



Title	Spectrum of Time Operators
Author(s)	Arai, Asao
Citation	Letters in Mathematical Physics, 80(3), 211-221 <a href="https://doi.org/10.1007/s11005-007-0158-y">https://doi.org/10.1007/s11005-007-0158-y</a>
Issue Date	2007-06
Doc URL	<a href="http://hdl.handle.net/2115/32292">http://hdl.handle.net/2115/32292</a>
Rights	The original publication is available at <a href="http://www.springerlink.com">www.springerlink.com</a>
Type	article (author version)
File Information	spectrum.pdf



[Instructions for use](#)

# Spectrum of Time Operators

Asao Arai\*

Department of Mathematics, Hokkaido University

Sapporo 060-0810, Japan

E-mail: arai@math.sci.hokudai.ac.jp

## Abstract

Let  $H$  be a self-adjoint operator on a complex Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$ . A symmetric operator  $T$  on  $\mathcal{H}$  is called a time operator of  $H$  if, for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $e^{-itH}D(T) \subset D(T)$  ( $D(T)$  denotes the domain of  $T$ ) and  $Te^{-itH}\psi = e^{-itH}(T+t)\psi$ ,  $\forall t \in \mathbb{R}, \forall \psi \in D(T)$ . In this paper, spectral properties of  $T$  are investigated. The following results are obtained: (i) If  $H$  is bounded below, then  $\sigma(T)$ , the spectrum of  $T$ , is either  $\mathbb{C}$  (the set of complex numbers) or  $\{z \in \mathbb{C} | \operatorname{Im} z \geq 0\}$ . (ii) If  $H$  is bounded above, then  $\sigma(T)$  is either  $\mathbb{C}$  or  $\{z \in \mathbb{C} | \operatorname{Im} z \leq 0\}$ . (iii) If  $H$  is bounded, then  $\sigma(T) = \mathbb{C}$ . The spectrum of time operators of free Hamiltonians for both nonrelativistic and relativistic particles is exactly identified. Moreover spectral analysis is made on a generalized time operator.

*Keywords:* Spectrum; time operator; Hamiltonian; weak Weyl relation; quantum theory.

Mathematics Subject Classification 2000: 81Q10, 47N50

## 1 Introduction

In the paper [6], Schmüdgen studied a pair  $(T, H)$  of a symmetric operator  $T$  and a self-adjoint operator  $H$  on a complex Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$  (in the notation there,  $T = P, H = -Q$ ) such that, for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $e^{-itH}D(T) \subset D(T)$  ( $D(T)$  denotes the domain of  $T$ ) and

$$Te^{-itH}\psi = e^{-itH}(T+t)\psi, \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}, \forall \psi \in D(T). \quad (1.1)$$

This is a stronger version of the representation of the canonical commutation relation (CCR) with one degree of freedom, since (1.1) implies that

$$\langle T\phi, H\psi \rangle - \langle H\phi, T\psi \rangle = \langle \phi, i\psi \rangle, \quad \psi, \phi \in D(T) \cap D(H), \quad (1.2)$$

i.e.,  $T$  and  $H$  satisfy the CCR in the sense of sesquilinear form on  $D(H) \cap D(T)$  and hence, in particular,  $TH - HT = i$  on  $D(HT) \cap D(TH)$ , the CCR in the original sense. We call (1.1) the *weak Weyl relation* (WWR).

---

\*This work is supported by the Grant-in-Aid No.17340032 for Scientific Research from the JSPS.

About twenty years later, Miyamoto [3] used the WWR to introduce a proper concept of time operator in quantum mechanics. Namely a symmetric operator  $T$  on  $\mathcal{H}$  is called a *time operator* of  $H$  if  $(T, H)$  obeys the WWR (1.1) (in [3], (1.1) is called the  *$T$ -weak Weyl relation*). We remark that, in this terminology, one has in mind the case where, in application to quantum mechanics,  $H$  is the Hamiltonian of a quantum system. Some fundamental properties of the pair  $(T, H)$  were investigated in [3].

The work of Miyamoto [3] was extended by the present author in a previous paper [2], where a generalized version of the WWR (1.1), called a *generalized weak Weyl relation*, is given and, in terms of it, a concept of *generalized time operator* is introduced. We remark that a time operator as well as a generalized one of a given self-adjoint operator  $H$  is not unique [2, Proposition 2.6, §11]. Physically the set of generalized time operators associated with a self-adjoint operator  $H$  ( a Hamiltonian) can be regarded as a class of symmetric operators which play a role in controlling decays (in time) of survival probabilities as well as time-energy uncertainty relations [2, 3].

