



Title	Evolutionary dynamics of rDNA clusters on chromosomes of moths and butterflies (Lepidoptera)
Author(s)	Nguyen, Petr; Sahara, Ken; Yoshido, Atsuo; Marec, František
Citation	Genetica, 138(3), 343-354 https://doi.org/10.1007/s10709-009-9424-5
Issue Date	2010-03
Doc URL	http://hdl.handle.net/2115/47706
Rights	The original publication is available at www.springerlink.com
Type	article (author version)
File Information	Gen138-3_343-354.pdf



[Instructions for use](#)

1 **Evolutionary dynamics of rDNA clusters on chromosomes of moths**
2 **and butterflies (Lepidoptera)**

3
4 Petr Nguyen^{1,2}, Ken Sahara³, Atsuo Yoshido³ & František Marec^{2,1*}

5
6 ¹*Faculty of Science, University of South Bohemia, Branišovská 31, CZ-370 05 České Budějovice,*
7 *Czech Republic; petr.nguyen@prf.jcu.cz*

8 ²*Biology Centre ASCR, Institute of Entomology, Branišovská 31, CZ-370 05 České Budějovice, Czech*
9 *Republic; marec@entu.cas.cz*

10 ³*Laboratory of Applied Molecular Entomology, Research Institute of Agriculture, Hokkaido University,*
11 *N9, W9, Kita-ku, Sapporo 060-8589, Japan; sahara@abs.agr.hokudai.ac.jp;*
12 *yoshido@abs.agr.hokudai.ac.jp*

13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22 * Corresponding author: František Marec
23 Biological Centre ASCR
24 Institute of Entomology
25 Branišovská 31
26 CZ-370 05 České Budějovice
27 Czech Republic
28 Phone: +420-387 775 250
29 Fax: +420-385 310 354
30 e-mail: marec@entu.cas.cz

31 **Abstract**

32 We examined chromosomal distribution of major ribosomal DNAs (rDNAs), clustered in the nucleolar
33 organizer regions (NORs), in 18 species of moths and butterflies using fluorescence *in situ*
34 hybridization (FISH) with a codling moth (*Cydia pomonella*) 18S rDNA probe. Most species showed
35 one or two rDNA clusters in their haploid karyotype but exceptions with four to eleven clusters also
36 occurred. Our results in a compilation with previous data revealed dynamic evolution of rDNA
37 distribution in Lepidoptera except Noctuoidea, which showed a highly uniform rDNA pattern. In
38 karyotypes with one NOR, interstitial location of rDNA prevailed, whereas two-NOR karyotypes
39 showed mostly terminally located rDNA clusters. A possible origin of the single interstitial NOR by
40 fusion between two NOR-chromosomes with terminal rDNA clusters lacks support in available data. In
41 some species, spreading of rDNA to new, mostly terminal chromosome regions was found. The
42 multiplication of rDNA clusters without alteration of chromosome numbers rules out chromosome
43 fissions as a major mechanism of rDNA expansion. Based on rDNA dynamics in Lepidoptera and
44 considering the role of ordered nuclear architecture in karyotype evolution, we propose ectopic
45 recombination, *i.e.* homologous recombination between repetitive sequences of non-homologous
46 chromosomes, as a primary motive force in rDNA repatterning.

47

48

49 **Key words:** ribosomal DNA, nucleolar organizer region, chromosome fusion, chromosome fission,
50 karyotype evolution, ectopic recombination

51 Introduction

52 Chromosomes of moths and butterflies (Lepidoptera) are small, numerous and uniform in both shape
53 and size (Robinson 1971, Bedo 1984). They lack a distinct primary constriction (the centromere) and,
54 as a result, sister chromatids separate by parallel disjunction during mitotic metaphase; they are thus
55 regarded as holokinetic with kinetochores extended over a large portion of the chromosome surface
56 (Wolf 1996). Holokinetic nature of chromosomes is supposed to facilitate chromosome fusions and
57 fissions (Wrensch et al. 1994). However, the karyotype of Lepidoptera appears to be relatively stable
58 as it preserves cytological similarities not only within this large and diverse order but also shares
59 common features with the sister order Trichoptera, caddis-flies (Suomalainen 1966, Wolf et al. 1997),
60 which diverged from a common ancestor about 200 MYA (Grimaldi and Engel 2005). Chromosome
61 numbers range from $n=29$ to $n=31$ in the majority of moths and butterflies (Robinson 1971, Brown et
62 al. 2007). The modal, i.e. the most frequent chromosome number of $n=31$, occurring from basal to
63 highly derived clades, has been proposed as an ancestral karyotype of Lepidoptera (Lukhtanov 2000).
64 Moreover, Yasukochi et al. (2006) and Pringle et al. (2007) reported unusually high degree of
65 conserved synteny of genes between *Bombyx mori* (Bombycoidea) and *Heliconius melpomene*
66 (Papilionoidea), which suggests evolutionary stability of lepidopteran linkage groups during last 103
67 MY. Conserved synteny of genes including conserved gene order between chromosome 15 of *B. mori*
68 and a chromosome of *Manduca sexta* (Sphingidae, Bombycoidea) also supports these findings
69 (Sahara et al. 2007). Recently, this has been corroborated by Beldade et al. (2009), who observed
70 conserved assignment of genes to linkage groups between *B. mori* and *Bicyclus anynana*
71 (Papilionoidea).

72 Uniformity of lepidopteran chromosomes, the absence of morphological landmarks (e.g., the
73 centromeres) together with the lack of convenient differential techniques (*cf.* Bedo 1984) disabled
74 identification of individual chromosomes by standard cytogenetic methods and for a long time
75 restricted their study to simple chromosome counts. Mainly because the mitotic chromosomes were
76 unfavourable for conventional cytogenetics, much longer meiotic chromosomes in the pachytene
77 stage were employed for the so-called pachytene mapping (Traut 1976). But even the chromomere
78 pattern of pachytene bivalents could not be generalized for chromosome identification in Lepidoptera.
79 Nevertheless, this approach made possible the study of sex chromosome systems (WZ/ZZ and
80 derived variants) as the female-specific W chromosome could be distinguished by its heterochromatin

81 in a number of species (reviewed by Traut et al. 2007). More recently, modern approaches such as
82 genomic *in situ* hybridization (GISH), comparative genomic hybridization (CGH), and fluorescence *in*
83 *situ* hybridization (FISH) with W-chromosome painting probes allowed detailed studies on the
84 evolution and molecular structure of the W chromosome (Traut et al. 1999, Mediouni et al. 2004,
85 Fuková et al. 2005, 2007, Yoshido et al. 2005b, 2006, Vítková et al. 2007). However, autosomes still
86 remain unexplored except *B. mori*, in which all chromosomes were mapped by the so-called BAC-
87 FISH with probes prepared from bacterial artificial chromosome (BAC) clones (Sahara et al. 2003,
88 Yoshido et al. 2005a).

