Datta-Das-type spin-field-effect transistor in the nonballistic regime
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We analyzed the applicability of original Datta-Das proposal for spin-field-effect transistor (spin-FET) to nonballistic regime based on semiempirical Monte Carlo simulation for spin transport. It is demonstrated that the spin helix state in two-dimensional electron gas system is sufficiently robust against D'yakonov-Perel' spin relaxation to allow an operation of Datta-Das-type spin-FET in the nonballistic transport regime. It is also shown that the spin diffusion length of the spin helix state can be increased with an in-plane electrical field along the [110] direction. In marked contrast to early proposals for nonballistic spin-FETs, the "on" and "off" states are characterized by a 180° phase difference in the spin precession motions, which is highly advantageous in terms of device flexibility.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Understanding and controlling of carrier spin transport phenomena in a semiconductor are among the most important and interesting issues in the emerging field of spintronics because of their relevance to realization of spin-related devices.\(^\text{1}\) A seminal concept of spin-field-effect transistor (spin-FET) has been proposed by Datta and Das\(^\text{2}\) in the light of controllability of spin precession motion of electron through the Rashba spin-orbit coupling effect.\(^\text{3}\) In this device, "on" and "off" states are distinguished by \(\pi\) phase difference in spin precession motion. Since a dependency of the Rashba effective magnetic field on electron wave vector \(k\) causes a decay of spin polarization coherence during multiple scattering events of electron, that is, D'yakonov-Perel' (DP) process,\(^\text{4}\) it is currently common belief that the Datta-Das-type spin-FET can operate only in regime of ballistic transport or (quasi-) one-dimensional transport.

In a zinc blende structure, there exists the bulk-inversion asymmetry (BIA) which leads to the Dresselhaus spin-orbit coupling in the effective Hamiltonian.\(^\text{5}\) In Refs.\(^\text{6}\) and\(^\text{7}\), a different scenario of spin-FET operation, which is applicable to nonballistic regime, has been proposed by taking into account an interplay between the Rashba and Dresselhaus couplings. When the Rashba coupling strength is tuned to balance the Dresselhaus strength, the effective magnetic field is oriented in [110] axis irrespective of \(k\) and the spread in precession motion of spin along [110] does not take place, thus leading to no spin relaxation due to momentum scatterings and increasing device conductance. On the other hand, mismatch between the Rashba and Dresselhaus spin-orbit strengths causes loss of spin-related information due to the spin relaxation, which decreases the device conductance. Tuning and detuning of coupling strengths correspond to the on and off states, respectively. It can be stated that the essence of this device operation is to suppress the spin relaxation during only the on state. This idea lifts the limitation of ballistic transport or one-dimensional transport in the spin-FET operation. However, it has been claimed in Ref.\(^\text{8}\) that this nonballistic spin-FET is less preferable to the original Datta-Das-type device, since the transconductance of the nonballistic device will be roughly one-half of the transconductance of Datta-Das device. Consequently, such a nonballistic device has a large leakage current during the off state, which is approximately one-half of the on current. In the present study, we address the applicability of the original Datta-Das device to the nonballistic regime.

