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Optical characterization of platinum-halide ladder compounds
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Varieties of quasi-one-dimensional halogen (X)-bridged transition-metal (M) complexes, (C\textsubscript{2}H\textsubscript{4}N\textsubscript{2})\textsubscript{x}\{[Pt(C\textsubscript{2}H\textsubscript{4}N\textsubscript{2})X]\textsubscript{y}Cl\textsubscript{z}\textsubscript{2}+H\textsubscript{2}O (X=Br, Cl) and (C\textsubscript{10}H\textsubscript{18}N\textsubscript{2})\{[Pt(C\textsubscript{10}H\textsubscript{18}N\textsubscript{2})Br\textsubscript{2}]Br\textsubscript{4}+2H\textsubscript{2}O\}, comprising two-leg ladders of mixed-valent platinum ions, are described in terms of a multiband extended Peierls-Hubbard Hamiltonian. The polarized optical-conductivity spectra are theoretically reproduced, and the ground-state valence distributions are reasonably determined. The latter variety, whose interchain valence arrangement is out of phase, is reminiscent of conventional MX single-chain compounds, while the former variety, whose interchain valence arrangement is in phase, reveals itself as a d-p-\pi-hybridized multiband ladder material.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Quasi-one-dimensional transition-metal (M) complexes with bridging halogens\textsuperscript{1-4} (X) have been attracting much interest for several decades, and significant efforts are still devoted in fabricating their new varieties. Conventional platinum-halide chains exhibit a Peierls-distorted mixed-valent ground state,\textsuperscript{5} while their nickel analogs have a Mott-insulating monovalent regular-chain structure.\textsuperscript{6,7} Palladium-halide chains are intermediates with a ground state tunable optically,\textsuperscript{8,9} and electrochemically.\textsuperscript{10,11} The charge-density-wave (CDW) ground state can be tuned by halogen doping,\textsuperscript{12-14} and pressure application\textsuperscript{15} as well. Metal binucleation leads to a wider variety of electronic states.\textsuperscript{16,17} A diplatinum iodide chain compound, [(C\textsubscript{2}H\textsubscript{4}I\textsubscript{2})\textsubscript{2}NH\textsubscript{2}]\{[Pt\textsubscript{2}(P\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3}H\textsubscript{2})\textsubscript{2}]I\}, exhibits photo- and pressure-induced phase transitions,\textsuperscript{18-22} whereas its analog without any counter ion, Pt\textsubscript{2}(CH\textsubscript{2}CS\textsubscript{2})\textsubscript{2}I, is of metallic conductance at room temperature and undergoes successive phase transitions\textsuperscript{23,24} with decreasing temperature. There are further attempts\textsuperscript{25,26} to bridge polynuclear and/or heterometallic units by halogens.

More than 300 MX compounds have thus been synthesized so far, but their crystal structures are all based on MX single chains. In such circumstances, several authors\textsuperscript{27,28} have recently succeeded in assembling MX complexes within a ladder lattice. Metal oxide ladders are generally remarkable for their strongly correlated d electrons. SrCu\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} behaves as a d-p ladder of the Hubbard type,\textsuperscript{29} whereas NaV\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{5} is well describable within a single-band Holstein-Hubbard Hamiltonian.\textsuperscript{30} On the other hand, the newly synthesized metal-halide ladders are double featured with competing electron-electron and electron-phonon interactions\textsuperscript{31} and are possibly of d-p-\pi-mixed character. Such a polychromed stage potentially exhibits a variety of electronic states, and it is highly interesting to control them chemically and physically. Thus motivated, we make a model study of ladder-shaped MX compounds, (μ-bpy)\textsubscript{y}\times[Pt(en)X]\textsubscript{x}Cl\textsubscript{z}\textsubscript{2}+H\textsubscript{2}O (X=Br, Cl; en=ethylendiamine =C\textsubscript{2}H\textsubscript{4}N\textsubscript{2}; μ-bpy=2,2′-bipyridylamine=C\textsubscript{5}H\textsubscript{4}N\textsubscript{2}) and (bpy)\{[Pt(dien)Br\textsubscript{2}]Br\textsubscript{4}+2H\textsubscript{2}O (dien=diethylentriamine =C\textsubscript{2}H\textsubscript{4}N\textsubscript{2}; bpy=4,4′-bipyridyl=C\textsubscript{4}H\textsubscript{8}N\textsubscript{2})

