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Abstract

Eight rice cultivars released in 1905, 1919, 1941, 1954, 1971, 1984, 1987 and 1988 were investigated to identify the traits that contributed to high yield and low soil nitrogen tolerance breeding under cold environment. They were grown in fields at three different nitrogen (N) fertilizer treatments, 0, 6 and 12 g N m\(^{-2}\) (0 N, 6 N and 12 N) in Sapporo, Northern Japan, in 2001 and 2002. All cultivars increased their grain yield (GY) with the increase in soil N availability, and better response to N was observed in modern cultivars released during 1984 to 1988 compared to old ones (1905 to 1954). Irrespective of N treatments, the modern cultivars showed better GY than the older ones. Absolute genetic gain was 2.15 or 2.94 g m\(^{-2}\) year\(^{-1}\) at 6 N and 12 N. Under 0 N treatment, although the magnitude of yield increase was small, the genetic gain in GY was still observed at 0.78 g m\(^{-2}\) year\(^{-1}\). The GY increments were achieved mainly through increasing the number of spikelets (SPK) which depends on the number of panicle (PAN) at any level, and the PAN could be increased by enhancing the number of tillers. The extinction coefficient (k) showed that the older cultivars had a spreading plant type, on the other hand, the modern cultivars had an erect plant type which seemed to be a better plant structure in terms of light distribution. This change on plant structure would allow the modern cultivars to have a larger LAI with improved light capturing resulting in better GY in modern cultivars than the old cultivars having similar LAI with modern cultivars. These breeding strategies could work for the high-yielding rice breeding program under cold environments irrespective of soil nitrogen conditions.
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1. Introduction

World-wide rice is one of the most important food crops that is feeding more than a half of the world population. Global rice production needs to grow at a rate of ca.1.6% year\(^{-1}\) for the period 1990-2030 to meet the projected demand by the continuously increasing world population (Oga and koyama, 1995; FAOSAT, 2003). With the land suitable for agriculture being limited, increasing the rice productivity under optimal as well as moderate stress environments would be one of the promising strategies rather than unreasonably expanding the cultivation area to marginal land where the environments are fairly stressful (Evans, 1999).

A holistic and intensive analysis of world rice improvement efforts reveal that the grain yield improvement of rice had been principally achieved by nitrogen (N) fertilizer application and by development of high N responsive rice cultivars (Hossain et al., 1995; Klush et al., 1995; Peng et al., 1999; Peng and Klush, 2003). However, high N response had lead to more tillering and consequently more mutual shading, resulting in an unhealthy plant growth and eventual failure due to lodging. Introducing traits of semi-dwarfism with firm stems, compact and erect leaves to avoid lodging had brought in a dramatic success with quantum leaps in the grain yield during 1960-1970 (Hossain et al., 1995; Klush, 1995; Klush et al., 2001; Peng et al., 2003). Four decades after, although several rice cultivars had been developed, only marginal improvements in the grain yield had been achieved. The stagnation or slow down of yield improvement in rice had been interpreted as genetical yield limit (Hossain et al., 1995; Klush et al., 1995; Klush et al., 2001; Peng et al., 2003). Therefore, it becomes important to identify relevant trait(s) that could contribute to break this yield barrier under optimal cultivation environments.

There is a good scope for improving rice productivity in cold environment as large rice areas are potentially available and the ecosystems are not that fragile for agriculture. However, cultivating rice in cold environments had been a big challenge. In Hokkaido, Northern Japan (43°04’ N), efforts have been made for long (since 1898) to grow rice (Nagai, 1959; Tanaka et al., 1968). At the beginning of the 20\(^{th}\) century, the average grain yield of rice in Hokkaido was 1.75 t ha\(^{-1}\) which was far lower than the national average (2.47 t ha\(^{-1}\)). This yield gap was filled by new rice cultivars which were suitable for cold environments, and it reached the national average of 5.40 t ha\(^{-1}\) in year 2000 (MAFF, 2002). Those modern high-yielding rice cultivars in Hokkaido were developed based on better performances to high N fertilizer application (8-10 g m\(^{-2}\)), and therefore, little is known about their performances in low N under cold environments.