It has been shown in several works\(^\text{9-13}\) that the interplay between the Dresselhaus and Rashba effects causes an anisotropy in spin relaxation process so that relaxation times of spins oriented in [110], [110], and [001] are different. When the Rashba and Dresselhaus couplings with only linear-in-\(k\) terms have equal strength, the relaxation of spin oriented in [110] axis is totally suppressed as mentioned above. On the other hand, the relaxation of spins along [110] and [001] occurs at a finite rate due to the DP mechanism, since the spin precession motion is involved. In our recent Monte Carlo (MC) study,\(^\text{14}\) however, it has been demonstrated that this is consequence manifested only in time-resolved analysis with assumption of homogeneous spin distribution. A space-resolved MC analysis for steady state has showed that when the Rashba strength is tuned to balance with the Dresselhaus strength, the oscillation pattern of spin develops along [110] spatial coordinate without spin relaxation, that is, the relaxation of spins oriented in any axes is totally suppressed, supporting an existence of persistent spin helix (PSH) pattern recently discussed in Ref.\(^\text{15}\). Furthermore, in Ref.\(^\text{14}\), it has been demonstrated that the spatial distribution of coherent spin precession motion, which is observed when injected spin is oriented in [110] or [001], is relatively robust against the spin relaxation compared with the homogeneous distribution of spin along [110] of the main concern in the nonballistic spin-FET in Refs.\(^\text{6}\) and\(^\text{7}\). This result is quite consistent with early theoretical study in Ref.\(^\text{16}\), revealing that a helical spin structure has a longer spin relaxation time than a homogeneous spin distribution. Facing these facts, one may expect that the original Datta-Das proposal actually be applicable to nonballistic region in two-dimensional electron gas (2DEG) system. In this study, this possibility of nonballistic operation of the original Datta-Das device is analyzed by means of semiclassical MC simulation for spin transport.
transport. Our focus in this paper is placed on a specific device structure with (001) In$_{0.81}$Ga$_{0.19}$As quantum well (QW).

The organization of this paper is as follows. In the next section, the semiclassical MC approach for spin transport is outlined, followed by results and discussion in Sec. III. We first show that the DP spin relaxation in the 2DEG system with only the Rashba coupling effect leads to a substantial loss of spin coherence. However, it will be shown that in the system with the Rashba and Dresselhaus coupling effects, the interplay between these effects strongly suppresses the DP spin relaxation for spin helix state. Then, the applicability of the Datta-Das device to nonballistic regime is addressed by analyzing the dependencies of DP spin relaxation of spin helix state. Then, the applicability of the MC simulation in this paper is basically the same as the space-resolved scheme in Ref. 14, except for the inclusion of alloying scattering event. This computational scheme has not been explained in detail in Ref. 14 because of limitation of space and, therefore, more details are given below.

In an asymmetric QW system, the electron spin precession occurs along the effective magnetic field $\Omega_{eff}(k)$, originating from the BIA and structure-inversion asymmetry (SIA). The corresponding spin-orbit coupling $H_{SO}$ can be expressed as $H_{SO} = (\hbar/2)\sigma \cdot \Omega_{eff}(k)$, where $\sigma$ is the vector of Pauli spin matrices. For the (001) QW system with the spatial coordinate of $x||[100]$ and $y||[010]$, the effective magnetic fields, $\Omega_{eff}(k) = \Omega_{BIA}(k) + \Omega_{SIA}(k)$, are described within linear in $k$ as

$$\Omega_{BIA}(k) = \frac{2\chi k^2}{\hbar} (-k_x, k_y, 0),$$

which is termed the Dresselhaus model and

$$\Omega_{SIA}(k) = \frac{2\alpha k^2}{\hbar} (k_y, -k_x, 0),$$

which is called the Rashba model. In Eq. (1), $\langle k^2 \rangle$ is the expectation value of $z$ wave vector component with respect to the subband wave function and $\gamma$ is the constant of material dependent. In Eq. (2), the prefactor $\alpha$ corresponds to the Rashba parameter depending on the material and also on the asymmetry of QW in the growth direction and is therefore controlled by the gate voltage in the spin-FET.