In this paper, we investigate spectral properties of (generalized) time operators. We first recall the definition of the spectrum of a linear operator  $A$  on  $\mathcal{H}$ . The resolvent set of  $A$ , denoted  $\rho(A)$ , is defined to be the set of complex numbers  $z$  satisfying the following three conditions: (i)  $A - z$  is injective ; (ii)  $\text{Ran}(A - z)$ , the range of  $A - z$ , is dense in  $\mathcal{H}$  ; (iii)  $(A - z)^{-1}$  with  $D((A - z)^{-1}) = \text{Ran}(A - z)$  is bounded. Then the spectrum of  $A$ , denoted  $\sigma(A)$ , is defined by  $\sigma(A) := \mathbb{C} \setminus \rho(A)$ , where  $\mathbb{C}$  is the set of complex numbers. It follows that, if  $A$  is closable, then  $\sigma(\bar{A}) = \sigma(A)$ , where  $\bar{A}$  is the closure of  $A$ , and  $\text{Ran}(\bar{A} - z) = \mathcal{H}$  for all  $z \in \rho(\bar{A}) = \rho(A)$ . In particular, for all symmetric operators  $S$  on  $\mathcal{H}$ ,  $\sigma(S) = \sigma(\bar{S})$  and  $\text{Ran}(\bar{S} - z) = \mathcal{H}$  for all  $z \in \rho(\bar{S}) = \rho(S)$ .

One of the motivations for this work comes from the following fact:

**Theorem 1.1** ([3], [2, Theorem 2.8]) *If  $H$  is a self-adjoint operator on  $\mathcal{H}$  and semi-bounded (i.e., bounded below or bounded above), then no time operator  $T$  of  $H$  can be essentially self-adjoint .*

This theorem combined with a general theorem [5, Theorem X.1] implies that, in the case where  $H$  is semi-bounded, the spectrum  $\sigma(T)$  of  $T$  ( $= \sigma(\bar{T})$ ) is one of the following three sets:

- (i)  $\mathbb{C}$ .
- (ii)  $\bar{\Pi}_+$ , the closure of the upper half-plane  $\Pi_+ := \{z \in \mathbb{C} | \text{Im } z > 0\}$ .
- (iii)  $\bar{\Pi}_-$ , the closure of the lower half-plane  $\Pi_- := \{z \in \mathbb{C} | \text{Im } z < 0\}$ .

Then it is interesting to examine which one is realized, depending on properties of  $H$ .

The outline of the present paper is as follows. In Section 2, we prove a theorem on the spectrum of time operators (Theorem 2.1). In Section 3 we consider time operators on direct sums of Hilbert spaces. In Section 4, we identify the spectrum of concrete time operators, including the Aharonov-Bohm time operator [1] and time operators of a relativistic Schrödinger operator. In Section 5, we prove a theorem similar to Theorem 2.1 in the case where  $T$  is a generalized time operator.

## 2 Main Result

In this section we prove the following theorem:

**Theorem 2.1** *Let  $H$  be a self-adjoint operator on  $\mathcal{H}$  and  $T$  be a time operator of  $H$ . Then the following (i)—(iii) hold:*

- (i) *If  $H$  is bounded below, then  $\sigma(T)$  is either  $\mathbb{C}$  or  $\overline{\Pi}_+$ .*
- (ii) *If  $H$  is bounded above, then  $\sigma(T)$  is either  $\mathbb{C}$  or  $\overline{\Pi}_-$ .*
- (iii) *If  $H$  is bounded, then  $\sigma(T) = \mathbb{C}$ .*

**Remark 2.1** The time operator  $T$  has no eigenvalues, i.e., the point spectrum  $\sigma_p(T)$  of  $T$  is an empty set [3, Corollary 4.2].

**Remark 2.2** In the case where  $\sigma(T) = \overline{\Pi}_+$  or  $\overline{\Pi}_-$ ,  $\overline{T}$  is maximally symmetric [5, p.141].

Throughout the rest of this section,  $T$  represents a time operator of  $H$ . The following lemma is a key fact to prove Theorem 2.1.

**Lemma 2.2** *Suppose that  $H$  is bounded below. Then, for all  $\beta > 0$ ,  $e^{-\beta H}D(\overline{T}) \subset D(\overline{T})$  and, for all  $\psi \in D(\overline{T})$*

$$\overline{T}e^{-\beta H}\psi = e^{-\beta H}(\overline{T} - i\beta)\psi. \quad (2.1)$$

*Proof.* Apply [2, Theorem 6.2]. ■

We denote by  $T^*$  the adjoint of  $T$ .

