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In the temperature-pressure phase diagram of the organic superconductor \((\text{TMTSF})_2\text{PF}_6\) \((\text{TMTSF}: \text{tetramethyltetraselenafulvalene})\), the superconducting phase and the spin-density-wave (SDW) phase are adjacent to each other. This salt exhibits non-Fermi-liquid (NFL) behavior and superconductivity under pressure. Its superconducting property does not exist at higher pressures where Fermi-liquid (FL) behavior is exhibited. In order to investigate the origin of NFL behavior, systematic \(^{13}\text{C}-\text{NMR}\) measurement of this salt has been assessed under pressure in a wide temperature range. At low temperatures, \((T_1T)^{-1}\) increases, and this increase is suppressed by the increasing pressure. These results suggest that applying pressure alters the electron system from the NFL to the FL state, and that antiferromagnetic fluctuations contribute to the origin of NFL behavior. The connection between the emergence of FL behavior and the disappearance of superconductivity suggests that the SDW fluctuation relates to the mechanism of the superconductivity in \((\text{TMTSF})_2\text{PF}_6\).
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I. INTRODUCTION

The phase diagrams of organic superconductors are similar to those of strongly correlated electron systems such as high-\(T_c\) cuprate and heavy-fermion superconductors. The superconducting and the antiferromagnetic phases are in close proximity in the temperature-pressure or temperature-doping phase diagram, which indicates correlations between the antiferromagnetic fluctuations and the superconductivity. \((\text{TMTSF})_2\X\) \((\text{TMTSF}: \text{tetramethyltetraselenafulvalene})\) is a quasi-one-dimensional organic conductor, where \(X^-\) is a monovalent anion which exhibits various phases with changes in temperature, pressure, and \(X^-\). These salts are called Bechgaard salts because they were first synthesized by Bechgaard et al. The conducting chains of organic molecules exhibit high conductivity along the \(a\) axis. The Bechgaard salt \((\text{TMTSF})_2\text{PF}_6\) exhibits a spin-density-wave (SDW) phase and a superconducting phase in close proximity with the temperature-pressure phase diagram, as shown in Fig. 1.

Doiron-Leyraud et al. measured the \(a\) axis electrical resistivity of \((\text{TMTSF})_2\text{PF}_6\) at low temperatures as a function of pressure. On the verge of the SDW order \((1.18\ \text{GPa})\), where \(T_{sdw}\) decreases to 0 K, the superconducting transition temperature \(T_c\) reaches a maximum, and the resistivity shows linear temperature dependence. As pressure is increased, \(T_c\) decreases. At 2.08 GPa, the superconductivity ceases to exist and the resistivity shows \(T^2\) dependence, which is characteristic of Fermi-liquid (FL) behavior. These results suggest a connection between the scattering mechanism of non-Fermi-liquid (NFL) behavior and superconductivity.

