

HOKKAIDO UNIVERSITY

Title	Morphological and physiological responses of Siebold's beech (Fagus crenata) seedlings grown under CO2 concentrations ranging from pre-industrial to expected future levels
Author(s)	Hirano, Atsushi; Hongo, Ichiro; Koike, Takayoshi
Citation	Landscape and Ecological Engineering, 8(1), 59-67 https://doi.org/10.1007/s11355-011-0149-0
Issue Date	2012-01
Doc URL	http://hdl.handle.net/2115/48143
Rights	The final publication is available at www.springerlink.com
Туре	article (author version)
File Information	LEE8-1_59-67.pdf



Atsushi Hirano, Ichiro Hongo, Takayoshi Koike

Morphological and physiological response of Siebold's beech (*Fagus crenata*) seedlings grown under CO₂ concentration ranging from pre-industrial to expected future levels

Corresponding author:

A. Hirano

R&D Center, Tokyo Electric Power Company, Tsurumi, Yokohama 230-8510, Japan e-mail:hirano.a@tepco.co.jp tel:81-45-613-6037, fax:81-45-585-8576

I. Hongo

Professor of Faculty of Bio-resources, Nihon University, Kameino, Fujisawa 252-8510, Japan

T. Koike

Silviculture and Forest Ecological Studies, Hokkaido University, Sapporo 060-8589, Japan

Abstract

Siebold's beech (Fagus crenata) is a common species in the cool temperate forests of Japan. As the natural regeneration of beech forests is expected to contribute to forest conservation in future, we investigated the effects of different CO₂ concentration $([CO_2])$ on the growth of beech seedlings in relation to morphology and physiological changes. Acorns collected from beech forest in Minakami, central Japan were germinated and grown during a first growing season of six months under four [CO₂] levels (200, 350, 550, and 750 μ L L⁻¹). Stem mass increased with increasing [CO₂]: however, root mass did not change significantly among the treatments. With increasing $[CO_2]$, net photosynthetic rate (P_n) and leaf area increased, whereas transpiration (Tr), stomatal conductance, leaf chlorophyll content, and leaf longevity decreased. Although water use efficiency (WUE; i.e., P_n/T_r) improved with increasing [CO₂], the density of stomata did not significantly change. Increases in the number of bud and terminal bud length with increasing [CO₂] indicated accelerated formation of additional branches and leaves in the next season. At high [CO₂] environment in future, improved WUE may be nevertheless advantageous for the survival of beech seedlings preferring mesic conditions.

Keywords Regeneration, Photosynthesis, CO₂ levels, Gas exchange, Water use efficiency (WUE)

Introduction

Siebold's beech (*Fagus crenata*) is a representative deciduous broad-leaved tree species in the cool temperate forests of Japan and is often planted for monumental conservation area. Natural regeneration of beech forests is expected to contribute to forest conservation (e.g. Kataoka 1982; Maeda 1988; Nakashizuka 2004). Based on their review works, *F. crenata* has high shade tolerance capacity; however, the survival of regenerated seedlings is predicted to face a risk under increasing atmospheric CO₂ concentration ([CO₂]) (Oikawa 1986). For instance, incident light flux decreased sharply from the top layer of forest to forest floor, and is predicted to be deficient for seedling survival due to accelerated leaf production in the top layer at [CO₂] above 550 μ L L⁻¹ (Oikawa 1986; Ainsworth and Long 2005). In fact, shoot development accelerated at elevated [CO₂] for spruce (Hättenschwiler and Körner 1996), willow (*Salix petosu-su, S. miyabeana*), alder (*Alnus hirsuta*) (Koike 1995; Koike et al. 1995), Monarch birch (*Betula maximowicziana*), oak (*Quercus mongolica* var. *crispula*) (Lei and Koike 2005) and white birch (*B. platyphylla* var. *japonica*) (Eguchi et al. 2008).

