Molecular Basis for the Generation in Pigs of Influenza A Viruses with Pandemic Potential
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Genetic and biologic observations suggest that pigs may serve as “mixing vessels” for the generation of human-avian influenza A virus reassortants, similar to those responsible for the 1957 and 1968 pandemics. Here we demonstrate a structural basis for this hypothesis. Cell surface receptors for both human and avian influenza viruses were identified in the pig trachea, providing a milieu conducive to viral replication and genetic reassortment. Surprisingly, with continued replication, some avian-like swine viruses acquired the ability to recognize human virus receptors, raising the possibility of their direct transmission to human populations. These findings help to explain the emergence of pandemic influenza viruses and support the need for continued surveillance of swine for viruses carrying avian virus genes.

This century has seen the emergence of four pandemic strains of human influenza virus: the Spanish influenza virus of 1918, the Asian influenza virus of 1957, the Hong Kong influenza virus of 1968, and the Russian influenza virus of 1977. The pandemic viruses isolated in 1957 and 1968 were reassortants possessing genes of both human and avian viruses. Avian virus genes encoding the hemagglutinin (HA), neuraminidase, and PB1 proteins were introduced into the 1957 strain, while the 1968 strain acquired only the avian virus HA and PB1 genes (20, 27, 37).

Avian influenza viruses replicate less efficiently in humans (1) and in other primates (more than 100-fold) (29). Although immunity to currently circulating human H1 and H3 viruses may explain the poor replication of these subtypes of avian viruses in human hosts, it does not account for the limited replication of avian viruses with other subtypes not found in humans (i.e., H4, H6, H9, and H10) (1). Similarly, human viruses do not replicate efficiently in waterfowl when introduced by natural routes (18). Therefore, although avian influenza viruses can be directly transmitted to humans as indicated by a recent incident in Hong Kong (9, 39), the probability of these viruses becoming established in human populations is low, thereby limiting opportunities for the generation of human-avian reassortant viruses in these host animals. How, then, does one explain the apparent breach of this host range restriction during the generation of pandemic influenza viruses? Scholtissek et al. (36) proposed that pigs may serve as “mixing vessels” for the production of reassortant influenza viruses. Indeed, a variety of avian and human influenza viruses replicate efficiently in pigs upon experimental infection (17, 23). Results of phylogenetic and epidemiologic analyses indicate that avian and human viruses have also been transmitted to pigs in nature (12, 38) and that they have reassorted in pigs (7) and been transmitted to humans (8). Despite this considerable body of circumstantial evidence, the molecular basis for human-avian viral gene reassortment in pigs remains unknown.

Although influenza A viruses uniformly recognize cell surface oligosaccharides with a terminal sialic acid, their receptor specificity varies. Most avian influenza viruses preferentially bind to the N-acetylated neuraminic acid-α,2,3-galactose (NeuAcα2,3Gal) linkage on sialyloligosaccharides, while human influenza viruses prefer the NeuAcα2,6Gal linkage (32, 33). However, very little is known about the sialyloligosaccharide structures at viral replication sites in pigs or in other common hosts. Since the presence or absence of viral species-specific receptors on host cells would have a key role in generating viruses with pandemic potential, we sought to identify the types of sialyloligosaccharides at the replication sites of influenza viruses in ducks and pigs to test their suitability for binding various avian and swine viruses. The results we report help to clarify how avian influenza A viruses could overcome normal host range barriers and infect human populations.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Viruses.** The influenza A viruses used in this study (Table 1) were maintained in repositories at St. Jude Children’s Research Hospital in Memphis, Tenn., the Istituto Superiore di Sanita in Rome, Italy, and the Graduate School of Veterinary Medicine of Hokkaido University in Sapporo, Japan.

**Nucleotide sequencing.** Molecular cloning, nucleotide sequencing, and nucleotide analysis were performed as previously described (20).
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Receptor specificity analysis. The receptor specificity of viruses was determined by hemagglutination tests, using derivatized erythrocytes at room temperature (32). In this assay, the titration of end points was performed after 30 min and 1 h, with essentially no differences in results.