Considering that the SDW and superconducting phases are adjacent, antiferromagnetic fluctuation of the SDW is expected to be the origin of NFL behavior. In order to examine the origin of NFL behavior, nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) measurements are one of the best tools available. This technique has several advantages over others, including its ability to measure the uniform spin susceptibility under pressures such as the Knight shift and to observe magnetic fluctuations from the spin-lattice relaxation time \(T_1\). There have been many NMR studies of \(^1\text{H}\) and \(^{77}\text{Se}\) nuclei in \((\text{TMTSF})_2\text{PF}_6\). Because phase separation is expected to occur near the phase boundary, multisite nuclei such as \(^1\text{H}\) or \(^{77}\text{Se}\) involves an experimental ambiguity arising from the superposition of the multisite spectra. Moreover, if a spatial inhomogeneity occurs, the mixing of the fast relaxation from the SDW phase and the slow relaxation from the metallic phase modulates the relaxation profile of \(T_1\). To verify the spatial inhomogeneity experimentally, single-site \(^{13}\text{C}-\text{NMR}\) is desired. In the conventional composition method, both sides of the C=C bond in the TSF molecular frame are replaced with \(^{13}\text{C}\). With this enrichment, spectral splitting occurs because of the resulting coupled spin system and induces a so-called Pake doublet. The two intrinsic peaks split into four peaks with \(J\) modulation, which inhibits the refocusing of the spin-echo signal. The mixing of the spin states also modifies the relaxation profile. Single-site \(^{13}\text{C}\) enrichment, as shown in Fig. 1, suppresses the formation of the coupled spin system and enables the site-selective measurement of the ideal \(I = 1/2\) spin system. Using this single-site \(^{13}\text{C}\) enrichment, we can verify the spatial inhomogeneity from the spectra and relaxation profiles and measure the ideal \(T_1\). The \(^{13}\text{C}-\text{NMR}\) spectrum of \((\text{TMTSF})_2\text{PF}_6\) with a 10% enrichment of \(^{13}\text{C}\) isotopes at the central C=C sites was only reported at low temperatures. In previous \(^{13}\text{C}-\text{NMR}\) spectra of organic conductors, the quantitative analysis was hindered by the presence of the Pake doublet or by low signal intensity. Moreover, no systematic work has been conducted at pressures above 1.1 GPa, corresponding to the work of Doiron-Leyraud et al. Hence, the systematic measurement of \(T_1\) and the Knight shift under high pressures over a wide temperature range is desired. To obtain an adequate signal-to-noise ratio at high temperatures, a TMTSF molecule with 100% enrichment of \(^{13}\text{C}\) isotopes on only one side of the central carbon sites is required. Therefore, by using \((\text{TMTSF})_2\text{PF}_6\), which was synthesized by the enriched method, we employed \(^{13}\text{C}-\text{NMR}\) to assess the electronic state of this salt at ambient pressure and under other pressures over a wide temperature range.
and investigated the magnetic fluctuations and their pressure dependence.

II. EXPERIMENT

A. The sample preparation

The isotope-enriched molecule was synthesized from the coupling of the tin complex. Because the well-established synthetic route to prepare TMTSF\textsuperscript{13,14} is not suitable for coupling of the tin complex. We explored an alternative route. Among the synthetic routes to prepare unsymmetrical donors, one such candidate, the synthetic route of Yamada et al. using dibutyltin complex.\textsuperscript{15} Advantages of this method are that the isotope reagent dichloroaetic acid methyl ester is available and that Yamada et al. have already established the precursors of \textsuperscript{4} and \textsuperscript{5}. We synthesized single-site \textsuperscript{13}C-enriched TMTSF by Yamada’s method and characterized the products by mass spectroscopy and \textsuperscript{1}H-NMR. The method enables the preparation of enriched radical salts using a conventional electrochemical cell, and may be useful for preparing other enriched donor molecules as well. The single crystal was prepared electrochemically.

![Synthetic route to prepare single-site $^{13}$C-enriched TMTCF.](image)

FIG. 2. Synthetic route to prepare single-site $^{13}$C-enriched TMTCF.

B. The NMR spectroscopy

Temperature-dependent $^{13}$C-NMR measurements were performed at decreasing temperatures under a magnetic field of 9.4 T, and the spectra were obtained by fast Fourier transformation of an echo signal with a $\pi/2-\pi$ pulse sequence with a typical $\pi/2$ pulse width of 4 $\mu$s. The NMR shift is measured with reference to tetramethylsilane. Spin-lattice relaxation time was determined by the saturation recovery method. $^{13}$C-NMR was performed under ambient pressure and at 0.8, 1.0, 1.4, and 1.8 GPa by using a clamp cell made of a NiCrAl alloy that can withstand a maximum pressure of 2.0 GPa at low temperatures. Daphni oil 7373 was used as the pressure medium. We corrected pressures using the resistivity of a wire made from lead at low temperatures. The additional magnetic field intensity from the pressure cell was corrected using the temperature dependence of the NMR shift with and without the cell. $^{13}$C-NMR was performed with the external field applied along the $b'$ axis to suppress the field-induced SDW.\textsuperscript{16} The static susceptibility was measured using polycrystals by using a commercially available superconducting quantum interference device (SQUID) magnetometer.