The spin state can be described using the following standard spin density matrix:

$$\rho_S = \begin{bmatrix} \rho_{\uparrow\uparrow} & \rho_{\uparrow\downarrow} \\ \rho_{\downarrow\uparrow} & \rho_{\downarrow\downarrow} \end{bmatrix},$$

where $\rho_{\uparrow\uparrow}$ represents the probability of finding electron with up-spin (down-spin) state. The off-diagonal matrix elements, $\rho_{\uparrow\downarrow}$ and $\rho_{\downarrow\uparrow}$, characterize the degree of superposition of up-spin and down-spin states. During free flight motion of electron, the spin density matrix undergoes the following unitary evolution:

$$\rho_S(t+\Delta t) = e^{-iH_{SO}(k)\hbar/\hbar}\rho_S(t)e^{iH_{SO}(k)\hbar/\hbar}.$$  

It is important to note that the spin polarization component is given as $S_\alpha = \text{Tr}[\sigma_\alpha \rho_S]$ with $\alpha=x$, $y$, and $z$ and the unitary evolution given in Eq. (4) can be recast in the following equation of classical momentum $S$ under the effective magnetic field as explained in Refs. 20 and 21,

$$\frac{\partial S_k}{\partial t} = \Omega_{eff}(k) \times S.$$  

The reciprocal effect of spin on the electron motion through spin-orbit coupling is neglected in the present calculations, as is common in the reported works. In the present study, the orientation of spin polarization vector is described in Ref. 14,14,20–23 except for the inclusion of alloying scattering event. This computational scheme has not been explained in detail in Ref. 14 because of limitation of space and, therefore, more details are given below.

In an asymmetric QW system, the electron spin precession occurs along the effective magnetic field $\Omega_{eff}(k)$, originating from the BIA and structure-inversion asymmetry (SIA). The corresponding spin-orbit coupling $H_{SO}$ can be expressed as $H_{SO} = (\hbar/2)\sigma \cdot \Omega_{eff}(k)$, where $\sigma$ is the vector of Pauli spin matrices. For the (001) QW system with the spatial coordinate of $x||[100]$ and $y||[010]$, the effective magnetic fields, $\Omega_{eff}(k) = \Omega_{BIA}(k) + \Omega_{SIA}(k)$, are described within linear in $k$ as
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It is important to note that the spin polarization component is given as $S_\alpha = \text{Tr}[\sigma_\alpha \rho_S]$ with $\alpha=x$, $y$, and $z$ and the unitary evolution given in Eq. (4) can be recast in the following equation of classical momentum $S$ under the effective magnetic field as explained in Refs. 20 and 21,
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The reciprocal effect of spin on the electron motion through spin-orbit coupling is neglected in the present calculations, as is common in the reported works. In the present study, the orientation of spin polarization vector is described in Ref. 14,14,20–23 except for the inclusion of alloying scattering event. This computational scheme has not been explained in detail in Ref. 14 because of limitation of space and, therefore, more details are given below.

In an asymmetric QW system, the electron spin precession occurs along the effective magnetic field $\Omega_{eff}(k)$, originating from the BIA and structure-inversion asymmetry (SIA). The corresponding spin-orbit coupling $H_{SO}$ can be expressed as $H_{SO} = (\hbar/2)\sigma \cdot \Omega_{eff}(k)$, where $\sigma$ is the vector of Pauli spin matrices. For the (001) QW system with the spatial coordinate of $x||[100]$ and $y||[010]$, the effective magnetic fields, $\Omega_{eff}(k) = \Omega_{BIA}(k) + \Omega_{SIA}(k)$, are described within linear in $k$ as
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which is called the Rashba model. In Eq. (1), $\langle k^2 \rangle$ is the expectation value of $z$ wave vector component with respect to the subband wave function and $\gamma$ is the constant of material dependent. In Eq. (2), the prefactor $\alpha$ corresponds to the Rashba parameter depending on the material and also on the asymmetry of QW in the growth direction and is therefore controlled by the gate voltage in the spin-FET.
The present ensemble MC simulation uses $4 \times 10^4$ electrons. The momentum scatterings included in this simulation are elastic scatterings due to polar optical phonon, acoustic phonon, remote impurity, and alloy scattering, for which the scattering rates in the 2DEG system are explicitly given in Ref. 26. The carrier mobility at $V_g=0.0$ is calculated to be $9.70 \times 10^3$ cm$^2$/V s in the present MC simulation, which is quite comparable to the $9.75 \times 10^3$ cm$^2$/V s value experimentally found for the same structure. It was found that in the present structure, the variation of $V_g$ does not yield significant changes in all the scattering rates expect for the alloy scattering rate. Negative gate voltage decreases the electron density in the 2DEG system and accordingly the scattering constant, leading to an increase in the alloy scattering rate. The carrier mobility decreases with gate voltage. For instance, the carrier mobility at $V_g=-0.5$ V is calculated to be $8.84 \times 10^3$ cm$^2$/V s.