The objective of this study was to identify the traits that would contribute to yield improvement under optimal as well as suboptimal environments for further yield gain in rice.
2. Materials and methods

2.1. Environment and cultivars

Field trials were conducted at the experimental farm of Field Science Center for Northern Biosphere of Hokkaido University, Sapporo, Japan (43°04’ N), on alluvial soil in 2001 and 2002. Eight rice cultivars (year of release in parenthesis), Akage (1905), Bozu 5 (1919), Norin 20 (1941), Shinsetsu (1954), Ishikari (1971), Yukihikari (1984), Kirara 397 (1987) and the breeding line Joiku 404 (1988), developed by the Hokkaido Rice Research Program (HRRP) were used. Akage was introduced in 1905 for Hokkaido, and it was the most popular cultivar in the primary era of rice production in Hokkaido. Bozu 5 was bred from Akage in HRRP as a pure line selection in 1919. It was suitable for direct-seeding with its awn-less characteristic, and contributed to the spread of rice cultivation throughout Hokkaido and became the leading cultivar from 1925 to 1935. Norin 20 was bred in 1941 by HRRP. It had been far more superior in yield potential and eating quality than the cultivars previously grown in Hokkaido, and was widely cultivated from 1943 to 1950 throughout Hokkaido. Shinsetsu was bred in 1954 from a cross between local cultivars of Hokkaido. It has conferred strong tolerance to cold weather damage and blast disease, and was the leading cultivar during 1957 to 1961 in Hokkaido. Ishikari was bred in 1971, and this was a special cultivar as the target trait for which it was bred happened to be better eating quality than the usual high grain yield. Ishikari, an improved eating quality cultivar was a leading one in Hokkaido during 1973 to 1981. Yukihikari was the first successful HRRP bred cultivar released in 1984 which also had a good eating quality. Kirara 397, one more good eating quality cultivar, was released in 1987 and became a leading cultivar in Hokkaido since late 1980’s. Joiku 404 was a breeding line developed in 1987, and had high grain yield with good eating quality.

2.2. Planting, nitrogen treatments and experimental design

Pre-germinated seeds were sown on seedbeds (60 × 30 cm, three seeds hill⁻¹) in a greenhouse in late April 2001 and 2002. The seedlings were grown up to the four-leaf stage and then were transplanted to paddy fields in late May of both years in a planting density of 13 × 33 cm (23.3 hills m⁻²). The water level was maintained at 3–8 cm above the soil surface until three weeks before harvest. Weeds and diseases were prevented by applying herbicides and pesticides as
needed. About two weeks after panicle initiation, all experimental plots were covered with a net
(20 cm × 20 cm meshes) to protect from bird damage.

Total N content of the soil at this experimental farm before starting the trials was 0.25%
with an inorganic-N of 14.7 mg/100g-soil. Three nitrogen (N) fertilization treatments were tested;
1) non-N application (0 N), 2) 6 g N m⁻² application (6 N), and 3) 12 g N m⁻² application (12 N).
Phosphorous (P₂O₅; 9.6 g m⁻²) and potassium (K₂O; 7.2 g m⁻²) were applied on all plots. All these
fertilizers were applied as basal dressing. The experiments were conducted in a split-plot design
with three replications. N treatments were assigned to main-plots and cultivars to sub-plots. The
dimension of each sub-plot was 3.0 m × 3.0 m in 2001 and 4.5 m × 3.0 m in 2002.

2.3. Measurements

The number of emerged panicles was recorded in 10 consecutive hills in each sub-plot
once in every two days, and the full heading stage was defined as a date when more than 90% of
the tillers had their panicles emerged. The maturity was defined as the date when the whole
panicle turned yellow. At the full heading stage, the plant height and the number of tillers were
recorded in consecutive five hills in each sub-plot. The leaf area was measured on the three hills
by using an automatic leaf area meter (AAM-7, Hayashi Denko Co. Ltd., Tokyo, Japan), and then
the leaf area index (LAI) was calculated as the ratio of leaf area measured / ground area sampled.

At the final harvest time, 21 hills in about 0.90 m² of each sub-plot were cut at ground
level. The harvested entire shoots were kept in dry-air oven at 80 °C for three days, and then the
total aboveground biomass (TB) was measured. After that, the number of panicles was counted.
The panicles were threshed carefully to collect all spikelets (filled and non-filled) and the total
spikelets weights recorded. A sub-sample of 100 g of spikelets were soaked in an ammonium
sulfate solution with 1.06 specific gravity, and the number of shrunk and floating spikelets was
counted with a seed counter (Count-A-Pak model 77, Co. Ltd., Tokyo) to determine filled
spikelets percentage (FS). The filled spikelets were hulled and 1000 grains weight (1000-GW)
and GY (brown rice) was determined after the moisture content of grains was adjusted to 14%.
Number of panicles m⁻²(PAN), spikelet number panicle⁻¹ (SPK PAN⁻¹), and the number of
spikelets m⁻² (SPK) were calculated. Harvest index (HI) was determined as the ratio of GY / TB.

The coefficient of light extinction was estimated at full heading stage in 2002 to understand
the leaf canopy structure. The light intensity above the canopy (Iₒ) and below the canopy (Iᵣ) were
measured at consecutive point intervals of 1 m apart in the inter-row space and in the inter-hill
space simultaneously by using two light sensors (LI-250, LI-COR, Lincoln, NE), each to measure
I₀ and I₁ when the sun was at the zenith and sunlight incidence was near vertical (1100 to 1300 h).

