifuga simplex Wormsk. (N, Hs, IIIb); Hydrangea paniculata Sieb. (S, Ss, IIIc); Prunus ssiori Fr. Schm. (N, Ts, IIe); Phellodendron amurense Rupr. (N, Ts, IIc); Cacalia hastata Linn. var. orientalis Ohwi (N, Hs, IIc). WSR: 'Warm, snowy, much rainfall'.

Leptorumohra miqueliana H. Ito (O, He, IIIb); Sturthiopteris niponica Nakai (S, He, IId); Corylus sieboldiana Blume (S, Ts, IIc); Fagus crenata Blume (S, Ts, IIb); Lindera umbellata Thunb. var. membranacea Momiyama (S, Ss, IIb); Hydrangea macrophylla Ser. var. megacarpa Ohwi (S, Ss, IId); Skimmia japonica Thunb. var. intermedia Komatsu f. repens Hara (S, Se, IIIc); Daphniphyllum macropodum Miq. var. humile Rosenthal (S, Se, IIIb); Ilex crenata Thunb. var. paludosa Hara (S, Se, IId); Ilex leucoclada Makino (S, Se, IIb); Vaccinium japonicum Miq. (S, Ss, IId).

WSO: 'Warm, snowy, rainfall-indifferent'.

Arachniodes standishii Ohwi (S, He, IId); Athyrium vidalii Nakai (S, Hs, IIIb); Leptogramma pozoi subsp. mollissima Nakaike (S, Hs, IIIb); Asplenium scolopendrium Linn. (O, He, IIc); Cephalotaxus harringtonia K. Koch var. nana Rehder (S, Se, IId); Sasa cernua Makino (S, Se, IId); Sasa megalophylla Makino et Uchida (S, Se, IIe); Carex foliosissima Fr. Schm. (S, He, IV); Acer mono Maxim. var. mayrii Koidz. (S, Ts, IIc); Euonymus alata Sieb. f. dentatus Hiyama (S, Se, IIIb).

WsR: 'Warm, snow-poor, much rainfall'.

Disporum smilacinum A. Gray (S, Hs, IId); Picrasma quassioides Benn. (S, Ts, IIc); Acer palmatum Thunb. var. matsumurae Makino (S, Ts, IIIb); Viburnum dilatatum Thunb. (S, Ss, IId). Wsr: 'Warm, snow-poor, less rainfall'.

Tilia maximowicziana Shirasawa (S, Ts, IIc); Acer cissifolium K. Koch (S, Ts, IIa); Spuriopimpinella calycina Kitagawa (S, Hs, IIa); Cacalia delphiniifolia Sieb. et Zucc. (S, Hs, IIa).

WsO: 'Warm, snow-poor, rainfall-indifferent'.

Lunathyrium pycnosorum Koidz. (O, Hs, IId); Sasa nipponica Makino et Shibata (S, He, IIc); Chloranthus serratus Roem. et Schult. (S, Hs, IIa); Carpinus cordata Blume (S, Ts, IIc); Ostrya japonica Sarg. (S, Ts, IIc); Quercus serrata Thunb. (S, Ts, IIa); Ulmus davidiana Planch. var. japonica Nakai (O, Ts, IIc); Morus bombycis Koidz. (S, Ts, IIc); Magnolia kobus DC. var. borealis Sarg. (H, Ts, IIc); Prunus sargentii Rehder (S, Ts, IIc); Prunus maximowiczii Rupr. (O, Ts, IIc); Maackia amurensis Rupr. et Maxim. var. buergeri C.K. Schn. (S, Ts, IIa); Desmodium oxyphyllum DC. (S, Hs, IIa); Pachysandra terminalis Sieb. et Zucc. (S, Se, IIc); Euonymus oxyphylus Miq. (S, Ss, IV); Acer palmatum Thunb. var. amoenum Ohwi (S, Ts, IIc); Styrax obassia Sieb. et Zucc. (O, Ts,IIa); Phryma leptostachya Linn. var. asiatica Hara (O, Hs, IIc); Cacalia auriculata DC. var. kamtschatica Matsum. (N, Hs, IIe). WOR: 'Warm, snow-indifferent, much rainfall'.

Dryopteris monticola C. Chr. (O, Hs, IIIb); Paris tetraphylla A. Gray (S, Hs, IIIb); Magnolia obovata Thunb. (S, Ts, IIIb); Schizophragma hydrangeoides Sieb. et Zucc. (S, Vs, IIIa); Rhus ambigua Lavallee (S, Vs, IIIb); Rhus trichocarpa Miq. (S, Ts, IId); Acer japonicum Thunb. (S, Ts, IIIb); Acanthopanax sciadophylloides Fr. et Sav. (S, Ts, IIIa); Cornus controversa Hemsley (S, Ts, IIc). WOr: 'Warm, snow-indifferent, less rainfall'. Juglans ailanthifolia Carr. (S, Ts, IIc); Laportea bulbifera Weddell (S, Hs, IIc); Caulophyllum robustum Maxim. (O, Hs, IIc); Syringa reticulata Hara (S, Ts, IIc). WOO: 'Warm, snow-indifferent, rainfall-indifferent'.

Matteuccia orientalis Trev. (O, Hs, IId); Arisaema Angustatum Fr. et Sav. var. peninsulae Nakai (N, Hs, IIc); Smilacina japonica A. Gray (N, Hs, IId); Disporum sessile Don (S, Hs, IIIb); Calanthe tricarinata Lindl. (S, He, IId); Chloranthus japonicus Sieb. (O, Hs, IIc); Betula maximowicziana Regel (S, Ts, IIIb); Betula platyphylla Sukatchev var. japonica Hara (S, Ts, IId); Alnus hirsuta Turcz. (N, Ts, IIc); Quercus mongolica Fischer var. crispula Blume (S, Ts, IIIa); Actaea asiatica Hara (O, Hs, IIe); Sorbus alnifolia C. Koch (O, Ts, IIIb); Acer mono Maxim. (O, Ts, IIIb); Vitis coignetiae Pulliat (S, Vs, IIIb); Tilia japonica Simonkai (S, Ts, IIIc); Actinidia arguta Planch (O, Vs, IId); Actinidia polygama Maxim. (S, Vs, IIe); Kalopanax pictus Nakai (S, Ts, IIIc); Angelica ursina Maxim. (N, Hs, IIa); Fraxinus mandshurica Rupr. var. japonica Maxim. (S, Ts, IIc); Asperula odorata Linn. (O, He, IIc).

OSO: 'Snowy, and temperate and rainfall indifferent'.

Plagiogyria semicordata subsp. matsumureana Nakaike (S, He, IIIa); Leucothoe grayana Maxim. var. oblongifolia Ohwi (H, Ss, IIIc); Viburnum furcatum Blume (S, Ss, IIIc).

OsO: 'Snow-poor, and temperature and rainfall indifferent'.

Dryopteris crassirhizoma Nakai (O, He, IIIc); Athyrium yokoscense Christ (O, Hs, IIa); Sasa chartacea Makino (S, He, IIa); Zanthoxylum piperitum DC. (S, Ss, IIa); Sanicula chinensis Bunge (O, Hs, IIa); Senecio cannabifolius Less. (N, Hs, IIe).

OOO: Indifferent species.