Immunologic detection of NeuAc2,3Gal and NeuAc2,6Gal linkages. The colons of 4-week-old F1 hybrid ducks (Pekin, Anas platyrhynchos domesticus, and mallard, Anas platyrhynchos platyrhyncos) and the tracheas of 70-day-old F1 hybrid pigs (Landrace and Durock lines) were collected immediately after exsanguination by cardiac puncture, rinsed with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS; pH 7.2), and cut into cubes (3 mm³ each). The tissue blocks were then embedded in OCT compound (Miles Inc., Indianapolis, Ind.) and frozen in liquid nitrogen. Tissue sections (6 μm each) were cut from the blocks with a microtome cryostat, air dried, and fixed for 15 min with cold acetone before immunostaining. The presence or absence of NeuAc2,3Gal and NeuAc2,6Gal linkages in these organs was determined with linkage-specific lectins (glycan differentiation kit; Boehringer Mannheim Biochemicals). Briefly, each section was incubated with 50 μl of digoxigenin (DIG)-labeled Sambucus nigra (1 μg/ml; specific for NeuAc2,6Gal) or Maackia amurensis (5 μg/ml; specific for NeuAc2,3Gal) agglutinin for 1 h at room temperature. After three washes with cold PBS, the S. nigra and M. amurensis agglutinin-exposed sections were incubated with fluorescein- and rhodamine-conjugated anti-DIG antibody (Boehringer Mannheim Biochemicals), respectively, for 1 h at room temperature. After three more washes with cold PBS, the tissue sections were mounted on slides in buffered glycerol (pH 9.0) for observation with a fluorescence microscope (BH-RFL; Olympus Optics, Tokyo, Japan) equipped with a dark-field condenser and UV excitation capability. Control slides were incubated with PBS instead of lectin.

RESULTS

Pig trachea contains receptors for both avian and human viruses. Because avian and human influenza viruses show different receptor preferences, NeuAc2,3Gal versus NeuAc2,6Gal (32, 33), and epithelial cells lining human trachea possess mainly the latter sialyloligosaccharide (11), we considered that one of the determinants of the replicative potential of such viruses in different hosts might be the sialic acid-galactose linkage present on sialyloligosaccharides. Using linkage-specific lectins, we examined the epithelial cells of duck intestine and pig trachea for NeuAc2,3Gal and NeuAc2,6Gal. Duck intestine was chosen for these experiments because avian influenza viruses replicate well in this site and only marginally in duck trachea (24). Duck intestine showed a strong reaction with M. amurensis lectin (specific for NeuAc2,3Gal) but not with S. nigra lectin (specific for NeuAc2,6Gal), whereas pig trachea reacted with both linkages (Fig. 1). These results, together with the findings of Couceiro et al. (11), using the same lectin method, that ciliated epithelial cells in human trachea contain NeuAc2,6Gal but not NeuAc2,3Gal, suggest that the lack of a suitable receptor accounts for the inefficient replication of human viruses in duck intestine and of avian viruses in humans. Moreover, detection of both linkages in pig trachea provides a molecular basis for efficient replication of human and avian influenza viruses in this host (17, 23).

Changes in receptor specificity during the adaptation of avian viruses to pigs. Three types of influenza viruses are circulating in pigs: classic H1N1, maintained in this species for more than 60 years; human-like H3N2, present in pigs since 1969 (26); and avian-like H1N1, introduced into European pigs in 1979 (35). Since pig trachea contains both the NeuAc2,6Gal and the NeuAc2,3Gal linkages (Fig. 1), we were uncertain whether the avian-like H1N1 virus had retained its preference for NeuAc2,3Gal after the parental avian strain was introduced into the pig population. A receptor specificity analysis indicated that all of the human and classic swine viruses preferentially recognize NeuAc2,6Gal, whereas most avian viruses prefer NeuAc2,3Gal (Table 1), as previously reported (32, 33). One avian virus, A/mallard/Alberta/740/80, recognized both NeuAc2,3Gal and NeuAc2,6Gal. Other avian viruses with dual receptor specificities have been reported (14, 32).

FIG. 1. Comparison of lectin staining in duck intestine (colon) and pig trachea. The M. amurensis lectin specific for NeuAc2,3Gal (designated α2,3; detected with fluorescein isothiocyanate-labeled anti-DIG antibody) bound to both duck intestinal epithelium and pig tracheal epithelium, whereas S. nigra lectin specific for NeuAc2,6Gal (designated α2,6; detected with rhodamine-labeled anti-DIG antibody) bound only to the latter. Blue staining in the connective tissue represents autofluorescence. Magnification, ×300.
TABLE 1. Receptor specificities of H1N1 influenza A viruses