**Lemma 2.3** *Suppose that  $H$  is bounded below. Then, for all  $\beta > 0$ ,  $e^{-\beta H}D(T^*) \subset D(T^*)$  and, for all  $\psi \in D(T^*)$*

$$T^*e^{-\beta H}\psi = e^{-\beta H}(T^* - i\beta)\psi. \quad (2.2)$$

*Proof.* Lemma 2.2 implies that  $e^{-\beta H}(\overline{T} - i\beta) \subset \overline{T}e^{-\beta H}$ . We have  $(\overline{T})^* = T^*$ . For each bounded linear operator  $A$  on  $\mathcal{H}$  with  $D(A) = \mathcal{H}$  and all densely defined linear operators  $B$  on  $\mathcal{H}$ ,  $(AB)^* = B^*A^*$ . Using these facts, one can show that  $e^{-\beta H}T^* \subset (T^* + i\beta)e^{-\beta H}$ . Thus the desired result follows. ■

### Proof of Theorem 2.1

(i) By the fact on the spectrum of  $T$  mentioned after Theorem 1.1, we need only to show that the case  $\sigma(T) = \overline{\Pi}_-$  is excluded. For this purpose, suppose that  $\sigma(T) = \overline{\Pi}_-$ . Then  $\Pi_+ = \rho(T) = \rho(\overline{T})$ .

In general, we have for all  $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$  the orthogonal decomposition

$$\mathcal{H} = \ker(T^* - z^*) \oplus \text{Ran}(\overline{T} - z) \quad (2.3)$$

Applying this structure with  $z = i \in \Pi_+$ , we obtain  $\ker(T^* + i) = \{0\}$ . Since  $T$  is not essentially self-adjoint by Theorem 1.1, it follows that  $\ker(T^* - i) \neq \{0\}$ . Hence there exists a non-zero vector  $\psi \in D(T^*)$  such that  $T^*\psi = i\psi$ . Then, by Lemma 2.3,  $i(1 - \beta) \in \sigma_p(T^*)$ .

Since  $\beta > 0$  is arbitrary, we can take it to be  $1 < \beta$ . Then  $\gamma := i(1 - \beta) \in \Pi_-$ . Taking  $z = \gamma^*$  in (2.3), we have the orthogonal decomposition

$$\mathcal{H} = \ker(T^* - \gamma) \oplus \text{Ran}(\overline{T} - \gamma^*).$$

Hence  $\text{Ran}(\overline{T} - \gamma^*)$  is not dense in  $\mathcal{H}$ . Therefore  $\gamma^* \in \sigma(\overline{T}) = \sigma(T)$ , i.e.,  $i(\beta - 1) \in \sigma(T)$ . But  $i(\beta - 1) \in \Pi_+$ . This is a contradiction. Thus  $\sigma(T) \neq \overline{\Pi}_-$ .

(ii) If  $H$  is bounded above, then  $\widehat{H} := -H$  is bounded below. It is easy to see that  $\widehat{T} := -T$  is a time operator of  $\widehat{H}$ . Hence, by part (i),  $\sigma(\widehat{T}) = \mathbb{C}$  or  $\overline{\Pi}_+$ . On the other hand,  $\sigma(T) = \{-\lambda | \lambda \in \sigma(\widehat{T})\}$ , which implies that  $\sigma(T) = \mathbb{C}$  or  $\overline{\Pi}_-$ .

(iii) This follows from (i) and (ii). ■

In the next section we analyze the spectrum of nontrivial examples of time operators. Here we present only simple examples.

**Example 2.1** We denote by  $\hat{r}$  the multiplication operator on  $L^2([0, \infty))$  by the variable  $r \in [0, \infty)$ :  $(\hat{r}g)(r) := rg(r)$ , a.e.  $r \in [0, \infty)$ ,  $g \in D(\hat{r})$ . The operator  $\hat{r}$  is self-adjoint and nonnegative.

