Title	Organocatalytic asymmetric thio-Michael addition of arylmethyl mercaptans to cyclic enones by a primary amino acid
Author(s)	Yoshida, Masanori; Ohno, Yasunobu; Hara, Shoji
Citation	Tetrahedron Letters, 51(39), 5134-5136 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tetlet.2010.07.089
Issue Date	2010-09-29
Doc URL	http://hdl.handle.net/2115/44051
Type	article (author version)
Additional Information	There are other files related to this item in HUSCAP. Check the above URL.
File Information	TL51-39_5134-5136.pdf

[Instructions for use](#)

Graphical Abstract

To create your abstract, type over the instructions in the template box below.
Fonts or abstract dimensions should not be changed or altered.

Organocatalytic asymmetric thio-Michael addition of arylmethyl mercaptans to cyclic enones by a primary amino acid

Masanori Yoshida*, Yasunobu Ohno, Shoji Hara
*Division of Chemical Process Engineering,
Graduate School of Engineering, Hokkaido University,
Sapporo, Hokkaido 060-8628 Japan.*

A simple primary amino acid was found to be an efficient catalyst for thio-Michael addition of benzyl mercaptan to cyclic enones.

Leave this area blank for abstract info.

Organocatalytic asymmetric thio-Michael addition of arylmethyl mercaptans to cyclic enones by a primary amino acid

Masanori Yoshida^{*}, Yasunobu Ohno, Shoji Hara

Division of Chemical Process Engineering, Graduate School of Engineering, Hokkaido University,
8, Kita 13-jo Nishi, Kita-ku, Sapporo, Hokkaido 060-8628 Japan.

Abstract—A simple primary amino acid was found to be an efficient catalyst for thio-Michael addition of benzyl mercaptan to cyclic enones. © 2010 Elsevier Science. All rights reserved

Thio-Michael addition of mercaptans to α,β -unsaturated carbonyl compounds is a useful methodology to introduce a sulfur functionality into the β -position of the carbonyl group, and many asymmetric processes have been developed.^{1,2} From a synthetic point of view, benzyl mercaptan is an attractive Michael donor because the benzyl group can be removed easily to give a thiol.³ Catalytic asymmetric thio-Michael addition of benzyl mercaptan to enones or enals has been achieved by both organometallic and organic catalyses; however, there are only a few reports regarding the thio-Michael addition of benzyl mercaptan to cyclic enones by organocatalysis and it is very difficult to carry out the reaction with high enantioselectivity.^{4–6} Indeed, to the best of our knowledge, the highest enantioselectivity which has been obtained from the reaction of benzyl mercaptan with 2-cyclohexen-1-one by organocatalysis is 21% ee reported by Deng's group in 2002,^{6a} while 90% ee was achieved by organometallic catalysis reported by Shibasaki's group in 1998.^{4c} Therefore, it is still a challenging subject to investigate an organocatalytic asymmetric thio-Michael addition of benzyl mercaptan to cyclic enones.

Recently, we reported that *O*-TBDPS L-serine lithium salt (**1a**) progressed the Michael addition of malonates to cyclic enones effectively to give 3-substituted cyclic ketones in good yields with high enantioselectivity.^{7,8} In this context, we attempted the thio-Michael addition of benzyl mercaptan with 2-cyclohexen-1-one (**2a**) in the presence of the catalyst **1a** (Scheme 1). The reaction slowly proceeded to give the desired Michael adduct, 3-(phenylmethylthio)cyclohexanone (**3a**); however, the enantioselectivity was quite low (7% ee). Encouragingly, it was found that the use of an amino acid **1b** as a catalyst raised the enantioselectivity dramatically to 38% ee. To

find a more selective catalyst, we carried out a catalyst screen for the thio-Michael addition of benzyl mercaptan with **2a** as shown in Table 1.⁹ It was found that the use of *O*-TIPS L-serine (**1c**) or *O*-TBDPS L-tyrosine (**1e**) as a catalyst resulted in a lower enantioselectivity than that of **1b** (Table 1, entries 1 and 3). While *O*-triphenylmethyl L-serine (**1d**) gave the Michael adduct **3a** with only 3% ee, *S*-triphenylmethyl L-cysteine (**1f**) improved the selectivity to 45% ee (Table 1, entries 2 and 4). A secondary amino acid **1g** was also examined as a catalyst; however, poor enantioselectivity was observed (Table 1, entry 5). Thus, we chose **1f** as a catalyst for further investigations.

Figure 1. Catalysts used in the thio-Michael addition of mercaptans to enones.

^{*} Corresponding author. Tel./fax: +81-11-706-6557; e-mail: myoshida@eng.hokudai.ac.jp.

Scheme 1. Thio-Michael addition of benzyl mercaptan with **2a** using **1a** or **1b**.