Lycopodium serratum Thunb. (O, He, IIIc); Lepisorus ussuriensis var. distans Tagawa (N, He, IIIb); Sasa senanensis Rehd. (S, Se, IIIc); Calamagrostis hakonensis Fr. et Sav. (N, He, IIe); Carex caryophyllea Latour. var. microtricha kenth. (N, Hs, IIc); Carex pilosa Scop. (N, He, IIc); Allium victorialis Linn. var.

platyphyllum Makino (O, Hs, IIIb); Lilium cordatum Koidz. var. glehnii Woodcock (N, Hs, IIc); Polygonatum odoratum Druce var. maximowiczii Koidz. (N, Hs, IIc); Maianthemum dilatatum Nels. et Macbr. (N, Hs, IIIc); Epipactis papillosa Fr. et Sav. (N, Hs, IIIc); Ulmus laciniata Mayr (O, Ts, IIc); Asarum heterotropoides Fr. Schm. (H, Hs, IIe); Diphylleia grayi Fr. Schm. (H, Hs, IIIc); Hydrangea petiolaris Sieb. et Zucc. (S, Ve, IIIc); Sorbus commixta Hedl. (S, Ts, IIIc); Osmorhiza aristata Makino et Yabe (S, Hs, IIe); Angelica anomala Lallemant (N, Hs, IIa); Tripterospermum japonicum Maxim. (S, He, IIIa); Cirsium kamtschaticum Ledeb. (N, Hs, IIe).

Legends

Fig. 1. Climatic diagrams of some selected weather stations in Hokkaido Island. A, altitude of station; S, 50 cm or more deep days of snow per year; T, mean annual temperature, P, mean annual precipitation.

Fig. 2. Climatic diagrams of some selected weather stations in Chubu District. A, altitude of station; T, mean annual temperature; P, mean annual precipitation.

Fig. 3. Location of area in the Chubu District surveyed. Circles, regions surveyed by the author; triangle, cited from research reports (e.g. Miyawaki et al 1974; Miyawaki 1977).

Fig. 4. Forest distribution in the four climatic divisions. Histograms show frequency of each forest community. Distribution of three tree species being absent in mixed forest is also shown.

Fig. 5. Localities of the 31 stands surveyed the leaf phenology of understory plants. Sites of a and b include 2 and 3 stands, respectively.

Fig. 6. Schematic representation of solar radiation penetrating through a canopy attenuated by a canopy composed of a species (A), two species (B) and n species (C), respectively. L, transmitted solar radiation; L0, incident solar radiation; p, coverage of a crown; p0, area covered by no crown; k, areas covered by two crowns; r, light transmission ratio. For detail explanation, see text.

Fig. 7 Distribution of representative species of 16 commodal groups along WI and D50 (left side) and WI and Ra (right side). Values given are 100 X Fs / Ft, where Fs and Ft are the numbers of stands inhabited by a given species and total stands in the whole data set (Table 2), respectively. Isolines are also shown by solid lines.

Fig. 8. Frequency of distribution of species in each warmth index commodal group (as %) for phytogeographical categories (A) and life form (B). The solid blocks represent species which require snow-rich conditions, the shaded ones refer to snow-poor conditions, and the open to snow-indifference. Abbreviations are given in the Terminology section. a = Cxx; b = cxx; c = Wxx; d = Oxx.

Fig. 9. Behavior of tree species belonging mainly to the summergreen forests or the subalpine-coniferous forests along the primary factors WI and D50.

Fig. 10. Distribution of representative species of 18 commodal groups along WI and D50 left side) and WI and Ra (right side). Values given are 100 X Fs / Ft, where Fs and Ft are the numbers of stands

inhabited by a given species and total stands in the whole data set (Table 4), respectively. Isolines are also shown by solid lines.

Fig. 11. Species groups associating to forest types, represented by the fidelity more than 20% of total stands of each forest type. For abbreviations of each species group, see text.

Fig. 12. Behavior of six tree species largely predominating the mixed forests.

Fig. 13. Behavior of three southern plant species isolated in Hidaka District.

Fig. 14. Phytogeographical composition and evenness J' between southern and northern plants in the overstory (upper) and understory (lower) of each forest communities. Evenness is shown by the mean and standard deviation. S, southern plants; N, northern plants; H, endemics to Hokkaido and its vicinity; O, widespread plants.

Fig. 15. Relative species richness for summerg reen plants (open bars) and evergreen plants (solid bars) for each 20% frequency class.

Fig. 16. Leaf survival patterns of perennial-leaved (P), biennia-leaved (B), facultative biennial-leaved (F), heteroptosis (H), summergreen (Su), wintergreen (W) and spring-green (Sp). Lc, current leaf; L1, 1-year old leaf; L2, 2-years old leaf.

Fig. 17. Histogram showing the seasonal allocation of relative solar radiation RSR in each stand. Open, solid and shaded blocks designate RSR in spring, summer and autumn; BF, OF, MF and CF denote beech, oak, mixed and coniferous forests, respectively. Numerals indicate quadrat code.

Fig. 18. Clusterings among the quadrats surveyed in midsummer and in spring. Based on Gleason's similarity index using coverage, the quadrats were clustered by Mountford's average-linkage method. Numeral indicate quadrat code, and solid circles, open circles, double circles and triangles indicate beech, oak, mixed and coniferous forests, respectively.

Fig. 19. Mean amount of coverage of species belonging to each leaf category. Abbreviations as legend in Table 17.

LIST OF TABLES

No.

- 1. Correlation coefficients among environmental variables in Chubu District.
- 2. Stand distribution along WI-D50 and WI-Ra gradients in Chubu District.
- 3. Correlation coefficients among environmental variables in Hokkaido.
- 4. Stand distribution along WI-D50 and WI-Ra gradients in Hokkaido.
- 5. Distribution of species in phytogeography, life form and forest type in Chubu District.
- 6. Endemic ratio in each life form category in Chubu District.
- 7. Combination of endemic species with forest types in Chubu District.
- 8. Number of species for each commodal group in Hokkaido.
- 9. Distribution of commodal groups over ecological groups in Hokkaido.
- 10. Distribution of commodal groups over life forms in Hokkaido.
- 11. Climatic preference of species common to Hokkaido and Chubu District.
- 12. Behavior of species contributing to co-occurrence in Hokkaido.
- 13. Relationship between leaf habits and growth forms.
- 14. Leaf events for 41 deciduous tree species.
- 15. Correlation coefficients among environmental variables.
- 16. Environments of each group clustered by coverage.
- 17. Correlation coefficients between environments and coverage.

	r	<i>t</i> test	
WI-D50	-0.288	<i>p</i> < 0.01	
WI-Ra	0.093	<i>p</i> > 0.1	
D50-Ra	0.276	<i>p</i> < 0.01	

.

Table 1. Correlation (r) between *WI*, *D50* and *Ra* (n = 88).

			WI ('	°C mon	ith)		WI (°C month)								
	< 25	≤< 35	≤< 45	≤ < 55	≤ < 65	≤<75	≤	< 25 << 35 << 45 << 55 << 65 << 75 <							
<i>D50</i> (days)								<i>Ra</i> (mm)							
120≤	23	29	19	11	0	0	0								
90≤ <120	45	73	48	68	34	21	2	1800≤	50	47	35	25	15	9	4
60≤ < 90	58	32	68	42	21	3	28	1500≤ <1800	7	18	8	6	6	5	19
30≤ < 60	9	21	21	35	37	16	10	1200≤ <1500	49	40	58	69	39	16	11
0 < 30	0	0	1	7	16	2	5	<1200	29	50	57	63	48	12	11

Table 2.Distribution of values of WI against D50 and WI against Ra for 805 stands.

	r	t test	
WI-D50	0 –0.097	<i>p</i> > 0.1	
WI-Ra	0.084	<i>p</i> > 0.1	
D50-Ra	a 0.141	<i>p</i> > 0.1	

Table 3. Correlation (r) between *WI*, *D50* and *Ra* (n = 79).

.