II. GROUND-STATE PHASE COMPETITION

Resonant Raman spectra of (bpy)\{[Pt(en)X]\textsubscript{2} and (bpy)\times[Pt(dien)Br\textsubscript{2} both suggest a Pt\textsuperscript{2+}/Pt\textsuperscript{3+} (0<\delta<1) in practice) valence-alternating ground state.\textsuperscript{27,28} Then, how is the interchain valence arrangement, in phase (IP) or out of phase (OP)? CDW states of the IP and OP types are indeed in close competition with varying interchain electronic communication.

Let us consider a half-filled single-band Hamiltonian,

\[
\mathcal{H} = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \left[ Ku_{n}^{2} - \sum_{s=\pm} \left( \beta(u_{n+1} - u_{n-1})n_{n:1M} \right) + \left( t_{MM}^{leg}a_{n+1:1M}^{\dagger}a_{n:1M} + \frac{V_{MM}^{leg}}{2} a_{n:1M}^{\dagger}a_{n:3-1M} + \text{H.c.} \right) \right] + \sum_{s,s'=\pm} \left( \frac{\delta_{s,s'}}{2} U_{MM}^{diag}n_{n:1M}s_{n:1M}s'_{n:1M} + V_{MM}^{diag}n_{n:1M}s_{n+1:3-1M}s'_{n+1:3-1M} \right) \right),
\]

(2.1) assuming the halogen p\textsubscript{x} and ligand \pi orbitals to be fully filled and thus inactive. Here, except for the intrachain and interchain metal-to-metal supertransfers, \(t_{MM}^{leg}\) and \(t_{MM}^{diag}\), we use the same notation that is later defined in Eq. (3.1) and Fig. 2. The second-order perturbation scheme under the condition of \(t_{MM}^{diag} \ll t_{MM}^{leg}\) gives the energies of IP- and OP-CDW states as

\[
E_{IP}^{N} = 2Ku^{2} - 4\beta u + U_{M} + 2V_{MM}^{diag},
\]

(2.2)

\[
E_{OP}^{N} = 2Ku^{2} - 4\beta u + U_{M} + 4V_{MM}^{diag} - \frac{2(t_{MM}^{diag})^{2}}{4\beta u - U_{M} + V_{MM}^{diag} + 4(V_{MM}^{leg} - V_{MM}^{diag})},
\]

(2.3)

and they are balanced at...
where \( u = |u_{n,i}| \) is the halogen-ion displacement in isolated MX chains. We show in Fig. 1 a numerical phase diagram based on Hamiltonian (2.1), which agrees well to the estimate (2.4). IP CDW and OP CDW are stabilized with increasing \( \gamma_{MM}^{\text{diag}} \) and \( \gamma_{MM}^{\text{rung}} \) respectively. OP CDW is further stabilized with increasing \( \gamma_{MM}^{\text{rung}} \), while IP CDW has no chance of interchain electron transfer without \( \pi \) orbitals mediation (in the strongly valence-trapped limit, strictly). Nonvanishing optical absorption in the rung direction with the IP-CDW background should be significant of contributive ligand \( \pi \) orbitals.

While the ground-state phase diagram remains almost unchanged with \( p \) and/or \( \pi \) electrons taken into calculation, the single-band model totally fails to interpret the optical properties. The optical-conductivity spectra measured on (bpy)\([\text{Pt(en)}]_2^+\) and (bpy)\([\text{Pt(dien)}]_2^3\) are considerably different from each other, but it cannot distinguish between them at all. We proceed to much more elaborate calculations.

