

HOKKAIDO UNIVERSITY

Title	A preliminary study on the problems in the preparation of Artemia parthenogenetica chromosomes for scanning electron microscopy
Author(s)	Lee, Tai-Hung; Shirayama, Satoru
Citation	Journal of Crustacean Biology, 28(1), 167-170
Issue Date	2008-02
Doc URL	http://hdl.handle.net/2115/35578
Туре	article (author version)
File Information	lee.pdf



1	LEE AND SHIRAYAMA: PREPARATION OF ARTEMIA CHROMOSOMES FOR
2	SEM
3	
4	
5	A PRELIMINARY STUDY ON THE PROBLEMS IN THE PREPARATION OF
6	ARTEMIA PARTHENOGENETICA CHROMOSOMES FOR SCANNING ELECTRON
7	MICROSCOPY
8	
9	Tai-Hung Lee*, Satoru Shirayama
10	Graduate School of Fisheries Science, Hokkaido University, Hakodate,
11	Hokkaido 041-8611, Japan
12	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
13	*Corresponding author: Tel: 81-138-40-5590.
14	Fax: 81-138-40-5590. Email: thlee@fish.hokudai.ac.jp
15	
16	Key Words: Artemia, cell biology, genetics, morphology
17	
18	

ABSTRACT

20	The chromosomes of Crustacea, especially decapods, are usually numerous, small in
21	size, and punctiform. These characteristics make it difficult for researchers to further
22	investigate the chromosomes by light microscopy. As an alternative, the scanning
23	electron microscopy (SEM) is recommended because it has higher resolution and is able
24	to provide valuable three-dimensional data and X-ray microanalysis. The aim of this
25	study is to obtain some fundamental knowledge for the preparation of the chromosomes
26	of crustaceans for SEM observations. Since crustacean chromosomes are so small,
27	impurities such as mucus and cytoplasm easily obscure the chromosome surface making
28	it impossible for researchers to observe their fine structure. We used Artemia
29	parthenogenetica as a model and confirmed impurities originating from the nauplii
30	paste and the cytoplasm of the cells, and demonstrate that the nauplii paste can be
31	removed by rinsing and the cytoplasm can be digested by trypsin.
32	
33	INTRODUCTION
34	
35	Crustacean chromosomes, especially those of decapods, are numerous, small, and either
36	punctiform or rod-shaped. Therefore, it is difficult for researchers to investigate them

37	by light microscopy (LM) (Lecher et al., 1995). Since scanning electron microscopy
38	(SEM) has higher resolution and is able to provide valuable three-dimensional data and
39	X-ray microanalysis, it is recommended as an alternative.
40	Over the last few decades, there has been remarkable progress in mammalian,
41	particularly human, chromosome research by SEM (Kingsley-Smith, 1970; Iino, 1975;
42	Harrison et al., 1987; Allen, 1988; Seed et al., 1988; Sanchez-Sweatman, 1993; Sharma
43	and Sharma, 1994). Recent reports indicate that SEM has been used in combination
44	with immunocytochemical methods to investigate the fine structure of human
45	chromosomes (Sumner, 1996). As far as crustaceans are concerned, however, SEM is
46	seldom used. To the best of our knowledge, only one study has been reported on the
47	brachyuran crab <i>Eriocheir japonica</i> (Lee et al., 2004). Unfortunately, the fine
48	structure of the chromosomes presented in that study is not very clear. This may be
49	due to the fact that, in contrast to mammalian chromosomes, with the chromosomes of
50	the crab impurities such as mucus and cytoplasm easily obscure the chromosome
51	surface making it impossible for researchers to observe fine surface structure by SEM.
52	In this study, we used Artemia parthenogenetica in an attempt to confirm the
53	existence of such impurities as seen in the crab and to show how the impurities can be
54	removed. The objective of this investigation is to obtain some fundamental techniques

55	for the preparation of the chromosomes of crustaceans for SEM observations.
56	
57	MATERIALS AND METHODS
58	
59	The genus Artemia has a world-wide distribution and has been widely used for the basic
60	and applied research of crustaceans for many years (Sorgeloos et al., 1987; Browne et al.,
61	1991; Abatzopoulos et al., 2002). The dry cysts of <i>Artemia</i> are easy to preserve and
62	their nauplii can be obtained simply by incubating the cysts in seawater for 24-30 hours.
63	The cysts of Artemia parthenogenetic (42 chromosomes) (Barigozzi et al., 1987) used
64	in this study were from Qingdao, China. The nauplii of <i>A. parthenogenetic</i> were
65	collected after the cysts had been incubated for 32 hours in 80% seawater at the
66	temperature of 28°C.
67	
68	Making of chromosome plates
69	Chromosome plates were made following the procedures modified from previous
70	studies (Evans et al., 1964; Yamazaki et al., 1981; Murofushi and Deguchi, 1983).
71	1.) Nauplii were incubated in colchicine solution (4 mg/ml sea water) for 4 hours at
72	the temperature of 28°C.