The coefficient of light extinction (k) was calculated as follows (San-oh et al., 2004):

\[ k = \ln \left( \frac{I_b}{I_o} \right) \]

The plants were sampled from three hills for the N content measurements. The N content of grains (N\textsubscript{grain}) and shoots (N\textsubscript{shoot}) in each cultivar were estimated by Kjeldahl method, and the plant N content (N\textsubscript{plant}) was calculated as N\textsubscript{grain} + N\textsubscript{shoot}. The N uptake ability (NUA) was, then, calculated as the N\textsubscript{plant} derived by the area sampled. The internal N use efficiency (NUE) was calculated as (N\textsubscript{grain} / N\textsubscript{plant}) \times 100.

The weather data such as mean daily air temperature, net solar radiation, and sun shine duration during growth period (May-September) in 2001 and 2002 were recorded by a data logger set up at 1.5 m above-ground level in the experimental field. The climatic data during 1981 to 2002 (21-years) were recorded at the Agro-meteorological station of Hokkaido University.

2.4. Statistical analysis

Analysis of variance (ANOVA) was performed on statistical software (CoHort software 6.0, Monterey, USA) following the procedure of McIntosh (1983) for combining the data across two years for GY, yield components, and other agronomic traits measured in this study. The years and replications were treated as random factor, and N treatments and cultivars were treated as fixed factors. Simple ANOVA was also performed for coefficient of extinction as it was measured in only one year (2002).

2.5. Genetic gain in grain yield and the contribution of each trait

The genetic gain of GY was estimated by using the following equation (Ortiz-Monasterio et al., 1997).

\[ Y_i = a + bX_i + u \text{ absolute} \]

where \( Y_i \) is the mean GY for the two years at each rate of applied N (g m\textsuperscript{-2}) of cultivar \( i \), and \( X_i \) is the year in which cultivar \( i \) was released. The linear equation provides an estimate of grain yield increase based on the cultivar release date in absolute term (i.e., b measures GY in g m\textsuperscript{-2} year\textsuperscript{-1}). The intercept and residual error are estimated by a and u, respectively.

From the morphology point of view, the GY could be expressed by an empirical formula (GY = SPK \times 1000-GW \times FS), and as [SPK \times 1000-GW] constitute the yield capacity (Y\textsubscript{cap}) the GY could be simplified as GY = Y\textsubscript{cap} \times FS. By taking the log of all components, the relationship
among them is changed from multiplicative to additive, viz., \( \log(GY) = \log(Y_{cap}) + \log(FS) \). The contribution to GY could be determined by the standardized coefficient using the multiple regression analysis. The same method was applied to analyze the contribution of other traits to GY.

3. Results

3.1. Seasonal climate

The climatic conditions at some key growth stages of rice in Hokkaido were different between 2001 and 2002 (Fig. 1). The mean solar radiation varied less when comparisons were made between 2001 and 2002 or with long term (21-years) averages. It was 17.0 MJ m\(^{-2}\) d\(^{-1}\) in 2001 and 16.5 MJ m\(^{-2}\) d\(^{-1}\) in 2002 compared to a long term average of 16.2 MJ m\(^{-2}\) d\(^{-1}\). The solar radiation at the panicle initiation and heading stage of 2002 was lower than the long term average but higher than in 2001 even during the ripening stage. The mean air temperatures of 16.4 °C in 2001 and 16.1 °C in 2002 was similar to the long term average (16.3 °C). However, it was still lower than the other major rice cultivating regions; for example, the mean temperature in Hunan province of China, one of the intensely rice producing areas in the world, is 22.4 °C (World Metrological Organization, 2009). The mean temperature at the panicle initiation stage in 2002 was lower than the long term average, and was higher in 2001. And it was relatively cooler in both 2001 and 2002 than the long term average at the heading stage. It declined during the ripening stage in 2002, but went up in 2001.

3.2. Grain yield

Analysis of variance for the yield of brown rice (GY) showed no significant effects of year cultivated (Y), and the interaction effects of Y \( \times \) cultivar (C), Y \( \times \) nitrogen treatments (N) and Y \( \times \) N \( \times \) C were also not significant (Table 1). Despite the observed variation in climatic conditions during some key growth stages, the cultivar ranking for GY did not vary across the years. Therefore, the GY and its other related parameters were analyzed with the mean across 2 years.