As shown in Eqs. (1) and (2), the strengths of Dresselhaus and Rashba effects are entirely determined by the constants $\gamma$ and $\alpha$, respectively. In all the calculations, the Dresselhaus parameter $\gamma$ is assumed to be $59 \times 10^{-30}$ eV m$^3$ which is compositionally averaged value of $71 \times 10^{-30}$ eV m$^3$ for InAs (Ref. 27) and $10 \times 10^{-30}$ eV m$^3$ for GaAs. The constant $\beta$ given as $\beta=\gamma(k^2)$ is calculated to be $9.2 \times 10^{-12}$ eV m for the present QW system. As for the Rashba parameter $\alpha$, we vary this value systematically using a ratio of $\alpha/\beta$ which we call the Rashba-Dresselhaus (RD) ratio hereafter. Our focus is placed on the range of RD ratio between 0 and 3 which corresponds to the variation of $\alpha$ between 0 and $27.6 \times 10^{-12}$ eV m. This is reasonable range of $\alpha$ value in the InAs-rich channel layer. It should be mentioned that the Rashba parameter $\alpha$ is practically controlled by the gate voltage $V_g$ and hence there exists a one-to-one correspondence between the values of $\alpha$ and the momentum scattering rate which also depends on $V_g$. However, our calculations demonstrated that the difference in the scattering rate does not cause a substantial change in the spatial profile of spin polarization within the range of RD ratio considered here, as will be discussed later. This fact allows one to analyze the dependence of spin relaxation process on the RD ratio using the scattering rates independently calculated at a fixed value of gate voltage. For the sake of simplicity, the scattering rates $V_g=0.0$ are employed for all the calculations, except for the one shown in Fig. 4.

**III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

Figure 2(a) represents the spatial profiles of spin polarization component $\langle S \rangle_T$ plotted with respect to the source-drain distance and the RD ratio. The gate length is set to be 2 $\mu$m and the in-plane field $E_{db}$ is 3.0 kV/cm. For comparison, the spin relaxation processes calculated with the same condition but without the Dresselhaus term, which is equivalent to the original Datta-Das proposal, are represented in Fig. 2(b). The Rashba parameter in Fig. 2(b) is normalized by $9.2 \times 10^{-12}$ eV m which is equal to the value of $\beta$ in Fig. 2(a). The spatial oscillation of spin polarization is observed in all the cases. As shown in Fig. 2(b), during the spin transport with only the Rashba coupling effect, the coherence of spin...
polarization is almost completely lost at \( L = 2 \ \mu m \) because of strong spin relaxation. In the system with the Rashba and Dresselhaus effects [Fig. 2(a)], on the other hand, the coherence of spin polarization at any RD ratio remarkably persists even at \( L = 2 \ \mu m \). From the comparison between Figs. 2(a) and 2(b), it can be readily grasped that the interplay between the Rashba and Dresselhaus couplings strongly suppresses the spin relaxation. Importantly, no spin relaxation takes place at \( \alpha/\beta = 1.0 \) and this spatial pattern is exactly equivalent to the PSH state of which the existence has been pointed out in Ref. 6 and recently discussed based on SU(2) symmetry of spin-orbit Hamiltonian in Ref. 15.