89 Problems with chromosome identification, similar to those in Lepidoptera, occur in other
90 groups of invertebrates as well. The only autosomes consistently identified in different species are
91 chromosomes bearing tandem arrays of major ribosomal RNA (rRNA) genes (*i.e.* genes for 18S, 5.8S,
92 and 28S rRNA). These arrays of the so-called rDNA are located in one or several nucleolar organizer
93 regions (NORs). Series of works showed that the number and location of rDNA (or NOR) can be a
94 useful marker for the study of karyotype evolution (*e.g.* Hirai et al. 1996, Roy et al. 2005, Bombarová
95 et al. 2007). However, data on NORs in lepidopteran genomes are scarce, often obtained as a by-
96 product of principal research by conventional cytogenetic methods detecting only active nucleoli. In
97 Lepidoptera, no particular study on NOR-chromosomes has been accomplished to date. In this work,
98 we examined the number and distribution of rDNA clusters in 18 selected species of moths and
99 butterflies by FISH with 18S rDNA probe with the aim to understand the role of rDNA in the karyotype
100 evolution of Lepidoptera. Our study is the first systematic survey of NOR-chromosomes not only in
101 Lepidoptera but also in species with holokinetic chromosomes in general. The latter is of a particular
102 interest as holokinetic systems may be fundamentally different from monocentric ones in their
103 constraints (Wrensch et al. 1994).

104

105 **Material and methods**

106 *Insects*

107 Species examined along with their origin are given in Table 1. Specimens were either obtained from
108 laboratory stocks or collected in natural populations. Detailed rearing conditions and diet of laboratory
109 stocks are given in works cited in Table 1. In the field-collected species, except *Orgyia recens*,
110 *Nymphalis xanthomelas*, and *Polyommatus bellargus*, fertilized females were captured, kept in plastic

111 containers at laboratory conditions, and let to lay eggs. Hatched larvae were reared on appropriate
112 host plants chosen according to species bionomy, at room temperature and native day/night regime.
113 In *O. recens* and *N. xanthomelas*, larvae were collected on a hawthorn shrub and Chinese hackberry,
114 respectively, and then reared on the same host plant at laboratory conditions. In the case of *P.*
115 *bellargus*, adult males collected in the field were dissected.

116 *Chromosome preparations*

117 Spread preparations of mitotic and meiotic chromosomes of all species except *P. bellargus* were
118 made from both male and female gonads of 3-5th instar larvae as described in Mediouni et al. (2004).
119 In *P. bellargus* spread chromosome preparations were obtained from gonads of male imago following
120 the same procedure. The preparations were passed through an ethanol series (70%, 80%, and 100%;
121 30 s each) and stored at -20°C until further use.

122 *Fluorescence in situ hybridization with 18S rDNA probe (rDNA-FISH)*

123 Unlabelled, about 1650 bp long 18S rDNA probe was generated by PCR from the colding moth, *Cydia*
124 *pomonella*, genomic DNA. PCR was carried out using the 18S-Gal forward (5'-
125 CGATACCGCGAATGGCTCAATA-3') and 18S-Gal reverse (5'-ACAAAGGGCAGGGACGTAATCAAC-
126 3') primers as described in Fuková et al. (2005). The probes were labelled with biotin-14-dATP by nick
127 translation using a Bionick Labeling System (Invitrogen, Life Technologies Inc., San Diego, CA, USA).

128 For rDNA-FISH, we used the procedure as previously reported in Fuková et al. (2005). Briefly,
129 chromosome preparations were treated with 100 $\mu\text{g}/\text{mL}$ RNase A to remove an excessive amount of
130 rRNAs. After denaturation the chromosomes were hybridized with a probe cocktail containing 15 ng of
131 biotinylated 18S rDNA probe and 25 μg of sonicated salmon sperm DNA per slide. Hybridization
132 signals were detected with Cy3-conjugated streptavidin (Jackson ImmunoRes. Labs. Inc., West
133 Grove, PA, USA), amplified with biotinylated anti-streptavidin (Vector Labs. Inc., Burlingame, CA,
134 USA). The preparations were counterstained with 0.5 $\mu\text{g}/\text{mL}$ of DAPI and mounted in antifade based
135 on DABCO (for composition, see Mediouni et al. 2004).

136 Preparations were inspected in a Zeiss Axioplan 2 microscope equipped with a cooled F-View
137 CCD camera and AnalySIS software, version 3.2 (Soft Imaging System GmbH, Münster, Germany).
138 Black-and-white images were captured separately for each fluorescent dye and then pseudocoloured
139 (light blue for DAPI and red for Cy3) and superimposed with Adobe Photoshop, version 7.0.

140

141 **Results**

142 Biotin-labelled probe, prepared from the 18S rRNA gene of the codling moth, *Cydia pomonella*
143 (Tortricoidea: Tortricidae), was first hybridized by FISH to mitotic and meiotic chromosomes of the
144 source species. In accordance with results of Fuková et al. (2005), rDNA-FISH revealed two clusters
145 of rDNA, localized in both subtelomeric regions of a single chromosome pair. Subsequently, the *C.*
146 *pomonella* 18S rDNA probe was hybridized to chromosomal preparations of other species from four
147 large lepidopteran superfamilies, Pyraloidea, Bombycoidea, Papilionoidea, and Noctuoidea. Due to
148 evolutionary stability of the 18S rRNA gene, reliable hybridization signals were obtained in all species
149 examined.

150

151 *Pyraloidea*

152 In order to verify published data on NOR-chromosomes obtained by other cytogenetic methods,
153 several previously examined lepidopteran species were included in this study. One of these species
154 was the Mediterranean flour moth, *Ephestia kuehniella* (Pyralidae). In male pachytene complements of
155 the flour moth consisting of 30 chromosome pairs, rDNA-FISH revealed two rDNA clusters localized at
156 the ends of two bivalents (not shown). This confirmed electron microscopy observation of Marec and
157 Traut (1993), who designated these NOR-bearing chromosomes for their distinctive length as NOR^S
158 (“small”) and NOR^L (“large”). Pachytene nuclei of another representative of the superfamily Pyraloidea,
159 the European corn borer (*Ostrinia nubilalis*) (Crambidae), showed 31 bivalents, which is consistent
160 with the number stated by Keil et al. (1990). Five of them showed rDNA signals at their terminal regions
161 (Fig. 1a).

162

163 *Bombycoidea*

164 Mitotic spermatogonia of the tobacco hornworm, *Manduca sexta* (Sphingidae), showed 2n=56
165 chromosomes of uniform shape (cf. Sahara et al. 2007). The 18S rDNA probe hybridized to two of
166 these chromosomes (not shown). In pachytene nuclei of spermatocytes, the probe identified a single
167 bivalent with an rDNA cluster located in an interstitial position near one end of the bivalent (Fig. 1b).
168 This result corroborates recent finding of Sahara et al. (2007), who observed a bivalent associated
169 with a nucleolus in a similar position after staining of pachytene oocytes with lactic acetic orcein. The

170 Chinese oak silkworm, *Antheraea pernyi* (Saturniidae), is a very interesting species for its high
171 chromosome number of $2n=98$ (Robinson 1971). Pachytene complements of spread oocytes indeed
172 contained numerous, relatively short bivalents, which were difficult to count. However, there was only
173 one bivalent bearing a single rDNA cluster (NOR) in a terminal region (Fig. 1c). The NOR-bivalent
174 belonged to the longest bivalents in *A. pernyi* pachytene complements.

