

HOKKAIDO UNIVERSITY

Title	The leaf anatomy of a broad-leaved evergreen allows an increase in leaf nitrogen content in winter
Author(s)	Muller, Onno; Oguchi, Riichi; Hirose, Tadaki; Werger, Marinus J. A.; Hikosaka, Kouki
Citation	Physiologia Plantarum, 136(3), 299-309 https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1399-3054.2009.01224.x
Issue Date	2009-07
Doc URL	http://hdl.handle.net/2115/43177
Rights	The definitive version is available at www.blackwell-synergy.com
Туре	article (author version)
File Information	PP136-3_299-309.pdf



Title:

The leaf anatomy of a broad-leaved evergreen allows an increase in leaf nitrogen content in winter.

Authors:

Onno Muller^{a,b,e*}, Riichi Oguchi^{c,a}, Tadaki Hirose^d, Marinus J.A. Werger^e and Kouki Hikosaka^a

Addresses:

a) Graduate school of Life Sciences, Tohoku University, Aoba Sendai 980-8578, Japan
b) Tomakomai Research Station, Forest Research Station, Field Science Center for Northern
Biosphere, Hokkaido University, Takaoka, Tomakomai, Hokkaido, 053-0035, Japan
c) Graduate School of Sciences, The University of Tokyo, 7-3-1 Hongo, Bunkyo-ku 113-0033, Japan
d) Tokyo University of Agriculture, Sakuragaoka 1-1-1, Setagaya, Tokyo 156-8502, Japan,
e) Department of Plant Ecology and Biodiversity, Institute of Environmental Biology, Utrecht
University, Sorbonnelaan 16, PO BOX 80084, 3508 TB Utrecht, The Netherlands

*)Corresponding author:	onnomuller@hotmail.com
	Tel.+81-22-795-6698
	Fax.+81-22-795-6699

Abstract

In temperate regions, evergreen species are exposed to large seasonal changes in air temperature and irradiance. They change photosynthetic characteristics of leaves responding to such environmental changes. Recent studies have suggested that photosynthetic acclimation is strongly constrained by leaf anatomy such as leaf thickness, mesophyll and chloroplast surface facing the intercellular space, and the chloroplast volume. We studied how these parameters of leaf anatomy are related with photosynthetic seasonal acclimation. We evaluated differential effects of winter and summer irradiance on leaf anatomy and photosynthesis. Using a broad-leaved evergreen Aucuba japonica, we performed a transfer experiment in which irradiance regimes were changed at the beginning of autumn and of spring. We found that a vacant space on mesophyll surface in summer enabled chloroplast volume to increase in winter. The leaf nitrogen and Rubisco content were higher in winter than in summer. They were correlated significantly with chloroplast volume and with chloroplast surface area facing the intercellular space. Thus, summer leaves were thicker than needed to accommodate mesophyll surface chloroplasts at this time of year but this allowed for increases in mesophyll surface chloroplasts in the winter. It appears that summer leaf anatomical characteristics help facilitate photosynthetic acclimation to winter conditions. Photosynthetic capacity and photosynthetic nitrogen use efficiency were lower in winter than in summer but it appears that these reductions were partially compensated by higher Rubisco contents and mesophyll surface chloroplast area in winter foliage.

Introduction

Evergreens inhabiting the understory in a temperate deciduous forest are exposed to large changes in temperature and light over the year. Air temperature is around the freezing point in winter and about 25° C in summer (Röhrig 1991). The irradiance in the understory changes dramatically with shedding and flushing of canopy leaves. Daily integrated PPFD under an open canopy is three to tenfold higher in winter than in summer despite the shorter day length (Skillman *et al.* 1996; Muller *et al.* 2005).

Leaves acclimate to changes in temperature and irradiance (e.g. Berry and Björkman 1981; Björkman 1981; Huner *et al.* 1998). In evergreens several leaf traits change seasonally: they have more nitrogen and Rubisco, a higher Chl a/b ratio, a higher Rubisco/Chl ratio, and a lower Chl/nitrogen ratio in winter (Skillman *et al.* 1996). These parameters of photosynthesis are correlated to ambient temperature and irradiance (Muller *et al.* 2005), and may represent adaptive responses to the seasonal changes in environmental conditions.

Leaf anatomy has been shown to play an important role in photosynthetic acclimation in herbaceous and deciduous tree species. Leaves are thicker with a higher leaf nitrogen content and a higher P_{max} when grown at high than at low irradiance (Terashima *et al.* 2001). Thicker leaves allow for a larger chloroplast surface area facing the intercellular space (S_c), which is essential for efficient CO₂ diffusion to the carboxylation site in the chloroplasts (von Caemmerer and Evans 1991; Evans *et al.* 1994; Terashima *et al.* 2001). However, mature leaves in most species do not have flexibility in leaf anatomy when environmental conditions change (Sims and Pearcy 1992). Recently Oguchi *et al.* (2003, 2005, 2006) showed that shade leaves in a annual herb and several deciduous tree species had vacant space along the mesophyll cell surface, which allowed for an increase in S_c and P_{max} when irradiance increased. On the other hand, shade leaves of *Fagus crenata* had less vacant space and changed neither leaf nitrogen content nor P_{max} when irradiance increased (Oguchi *et al.* 2005). These results suggest that, to increase P_{max}, leaves need to be thicker than the minimum to arrange all chloroplasts to fill the mesophyll surface (Oguchi *et al.* 2005). Although thick shade leaves are costly in terms of biomass investment, an increase in carbon gain with photosynthetic acclimation is greater than the invested biomass to allow acclimation if gaps are formed (Oguchi *et al.* 2008).