The GY increased significantly with the advancing years of cultivar release (p<0.001), and also with the increase in N applications (p<0.001) (Table 1). The modern rice cultivars (released in 1984-1988) showed better response to N than their predecessors. The modern
cultivars showed 38% increase in GY at 6 N and 39% at 12 N over the old cultivars (released in 1905-1941), and 13% increase over the intermediate cultivars (released in 1954-1971). At 0 N, the difference in GY among cultivars became smaller compared to other two N treatments as 0 N application seemed not to allow the rice to exhibit their potential growth. Interestingly, at 0 N, the GY increased significantly with advancing cultivars (p<0.05), viz., the GY of the modern cultivars was 23% better than the old cultivars, and 19% better than the intermediate one. A significant C × N interaction was the result of higher N use efficiency in the modern day cultivars. The N uptake ability (NUA) was different between the cultivar groups of year released. The NUA of intermediate and modern cultivars were 6.3 g N m–2, and it was better than that of old cultivars (5.6 g N m–2). In addition, internal N use efficiency (NUE) of the modern cultivars was 60.0%, on the other hand, it was 54% in the intermediate cultivars and 57.6% in the old cultivars. From N-use point of view, the GY could be expressed as GY = NUA × NUE, and the multiple regression analysis showed the determination coefficient of each trait as 1.20 for NUA, and 0.60 for NUE. This means that the successful GY improvement under cold environments have been achieved through greater enhancements on NUA.

3.3. Phenology

The mean days to heading was 69 across all cultivars at all N levels, and N application significantly delayed it (Table 2). It was 69 days at 6 N, which was delayed by a day at 12 N but was early by 2 days at 0 N. The days to heading were significantly different among cultivars at any N levels. It varied by 1.5% at 0 N, 1.8% at 6 N and 2.0% at 12 N. Since strong C × Y and C × N interactions were observed, the days to heading response to climate and soil N is likely to be cultivar specific. There was no clear trend between the days to heading and the year of cultivars released. However, it correlated well with the yield under 6 N treatment (r= 0.55, p<0.001), but this correlation could not be seen in other N treatments.

3.4. Morphology for yield capacity improvements

Multiple regression analysis revealed the relative importance of Y_cap over FS for the GY improvements under cold environments as Log (GY) = 1.14*Log (Y_cap) + 0.31*Log (FS). As a further dissection of Y_cap, the correlation analysis showed that the Y_cap had a closer correlation with the SPK, and with 1000-GW at 12 N (Fig. 2a and b). With a multiple regression analysis, the relationship between Y_cap and these two traits at 6 N could be expressed as Log (Y_cap) = 1.09*Log
(SPK) + 0.21*Log (1000-GW), and at 12 N as \( \log (Y_{\text{CAP}}) = 0.92*\log (\text{SPK}) + 0.23*\log (1000-\text{GW}) \). Even at 0 N, it was \( \log (Y_{\text{CAP}}) = 0.93*\log (\text{SPK}) + 0.17*\log (1000-\text{GW}) \). These larger determination coefficients in SPK indicated that the improvements of \( Y_{\text{CAP}} \) had been made through SPK rather than 1000-GW. The SPK of modern cultivars was 18% more at 0 N, 31% more at 6 N and 27% more at 12 N than that of old cultivars, and 10% more at 0 N, 4% more at 6 N and 13% more at 12 N than that of intermediate cultivars. These indicated that the SPK increase was through the combined effects of genetic improvements and N applications. The 1000-GW was associated with \( Y_{\text{CAP}} \) at 12 N, but not at 0 N and 6 N. Thus, incomplete grain filling could have been the cause of 1000-GW reduction at 0 N and 6 N, which would cancel the significant contribution of 1000-GW to \( Y_{\text{CAP}} \).

The SPK had a linear correlation with the number of panicles (PAN) (at 0 N, \( r=0.58, p<0.001 \), at 6 N, \( r=0.60, p<0.001 \), and at 12 N, \( r=0.65, p<0.001 \)), and with the spikelets number panicle\(^{-1} \) (SPK PAN\(^{-1} \)) (at 0 N, \( r=0.41, p<0.1 \), at 6 N, \( r=0.33, p<0.05 \), and at 12 N, \( r=0.03, p>0.05 \)). The multiple regression analysis showed an equation for SPK at 6 N as \( \log (\text{SPK}) = 1.14*\log (\text{PAN}) + 0.96*\log (\text{SPK PAN}^{-1}) \), at 12 N as \( \log (\text{SPK}) = 1.15*\log (\text{PAN}) + 1.12*\log (\text{SPK PAN}^{-1}) \) and also at 0 N, as \( \log (\text{SPK}) = 1.01*\log (\text{PAN}) + 0.94*\log (\text{SPK PAN}^{-1}) \). This indicates that the SPK had closer relationship with PAN than SPK PAN\(^{-1} \) at any N level. The PAN was associated with the number of tillers (at 0 N, \( r=0.66, p<0.01 \), at 6 N, \( r=0.92, p<0.001 \), and at 12 N, \( r=0.70, p<0.001 \)). Across the three N treatments, the PAN varied from 212 to 376, while the SPK PAN\(^{-1} \) ranged from 77 to 48. These indicate that the SPK improvement was achieved through an improved tillering capacity which in turn increased the PAN in new rice cultivars at any N fertilization condition, and the N application could also increase the SPK through increased PAN.