175

176 *Papilionoidea*

177 Members of families Pieridae, Lycaenidae, and Nymphalidae were examined. In mitotic complements
178 of the large white, *Pieris brassicae* (Pieridae), $2n=30$ rod-shaped chromosomes were observed as
179 previously reported by Bigger (1975). Two obviously homologous chromosomes of a similar size
180 carried each terminal rDNA signals (Fig. 1d). In pachytene nuclei of spermatocytes, the 18S rDNA
181 probe hybridized to a terminal region of one NOR-bivalent (Fig. 1e). Subterminal segments of some
182 pachytene bivalents were highlighted with DAPI, indicating the presence of subtelomeric
183 heterochromatin (see arrows in Fig. 1e). In a closely related species, the small white *Pieris rapae*
184 (Pieridae), spermatogonial nuclei had $2n=50$ chromosomes (*cf.* Robinson 1971). Also in this species
185 rDNA-FISH identified two mitotic chromosomes carrying rDNA clusters. The rDNA signals appeared
186 terminally located in these small, densely packed chromosomes (Fig. 1f). Unfortunately, we failed to
187 get preparations of pachytene spermatocytes in this species and therefore, could not determine
188 precisely the rDNA location at a high resolution of much longer pachytene bivalents. In spermatocytes
189 of the pale clouded yellow, *Colias hyale* (Pieridae), four bivalents bearing rDNA clusters were regularly
190 observed among 31 chromosome pairs of its pachytene complements. All these clusters were
191 localized in interstitial chromosomal regions (Fig. 1g).

192 In spermatogonial metaphases of the common blue, *Polyommatus icarus* (Lycaenidae), $2n=46$
193 chromosomes were observed. Hybridization signals of the rDNA probe were found in two largest
194 chromosomes, most likely corresponding to chromosome pair no. 1 in the study of Bigger (1975) (Fig.
195 1h). A single rDNA cluster was found in pachytene nuclei of spermatocytes. It was located in an
196 interstitial region near one end of the NOR-bivalent (Fig. 1i). In the Adonis blue, *Polyommatus*
197 *bellargus* (Lycaenidae), only metaphase I chromosomes were found on preparations of testes from
198 adult males. The metaphase I complement consisted of $n=45$ bivalents. Two of the bivalents showed
199 each two clusters of hybridization signals, each cluster corresponding to one homologous

200 chromosome. The rDNA signals of the smaller bivalent were much fainter than those of the larger
201 bivalent, most likely indicating a small number of rDNA repeats (Fig. 1j). Positions of rDNA clusters on
202 the bivalents could not be determined.

203 Two bivalents bearing strong terminal hybridization signals were observed in female
204 pachytene nuclei of the yellow-legged tortoiseshell, *Nymphalis xanthomelas* (Nymphalidae), with a
205 haploid chromosome number of $n=31$ (see Robinson 1971). The rDNA signals were located at the
206 ends of these NOR-bivalents and formed small spheres, probably reflecting distribution of rRNA genes
207 in nucleoli. The two NOR-bivalents were often oriented in head-to-head manner by their rDNA (NOR)
208 ends, obviously due to association of their nucleoli in a common nucleolus (Fig. 1k). The peacock
209 butterfly, *Inachis io* (Nymphalidae), has also a haploid chromosome number of $n=31$ (see Robinson
210 1971). In the Japanese subspecies *I. io geisha*, Yoshido et al. (2006) observed at least five NOR-
211 bivalents in pachytene oocyte complements after genomic *in situ* hybridization (GISH) with female
212 genomic DNA probe and DAPI counterstaining. We examined rDNA distribution in *I. io* specimens
213 originating from a Czech population. In pachytene oocytes, rDNA-FISH identified up to 11 rDNA sites
214 localized at the ends of seven bivalents; three bivalents had rDNA signals at one end and four
215 bivalents at both ends (Fig. 1l). Some of the hybridization signals were relatively weak, most probably
216 due to a small number of rDNA repeats. In addition, the number of the weak rDNA signals varied in
217 some nuclei, probably because of a lower resolution of rDNA-FISH for the rDNA sites with low
218 numbers of repeats.

219

220 *Noctuoidea*

221 In pachytene oocytes of *Lymantria dispar*, rDNA-FISH identified a single rDNA cluster located
222 interstitially in a bivalent (not shown). This finding is in a good accord with a single NOR-bivalent
223 observed in this species by Krider and Shields (1997). The karyotype of scarce vapourer, *Orgyia*
224 *recens*, consists of $n=30$ chromosomes (Robinson 1971). Also in this species, we found a single rDNA
225 cluster located interstitially in the NOR-bivalent of pachytene oocytes (Fig. 2a). Metaphase I
226 spermatocyte nuclei of the white-marked tussock moth, *Orgyia leucostigma*, consisted of $n=28$
227 bivalents, which is in keeping with the earlier published data (see Robinson 1971). The 18S rDNA
228 probe hybridized to a single bivalent of its metaphase I complement (Fig. 2b). Accordingly, one NOR-
229 bivalent was found in pachytene nuclei of spermatocytes with an interstitial rDNA cluster (Fig. 2c).

230 Furthermore, rDNA-FISH was carried out on chromosomal preparations of *O. antiqua* males. In
231 metaphase I spermatocyte nuclei, one out of 14 bivalents showed clear rDNA signals (not shown).
232 The presence of a single cluster of rRNA genes was confirmed in nuclei of pachytene spermatocytes,
233 which also showed an NOR-bivalent with an interstitial rDNA site (not shown). These results are
234 consistent with observations of a single autosome NOR-bivalent in orcein-stained pachytene oocytes
235 of *O. antiqua* (Traut and Clarke 1997, Traut and Marec 1997). We also examined rDNA distribution in
236 the garden tiger, *Arctia caja*, a representative of the family Arctiidae, which is closely related to the
237 family Lymntriidae (Mitchell et al. 2006). Male mitotic metaphase complements consisted of $2n=62$
238 chromosomes, two of which bore hybridization signals of the rDNA probe (Fig. 2d). Accordingly, a
239 single chromosome pair (*i.e.* NOR-bivalent) with an interstitial rDNA cluster was observed in
240 pachytene nuclei of both sexes. Moreover, the WZ sex-chromosome bivalent was easily discernible in
241 oocyte pachytene nuclei according to a conspicuous block of W-heterochromatin deeply stained with
242 DAPI (Fig. 2e). Similar to species of Lymantriidae and Artiidae, also the cabbage moth, *Mamestra*
243 *brassicae* (Noctuidae), with $n=31$ (Robinson 1971) had only one NOR-bivalent in spermatocyte
244 pachytene nuclei, with an rDNA site located in an interstitial region (Fig. 2f).

245

246 Discussion

247 *Number and location of rDNA clusters in Lepidoptera*

248 In the present study, we examined chromosomal distribution of rDNA (and thus also potential NORs)
249 in 18 species of the lepidopteran clade Ditrysia using FISH with a codling moth (*C. pomonella*) 18S
250 rDNA probe. Our results in a compilation with previous data on rDNA/NORs in 32 lepidopteran species
251 from five large superfamilies are summarized in Fig. 3 along with haploid chromosome numbers.

252 The basal superfamilies of Ditrysia, Gelechioidea and Tortricoidea, showed three different
253 patterns of rDNA distribution in four species with similar chromosome numbers, $n=28-30$ (Fig. 3). No
254 common rDNA pattern was found in three species of Pyraloidea with $n=30-31$, where *E. kuehniella*
255 and *Ectomyelois ceratoniae* have two NORs but one NOR in the latter species is interstitially located.
256 Five rDNA clusters in another pyraloid, *O. nubilalis*, is most probably a derived state (Fig. 3).