3

Although several studies showed that mature leaves of evergreen species change photosynthetic components in response to seasonal environmental changes (Skillman *et al.* 1996, Miyazawa and Kikuzawa 2005), there seems no study on the role of leaf anatomy, particularly of the vacant space in those changes. Because evergreen species in the understory of a deciduous forest are exposed to a large increase in irradiance and a decrease in temperature from summer to winter, we hypothesize that they have a large vacant space in summer to allow an increase in chloroplast volume towards winter. Alternatively, the leaf anatomy may limit an increase in S_c and an increase in chloroplast volume would not increase the light saturated photosynthetic rate at ambient CO_2 (P_{max}). This might have been the case for the studies that showed a decrease in P_{max} in spite of an increase in leaf nitrogen content in winter (Muller et al. 2005, Miyazawa and Kikuzawa 2005). If the increase in leaf nitrogen during winter was solely for a non-functional storage (Warren and Adams 2004) the leaf anatomy would maximize photosynthesis in summer and not in winter , and there would be no abundant vacant space along the mesophyll cell surface walls.

The aim of the study was to elucidate how leaf anatomy relates to the photosynthetic acclimation to the seasonal environment. More specifically, our question was whether leaf anatomy was to maximize photosynthesis in summer or in winter. To answer this question, we performed a transfer experiment in which irradiance of the plants was changed at the beginning of autumn and of spring. If leaf anatomy was to maximize photosynthesis in winter, plants that were exposed to high irradiance in winter would have greater leaf thickness irrespective of irradiance in summer. If it was to minimize biomass investment, leaf thickness would be determined by irradiance in summer. We raised *Aucuba japonica*, an evergreen shrub in temperate forests in Japan, for two years at different irradiance regimes under the natural seasonal changes in temperature. Seasonal changes in photosynthetic and anatomical traits were studied on new leaves in the second year of the experiment.

Materials and methods

Aucuba japonica Thunb. is a common understory shrub in Japan. It is evergreen and dioecious with relatively large and long-lived leaves. Under natural conditions in central Japan the mean life span of

leaves was 2.6 years (Yamamura 1992). After bud break in April it flowers, expands new leaves and shed the oldest leaves within about one month.

In August 2001 we collected saplings in the forest dominated by *Quercus serrata* adjacent to the experimental garden (38°15' N 140°50' E) of Tohoku University. The saplings were about 20 cm high and 3-4 years old. They were not branched and had 2-4 current-year leaves and 2-4 previous-year leaves. *A. japonica* had relatively thick roots that were easily excavated from the humus layer of the forest soil without visible damage to the roots. The saplings were potted individually in 4-litre pots in a 16:3 mixture of kiryusuna (Japanese volcanic sand) and pelapon (cocos fibre). Each pot received 20 ml of a commercial nutrient solution (1.8 mM [N], Hyponex NPK = 6:10:5) weekly. Additional water was provided when needed. In winter, nutrients were given every two weeks. The plants were grown under one or three layers of neutral shading cloth, high and low irradiance, respectively, in a vinyl house with open sides. Irradiance (PPFD) was measured with a quantum sensor (LI190-SA, Li-Cor Inc, Lincoln, NE, USA) connected to a data logger (LI1000, Li-Cor) at one-hour intervals. Temperature in the vinyl house was measured with Onset temperature loggers (StowAway Tidbit Temperature logger, Onset, MA, USA).

Plants were allotted randomly to four sets with different irradiance regimes to test the effect of irradiance in relation to temperature over the years. One set of plants was grown at high irradiance (I_{high}) and the second at low irradiance (I_{low}) throughout for two years. The third set of plants was grown at high irradiance in winter and low irradiance in summer (I_{dec}) simulating the deciduous understory conditions. The fourth set was inverse to the previous one with low irradiance in winter and high irradiance in summer (I_{inv}) . Idee and I_{inv} sets of plants were transferred between high and low irradiance treatments on 20 November 2002, 3 May 2003, 10 November 2003 and 25 April 2004 (Fig.1), approximately at the time when the surrounding deciduous forests shed old leaves (November) or flushed new leaves (April/May). Photosynthetic measurements were taken in the second year from summer 2003 to spring 2004.

Three healthy plants were selected from each of the 4 irradiance regimes (I_{high} , I_{low} , I_{dec} , I_{inv}) for photosynthesis measurement and biochemical analyses every season. Measurements were taken in the laboratory within about 2 weeks: summer, 15-30 August 2003; autumn, 26 November–5 December 2003; winter, 30 January –12 February 2004; spring 20 May- 30 May 2004. Thus measurements were taken more than 2 weeks after transfer in I_{dec} and I_{inv} . One non-shaded leaf per plant was selected for photosynthesis measurements on the attached leaf using a portable gas exchange system (Li-6400-40, LiCor). The light-saturated rate of photosynthesis (P_{max}) was determined at a CO₂ concentration of 370 µmol mol⁻¹, PPFD of 1000 µmol m⁻² s⁻¹, leaf temperature of 15 °C and 80% relative humidity. After photosynthesis measurements in a controlled temperature room the plants were returned to their respective irradiance regime outside. Within 1-2 days the leaves used for photosynthesis were harvested in the morning and subjected to biochemical analysis and leaf anatomy. For these analyses at least 5 leaf discs of 10 mm in diameter were punched out per leaf in the laboratory.