The plant height and tillering capacity were also modified with advances in cultivars at any N level (Fig. 3a and b). The plant height of cultivars released during 1905 to 1971 declined significantly (ca. 25% at 0 N, 26% at 6 N and 25% at 12 N) (\( p<0.001 \)). Thereafter, it increased slightly with ca. 5% at 0 N, 7% at 6 N and 3% at 12 N from 1984 to 1988 which was not significant (Fig. 3a). These changes were well correlated with \( Y_{\text{CAP}} \) at 12 N (\( r=-0.57, p<0.05 \)). On the contrary, the number of tillers of cultivars from 1905 to 1971 significantly increased at any N level, ca. 23% at 0 N, 22% at 6 N and 38% at 12 N (\( p<0.001 \)) (Fig.3b), and then slightly increased with ca. 18% at 6 N and 8% at 12 N. This dynamics of the tillering was also well correlated with the \( Y_{\text{CAP}} \) at all the N levels (at 0 N, \( r=0.84, p<0.001 \), at 6 N, \( r=0.58, p<0.001 \), and at 12 N, \( r=0.66, p<0.01 \)).
3.5. Assimilate allocation for grain yield improvements

The Y$_{cap}$ had a very close relationship with the aboveground total biomass (TB) (at 0 N, $r=0.95$, $p<0.001$, at 6 N, $r=0.95$, $p<0.001$, at 12 N, $r=0.86$, $p<0.001$), and with the harvest index (HI) (at 0 N, $r=0.29$, $p<0.01$, at 6 N, $r=0.47$, $p<0.001$, at 12 N, $r=0.36$, $p<0.01$). Through multiple regression, the GY could be expressed as Log (GY) = 0.89*Log (TB) + 0.20*Log (HI) at 6 N, and at 12 N, Log (GY) = 0.83*Log (TB) + 0.22*Log (HI). Even at 0 N, the GY could be described as Log (GY) = 0.82*Log (TB) + 0.28*Log (HI). These equations indicate that the GY improvements were more due to improvements in TB rather than HI. At all these N levels, the TB of the modern cultivars were greater than the old cultivars (Fig. 4a). The TB was also positively associated with the tillering capacity, and the genetic gain in TB was 2.96 g m$^{-2}$ year$^{-1}$ at 6 N ($r=0.62$, $p<0.01$), and 5.14 g m$^{-2}$ year$^{-1}$ at 12 N ($r=0.96$, $p<0.001$). However, the genetic gain of 1.18 g m$^{-2}$ year$^{-1}$ at 0 N was not significant ($r=0.65$, $p>0.05$) (Fig. 4a).

Increasing N application decreased HI, and the magnitude of HI decline at 6 N to that of 0 N was far greater in old cultivars than in modern cultivars (Fig. 4b). The genetic gain for this ratio was 0.0007 year$^{-1}$ at 6 N ($p<0.001$), and 0.0006 year$^{-1}$ at 12 N ($p<0.001$), and even at 0 N, it was 0.003 year$^{-1}$ ($p<0.05$).

3.6. Plant structure for grain yield improvements

Leaf area index (LAI) at the full heading stage has been significantly modified at any N level ($p<0.001$), and larger LAI was obtained by increasing N level (Fig. 5a). Interestingly, the LAI dynamics with advance in cultivars exhibited a U-shape at any N level with the lowest during 1941 to 1954. The genetic progress in LAI from 1905 to 1954 showed negative values with a -0.01 year$^{-1}$ at 0 N ($r=-0.82$, $p<0.01$), -0.02 year$^{-1}$ at 6 N ($r=-0.83$, $p<0.01$) and -0.02 year$^{-1}$ at 12 N ($r=-0.98$, $p<0.01$), but from 1971 to 1988 it turned to be positive with 0.03 year$^{-1}$ at 0 N ($r=0.78$, $p<0.01$), 0.05 year$^{-1}$ at 6 N ($r=0.84$, $p<0.01$) and 0.08 year$^{-1}$ at 12 N ($r=0.80$, $p<0.01$).