Table 1. Catalyst screen for thio-Michael addition of benzyl mercaptan with **2a**^a

$\text{2a} + \text{PhCH}_2\text{SH} \xrightarrow[\text{25 } ^\circ\text{C, 24 h}]{\text{1 (20 mol\%)}, \text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2} \text{3a}$			
Entry	Catalyst	Yield (%) ^b	ee (%) ^c
1	1c	11	23
2	1d	50	3
3	1e	10	30
4	1f	17	45
5	1g	53	6

^a The reaction was carried out with **2a** (0.5 mmol), benzyl mercaptan (0.55 mmol), and **1** (0.1 mmol) in dichloromethane (1 mL) at 25 °C for 24 h.

^b Isolated yield based on **2a**.

^c Determined by chiral HPLC analysis.

We then carried out a solvent screen for thio-Michael addition using **1f** (Table 2). It was found that the reaction smoothly proceeded in a high-polarity solvent, DMSO or MeOH; however, the product **3a** was obtained as a racemate (Table 2, entries 1 and 2). After further solvent screening, dichloromethane was found to be a suitable solvent to obtain the best enantioselectivity (45% ee), although the reaction was very slow (Table 2, entry 10). The reaction rate could be improved by adding a small amount of DMSO to dichloromethane without any loss of enantioselectivity (Table 2, entries 11–14).¹⁰

Table 2. Solvent screen for thio-Michael addition of benzyl mercaptan with **2a**^a

$\text{2a} + \text{PhCH}_2\text{SH} \xrightarrow[\text{25 } ^\circ\text{C, 24 h}]{\text{1f (20 mol\%)}, \text{Solvent}} \text{3a}$			
Entry	Solvent	Yield (%) ^b	ee (%) ^c
1	DMSO	85	0
2	MeOH	75	0
3	AcOEt	37	14
4	MeCN	37	35
5	THF	53	33
6	1,4-dioxane	44	34
7	Et ₂ O	11	3
8	Toluene	trace	-
9	H ₂ O	71	7
10	CH ₂ Cl ₂	17	45
11	CH ₂ Cl ₂ /DMSO (1:1)	83	11
12	CH ₂ Cl ₂ /DMSO (2:1)	82	17
13	CH ₂ Cl ₂ /DMSO (4:1)	69	35
14	CH ₂ Cl ₂ /DMSO (9:1)	59	47

^a The reaction was carried out with **2a** (0.5 mmol), benzyl mercaptan (0.55 mmol), and **1f** (0.1 mmol) in a solvent (1 mL) at 25 °C for 24 h.

^b Isolated yield based on **2a**.

^c Determined by chiral HPLC analysis.

Encouraged by these results, we carried out a detailed screening of additives for thio-Michael addition of benzyl mercaptan to **2a** using catalyst **1f** in dichloromethane (Table 3). Interestingly, when the amount of DMSO was reduced to 1 equivalent to **2a**, the enantioselectivity was improved to 56% ee (Table 3, entry 1). The addition of diphenylsulfoxide gave a result similar to that of DMSO, while a lower enantioselectivity was obtained by addition of dimethylsulfoxide (Table 3, entries 2 and 3). MeOH and H₂O did not greatly affect the yield and enantioselectivity, though triethylamine reduced the selectivity (Table 3, entries 4–6). We then performed optimization of the reaction conditions using DMSO as an additive. The most effective amount of DMSO added was determined to be 50 mol% (Table 3, entry 7). Although the thio-Michael addition was very slow at 25 °C, the reaction was completed within 72 h by carrying out the reaction at 37 °C (Table 3, entries 9 and 10). The amount of catalyst **1f** could be reduced to 10 mol% without considerable loss of yield and selectivity (Table 3, entry 11). Under the reaction conditions, the Michael adduct **3a** was obtained in 85% yield with 55% ee.

Table 3. Optimization of the reaction conditions using **1f**^a

$\text{2a} + \text{PhCH}_2\text{SH} \xrightarrow[\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2, \text{ Additive}]{\text{1f}} \text{3a}$ $25\text{ }^\circ\text{C}, 24\text{ h}$				
Entry	1f (mol%)	Additive (mol%)	Yield (%) ^b	ee (%) ^c
1	20	DMSO (100)	28	56
2	20	diphenylsulfoxide (100)	24	55
3	20	dimethylsulfone (100)	25	38
4	20	MeOH (100)	27	47
5	20	H ₂ O (100)	15	51
6	20	triethylamine (100)	90	4
7	20	DMSO (50)	23	59
8	20	DMSO (20)	20	60
9 ^d	20	DMSO (50)	53	60
10 ^{d,e}	20	DMSO (50)	83	55
11 ^{d,e}	10	DMSO (50)	85	55
12 ^{d,e}	3	DMSO (50)	61	55

^a Unless otherwise mentioned, the reaction was carried out with **2a** (0.5 mmol), benzyl mercaptan (0.55 mmol), **1f** and an additive in dichloromethane (1 mL) at 25 °C for 24 h.