Increasing atmospheric $[CO_2]$ also influences the daily variation in $[CO_2]$ at forest floor (Bazzaz and Miao 1993; Bazzaz 1996; Koike et al. 2001), thus future natural regeneration of beech will occur at a higher $[CO_2]$. As $[CO_2]$ increases at forest floor, plants at the forest floor will change in growth pattern and photosynthetic properties (Küppers and Schneider 1993; Osborne et al. 1997). For example, $[CO_2]$ could affect shade tolerance of tree seedlings, which is tightly connected with the functional arrangement of leaves and light compensation point (*Ic*) of a leaf (Larcher 2003; Lei and Koike 2005). *Ic* may decrease at high $[CO_2]$ (Farquhar and Sharkey 1982; Larcher 2003, Hida et al. 2007) and shade tolerance can be improved as dark respiration will not increase. We should know such changes in shade tolerance of *F. crenata* seedlings through its shoot dynamics and physiological acclimation as found in European beech (*Fagus sylvatica*) (Küppers and Schneider 1993) and in *F. crenata* (Uemura et al. 2000).

Plant response at enriched $[CO_2]$ of the nearly doubled current atmospheric level differs among tree species (Ceulemans and Mousseau 1994; Saxe et al. 1998; Hättenschwiler 2001). The response of *F. sylvatica* was evaluated through a number of experiments conducted from organ level to stand level (Ceulemans and Mousseau 1994; Saxe et al. 1998). Changes in leaf level were observed: an increase in P_n, a decrease in T_r, a decrease in leaf nitrogen content, and an improvement in WUE (Overdieck 1993; Overdieck and Forstreuter 1994; Egli et al. 1998; Dufrêne et al. 1993; Mousseau et al. 1996; Heath and Kerstiens 1997; Körner 2000; Liozon et al. 2000; Bucher-Wallin et al. 2000; Hagedorn et al. 2002). At individual level, increases in leaf area and total leaf mass (Overdieck 1993; Dyckmans and Flessa 2002; Spinnler et al. 2003; Mousseau et al. 1996; Liozon et al. 2000), and acceleration of leaf senescence (Epron et al. 1996) were observed. With respect to *F. crenata*, a yellowing of leaves, an increase in branching, and an increase in biomass (Koike et al. 1996; Matsumura et al. 2005) under elevated [CO₂] have been reported.

Based on the former studies, we expected acceleration in growth of *F. crenata* seedlings through the improvements in P_n and WUE with increasing growth [CO₂]. In addition, certain morphological modifications are expected (e.g., changes in leaf area, leaf thickness, and number of winter buds; i.e., branch development) as well as a leaf phenological change. To test these predictions, we cultivated *F. crenata* seedlings under different [CO₂]. Based on the results, we discuss the plausible understanding of adjustment and improvement in growth and gas exchange traits in the seedlings at

different [CO₂].

Materials and Methods

Plant materials

The acorns used in this study were collected from a pure stand of Siebold's beech (*F. crenata* Blume) located in the Minakami region (at 36°51'N, 139°10'E) in central Japan. The stand represents the population in this region of heavy snow (Koike and Maruyama 1998; Koike et al. 1998). The acorns were prechilled for 3 months under 4°C, and then sowed in containers filled with moist soil. Soil, temperature, and humidity conditions for germination were the same as those under which the seedlings were grown. Two weeks after sowing, each single seedling with fully expanded cotyledons was transplanted into one 20-liter pot.

Experimental design and structure

Seedlings were grown in four environment-controlled cabinets (S-152A, Koito Kogyo, Yokohama, Japan), illuminated by metal haloid lamps (MF1000, Toshiba, Tokyo, Japan). Photosynthetic photon flux (PPF) was controlled at 350 μ mol m⁻² s⁻¹, which represents the light condition at the forest floor under a developed canopy in a mixed deciduous broadleaf forest (Lei et al. 1998). Total daily PPF was set at 15 mol m⁻² day⁻¹ by 12-h continuous illumination. These conditions are suitable for avoiding photoinhibition because the photosynthesis of *F. crenata* reaches saturation at 300~400 μ mol m⁻² s⁻¹ (Koike and Maruyama 1998). Variance of PPF among the cabinets and inside each cabinet was adjusted by partial shading.

The $[CO_2]$ in each cabinet was controlled at 200, 350, 550, and 750 µL L⁻¹, respectively. The cabinet setting at 200 µL L⁻¹ was chosen to reflect ancient conditions, as forests of Siebold's beech were originally formed under atmospheric $[CO_2]$ of approximately 270 µL L⁻¹ (Tsukada 1982). The cabinet setting at 350 µL L⁻¹ represented a case in which $[CO_2]$ at forest floor was nearly equal to the present atmospheric $[CO_2]$. The cabinet settings at 550 and 750 µL L⁻¹ were assumed to reflect future conditions, around 2050 and 2080, respectively, in which atmospheric $[CO_2]$ is expected to be greater than the current level (IPCC 2007). Temperature in the cabinets was maintained at 20°C during the illuminated period and at 10°C during the dark period. Relative humidity in the cabinets was kept at a constant 80%.