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Virus</th>
<th>Hemagglutination titer by use of erythrocytes containing a</th>
<th>Native linkage NeuAc2,3Gal NeuAc2,6Gal</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Human</td>
<td></td>
<td>512                             512</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A/USSR/77</td>
<td></td>
<td>128                            128</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A/Lackland/78</td>
<td></td>
<td>256                            256</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A/Brazil/78</td>
<td></td>
<td>64                             64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A/Baylor/3724/81</td>
<td></td>
<td>128                            128</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A/Chile/83</td>
<td></td>
<td>64                             64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A/Taiwan/1/86</td>
<td></td>
<td>256                            256</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A/Swine/Italy-Vir/671/87</td>
<td></td>
<td>16                             16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duck</td>
<td></td>
<td>1,024                          2,048                           0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A/duck/Alberta/35/76</td>
<td></td>
<td>32                             32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A/duck/Bavaria/1/77</td>
<td></td>
<td>128                            128</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A/mallard/Alberta/740/80</td>
<td></td>
<td>256                            64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A/mallard/Tennessee/11464/85</td>
<td></td>
<td>16                             16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Swine</td>
<td></td>
<td>16                             0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a) Erythrocyte preparations included unmodified cells (native linkage) and sialidase-treated cells which were enzymatically resialylated, as described earlier (32, 33), so that they contained the terminal NeuAc2,3Gal or the NeuAc2,6Gal linkage on cell surface oligosaccharides. Native (unmodified) and asialo (sialidase-treated) cells were used as controls. Although the amounts of incorporated sialic acid varied among the erythrocyte preparations used, they remained within the previously reported ranges: NeuAc a 2,3Gal, 98 to 164 nmol/ml; NeuAc a 2,6Gal, 20 to 50 nmol/ml (10, 32, 33).

b) Hemagglutination titers are expressed as the reciprocal of the maximal dilution required to give complete agglutination (0 ≤ ±2). All virus samples presented hemagglutination titers of ±2 when asialo cells were used (data not shown).

c) Determined as described in Materials and Methods.

Surprisingly, the avian-like swine viruses showed a shift in receptor specificity over time. Viruses isolated from European pigs up to 1984 recognized both sialic acid-galactose linkages, whereas those isolated after 1985 recognized only NeuAc a 2,6Gal (Table 1). A/Swine/Netherlands/12/85 recognized NeuAc a 2,6Gal-containing erythrocytes appreciably less efficiently than those with native linkages, for unknown reasons. Nonetheless, the shift in receptor specificity suggests a mechanism that would allow avian viruses to replicate in humans efficiently.

Amino acid residues responsible for the shift in receptor specificity among avian-like swine viruses. To understand the receptor specificity conversion that occurred in avian-like swine viruses at some point between 1984 and 1985, we first determined the phylogenetic relationships among the H1 HAs (Fig. 2). The analysis established that the HA of avian-like swine viruses was introduced from birds once and then evolved thereafter, as did other genes of these viruses (6). Comparison of the amino acid sequences of the HA molecules (Fig. 3 and Table 2) showed that an amino acid change at residue 142 (145 in the H3 numbering system) was the only substitution that occurred between 1983 and 1985 and was associated with loss of NeuAc a 2,3Gal recognition. Avian-like swine viruses isolated in 1985 or later (A/swine/Netherlands/12/85, A/WSN/671/87, A/swine/Germany/3/91, and A/swine/Schleswig-
Holstein/1/92) contained Leu at this position, whereas those isolated earlier had different amino acids: A/swine/Arnsberg/79 and A/swine/Netherlands/80, Ser; A/swine/Germany/2/81, His; and A/swine/Belgium/83, Arg. Residue 142 (145 in the H3 numbering system) is located on the loop of the HA near the receptor-binding pocket (Fig. 4), supporting our contention that a mutation at this position may have contributed to a shift in receptor specificity.
DISCUSSION

We have demonstrated that pig trachea contains receptors for both human and avian influenza viruses, providing a milieu conducive to the replication, and hence the genetic reassortment, of these viruses. Moreover, with continued replication in pigs, the avian viruses appear to undergo a shift in their receptor specificity to NeuAc\textsubscript{2,6}Gal linkages exclusively. These observations suggest at least two mechanisms, both dependent on HA-receptor interactions, that would permit pigs to serve as intermediate hosts for the generation of pandemic influenza viruses (Fig. 5). In one, avian and human viruses would reassort in classical fashion, giving rise to a hybrid strain with pandemic potential (Fig. 5A). In the other, an avian virus would acquire the ability to bind efficiently to human cell surface receptors so that it could be readily transmitted to a human host without a requirement for genetic recombination (Fig. 5B). These models may not be mutually exclusive. Quite possibly, an avian virus could combine with a human virus before or after becoming adapted to the NeuAc\textsubscript{2,6}Gal linkage, resulting in a reassortant with enhanced proliferative capacity.

Circumstantial evidence (7, 8, 36) favors the involvement of pigs in genetic reassortment; however, direct transmission of avian viruses to humans does occur, as exemplified by the recent incident in Hong Kong (9, 39), so that reassortment in humans (Fig. 5C) or adaptation to recognize receptors in humans (Fig. 5D) cannot be discounted. With the rarity of human influenza pandemics, it is difficult to predict which of the models in Fig. 5 is more likely to generate a potentially hazardous virus. In addition to the Hong Kong H5N1 outbreak, avian viruses have been transmitted directly from birds to a variety of mammals, including seals, mink, and horses (13, 16, 25).