Three leaf discs were dried at 80°C for more than 3 days. After dry mass determination, nitrogen (N) content was determined with an NC analyzer (NC-80, Shimadzu, Kyoto, Japan). At least 2 leaf discs were stored at -80°C for determination of Rubisco as described in Muller et al. (2005). Of the remaining leaf, sections of about 1 x 2 mm were cut out and directly prepared for light microscopy as described by Oguchi *et al.* (2003). The fixated leaves were embedded in Spurr's resin and 0.8 μ m thick cross-sections were cut. One or two cross-sections per leaf were analysed using the image processing software ImageJ v1.36b (NIH, MD, USA). The surface area of mesophyll cells facing the intercellular space (S_{mes}), the area of chloroplast surfaces facing the intercellular space (S_c) and the total chloroplast volume per unit leaf area (V_{chl}) were calculated. To convert length in cross-section to surface area, a curvature factor (F) was determined assuming that the shape of the palisade cells was a cylinder with flat ends and that the sponge cell was a spheroid (Thain 1983). F was 1.26-1.35 in palisade and 1.12 -1.23 in spongy cells.

The value of P_{max} was analysed as the product of leaf nitrogen content per leaf area (N_{area}) and the photosynthetic nitrogen use efficiency (PNUE):

 $P_{max} = N_{area}$. PNUE

(1)

Considering that most leaf N is in chloroplasts (Evans 1989) and that arrangement of chloroplasts along mesophyll surface is affected by various morphological parameters, N_{area} was analyzed as follows (Oguchi *et al.* 2005):

$$N_{area} = N_{area} / V_{chl} \cdot V_{chl} / S_c \cdot S_c / S_{mes} \cdot S_{mes}$$
(2)

 N_{area}/V_{chl} indicates the N concentration per unit chloroplast volume, if a fixed fraction of leaf N is in chloroplasts (Evans 1989), V_{chl}/S_c indicates chloroplast thickness, S_c/S_{mes} is the fraction of chloroplast area facing the intercellular space.

PNUE was analysed as the product of the following factors:

$$PNUE = P_{max}/Rubisco . Rubisco/N_{area}$$
(3)

where Rubisco is the Rubisco content per leaf area. P_{max} /Rubisco may decrease when the CO₂ concentration in the chloroplast decreases (Hikosaka *et al.* 1998), when the activation state of Rubisco and of other photosynthetic proteins decreases (Mott and Woodrow 2000), or when photoinhibition occurs (Adams *et al.* 2004). Rubisco/N_{area} indicates the nitrogen partitioning between photosynthetic and non-photosynthetic components within leaves (Hikosaka 2004).

The effects of irradiance and temperature on leaf traits over the year were tested by ANOVA. The effects of summer and winter irradiance were tested by grouping the sets of plants with the same irradiance in summer and winter, i.e. I_{high} and I_{inv} (high irradiance in summer) versus I_{low} and I_{dec} (low irradiance in summer) to test the 'summer-irradiance'effect and I_{high} and I_{dec} (high irradiance in winter) versus I_{low} and I_{inv} (low irradiance in winter) to test the 'summer-irradiance'effect and I_{high} and I_{dec} (high irradiance in winter) versus I_{low} and I_{inv} (low irradiance in winter) to test the 'winter-irradiance' effect by ANOVA. Statistical analyses were done using SYSTAT (Systat Software Inc., San Jose).

Results

Growth conditions

The daily average PPFD was on average 5.1 mol m⁻² day⁻¹ (ranging from 2.2 to 11.8 over the year) in high irradiance and 1.4 mol m⁻² day⁻¹ (ranging from 0.5 to 3.0 over the year, Fig. 1a) in low irradiance. In both irradiance levels the daily average PPFD decreased gradually from summer to winter and increased sharply towards spring as a result of the increase in the solar elevation and the predominance of a clear sky. The seasonal change in temperature ranged from a daily average temperature of 21.2 °C in August to -0.5 °C in January (Fig. 1b). The monthly maximum and minimum temperatures were on average 10.1 °C higher and 6.8 °C lower, respectively, than the daily average temperature. The two irradiance regimes had no discernible effect on daily average, minimum, or maximum temperatures during the year (data not shown).

Seasonal change in physiological and morphological traits

There was a significant seasonal change in P_{max} , which tended to decrease from summer to winter (Fig. 2a, Table 1). Although growth irradiance did not significantly affect P_{max} , there was a significant interaction between season and growth irradiance in the effect on P_{max} (Table 1). In summer, P_{max} tended to be higher in high irradiance (I_{high} and I_{inv}) than in low irradiance regimes (I_{low} and I_{dec}), while in winter, an opposite pattern was observed in which P_{max} was higher in low irradiance regimes (I_{low} and I_{inv}). N_{area} also showed a significant seasonal change (Table 1). N_{area} increased from summer to autumn in I_{low} and I_{dec} , or from summer to winter in I_{high} and I_{inv} (Fig. 2b). In spring N_{area} decreased to similar values of the previous summer. N_{area} tended to be higher in leaves exposed to high irradiance in winter (I_{high} and I_{dec}) than those in low irradiance in winter (I_{low} and I_{inv}) through the year (Fig. 2b). PNUE decreased from summer to winter in parallel to changes in P_{max} . In summer and autumn PNUE was higher in plants grown at high summer-irradiance regimes (I_{high} and I_{inv}), whereas in winter PNUE was highest in the plants growing at low irradiance (I_{low} and I_{inv}).

The Rubisco content changed significantly in a similar pattern to N_{area} and was highest in autumn (I_{high} and I_{dec}) or winter (I_{low} and I_{inv}) (Table 2). C_i showed significant seasonal changes (Table 1). C_i first decreased from summer to autumn, and then increased or remained similar (I_{inv}) in winter and thereafter decreased to its lowest values of the year in spring (Table 2). Leaf mass per area (LMA) showed a significant seasonal change and was also significantly different between irradiance regimes (Table 1). LMA increased from summer to winter and was higher at high irradiance regimes from summer to winter (Table 2).