Despite that the LAI changes at all N levels showed a typical U-shape, the light extinction coefficient ($k$), which could indicate the leaf architecture (leaf angle relative to its stem), decreased exponentially from the old to modern cultivars (Fig. 5b). This could have been an unconscious effort for improving the ratio of $k$ to LAI and achieving an optimum or effective $k$/LAI ratio in the modern cultivars allowing them to have lower $k$ despite possessing equal LAI compared to old cultivars. As smaller $k$ indicates better plant structure in terms of the light diffusion and capture across leaf strata, it could significantly contribute to the Y$_{cap}$ and number of
tillers at any N levels (Table 3). In addition, it showed significant correlation with the TB, HI and plant height at 6 N and 12 N.

4. Discussion

This study showed that the grain yield (GY) had been improved successfully over years through successive release of high-yielding rice cultivars. Interestingly, the modern rice cultivars showed better yield than the older, even at low soil N availability, although the differences in GY among them as well as the genetic gain was small. This information was unknown until now as the modern cultivars have been selected under optimal growth conditions, and therefore they would be guaranteed better field performances under optimal growth conditions but didn’t have strong tolerance against the stressful environmental conditions, e.g. low soil N availability. Our results suggest that the same strategy adopted for developing the high-yielding cultivars could also work for breeding new rice cultivars with low soil N.

Growth duration, especially, days to heading is quite an important trait in this environment where rice cultivation season is short (June-August). In this study, however, all cultivars that were tested had relatively early maturing characteristics, and so they could escape from cold injury. Our results suggested that the heading could be delayed up to 75 days and still get reasonably good yields under the cold environments.

Analyzing the trait-basis of yield improvement would be one of the best ways to understand the breeding effects. In this study, the analysis was made from three different points of view. Firstly, from the morphological point of view, the number of spikelet (SPK) was identified to be a relevant component that was closely associated with the yield capacity (Y\text{cap}). This relationship between the SPK and Y\text{cap} was observed at any soil N environment. Over years, the high-yielding rice breeding programs in Hokkaido, therefore, have been focusing on increasing SPK through increasing the number of panicles (PAN) which in turn had been through increase in number of tillers. Actually, since 1950’s it had been relatively easy to increase the tiller through N, as N fertilizer was cheaper. Our results only helps to appreciate their breeding strategy, and quite interestingly the SPK could be used as a target trait not only when breeding for high yield but also for low N stress tolerance in rice as it was closely associated with Y\text{cap} even at low N level. Since the PAN has exhibited a strong negative correlation with the SPK PAN\textsuperscript{-1} (Abeledo et al., 2003), their breeding efforts have resulted in less SPK PAN\textsuperscript{-1}. It is worth that further breeding programs concentrate on improving SPK PAN\textsuperscript{-1} and try to break this negative relationship. Our results had also shown that not much attention had been paid on improving the
filled spikelet percentage (FS) despite the fact that the FS would be more sensitive to cold
temperature. This would be mainly because of the likely breeding for early maturity rice cultivars
for escaping from the cold injury and so those cultivars had reasonably high FS. However, shorter
growth period is expected to penalize the biomass accumulation. Therefore, paying more
attention towards improving FS through cold tolerance breeding would be more relevant as a long
term strategy as this would allow us to extend the growing period for further increase in rice yield.

Secondly, from the assimilate allocation point of view, our results showed that increase
in the above-ground total biomass (TB) would contribute significantly more to GY than the
harvest index (HI). The modern cultivars had larger TB than older ones, which was mainly due to
increased number of tillers. As the TB obtained in our cold environments was not as high as the
high-yielding rice in Yunnan, China (Amano et al., 1996), further improvement in the N uptake
ability (NUA) and extending the cultivation period could potentially improve the TB. Although
less in its dimension of contribution than the TB, the HI could also contribute to the GY at any
soil N condition. The improvement on HI has been achieved mainly by reducing the plant height
(Flinthman et al., 1997; Austin et al., 1980), and it consequently added the lodging tolerance on
the improved cultivars. Increasing the SPK has been the principle breeding strategy for high yield
in rice rather than the choice of grain weight increase. Our results also supported this strategy as
the 1000-grain weight (1000-GW) didn’t always correlate with Y_cap under our cold environment.
It is likely to be difficult to improve the GY through HI although many researchers believe that
the maximum HI can go up to 0.55 (Amano et al., 1996, Horie et al., 1997; Peng et al., 2000;
Yang et al., 2002 and 2007).