### III. Model Hamiltonian

We consider a multiband extended Peierls-Hubbard Hamiltonian of 4/5 electron filling on the two-leg ladder lattice,

\[
\hat{H} = \sum_{n,i,s} \left\{ \left[ e_M - \beta (u_{n,i} - u_{n-i-1,j}) \right] n_{n,i} \uparrow \uparrow + e_X n_{n,i} \downarrow + \frac{e_L}{2} n_{n,i} \downarrow \right\}
\]

\[
- \sum_{n,i,s} \left[ \left( t_{MX} + \alpha u_{n,i} \right) a_{n+1,i} \uparrow \downarrow + a_{n,i} \downarrow \uparrow a_{n+1,i} \downarrow \uparrow + \left( t_{XL} + \gamma a_{n,i} \right) a_{n+1,i} \downarrow \uparrow \uparrow a_{n,i+1,i} \downarrow \uparrow \uparrow + H.c. \right]
\]

\[
\sum_{n,i,s,s'} \left\{ \frac{\delta_{s,s'}}{2} \sum_{A=M,X} U_A n_{n,i} n_{n,i} \downarrow \uparrow \downarrow + \frac{U_l}{2} n_{n,i} n_{n,i} \downarrow \uparrow \right\}
\]

\[
+ V_{MM}^{\text{leg}}(n_{n+1,i} \uparrow \downarrow + n_{n,i} \downarrow \uparrow) + V_{MM}^{\text{rung}}(n_{n+1,i} \downarrow \uparrow + n_{n,i} \downarrow \uparrow)
\]

\[
+ \sum_{A=M,X} V_{AA}^{\text{diag}} n_{n+1,i} \downarrow \uparrow n_{n,i} \downarrow \uparrow + \frac{V_{AA}^{\text{rung}}}{2} n_{n,i} \downarrow \uparrow n_{n,i} \downarrow \uparrow + K \sum_{n,i} u_{n,i}^2
\]

as is illustrated with Fig. 2, where platinum \( d_{z^2} \) and halogen \( p_{z} \) and rung-\( \pi \) orbitals are explicitly taken into calculation. For platinum-halide single-chain compounds, typical Pt-X stretching modes are observed with frequencies of 10–40 meV and their coupling strength is estimated to be 2 to 3 eV/Å. Assuming the in-chain vibrational modes to remain valid in our ladder materials, the phonon energy is less than 1% of the optical gap \( E_{opt} \) and at most 4% of the electron-phonon interaction \( \beta \) (see Tables I and II later on). That is why we stand on the adiabatic Hamiltonian (3.1). Such a classical treatment of phonons is widely adopted and generally successful for mixed-valent platinum-halide compounds. Quantum phonons may be relatively effective in strongly correlated valence-delocalized nickel-halide chains.

Characterization of the brand new MX ladders is still in the early stage from both experimental and theoretical points of view, and therefore, little is known about the model parameters. In such circumstances, extensive two-band model studies on MX single chains serve as guides to our exploration.

Since the hopping integral \( t_{MX} \) is particularly important as an energy scale, we first set it closely consistent with the observed estimates obtained by the Los Alamos National Laboratory working team. Comparing two-band model descriptions with first-principles local-density-approximation...
calculations, they report that \( t_{\text{PCI}} = 1.54 \text{ eV} \) and \( t_{\text{PBr}} = 1.30 \text{ eV} \) for \([\text{Pt(en)}]_2X\)_2,\(^{4,38,39}\) while \( t_{\text{PCI}} = 1.60 \text{ eV} \) and \( t_{\text{PBr}} = 1.50 \text{ eV} \) for \([\text{Pt(NH}_3]_2X\)_3.\(^{40,41}\) Here, we take \( t_{\text{MX}} \) to be 1.54, 1.35, and 1.32 \text{ eV} for \((\text{bpy})[\text{Pt(en)}]_2X\)_2, \((\text{bpy}) \times [\text{Pt(en)}]_2X\)_2, and \((\text{bpy})[\text{Pt(dien)}]_2X\)_2, respectively, considering the consistency of the resultant theoretical findings with experimental observations.