^b Isolated yield based **2a**.

^c Determined by chiral HPLC analysis.

^d The reaction was carried out for 72 h.

^e The reaction was carried out at 37 °C.

Then the thio-Michael addition of various arylmethyl mercaptans to cyclic enones was carried out in the presence of catalyst **1f** (Table 4). By carrying out the reaction of **2a** with benzyl mercaptan at 25 °C for 7 days, the Michael adduct **3a** was obtained in 75% yield with a slightly better selectivity (58% ee) (Table 4, entry 2). 2-Cyclohepten-1-one (**2b**) provided a Michael adduct **3b** in good yields (81–82%), although a relatively lower enantioselectivity was observed (23–30% ee) (Table 4, entries 3 and 4). Unfortunately, the reaction of 2-cyclopenten-1-one (**2c**) was very slow even at 37 °C (Table 4, entry 5).^{7,9} As for a Michael donor, it was found that an electron-deficient mercaptan gave lower enantioselectivity. For example, the reaction of **2a** with 4-chlorophenylmethyl mercaptan afforded a Michael adduct **3d** with 27% ee, while 4-methoxyphenylmethyl mercaptan afforded **3e** with 50% ee (Table 4, entries 6 and 7). Likewise, diphenylmethyl mercaptan showed lower enantioselectivity than that of benzyl mercaptan and better selectivity than that of triphenylmethyl mercaptan (Table 4, entries 8 and 9).

Table 4. Substrate scope^a

$\text{2} + \text{RSH} \xrightarrow[\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2, \text{ DMSO}]{\text{1f (10 mol\%)}} \text{3}$ $37\text{ }^\circ\text{C}, 72\text{ h}$				
Entry	Substrate	R	Yield (%) ^b	ee (%) ^{c,d}
1	2a , n = 1	PhCH ₂	3a , 85	55, (<i>S</i>)
2 ^e	2a	PhCH ₂	3a , 75	58, (<i>S</i>)
3	2b , n = 2	PhCH ₂	3b , 81	23, (<i>S</i>)
4 ^e	2b	PhCH ₂	3b , 82	30, (<i>S</i>)
5	2c , n = 0	PhCH ₂	3c , 44	24, (<i>S</i>)
6	2a	4-ClC ₆ H ₄ CH ₂	3d , 81	27
7	2a	4-MeOC ₆ H ₄ CH ₂	3e , 81	50
8	2a	Ph ₂ CH	3f , 86	39
9	2a	Ph ₃ C	3g , 69	8

^a Unless otherwise mentioned, the reaction was carried out with **2** (0.5 mmol), mercaptan (0.55 mmol), DMSO (0.25 mmol) and **1f** (0.05 mmol) in dichloromethane (1 mL) at 37 °C for 72 h.

^b Isolated yield based on **2**.

^c Determined by chiral HPLC analysis.

^d The absolute configuration of **3a-c** was determined by comparison of the optical rotation with that of the literature.^{4d,e}

^e The reaction was carried out at 25 °C for 7 days.

A plausible reaction mechanism for the thio-Michael addition of benzyl mercaptan with **2a** using the catalyst **1f** is depicted in Scheme 2.^{7,11} The primary amino acid catalyst **1f** reacted with **2a** to give an α,β-unsaturated imine. Although (*E*)- and (*Z*)-stereoisomers can be formed, a relatively bulky methylene group comes to the less-hindered side rather than the vinyl group. The carboxyl group coordinates with the nitrogen atom of imine by hydrogen bonding to reduce the electron density of the β-carbon and to hold the side chain of the amino acid on the *Re*-face of the imine. Therefore, benzyl mercaptan attacks from the *Si*-face of the imine to give (*S*)-Michael adduct **3a** predominantly.

Scheme 2 Plausible reaction mechanism

In summary, we found that a simple and commercially available primary amino acid, *S*-triphenylmethyl L-cysteine (**1f**), promoted the thio-Michael addition of arylmethyl mercaptans to cyclic enones with fair to high enantioselectivity. To the best of our knowledge, this is the most successful report among the reported organocatalytic asymmetric thio-Michael additions of benzyl mercaptan to cyclic enones.

Acknowledgments

This work was partly supported by the Global COE Program (Project No. B01: Catalysis as the Basis for Innovation in Materials Science) from the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and technology, Japan

Supplementary Material

Supplementary data associated with this article can be found, in the online version, at.