Within the evolution equation of spin precession motion given in Eq. (5), the precession angle of spin during a certain time period \( \Delta \tau \) is expressed as

\[
\theta = |\Omega_{\text{eff}}(k)| \cdot \Delta \tau = \frac{2m^*}{\hbar^2} r - \sqrt{\alpha^2 + \beta^2} \sin 2\theta, \tag{6}
\]

where \( m^* \) is the electron effective mass, \( r = h(k_x^2 + k_y^2)^{1/2} \Delta \tau / m^* \) is the magnitude of moving distance of electron from the source, and \( \theta \) is the angle of wave vector with respect to \( x \) axis. When the relation \( \alpha = \beta \) is realized, the precession angle is reduced to

\[
\theta = \frac{4a_0^*}{\hbar^2} r_{110}, \tag{7}
\]

where \( r_{110} = r \cos (\theta + \frac{\pi}{2}) \) is the moving distance of electron along [110] spatial coordinate. The precession angle is thus proportional to the displacement of electron along the [1\( \bar{1} \)0] spatial coordinate, which is the origin of the PSH state.\(^{29}\) The effective magnetic field parallel to [110] does not cause the spin relaxation during electron transport along the [1\( \bar{1} \)0] direction. Therefore, it can be stated that the spin relaxation at \( \alpha \neq \beta \) is entirely ascribable to the existence of effective magnetic field of which the orientation deviates from [110] axis. Although the Rashba effective field is always perpendicular to \( k \), the interplay between the Rashba and Dresselhaus effects makes the effective field parallel to [110] dominant in the vicinity of \( \alpha/\beta = 1.0 \), thus suppressing the spin relaxation, as observed in Fig. 2(a). This fact is the key to the successful operation of the Datta-Das device in the nonballistic regime.

In Fig. 2(a), the dashed lines at \( \alpha/\beta = 2.0, 1.0, \) and 0.5 represent the magnitude of spin polarization vector given as \( |\langle S \rangle| = \frac{|\langle S \rangle|^2}{\langle S \rangle^2} \approx (\langle S_x \rangle^2 + \langle S_y \rangle^2 + \langle S_z \rangle^2)^{1/2} \) which is a measure of the coherence of spin polarization. The spatial profile of \( |\langle S \rangle| \) at any value of RD ratio is well described by an exponential decay, viz., \( |\langle S \rangle| = \exp(-r_{110}/l_s) \) with a characteristic “spin diffusion length” \( l_s \). At \( \alpha/\beta = 1.0 \), \( l_s \) becomes infinite, denoting the PSH, as discussed for InAs channel in Ref. 14. The spatial profiles of \( |\langle S \rangle| \) calculated at several in-plane fields are shown in Fig. 3(a). The RD ratio is set to be 1.5. It is seen that the increment of \( E_{\text{ds}} \) leads to suppression of spin relaxation, while a period of spatial oscillation is independent of the in-plane field. The dependency of spin diffusion length on the in-plane field at several RD ratios is plotted in Fig. 3(b). The spin diffusion length increases with the in-plane field, which is quite similar to the behavior of “downstream” spin diffusion length defined in the case of homogeneous spin distribution within a drift-diffusion approach.\(^{30,31}\) With increasing the in-plane field, the number of electrons contributing to current transport increases at \( k \parallel [110] \) where \( \Omega_{\text{eff}}(k) \) is always oriented to [110] irrespective of the RD ratio, thus suppressing the spin relaxation in the spin helix state.

It is well known that the DP spin relaxation time \( \tau_s \) is given as \( \tau_s \approx 1/\tau_p \) with the momentum relaxation time \( \tau_p \) (Ref. 4) and, hence, the increment of momentum scattering increases the spin relaxation time \( \tau_s \) that is, the motional narrowing effect.\(^{1}\) Within the drift-diffusion approach, then, the spin diffusion length \( l_s = (D \tau_s)^{1/2} \) is independent of the momentum relaxation time \( \tau_p \) because of \( D \propto \tau_p^{-1} \). First, it is pointed out that although there have been a number of theoretical investigations on the anisotropic behavior of spin relaxation rate,\(^{6-13}\) the spin relaxation time for the spin helix state has never been appropriately characterized, as discussed in Ref. 14. The robustness of the spin helix state against the DP relaxation can be observed in the space-resolved analysis of the present focus, and the dependence of the spin diffusion length for the helix state on the momentum relaxation process is worth discussing. In our MC simulation, the momen-
Dependence of spin diffusion length on mean free path of electron at several RD ratio.