			WI (°	°C mon	ith)	WI (°C month)							
	< 35	≤< 45	≤< 55	≤ < 65	≤	< 35 ≤< 45 ≤< 55 ≤< 65 ≤							
<i>D50</i> (days)						Ra (mm)							
120 ≤	1	14	25	4	0								
90 ≤ <120	10	8	41	45	20	900 ≤ 7 25 53 31 1							
60 ≤ < 90	17	81	21	79	17	800 ≤ < 900 0 8 34 55 16							
30 ≤ < 60	12	18	62	39	30	700 ≤ < 800 11 87 61 99 22							
0 < 30	0	9	35	46	4	< 700 12 10 36 28 5							

Table 4. Distribution of values of WI against D50 and WI against Ra for 611 stands.

Table 5. Commodal species groups with total number of species and number of species per phytogeographical group, life form and forest type. Commodal groups were represented by the modes along *WI*, *D50* and *Ra*, in order (cf. Appendix 1). Abbreviations are given in the Terminology section.

Commo	odal	Phyto	ogeogra	aphical	group				Life	form					Eco	ological	group
group	EE	Е	S	Ν	0	Те	Ts	Ve	Vs	Se	Ss	He	Hs	Hn	ł	11	
CSR		3		1	1		,				2	1	2		4		1
CSO	1	4		1	1	1	1			1	2	1	1		4		3
Csr	2				1								. 3		3		
CsO	5	3				З	1				1	1	2		8		
000	5	8		11	4	3	4			3	4	2	12		19	4	9
cSO		7		2	1		1		1	3	2	1	2			4	6
csr		2	1		1	1					2	1				3	1
csO		3			4	1	1		1		2	1	1			6	1
cOO	4	5	2	2	5		4	1			3	1	9			13	5
WSR	1	6	1		3		3			2	4	2				11	
WSO	1	7	1		2		1		1	5	1	3				1	
Wsr		3			1	1	1			1		1				4	
WsO					3		2				1					3	
woo	2	11	1		9		15		3		2	1	2			23	
oso		1	1	1						1		2					3
000	1	2			3						1	4		1			6
Total	22	65	7	18	39	10	34	1	6	16	27	22	34	1	38	78	35

Life form	No. of	endemics	No. of non-endemics	Endemic ratio		
	EE	E	S + N + O			
Те	4	5	1	0.90		
Ts	2	21	11	0.68		
Ve	0	0	1	0		
Vs	0	1	5	0.17		
Se	2	12	2	0.88		
Ss	2	14	11	0.59		
He	3	7	12	0.45		
Hs	9	5	20	0.41		
Hn	0	0	1	0		

 Table 6.
 Number of plants endemic to central Japan, E, and the Chubu District, EE, in

 each life form category.
 Abbreviations are given in the Terminology section.

Table 7. A 3 x 3 contingency table showing the association between phytogeographical categories and ecological groups combined with forest types. Expected values are given in parentheses. The association is significant at P < 0.05 ($\chi^2 = 12.6$).

Phytogeographical group	EE	E	S + N + O	Total
Ecological group				
1	11 (5)	13 (16)	14 (16)	38
II	5 (11)	39 (34)	34 (34)	78
111	5 (5)	13 (15)	17 (15)	35
Total	21	65	65	151

Table 8. Number of species of commodal group in Hokkaido and in Chubu District.Commodal groups are represented by three modes in order, and an asterisk means anoptional mode along each gradient.By chi-square contingency test, significance ofdifferences in both districts are also shown.Degree of freedom is represented by df.

		along WI		along <i>D50</i>		along <i>Ra</i>
Hokkaid	0					
	C**	35 (21.3%)	*S*	35 (21.3%)	**R	35 (21.3%)
	C**	18 (11.0%)	*s*	42 (25.6%)	**r	24 (14.6%)
	W**	82 (50.0%)	*0*	87 (53.0%)	**0	105 (64.2%)
	0**	29 (17.7%)				
Chubu I	District					
	C**	51 (33.8%)	*S*	47 (31.1%)	**R	16 (10.6%)
	C**	39 (25.8%)	*s*	29 (19.2%)	**r	11 (7.3%)
	W**	52 (34.4%)	*0*	75 (49.7%)	**0	121 (80.1%)
	0**	9 (6.0%)				
χ²	23.3 (<i>P</i> < 0.01)	4.8 (F	? > 0.05)	12.6(<i>P</i> < 0.01)
df		3		2		2

Commodal				Ecolo	ogical g	Iroup					
group	la	lb	lla	llb	llc	lld	lle	Illa	IIIb	IIIc	
CSR	1					,	2	2		6	
COr	2	3					4			1	
000	2	1					5			6	
csr			1		2	1					
csO				1		2			2		
cOr						1	1				
cOO						3	2		1	1	
WSR			3		4	1			2	1	
WSO					З	2	1		4		
WsR					2	1			1		
Wsr				3		1					
WsO				5	1	11	1		1		
WOR					1	1			5	2	
WOr						4					
WOO				1	5	5	2		5	3	
OSO								1		2	
OsO				4			1			1	
000				1		5	4	1	2	7	
Total	5	4	3	16	16	39	24	4	23	30	

Table 9. Commodal groups with total number of species and number of species per species group classified by the frequency of distribution which were over than 20% of quadrats in each forest type. Commodal groups were represented by the modes along *WI*, *D50* and *Ra*, in order (cf. Appendix 2). For abbreviations of ecological groups, see text.

Table 10.	Commo	dal gi	roups w	ith nun	nber	of specie	es pe	er phytog	geogra	aphical	group	and life form	category.	Comr	nodal	groups
were repres	sented b	y the	modes	along	WI,	<i>D50</i> and	Ra,	in order	(cf. A	Appendi	x 2).	Abbreviations	are given	in the	Term	ninology
section.																

Commodal	Phyt	ogeogra	aphical	group				Life	form			
group	Н	S	Ν	0	Те	Ts	Ve	Vs	Se	Ss	He	Hs
CSR	1	7	3	-		1		<u></u>	2	6		2
COr	1	1	8		3	1				1	3	2
COO	1	1	8	4		1		2	1		5	5
csr		1	2	1				1		2		1
csO		4		1		2				1	1	1
cOr	1		1							1		1
c00		2	4	1	1	2				1	1	2
WSR		10		1		2			4	3	2	
WSO		9		1		1			4		3	2
WsR		4				2				1		1
Wsr		4				2						2
WsO	1	12	1	5		11			1	1	1	5
WOR		8		1		5		2				2
WOr		З		1		2						2
WOO		10	4	7		9		3			2	7
OSO	1	2								2	1	
OsO		2	1	3						1	2	3
000	2	5	10	3		2	1		1		5	11
Total	8	85	42	29	4	43	1	8	13	20	26	49

 Table 11. Climatic preference of speices common to Hokkaido and Chubu District. Commodal groups were represented by the modes along *WI*, *D50* and *Ra*, in order (cf. Appendix 2).

