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Characterization of structural proteins of hirame rhabdovirus, HRV
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² National Fisheries Research Center, Bldg. 204, Naval Station, Seattle, Washington 98115, USA
³ Institute for Zoology and Hydrobiology, University of Munich, Kaulbachstraße 37, W-8000 München 22, Germany

ABSTRACT: Structural proteins of hirame rhabdovirus (HRV) were analyzed by SDS-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis, western blotting, 2-dimensional gel electrophoresis, and Triton X-100 treatment. Purified HRV virions were composed of: polymerase (L), glycoprotein (G), nucleoprotein (N), and 2 matrix proteins (M1 and M2). Based upon their relative mobilities, the estimated molecular weights of the proteins were: L, 156 KDa; G, 68 KDa; N, 46.4 KDa; M1, 26.4 KDa; and M2, 19.9 KDa. The electrophoretic pattern formed by the structural proteins of HRV was clearly different from that formed by pike fry rhabdovirus, spring viremia of carp virus, eel virus of America, and eel virus European X which belong to the Vesiculovirus genus; however, it resembled the pattern formed by structural proteins of viral hemorrhagic septicemia virus (VHSV) and infectious hematopoietic necrosis virus (IHNV) which are members of the Lyssavirus genus. Among HRV, IHNV, and VHSV, differences were observed in the relative mobilities of the G, N, M1, and M2 proteins. Western blot analysis revealed that the G, N, and M2 proteins of HRV shared antigenic determinants with IHNV and VHSV, but not with any of the 4 fish vesiculoviruses tested. Cross-reactions between the M1 proteins of HRV, IHNV, or VHSV were not detected in this assay. Two-dimensional gel electrophoresis was used to show that HRV differed from IHNV or VHSV in the isoelectric point (pI) of the M1 and M2 proteins. In this system, 2 forms of the M1 protein of HRV and IHNV were observed. These subspecies of M1 had the same relative mobility but different pI values. Treatment of purified virions with 2% Triton X-100 in Tris buffer containing NaCl removed the G, M1, and M2 proteins of IHNV, but HRV virions were more stable under these conditions.

INTRODUCTION

Hirame rhabdovirus (HRV) was first isolated from moribund hirame (Japanese flounder Paralichthys olivaceus) in Hyogo Prefecture, Japan (Gorie et al. 1985, Kimura et al. 1986, Kimura et al. 1989). Subsequently, HRV was isolated from hirame, ayu Plecoglossus altivelis, and black seabream Milio macrocephalus cultured in Hyogo, Kagawa, Hokkaido, and Mie Prefectures (Yoshimizu et al. 1987), and from mebaru Sebastes inermis imported from Korea to Kagawa Prefecture (Sano & Fukuda 1987). Although HRV is a member of the Rhabdoviridae based upon biochemical and biophysical characteristics, it can be distinguished from other fish rhabdoviruses by serum neutralization tests (Kimura et al. 1986).

Two major genera of the family Rhabdoviridae, Vesiculovirus and Lyssavirus, have been described (Matthews 1982, Wagner 1987). Vesicular stomatitis virus (VSV), the prototype Vesiculovirus, has a virion composed of 5 structural proteins: polymerase (L), glycoprotein (G), nucleocapsid protein (N), a phosphoprotein (P, formerly Ns), and matrix protein (M) (Kang & Prevec 1971, Knipe et al. 1975, Wagner 1987). The virion of rabies virus, the prototype Lyssavirus, is composed of L, G, N, and 2 matrix proteins M1 and M2 (Madore & England 1977, Wagner 1987). Among the rhabdoviruses of fish, pike fry rhabdovirus (PFR), spring viremia of carp virus (SVCV), eel virus of America (EVA) have been shown to be vesiculoviruses while infectious hematopoietic necrosis virus (IHNV) and viral hemorrhagic septicemia virus (VHSV) are lyssaviruses (Hill et al. 1975, Lenoir & de Kinkelin 1975, de Kinkelin et al. 1980, Hill et al. 1980, Leong et al. 1981, Hsu et al. 1985, Kanai et al. 1985, Lorenzen et al. 1988).
We used SDS-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE; Laemmli 1970) to analyze the 5 structural proteins of hirame rhabdovirus and compared their relative mobilities with those of other fish rhabdoviruses. A western blot analysis (Towbin et al. 1979) was used to examine the antigenic relatedness of these structural proteins. Because HRV was similar to IHNV and VHSV by SDS-PAGE, we used 2-dimensional electrophoresis (O'Farrell 1975) to determine the isoelectric points of the structural proteins of these 3 viruses. Finally, we tested the stability of IHNV and HRV in the presence of Triton X-100, which has been shown to selectively remove structural proteins from the IHNV virion (McAlister & Wagner 1975). The results from our study indicate that HRV is a member of the Lyssavirus genus and, while related to both IHNV and VHSV, could be clearly distinguished from them by the methods used in this study.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