73	2.)	Nauplii were taken out of the colchicine solution and placed in distilled water for
74		hypotonic treatment for one night at the temperature of 4°C.
75	3.)	Nauplii were immersed in freshly prepared methanol /acetic acid (1:1) fixative,
76	eacł	a lasting for 4 hours, at room temperature.
77	4.)	Twenty individuals of the fixed nauplii were chopped into paste using a specially
78		made "chopping machine" (Lee, 1990) on a glass slide coated with silicone.
79		The paste was poured into a 1.7ml micro-tube and methanol /acetic acid (1/1)
80		fixative was added up to 1ml.
81	5.)	The cells were rinsed three times with methanol /acetic acid $(1/1)$ fixative and
82		twice with 45% acetic acid solution by centrifugal separation (1500 \times g, 5 min
83		each time). Subsequently, the supernatant was decanted, 45% acetic acid was
84		added, and the cells of the nauplii were re-suspended.
85	6.)	Four to five drops of the cell suspension were put on a glass slide. The slide glass
86		was then slanted slightly to remove the extra suspension. The slide was
87		air-dried overnight.
88	7.)	The metaphase chromosome plates were observed and photomicrogaphed with
89		phase contrast microscopy (Olympus IMT-2; Olympus Optical, Tokyo, Japan).
90	8.)	The glass slide with chromosome plates was cut into pieces of a suitable size

91	(about 5 mm \times 5 mm) for SEM (Hitachi S-2300; Hitachi, Tokyo, Japan)
92	observations and photomicrography.
93	
94	Cleaning
95	In order to confirm the existence of the impurities, after Step 7, parts of the
96	chromosome plates were scraped by sliding the tip of a surgical blade lightly on the
97	surface. In general, hydrochloric acid or other acids are effective in cleaning the
98	cytoplasm (Sharma and Sharma 1994). Several enzymes, in addition to acids, have
99	been used to clean the cytoplasm through digestion (Sharma and Sharma 1994). In
100	this study, after Step 7, we used xylene, hydrochloric acid and trypsin for cleaning.
101	
102	Xylene.— Plates were treated with 100% xylene for 5 min, 20 min, and 60 min,
103	respectively, at room temperature for lipid removal. After the treatment, plates were
104	air-dried overnight.
105	
106	Hydrochloric acid.— Treatment employed 0.2N hydrochloric acid solution for 5min, 20
107	min, and 60 min respectively at room temperature. Susequently, plates were rinsed
108	with distilled water three times and air-dried overnight.

110	Trypsin.— Plates were treated with the 0.1% trypsin solution for 1 min, 5 min, and 30
111	min, respectively, at the temperature of 4°C, after which they were rinsed with distilled
112	water three times and air-dried overnight.
113	
114	RESULTS AND DISCIUSSION
115	
116	Evidence of the existence of impurities
117	The chromosome plates prepared without rinsing (Step 5) showed that the chromosomes
118	could be observed by LM (Fig.1a) without any problem. However, in our SEM
119	observations (Fig. 1b, c), a layer of impurities was detected by scraping the slide glass
120	lightly with a surgical blade (Fig. 1b, c). This layer of impurities was so thick that all
121	the chromosomes were covered and could not be observed clearly by SEM. These
122	impurities covered the slide, and we suspect that they were from the nauplii paste.
123	In contrast, while we could observe the chromosomes on the plates prepared with
124	rinsing (Step 5) by SEM (Fig. 2a, b), but we nonetheless still had a layer of impurities
125	over the chromosomes, observed by scraping a part of the chromosome plate (Fig. 2a, b).
126	However, this layer was not so thick as the one mentioned above; it did not spread all

127	over the slide glass but was confined only within the chromosome plate. Therefore, we
128	believe that this layer of impurities came from the cytoplasm of the cell that belonged to
129	the chromosome plate itself.
130	
131	How to remove the impurities
132	The chromosome plates prepared with rinsing and treated by xylene showed that the
133	impurities could not be removed even in the case of 60 min treatment (Fig. 3a),
134	suggesting that there was no lipid in them.
135	The chromosome plates prepared with rinsing and treated by hydrochloric acid
136	showed that most of the impurities could not be removed. Even in the case of the
137	treatment for 60 min, the surface of the impurities appeared to be a little bumpy (Fig.
138	3b), which means that this treatment failed to eliminate the impurities. The length of
139	the treatment and the density of the hydrochloric acid solution may be related to this
140	result.
141	The chromosome plates prepared with rinsing and treated by trypsin showed that the
142	impurities could be removed entirely after the treatment had been carried out for 60 min
143	(Fig. 4c, d), but not in the case of 1 or 5 min (Fig. 4a, b). Compared with the
144	chromosomes prepared without trypsin cleaning (Fig. 2a, b), the chromosomes could be