Hikkaido	Chubu	Species
CSR	CSO	Acer tschonoskii, Vaccinium ovalifolium
CSR	COO	Ilex rugosa, Menziesia pentandra
CSR	cSO	Rhododendron albrechtii, Clintonia udensis
CSR	OSO	Sasa kurilensis
CSR	000	Vaccinium hirtum
COr	COO	Oxalis acetosella, Acer ukurundense, Cornus canadensis
COO	CSO	Rhododendron brachycarpum
COO	cOO	Galium kamtschaticum, Peracarpa carnosa var. circaeoides
WOO	csO	Fraxinus lanuginosa
WSR	csO	Skimmia japonica var. intermedia f. repens
WSR	WSO	Leptorumohra miqueliana, Sturthiopteris niponica, Fagus crenata, Lindera umbellata var. membranacea, Daphniphyllum macropodum var. humile, Ilex crenata var. paludosa, Ilex leucoclada
WSR	WOO	Corylus sieboldiana
WSO	cOO	Athyrium vidalii
WSO	WOO	Euonymus alatus f. dentatus
WsO	WOO	Acer palmatum var. matsumurae
WOR	cSR	Paris tetraphylla, Acanthopanax sciadophylloides
WOR	WSR	Rhus tricarpa
WOR	WOO	Magnolia obovata, Schizophragma hydrangeoides, Rhus ambigua, Acer japonicum, Cornus controversa
WOO	csO	Tilia japonica, Actinidia arguta
WOO	cOO	Smilacina japonica
OSO	cSO	Plagiogyria semicprdata subsp. matsumureana, Viburnum furcatum
OSO	WSR	Leucothoe grayana var. oblongifolia
OsO	csr	Dryopteris crassirhizoma
OsO	csO	Athyrium yokoscense
000	COO	Maianthemum dilatatum, Diphylleia grayi, Sorbus commixta
000	cSO	Sasa senanensis
000	cOO	Hydrangea petiolaris
000	OSO	Tripterospermum japonicum

Table 12. Life form (LF) and climate preference of southern plants and northern plants frequently found (more than 20% stands) in coniferous forests and oak forests, respectively. Commodal groups were represented by the modes along *WI*, *D50* and *Ra*, in order (cf. Appendix 2). Abbreviations for life form categories are given in the Terminology section. – means absent.

Southern plants in coniferous forests	LF	Hok.	Chu.	Northern plants in oak forests	LF	Hok.	Chu.
Sasa senanensis	Se	000	cSO	Acanthopanax senticosus	Ss	csr	_
Skimmia japonica v. intermedia f. repens	Se	WSR	cSO	Actinidia kolomikta	Vs	COO	-
Rhododendron brachycarpum	Se	COO	CSO	Maianthemum dilatatum	Hs	000	COO
Sasa kurilensis	Se	CSR	OSO	Cardamine leucantha	Hs	cOr	-
llex rugosa	Se	CSR	COO	Cimicifuga simplex	Hs	c00	c00
Sasa megalophylla	Se	WSO	-	Cacalia hastata v. orientalis	Hs	c00	
Menziesia pentandra	Ss	CSR	COO	Cirsium kamtschaticum	Hs	000	-
Viburnum furcatum	Ss	OSO	cSO	Polygonatum odoratum v. thunberg	<i>ii</i> Hs	000	-
Vaccinium smallii	Ss.	CSR	CSR	Cacalia auriculata v. kamtschatica	Hs	WsO	-
Rhododendron albrechtii	Ss	CSR	cSO	Angelica ursina	Hs	WOO	-
Vaccinium hirtum	Ss	CSR	000	Angelica sachalinensis	Hs	000	-
Hydrangea petiolaris	Ve	000	c00	Lilium cordatum v. glehnii	Hs	000	-
Schizophragma hydrangeoides	Vs	WOR	WOO	Calamagrostis hakonensis	Hs	000	-
Plagiogyria semicordata ssp. matsumureana	He	OSO	cSO	Circaea quadrisullata	Hs	CO0	
Tripterospermum japonicum	He	000	OSO	Anemone debilis	Hs	COr	-
Tiarella polyphylla	He	COr	-	Clintonia udensis	Hs	CSR	-

Growth form	Tree	Vine	Shrub	Herb	Total
Perennial-leaved	4	2	12	8	26
Biennial-leaved				19	19
Facultative biennial-leaved			1	14	15
Heteroptosis		1	1	1	3
Summergreen	40	9	21	95	165
Winter-green			1	2	3
Spring-green				9	9
Non-assimilate				2	2

Table 13. Number of species per growth form in each leaf habit category.

Species	TSR	Species	TSR
Salix sachalinensis	0.480	Fraxinus mandshurica var. japonica	0.347
Alnus hirsuta	0.446	Stylax obassia	0.345
Alnus japonica	0.436	Kalopanax pictus	0.330
Populus sieboldii	0.406	Cercidiphyllum japonicum	0.328
Salix hultenii var. angustifolia	0.403	Tilia japonica	0.328
Magnolia kobus var. borealis	0.401	Ulmus davidiana var. japonica	0.323
Betula maximowicziana	0.396	Maackia amurense var. buergeri	0.323
Magnolia obovata	0.393	Sorbus commixta	0.321
Betula platyphylla var. japonica	0.387	Acanthopanax sciadophylloides	0.321
Syringa reticulata	0.383	Prunus ssiori	0.310
Salix subfragilis	0.381	Acer mono	0.306
Ulmus laciniata	0.379	Carpinus cordata	0.302
Cornus controversa	0.369	Sorbus alnifolia	0.299
Juglans ailanthifolia	0.368	Tilia maximowicziana	0.297
Phellodendron amurense	0.363	Ostrya japonica	0.291
Betula davulica	0.360	Aesculus turbinata	0.285
Picrasma quossioides	0.359	Quercus mongolica var. crispula	0.281
Castanea crenata	0.358	Acer japonicum	0.279
Populus maximowiczii	0.354	Acer palmatum var. matsumurae	0.277
Betula ermanii	0.353	Fagus crenata	0.276
Prunus sargentii	0.353		

Table 14. Efficiency of the trasmitted solar radiation *TSR* for 41 tree species whose leaf events such as budbreak, end of leaf emergence, commencement of leaf fall, and end of leaf fall were obtained from the leaf survival curves represented in Kikuzawa (1983).

Table 15. Correlation coefficients among environmental variables in forest understory. *NS*, total number of species in understory; *H*', species diversity in overstory; *CS*, number of days with continuous snowcover (days in a year); *RSR*, relative solar radiation in spring, in summer, in autumn and the annual amount.

	(1)					
(1) <i>NS</i>		(2)				
(2) <i>H</i> ′	0.648**		(3)			
(3) <i>CS</i>	-0.224	-0.277		(4)		
(4) RSR(spring)	0.481**	0.512**	-0.863***		(5)	
(5) <i>RSR</i> (summer)	0.303*	0.275	-0.080	0.167		(6)
(6) <i>RSR</i> (autumn)	0.514**	0.631***	-0.782***	0.838***	0.419*	
(7) <i>RSR</i> (annual)	0.529**	0.569**	-0.833***	0.967***	0.398*	0.920***

Significance of correlation coefficient: P < 0.001 (***), P < 0.01 (**) and P < 0.05 (*).

Table 16. Mean values	s of environmental variables in each c	luster group based on the percentage	cover in midsummer (from a to f) and
in spring (from e to g).	Variables as described in Table 15.	Differences of $I-m$ and $p-q$ indicate	significant at $P < 0.05$ and of $I-n$ and
<i>p-r</i> at <i>P</i> < 0.01 in Man	n–Whitney's Utest.		

Group code	а	b	с	d	e	f	g
	n = 10	n = 13	n = 7	n = 1	n = 7	n = 5	n = 1
NS	32.9±6.2'	31.2±9.8 ¹	56.4±12.0 ⁿ	51.0	35.1±10.4	54.0±16.0	47.0
H'	1.43±0.75'	1.11±0.38 ¹	2.55±0.38 ⁿ	1.90	1.18±0.54 ^p	2.15±0.45′	2.76
CS	154.1±38.1	150.2±49.3 ^{1m}	117.6±22.0 ^m	90	176.9±45.5°	118.6±23.3 ^q	136
RSR(spring)	.078±.0281	.084±.040 ¹	.146±.030 ⁿ	.201	.069±.041 °	.126±.015 ^r	.126
RSR(summer)	.039±.012'	.024±.001 ⁿ	.038±.030'	.045	.029±.009°	.043±.009°	.040
RSR(autumn)	.032±.009'	.023±.009	.044±.006 ⁿ	.038	.021±.010 ^p	.044±.006 ^r	.039
RSR(annual)	.149±.039'	.130±.049	.229±.035 ⁿ	.284	.119±.052°	.212±.028'	.205