Cross sections of I_{high} and I_{low} leaves in summer and winter are illustrated by light microscopic photographs (Fig. 3). Although I_{high} leaves seem to have one more palisade layer than I_{low} , there was no significant effect of season and growth irradiance on leaf thickness (Fig. 4) and S_{mes} (mesophyll surface area, Table 3) (Table 1). On the other hand, there were significant effects of season and growth irradiance on chloroplast morphologies. V_{chl} (chloroplast volume per leaf area) changed seasonally and S_c (chloroplast surface area) was affected by growth irradiance (Table 1). Both V_{chl} and S_c were higher in autumn/winter and at high irradiance (Fig. 4b, c). Reflecting the changes in S_c , S_c/S_{mes} tended to be higher in autumn/winter (Table 3). V_{chl}/S_c (chloroplast thickness) also showed a seasonal change and tended to increase in winter (Tables 1, 3). However, N_{area}/V_{chl} , which indicates the protein concentration in chloroplasts, was not affected by season and growth irradiance (Tables 1, 3).

Relationships among parameters

The chloroplast related traits were correlated significantly with leaf nitrogen content except S_{mes} (Fig. 5). V_{chl} , V_{chl}/S_c and S_c all increased with N_{area} with no differences between seasons (ANCOVA among slopes P= 0.50, 0.33 and 0.92, among intercepts P=0.47, 0.06 and 0.23 for V_{chl} , V_{chl}/S_c and S_c , respectively). There was a positive linear relation between the Rubisco content and N_{area} (Fig. 6b) that differed between seasons (ANCOVA: among slopes P=0.48 and among intercepts P=0.03). The Rubisco content at a given N_{area} increased from summer to spring. P_{max} was positively correlated with the Rubisco content in autumn and winter but was not in the other seasons (Fig. 6a). The $P_{max}/Rubisco$ ratio was correlated negatively with V_{chl}/S_c (Fig. 7a) and positively with C_i (Fig. 7b) across all seasons.

Effects of summer and winter irradiance

Summer irradiance had significant effects on P_{max} and PNUE (Table 1): Both P_{max} and PNUE in summer were higher in leaves that were in high irradiance in summer (Fig. 2). However, other traits were less affected by summer irradiance. On the other hand, winter irradiance affected more photosynthetic traits (Table 1). N_{area} , C_i , V_{chl} and S_c were higher in leaves that were exposed to high irradiance in winter (Fig. 2, 4, Table 1, 2). LMA and S_{mes} were also higher in leaves that were exposed to high irradiance in winter (Tables 2, 3). These results suggest that leaf morphology was more strongly determined by winter than summer irradiance.

Discussion

Morphology and function

We found that there was a large vacant space for chloroplast arrangement along mesophyll surface (i.e. $1-S_c/S_{mes}$) in summer (Table 3). This enabled S_c to increase with increasing N_{area} in winter (Figure 2, 4, 5) in spite of constant S_{mes} (Table 3). This change in S_c from summer to winter was similar to that observed in an annual and several deciduous tree species when their shade leaves were transferred to high irradiance (Oguchi et al. 2003, 2005, 2006). Just as the leaf anatomy of shade-tolerant plants has been shown to allow for increases in S_c following gap formation, results presented here indicate that leaves were thicker than needed in the summer which facilitated acclimation-related increases in S_c in the winter.

Nevertheless, the increase in S_c from summer to winter did not contribute to increasing photosynthetic rates. Rather, P_{max} decreased substantially from summer to winter/spring when PNUE also decreased(Fig. 2). As the Rubisco content per unit nitrogen did not decrease (Fig. 6), the reduction in P_{max} and PNUE should be ascribed to a decrease in P_{max} per unit Rubisco (Fig. 6, see Eqn. 3). The decrease in P_{max} per Rubisco from summer to autumn has also been observed in *Tiarella cordifolia* and *Daphniphyllum humile* growing in the understory (Rothstein and Zak 2001; Katahata *et al.* 2005). The change in P_{max} /Rubisco ratio is attributable to (1) changes in properties of Rubisco (i.e. decreased Rubisco activation state), (2) inhibition of the photosynthetic apparatus, or (3) limited supply of CO₂. The first possibility recalls the argument that evergreen leaves accumulate Rubisco as an inactive storage protein in winter (Warren and Adams 2004). However, it does not explain the increase in Rubisco in spring, when Rubisco if stored would be used for new leaf formation (Table 2).

The second and third possibilities for the decrease in P_{max} per Rubisco are related to stress in low temperature and high irradiance in winter. Recent studies have shown that the rate of Rubiscolimited photosynthesis decreases with increasing photoinhibition (Hikosaka et al. 2004; Hirotsu et al. 2005, Miyazawa *et al.* 2007). The plants grown at high irradiance in winter had a high C_i but lower P_{max} and PNUE values than plants growing at low irradiance (Fig. 2), suggesting an involvement of photoinhibition. Photoinhibition by high irradiance in winter has been well documented (e.g. Adams et al. 2004). The third possibility, CO₂ diffusion, might have involved in A. japonica leaves. Except for winter, P_{max} per Rubisco was well correlated with C_i , suggesting that stomatal conductance was responsible. Experimental studies have shown that leaves grown at lower temperatures tend to have lower C_i (Hikosaka et al. 1999, 2006; Yamori et al. 2006). Additionally we found that the P_{max}/Rubisco ratio was negatively correlated with chloroplast thickness (V_{chl}/S_c, Fig. 7). The length of the diffusion path to Rubisco increases with increasing chloroplast thickness (Terashima et al. 2001, 2006), which might have lowered the CO₂ concentration at the carboxylation site and thus the Pmax/Rubisco ratio. If the decrease in the Pmax/Rubisco ratio was a result of photoinhibitory damage and/or of an acclimatory response of stomata to prevent water loss, the complementary increase in V_{chl} and S_c rather suggests an increase in the total photosynthetic machinery to function at low temperature, although actual P_{max} was low. Warren and Adams (2004) also suggested the possibility that the larger amounts of Rubisco are effective for photosynthesis in suboptimal conditions.