![Temperature-pressure phase diagram of (TMTSF)$_2$PF$_6$.](image)

FIG. 1. Temperature-pressure phase diagram of (TMTSF)$_2$PF$_6$ (Ref. 4).

III. DISCUSSION OF RESULTS

A. Hyperfine coupling tensors and chemical shift

Figure 3(a) displays the NMR spectra at 100 K and the lowest temperature 3 K at 0.8 GPa. The two peaks (denoted by $H$ and $L$) in the metallic state correspond to two crystallographic-independent $^{13}$C sites.\textsuperscript{7} While we observed the SDW spectrum below 11.5 K under ambient pressure, we observed only the metallic state spectra down to 3 K under a pressure of 0.8 GPa without any significant broadening or splitting because of the emergence of the SDW phase.

In order to reveal the temperature dependence of the Knight shift $K$, we estimated the chemical shift $\sigma$. The NMR shift $\delta$ is expressed by $\delta = K + \sigma$, where $A$ and $\chi_s$ are the hyperfine-coupling constant (HFCC) and the uniform spin susceptibility, respectively. The uniform spin susceptibility is also expressed as $\chi_s(T) = \chi_{\uparrow}(T) - \chi_{\downarrow}$, where $\chi_{\uparrow}(T)$ and $\chi_{\downarrow}$ are the static susceptibility and the temperature-independent diamagnetic term of the orbital, respectively. Because the uniform spin susceptibility is proportional to $K$, it can be estimated from the temperature dependence of $\delta$.
measured under pressures as $K$. The estimation of $K$ depends on $\sigma$; therefore, we estimated $\sigma$ from the $\chi_T$-δ plot. The $\chi_T$–δ plot with several angles at ambient pressure is shown in Fig. 3(b). From previous formulas, we derived the relational expression $\delta_i = A_i \{\chi_T(T_i) - \chi_{dia}\} + \sigma$, where $i$ denotes the crystallographic-independent site $H$ or $L$. The HFCC depends on the crystallographic position, whereas $\sigma$ depends only on the site position in a molecule.\cite{17,18} Hence, the intersection point of the two lines of $i = L$ and $i = H$ represents ($\chi_{dia,\sigma}$).

As shown in Fig. 3(b), $\chi_{dia}$ has almost the same values of $-5.2 \times 10^{-4}$ (emu/mol f.u.), and $\sigma$ along the $b'$ axis is estimated as 118(5) ppm. The value of $\chi_{dia}$ is consistent with the previous estimation by Scott et al.\cite{19} Constants $A$ and $\sigma$ are expressed by the hyperfine-coupling tensor $A$ and the chemical-shift tensor $\sigma$ caused by molecular anisotropy according to the relationships $\sigma = \mathbf{h} \cdot \hat{\sigma} \mathbf{h}$ and $\mathbf{h}$ is a direction cosine of the magnetic field.

We measured the temperature dependence of $\delta$ at several angles. From their slopes, we determined $A$ and $\sigma$ for those angles shown in Table I and determined the following hyperfine-coupling tensors and chemical-shift tensor:

$$
\begin{pmatrix}
A_{aa} & A_{ab'} & A_{ac'} \\
A_{ab'} & A_{bb'} & A_{bc'} \\
A_{ac'} & A_{bc'} & A_{cc'}
\end{pmatrix}_H = 
\begin{pmatrix}
9.0(4) & 0.0(1) & 0.3(2) \\
0.0(1) & 1.08(8) & 0.21(7) \\
0.3(2) & 0.21(7) & 1.42(4)
\end{pmatrix}
\times (\text{kOe}/\mu_B),
$$