explained by the increment of the number of electrons contributing to current transport at \( k\|[110] \) in the high mobility field. From Fig. 4, it can be mentioned that the present discussion has a generality in that the spin relaxation process shown in this paper should be quite commonly observed in a wide range of carrier mobility and a difference could appear only when the value of \( \beta \) is changed.

Based on these MC results, we address the nonballistic operation of the Datta-Das device. First, as shown in Fig. 2(a), the number of peaks in spatial oscillation decreases with decreasing the RD ratio. It is quite important to note that an oscillation can be seen at a fixed spatial coordinate by changing the RD ratio, which is associated with the current oscillation due to variation of the gate voltage in the spin-FET. Such an oscillation of \( \langle S \rangle_T \) at \( L=2 \) \( \mu \)m is demonstrated by the solid line in Fig. 5(a) where the magnitude of spin polarization vector \( \langle S \rangle_T \) is also shown as a dashed line. In the present device, the on and off states correspond to upper and lower peaks in this oscillation, respectively. The period of oscillation \( \Delta \alpha / \beta \), which does not obviously change...
over this range of RD ratio, is about 0.15 and this is entirely associated with the variation of the gate voltage required to switch on and off states. Importantly, the amplitude of oscillation denoted as $\Delta(S_\beta)_T$ in the vicinity of $\alpha/\beta=1.0$ is close to maximum value (2.0) realized without the spin relaxation. Hence, the Datta-Das device can successfully operate in the nonballistic regime. In Fig. 5(a), moreover, the calculated result at $E_{d}=0.5$ kV/cm is represented by the dotted line. The peak positions are identical with the ones at $E_{d}=3.0$ kV/cm. Although the amplitude of oscillation becomes small and vanishes at low and high values of $\alpha/\beta$, $\Delta(S_\beta)_T$ in the vicinity of $\alpha/\beta=1.0$ is considered sufficiently large to allow the successful operation of the device.

It is generally expected that $\Delta(S_\beta)_T$ should be large in a device with smaller gate length, since the coherence of spin polarization persists. In Fig. 5(b), the oscillation of spin at $L=2$ $\mu$m is compared with the one at $L=0.5$ $\mu$m. As expected, the magnitude $|\langle S_\beta \rangle_T|$ at $L=0.5$ $\mu$m is always larger than the one at $L=2$ $\mu$m. However, $\Delta(S_\beta)_T$ becomes small at $L=0.5$ $\mu$m. From Eq. (6), $\Delta\alpha/\beta$ required for $\pi$ rotation at $\alpha=\beta$ is obtained as $\Delta\alpha/\beta=\pi\hbar^2/(2m^*|\beta|L)$. Hence, $\Delta\alpha/\beta$ increases with decreasing gate length $L$, which results in the peak positions for the on and/or off states deviated far from $\alpha/\beta=1.0$, leading to the decrement of $\Delta(S_\beta)_T$, as seen in Fig. 5(b). In other words, the long gate length results in small $\Delta\alpha/\beta$ and thus relatively large $\Delta(S_\beta)_T$ because both the on and off states are located in the vicinity of $\alpha=\beta$.