257 One NOR in an interstitial position seems to be a typical feature of karyotypes in the
258 superfamily Bombycoidea as it occurs in representatives of three families, *M. sexta* (Sphingidae), *B.*
259 *mori* (Bombycidae), and *Samia cynthia* (Saturniidae) (Fig. 3). Three saturnids of the genus *Antheraea*

260 have either one NOR, probably terminal as in *A. pernyi*, or two terminal NORs as in *A. yamamai* (Fig.
261 3). Available data including chromosome numbers do not allow us to suggest whether the ancestor of
262 *A. pernyi* and *A. roylei* lost one NOR or *A. yamamai* acquired one NOR.

263 All Noctuoidea examined so far have only one NOR-chromosome with rDNA cluster located
264 interstitially (Fig. 3) except *Spodoptera latifascia* and *S. descoinsi* (Monti et al. 1998). The uniform
265 pattern of NOR distribution in species with the ancestral chromosome number of $n=31$ and in those
266 with reduced chromosome numbers such as in *Orgyia* sp. suggests that one interstitial NOR is an
267 ancestral character of Noctuoidea. In *S. latifascia* and *S. descoinsi*, the interstitial rDNA cluster could
268 move to terminal locus by inversion, which is corroborated by a single interstitial NOR observed in *S.*
269 *litura* (H.B. Manjunatha and F. Marec, unpublished data). The important role of inversions in
270 rearrangements of NOR-bearing chromosomes was demonstrated, for example, in thorny-headed
271 worms of the genus *Pomphorhynchus* (Acanthocephala) (Bombarová et al. 2007) and *Myrmecia* ants
272 (Hymenoptera) (Hirai et al. 1996).

273 The Papilionoidea, in contrast to Noctuoidea, are diverse in the distribution of rDNA site both
274 within and between families (Fig. 3). In Lycaenidae, the occurrence of one interstitial NOR in *P. icarus*
275 versus two NORs in *P. bellargus* is probably related to the doubled chromosome number in the latter
276 species. In Nymphalidae, two terminal rDNA sites as in *N. xanthomelas* multiplied to 11 terminal
277 clusters in *I. io*. Recently, two NORs were also reported in the butterfly *B. anynana*, one autosomal
278 NOR in a terminal position and the other associated with the WZ bivalent but its location was not
279 determined (Van't Hof et al. 2008). In Pieridae, the karyotype of *C. hyale* with $n=31$ but four interstitial
280 rDNA loci demonstrates unique and definitely derived pattern of rDNA distribution, which contrasts
281 with the single terminal NOR in the closely related species of the genus *Pieris* with reduced
282 chromosome numbers, *P. brassicae* ($n=15$) and *P. rapae* ($n=25$).

283

284 *Mechanisms of rDNA changes in Lepidoptera*

285 Ability of rDNA clusters to change their number and position was first described in the genus *Allium* by
286 Schubert (1984). Later Schubert and Wobus (1985) proved that NORs jump between some
287 preferential chromosome sites. Since then, evidence for "the mobile NOR hypothesis" has been
288 growing (Zhang and Sang 1999, Shishido et al. 2000, Roy et al. 2005, Datson and Murray 2006,
289 Cabrero and Camacho 2008). Mobility of rDNA seems to be rather common and thus, it is reasonable

290 to assume the existence of a common mechanism responsible for changes in the number and position
291 of rDNA clusters. However, no such consensual mechanism has been found so far.

292 In Lepidoptera, the absence of data from 'primitive' non-dytrisian families and insufficient data
293 from basal lineages of Ditrysia do not allow for a plausible hypothesis on NOR distribution in the
294 ancestral karyotype. Our study showed that most examined species with $n=28$ and lower have one
295 interstitial NOR (Fig. 3). Providing that the ancestral karyotype of $n=31$ (Lukhtanov 2000) had two
296 NOR-chromosomes, each bearing one NOR in a terminal region, the most parsimonious way to
297 explain evolutionary dynamics of rDNA clusters in species with reduced chromosome numbers is by
298 fusion of two chromosomes through their terminal NORs. Such fusion, resulting in a chromosome with
299 an interstitial rDNA site, would resemble Robertsonian translocations between the NOR-bearing
300 acrocentric chromosomes in man (Stahl et al. 1983, Choo et al. 1988) and would be facilitated by
301 holokinetic nature of lepidopteran chromosomes (see discussion in Rego and Marec 2003), which
302 overcomes challenge of forming unstable dicentric chromosomes (Stahl et al. 1983). The origin by
303 fusion seems to be applicable in Bombycoidea, where three species with $n=28$ have one interstitial
304 NOR and *A. yamamai* with $n=31$ has two terminal NORs (Fig. 3). Further, the curious NOR-autosome
305 in the codling moth, bearing two terminal NORs, was supposed to arise by fusion of two ancestral
306 NOR-chromosomes by their non-NOR ends (Fuková et al. 2005). In another tortricid, *Zeiraphera*
307 *diniana*, which has the same number of chromosomes ($n=28$), the only NOR-autosome with an
308 interstitial NOR (Emelianov et al. 2004; F. Marec, unpublished data on NOR) could also originate by
309 fusion but through NOR-ends. However, the fusion concept lacks a support in our data set as we
310 failed to find a group of closely related species that would substantiate NOR-fusion.

311 Instead of fusions of NOR-bearing chromosomes, this study revealed an opposite trend in the
312 karyotype evolution of Lepidoptera, *i.e.* a multiplication of rDNA clusters. Hirai et al. (1996) reported a
313 positive correlation between a total number of chromosomes and number of NORs in ants of the
314 genus *Myrmecia*. A similar multiplication of rDNA clusters was observed in the plant *Hypochoeris*
315 *radicata* (Hall and Parker 1995). In both cases, rDNA was localized in pericentric heterochromatin, and
316 the multiplication of rDNA clusters was thus a direct consequence of fission of the metacentric NOR-
317 bearing chromosome, which formed two acrocentrics, each bearing a portion of the former rDNA
318 cluster. Hall and Parker (1995) hypothesized that the NOR itself could cause instability of the
319 centromeric region resulting in chromosomal fission. However, in Lepidoptera the mechanism of rDNA

320 multiplication via chromosome fissions can be applied only in the case of the blue butterfly *P.*
321 *bellargus*. The modal chromosome number in blue butterflies is reduced to n=23-24 (Robinson 1971),
322 the state represented by *P. icarus* which has a single interstitial NOR. Most probably, the chromosome
323 number in *P. bellargus* increased to n=45 by a sequence of chromosome fissions (Kandul et al. 2007).
324 During this process, the NOR-chromosome was likely to split into two fragments resulting in two NOR-
325 chromosomes, the number observed in this study.