What types of selective pressure drive the shift in receptor specificity of avian-like swine influenza viruses from both NeuAc\textsubscript{2,3}Gal and NeuAc\textsubscript{2,6}Gal to NeuAc\textsubscript{2,6}Gal exclusively? One possibility is that the NeuAc\textsubscript{2,6}Gal linkage is more abundant than NeuAc\textsubscript{2,3}Gal on the epithelial cells of pig trachea, thus providing a replicative advantage for viruses that recognize the former receptor. Alternatively, glycoproteins containing NeuAc\textsubscript{2,3}Gal may exist at viral replication sites in pigs, serving as receptor analog inhibitors. Detection of the NeuAc\textsubscript{2,3}Gal linkage in mucin from human trachea (11) supports this suggestion.

One avian virus in our study, A/mallard/Alberta/740/80, rec-
A. Reassortment in Pigs

B. Adaptation in Pigs

C. Reassortment in Humans

D. Adaptation in Humans

FIG. 5. Models for the generation of pandemic influenza virus strains in pigs. (A) In the classical genetic reassortment model, avian and human viruses bind, respectively, to NeuAcα2,3Gal and NeuAcα2,6Gal (α2,3 and α2,6) linkages in pig trachea, setting the stage for the emergence of a reassortant that infects a large fraction of the human population. The segments in the center of each particle represent the viral genome. The reassortant HA gene (black) is derived from an avian virus. (B) In this adaptation model, avian viruses acquire the ability to replicate efficiently in humans during adaptation to the NeuAcα2,6Gal linkage in pigs. This change is mediated by a mutation in the HA gene. (C) Alternatively, an avian influenza virus is transmitted directly to humans where it reassorts with a human virus, or (D) it acquires the ability to recognize the NeuAcα2,6Gal linkage after direct introduction from birds, leading to efficient replication in humans.

ognized both NeuAcα2,3Gal and NeuAcα2,6Gal, consistent with reports by others (10, 31, 32). In a natural setting, would avian viruses with this dual binding capacity be the ones transmitted to pigs? Although a number of avian viruses have been shown to replicate in pigs in experimental infection (17, 23), their receptor specificities are largely unknown. Of the avian viruses we have tested, most recognize only the NeuAcα2,3Gal linkage and those tested for replicative activity in pigs have multiplied efficiently, suggesting that a lack of affinity for the NeuAcα2,6Gal linkage would not preclude natural transmission of an avian virus to pigs.

A single substitution at position 145 (H3 numbering), located on the loop near the receptor-binding site of the HA molecule, appears responsible for the inability of avian-like swine viruses isolated after 1984 to bind to NeuAcα2,3Gal linkages. A mutation at the same position was also identified in variant human influenza A viruses during their adaptation in eggs (28); however, the receptor specificity change in the avian-like swine viruses described here is not likely an artifact of egg adaptation, as classic swine viruses such as A/swine/Iowa/15/30 (H1N1) have been passaged many times in eggs without loss of NeuAcα2,6Gal specificity (Table 1). Also, swine viruses directly isolated in Madin-Darby canine kidney (MDCK) cells or in eggs show identical receptor specificities (21a). The precise mechanism by which this mutation causes a shift in receptor specificity is unknown and will likely remain so until the crystal structure of the mutant HA is determined.

The molecular features of the HA that influence the virulence of avian influenza viruses in birds are well characterized (3, 4, 21, 22) and have been used successfully to predict whether an emerging influenza virus poses a threat to large concentrations of poultry. Progress in identifying viruses with pandemic potential in human populations has been less impressive. None of the avian-human reassortants identified since 1968 (7), or any of the avian-like swine viruses, has produced a global outbreak of influenza in humans. This suggests that the generation of pandemic strains of influenza A virus is a complex process, requiring critical combinations of avian and human viral genes or mutations beyond those affecting the HA molecule. Indeed, multiple genes affect the interspecies transmission of influenza viruses (18, 23, 36). One could also argue that immunity to H1 HA s in human populations would protect against infection by the H1N1 avian-like swine viruses. However, since human H1 viruses and avian-like swine viruses show appreciable differences in antigenicity (15), it will be necessary to evaluate the latter in primates before making conclusions as to their replicative capacity in humans.

Many new viral pathogens have emerged in humans (e.g., human immunodeficiency virus, influenza A viruses, Ebola virus, hantavirus, monkeypox virus, and Borna disease virus). Learning the precise molecular changes that allow these agents to cross host species barriers is essential to developing an effective means of prevention. The evidence we present supports the role of pigs as a source of potentially hazardous influenza A viruses, arising through classical genetic reassortment or a novel adaptation to human virus receptors or perhaps through both mechanisms. Thus, continued intensive monitoring of swine populations for avian-like influenza viruses should be an integral part of global health planning.
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