Let  $p_0$  be an operator on  $L^2([0, \infty))$  defined as follows:

$$D(p_0) := C_0^\infty((0, \infty)), \tag{2.4}$$

$$(p_0g)(r) := -ig'(r), \quad g \in D(p_0), \tag{2.5}$$

where, for an open set  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  ( $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ),  $C_0^\infty(\Omega)$  denotes the set of infinitely differentiable functions on  $\Omega$  with compact support in  $\Omega$ . Then it is easy to see that  $-p_0$  is a time operator of  $\hat{r}$  and that

$$\sigma(-p_0) = \overline{\Pi}_+.$$

Hence this is an example which illustrates one of the case of Theorem 2.1-(i).

**Example 2.2** Let  $L > 0$  and  $V_L := (-L/2, L/2) \subset \mathbb{R}$ . We denote by  $\hat{x}_L$  the multiplication operator on  $L^2(V_L)$  by the variable  $x \in V_L$ . Then  $\hat{x}_L$  is a bounded self-adjoint operator. We define an operator  $p_L$  as follows:

$$D(p_L) := C_0^\infty(V_L),$$

$$p_L f := -if', \quad f \in D(p_L).$$

Then it is easy to see that  $-p_L$  is a time operator of  $\hat{x}_L$  and

$$\sigma(-p_L) = \mathbb{C}.$$

Hence this is an example which illustrates Theorem 2.1-(iii). It should be remarked that  $p_L$  has uncountably many self-adjoint extensions [4, pp.257–259].

### 3 Time Operators on Direct Sum Hilbert Spaces

In applications, time operators on direct sum Hilbert spaces may be useful. We briefly discuss this aspect here. Let  $H_j$  ( $j = 1, 2$ ) be a self-adjoint operator on a complex Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}_j$  which has a time operator  $T_j$ . Let

$$\mathcal{H} := \mathcal{H}_1 \oplus \mathcal{H}_2. \quad (3.1)$$

Then

$$T := T_1 \oplus T_2 \quad (3.2)$$

is a time operator of  $H_1 \oplus H_2$  [2, Proposition 2.14].

**Theorem 3.1** *Let  $H_j, T_j$  and  $T$  be as above. Then:*

(i) *If  $H_1$  is bounded below and  $H_2$  is bounded above, then  $\sigma(T) = \mathbb{C}$ .*

(ii) *If one of  $H_1$  and  $H_2$  is bounded, then  $\sigma(T) = \mathbb{C}$ .*

*Proof.* (i) By Theorem 2.1,  $\sigma(T_1) = \mathbb{C}$  or  $\overline{\Pi}_+$ , and  $\sigma(T_2) = \mathbb{C}$  or  $\overline{\Pi}_-$ . By a general theorem, we have  $\sigma(T) = \sigma(T_1) \cup \sigma(T_2)$ . Hence, in each case, we have  $\sigma(T) = \mathbb{C}$ .

(ii) In this case, we can apply Theorem 2.1-(iii) to conclude that one of  $\sigma(T_1)$  and  $\sigma(T_2)$  is equal to  $\mathbb{C}$ . Thus the desired result follows. ■

**Remark 3.1** In each case of Theorem 3.1-(i) and (ii),  $H_1 \oplus H_2$  can be unbounded both above and below.

**Example 3.1** Let

$$\mathcal{H}_L := L^2([0, \infty)) \oplus L^2(V_L),$$

$\hat{r}, p_0$  be as in Example 2.1 and  $\hat{x}_L, p_L$  be as in Example 2.2. Then  $H_L := \hat{r} \oplus \hat{x}_L$  on  $\mathcal{H}_L$  is self-adjoint and bounded below (but unbounded above). Moreover  $T_L := (-p_0) \oplus (-p_L)$  is a time operator of  $H_L$  and  $\sigma(T_L) = \mathbb{C}$ . Thus this example shows that the spectrum of a time operator of a self-adjoint operator which is bounded below, but unbounded above, can be equal to  $\mathbb{C}$ .

## 4 Examples

### 4.1 Time operators of the free Hamiltonian of a nonrelativistic particle

Let  $\Delta$  be the  $n$ -dimensional generalized Laplacian acting in  $L^2(\mathbb{R}_x^n)$  ( $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ), where  $\mathbb{R}_x^n := \{x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) | x_j \in \mathbb{R}, j = 1, \dots, n\}$ , and

$$H_0 := -\frac{\Delta}{2m} \quad (4.1)$$

with a constant  $m > 0$ . In the context of quantum mechanics,  $H_0$  represents the free Hamiltonian of a nonrelativistic particle with mass  $m$  in the  $n$ -dimensional space  $\mathbb{R}_x^n$ .