326 The observed increase in the number of rDNA clusters is not associated with increasing
327 chromosome numbers in *O. nubilalis* (Pyraloidea), *C. hyale* and *I. io* (both Papilionoidea), all having
328 the ancestral number of n=31. In these species, rDNA probably dispersed into new chromosomal
329 regions. Such rDNA dispersion is often associated with chromosomal rearrangements such as
330 inversions and translocations, which can result in transfer of an rDNA cluster or its part in a new
331 chromosomal locus. Subtelomeric location of NORs was proposed to enhance rDNA mobility by
332 reducing deleterious effects of these rearrangements. Therefore, more NORs and a higher variability
333 in the number of NORs between closely related species are expected in species with terminally
334 located rDNA clusters (Hanson et al. 1996). Observations in several plant genera such as *Allium*
335 (Schubert and Wobus 1985), *Paeonia* (Zhang and Sang 1999), and *Aloe* (Adams et al. 2000), and in
336 *Phaseolus vulgaris* (Pedrosa-Harand et al. 2006) are consistent with these predictions. Data available
337 in Lepidoptera (Fig. 3) suggest that species with terminal NORs have a higher number of NORs as
338 well and point thus to a similar mechanism of rDNA dispersion. However, Dubcovsky and Dvořák
339 (1995) and Shishido et al. (2000) found that NOR loci have changed position in the genome without
340 affecting linkage groups in two Poaceae genera, *Triticum* and *Oryza*, respectively. Also recent findings
341 of Cabrero and Camacho (2008) contradict the above-mentioned premises of Hanson et al. (1996).
342 According to these authors, rDNA mobility can be explained by transposition involving mobile
343 elements rather than chromosomal rearrangements (see also Raskina et al. 2004, Datson and Murray
344 2006).

345 Generally, chromosomal rearrangements can be ascribed to ectopic recombination, *i.e.*
346 homologous recombination between repetitive sequences dispersed throughout genome (Mieczkowski
347 et al. 2006), which is an efficient mechanism for double strand break (DSB) repairs. Ectopic
348 recombination has two possible resolutions, (i) a crossover configuration resulting in chromosomal
349 rearrangements and (ii) a non-crossover gene conversion, predicted to occur with an equal frequency

350 according to the conservative DSB repair model. However, deleterious chromosome rearrangements
351 are under selection pressure and therefore, it is reasonable to assume the existence of mechanisms
352 favouring non-crossover resolution (Shalev and Levy 1997), such as the synthesis-dependent strand-
353 annealing model usually generating only gene conversion (Aylon and Kupiec 2004). Connection
354 between rDNA genes and gene conversion is proved by their concerted evolution, *i.e.* homogenization
355 of ribosomal gene family (reviewed in Nei and Rooney 2005). Considering these arguments, we
356 suggest that rDNA dynamics observed in Lepidoptera can be mainly ascribed to ectopic homologous
357 recombination which results either in rearrangements of NOR-chromosomes (by inversion, fusion or
358 translocation) or transfer of few ribosomal transcriptional units to a new location by gene conversion
359 followed by their amplification via unequal crossing-over (*cf.* Dubcovsky and Dvořák 1995, Cabrero
360 and Camacho 2008). The small size of rDNA clusters in *I. io* is consistent with this hypothesis.
361 Recognition of ectopic recombination as a primary motive force in rDNA dynamics would be of
362 significant consequences. It would mean that changes in number and position of rDNA clusters could
363 be promoted by the presence of ubiquitous repetitive sequences, such as satellite DNA or mobile
364 elements in the NOR and its vicinity (Choo et al. 1988, Maggini et al. 1991, Mieczkowski et al. 2006,
365 Song and Boissinot 2007).

366 Furthermore, preferable movement of rDNA clusters in subtelomeric regions could be
367 explained not only by deleterious effect of chromosomal rearrangements but also by the ordered
368 spatial organization of nucleus (for reviews, see Marshall 2002, Cremer et al. 2006). If two loci are to
369 interact physically, they must be located at the same place in the nucleus. Thus, non-random
370 association of nonhomologous NOR-chromosomes within the same nucleolus, which was also
371 observed in Lepidoptera (Fig. 1k), is likely to facilitate the interaction of the chromosomes through their
372 rDNA repeats (Stahl et al. 1983, Marshall 2002). Similarly, it was shown that an efficiency of ectopic
373 recombination is influenced by proximity of dispersed homologous sequences to telomeres (Goldman
374 and Lichten 1996). Attachment of telomeres to the nuclear envelope is thought to create a separate
375 compartment restricting their movement to two dimensions. Ectopic recombination between
376 subtelomeric regions is thus facilitated in comparison with interstitial loci (Schlecht et al. 2004). Hence,
377 a low efficiency of ectopic recombination in interstitial regions is likely to be responsible for the
378 conserved rDNA pattern observed in Noctuoidea.

379 In conclusion, our study revealed dynamic evolution of rDNA distribution in most lepidopteran
380 clades examined, particularly in Pyraloidea and Papilionoidea, which contrasts with the static rDNA
381 pattern in Noctuoidea. Considering the above mechanisms of rDNA mobility the present data on the
382 number and position of NORs in Lepidoptera suggest that repatterning of rDNA can be mainly
383 ascribed to ectopic recombination. Evolutionary dynamics of rDNA clusters and chromosomes bearing
384 them thus presumably reflects the ordered nuclear architecture and distribution of repetitive
385 sequences. This assumption could be used as a framework to disclose the role of NOR-bearing
386 chromosomes in the karyotype evolution.

387

388 **Acknowledgements**

389 We wish to thank Marie Korchová for technical assistance and our colleagues, Oxana Habušťová for
390 *Ostrinia nubilalis*, Jiří Beneš, Zdeněk Fric, Josef Jaroš, and Martin Konvička for their help with
391 collecting and determination of some species. Our thanks are also due to Zenta Kajiura (Shinshu
392 University, Japan) for *Antheraea pernyi* through NBRP, Sandra Barns and Stuart Reynolds (Bath
393 University, UK) for *Manduca sexta*, Josef Chytil (Přerov, Czech Republic) for *Lymantria dispar*, Tomáš
394 Hamřík (Velká Bíteš, Czech Republic) for *Arctia caja*, and Zdeněk Hanč (České Budějovice) for
395 valuable advice. This work was funded by grants 206/06/1860 of the Grant Agency of the Czech
396 Republic (GACR) and IAA600960925 of the Grant Agency of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech
397 Republic, both Prague, and from the Entomology Institute project Z50070508. P.N. acknowledges the
398 support from the grant SGA2006/01 of the Student Grant Agency of the Faculty of Science, University
399 of South Bohemia, Czech Republic, and the support of his Ph.D. programme by the GACR grant
400 521/08/H042.. K.S. and A.Y. were supported from grants 18380037 and 191114 of the Japan Society
401 for the Promotion of Science (JSPS), respectively.