It should be noted, however, that all the mesophyll surface was not filled by chloroplasts in winter (Fig. 3, Table 3). It may be because leaves are constructed to acclimate to further increase in irradiance or to further decrease in temperature. Substantial vacant space may also be necessary for other functions such as chloroplast movement (Kasahara *et al.* 2002, Tholen *et al.* 2008).

How do environmental conditions affect leaf traits?

11

N_{area} of *A. japonica* leaves increased in autumn to winter (Fig. 2), as has been shown for *A. japonica* grown under natural conditions (Yamamura and Kimura 1992; Muller *et al.* 2005). This has also been shown in perennial evergreen herbs (Skillman *et al.* 1996), broadleaved evergreens (Miyazawa and Kikuzawa 2005) and in evergreen conifers (Warren and Adams 2001; Han *et al.* 2004). Under natural conditions the irradiance in the understory increases strongly with shedding of canopy leaves when air temperature decreases in autumn. Experimental studies have shown that both temperature and irradiance potentially affect N_{area}: N_{area} tends to be higher when grown at higher irradiance (e.g. Field 1983, Evans 1989) or at low temperature (Weih and Karlsson 2001; Yamori *et al.* 2005; Hikosaka 2005). In the natural environment, N_{area} in *A. japonica* correlated with both irradiance and temperature (Muller *et al.* 2005). In the present study, where plants were exposed to natural changes in temperature with the controlled irradiances, N_{area} was affected by seasonal changes in temperature rather than by growth irradiance (Table 1). This suggests that temperature rather than irradiance primarily determines the changes in N_{area} in natural conditions for this species.

S_{mes} significantly differed depending on winter irradiance (Table 1, 3). As new leaves of *A*. *japonica* that are in bud in winter emerge with the flush of canopy trees, winter irradiance may be detected by the winter bud or by older mature leaves. In *Fagus crenata, F. japonica* (Uemura *et al.* 2000) and *Chenopodium album* (Yano and Terashima 2001), the number of palisade cell layers was determined by the irradiance of mature leaves and not of developing new leaves. Similar mechanisms may be involved in the evergreen species *A. japonica*.

Conclusion

The present study showed that leaf anatomy is constructed to allow an increase in leaf nitrogen and Rubisco in winter; i.e. mesophyll surface area determined by winter irradiance is large enough to accomodate increased chloroplast volume in winter. If we see leaves only in summer, they look too thick in terms of biomass investment. Although the increase in leaf nitrogen and Rubisco content did not directly increase photosynthetic capacity in winter, it may compensate the decrease in photosynthetic nitrogen use efficiency due to stressful environment in winter.

Acknowledgements

We thank Betty Verduyn for leaf anatomical analysis and Kenichi Sato for his assistance in growing the plants. The technical advice of Ankie Ammerlaan, Henri Groeneveld, Tomoyuki Kawasaki and Frits Kindt is also acknowledged. The members of the plant ecology lab of Tohoku University are thanked for their various supports. This study was supported by the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science with a fellowship and research grant, a MEXT scholarship, KAKENHI (No. 2677001, 19370008), the Global COE Program J03 (Ecosystem management adapting to global change) of the MEXT, Japan and the Dutch Schure-Beijrinck-Popping-fund, VSB-fund and Funke-fund.

Literature

Adams WW, III, Zarter R, Ebbert V, Demmig-Adams B (2004) Photoprotective strategies of overwintering evergreens. Bioscience 54:41-49

Berry J, Björkman O (1981) Photosynthetic response and adaptation to temperature in higher plants. Annual Review Plant Physiology 31:491-543

Björkman O (1981) Responses to different quantum flux densities. In: Lange OL, Nobel PS, Osmond CB, Ziegler H (eds.) Encyclopedia of plant physiology, new series, vol xii A. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 57-109

Evans JR (1989) Photosynthesis and nitrogen relationships in leaves of C3 plants. Oecologia 78:9-19 Evans JR, Von Caemmerer S, Setchell BA, Hudson GS (1994) The relationship between CO2 transfer conductance and leaf anatomy in transgenic tobacco with a reduced content of rubisco. Australian Journal of Plant Physiology 21:475-495 Field C (1983) Allocating leaf nitrogen for the maximisation of carbon gain: leaf age as a control on the allocation program. Oecologia 56: 341-347

Han Q, Kawasaki T, Nakano T, Chiba Y (2004) Spatial and seasonal variability of temperature responses of biochemical photosynthesis parameters and leaf nitrogen content within a *Pinus densiflora* crown. Tree Physiology 24:737-744

Hikosaka K (2004) Interspecific difference in the photosynthesis-nitrogen relationship: patterns, physiological causes, and ecological importance. Journal of Plant Research 117: 481-494.