_eaf habit	Р	В	F	Н	Su	W	Sp
SUMMER (n = 31)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
NS	-0.625**	0.601***	0.319	0.073	0.424*		
4'	-0.607***	0.456**	0.236	-0.138	0.427*		
CS	0.209	-0.482**	-0.093	-0.347	0.008		
RSR (spring)	-0.399*	0.519***	0.396*	0.116	0.038		
RSR (summer)	-0.488***	0.189	0.204	-0.080	0.562***		
RSR (autumn)	-0.534***	0.567**	0.151	0.074	0.307*		
RSR (annual)	-0.503***	0.547***	0.373*	0.089	0.229		
SPRING (n = 13)							
VS	-0.672*	0.472	0.688**	0.464		0.481	0.674*
H'	-0.709**	0.490	0.590*	0.102		0.613*	0.656*
CS	0.390	-0.754**	-0.052	0.079		-0.381	-0.264
RSR (spring)	-0.424	0.533*	0.339	0.180		0.671*	0.605*
RSR (summer)	-0.699**	0.494*	0.296	0.436		0.376	0.691**
RSR (autumn)	-0.651*	0.720**	0.411	0.277		0.589*	0.658*
RSR (annual)	-0.562*	0.616*	0.378	0.264		0.659*	0.686*

Table 17. Correlation coefficients between environmental variables and dominance of each leaf category surveyed in summer and spring.Significant levels as *** P < 0.001, ** P < 0.01, * P < 0.05. P, perennial-leaved; B, biennial-leaved; F, Facultative biennial-leaved; H, heteroptosis; Su, summergreen; W, winter-green; Sp, spring-green.

LIST OF FIGURES

No.

- 1. Climatic features of Chubu District.
- 2. Climatic divisions of Hokkaido.
- 3. Location of stands surveyed in Chubu District.
- Distribution of stands surveyed in Hokkaido with location of species being absent in mixed forests.
- 5. Localities of the 31 stands surveyed the leaf phenology.
- 6. Schematic representation of solar radiation penetrating through a canopy.
- 7. Patterns of commodal groups along WI-D50 and WI-Ra in Chubu District.
- Distribution of species in phytogeographical groups and life forms in Chubu District.
- 9. Responses of main temperate hardwoods and conifers in Chubu District.
- 10. Patterns of commodal groups along WI-D50 and WI-Ra in Hokkaido.
- 11. Patterns of species groups associating to forest types in Hokkaido.
- 12. Responses of trees predominating mixed forests in Hokkaido.
- 13. Responses of species isolated in Hidaka District.
- 14. Floral make-up and evenness of northern and southern plants in Hokkaido.
- 15. Relationship of leaf habit and occurrence in Hokkaido.
- 16. Leaf survivor patterns distinguished.
- 17. Distribution of light resources of forests.
- 18. Dendrograms of quadrats by the similarity of leaf habits in understory.
- 19. Coverage of leaf habits in each clustered group.







Figure 2. Climatic diagrams of some selected weather stations in Chubu District. A, altitude of station; T, mean annual temperature; P, mean annual precipitation.



Figure 3. Location of area in the Chubu District surveyed. Circles, regions surveyed by the author; triangle, cited from research reports (e.g. Miyawaki et al 1974; Miyawaki 1977).



Figure 4. Forest distribution in the four climatic divisions. Histograms show frequency of each forest community. Distribution of three tree species being absent in mixed forest is also shown.



Figure 5. Localities of the 31 stands surveyed the leaf phenology of understory plants. Sites of a and b include 2 and 3 stands, respectively.



 $L(t) = p_i r_i L_0 (+ p_0 L_0(t)) (T - p_i)$



 $L = \{ \sum_{i=1}^{2} (p_i - k_i) r_i \} L_0 + (k_i r_1 r_2) L_0 + p_0 L_0$



Figure 6. Schematic representation of solar radiation penetrating through a canopy attenuated by a canopy composed of a species (A), two sepcies (B) and *n* species (C), respectively. *L*, transmitted solar radiation; L_o , incident solar radiation; *p*, coverage of a crown; p_o , area covered by no crown; *k*, areas covered by two crowns; *r*, light transmission ratio. For detail explanation, see text.