402 **References**

- 403 Adams SP, Leitch IJ, Bennet MD, Chase MW, Leitch AR (2000) Ribosomal DNA evolution and
404 phylogeny in *Aloe* (Asphodelaceae). *Am J Bot* 87:1578-1583
- 405 Aylon Y, Kupiec M (2004) DSB repair: the yeast paradigm. *DNA Repair* 3:797-815
- 406 Beldade P, Saenko SV, Pul N, Long AD (2009) A gene-based linkage map for *Bicyclus anynana*
407 butterflies allows for a comprehensive analysis of synteny with the lepidopteran reference genome.
408 *PLoS Genet* 5:e1000366
- 409 Bartlett AC, Del Fosse FE (1991) The pachytene karyotype of the pink bollworm (Lepidoptera:
410 Gelechiidae). *Southwest Entomol* 16:223–235
- 411 Bedo DG (1984) Karyotypic and chromosome banding studies of the potato tuber moth, *Phthorimaea*
412 *operculella* (Zeller) (Lepidoptera, Gelechiidae). *Can J Genet Cytol* 26:141-145
- 413 Bigger TRL (1975) Karyotypes of some Lepidoptera chromosomes and changes in their holokinetic
414 organisation as revealed by new cytological techniques. *Cytologia* 40:713-726
- 415 Bombarová M, Marec F, Nguyen P, Špakulová M (2007) Divergent location of ribosomal genes in
416 chromosomes of fish thorny-headed worms, *Pomphorhynchus laevis* and *Pomphorhynchus*
417 *tereticollis* (Acanthocephala). *Genetica* 131:141-149
- 418 Brown KS, Freitas AVL, Wahlberg N, von Schoultz B, Saura AO, Saura A (2007) Chromosomal
419 evolution in the South American Nymphalidae. *Hereditas* 144:137-148
- 420 Cabrero J, Camacho JPM (2008) Location and expression of ribosomal RNA genes in grasshoppers:
421 Abundance of silent and cryptic loci. *Chromosome Res* 16:595-607
- 422 Choo KH, Vissel B, Brown R, Filby RG, Earle E (1988) Homologous alpha satellite sequences on
423 human acrocentric chromosomes with selectivity for chromosomes 13, 14 and 21: implications for
424 recombination between nonhomologues and Robertsonian translocations. *Nucleic Acids Res*
425 16:1273-1284
- 426 Cremer T, Cremer M, Dietzel S, Muller S, Solovei I, Fakan S (2006) Chromosome territories - a
427 functional nuclear landscape. *Curr Opin Cell Biol* 18:307-316
- 428 Datson PM, Murray BG (2006) Ribosomal DNA locus evolution in *Nemesis*: transposition rather than
429 structural rearrangement as the key mechanism? *Chromosome Res* 14:845-857
- 430 Dubcovsky J, Dvořák J (1995) Ribosomal-RNA multigene loci: nomads of the Triticeae genomes.
431 *Genetics* 140:1367-1377

432 Emelianov I, Marec F, Mallet J (2004) Genomic evidence for divergence with gene flow in host races
433 of the larch budmoth. *Proc R Soc Lond B* 271:97-105

434 Fuková I, Nguyen P, Marec F (2005) Codling moth cytogenetics: karyotype, chromosomal location of
435 rDNA, and molecular differentiation of sex chromosomes. *Genome* 48:1083–1092

436 Fuková I, Traut W, Vítková M, Nguyen P, Kubíčková S, Marec F (2007) Probing the W chromosome of
437 the codling moth, *Cydia pomonella*, with sequences from microdissected sex chromatin.
438 *Chromosoma* 116:135-145

439 Goldman ASH, Lichten M (1996) The efficiency of meiotic recombination between dispersed
440 sequences in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* depends upon their chromosomal location. *Genetics*
441 144:43-55

442 Grimaldi D, Engel MS (2005) *Evolution of the Insects*. Cambridge University Press, New York

443 Hall KJ, Parker JS (1995) Stable chromosome fission associated with rDNA mobility. *Chromosome*
444 *Res* 3:417-422

445 Hanson RE, IslamFaridi MN, Percival EA, Crane CF, Ji YF, McKnight TD, Stelly DM, Price HJ (1996)
446 Distribution of 5S and 18S-28S rDNA loci in a tetraploid cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum* L) and its
447 putative diploid ancestors. *Chromosoma* 105:55-61

448 Hirai H, Yamamoto MT, Taylor RW, Imai HT (1996) Genomic dispersion of 28S rDNA during
449 karyotypic evolution in the ant genus *Myrmecia* (Formicidae). *Chromosoma* 105:190-196

450 Kandul NP, Lukhtanov VA, Pierce NE (2007) Karyotypic diversity and speciation in *Agrodiaetus*
451 butterflies. *Evolution* 61:546-559

452 Keil CB, Mason CE, Showers WB (1990) Apyrene spermatogenesis in *Ostrinia nubilalis* (Hübner):
453 obligate and facultative diapausing strains. *J Hered* 81:72-74

454 Krider HM, Shields KS (1997) Meiosis in North American and Asian races of gypsy moth (Lepidoptera:
455 Lymantriidae) and their hybrids. *Ann Entomol Soc Am* 90:223-229

456 Kristensen N.P. (ed.) (1999) *Lepidoptera, Moths and Butterflies, Vol. 1: Evolution, Systematics, and*
457 *Biogeography. Handbook of Zoology, Vol. IV, Arthropoda: Insecta, part 35.* Walter de Gruyter,
458 Berlin, New York

459 Kundu SC, Ibotombi N, Bhagirath T (1991) Synaptonemal complex karyotype of an Indian silkworm,
460 *Antheraea roylei*. *Sericologia* 31:545-547

461 Lewis LC, Lynch RE (1969) Rearing the European corn borer, *Ostrinia nubilalis* (Hübner), on diets
462 containing corn leaf and wheat germ. Iowa State J Sci 44:9-14

463 Lukhtanov VA (2000) Sex chromatin and sex chromosome systems in nonditrysian Lepidoptera
464 (Insecta). J Zoolog Syst Evol Res 38:73-79

465 Mahendran B, Ghosh SK, Kundu SC (2006) Molecular phylogeny of silk-producing insects based on
466 16S ribosomal RNA and cytochrome oxidase subunit I genes. J Genet 85:31-38

467 Maggini F, Cremonini R, Zolfino C, Tucci GF, D'Ovidio R, Delre V, DePace C, Scarascia Mugnozza
468 GT, Cionini PG (1991) Structure and chromosomal localization of DNA sequences related to
469 ribosomal subrepeats in *Vicia faba*. Chromosoma 100:229-234

470 Marec F, Traut W (1993) Synaptonemal complexes in female and male meiotic prophase of *Ephesia*
471 *kuehniella* (Lepidoptera). Heredity 71:394-404

472 Marshall WF (2002) Order and disorder in the nucleus. Curr Biol 12:R185-R192

473 Mieczkowski PA, Lemoine FJ, Petes TD (2006) Recombination between retrotransposons as a source
474 of chromosome rearrangements in the yeast *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. DNA Repair 5:1010-1020

475 Mediouni J, Fuková I, Frydrychová R, Dhouibi MH, Marec F (2004) Karyotype, sex chromatin and sex
476 chromosome differentiation in the carob moth, *Ectomyelois ceratoniae* (Lepidoptera: Pyralidae).
477 Caryologia 57:184-194

478 Mitchell A, Mitter C, Regier JC (2006) Systematics and evolution of the cutworm moths (Lepidoptera:
479 Noctuidae): evidence from two protein-coding nuclear genes. Syst Entomol 31:21-46

480 Monti L, Lemeunier F, Lalanne-Cassou B (1998) A chromosomal investigation of two closely related
481 species of *Spodoptera*. Compt Rendus Acad Sci III Sci Vie 321:275-282

482 Nei M, Rooney AP (2005) Concerted and birth-and-death evolution of multigene families. Annu Rev
483 Genet 39:121-152

484 Pedrosa-Harand A, de Almeida CCS, Mosiolek M, Blair M, Schweizer D, Guerra M (2006) Extensive
485 ribosomal DNA amplification during Andean common bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.) evolution. Theor
486 Appl Genet 112:924-933

487 Pringle EG, Baxter SW, Webster CL, Papanicolaou A, Lee SF, Jiggins CD (2007) Synteny and
488 chromosome evolution in the Lepidoptera: Evidence from mapping in *Heliconius melpomene*.
489 Genetics 177:417-426

490 Raskina O, Belyayev A, Nevo E (2004) Activity of the *En/Spm*-like transposons in meiosis as a base
491 for chromosome repatterning in a small, isolated, peripheral population of *Aegilops speltoides*
492 Tausch. *Chromosome Res* 12:153-161