It is well known that  $H_0$  is a nonnegative self-adjoint operator. We denote by  $\hat{x}_j$  the multiplication operator on  $L^2(\mathbb{R}_x^n)$  by the  $j$ -th variable  $x_j \in \mathbb{R}_x^n$  and set

$$\hat{p}_j := -iD_j \quad (4.2)$$

with  $D_j$  being the generalized partial differential operator in the variable  $x_j$  on  $L^2(\mathbb{R}_x^n)$ . It is easy to see that  $\hat{x}_j$  and  $\hat{p}_j$  are injective.

We introduce

$$\Omega_j := \{k = (k_1, \dots, k_n) \in \mathbb{R}_k^n | k_j \neq 0\}, \quad j = 1, \dots, n. \quad (4.3)$$

For a real-valued, Borel measurable function  $G$  on  $\mathbb{R}_k^n$  which is continuous on  $\Omega_j$ , we define a linear operator on  $L^2(\mathbb{R}_x^n)$  by

$$G(\hat{p}) := \mathcal{F}^{-1}G\mathcal{F}, \quad (4.4)$$

where  $\mathcal{F} : L^2(\mathbb{R}_x^n) \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R}_k^n)$  is the Fourier transform:

$$(\mathcal{F}f)(k) := \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n/2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}_x^n} f(x)e^{-ikx} dx, \quad f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_x^n), \quad k = (k_1, \dots, k_n) \in \mathbb{R}_k^n, \quad (4.5)$$

in the  $L^2$ -sense,  $\hat{p} := (\hat{p}_1, \dots, \hat{p}_n)$  and  $G$  on the right hand side of (4.4) represents the multiplication operator on  $L^2(\mathbb{R}_k^n)$  by the function  $G$ . Since the Lebesgue measure of the set  $\mathbb{R}_k^n \setminus \Omega_j$  is zero, it follows that  $G(\hat{p})$  is self-adjoint.

For each  $j = 1, \dots, n$ , one can define a linear operator on  $L^2(\mathbb{R}_x^n)$  by

$$T_j(G) := \frac{m}{2} (\hat{x}_j \hat{p}_j^{-1} + \hat{p}_j^{-1} \hat{x}_j) + G(\hat{p}) \quad (4.6)$$

with domain

$$D(T_j(G)) := \mathcal{F}^{-1}C_0^\infty(\Omega_j), \quad (4.7)$$

where  $C_0^\infty(\Omega_j)$  denotes the set of infinitely many differentiable functions on  $\Omega_j$  with compact support in  $\Omega_j$ . It is easy to see that  $T_j(G)$  is a symmetric operator on  $L^2(\mathbb{R}_x^n)$ .

**Lemma 4.1** *The operator  $T_j(G)$  is a time operator of  $H_0$ .*

*Proof.* We write

$$T_j(G) = T_j + G(\hat{p})$$

with

$$T_j := \frac{m}{2} (\hat{x}_j \hat{p}_j^{-1} + \hat{p}_j^{-1} \hat{x}_j). \quad (4.8)$$

The operator  $T_j$  is a time operator of  $H_0$  ([3], [2, §10]). By using the Fourier transform, one can show that  $e^{-itH_0}G(\hat{p}) \subset G(\hat{p})e^{-itH_0}$  for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ . Hence, by applying [2, Proposition 2.6],  $T_j(G)$  is a time operator of  $H_0$ .  $\blacksquare$

**Remark 4.1** The operator  $T_j$  is called the *Aharonov-Bohm time operator* [1]. Hence  $T_j(G)$  is a perturbed Aharonov-Bohm time operator.

As for the spectrum of  $T_j(G)$ , we have the following theorem:

**Theorem 4.2**  $\sigma(T_j(G)) = \overline{\Pi}_+$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, n$ .

*Proof.* By Theorem 2.1-(i) and (2.3), we need only to show that  $\ker(T_j(G)^* - i) = \{0\}$ . Let  $f \in \ker(T_j(G)^* - i)$ . Then  $T_j(G)^* f = if$ . This implies the equation

$$D_{k_j} \hat{f}(k) = \left( \frac{1}{2k_j} + \frac{k_j}{m} + \frac{i}{m} k_j G(k) \right) \hat{f}(k)$$

in the sense of distributions on  $\Omega_j$ , where  $\hat{f} := \mathcal{F}f$  and  $D_{k_j}$  is the generalized partial differential operator in the variable  $k_j$ . Hence