146

Other observations 147148 Some of the chromosomes appeared to have the shape of a horseshoe. This kind of phenomenon has also been observed in other studies of Artemia (Abatzopoulos et al., 1491986; Barigozzi, 1994), and yet the mechanism or reason is still unknown. 150Trypsin is a kind of enzyme that can digest proteins. The relation between trypsin 151and chromosomes has been well studied in G-banded chromosomes studies with LM 152153and SEM (Seabright, 1971; Sumner et al., 1971; Harrison et al., 1985). However, the chromosomes we observed in our study did not show any damage or structural 154deformation. It is possible that the density of trypsin or the duration of treatment we 155156used might have been too low or too short to cause such effects in the chromosomes 157158CONCLUSION We have confirmed the existence of impurities in Artemia originating from the nauplii 159paste and the cytoplasm of the cells. These impurities seriously affect the observation 160161 of the fine surface structure of the chromosomes. We have shown that the impurities originating from the paste can be removed by rinsing and the impurities from cytoplasm 162

clearly observed after the impurities had been removed (Fig. 4c, d).

163	can be digested by trypsin.

164	Other problems remain to be solved in preparing Artemia chromosomes for SEM
165	observations. For example, we were not able to observe the chromosome fiber in this
166	study. For future research on the observation of the fine chromosome structure of
167	crustaceans, we suggest that glutaraldehyde or osmium tetroxide fixation, critical point
168	drying, and cytoplasm digestion by surfactant such as Triton X-100 be attempted in the
169	preparation of the chromosomes.
170	
171	References
172	
173	Abatzopoulos, J., J. A. Beardmore, J. S. Clegg, and P. Sorgeloos. 2002. Artemia:
174	Basic and Applied Biology . Kluwer Academic Publishers.
175	——, C. D. Kastritsis, and C. D. Triantaphyllidis. 1986. A study of karyotypes and
176	heterochromatic associations in Artemia, with special reference to two N. Greek
177	populations. Genetica 71: 3-10.
178	Allen, T. D., E. M. Jack, C. J. Harrison, and D. Claugher. 1988. The three dimensional
179	structure of human metaphase chromosomes determined by scanning electron
180	microscopy, pp. 51-72. In, K. W. Adolph (ed.), Chromosomes and chromatin.

181	Vol.2. CRC Press, Boca Raton.
182	Barigozzi, C., P. Valsasnini, E. Ginelli, G. Badaracco, P. Plevani, and L. Baratelli. 1987.
183	Further data on repetitive DNA and speciation in <i>Artemia</i> , pp. 103-105. In, P.
184	Sorgeloos, D. A. Bengtson, W. Decleir, and E. Jaspers (eds.), Artemia Research
185	and applications Vol. 1. Universa Press, Wetteren, Belgium.
186	———. 1994. The chromosomes of the Artemia living in the Salt Lake of Larnaca,
187	Cyprus. Rendiconti Fisica Accademia dei Lincei 5: 363-365.
188	Browne, R. A., P. Sorgeloos, and C. N. A. Trotman. 1991. Artemia Biology, CRC Press,
189	Boca Raton.
189 190	Boca Raton. Evans, E. P., G. Breckson, and C. E. Ford. 1964. An air-drying method for meiotic
189 190 191	Boca Raton. Evans, E. P., G. Breckson, and C. E. Ford. 1964. An air-drying method for meiotic preparations from mammalian testes. Cytogenetics 3: 289-294.
189 190 191 192	Boca Raton. Evans, E. P., G. Breckson, and C. E. Ford. 1964. An air-drying method for meiotic preparations from mammalian testes. Cytogenetics 3: 289-294. Harrison, C. J., E. M. Jack, T. D. Allen, and R. Harris. 1985. Light and scanning
 189 190 191 192 193 	 Boca Raton. Evans, E. P., G. Breckson, and C. E. Ford. 1964. An air-drying method for meiotic preparations from mammalian testes. Cytogenetics 3: 289-294. Harrison, C. J., E. M. Jack, T. D. Allen, and R. Harris. 1985. Light and scanning electron microscopy of the same metaphase chromosomes. Journal Cell Science
 189 190 191 192 193 194 	 Boca Raton. Evans, E. P., G. Breckson, and C. E. Ford. 1964. An air-drying method for meiotic preparations from mammalian testes. Cytogenetics 3: 289-294. Harrison, C. J., E. M. Jack, T. D. Allen, and R. Harris. 1985. Light and scanning electron microscopy of the same metaphase chromosomes. Journal Cell Science 77: 143-153.
 189 190 191 192 193 194 195 	 Boca Raton. Evans, E. P., G. Breckson, and C. E. Ford. 1964. An air-drying method for meiotic preparations from mammalian testes. Cytogenetics 3: 289-294. Harrison, C. J., E. M. Jack, T. D. Allen, and R. Harris. 1985. Light and scanning electron microscopy of the same metaphase chromosomes. Journal Cell Science 77: 143-153. ,, and 1987. Light and scanning electron microscopy of the