**Cell lines and viruses.** Epithelioma papulosum cyprini (EPC; Fijan et al. 1983) and fathead minnow (FHM; Gravell & Malisberger 1965) cell lines were used. The cells were grown at 15°C in Eagle's minimal essential medium (MEM; Gibco) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS; Flow Laboratories), 100 IU ml⁻¹ penicillin (Sigma), and 100 µg ml⁻¹ streptomycin (Sigma).

Isolates of VHSV (strain F1), IHNV (isolated from rainbow trout, cultus laka, Canada), PFR, SVCV, EVEX, and EVA were used as reference viruses. These were kindly provided by: Dr P. V. Jørgensen, State Serum Laboratory, Århus, Demark (VHSV), Dr B. J. Hill, Fish Disease Laboratory, Ministry of Agriculture, Fisheries and Food, UK (IHNV and SVCV), Dr P. de Kinkelin, Institut National de la Recherche Agronomique, France (PFR), and Dr T. Sano, Laboratory of Fish Pathology, Tokyo University of Fisheries, Japan (EVEX and EVA).

**Purification of viruses.** Monolayers of FHM cells grown in 75 cm² plastic tissue culture flasks (Falcon) were infected with virus at a low multiplicity of infection (0.01 to 0.001) and incubated at 15°C. After 7 d, or when monolayers showed complete lysis, the culture fluid was collected and clarified by centrifugation (4000×g, 10 min). Virus in the supernatant was precipitated by addition of 7.0% (w/v) polyethylene glycol 6000 (PEG) and 2.3% (w/v) NaCl. The mixture was stirred overnight at 4°C. After low-speed centrifugation (3000×g, 30 min), the pellet was resuspended in 1/10 volume of STE buffer (0.02 M Tris-HCl, 0.1 M NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, pH 7.4) and the mixture centrifuged (4000×g, 10 min) to remove PEG. Viral particles in the supernatant were collected by centrifugation (18 500×g, 150 min). The pellet was resuspended in STE buffer and the virus centrifuged (80 000×g, 90 min) through a discontinuous gradient composed of 50%, 35%, and 20% sucrose (w/w) in STE. The virus band was harvested from the 20/35% interface and pelleted by centrifugation (150 000×g, 60 min). The pelleted virus was resuspended in STE buffer and the virions centrifuged (43 000×g, 30 min), through a continuous gradient of 5 to 30% sucrose (w/w) in STE. The band of purified virus was removed and collected by centrifugation (150 000×g, 60 min). Finally, the pellet was resuspended in STE and stored at -80°C until used.

**SDS-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis.** Purified virus was mixed with an equal volume of denaturing buffer (166 mM Tris-HCl, pH 6.8; 5.3% SDS; 13% 2-mercaptoethanol) and heated (100°C, 3 min). After cooling, a tracking dye and glycerol mixture (0.5% Bromphenol Blue, 70% glycerol, 0.0625 M Tris-HCl, pH 6.8) was added and the samples stored at -20°C.