Thirdly, from the plant architecture point of view, it should be emphasized that the
modern rice cultivars could have a large leaf area index (LAI) and a better light extinction
coefficient (k) simultaneously. This could have been achieved by the plant architecture
modification via breeding. Thus, the principal breeding strategy might have been encouragement
of erect leaves on rice plants, otherwise larger LAI would result in mutual shading and less grain
yield (Peng and klush, 2003; Yamauchi, 1994; Kabaki, 1993; Patnaik et al., 1991; Song et al.,
1990). According to this breeding strategy, the modern cultivars have been improved for better
plant architecture leading to better light interception, viz. smaller light extinction coefficient (k)
with greater LAI. This is expected to provide a big advantage to the modern cultivars in terms of
the greater photosynthesis. On the other hand, the old cultivars in spite of having similar LAI, had
a spreading canopy structure, larger k, inefficient light utilization and as a consequence less yield
at every level of soil N.
5. Conclusion

Breeding high-yielding rice for the cold environments of Hokkaido was quite successful with progressive and substantial improvements over years. This seems to have been achieved through i) increase in number of spikelets with more tillers that could make more panicles and ii) increase in total above ground biomass and also marginally the harvest index. This total shoot biomass improvement had been a likely outcome of effective maintenance of large leaf area index with an improved light extinction coefficient. It is proposed that further yield improvement efforts need to concentrate on improving the biomass productivity as the current levels are far below the reported maximum. Our results point out that the strategies applied for developing high yielding rice would also work for the rice breeding for low soil nitrogen tolerance in the cold environments.
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Figure captions

Fig.1. Dynamics of the daily solar radiation and daily air temperature during the rice cropping season (May-September) in 2001, 2002, and the long term averages from year 1981 to 2002 (21 yrs). TP= transplanting stage, PI= panicle initiation stage, HDG= heading stage.

Fig.2. Relationship between the yield capacity and (a) the spikelets (m^-2) and (b) 1000-grain weight in eight rice cultivars released in different times at three levels of nitrogen (0 N= ○, 6 N= ■, and 12 N= ▲) across two years (2001 and 2002). Bars stand for the standard error (se) of means. Number from 1 to 8 refer to, 1 = Akage, 2 = Bozu 5, 3 = Norin 20, 4 = Shinsetsu, 5 = Ishikari, 6 = Yukihikari, 7 = Kirara 397, 8 = Joiku 404. *, **, *** indicate significant at 0.05, 0.001 probability levels, and NS, not significant.

Fig.3. Changes of (a) the plant height, and (b) number of tillers in eight rice cultivars released in different eras at three nitrogen levels (0 N= ○, 6 N= ■, and 12 N= ▲) across two years (2001 and 2002) at the full heading stage. Bars stand for the standard error (se) of means.

Fig.4. Changes of (a) the aboveground total biomass at maturity, and (b) harvest index in eight rice cultivars released in different eras at three nitrogen levels (0 N= ○, 6 N= ■, and 12 N= ▲) for two years (2001 and 2002). *, **, *** indicate significant at the 0.05, 0.01, 0.001 probability levels, respectively, and NS, not significant.

Fig.5. Changes of (a) the leaf area index (LAI), (b) light extinction coefficient (k) in eight rice cultivars released in different eras at three levels of nitrogen (0 N= ○, 6 N= ■, and 12 N= ▲) across two years (2001 and 2002) at the full heading stage. Bars stand for the standard error (se) of means.
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(a) Plant height (cm)

○, $y = 0.0002x^3 - 1.32x^2 + 2560x - 2E+06; r=0.95^{** *}$
■, $y = 0.0002x^3 - 1.21x^2 + 2350x - 2E+06; r=0.98^{** *}$
▲, $y = 0.0003x^3 - 1.77x^2 + 3439x - 2E+06; r=0.96^{** *}$

(b) Tillers (m$^{-2}$)

○, $y = -0.0001x^3 + 6.79x^2 - 1325x - 9E+06; r=0.65^{** *}$
■, $y = -0.0007x^3 + 4.22x^2 - 8271x - 5E+06; r=0.82^{** *}$
▲, $y = -0.001x^3 + 5.53x^2 + 1074x - 7E+06; r=0.78^{** *}$
Total biomass (gm$^{-2}$)

Harvest index

---

\[ y = 1.18 \times 1557; r = 0.61 \text{NS} \]
\[ y = 2.95x - 4535; r = 0.63^{**} \]
\[ y = 5.14x - 8500; r = 0.96^{***} \]

\[ y = 0.0003x - 0.19; r = 0.74^{*} \]
\[ y = 0.0007x - 0.84; r = 0.86^{**} \]
\[ y = 0.0006x - 0.71; r = 0.80^{***} \]
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(a) LAI

\[ y = 0.003x^2 - 1.31x + 1274; r = 0.85^{***} \]