А

В

CSR Fuonymus tricarpus	COO Rubus ikenoensis
52 8/0 0 0 1	72 23 0 0 0
51 9 0 0 0 0 0 50 9/0 0 0 0 0	72 24 15 10 0 0 0 82 32 9 0 0 0 0
16/00000 71 11 00000	
0000000 16,00000	
00000 2160000	
CSO Vaccinium ovalifolium	cSO Rhododendron albrechtii
45 46 6 0 0	10 62 76 81 0
62 34 3 2 0 0 0 46 23 9 0 0 0 0	0 31 45 61 57 38 17 6 47 47 60 53 44 0
51 11 6 0 0 0 0 71 17 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 29 54 40 75 9 0 0 0 33 0 20 5
10 0 0 3 0 0 0 51 30 2 3 0 0 0	
Csr Pteridophyllum racemosum	csr Lindera umbellota
00000	00000
06000000600000	
	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 10 31 25 18
	- 0/57 65 50 40 0 0 0/19 35 17 0
CsO Picea jezoersis v. hondoensis	csO Tilia japonica
66 23 0 0 0	
92 54 31 0 0 0 0 82 55 47 4 0 0 0	
50 55 56 10 3 0 0 63 28 24 1 3 0 0	0 5 0 46 40 22 18 0 3 5 9 26 19 9
	- 0/29 59 38 20 0 4 4 33 38 17 0
Langeneyerepengeneyerepengeneyerepengeneyerepengeneyerepengeneyerepengeneyerepengeneyerepengeneyerepengeneyerep	have a second and the second
c00 Hydrangea paniculata	WsO Euonymus oxyphyllus
cOO Hydrangea paniculata 0 5 29 52 33	WsO Euonymus oxyphyllus 0 0 0 4 0 − −
cOO Hydrangea paniculata 0 5 29 52 33 0 9 40 33 32 29 0 0 15 38 40 33 44 25	WsO Euonymus oxyphyllus 0 0 0 4 0 0 4 5 16 14 14 50 0 2 3 16 13 11 50
cOO Hydrangea paniculata 0 5 29 52 33 - 0 9 40 33 32 29 0 0 15 38 40 33 44 25 0 0 22 56 60 25 4 0 0 0 33 32 0	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
0 5 29 52 33 - 0 9 40 33 32 29 0 0 15 38 40 33 44 25 0 9 40 33 32 29 0 0 15 38 40 33 44 25 0 0 22 56 60 25 4 0 0 33 33 20 0 0 0 4 18 29 11 9 0 0 33 38 39 19 9 10 11 12 12 12 10 27 42 8 18	WsO Euonymus oxyphyllus 0 0 0 4 0 - - 0 4 5 16 14 14 50 0 2 3 16 13 11 50 0 0 4 13 12 0 17- 0 0 0 17 0 0 37 0 0 0 5-20 33 45 0 0 3 4 21 44 18 - - 0 29 47 38 40 0 4 4 16 25 8 27
coo Hydrangea paniculata 0 5 29 52 33 - 0 9 40 33 32 29 0 0 15 38 40 33 44 25 0 0 22 56 60 25 4 0 0 0 33 33 20 0 0 0 4 18 29 11 9 0 0 33 38 38 19 9 - - 50 14 41 13 40 0 2 19 57 42 8 18	Ws0 Euonymus oxyphyllus 0 0 0 4 0 - 0 4 5 16 14 14 50 0 2 3 16 13 11 50 0 0 4 13 12 0 17- 0 0 0 17 0 0 37 0 0 0 5 20 33 45 0 0 3 4 21 44 18 - - 0 29 47 38 40 0 4 4 16 25 8 27
coo Hydrangea paniculata 0 5 29 52 33 - 0 9 40 33 32 29 0 0 15 38 40 33 44 25 0 0 22 56 60 25 4 0 0 0 33 33 20 0 0 0 4 18 29 11 9 0 0 33 38 38 19 9 - - 50 14 41 13 40 0 2 19 57 42 8 18	WsO Euonymus oxyphyllus 0 0 0 4 0 - 0 4 5 16 14 14 50 0 2 3 16 13 11 50 0 0 4 13 12 0 17- 0 0 0 17 0 37 0 0 0 5-20 33 45 0 0 3 4 21 44 18 - - 0 29 47 38 40 0 4 4 16 25 8 27
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Ws0 Euonymus oxyphyllus 0 0 0 4 0 - 0 4 5 16 14 450 0 2 3 16 13 11 50 0 0 4 13 12 0 17- 0 0 0 17 0 0 37 0 0 0 5-20 33 45 0 0 3 4 21 44 18 - - 0/29 47 38 40 0 4 16 25 8 27 WOO Clethra barbinervis 0 0 29 59 100 -
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Ws0 Euonymus oxyphyllus 0 0 0 4 0 - 0 4 5 16 14 14 50 0 2 3 16 13 11 50 0 0 4 13 12 0 17- 0 0 0 17 0 0 37 0 0 0 5-20 33 45 0 0 3 4 21 44 18 - - 0/29 47 38 40 0 4 4 16 25 8 27 WOO Clethra barbinervis 0 0 18 68 93 100 75 0 1 15 51 79 86 83 0 0 18 68 93 100 75 0 18 67 64 10 28 100 89
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Ws0 Euonymus oxyphyllus 0 0 0 4 0 - - 0 4 5 16 14 14 50 0 2 3 16 13 11 50 0 0 4 13 12 0 17- 0 0 0 17 0 0 37 0 0 0 5-20 33 45 0 0 3 4 21 44 18 - - 0 29 47 38 40 0 4 4 16 25 8 27 WOO Clethra barbinervis 0 0 18 68 93 100 75 0 0 18 62 84 108 87 0 6 13 67 83 100 89 0 9 11 28 66 89 91 0 3 21 46 67 69 73
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Ws0 Euonymus oxyphyllus 0 0 0 4 0 - 0 4 5 16 14 14 50 0 0 4 13 12 0 17- 0 0 17 0 0 37 0 0 0 5-20 33 45 0 0 3 4 21 44 18 - - 0 29 47 38 40 0 4 16 25 8 27 WOO Clethra barbinervis 0 0 18 68 93 100 75 0 0 18 62 84 100 87 0 6 13 67 83 100 99 0 9 11 28 66 89 91 0 3 21 46 67 69 73 - - 50 86 65 63 80 0 2 14 <
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Ws0 Euonymus oxyphyllus 0 0 0 4 0 - 0 4 5 16 14 14 50 0 2 3 16 13 11 50 0 0 4 13 12 0 17- 0 0 0 17 0 0 37 0 0 0 5-20 33 45 0 0 3 4 21 44 18 - - 0 29 47 38 40 0 4 4 16 25 8 27 WOO Clethra barbinervis 0 0 18 68 93 100 75 0 0 18 62 84 100 87 0 6 13 67 69 73 0 9 11 28 66 89 91 0 3 21 46 67 69 73 - - 5
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Ws0 Euonymus $oxyphyllus$ 0 0 0 4 0 - 0 4 5 16 14 14 50 0 2 3 16 13 11 50 0 0 4 13 12 0 17- 0 0 0 17 0 0 37 0 0 0 5-20 33 45 0 0 3 4 21 44 18 - - 0/29 47 38 40 0 4 4 16 25 8 27 WOO Clethra barbinervis 0 4 4 16 25 8 27 WOO Clethra barbinervis 0 6 13 67 83 100 75 0 0 18 62 84 100 87 0 6 13 67 69 73 - - 50 86 65 63 <td< td=""></td<>
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Ws0 Euonymus oxyphyllus 0 0 0 4 0 - 0 4 5 16 14 14 50 0 2 3 16 13 11 50 0 0 4 13 12 0 17- 0 0 0 17 0 0 37 0 0 0 5-20 33 45 0 0 3 4 21 44 18 - - 0 29 59 100 - - 0 4 4 16 25 8 27 WOO Clethra barbinervis 0 0 18 68 93 100 75 0 0 18 62 84 100 87 0 6 13 67 69 73 - - 50 86 65 63 80 0 2 14 48 73 83 100 9
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Ws0 Euonymus oxyphyllus 0 0 0 4 0 - 0 4 5 16 14 14 50 0 2 3 16 13 11 50 0 0 4 13 12 0 17- 0 0 0 17 0 0 37 0 0 0 5-20 33 45 0 0 3 4 21 44 18 - - 0 29 47 38 40 0 4 4 16 25 8 27 WOO Clethra barbinervis 0 0 18 68 93 100 75 0 1 15 51 79 86 83 0 18 68 93 100 75 0 18 62 84 100 87 0 3 21 46 67 69 73 - 50 86 <
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Ws0 Euonymus oxyphyllus 0 0 0 4 0 - 0 4 5 16 14 14 50 0 2 3 16 13 11 50 0 0 4 13 12 0 17- 0 0 0 17 0 0 37 0 0 5 20 33 45 0 0 3 4 21 44 18 - - 0 29 59 100 - - 0 1 15 51 79 86 83 0 0 18 68 93 100 75 0 0 18 62 84 100 87 0 6 13 67 83 100 89 0 9 11 28 66 89 91 0 3 21 46 67 69 73 - - 50 86 65 <td< td=""></td<>
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Ws0 Euonymus oxyphyllus 0 0 0 4 0 - 0 4 5 16 14 14 50 0 0 4 13 12 0 17- 0 0 17 0 0 37 0 0 0 5-20 33 45 0 0 3 4 21 44 18 - - 0 29 47 38 40 0 4 4 16 25 8 27 WOO Clethra barbinervis 0 0 18 68 93 100 75 0 1 15 51 79 86 83 0 0 18 68 93 100 75 0 12 86 68 91 0 3 21 46 67 69 73 - - 50 86 65 63 80 0 2 14 48 <t< td=""></t<>
$\begin{array}{c} coo & Hydrangea \ paniculata\\ 0 & 5 & 29 & 52 & 33 & - & - & 0 \\ 0 & 9 & 40 & 33 & 32 & 29 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 15 & 38 & 40 & 39 & 44 & 25 \\ 0 & 0 & 22 & 56 & 60 & 25 & 4 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 33 & 33 & 20 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 4 & 18 & 29 & 11 & 9 & 0 & 2 & 19 & 37 & 42 & 8 & 18 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 4 & 18 & 29 & 11 & 9 & 0 & 2 & 19 & 37 & 42 & 8 & 18 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 4 & 18 & 29 & 11 & 9 & 0 & 2 & 19 & 37 & 42 & 8 & 18 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 12 & 22 & 0 & - & - & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 10 & 18 & 29 & 50 & 0 & 0 & 6 & 32 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 5 & 36 & 25 & 39 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 60 & 32 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 0 & 5 & 36 & 25 & 39 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 60 & 63 & 32 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 0 & 5 & 36 & 25 & 39 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 60 & 63 & 32 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 0 & 5 & 36 & 25 & 39 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 60 & 32 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 0 & 5 & 36 & 25 & 39 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 60 & 32 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 3 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 &$	Ws0 Euonymus oxyphyllus 0 0 0 4 0 - 0 4 5 16 14 14 50 0 0 4 13 12 0 17- 0 0 0 17 0 0 37 0 0 0 5-20 33 45 0 0 3 4 21 44 18 - - 0 29 59 100 - 0 4 4 16 25 8 27 WOO Clethra barbinervis 0 0 18 68 93 100 75 0 0 18 62 84 100 87 0 6 13 67 69 73 0 9 11 28 66 89 91 0 3 21 46 67 69 73 - - 50 86 65 63 80 0 2