Another essential one-body parameter, the relative on-site energy \( \varepsilon_{\text{MX}} - \varepsilon_{\text{XX}} \) may also be less dependent on the rung ligands, but it is not so established as \( t_{\text{MX}} \) even in MX single chains. The Los Alamos group, on one hand, reports that \( \varepsilon_{\text{Cl}} = -1.32 \text{ eV} \) and \( \varepsilon_{\text{Br}} = -0.58 \text{ eV} \) for \([\text{Pt(en)}]_2X\)_2,\(^{4,38,39}\) while \( \varepsilon_{\text{Cl}} = -2.90 \text{ eV} \) and \( \varepsilon_{\text{Br}} = -2.30 \text{ eV} \) for \([\text{Pt(NH}_3]_2X\)_3.\(^{40,41}\) But, on the other hand, suggests another possibility that \( \varepsilon_{\text{Cl}} = -4.24 \text{ eV} \) and \( \varepsilon_{\text{Br}} = -1.20 \text{ eV} \) for \([\text{Pt(en)}]_2X\)_2,\(^{42}\) where \( \varepsilon_{\text{MX}} \) is set equal to zero. Therefore, we tune the on-site energies within these estimates so as to reproduce experimental observations.

Coulomb interactions much more vary with the surrounding ligands and seriously depend on the modeling. For instance, the on-site repulsion \( U_{\text{Pt}} \) effectively amounts to a few \text{ eV} in a pure Hubbard model,\(^{42,43}\) whereas it is strongly suppressed to a half \text{ eV} or less in a fully extended model with power-law decaying Coulomb terms.\(^{44}\) Taking it into consideration that any empirical estimate of \( U_{\text{Pt}} \) does not exceed 2 \text{ eV},\(^{13,15,45-47}\) relying upon a well established criterion \( U_{\text{Pt}} = U_{\text{Br}} \leq U_{\text{Cl}} \),\(^{4,39}\) and strictly keeping the restriction that the farther, the smaller, we compare our calculations with experimental findings on an absolute scale. The thus-obtained \( d^\text{p-p-\pi} \) model parameters for MX ladders are listed in Table I. Among the Coulomb correlation parameters employed, \( U_{\text{A}} \) (\( \text{A}=M,X,L \)) and \( V_{\text{MX}}^{\text{eff}} \) play predominant roles in reproducing the main features of the optical-conductivity spectra. The rest are much less effective for the optical properties. Indeed, we have many parameters, but their output is not so adjustable as might be expected. The effect and tuning of each parameter are further discussed and visualized in the Appendix in order to demonstrate the reliability of our parametrization.

Table II claims that our theory well interprets x-ray diffraction measurements as well as optical observations.\(^{27,28}\)

any optical measurement. The optical excitation energy \( E_{\text{CT}} \) is closely related but does not coincide with the Peierls gap in the present case. The consequent lattice parameters correspond to the observations within a factor of 1.4, which guarantees our interpretation of the optical-conductivity spectra. The calculated optical gaps are also in good agreement with the observations, which justifies our parametrization. The on-site repulsion \( U_{\text{MX}} \) and the site-diagonal coupling constant \( \beta \) competitively dominate \( E_{\text{CT}} \), whereas the elastic constant \( K \) is decisive of \( u \). A general tendency for halogen-ion displacements, \( u(\text{I}) < u(\text{Br}) < u(\text{Cl}) \), holds in MX ladders,\(^{35}\) as well as in MX single chains.\(^{48}\)

### IV. OPTICAL-CONDUCTIVITY SPECTRA

#### A. Calculational procedure

In order to discuss optical absorption as a function of the polarization of incident light (\( E_{\text{in}} \)), we define current operators along ladder legs (\( |l\) and rungs (\( \perp l \) e) as

\[
J_l = \frac{i e}{h} c_{\text{MX}} \sum_{l,n,s} \left[ (t_{\text{MX}} + \alpha u_{n,l}) a_{n+1:lm}^\dagger a_{n:ls} + (t_{\text{MX}} - \alpha u_{n,l}) a_{n:ls}^\dagger a_{n+1:lm} + (t_{\text{XL}} + \gamma u_{n,l}) a_{n:ls}^\dagger a_{n:LS} - \text{H.c.} \right] ,
\]

\[
J_{\perp l} = \frac{i e}{h} c_{\text{ML}} \sum_{l,n,s} (-1)^{l} \left[ (t_{\text{ML}} + \alpha u_{n,l}) a_{n:im}^\dagger a_{n:LS} + (t_{\text{XL}} - \gamma u_{n,l}) a_{n:LS}^\dagger a_{n:im} + (t_{\text{XL}} + \gamma u_{n,l}) a_{n:im}^\dagger a_{n:LS} - \text{H.c.} \right] ,
\]

where \( 2c_{\text{MX}} \) and \( 2c_{\text{ML}} \) are the intermetallic separations in the