$$
\begin{pmatrix}
A_{aa} & A_{ab'} & A_{ac'} \\
A_{ab'} & A_{bb'} & A_{bc'} \\
A_{ac'} & A_{bc'} & A_{cc'}
\end{pmatrix}_L = 
\begin{pmatrix}
5.0(2) & 0.1(2) & 0.4(3) \\
0.1(2) & -0.46(7) & 0.27(5) \\
0.4(3) & 0.27(5) & 0.08(4)
\end{pmatrix}
\times (\text{kOe}/\mu_B),
$$

$$
\begin{pmatrix}
\sigma_{aa} & \sigma_{ab'} & \sigma_{ac'} \\
\sigma_{ab'} & \sigma_{bb'} & \sigma_{bc'} \\
\sigma_{ac'} & \sigma_{bc'} & \sigma_{cc'}
\end{pmatrix}_L = 
\begin{pmatrix}
-0.8(1) & 0.0(1) & -0.2(1) \\
0.0(1) & 1.176(1) & -0.301(1) \\
-0.2(1) & -0.301(1) & 0.8243(6)
\end{pmatrix}
\times (10^2 \text{ ppm}).
$$

Because (TMTSF)$_2$X salts display the same isomorphism, we can use the hyperfine-coupling tensor as the basic parameter for the $^{13}$C-NMR of (TMTSF)$_2$X. As mentioned in a later section, for the discussion of electronic correla-

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$\theta$</th>
<th>$\phi$</th>
<th>$A_H$ (kOe/(\mu_B))</th>
<th>$A_L$ (kOe/(\mu_B))</th>
<th>$\sigma$ (ppm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$-15^\circ$</td>
<td>90$^\circ$</td>
<td>1.31</td>
<td>-0.11</td>
<td>99.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.7$^\circ$</td>
<td>90$^\circ$</td>
<td>1.46</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>71.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47.3$^\circ$</td>
<td>90$^\circ$</td>
<td>1.49</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>71.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75$^\circ$</td>
<td>90$^\circ$</td>
<td>1.19</td>
<td>-0.28</td>
<td>100.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0$^\circ$</td>
<td>136$^\circ$</td>
<td>1.19</td>
<td>-0.26</td>
<td>99.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30$^\circ$</td>
<td>136$^\circ$</td>
<td>2.29</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>59.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45$^\circ$</td>
<td>136$^\circ$</td>
<td>3.14</td>
<td>1.01</td>
<td>50.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60$^\circ$</td>
<td>136$^\circ$</td>
<td>4.30</td>
<td>1.80</td>
<td>23.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90$^\circ$</td>
<td>136$^\circ$</td>
<td>5.17</td>
<td>2.28</td>
<td>22.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90$^\circ$</td>
<td>180$^\circ$</td>
<td>8.95</td>
<td>5.02</td>
<td>-76.53</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

B. Knight shift and FL behavior

Because the HFCC of $L$ was very small, we focused on the pressure dependence at the $H$ site. We evaluated $K$ at ambient pressure and under other pressures by subtracting $\sigma$ from $\delta$ as in Fig. 4. At 150 K, $K$ of $H$ has almost the same value of 62 ppm at all pressures, whereas in $^{77}$Se-NMR, $\chi_s$, which was evaluated from $(T_1T)^{-1}$, decreased with the application of pressure.\cite{20} Under ambient pressure, the uniform spin susceptibility, which corresponds to $K$, slightly decreases with decreasing temperature. Various explanations exist for this decrease at low temperatures, such as the dimensionality of the salt, electron correlation, and thermal expansion.\cite{20} The decrease at low temperatures was suppressed by applying pressure, and the uniform spin susceptibility was almost constant at 1.8 GPa, suggesting characteristic FL behavior.

C. $T_1$ and magnetic fluctuations

The main purpose of our study is to assess magnetic fluctuations under pressures greater than $\sim 1$ GPa. The behavior of the salt in this pressure region suggests a correlation between NFL behavior and magnetic fluctuations.