SDS-PAGE analysis of virion proteins was performed using 10% acrylamide separating gels overlayed with 2.5% acrylamide stacking gels. The samples were electrophoresed under constant current of 10 mA for 1 h through the stacking gel and then 20 mA for 2 h in the slab gel. After electrophoretic separation, the gels were stained with silver nitrate and the relative mobilities of the proteins determined by comparison with internal standard proteins of known molecular weight (Sigma).

**Western blot analysis.** Proteins separated by SDS-PAGE were transferred to nitrocellulose (NC) membranes (0.45 µm, Advantec) and reacted with antiserum using a modification of the method of Towbin et al. (1979). The proteins were transferred to NC at constant current of 180 mA for 90 min in blotting buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl, 192 mM glycine, pH 8.3) and the membrane blocked using 2% (w/v) skim milk in TBS buffer (0.9% NaCl in 20 mM Tris, pH 8.0). The membranes were washed for 20 min in TBS then treated for 2 h with antiserum to HRV or IHNV diluted in TBS containing 0.2% (w/v) bovine serum albumin (BSA). After washing 20 min in TBS, the membranes were treated for 1 h with peroxidase-conjugated swine anti-rabbit IgG (Dako) in TBS containing 0.2% BSA. The membranes were again washed for 20 min in TBS and stained by addition of 0.5 mg ml⁻¹ 3,3'-diaminobenzidine tetrahydrochloride and 0.05% (w/v) H₂O₂ in TBS buffer.

**Two-dimensional electrophoresis.** Two-dimensional gel electrophoresis (2DGE) was performed using a modification of a commercial protocol (Pharmacia). For the first dimension, isoelectric focusing (IEF) tube gels (180 × 2.7 mm) were prepared with 4% acrylamide, 2% pharmalyte pH 3–10 (Pharmacia), 8 M urea, and 2% NP-40. After adding overlay solution of 2% phar-
malayte, 8 M urea, and 2 % NP-40, the tube gels were placed between a cathode buffer (0.02 M NaOH) and anode buffer (0.01 M H₃PO₄) and prefocused at 300 to 400 V for 30 min.

Samples for IEF were prepared by adding 2 volumes of purified virus to 3 volumes of an SDS/urea solution (5 % SDS, 9 M urea, 2 % pharmalyte, 5 % 2-mercaptoethanol). After incubation at room temperature for 15 min, 3 volumes of NP-40/urea solution (4 % NP-40, 9 M urea, 2 % pharmalyte, 5 % 2-mercaptoethanol) were added and the mixture incubated for another 15 min. The samples were placed on top of the IEF tube gels and the proteins separated by electrophoresis at 500 V for 16 h.

After IEF, a control gel was sliced into 1 cm sections which were transferred to 1 ml portions of distilled water for 5 h incubation at room temperature when the pH of each fraction was measured. Gels containing samples for 2DGE were removed from the tubes, incubated for 30 min in equilibration buffer (0.0625 M Tris-HCl pH 6.8, 2 % SDS, 5 % 2-mercaptoethanol), and fixed to tops of 2 polyacrylamide gels with melted agarose in equilibrium buffer. Second dimension electrophoresis conditions and gels were the same as for SDS-PAGE.

Treatment of virions with Triton X-100. Purified virus was suspended in 10 mM Tris buffer (pH 7.4) with or without 2 % Triton X-100 containing different concentrations of NaCl (0, 0.43, and 0.72 M). After incubation at 25 ℃ for 60 min, the treated virions were pelleted by centrifugation (150000×g, 60 min) and the viral pellet resuspended in SDS-PAGE sample buffer. Preparation of SDS-PAGE gels and electrophoresis conditions were the same as described.