#### TABLE II. Theoretical (bare) and experimental (inside parentheses) estimates of structural and optical parameters for (A) \((\text{bpy})[\text{Pt(en)}]_2X\)_2, (B) \((\text{bpy})[\text{Pt(en)}]_2X\)_2, and (C) \((\text{bpy})[\text{Pt(dien)}]_2X\)_2, \( c_{\text{MX}} \) and \( c_{\text{ML}} \) correspond to the Pt-X-Pt and Pt-L-Pt distances, respectively, while \( u \) to the X displacement from the mid point. \( E_{\text{CT}} \) is the intrachain charge-transfer excitation energy.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>( c_{\text{MX}} ) (Å)</th>
<th>( c_{\text{ML}} ) (Å)</th>
<th>( u ) (Å)</th>
<th>( E_{\text{CT}} ) (eV)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>1.98 (2.72)</td>
<td>1.98 (2.73)</td>
<td>0.38 (0.40)</td>
<td>3.74 (3.66)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>2.00 (2.77)</td>
<td>2.00 (2.74)</td>
<td>0.28 (0.29)</td>
<td>2.47 (2.36)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>3.50 (2.73)</td>
<td>7.00 (5.59)</td>
<td>0.25 (0.23)</td>
<td>2.13 (2.18)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
FIG. 3. (Color online) Hartree-Fock (HF) and single-excitation configuration-interaction (CI) calculations of the polarized optical-conductivity spectra parallel (||) and perpendicular (⊥) to ladder legs within the single-band model, where \( t_{FF} = 0.75 \text{ eV} \) (0.66 eV), \( r_{MM} / r_{FM} = 0.35 \) (0.16), \( U_{FM} / t_{MM} = 1.40 \) (1.20), \( V_{MM} / t_{MM} = 0.35 \) (0.35), \( V_{MM} / t_{MM} = 0.26 \) (0.10), and \( \beta \sqrt{M_{K}}K = 1.10 \) (1.00) for IP CDW (OP CDW). Hartree-Fock calculations of the relevant dispersion relations are also shown in an attempt to understand the spectral features of \( \sigma(\omega) \), where bare arrows and those with a cross attached denote major optical absorptions and optically forbidden transitions, respectively.

leg and rung directions, respectively, and are fixed at \( c_{MM} = c_{ML} = 2c_{MM} = c_{ML} \) for \( \text{(bpy)}[\text{Pt(en)}]_{2} \) and \( \text{(bpy)} \times [\text{Pt(dien)Br}]_{2} \), respectively, in our calculation. Since the charge-transfer excitation energy is of eV order,\(^{27,28}\) the system effectively lies in the ground state at room temperature. Then the real part of the optical conductivity reads

\[
\sigma_{\parallel}(\omega) = \frac{\pi}{\hbar} \sum_{i} |\langle E_{i} | J_{\parallel} | E_{0} \rangle|^{2} \delta(E_{i} - E_{0} - \hbar \omega),
\]

where \( |E_{i}\rangle \) is the \( i \)-th-lying state of energy \( E_{i} \). \( |E_{0}\rangle \) is defined as

\[
|E_{0}\rangle = \prod_{\epsilon_{\mu} < \epsilon_{F}} c_{\mu}^{\dagger} c_{\mu}(0),
\]

where \( |0\rangle \) is the true electron vacuum, \( \epsilon_{F} \) is the Fermi energy, and \( c_{\mu}^{\dagger} \) creates an electron of spin \( s \) in the Hartree-Fock (HF)

![Diagram](image_url)

**FIG. 4.** (Color online) Hartree-Fock (HF) and single-excitation configuration-interaction (CI) calculations of the polarized optical-conductivity spectra parallel (||) and perpendicular (⊥) to ladder legs for IP-CDW states in comparison with experimental observations (exp) of \( \text{(bpy)}[\text{Pt(en)}]_{2} \).

eigenstate with an eigenvalue \( \epsilon_{\mu,s} \). Excited states are calculated within and beyond the HF scheme, being generally defined as

\[
|E_{\mu,s}\rangle = \sum_{\epsilon_{\mu,s} < \epsilon_{F}} f(\mu, \nu, s; i) c_{\nu}^{\dagger} c_{\mu,s} |E_{\nu,s}\rangle.
\]