$\begin{array}{c} \text{COO} & Hydrangea \ paniculata\\ 0 & 5 & 29 & 52 & 33 & - & - & 0 \\ 0 & 9 & 40 & 33 & 32 & 29 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 22 & 56 & 60 & 25 & 4 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 4 & 18 & 29 & 11 & 9 & 0 \\ - & - & 50 & 14 & 41 & 13 & 40 & 0 & 0 & 33 & 38 & 38 & 19 & 9 \\ - & - & 50 & 14 & 41 & 13 & 40 & 0 & 0 & 33 & 38 & 38 & 19 & 9 \\ - & - & 50 & 14 & 41 & 13 & 40 & 0 & 0 & 33 & 38 & 38 & 19 & 9 \\ 0 & 0 & 12 & 22 & 0 & - & - & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 10 & 18 & 29 & 50 & 0 & 0 & 6 & 24 & 40 & 44 & 50 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 5 & 36 & 25 & 39 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 60 & 32 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 5 & 36 & 25 & 39 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 60 & 32 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 5 & 36 & 25 & 39 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 60 & 32 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 5 & 36 & 25 & 39 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 60 & 32 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 3 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 &$	Ws0 Euonymus oxyphyllus 0 0 0 4 0 - 0 4 5 16 14 14 50 0 0 4 13 12 0 17- 0 0 17 0 0 37 0 0 0 5-20 33 45 0 0 3 4 21 44 18 - - 0 29 47 38 40 0 4 4 16 25 8 27 WOO Clethra barbinervis 0 0 18 68 93 100 75 0 1 15 51 79 86 83 0 18 68 93 100 75 0 18 62 84 100 87 0 6 13 67 83 100 89 0 2 14 48 73 83 100 75 0 3 21 46
$\begin{array}{c} \text{COO} & Hydrangea \ paniculata\\ 0 & 5 & 29 & 52 & 33 & - & - & 0 \\ 0 & 9 & 40 & 33 & 32 & 29 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 22 & 56 & 60 & 25 & 4 \\ 0 & 0 & 4 & 18 & 29 & 11 & 9 \\ - & - & 50 & 14 & 41 & 13 & 40 \\ \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{c} 0 & 15 & 38 & 40 & 39 & 44 & 25 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 33 & 38 & 38 & 19 & 9 \\ 0 & 2 & 19 & 57 & 42 & 8 & 18 \\ \end{array}$ $\begin{array}{c} \text{WSR} \ Rhadodendron \ nudipes \\ 0 & 0 & 12 & 22 & 0 & - & - \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 10 & 18 & 29 & 50 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 5 & 36 & 25 & 39 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 5 & 36 & 25 & 39 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 5 & 36 & 25 & 39 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 5 & 36 & 25 & 39 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 3 & 0 & 0 \\ - & - & 0 & 0 & 0 & 20 \\ \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{c} 0 & 0 & 6 & 24 & 40 & 44 & 50 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 60 & 32 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 7 & 3 & 0 & 36 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 3 & 17 & 0 & 9 \\ \end{array}$ $\begin{array}{c} \text{WSO} \ Lindera \ umbellata \ v. \ membranacea \\ 0 & 0 & 35 & 70 & 100 & - & - \\ 0 & 0 & 27 & 90 & 86 & 100 & 100 \\ 0 & 0 & 6 & 49 & 76 & 100 & 100 \\ 0 & 0 & 10 & 14 & 33 & 73 \\ - & - & 0 & 0 & 0 & 40 \\ \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{c} 0 & 0 & 18 & 64 & 80 & 78 & 50 \\ 0 & 0 & 28 & 65 & 44 & 63 & 73 \\ 0 & 0 & 7 & 40 & 38 & 50 & 100 \\ \end{array}$ $\begin{array}{c} \text{Wsr} \ Tsuga \ sieboldii \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 &$	Ws0 Euonymus oxyphyllus 0 0 0 4 0 - 0 4 5 16 14 14 50 0 0 4 13 12 0 17- 0 0 5 20 33 45 0 0 0 3 4 21 44 18 - - 0 29 47 38 40 0 4 4 16 25 8 27 WOO Clethra barbinervis 0 0 18 68 93 100 75 0 0 18 62 84 100 87 0 6 13 67 83 100 99 0 9 11 28 66 89 91 0 3 21 46 67 69 73 0 9 11 28 68 93 100 75 23 0 11 25 29 33
$\begin{array}{c} c \circ \circ & Hydrangea \ paniculata\\ 0 & 5 & 29 & 52 & 33 & - & - & 0 \\ 0 & 9 & 40 & 33 & 32 & 29 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 22 & 56 & 60 & 25 & 4 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 4 & 18 & 29 & 11 & 9 & - & - & 50 & 14 & 41 & 13 & 40 \\ - & - & 50 & 14 & 41 & 13 & 40 & 0 & 21 & 9 & 57 & 42 & 8 & 18 \\ \hline WSR \ Rhadodendron \ nudipes \\ 0 & 0 & 12 & 22 & 0 & - & - & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 10 & 18 & 29 & 50 & 0 & 0 & 6 & 32 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 5 & 36 & 25 & 39 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 6 & 32 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 5 & 36 & 25 & 39 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 60 & 32 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 5 & 36 & 25 & 39 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 60 & 32 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 3 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 &$	Ws0 Euonymus oxyphyllus 0 0 0 4 0 - 0 4 5 16 14 14 50 0 0 4 13 12 0 17- 0 0 0 17 0 0 37 0 0 5-20 33 45 0 0 3 4 21 44 18 0 0 5-20 33 45 0 0 3 4 21 44 18 0 0 29 59 100 - 0 0 18 68 93 100 75 0 0 18 62 84 100 87 0 6 13 67 89 100 75 0 0 18 68 99 0 3 21 46 67 69 73 0 2 14 48 73 83 100 0 0 4 15
$\begin{array}{c} \text{COO} \textit{Hydrangea} \textit{paniculata} \\ \hline 0 & 5 & 29 & 52 & 33 & - & - & \\ \hline 0 & 9 & 40 & 33 & 32 & 29 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 22 & 56 & 60 & 25 & 4 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 4 & 18 & 29 & 11 & 9 \\ - & - & 50 & 14 & 41 & 13 & 40 \\ \hline - & - & 50 & 14 & 41 & 13 & 40 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 12 & 22 & 0 & - & - \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 0 & 10 & 18 & 29 & 50 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 0 & 5 & 36 & 25 & 99 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 0 & 5 & 36 & 25 & 99 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 0 & 5 & 36 & 25 & 99 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 0 & 5 & 36 & 25 & 99 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 0 & 5 & 36 & 25 & 99 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 0 & 5 & 36 & 25 & 99 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 0 & 5 & 36 & 25 & 99 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 0 & 5 & 36 & 25 & 99 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 0 & 5 & 36 & 25 & 99 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 0 & 5 & 36 & 25 & 99 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 0 & 3 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline - & - & 0 & 0 & 0 & 20 \\ \hline \end{array} \qquad \qquad$	Ws0 Euonymus oxyphyllus 0 0 0 4 0 - 0 4 5 16 14 14 50 0 0 4 13 12 0 17- 0 0 37 0 0 5 20 33 45 0 0 17 0 0 37 0 0 5 20 33 45 0 0 3 4 21 44 18 0 0 15 51 79 86 83 0 0 18 68 93 100 75 0 0 18 62 84 100 87 0 6 13 67 83 100 89 0 9 11 28 66 89 91 0 3 21 46 67 69 73 0 1 15 6 0 27 16 53 24 32 0 <t< td=""></t<>