Hikosaka K (2005) Nitrogen partitioning in the photosynthetic apparatus of *Plantago asiatica* leaves grown under different temperature and light conditions: similarities and differences between temperature and light acclimation. Plant and Cell Physiology 46:1283-1290

Hikosaka K, Hanba YT, Hirose T, Terashima I (1998) Photosynthetic nitrogen-use efficiency in leaves of woody and herbaceous species. Functional Ecology 12:896-905

Hikosaka K, Murakami A, Hirose T (1999) Balancing carboxylation and regeneration of ribulose-1,5bisphosphate in leaf photosynthesis: Temperature acclimation of an evergreen tree, *Quercus myrsinaefolia*. Plant, Cell and Environment 22:841-849

Hikosaka K, Kato MC, Hirose T (2004) Photosynthetic rates and partitioning of absorbed light energy in photoinhibited leaves. Physiologia Plantarum 121:699-708

Hikosaka K, Ishikawa K, Borjigidai A, Muller O, Onoda Y (2006) Temperature acclimation of photosynthesis: mechanisms involved in the changes in temperature dependence of photosynthetic rate. Journal of Experimental Botany 57:291302

Hirotsu N, Makino A, Yokota S, Mae T (2005) The photosynthetic properties of rice leaves treated with low temperature and high irradiance. Plant and Cell Physiology 46:1377-1383

Huner NPA, Oquist G, Sarhan F (1998) Energy balance and acclimation to light and cold. Trends in plant science 3:224-230

Kasahara M, Kagawa T, Oikawa K, Suetsugu N, Miyao M, Wada M. (2002) Chloroplast avoidance movement reduces photodamage in plants. Nature 420:829-832

Katahata S, Naramoto M, Kakubari Y, Mukai Y (2005) Photosynthetic acclimation to dynamic changes in environmental conditions associated with deciduous overstory phenology in *Daphniphyllum humile*, an evergreen understory shrub. Tree Physiology 25:437-445

Miyazawa SI, Livingston NJ, Turpin DH (2006) Stomatal development in new leaves is related to the stomatal conductance of mature leaves in poplar *.Populus trichocarpa* x *P. deltoides*. Journal of Experimental Botany 57:373-380

Miyazawa Y, Kikuzawa K (2005) Winter photosynthesis by saplings of evergreen broad-leaved trees in a deciduous temperate forest. New Phytologist 165:857-866

Miyazawa Y, Kikuzawa K, Otsuki K (2007) Decrease in the capacity for RuBP carboxylation and regeneration with the progression of cold-induced photoinhibition during winter in evergreen broadleaf tree species in a temperate forest. Functional Plant Biology 34:393-401

Mott KA, Woodrow IE (2000) Modelling the role of Rubisco activase in limiting non-steady-state photosynthesis. Journal of Experimental Botany 51:399-406

Muller O, Hikosaka K, Hirose T (2005) Seasonal changes in light and temperature affect the balance between light harvesting and light utilisation components of photosynthesis in an evergreen understory shrub. Oecologia 143:501-508

Oguchi R, Hikosaka K, Hirose T (2003) Does the photosynthetic light-acclimation need change in leaf anatomy? Plant, Cell and Environment 26:505-512

Oguchi R, Hikosaka K, Hirose T (2005) Leaf anatomy as a constraint for photosynthetic acclimation: differential responses in leaf anatomy to increasing growth irradiance among three deciduous trees. Plant, Cell and Environment 28:916-927 Oguchi R, Hikosaka K, Hiura T, Hirose T (2006) Leaf anatomy and light acclimation in woody seedlings after gap formation in a cool-temperate deciduous forest. Oecologia 149 571-582

Oguchi R, Hikosaka K, Hiura T, Hirose T (2008) Cost and benefits of photosynthetic light acclimation by tree seedlings in response to gap formation. Oecologia 155:665-675

Park IK, Tsunoda S (1979) Effect of low-temperature on chloroplast structure in cultivars of rice. Plant and Cell Physiology 20:1449-1453

Röhrig E. (1991) Climatic conditions. In: Röhrig E, Ulrich B .eds.. Temperate deciduous forests. Elsevier, pp 7-16

Rothstein DE, Zak DR (2001) Photosynthetic adaptation and acclimation to exploit seasonal periods of direct irradiance in three temperate, deciduous-forest herbs. Functional Ecology 15:722-731

Sims DA, Pearcy RW (1992) Response of leaf anatomy and photosynthetic capacity in *Alocasia macrorrhiza* (Araceae) to a transfer from low to high light. American Journal of Botany 79:449-455

Skillman JB, Strain BR, Osmond CB (1996) Contrasting patterns of photosynthetic acclimation and photoinhibition in two evergreen herbs from a winter deciduous forest. Oecologia 107:446-455

Terashima I, Miyazawa S-I, Hanba YT (2001) Why are sun leaves thicker than shade leaves? Consideration based upon analysis of CO₂ diffusion in the leaf. Journal of Plant Research 114:93-105

Terashima I, Hanba YT, Tazoe Y, Vyas P, Yano S (2006) Irradiance and phenotype: comparative ecodevelopment of sun and shade leaves in relation to photosynthetic CO2 diffusion. Journal of Experimental Botany 57: 343-354

Thain JF. (1983) Curvature correction factors in the measurement of cell surface areas in plant tissues. Journal of Experimental Botany 34:87-94 Tholen D, Boom C, Noguchi K, Ueda S, Katase T, Terashima I (2008) The chloroplast avoidance response decreases internal conductance to CO2 diffusion in Arabidopsis thaliana leaves. Plant Cell & Environment 31:1688-1700

Uemura A, Ishida A, Nakano T, Terashima I, Tanabe H, Matsumoto Y (2000) Acclimation of leaf characteristics of *Fagus* species to previous-year and current-year solar irradiances. Tree Physiology 20:945-951

von Caemmerer S, Evans JR (1991) Determination of the average partial pressure of carbon dioxide in chloroplasts from leaves of several C-3 plants. Australian Journal of Plant Physiology 18:287-306

Warren CR, Adams MA (2001) Distribution of N, Rubisco and photosynthesis in *Pinus pinaster* and acclimation to light. Plant Cell and Environment 24:597-609

Warren CR, Adams MA (2004) Evergreen trees do not maximize instantaneous photosynthesis. Trends in plant science 9:270-274