Every excited state of the HF type is a single Slater determinant, where \( f(\mu, \nu, s; i) = \delta_{\mu,s,i} \). Those of the configuration-interaction (CI) type consist of resonating Slater determinants, where \( f(\mu, \nu, s; i) \) satisfies

\[
\sum_{\epsilon_{\mu,s} < \epsilon_{F}} \langle E_{\mu,s} | c_{\nu}^{\dagger} c_{\mu,s} | \mathcal{H} c_{\mu,s} | E_{\nu,s} \rangle f(\mu, \nu, s; i) = E_{\mu,s} \delta_{\mu,s,i},
\]

that is, the unitary matrix \( f(\mu, \nu, s; i) \) diagonalizes the original Hamiltonian \( \mathcal{H} \). Since the HF Hamiltonian \( \mathcal{H}_{HF} \) is diagonal with respect to pure particle-hole states as \( \langle E_{0} | c_{\mu,s}^{\dagger} c_{\nu,s}^{\dagger} \mathcal{H}_{HF} c_{\mu,s} c_{\nu,s} | E_{0} \rangle = \delta_{\mu,s} \delta_{\nu,s} \epsilon_{\mu,s} \), the residual component \( \mathcal{H} - \mathcal{H}_{HF} \) mixes the Slater determinants and reduces the interband transition energy (see Figs. 4 and 5 later). Equation (4.3) calculated is Lorentzian broadened.

**B. Single-band calculation**

Before analyzing experimental findings in detail, we calculate the optical conductivity in terms of the single-band Hamiltonian (2.1) in an attempt to demonstrate the indispensable halogen \( p \), and ligand \( \pi \) orbitals. Figure 3 shows that the optical observations of IP- and OP-CDW states are quite alike without contributive \( p \) and \( \pi \) electrons. The spectra in the leg direction are single peaked, whereas no significant spectral weight lies in the rung direction.
Such observations are well understandable when we consider the underlying energy structures. Since the $d_{z^2}$ orbitals of equally valent platinum ions have the same energy and are well hybridized with each other, we find well split filled and/or conduction bands in IP CDW, while the two intrachain $d_{z^2}(\text{Pt}^{2+})/d_{z^2}(\text{Pt}^{4+})$ bands remain almost degenerate with each other in OP CDW. The pronounced peak of $\sigma_2(\omega)$ is attributed to the interband excitations at the zone center. When an electron is pumped up from the filled to conduction bands, there are four types of transitions possible in general.

However, the lowest- and highest-energy ones are optically forbidden and the rest, optically allowed, cost the same energy. The conduction and filled bands are exactly symmetric with respect to the Fermi level due to the electron-hole symmetry preserved. That is why not only the OP-CDW spectrum but also the IP-CDW spectrum is single peaked. The single-peak structure remains unchanged with excitonic effect on. A consideration of $X_p$ and/or $L \pi$ orbitals leads to the breakdown of the electron-hole symmetry and lifts the degeneracy between the optical observations of IP CDW and OP CDW, which is essential to the understanding of experimental findings.

The vanishing weight of $\sigma_2(\omega)$ is also due to the sleeping $p$ and $\pi$ electrons and is never in agreement with any experiment. We are thus lead to the $d-p-\pi$ modeling.

C. $d$-$p$-$\pi$ description

In Figs. 4 and 5, we compare the $d$-$p$-$\pi$ calculations of the optical conductivity with experimental observations,\textsuperscript{28} that is, the Kramers-Kronig transforms of polarized reflectivity spectra for the single crystals at room temperature. The calculations qualitatively interpret most of the spectral features within the HF scheme and quantitatively improves with excitonic effects. We have two arguments, in particular: (i) For $E_{in}\|e$, the main absorption band is double peaked in ($\text{bpym})[\text{Pt}(\text{en})X]_2$ but single peaked in ($\text{bpy})[\text{Pt(dien)Br}]_2$. 