$$\hat{f}(k) = c(k_1, \dots, k_{j-1}, k_{j+1}, \dots, k_n) \sqrt{|k_j|} e^{k_j^2/(2m)} e^{iG_j(k)/m}, \quad k \in \Omega_j$$

where  $c(k_1, \dots, k_{j-1}, k_{j+1}, \dots, k_n) \in \mathbb{C}$  is independent of  $k_j$  and  $G_j$  is a differentiable function on  $\Omega_j$  such that  $\partial G_j(k)/\partial k_j = k_j G(k)$ ,  $k \in \Omega_j$ . Since  $\hat{f}$  is in  $L^2(\mathbb{R}_k^n)$ , it follows that  $c(k_1, \dots, k_{j-1}, k_{j+1}, \dots, k_n) = 0$  (a.e.). Hence  $f = 0$ . Thus  $\ker(T_j(G)^* - i) = \{0\}$ . ■

## 4.2 Time operators of the free Hamiltonian of a relativistic particle

A Hamiltonian of a free relativistic particle with mass  $m \geq 0$  moving in  $\mathbb{R}_x^n$  is given by

$$H_{\text{rel}} := \sqrt{-\Delta + m^2} \tag{4.9}$$

acting in  $L^2(\mathbb{R}_x^n)$ . It is shown that the operator

$$T_j^{\text{rel}}(G) := \sqrt{-\Delta + m^2} \hat{p}_j^{-1} \hat{x}_j + \hat{x}_j \sqrt{-\Delta + m^2} \hat{p}_j^{-1} + G(\hat{p}) \tag{4.10}$$

with  $D(T_j^{\text{rel}}(G)) := \mathcal{F}^{-1} C_0^\infty(\Omega_j)$  is a time operator of  $H_{\text{rel}}$  [2, Example 11.4].

**Theorem 4.3**  $\sigma(T_j^{\text{rel}}(G)) = \overline{\Pi}_+$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, n$ .

*Proof.* As in Theorem 4.2, we need only to show that  $\ker(T_j^{\text{rel}}(G)^* - i) = \{0\}$ . Let  $f \in \ker(T_j^{\text{rel}}(G)^* - i)$  and  $\omega(k) := \sqrt{k^2 + m^2}$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{R}_k^n$ . Then

$$D_{k_j} \hat{f}(k) = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{k_j}{\omega(k)} - \frac{k_j}{\omega(k)} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial k_j} \frac{\omega(k)}{k_j} \right) - \frac{k_j G(k)}{\omega(k)i} \right) \hat{f}(k)$$

in the sense of distributions on  $\Omega_j$ . Hence

$$\hat{f}(k) = c(k_1, \dots, k_{j-1}, k_{j+1}, \dots, k_n) \sqrt{\frac{|k_j|}{\omega(k)}} e^{\omega(k)/2} e^{iF_j(k)/2}, \quad k \in \Omega_j$$

where  $c(k_1, \dots, k_{j-1}, k_{j+1}, \dots, k_n) \in \mathbb{C}$  is independent of  $k_j$  and  $F_j$  is a differentiable function on  $\Omega_j$  such that  $\partial F_j(k)/\partial k_j = k_j G(k)/\omega(k)$ ,  $k \in \Omega_j$ . Since  $\hat{f}$  is in  $L^2(\mathbb{R}_k^n)$ , it follows that  $c(k_1, \dots, k_{j-1}, k_{j+1}, \dots, k_n) = 0$  (a.e.). Hence  $f = 0$ . Thus  $\ker(T_j^{\text{rel}}(G)^* - i) = \{0\}$ . ■



## 5 A Class of Generalized Time Operators

In this section we consider spectral properties of a class of generalized time operators. Let  $H$  be a self-adjoint operator on a complex Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$  and  $T$  be a symmetric operator on  $\mathcal{H}$ .

We call the operator  $T$  a *generalized time operator* of  $H$  if  $e^{-itH}D(T) \subset D(T)$  for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  and there exists a bounded self-adjoint operator  $C \neq 0$  on  $\mathcal{H}$  with  $D(C) = \mathcal{H}$  such that

$$Te^{-itH}\psi = e^{-itH}(T + tC)\psi, \quad \psi \in D(T). \quad (5.1)$$

We call  $C$  the *noncommutative factor* for  $(H, T)$ .