Figure 5 shows the temperature dependence of $(T_1T)^{-1}$ at ambient and other pressures. Similar to the NMR spectra, all relaxation profiles are fitted by a single exponential function without spatial inhomogeneities (as shown in Fig. 6). The increase in $(T_1T)^{-1}$ at ambient pressure and low temperatures, which was suppressed by increasing the pressure, indicates the development of antiferromagnetic SDW fluctuation. This behavior is consistent with that of the previous $^{77}$Se-NMR below $\sim 1$ GPa.\cite{10,20} Finally, $(T_1T)^{-1}$ becomes almost temperature independent at 1.8 GPa. Generally, $(T_1T)^{-1}$ is expressed by the following formula in anisotropic coupling:\cite{21,22}

$$
\frac{1}{T_1T} = \frac{2\gamma_e^2 k_B}{(\gamma_A h)^2} \sum_q F_s q^2 - q \frac{\chi''(q,\omega_0)}{\omega_0}.
$$

FIG. 4. (Color online) Temperature dependence of the Knight shift.
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Here, \( \gamma_n \), \( \gamma_e \), \( k_B \), and \( h \) are the gyromagnetic ratio of the nucleus and electrons, the Boltzmann constant, and the Planck constant, respectively. The constants \( a_Q \) and \( F \) are the isotropic HFCC and the form factor in the anisotropic coupling system. The imaginary part of the dynamic spin susceptibility vertical to the quantization axis is \( \chi''_\perp \); \( \omega_0 \) is the NMR frequency, and variable \( q \) is the vector of a staggered magnetization.

In FL, \((T_1 T)^{-1}\) is proportional to the square of the uniform spin susceptibility and is temperature independent. The rate \((T_1 T)^{-1}\) detects all staggered magnetic fluctuations with the wave-number vector \( q \). Hence, to distinguish the fluctuations \( q \neq Q_{\text{SDW}} \), i.e.,

\[
(T_1 T)^{-1} = (T_1 T)^{-1}_{\text{SDW}} + (T_1 T)^{-1}_0. \tag{5}
\]

Magnetic fluctuations of \( q \neq Q_{\text{SDW}}, \) i.e., \((T_1 T)^{-1}_0\), can be expressed in the following formula by using the Korringa enhancement factor \( K \):

\[
\frac{1}{(T_1 T)_0 K^2} = \left( \frac{A_L}{\sqrt{2} A_\parallel} \right)^2 \frac{4 \pi k_B}{h} \left( \frac{\gamma_n}{\gamma_e} \right)^2 K. \tag{6}
\]

Here, \((A_L/\sqrt{2} A_\parallel)^2\) is a form factor estimated to be \( \sim 36 \) by using the hyperfine-coupling tensor, which is evaluated using the angle dependence \(^{13}\text{C}-\text{NMR}\) at ambient pressure. When \( K = 1 \), the system is regarded as a simple metal; when \( K > 1 \), an antiferromagnetic contribution is expected; and when \( K < 1 \), a ferromagnetic contribution is expected. We fitted the temperature dependence of \((T_1 T)^{-1}\) by using a Curie-Weiss expression

\[
(T_1 T)^{-1} = C/(T + \Theta) + (T_1 T)_0^{-1}
\]

(Ref. 20) at each pressure with \( \Theta = -11.5 \) K at ambient pressure, \( \Theta = 4.6 \) K at 0.8 GPa, \( \Theta = 12.5 \) K at 1.0 GPa, \( \Theta = 12.6 \) K at 1.4 GPa, and \( \Theta = 11.3 \) K at 1.8 GPa, whereas \((T_1 T)^{-1}\) in \(^{77}\text{Se}-\text{NMR}\) on \((\text{TMTSF})_2\text{ClO}_4\) was fitted by using

\[
(T_1 T)^{-1} = C/(T + \Theta) \text{ at low temperatures}. \tag{23}
\]