Weih M, Karlsson PS (2001) Growth response of Mountain birch to air and soil temperature: is increasing leaf-nitrogen content an acclimation to lower air temperature? New Phytologist 150:147-155

Yamamura Y, Kimura M (1992) Matter-economical roles of evergreen foliage of *Aucuba japonica*, an understory shrub in the warm-temperate region of Japan 2. dynamics and budgets of nutrients. Botanical Magazine-Tokyo 105:95-104

Yamori W, Noguchi K, Terashima I (2005) Temperature acclimation of photosynthesis in spinach leaves: analyses of photosynthetic components and temperature dependencies of photosynthetic partial reactions. Plant, Cell and Environment 28:536-547

Yamori W, Noguchi K, Hanba YT, Terashima I (2006) Effects of internal conductance on the temperature dependence of the photosynthetic rate in spinach leaves from contrasting growth temperatures. Plant and Cell Physiology 47:1069-1080

17

Yano S, Terashima I (2001) Separate localization of light signal perception for sun or shade type chloroplast and palisade tissue differentiation in *Chenopodium album*. Plant and Cell Physiology 42:1303-1310

Figure legends

Fig. 1. Changes in PPFD (a) and temperature (b) over the year with a scheme of the irradiance regimes on top. The open and closed bars indicate high and low irradiance regimes, respectively. The dotted lines indicate when photosynthesis measurements were performed and the arrows when plants were transferred. The 10 day average PPFD of the high (open symbols) and low (closed symbols) irradiance regime (a) and the 10 day mean average temperature and absolute minimum and maximum values from August 2003 to May 2004 (b). Values are means \pm SE.

Fig. 2. Seasonal changes in photosynthetic capacity measured at 15°C (P_{max}), N_{area} and photosynthetic nitrogen use efficiency (PNUE). The average is shown for *A.japonica* grown all the year at high (I_{high} , open squares, solid line) and low (I_{low} , closed squares, dotted line) irradiance and plants transferred in autumn from low to high (I_{dec} , open circles, broken line) or from low to high (I_{inv} , closed circles, broken dotted line) irradiance and vice versa in spring. Values are mean \pm SD (n=3). Arrows indicate the time when plants were transferred.

Fig. 3. Leaf cross sections of *A. japonica* grown at high irradiance (I_{high}) in summer (a) and in winter (b) or at low irradiance (I_{low}) in summer (c) and in winter (d).

Fig. 4. Seasonal changes in leaf thickness, chloroplast volume (V_{chl}) and chloroplast surface area facing the intercellular space (S_c). The average is shown .Values are means \pm SD (n=3). For symbols see Fig. 2.

Fig. 5. The relation between N_{area} and (a) V_{chl}, (b) V_{chl}/S_c, (c) S_c and (d) S_{mes} for individual leaves in summer (open diamonds), autumn (closed diamonds) winter (open triangles) and spring (closed triangles). Linear regressions of all data: (a) y=0.34x + 2.85 P<0.001 r²=0.31; (b) y=0.008x+2.73, P<0.05, r²=0.14; (c) y=0.05x+6.65, P<0.001, r²=0.27; (d) ns.

Fig. 6. The relationship between P_{max} and Rubisco (a) and between Rubisco and N_{area} (b) for individual leaves. Significant linear regression for P_{max} and Rubisco (a): autumn (chain), y=0.76+2.62, P<0.05, r²=0.45 and winter (dotted line), y=1.7x+3.2, P<0.01, r²=0.65. Linear regression lines for Rubisco and N_{area} (b): summer (solid line), y=0.024x-1.7, P<0.001, r²=0.79; autumn (chain), y=0.025x-1.34, P<0.05, r²=0.45; winter (dotted line), y=0.016x+0.28, P<0.05,,r²=0.47; spring (broken line), y=0.01x+1.34, ns. For symbols see Fig. 5.

Fig. 7. The relationship between P_{max} per unit Rubisco ($P_{max}/Rubisco$,) and chloroplast thickness (V_{chl}/S_c) (a), and intercellular CO₂ concentration (C_i) (b) for individual leaves. Regression lines:(a) y=-0.72x+4.70, P<0.01, r²=0.20 and (b) y=0.019x-1.64, P<0.001, r²=0.43, excluding high irradiance in winter (open squares). For symbols see Fig. 5.

Table 1. Effects of season and irradiance on leaf anatomy and physiology traits

The effect on leaf physiological and anatomical traits of season and growth irradiance (irradiance plants are exposed to, i.e. I_{high} , I_{low} , I_{dec} , I_{inv} , see text and Fig. 1), summer irradiance (irradiance plants are exposed to in summer, i.e. I_{high} and I_{inv} vs I_{low} and I_{dec}) or winter irradiance (irradiance plants are exposed to in winter, i.e. I_{high} and I_{inv} vs I_{low} and I_{dec}) or winter irradiance (irradiance plants are exposed to in winter, i.e. I_{high} and I_{dec} vs I_{low} and I_{inv}), tested by ANOVA. P values are shown.