The difference between the nonballistic devices proposed in Refs. 6 and 7 and in this work is emphasized. In the nonballistic device proposed in Refs. 6 and 7, the spin relaxation process is suppressed only during the on state by tuning $\alpha$ to balance with $\beta$, viz., $\alpha=\beta$. This suppression of spin relaxation can utilize either the PSH or spatially homogeneous spin distribution in the channel. On the other hand, the off state is realized by detuning of $\alpha$ which leads to the spin relaxation and thus a decrease in device conductance. As claimed in Ref. 8, in this device with a relatively long channel, the electron spins arriving at the drain are equally likely to be parallel or antiparallel to the magnetization vector in the ferromagnetic drain, and the minimum value of the off conductance is approximately one-half of the on conductance. More importantly, this device has a large leakage current during the off state, which is roughly considered to be one-half of the on current. Another device setup has been pointed out in Ref. 6, based on switching between two points $\beta=\pm\alpha$, which means that both the on and off states do not suffer from the spin relaxation process. However, this switching operation between $\beta=\pm\alpha$ generally requires a large variation of the gate voltage. The present MC simulation demonstrates that the strong suppression of the DP process in the spin helix state is realized not only at $\alpha/\beta=1.0$ but also over a wide range of $\alpha$ value, i.e., even at $\alpha \neq \beta$, and the $\pi$ phase difference of spin precession motion can sufficiently be utilized to distinguish the on and off states in the nonballistic regime. Especially, if the PSH at $\alpha/\beta=1.0$ is utilized for the off state, the off current becomes zero in an ideal case and, moreover, the on current is not substantially reduced due to the spin relaxation. This can be seen, for example, by tuning the gate length. Figure 6 shows the oscillation of $\langle S_\beta \rangle_T$ at the drain calculated with $L=2.2$ $\mu$m. One can see that $\langle S_\beta \rangle_T$ becomes 0 at $\alpha=1.0$ which corresponds to the off state and, on the other hand, $\langle S_\beta \rangle_T$ at $\alpha=1.0$ or $0.83$ reaches about 0.85 which is associated with the on current. It is therefore considered that the application of the Datta-Das spin-FET to the nonballistic regime be preferable to the early proposal of nonballistic spin-FET.

In the present calculations, we ignored the cubic term in Dresselhaus model. The cubic term causes a decay of the PSH state and the spin diffusion length becomes finite even at $\alpha=\beta$. Therefore, the off current is not completely zero in the present device. The cubic term effect in the present device operation should be seriously addressed and also the device structure needs to be optimized for reducing such a cubic term effect. Furthermore, details of injection and detection of spin polarized current were not taken into account in the present work. In this regard, we would like to refer to experimental works where successful injection of spin polarized current into InAs channel has been demonstrated. Finally, it should be mentioned that the value of RD ratio focused in the present study has been experimentally observed in several types of structures such as InAs/InAlAs and GaAs/AlGaAs.

**IV. CONCLUSION**

In this study, the applicability of Datta-Das-type spin-FET in the nonballistic regime was analyzed based on MC simulation of spin transport. It was demonstrated that the DP spin relaxation in the 2DEG system with only the Rashba coupling effect leads to a substantial loss of spin coherence and, in the system with the Rashba and Dresselhaus coupling effects, however, the interplay between these effects strongly suppresses the DP spin relaxation for spin helix state. More importantly, the strong suppression of the DP spin relaxation for spin helix state is realized not only at $\alpha=\beta=1.0$ but also over a wide range of $\alpha$ value, i.e., even at $\alpha \neq \beta$. Furthermore, the spin diffusion length of the spin helix state can be increased by in-plane electrical field along the $\langle 110 \rangle$ direction, which can be explained by an increase in the number of electrons contributing to current transport at $k||\langle 110 \rangle$. Then,
the $\pi$ phase difference of spin precession motion can be used to distinguish between the on and off states in the nonballis-
tic regime of electron transport along the [110] direction. This fact suggests that the Datta-Das device can successfully
operate in the nonballistic regime of spin transport in a
2DEG system, contrary to common belief. This Datta-Das-
type nonballistic spin-FET is characterized by better figures
of merit (on and/or off conductance ratio) compared to early
proposals for nonballistic spin-FETs.
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