RESULTS

Structural proteins of HRV

The results of SDS-PAGE analysis of the structural proteins of HRV, IHNV, VHSV, PFR, SVCV, EVEX, and EVA are shown in Fig. 1. The patterns of HRV, IHNV, and VHSV were similar to each other, but differed from those of PFR, SVCV, EVEX, and EVA. Differences were observed between HRV, IHNV, and VHSV in the relative mobilities of the G, N, M1 and M2 proteins. The HRV virion contained 5 structural proteins with estimated molecular weights of 156, 68, 46.4, 26.4, and 19.9 KDa. The M2 protein of HRV was smaller, while the N protein was larger, than the corresponding proteins of IHNV or VHSV (Table 1). The HRV M1 protein was larger than that of VHSV but about the same as that of IHNV. These results showed that HRV was a typical member of the Lyssavirus genus, but differing from IHNV and VHSV.

Table 1. Molecular weight estimates in kilodaltons of the structural proteins of 7 fish rhabdoviruses based upon relative mobility in SDS-polyacrylamide gels as shown in Fig. 1. The 3 lyssaviruses, HRV, IHNV, and VHSV, could be differentiated based upon relative mobilities of the N and M1 proteins.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Protein</th>
<th>HRV</th>
<th>IHNV</th>
<th>VHSV</th>
<th>PFR</th>
<th>SVCV</th>
<th>EVEX</th>
<th>EVA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>L</td>
<td>156</td>
<td>156</td>
<td>156</td>
<td>156</td>
<td>156</td>
<td>156</td>
<td>156</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G</td>
<td>68.0</td>
<td>67.8</td>
<td>68.5</td>
<td>76.8</td>
<td>76.0</td>
<td>67.2</td>
<td>67.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>46.4</td>
<td>42.0</td>
<td>43.5</td>
<td>49.1</td>
<td>49.1</td>
<td>48.7</td>
<td>48.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M1</td>
<td>26.4</td>
<td>26.4</td>
<td>24.8</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>23.7</td>
<td>23.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>24.0</td>
<td>24.0</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M2</td>
<td>19.9</td>
<td>20.6</td>
<td>20.6</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Fig. 2 Western blot analysis of the structural proteins of hirame rhabdovirus (HRV, lane 1); infectious hematopoietic necrosis virus (IHNV, lane 2); viral hemorrhagic septicemia virus (VHSV, lane 3); pike fry rhabdovirus (PFR, lane 4); spring viremia of carp virus (SVCV, lane 5); eel virus European X (EVEX, lane 6); and eel virus of America (EVA, lane 7). Proteins were transferred to nitrocellulose membranes and reacted with antiserum against HRV or IHNV. With the HRV antiserum (left), cross-reactions were seen with the glycoprotein (G) of IHNV and VHSV and with the nucleoprotein (N) and matrix protein 2 (M2) of IHNV. Similarly, using antiserum against IHNV (right), cross-reactions were observed with the G and M2 proteins of HRV and VHSV and with the N protein of HRV. No cross-reactions were observed with matrix protein 1 (M1) or with any proteins of PFR, SVCV, EVEX, and EVA.

Western blot analysis

Antisera against HRV and IHNV showed extensive cross-reactions with structural proteins of the 3 fish lyssaviruses but not with the proteins of the 4 fish vesiculoviruses tested (Fig. 2). While staining was strongest between each antiserum and the homologous virus, anti-HRV serum showed significant reactions with the G, N, and M2 proteins of IHNV and the G proteins of VHSV. Conversely, the antiserum against IHNV cross-reacted strongly with the G proteins of HRV and VHSV and, to a lesser extent, with the N and M2 proteins. The M1 protein of HRV and IHNV did not cross-react to any detectable extent. These results suggest that HRV, IHNV, and VHSV share a significant number of antigenic determinants with each other, but not with any of the fish vesiculoviruses.

Two-dimensional electrophoresis of HRV, IHNV, and VHSV

The results of the 2-dimensional gel electrophoresis of HRV, IHNV, and VHSV are shown in Table 2. In the IEF dimension, the pH gradient was linear from pH 5.0 to 11.0. Comparing HRV, IHNV, and VHSV, the pl values of the L, G, and N proteins were similar, but the pl values of the M1 and M2 proteins were quite different and could be used to distinguish HRV from IHNV and VHSV. The 2DE gel revealed the M1 proteins of both HRV and IHNV migrated to form 2 separate spots which had the same molecular weight but different pl. The M1 protein of VHSV produced only one spot in the 2-dimensional electrophoresis gel.