The following facts are known:

**Theorem 5.1** *Let  $T$  be a generalized time operator of  $H$  with noncommutative factor  $C$ .*

(i)([2, Theorem 2.8]) *Let  $H$  be semi-bounded and*

$$CT \subset TC. \quad (5.2)$$

*Then  $T$  is not essentially self-adjoint .*

(ii)([2, Corollary 5.3-(ii)]) *If  $C \geq 0$  or  $C \leq 0$ , then  $\sigma_p(\overline{T}|[D(\overline{T}) \cap (\ker C)^\perp]) = \emptyset$ .*

(iii)([2, Theorem 6.2-(ii)]) *Let  $H$  be bounded below. Then, for all  $\beta > 0$ ,  $e^{-\beta H}D(\overline{T}) \subset D(\overline{T})$  and*

$$\overline{T}e^{-\beta H}\psi - e^{-\beta H}\overline{T}\psi = -i\beta e^{-\beta H}C\psi, \quad \psi \in D(\overline{T}). \quad (5.3)$$

(iv)([2, Proposition 6.4, Corollary 6.6]) *The operators  $H$  and  $C$  strongly commute (i.e., their spectral measures commute) and  $H$  is reduced by  $\overline{\text{Ran}(C)}$ .*

In what follows,  $T$  is a generalized time operator of  $H$  with noncommutative factor  $C$  satisfying (5.2).

### 5.1 The case where $C$ has a non-zero eigenvalue

We first consider the case where  $C$  has a non-zero eigenvalue  $a \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ , i.e.,

$$\mathcal{K}_a := \ker(C - a) \neq \{0\}. \quad (5.4)$$

We have the orthogonal decomposition

$$\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{K}_a \oplus \mathcal{K}_a^\perp. \quad (5.5)$$

Relation (5.2) implies that

$$C\overline{T} \subset \overline{T}C. \quad (5.6)$$

Then it follows that  $\overline{T}$  is reduced by  $\mathcal{K}_a$  and hence by  $\mathcal{K}_a^\perp$ . We denote the reduced part of  $\overline{T}$  to  $\mathcal{K}_a$  and  $\mathcal{K}_a^\perp$  by  $\overline{T}_a$  and  $\overline{T}_a^\perp$  respectively. Hence we have

$$\overline{T} = \overline{T}_a \oplus \overline{T}_a^\perp \quad (5.7)$$

relative to the orthogonal decomposition (5.5). Therefore

$$\sigma(T) = \sigma(\overline{T}) = \sigma(\overline{T}_a) \cup \sigma(\overline{T}_a^\perp). \quad (5.8)$$

By the strong commutativity of  $H$  and  $C$  (Theorem 5.1-(iv)),  $H$  also is reduced by  $\mathcal{K}_a$ . We denote the reduced part of  $H$  to  $\mathcal{K}_a$  by  $H_a$ .

**Lemma 5.2** *The operator  $\overline{T}_a$  is a time operator of  $H_a/a$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\psi \in D(\overline{T}_a) = D(\overline{T}) \cap \mathcal{K}_a$ . Then, for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $e^{-itH_a}\psi = e^{-itH}\psi \in D(\overline{T}) \cap \mathcal{K}_a = D(\overline{T}_a)$  and, by (5.1),

$$\overline{T}_a e^{-itH_a}\psi = e^{-itH_a}(\overline{T}_a + ta)\psi.$$

Thus the desired result follows. ■

**Theorem 5.3** *Let  $T$  be a generalized time operator of  $H$  with noncommutative factor  $C$  satisfying (5.2). Then the following (i)–(v) hold:*

- (i) *If  $H_a$  is bounded below and  $a > 0$ , then  $\sigma(\overline{T}_a)$  is either  $\mathbb{C}$  or  $\overline{\Pi}_+$ .*
- (ii) *If  $H_a$  is bounded above and  $a < 0$ , then  $\sigma(\overline{T}_a)$  is either  $\mathbb{C}$  or  $\overline{\Pi}_+$ .*
- (iii) *If  $H_a$  is bounded above and  $a > 0$ , then  $\sigma(\overline{T}_a)$  is either  $\mathbb{C}$  or  $\overline{\Pi}_-$ .*
- (iv) *If  $H_a$  is bounded below and  $a < 0$ , then  $\sigma(\overline{T}_a) = \mathbb{C}$  or  $\overline{\Pi}_-$ .*
- (v) *If  $H_a$  is bounded, then  $\sigma(\overline{T}_a) = \mathbb{C}$ .*

*Proof.* In (i) and (ii),  $H_a/a$  is bounded below. Hence, Lemma 5.2 and Theorem 2.1-(i) yield the results stated in (i) and (ii). Similarly other cases follow. ■