197 Correlative microscopy in biology: Instrumentation and methods. Academic

198 Press, Orlando.

200	Cytobios 14: 39-48.
201	Kingsley-Smith, B. V. 1970. The application of scanning and transmission electron
202	microscopy to a study of whole chromosomes. Micron 2: 39-57.
203	Lecher, P., D. Defaye, and P. Noel. 1995. Chromosomes and nuclear DNA of Crustacea.
204	Invertebrate Reproduction and Development 27: 85-114.
205	Lee, T-H. 1990. Chopping machine for chromosome preparation. IDEN (Tokyo) 44:
206	75-76.
207	, N. Naitoh and F. Yamazaki. 2004. Chromosome studies on the mitten crabs
208	Eriocheir japonica and E. sinensis. Fisheries Science 70: 211-214.
209	Murofushi, M. and Y. Deguchi. 1983. A method for obtaining metaphase chromosomes
210	from large shrimp-like crustaceans. Report of Mishima Research Institute of
211	Science and Living, Nihon University 6: 31-34.
212	Sanchez-Sweatman, O. H., E. P. Harven, and I. D. Dube. 1993. Human chromosomes:
213	Evaluation of processing techniques for scanning electron microscopy. Scanning
214	Microscopy 7: 97-106.
215	Seabright, M. 1971. A rapid banding technique for human chromosomes. Lancet 11:
216	971-972.

lino, A. 1975. Human somatic chromosomes observed by scanning electron microscope.

217	Seed, T. M., L. V. Kaspar, F. Domann, G. K. Niiro, and D. A. LeBuis. 1988.
218	Developmental and radiobiologic characteristics of canine multinucleated,
219	osteoclast-like cells generated in vitro from canine bone marrow. Scanning
220	Microscopy 2: 1599-1611.
221	Sharma, A. K., A. Sharma. 1994. Chromosome Techniques: A Manual. Harwood
222	Academic Publishers.
223	Sorgeloos, P., D. A. Bengston, W. Decleir and E. Jaspers. 1987. Artemia research and its
224	applications. Vol. 1. Universa Press, Wetteren, Belgium.
225	Sumner, A. T. 1996. Problems in preparation of chromosomes for scanning electron
226	microscopy to reveal morphology and to permit immunocytochemistry of
227	sensitive antigens. Scanning Microscopy (Supplement) 10: 165-176.
228	——, Evans, H. J., and Buckland, R. 1971. New techniques for distinguishing
229	between human chromosomes. Nature (London) New Biology 232: 31-32.
230	Yamazaki, F., H. Onozato, and K. Arai. 1981. The chopping method for obtaining
231	permanent chromosome preparation from embryos of teleost fishes. Bulletin
232	Japanese Society of Scientific Fisheries 47: 963.
233	

234 RECEIVED: 16 November 2006

235 ACCEPTED:	6	March	2007
---------------	---	-------	------

237

238	LEGENDS	OF FIGURES
100		OI HIGORE

239 Fig. 1. Artemia parthenogenetica metaphase chromosome plate prepared without

rinsing. a, Observed with phase contrast microscopy (Bar = 100μ m); b, Observed

with scanning electron microscopy (Bar = 100μ m); c, Enlargement of b (Bar = 10μ m).

Arrows show the scrape formed by a surgical blade.

243

Fig. 2. Artemia parthenogenetica metaphase chromosome plate prepared with rinsing and observed with scanning electron microscopy. a and b, Arrows show the edge formed by scraping the impurities with a surgical blade. Black triangles show the range of the impurities. Bars = 5μ m.



253 the impurities. Bars = 5μ m.

255	Fig. 4. Artemia parthenogenetica metaphase chromosome plate prepared with rinsing,
256	treated with trypsin and observed with scanning electron microscopy. a, Treated for
257	one min, almost all of the impurities remained (Bar = 5 μ m); b, treated for 5 min, the
258	impurities decreased (Bar = 5 μ m); c, treated for 60 min, all of the impurities
259	disappeared (Bar = 5 μ m); d, enlargement of c. Black triangles show the range of
260	the impurities (Bar = 1.5μ m).
261	
262	
263	
264	
265	
266	
267	
268	









b