\[ \sigma_{\omega}(\text{bpy})=\text{h}\omega \text{cm}^{-1} \]
peaked absorption band. The essential characteristic of the ladder system. Although interaction calculations

\[ \sigma(\omega) \] corresponding spectra measured on the MX single-chain compounds [PT(en)X](ClO\textsubscript{4})\textsubscript{2} are all single peaked\textsuperscript{49} at \( h\omega = 2.7 \text{ eV} \) for \( X = \text{Cl} \) and at \( h\omega = 2.0 \text{ eV} \) for \( X = \text{Br} \). Figure 5 is reminiscent of these observations, whereas Fig. 4 must be characteristic of the ladder system. Although (bpym)[PT(en)X]\textsubscript{2} and (bpym)[PT(dien)Br]\textsubscript{2} are both shaped like ladders, their electronic structures are distinct from each other, as is shown in Fig. 6. In an IP-CDW state, every pair of Pt \( d_{z^2} \) orbitals facing each other across a ligand is well hybridized and split into their bonding (\( d_{\sigma} \)) and antibonding (\( d_{\sigma^*} \)) combinations with the help of the bridging \( \pi \) orbital. The local density of states reveals a significant contribution of \( \pi \) orbitals to the \( d_{\sigma^*} \) bands. The fully occupied \( d_{z^2}(\text{Pt}^{2+}) \) orbitals are much more stabilized than the vacant \( d_{z^2}(\text{Pt}^{4+}) \) ones, that is, to say, \[ \varepsilon[d_{\sigma^*}(\text{Pt}^{4+},\text{Pt}^{2+})] - \varepsilon[d_{\sigma^*}(\text{Pt}^{4+},\text{Pt}^{2+})] < \varepsilon[d_{\sigma^*}(\text{Pt}^{2+},\text{Pt}^{2+})] - \varepsilon[d_{\sigma^*}(\text{Pt}^{2+},\text{Pt}^{2+})]. \] It is the broken electron-hole symmetry that unequalizes the optically allowed excitations of two types. Thus, we find a double-peaked absorption band. The essential \( d-\pi \) hybridization is characteristic of (bpym)[PT(en)X]\textsubscript{2}. In an OP-CDW state, on the other hand, there hardly occurs interchain hybridization of Pt \( d_{z^2} \) orbitals and thus the main absorption band of Pt character remains single peaked. The density of states is nothing more than a simple sum of poorly mixed \( d, p, \) and \( \pi \) orbitals. (bpym)[PT(dien)Br]\textsubscript{2} still has a strong resemblance to conventional MX single-chain compounds. \( \sigma(\omega) \) with the \( p \) and \( \pi \) electrons included, an absorption of Pt character in the rung direction is activated in an IP-CDW state and is strengthened, roughly doubled, in an OP-CDW state. However, it is still much less recognizable than that in the leg direction. Most of the spectral weight is distributed to the higher-energy region, which is attributable to \( \pi-d \) charge-transfer excitations. The single-excitation CI scheme seems still incomplete but fully demonstrates the crucial role of electronic correlations in reproducing the observations quantitatively. It may also be effective to take ligand \( \pi^* \) orbitals into calculation. Here, we have discarded the vacant \( \pi^* \) orbitals, on one hand, assuming them to be higher lying than Pt \( d_{z^2} \) orbitals and, on the other hand, avoiding further increase of the number of parameters. A pioneering density-functional study\textsuperscript{37} on (bpym)[PT(en)Cl]\textsubscript{2} proposes a level scheme of the bpym \( \pi^* \) orbitals being sandwiched between the \( d_{z^2}(\text{Pt}^{2+}) \) and \( d_{z^2}(\text{Pt}^{4+}) \) bands. Such a scenario looks consistent with our underestimation of \( \sigma(\omega) \) for (bpym)[PT(en)X]\textsubscript{2} and may explain the low-energy shoulder or foot of its widespread band. On the other hand, the bpym \( \pi^* \) orbitals are likely to lie above the Pt \( d_{z^2} \) bands, judging from Fig. 5.