Treatment of viruses with Triton X-100

We tested the effect of Triton X-100 on the stability of the virions of HRV and IHNV (Fig. 3). The G protein of IHNV was readily removed by Triton X-100 and both the M1 and M2 proteins were removed by Triton X-100 containing 0.43 M or 0.72 M NaCl. However, the structural proteins of HRV were much more stable under the same conditions.
DISCUSSION

The HRV virion was composed of 5 structural proteins with estimated molecular weights of 156, 68, 46.4, 26.4, and 19.9 KDa. The SDS-PAGE pattern formed by the proteins of HRV was clearly different from that of the vesiculoviruses PFR, SVCV, EVEX, and EVA, but similar to that of the fish lyssaviruses IHNV and VHSV. However, HRV could be distinguished from IHNV and VHSV by the molecular weights of the G, N, M1, and M2 proteins. Hsu et al. (1986) examined 71 isolates of IHNV from North America by SDS-PAGE and described 5 electropherotypes based upon differences in relative mobilities of the G and N proteins. In our SDS-PAGE gels, the estimated molecular weight of the N protein of HRV fell outside of the range of molecular weights reported for those strains of IHNV. Yoshimizu et al. (1987) showed that 11 isolates of HRV from fish in Japan had the same SDS-PAGE patterns.

Western blot analysis revealed significant antigenic relatedness between the G, N, and M2 proteins of HRV, IHNV, and VHSV. While the extent of these cross-reactions can be expected to vary depending upon the source of the antisera, we did not detect any cross-reaction in the M1 proteins of HRV and IHNV suggesting they are unique viruses. While the fish lyssaviruses appeared to share a large number of antigenic determinants, there was no antigenic relatedness with the fish vesiculoviruses indicating a greater evolutionary distance. Our results are analogous to those reported by Jorgensen et al. (1989) who performed a western blot analysis of the structural proteins of SCVC, PFR, and VHSV using antisera against SVCV and PFR. These antisera reacted specifically with the NS protein of the homologous virus, but showed cross-reactions between the G and N protein of SCVC and PFR. In addition, the PFR antiserum stained the M proteins of both viruses. No cross-reaction was seen with any of the VHSV structural proteins.

Two-dimensional electrophoresis was used to compare the structural proteins of HRV, IHNV, and VHSV. The pI of the M2 protein of HRV was more acidic than that of IHNV and VHSV and could be used to distinguish HRV from IHNV or VHSV. The M1 protein of both HRV and IHNV formed 2 spots with different pI values. This may be due to differences in the degree of phosphorylation of the M1 protein of these viruses. McAllister & Wagner (1975) determined both the N and M1 protein of IHNV, but only the N protein of VHSV was a phosphoprotein.

Triton X-100 treatment of the HRV, IHNV, and VHSV virions showed that the G protein of IHNV was easily removed by treatment with Triton X-100 in low ionic strength buffer. In the presence of Triton X-100 and salt, the M1 and M2 proteins of IHNV were solubilized in addition to the G protein. These results are consistent with the findings of McAllister & Wagner (1975) and Lenoir & de Kinkelin (1975). In contrast, the structural proteins of HRV were substantially more stable in the presence of Triton X-100 or Triton X-100 containing NaCl. It is not clear why the virions of HRV were more stable than those of IHNV.

In this study, the structural proteins of HRV could be distinguished from IHNV and VHSV by differences in relative mobility in SDS-PAGE, lack of antigenic relatedness of the M1 protein and western blots, differing pI values of the M1 and M2 proteins, and the stability in the presence of Triton X-100. Taken together, these data indicate that HRV is a novel fish rhabdovirus.
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