Variable	season	growth- irradiance	interaction	season	summer- irradiance	interaction	season	winter- irradiance	interaction
P_{max} (µmol m ⁻² s ⁻¹)	0.000	0.100	0.001	0.000	0.005	0.119	0.000	0.902	0.139
N _{area} (mmol m ⁻²)	0.006	0.215	0.763	0.004	0.112	0.442	0.003	0.006	0.878
PNUE (mmol mol ⁻¹ s ⁻¹)	0.000	0.095	0.002	0.000	0.008	0.078	0.000	0.556	0.449
Leaf thickness (µm)	0.290	0.423	0.931	0.280	0.991	0.276	0.297	0.617	0.971
V_{chl} (m ³ m ⁻²)	0.007	0.057	0.313	0.018	0.761	0.299	0.003	0.001	0.584
$S_{c}(m^{2} m^{-2})$	0.050	0.021	0.724	0.105	0.293	0.796	0.046	0.007	0.645
C _i (μmol mol ⁻¹)	0.000	0.907	0.113	0.000	0.621	0.615	0.000	0.004	0.485
Rubisco (g m ⁻²)	0.000	0.532	0.665	0.000	0.170	0.949	0.000	0.064	0.302
LMA (g m ⁻²)	0.000	0.002	0.224	0.000	0.124	0.892	0.000	0.000	0.162
N _{area} /V _{chl} (mmol m ⁻³)	0.585	0.340	0.459	0.660	0.575	0.035	0.593	0.160	0.970
$V_{chl}/S_{c}(\mu m)$	0.010	0.657	0.089	0.011	0.330	0.035	0.006	0.004	0.572
S _c /S _{mes}	0.001	0.260	0.655	0.001	0.391	0.447	0.001	0.231	0.874
$S_{mes} (m^2 m^{-2})$	0.404	0.165	0.204	0.452	0.779	0.940	0.393	0.049	0.286

Table 2. Changes in leaf traits in four irradiance regimes

	summer	autui	mn	winte	er	sp	oring
C _i (μmol mol ⁻¹)							
I _{high}	217.3 ± 10.2	175.9 ±	37.6	250.8 ±	59.0	162.8	± 14.5
liow	199.0 ± 9.8	178.6 ±	39.0	194.6 ±	25.2	173.1	± 29.4
I _{dec}	254.0 ± 6.3	174.2 ±	34.8	205.2 ±	53.7	153.7	± 42.1
l _{inv}	214.4 ± 1.8	182.9 ±	29.8	182.7 ±	27.0	136.0	± 20.3
Rubisco (g m ⁻²)							
I _{high}	2.79 ± 0.49	4.14 ±	0.82	2.81 ±	0.12	3.41	± 1.13
I _{low}	2.05 ± 0.47	2.34 ±	0.66	2.90 ±	0.63	2.78	± 0.50
I _{dec}	2.01 ± 0.42	3.51 ±	0.64	3.35 ±	0.31	3.38	± 0.32
l _{inv}	1.89 ± 0.11	2.40 ±	1.21	3.84 ±	0.76	2.75	± 0.44
LMA (g m ⁻²)							
I _{high}	77.4 ± 11.4	76.1 ±	7.0	98.7 ±	1.2	99.9	± 17.0
I _{low}	62.8 ± 3.2	65.9 ±	1.9	74.5 ±	1.6	79.2	± 15.4
I _{dec}	65.3 ± 11.3	80.0 ±	3.2	100.2 ±	5.3	90.7	± 12.9
l _{inv}	66.5 ± 1.8	72.5 ±	3.2	83.1 ±	2.6	76.9	± 2.4

Seasonal changes per irradiance regime of C_i (intercellular CO_2 concentration), Rubisco (Rubisco per leaf area) and LMA (leaf mass per area). Values are the mean ± standard deviation.

Table 3. Factors explaining the seasonal change in $\ensuremath{N_{\text{area}}}$

The factors that explain the seasonal changes in N_{area} ($N_{area}/V_{chl},\,V_{chl}/S_c,\,S_c/S_{mes}$ and $\,S_{mes}$) in

	summ	ler	autumn		W	winter		spring		g	
N _{area} /V _{chl} (mmol m ⁻³)											
I _{high}	3.28 ±	0.93	2.45	±	0.53	3.24	±	1.48	2.73	±	0.88
l _{low}	3.41 ±	0.41	3.31	±	0.20	2.45	±	0.52	4.05	±	0.66
dec	2.79 ±	0.48	2.86	±	0.25	2.32	±	0.33	2.82	±	0.89
l _{inv}	3.11 ±	0.17	2.88	±	0.61	3.40	±	0.37	2.69	±	0.09
$V_{chl}/S_{c}(\mu m)$											
I _{high}	3.85 ±	0.48	4.25	±	0.28	4.46	±	1.02	5.00	±	0.96
I _{low}	3.81 ±	0.17	3.61	±	0.25	4.80	±	0.63	3.36	±	1.05
I _{dec}	4.21 ±	0.21	4.40	±	0.40	4.81	±	0.31	4.20	±	0.50
l _{inv}	3.50 ±	0.02	3.88	±	0.15	4.01	±	0.25	4.16	±	0.19
S _c /S _{mes}											
I _{high}	0.71 ±	0.02	0.77	±	0.02	0.66	±	0.20	0.69	±	0.16
I _{low}	0.61 ±	0.07	0.72	±	0.04	0.72	±	0.09	0.66	±	0.04
I _{dec}	0.59 ±	0.02	0.72	±	0.03	0.81	±	0.02	0.73	±	0.11
l _{inv}	0.61 ±	0.04	0.66	±	0.04	0.79	±	0.04	0.68	±	0.03
$S_{mes} (m^2 m^{-2})$											
I _{high}	20.35 ±	3.40	23.22	±	0.97	23.66	±	0.50	21.33	±	0.32
I _{low}	20.39 ±	4.51	19.86	±	1.04	18.71	±	1.41	18.71	±	3.57
I _{dec}	23.08 ±	0.85	24.14	±	2.28	22.22	±	3.67	20.51	±	1.32
l _{inv}	22.61 ±	0.80	20.85	±	2.25	19.20	±	1.33	19.69	±	2.10

different light treatments (I_{high}, I_{low}, I_{dec}, I_{inv}). Values are mean ± standard deviation



Figure 1



Figure 2





<image><image>



d

b





Figure 4



Figure 5



Figure 6



Figure 7