References and notes

- Review on asymmetric thio-Michael addition: Enders, D.; Lüttgen, K.; Narine, A. A. *Synthesis* **2007**, 959.
- Pioneering works on thio-Michael addition using an amine catalyst: (a) Helder, R.; Arends, R.; Bolt, W.; Hiemstra, H.; Wynberg, H. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1977**, 25, 2181; (b) Pracejus, H.; Wilcke, F.-W.; Hanemann, K. *J. Prakt. Chem.* **1977**, 319, 219; (c) Hiemstra, H.; Wynberg, H. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1981**, 103, 417.
- Greene's Protective Groups in Organic Synthesis* 4th ed.; Wuts, P. G. M., Greene, T. W., Eds.; Wiley-Interscience: New Jersey, **2007**.
- Asymmetric thio-Michael addition of benzyl mercaptan to cyclic enones with an organometallic catalyst: (a) Bădoiu, A.; Bernardinelli, G.; Besnard, C.; Kündig, E. P. *Org. Biomol. Chem.* **2010**, 8, 193; (b) Prabakaran, N.; Abraham, S.; Sundararajan, G. *ARKIVOC* **2002**, vii, 212; (c) Sundararajan, G.; Prabakaran, N. *Org. Lett.* **2001**, 3, 389; (d) Saito, M.; Nakajima, M.; Hashimoto, S. *Tetrahedron* **2000**, 56, 9589; (e) Emori, E.; Arai, T.; Sasai, H.; Shibasaki, M. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1998**, 120, 4043.
- Asymmetric thio-Michael addition of benzyl mercaptan to acyclic enones or enals with an organic catalyst: (a) Liu, Y.; Sun, B.; Wang, B.; Wakem, M.; Deng, L. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2009**, 131, 418; (b) Scafato, P.; Colangelo, A.; Rosini, C. *Chirality* **2009**, 21, 176; (c) Ricci, P.; Carlone, A.; Bartoli, G.; Bosco, M.; Sambri, L.; Melchiorre, P. *Adv. Synth. Catal.* **2008**, 350, 49; (d) Ishino, T.; Oriyama, T. *Chem. Lett.* **2007**, 36, 550; (e) Marigo, M.; Schulte, T.; Franzén, J.; Jørgensen, K. A. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2005**, 127, 15710; (f) Skarzewski, J.; Zielińska-Błajet, M.; Turowska-Tyrk, I. *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry* **2001**, 12, 1923.
- Asymmetric thio-Michael addition of benzyl mercaptan to cyclic enones with an organic catalyst: (a) McDaid, P.; Chen, Y.; Deng, L. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2002**, 41, 338; (b) Shirakawa, S.; Kimura, T.; Murata, S.; Shimizu, S. *J. Org. Chem.* **2009**, 74, 1289; (c) Suzuki, K.; Ikegawa, A.; Mukaiyama, T. *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.* **1982**, 55, 3277.
- Yoshida, M.; Narita, M.; Hiram, K.; Hara, S. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2009**, 50, 7297.
- The catalytic use of amino acid alkaline metal salts was first reported by Yamaguchi's group. (a) Yamaguchi, M.; Yokota, N.; Minami, T. *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.* **1991**, 1088; (b) Yamaguchi, M.; Shiraishi, T.; Hiram, M. *J. Org. Chem.* **1996**, 61, 3520; (c) Yamaguchi, M.; Shiraishi, T.; Hiram, M. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.* **1993**, 32, 1176; (d) Li, P.; Yamamoto, H. *Chem. Commun.* **2009**, 5412; (e) Xiong, Y.; Wen, Y.; Wang, F.; Gao, B.; Liu, X.; Huang, X.; Feng, X. *Adv. Synth. Catal.* **2007**, 349, 2156; (f) Renzi, P.; Overgaard, J.; Bella, M. *Org. Biomol. Chem.* **2010**, 8, 980; (g) Sato, A.; Yoshida, M.; Hara, S. *Chem. Commun.* **2008**, 6242; (h) Yoshida, M.; Sato, A.; Hara, S. *Org. Bioorg. Chem.* **2010**, 8, 3031.
- TLC tracing of the reaction indicated that the thio-Michael addition using catalyst **1** proceeded cleanly to give the desired Michael adduct **3** and no major by-products were produced.
- DMSO will act as a weak Lewis base to dissolve an amino acid in the reaction media and to activate a mercaptan moderately. The use of a sulfoxide as a Lewis base was well studied by Kobayashi: Kobayashi, S.; Ogawa, C.; Konishi, H.; Sugiura, M. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2003**, 125, 6610.
- For reviews on organocatalysis using a primary amines, see: (a) Xu, L.-W.; Luo, J.; Lu, Y. *Chem. Commun.* **2009**, 1807; (b) Xu, L.-W.; Lu, Y. *Org. Biomol. Chem.* **2008**, 6, 2047; (c) Chen, Y.-C. *Synlett* **2008**, 1919.