V. SUMMARY

(bpym)[PT(en)X]\textsubscript{2} reveal themselves as \( d-p-\pi \)-hybridized multiband ladder materials with a ground state of the IP-
OPTICAL CHARACTERIZATION OF PLATINUM-HALIDE MX type, which is reminiscent of conventional double-chain material with a ground state of the OP-CDW both materials sit in the vicinity of the phase boundary. An while the rest are fixed at set A in Table I.

relaxation channels were extensively calculated for multiorbital ladder lattice. Photogenerated excitons and their transitions and nonlinear photoproducts in this geometrically CDW character.50

There lie ahead fascinating topics such as quantum phase transitions and nonlinear photoproducts in this geometrically CDW type, while (bpy)[Pt(dien)Br]₂ as a d₂−single-band double-chain material with a ground state of the OP-CDW type, which is reminiscent of conventional MX chain compounds. The two ground states are highly competitive and both materials sit in the vicinity of the phase boundary. An iodine derivative of the former compounds, (μ-bpym) X[Pt(en)]₂I₄·2H₂O,2⁸ might have a ground state of OP-CDW character.5⁹

There lie ahead fascinating topics such as quantum phase transitions and nonlinear photoproducts in this geometrically designed Peierls-Hubbard multiband system. Palladium and nickel analogs as well as ligand substitution will contribute toward realizing further density-wave states3¹ possible in a multiorbital ladder lattice. Photogenerated excitons and their relaxation channels were extensively calculated for MX (Refs. 1, 2, 5¹, and 5₂) and MMX (Refs. 5₃ and 5₄) chains, and the predicted scenarios were indeed demonstrated experimentally.4⁸,5⁵

Physically excited MX ladders are more and more interesting. Contrastive materials with IP-CDW and OP-CDW backgrounds have been provided and identified. The next stage is ready for further investigations.
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APPENDIX: ON THE PARAMETER TUNING

We have reached the best solutions in Figs. 4 and 5 systematically tuning all the parameters. The optical-conductivity spectral shape monotonically varies as we tune each parameter. We demonstrate the parameter tuning for (bpym)[Pt(en)Cl]₂ and discuss what roles leading parameters play in reproducing the spectra.

Varying Uₘ slides, rather than deform, the spectrum (Fig. 7). With increasing Uₘ, the Peierls gap is reduced and any charge-transfer excitation energy monotonically decreases. The effect of electron-lattice interactions can be understood in the same context. The site-diagonal coupling constant β straightforwardly stabilizes a CDW on metal sites. Considering M-X charge-transfer energy gains, the site-off-diagonal coupling constant α also stabilizes a site-diagonal CDW rather than a site-off-diagonal (bond-centered) CDW, provided εₘ ≠ εₓ. Both α and β work against Uₘ. All these parameters position the intrachain charge-transfer band. 

UX and εₓ adjust the spectral weight of the main absorption band originating from intrachain M-X charge transfer excitations (Fig. 8). The oscillator strength of the charge-transfer band increases with activated p electrons. Increasing UX induces oxidation of X⁻ ions, while εₓ approaching εₘ activates d-p hybridization. The spectral weight increases with increasing UX and decreasing εₘ−εₓ.

Parameters related to rung ligands control the structure of the main absorption band (Fig. 9). With increasing U₁, ε₁ approaching εₘ and increasing tML, d-π hybridization is encouraged. Then, the intrachain d bands of Pt²⁺ character split into their bonding and antibonding combinations, and the charge-transfer band is doubly peaked.
Finally we take a look at the effect of different-site Coulomb interactions (Fig. 10). The HF decomposition of any Coulomb term reminds us that the Coulomb interaction originates from electron hopping between the relevant sites. \( V_{\text{diag}}^{\text{FM}} \) indeed modulates the band gap in the same way as \( \alpha \) at the HF level. However, the configuration interaction restructures the charge-transfer band and drastically changes its double-peaked features. With \( V_{\text{diag}}^{\text{FM}} \) large enough, the lower-energy absorption can even be stronger than the higher-energy one.

\( V_{\text{range}}^{\text{FM}} \) and \( V_{\text{diag}}^{\text{FM}} \) are also important Coulomb interactions, though they act on the next-nearest-neighbor sites. They highly compete with each other for the ground-state valence arrangement. Therefore, these parameters are much less tunable and determined with smaller uncertainty. Thus, we are led to the parametrization in Table I and theoretical findings in Figs. 4 and 5. Considering the structural data as well, there is no better solution within the present modeling.