\[ y = 0.006x^2 - 2.51x + 2450; r = 0.91^{***} \]
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(b) k values
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Year of release
Table 1.
Means and analysis of variance of 8 rice cultivars released at different eras at three N levels (0 N, 6 N, 12 N) across two years (2001-20020) for grain yield.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cultivars</th>
<th>Year of release</th>
<th>0 N</th>
<th>6N</th>
<th>12N</th>
<th>Mean</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Akage</td>
<td>1905</td>
<td>329</td>
<td>464</td>
<td>482</td>
<td>425</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bozu 5</td>
<td>1919</td>
<td>319</td>
<td>486</td>
<td>515</td>
<td>440</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Norin 20</td>
<td>1941</td>
<td>279</td>
<td>410</td>
<td>581</td>
<td>423</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shinsetsu</td>
<td>1954</td>
<td>339</td>
<td>586</td>
<td>584</td>
<td>503</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ishikari</td>
<td>1971</td>
<td>332</td>
<td>526</td>
<td>677</td>
<td>512</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yukihikari</td>
<td>1984</td>
<td>386</td>
<td>574</td>
<td>690</td>
<td>550</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kirara 397</td>
<td>1987</td>
<td>391</td>
<td>630</td>
<td>752</td>
<td>591</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joiku 404</td>
<td>1988</td>
<td>358</td>
<td>674</td>
<td>746</td>
<td>593</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td></td>
<td>342</td>
<td>544</td>
<td>628</td>
<td>505</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Lsd₁ = 23.3; Lsd₂ = 36.6; Lsd₃ = 30.0

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sources of variation</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>Mean of square</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Year (Y)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>189805 **</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nitrogen (N)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1042421 ***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cultivars (C)</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>88434 ***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y x N</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5347 NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y x C</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2509 NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N x C</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>14772 ***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y x N x C</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>2731 NS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*, **, *** indicate significance at 0.05, 0.01, 0.001 probability levels, respectively. NS = non significant.
Lsd₁ (P<0.05) for comparison between N, Lsd₂ (P<0.05) between cultivars and Lsd₃ (P<0.05) for cultivar × N interaction.
Table 2.
Means and analysis of variance of the days from transplanting to heading of eight rice cultivars released at different eras and grown with three N levels (0 N, 6 N, 12 N) in Hokkaido, Japan.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cultivars</th>
<th>Year of release</th>
<th>Days to heading</th>
<th>Mean</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0 N</td>
<td>6 N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Akage</td>
<td>1905</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bozu 5</td>
<td>1919</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Norin 20</td>
<td>1941</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shinsetsu</td>
<td>1954</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ishikari</td>
<td>1971</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yukihikari</td>
<td>1984</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kirara 397</td>
<td>1987</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joiku 404</td>
<td>1988</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mean 67 69 70 69

$Lsd_1 = 0.80; Lsd_2 = 0.76; Lsd_3 = 1.12$

Sources of variation df Mean of square
Year (Y) 1 38 ns
Nitrogen (N) 2 57 ***
Cultivars (C) 7 74 ***
Y x N 2 1.55 ns
Y x C 7 4.96 ***
N x C 14 4.45 ***
Y x N x C 14 1.71 ns

*, **, *** indicate significant at the 0.05, 0.01, 0.001 probability levels, respectively. NS = non significant. $Lsd_1$ (P<0.05) for comparison between N, $Lsd_2$ (P<0.05) between cultivars and $Lsd_3$ (P<0.05) for cultivar $\times$ N interaction.
Table 3. Correlation coefficients between leaf area index (LAI) or light extinction coefficient (k) and the yield capacity, total biomass, harvest index, number of tillers, and plant height in eight rice cultivars released at different times at three nitrogen levels (0 N, 6 N and 12 N).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Yield capacity (g m(^{-2}))</th>
<th>Total biomass (g m(^{-2}))</th>
<th>Harvest index</th>
<th>Tillers (m(^{-2}))</th>
<th>Plant height (cm)</th>
<th>LAI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0 N</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LAI</td>
<td>0.43 *</td>
<td>0.45 **</td>
<td>0.32 *</td>
<td>0.36 *</td>
<td>0.28 *</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>k</td>
<td>−0.33 *</td>
<td>−0.13 ns</td>
<td>−0.09 ns</td>
<td>−0.38 *</td>
<td>0.21 ns</td>
<td>−0.61 ***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 N</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LAI</td>
<td>0.39 *</td>
<td>0.50 **</td>
<td>0.49 **</td>
<td>0.49 *</td>
<td>−0.10 ns</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>k</td>
<td>−0.57 ***</td>
<td>−0.39 *</td>
<td>−0.69 ***</td>
<td>−0.69 ***</td>
<td>0.76 ***</td>
<td>−0.54 ***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 N</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LAI</td>
<td>−0.16 ns</td>
<td>−0.05 ns</td>
<td>−0.18 ns</td>
<td>0.30 ns</td>
<td>0.16 ns</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>k</td>
<td>−0.51 **</td>
<td>−0.63 ***</td>
<td>−0.42 *</td>
<td>−0.68 ***</td>
<td>0.58 ***</td>
<td>−0.14 ns</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*, **, *** indicate significance at 0.05, 0.01, 0.001 probability levels, respectively. NS= non significant.