Figure 7 Distribution of representative species of 16 commodal groups along *WI* and *D50* (left side) and *WI* and *Ra* (right side). Values given are 100 X *Fs / Ft*, where *Fs* and *Ft* are the numbers of stands inhabited by a given species and total stands in the whole data set (Table 2), respectively. Isolines are also shown by solid lines.





0 a b c d c d С d a b b а a b c d a b c d abcd a b c d



Figure 9. Behavior of tree species belonging mainly to the summergreen forests or the subalpine-coniferous forests along the primary factors *WI* and *D50*.

species belonging mair

CSR Clintonia udensis 100 21 4 0 - 60 56 2 0 0 86 32 6 0 0 47 21 27 3 0 - 0 7 0 7 -8 0 7 3 33 45 20 10 2 0 -0 0 4 0 23 0 2 8 0	CSF Acanthopanax senticosus 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 6 0 0 0 - 0 0 0 8 5 28 28 0 - 0 0 0 2 0 - 0 9 0 - 9 10 43 33 0	cOO Hydrangea paniculata 0 43 60 50 - 0 22 63 56 40 0 28 77 52 0 0 15 45 53 12 - 88 67 61 47 8 74 38 59 0 9 22 37 50 30 - 50 71 43 0 5 50 43 50 0
COr $0xalis$ acetosella 100 21 0 $ 30$ 78 0 0 43 56 17 3 65 84 59 1 -25 7 0 92 50 30 28 0 45 72 18 6 $ 0$ 6 4 82 90 26 18 0	cs0 Carex sidelosticta 0 0 0 - 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 5 3 0 0 21 8 5 0 - 50 31 24 0 0 11 20 0	WSR Sturthiopteris niponica 0 7 36 0 0 0 29 48 50 0 0 14 32 18 0 5 0 10 33 - 0 9 0 0 - 0 9 0 0
COO Betula ermanii 100 36 36 25 - 90 89 34 15 15 100 60 10 0 59 70 23 11 0 - 88 40 17 0 67 84 18 3 0 64 70 40 9 13 - 75 40 4 0 - 64 80 26 8 0	cOr Ribes latifolium 0 0 0 - 0 11 2 0 - 0 4 8 3 0 0 11 2 0 - 0 4 8 3 0 0 1 18 1 0 - 0 0 0 8 5 11 15 0 1- 2 0 - 0 3 2 0 5 5 10 17 18 0	WSO Arachniodes standishii 0 29 24 0 0 20 27 30 0 4 50 24 12 8 5 15 26 67 - 0 9 4 0
WsR Viburnum dilatatum 0 0 0 - 0 0 0 - 0 0 0 - 0 0 0 - 0 0 0 2 0 0 0 0 3 6 - 13 0 5 27 0 2 0 3 4 - 13 0 33 100 0 0 25 0	WOR Acanthopanax sciadophylloides 0 29 52 0 - 0 0 54 52 75 0 20 52 39 100 0 25 9 41 47 - 38 40 39 60 17 21 20 18 100 9 26 30 41 78 - 38 29 20 50 9 0 9 8 0	OSO Viburnum furcətum 100 71 92 25 - 30 56-83 96 80 53 29 55 64 53 42 32 33 33 100 - 38 26 4 75 43 60 79 55 100 - 50 83 83 60 45 32 45 55 70 45 0 17 10 100
Wsr Tilia maximowicziana 0 21 12 25 - 0 0 10 23 45 0 12 13 6 0 0 1 5 33 47 - 0 27 37 47 0 0 23 38 0 0 2 12 31 22 - 13 54 43 0 0 0 43 60 100	WOr Laportea bulbifera 0 0 8 75 - 0 0 15 21 5 0 0 13 16 0 0 0 18 32 6 - 13 20 29 0 0 5 44 38 0 0 3 17 31 9 - 38 29 41 0 0 59 58 0	Os0 Sasa charfacea 0 0 0 - 0 0 0 0 - 0 0 0 0 0 32 0 0 0 5 0 - - 75 13 0 13 8 32 8 10 - 0 2 5 0 - 38 43 70 50 5 10 30 52 0
Ws0 Carpinus cordata 0 0 0 0 0 7 0 0 7 1 9 36 76 - 63 20 22 47	WOO Vitis coignetiae 0 43 28 75 ~ 0 22 46 63 95 0 20 40 58 0 0 4 36 68 88 ~ 63 43 71 80	000 Sasa senanensis 0 0 12 75 - 70 78 27 13 75 100 44 46 42 100 0 79 55 36 35 - 13 10 22 33

Figure 10. Distribution of representative species of 18 commodal groups along *WI* and *D50* left side) and *WI* and *Ra* (right side). Values given are 100 X *Fs / Ft*, where *Fs* and *Ft* are the numbers of stands inhabited by a given species and total stands in the whole data set (Table 4), respectively. Isolines are also shown by solid lines.

0 21 59 72 0

50 43 74 75

0 9 45 67 87

0 10 57 80 100

67 68 43 49 100

0 17 9 0

0 71 48 34 78

980

36 90

0 30 30 46 0

13 66 83 100

23 43 26

46 65 100

0 3

.....

0 0



Figure 11. Species groups associating to forest types, represented by the fidelity more than 20% of total stands of each forest type. For abbreviations of each species group, see text.

Forest type

Picea Jezoensis 100 0 20 25 - 70 100 22 2 5 100 48 25 3 0 88 98 73 14 0 - 0 33 20 7 92 53 51 44 0 64 87 50 12 0 - 100 31 7 0 91 90 43 30 0	Abies sachalinensis 100 86 56 25 - 100 100 56 67 10 94 99 91 54 18 100 89 87 69 33 - 100 49 17 0	100 92 67 19 0 - 100 53 51 20 100 97 75 59 9 95 100 74 55 0	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
Quercus mongolica v. crispula 0 64 80 50 - 0 22 41 77 75 0 36 56 68 0 0 19 64 66 76 - 100 87 76 87 25 50 75 56 0 29 55 72 61 - 75 69 98 100 14 10 76 78 100	Acer mono 0 57 56 50 - 0 22 63 73 95 0 5 27 71 94 17 58 49 62 33 - 75 69 96 75	0 28 63 94 0 - 88 63 71 87 0 14 32 70 91 9 50 70 75 100	Tilia japonica 0 36 68 100 - 0 0 54 77 65 0 20 56 58 0 0 13 68 72 94 - 88 87 85 93 25 79 80 74 33 0 21 72 78 65 - 63 89 65 100 14 50 83 58 100

Figure 12. Behavior of six tree species largely predominating the mixed forests.

· ·


Figure 13. Behavior of three southern plant species isolated in Hidaka District.





Figure 14. Phytogeographical composition and evenness *J*' between southern and northern plants in the overstory (upper) and understory (lower) of each forest communities. Evenness is shown by the mean and standard deviation. S, southern plants; N, northern plants; H, endemics to Hokkaido and its vicinity; O, widespread plants.



Figure 15. Relative species richness for summergreen plants (open bars) and evergreen plants (solid bars) for each 20% frequency class.

•







Figure 17. Histogram showing the seasonal allocation of relative solar radiation *RSR* in each stand. Open, solid and shaded blocks designate *RSR* in spring, summer and autumn; BF, OF, MF and CF denote beech, oak, mixed and coniferous forests, respectively. Numerals indicate quadrat code.



Figure 18. Clusterings among the quadrats surveyed in midsummer and in spring. Based on Gleason's similarity index using coverage, the quadrats were clustered by Mountford's average-linkage method. Numeral indicate quadrat code, and solid circles, open circles, double circles and triangles indicate beech, oak, mixed and coniferous forests, respectively.