493 Regier JC, Cook CP, Mitter C, Hussey A (2008) A phylogenetic study of the "bombycoid complex"
494 (Lepidoptera) using five protein-coding nuclear genes, with comments on the problem of
495 macrolepidopteran phylogeny. *Syst Entomol* 33:175-189

496 Rego A, Marec F (2003) Telomeric and interstitial telomeric sequences in holokinetic chromosomes of
497 Lepidoptera: Telomeric DNA mediates association between postpachytene bivalents in achiasmatic
498 meiosis of females. *Chromosome Res* 11:681-694

499 Robinson R (1971) *Lepidoptera genetics*. Pergamon Press, Oxford

500 Roy V, Monti-Dedieu L, Chaminade N, Siljak-Yakovlev S, Aulard S, Lemeunier F, Montchamp-Moreau
501 C (2005) Evolution of the chromosomal location of rDNA genes in two *Drosophila* species
502 subgroups: *ananassae* and *melanogaster*. *Heredity* 94:388-395

503 Sahara K, Yoshido A, Kawamura N, Ohnuma A, Abe H, Mita K, Oshiki T, Shimada T, Asano S, Bando
504 H, Yasukochi Y (2003) W-derived BAC probes as a new tool for identification of the W
505 chromosome and its aberrations in *Bombyx mori*. *Chromosoma* 112:48–55

506 Sahara K, Yoshido A, Marec F, Fuková I, Zhang HB, Wu CC, Goldsmith MR, Yasukochi Y (2007)
507 Conserved synteny of genes between chromosome 15 of *Bombyx mori* and a chromosome of
508 *Manduca sexta* shown by five-color BAC-FISH. *Genome* 50:1061-1065

509 Schlecht HB, Lichten M, Goldman ASH (2004) Compartmentalization of the yeast meiotic nucleus
510 revealed by analysis of ectopic recombination. *Genetics* 168:1189-1203

511 Schubert I (1984) Mobile nucleolus organizing regions (NORs) in *Allium* (Liliaceae s. lat.)? Inferences
512 from the specificity of silver staining. *Plant Syst Evol* 144:291-305

513 Schubert I, Wobus U (1985) *In situ* hybridization confirms jumping nucleolus organizing regions in
514 *Allium*. *Chromosoma* 92:143-148

515 Shalev G, Levy AA (1997) The maize transposable element *Ac* induces recombination between the
516 donor site and an homologous ectopic sequence. *Genetics* 146:1143-1151

517 Shishido R, Sano Y, Fukui K (2000) Ribosomal DNAs: an exception to the conservation of gene order
518 in rice genomes. *Mol Gen Genet* 263:586-591

519 Song M, Boissinot S (2007) Selection against LINE-1 retrotransposons results principally from their
520 ability to mediate ectopic recombination. *Gene* 390:206-213

521 Stahl A, Luciani JM, Hartung M, Devictor M, Bergé-Lefranc JL, Guichaoua M (1983) Structural basis
522 for Robertsonian translocations in man: Association of ribosomal genes in the nucleolar fibrillar
523 center in meiotic spermatocytes and oocytes. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 80:5946-5950

524 Suomalainen E (1966) Achiasmatische oogenese bei Trichopteren. *Chromosoma* 18:201-207

525 Traut W (1976) Pachytene mapping in the female silkworm, *Bombyx mori* L. (Lepidoptera).
526 *Chromosoma* 58:275-284

527 Traut W, Clarke CA (1996) Cytogenetics of a moth species with a low chromosome number, *Orgyia*
528 *thyllina*. *Hereditas* 125:277-283

529 Traut W, Clarke CA (1997) Karyotype evolution by chromosome fusion in the moth genus *Orgyia*.
530 *Hereditas* 126:77-84

531 Traut W, Marec F (1997) Sex chromosome differentiation in some species of Lepidoptera (Insecta).
532 *Chromosome Res* 5:283-291

533 Traut W, Sahara K, Otto TD, Marec F (1999) Molecular differentiation of sex chromosomes probed by
534 comparative genomic hybridization. *Chromosoma* 108:173-180

535 Traut W, Sahara K, Marec F (2007) Sex chromosomes and sex determination in Lepidoptera. *Sex Dev*
536 1:332-346

537 Van't Hof AE, Marec F, Saccheri IJ, Brakefield PM, Zwaan BJ (2008) Cytogenetic characterization and
538 AFLP-based genetic linkage mapping for the butterfly *Bicyclus anynana*, covering all 28 karyotyped
539 chromosomes. *PLoS ONE* 3:e3882

540 Vítková M, Fuková I, Kubíčková S, Marec F (2007) Molecular divergence of the W chromosomes in
541 pyralid moths (Lepidoptera). *Chromosome Res* 15:917-930

542 Wahlberg N, Braby MF, Brower AV, de Jong R, Lee MM, Nylin S, Pierce NE, Sperling FA, Vila R,
543 Warren AD, Zakharov E (2005) Synergistic effects of combining morphological and molecular data
544 in resolving the phylogeny of butterflies and skippers. *Proc Biol Sci* 272:1577-1586

545 Wolf KW (1996) The structure of condensed chromosomes in mitosis and meiosis of insects. *Int J*
546 *Insect Morphol Embryol* 25:37-62

547 Wolf KW, Novák K, Marec F (1997) Kinetic organization of metaphase I bivalents in spermatogenesis
548 of Lepidoptera and Trichoptera species with small chromosome numbers. *Heredity* 79:135-143

549 Wrensch DL, Kethley JB, Norton RA (1994) Cytogenetics of holokinetic chromosomes and inverted
550 meiosis: keys to the evolutionary success of mites, with generalization on eukaryotes. In: Houck
551 MA (ed.) *Mites: Ecological and Evolutionary Analyses of Life-History Patterns*, Chapman & Hall,
552 New York, pp 282–343

553 Yasukochi Y, Ashakumary LA, Baba K, Yoshido A, Sahara K (2006) A second-generation integrated
554 map of the silkworm reveals synteny and conserved gene order between lepidopteran insects.
555 *Genetics* 173:1319-1328

556 Yoshido A, Bando H, Yasukochi Y, Sahara K (2005a) The *Bombyx mori* karyotype and the assignment
557 of linkage groups. *Genetics* 170:675-685

558 Yoshido A, Marec F, Sahara K (2005b) Resolution of sex chromosome constitution by genomic *in situ*
559 hybridization and fluorescence *in situ* hybridization with (TTAGG)_n telomeric probe in some species
560 of Lepidoptera. *Chromosoma* 114:193-202

561 Yoshido A, Yamada Y, Sahara K (2006) The W chromosome detection in several lepidopteran species
562 by genomic *in situ* hybridization (GISH). *J Insect Biotech Sericol* 75:147-151

563 Zhang D, Sang T (1999) Physical mapping of ribosomal RNA genes in peonies (*Paeonia*,
564 *Paeoniaceae*) by fluorescent *in situ* hybridization: implications for phylogeny and concerted
565 evolution. *Am J Bot* 86:735-740