The value of \( \Theta \) at 0.8 GPa is consistent with \( \Theta \sim 2 \) K in \((\text{TMTSF})_2\text{ClO}_4\) salt. We evaluated the pressure dependence of \( K \) from the value of \( K \) at 150 K at which a contribution of \((T_1 T)^{-1}_{\text{SDW}}\) was negligible. We show the pressure dependence of \( C \) and \( K \) with \( T_1 \) in Fig. 7. The decrease of \((T_1 T)^{-1}\) from ambient pressure to \( \sim 1 \) GPa in \(^{77}\text{Se}\) and \(^{13}\text{C}-\text{NMR}\) were not because of the decrease in the uniform spin susceptibility but because of the decrease in \( K \). \( K \) significantly varies as the pressure changes from ambient pressure to 0.8 GPa. This result is considered to imply increase in the dimensionality. Whereas \( T_1 \) decreased above \( \sim 1 \) GPa, \( K \) was almost constant above \( \sim 1 \) GPa; the value of \( K \sim 0.55 \) suggested that the electron correlation with \( q \neq Q_{\text{SDW}} \) exhibits weakness as with the simple alkali metals\(^{24}\) and is not connected with NFL behavior and \( T_1 \). In contrast, \( C \) decreased to nearly zero with the increasing pressure at which the resistivity corresponded to that of the FL state (\( T^2 \) dependence), and \( T_1 \) decreased to zero. These results suggest that the electron system changed from NFL to FL in response to the reduction in the antiferromagnetic SDW fluctuation with increasing pressure. Hence, the origin of NFL behavior is expected because of the SDW fluctuation. Considering the connection between the emergence of FL behavior and the disappearance of superconductivity, the SDW fluctuation is connected to the mechanism of superconductivity in \((\text{TMTSF})_2\text{PF}_6\).

We also observed similar behavior in a two-dimensional organic superconductor. The organic conductor \( \kappa-(\text{BEDT-TTF})_2\text{Hg}_{2.87}\text{Br}_8 \) exhibits bulk superconductivity above 0.4 GPa.\(^{25}\) Although \( \kappa-(\text{BEDT-TTF})_2\text{Hg}_{2.87}\text{Br}_8 \) and

---

**FIG. 5.** (Color online) Temperature dependence of \((T_1 T)^{-1}\) at ambient and other pressures.

**FIG. 6.** (Color online) Relaxation profiles at various temperatures and pressures.

**FIG. 7.** (Color online) Pressure dependence of \( T_1 \), \( C \), and the Korringa factor \( K \).
(TMTSF)$_2$PF$_6$ differ in crystal structures and dimensionalities, the adjacency of the superconducting phase and the antiferromagnetic phase, and the connection between $T_c$ and NFL behaviors, which was observed in the electric resistivity, were suggested. In $\kappa$-(BEDT-TTF)$_2$Hg$_2$Br$_8$, NMR measurements suggest that the origin of the change of the electron system from NFL to FL was because of a reduction in antiferromagnetic fluctuations.

IV. SUMMARY

In summary, we performed $^{13}$C-NMR measurements on (TMTSF)$_2$PF$_6$ in order to investigate the connection between NFL behavior, which was observed by resistivity measurement, and magnetism. We were able to evaluate $(T_1T)^{-1}$ and the Knight shift corresponding to the uniform spin susceptibility under pressures up to 1.8 GPa. The temperature dependence of $(T_1T)^{-1}$ exhibited large antiferromagnetic fluctuations at ambient pressure. When pressure increased, the development of antiferromagnetic fluctuations was suppressed, and $(T_1T)^{-1}$ and the Knight shift were almost constant at 1.8 GPa, suggesting the electron system reached FL state at 1.8 GPa. The analysis of $(T_1T)^{-1}$ implies that the origin of NFL behavior was the SDW fluctuation. The connection between the emergence of FL behavior and the disappearance of superconductivity suggests that the SDW fluctuation relates to the superconductivity in (TMTSF)$_2$PF$_6$.
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