## 5.2 The case where $\text{Ran}(C)$ is closed

We next consider the case where  $\text{Ran}(C)$  is closed. Then  $\mathcal{H}$  is decomposed as

$$\mathcal{H} = \ker C \oplus \text{Ran}(C). \quad (5.9)$$

By the closed graph theorem, there exists a constant  $M > 0$  such that

$$\|C\psi\| \geq M\|\psi\|, \quad \psi \in (\ker C)^\perp = \text{Ran}(C). \quad (5.10)$$

The operators  $T$  and  $C$  are reduced by  $\text{Ran}(C)$ . We denote by  $\tilde{T}$  and  $\tilde{C}$  the reduced part of  $T$  and  $C$  to  $\text{Ran}(C)$  respectively. The operator  $\tilde{T}$  is symmetric and  $\tilde{C}$  is a bounded self-adjoint operator on  $\text{Ran}(C)$  which is bijective with  $\tilde{C}^{-1}$  bounded. It follows from (5.2) that

$$\tilde{C}\tilde{T} \subset \tilde{T}\tilde{C}. \quad (5.11)$$

**Lemma 5.4** *The operator*

$$T_C := \tilde{C}^{-1}\tilde{T} = \tilde{T}\tilde{C}^{-1}|_{D(\tilde{T})} \quad (5.12)$$

on  $\text{Ran}(C)$  is a symmetric operator.

*Proof.* We have  $D(T_C) = D(T) \cap \text{Ran}(C)$ . Hence  $D(T_C)$  is dense in  $\text{Ran}(C)$ . Relation (5.11) implies that

$$\tilde{C}^{-1}\tilde{T} \subset \tilde{T}\tilde{C}^{-1}. \quad (5.13)$$

Hence  $T_C^* = \tilde{T}^*\tilde{C}^{-1} \supset \tilde{T}\tilde{C}^{-1} \supset T_C$ . Thus the desired result follows.  $\blacksquare$

By Theorem 5.1-(iv),  $H$  is reduced by  $\text{Ran}(C)$ . We denote the reduced part of  $H$  to  $\text{Ran}(C)$  by  $\tilde{H}$ .

**Theorem 5.5** *The operator  $T_C$  is a time operator of  $\tilde{H}$  and the following (i)—(iii) hold:*

- (i) *If  $\tilde{H}$  is bounded below, then  $\sigma(T_C)$  is either  $\mathbb{C}$  or  $\overline{\Pi}_+$ .*
- (ii) *If  $\tilde{H}$  is bounded above, then  $\sigma(T_C)$  is either  $\mathbb{C}$  or  $\overline{\Pi}_-$ .*
- (iii) *If  $\tilde{H}$  is bounded, then  $\sigma(T_C) = \mathbb{C}$ .*

*Proof* Since  $D(T_C) = D(T) \cap \text{Ran}(C)$ , it follows that  $e^{-it\tilde{H}}D(T_C) \subset D(T_C)$  for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ . Using (5.1), one can see that  $T_C$  satisfies

$$T_C e^{-it\tilde{H}}\psi = e^{-it\tilde{H}}(T_C + t)\psi, \quad \psi \in D(T_C).$$

These facts and Lemma 5.4 imply that  $T_C$  is a time operator of  $\tilde{H}$ . Then (i)—(iii) follow from application of Theorem 2.1.  $\blacksquare$

**Example 5.1** A simple example is given by the case where  $C \neq 0$  is an orthogonal projection. Then  $T_C = \tilde{T}$ . To construct such examples, see [2, §11].

## References

- [1] Y. Aharonov and D. Bohm, Time in the quantum theory and the uncertainty relation for time and energy, *Phys. Rev.* **122**, 1649–1658(1961).
- [2] A. Arai, Generalized weak Weyl relation and decay of quantum dynamics, *Rev. Math. Phys.* **17** (2005), 1071–1109.
- [3] M. Miyamoto, A generalized Weyl relation approach to the time operator and its connection to the survival probability, *J. Math. Phys.* **42** (2001), 1038–1052.
- [4] M. Reed and B. Simon, *Methods of Modern Mathematical Physics I: Functional Analysis*, Academic Press, New York, 1972.
- [5] M. Reed and B. Simon, *Methods of Modern Mathematical Physics II: Fourier Analysis, Self-Adjointness*, Academic Press, New York, 1975.
- [6] K. Schmüdgen, On the Heisenberg commutation relation. I, *J. Funct. Anal.* **50** (1983), 8–49.