566 **Titles and legends to figures**

567

568 **Fig. 1** Localization of rDNA clusters in spread chromosome preparations from gonads of species
569 belonging to superfamilies Pyraloidea (**a**), Bombycoidea (**b, c**), and Papilionoidea (**d-l**) as revealed by
570 FISH with 18S rDNA probe (red signals, arrowheads). Chromosomes were counterstained with DAPI
571 (blue). **a** Male pachytene complement of *Ostrinia nubilalis* (Crambidae). **b** Male pachytene
572 complement of *Manduca sexta* (Sphingidae). **c** Female pachytene nucleus of *Antheraea pernyi*
573 (Saturniidae). **d** Mitotic metaphase of male *Pieris brassicae* (Pieridae) consisting of $2n=30$
574 chromosomes. **e** Male pachytene complement of *P. brassicae*; note small DAPI-positive subterminal
575 blocks of heterochromatin in some bivalents (arrows). **f** *Pieris rapae* (Pieridae) spermatogonial
576 metaphase with $2n=50$ chromosomes. **g** Male pachytene complement of *Colias hyale* (Pieridae). **h**
577 Spermatogonial metaphase of *Polyommatus icarus* (Lycaenidae) consisting of $2n=46$ chromosomes. **i**
578 Male pachytene complement of *P. icarus*. **j** Meiotic metaphase I of *Polyommatus bellargus* male
579 (Lycaenidae) with $n=45$ bivalents. **k** Female pachytene complement of *Nymphalis xanthomelas*
580 (Nymphalidae); note the proximity of two rDNA clusters, each located at the end of a different NOR-
581 bivalent; the two NORs form a single common nucleolus. **l** Female pachytene complement of *Inachis*
582 *io* (Nymphalidae) originating from a Czech population showing eleven rDNA clusters. Bar= $10\ \mu\text{m}$ (**a-c**,
583 **e, g, i, k, and l**); bar= $5\ \mu\text{m}$ (**d, f, h, and j**).

584

585 **Fig. 2** Localization of rDNA clusters in spread chromosome preparations from gonads of species
586 belonging to the superfamily Noctuoidea as revealed by FISH with 18S rDNA probe (red signals,
587 arrowheads). Chromosomes were counterstained with DAPI (blue). **a** Female pachytene complement
588 of *Orgyia recens* (Lymantriidae). **b** Metaphase I complement of *Orgyia leucostigma* (Lymantriidae)
589 male consisting of $2n=28$ bivalents. **c** Male pachytene nucleus of *O. leucostigma*. **d** Spermatogonial
590 mitotic metaphase of *Arctia caja* (Arctidae). **e** Female pachytene complement of *Arctia caja*; note a
591 nucleolus (N) associated with an NOR-bivalent carrying a cluster of strong interstitial rDNA signals;
592 also note the WZ bivalent identified by DAPI-highlighted segment of the W chromosome. **f** Male
593 pachytene nucleus of *Mamestra brassicae* (Noctuidae). Bar= $10\ \mu\text{m}$ (**a, c, e, and f**); bar= $5\ \mu\text{m}$ (**b, d**).


594

595 **Fig. 3** Summary of available data on the number and position of NORs in lepidopteran species along
596 with their phylogenetic relationships and haploid chromosome numbers. Idiograms of NOR-bearing
597 chromosomes show number and location (terminal or interstitial without closer specification) of rDNA
598 clusters (red) in haploid complement. Phylogenetic relationships are based on Kristensen (1999),
599 Wahlberg et al. (2005), Mahendran et al. (2006), Mitchell et al. (2006), and Regier et al. (2008).
600 Colours of phylogenetic branches indicate the following superfamilies: grey, Gelechioidea; orange,
601 Tortricoidea; violet, Pyraloidea; blue, Bombycoidea; yellow, Papilionoidea; green, Noctuoidea. *this
602 study, ¹Bedo (1984); ²Bartlett and Del Fosse (1991); ³Fuková et al. (2005); ⁴Marec and Traut (1993);
603 ⁵Mediouni et al. (2004); ⁶Sahara et al. (2007); ⁷Traut (1976); ⁸Yoshido et al. (2005b); ⁹Kundu et al.
604 (1991); ¹⁰Van't Hof et al. (200*); ¹¹Yoshido et al. (2006); ¹²Monti et al. (1998); ¹³Traut and Marec
605 (1997); ¹⁴Krider and Shields (1997); ¹⁵Traut and Clarke (1997); ¹⁶Traut and Clarke (1996); [†]F. Marec,
606 unpublished; ^{††}H.B. Manjunatha and F. Marec, unpublished; [‡]chromosome numbers differed in
607 subspecies (2n=25 in *S. c. sp. indet.*; 2n=26 in *S. c. walkeri*; 2n=27 in *S. c. ricini*).


Table 1 List of lepidopteran species used in the present study

Superfamily	Family / Species	Source or locality	Rearing conditions; diet
Tortricoidea	Tortricidae		
	<i>Cydia pomonella</i>	Laboratory strain Krym-61	see Fuková et al. (2005)
Pyraloidea	Crambidae		
	<i>Ostrinia nubilalis</i>	Laboratory culture (O. Habuštová)	25°C, LD 12/12 h; wheat germ/alfalfa-based diet (Lewis and Lynch 1969)
	Pyralidae		
	<i>Ephestia kuehniella</i>	Laboratory strain WT-C	see Rego and Marec (2003)
Bombycoidea	Sphingidae		
	<i>Manduca sexta</i>	Laboratory strain (S. Barns and S. Reynolds, Bath Univ., UK)	see Sahara et al. (2007)
	Saturniidae		
	<i>Antheraea pernyi</i>	Laboratory strain (Z. Kajiura, Shinshu University, Japan)	room conditions; chestnut leaves
Papilionoidea	Pieridae		
	<i>Colias hyale</i>	Collected in České Budějovice, Czech Republic	room conditions; alfalfa
	<i>Pieris rapae</i>	Collected in Ohrazení near České Budějovice, Czech Republic	room conditions; rapeseed leaves
	<i>Pieris brassicae</i>	Collected in Ohrazení near České Budějovice, Czech Republic	room conditions; rapeseed leaves
	Lycaenidae		
	<i>Polyommatus icarus</i>	Collected in České Budějovice, Czech Republic	room conditions; bird's-foot trefoil
	<i>Polyommatus bellargus</i>	Collected in environs of Brno, Czech Republic	-
	Nymphalidae		
	<i>Nymphalis xanthomelas</i>	Collected in Hiroshima, Japan	room conditions; Chinese hackberry leaves
	<i>Inachis io</i>	Collected in České Budějovice, Czech Republic	room conditions; stinging nettle
Noctuoidea	Noctuidae		
	<i>Mamestra brassicae</i>	Collected in České Budějovice	room conditions; dandelion leaves
	Arctiidae		
	<i>Arctia caja</i>	Collected near Velká Bíteš, Czech Republic	room conditions; dandelion leaves
	Lymantriidae		
	<i>Lymantria dispar</i>	Collected near Mikulov in Milovice forest, Czech Republic	room conditions; oak leaves
	<i>Orgyia recens</i>	Collected in Biharugra, Körös-Maros Nemzeti Park, Hungary	room conditions; hawthorn leaves
	<i>Orgyia leucostigma</i>	Laboratory culture purchased from Insect Production Unit at Great Lakes Forest Research Centre, Sault Ste. Marie, Ontario, Canada	22°C, LD 12/12 h; birch leaves
	<i>Orgyia antiqua</i>	Laboratory strain	see Rego and Marec (2003)

Nguyen et al.: Fig. 1



Nguyen et al.: Fig. 2



Nguyen et al.: Fig. 3