To reduce both of position effect and chamber effect and enable statistical analysis (Koike 1995), each treatment was rotated by turns among four cabinets, 120 and 240 days after sowing. Pots were also rotated randomly in each cabinet once per month simultaneously with the sampling for measurement, as described later. PPF, [CO₂], temperature, and humidity in each cabinet were recorded throughout the experiment. Among all the cabinets, the standard deviation of PPF, [CO₂], temperature, and relative humidity around the set value was 4.2 μ mol m⁻² s⁻¹, 10 μ L L⁻¹, 0.31°C, and 2.7%, respectively.

Depending on the size of plant materials, down-regulation due to root restriction is generally observed under conditions in which the pot sizes are below 3.5-liter or 0.5-liter (Arp 1991; Curtis and Wang 1998). In this study, 20-liter pots (W200×D200×H500 mm) were used to avoid down-regulation through the restriction of root growth. The pot shape was considered suitable for beech, which is a deep-rooted species (Mori 1991). Indeed, growth of plant materials depends more on soil nutrients but not so much on pot size and shape (Berntson et al. 1993; McConnaughay et al. 1993b; McConnaughay et al. 1996). However, it is difficult to control soil nutrients (Pettersson and McDonald 1994). As nitrogen (N) and phosphate (P) are consistently insufficient in most terrestrial ecosystems (Aerts and Chapin 2000), we used a 2/1 (volume/volume) mixture of clay loam and the Kanuma pumice containing little nutrients. Nutrients were supplied three times during the experiment (i.e., 30, 60, and 120 days after sowing). At each time, 25 mg NO₃-N, 50 mg PO₄-P and 25 mg potassium per pot were supplied as a diluted solution (Hyponex 5-10-5, Hyponex, Osaka, Japan). To avoid a soil water deficit, the seedlings were watered twice a day at the start and at the end of the illuminated period.

Growth analysis

After 31, 59, 93, 118, 154, and 201 days following sowing (hereafter referred to as from 1 to 6 months after sowing), ten seedlings per each treatment were randomly harvested. Stem height, stem diameter at the boundary of a stem and its roots, leaf area, and the length of terminal bud were measured before drying the samples. Then, leaves, stems, taproots, and fine roots were separated and dry mass was determined following 72-hours oven-drying at 70°C. Leaf thickness was measured by optical microscopic observation (Vannox S, Olympus, Tokyo, Japan) at a cross section of sampled raw leaves at 2 months after sowing. At the same time, stomatal density at the abaxial surface was also counted by electron microscopic observation (JSM-5410, JOEL, Tokyo, Japan).

Formation of a winter bud was determined by the observable formation of bud scale. Growth of buds was estimated as the growth in length of terminal buds in the

latter 3 months of the growing season. Total root mass was defined as the total of taproot mass and fine root mass. The following indices were used for describing morphological features:

Specific leaf area (SLA; $cm^2 g^{-1}$) = leaf area (cm^2) / leaf mass (g).

Stem-root ratio (g g^{-1}) = stem mass (g) / total root mass (g).

Fine root-taproot ratio $(g g^{-1}) = fine root mass (g) / taproot mass (g).$

Physiological measurements

Relative chlorophyll content of leaves was measured by a chlorophyll meter (SPAD-502, Minolta, Tokyo, Japan) and represented as SPAD value (Masuda and Ishii 1985). Nitrogen content of leaves was determined by using a NC analyzer (NC-800, Sumica-Shimadzu, Kyoto, Japan).

Two months after sowing, when the SPAD values were almost at the maximum, ten fully expanded leaves were randomly selected from each treatment for the measurement of gas exchange. Gas exchange of CO₂ and H₂O were measured *in situ* at the center of each leaf placed in the measuring cuvette of a photosynthesis analysis system (CIRAS CO₂/H₂O analyzer, PP Systems, Massachusetts, U.S.A. through Koito Kogyo Co.Ltd., Yokohama, Japan). [CO₂] in the air flowing into the cuvette was regulated to the same level as that for the growing condition for each leaf. In all measurements, PPF, temperature, and relative humidity on the surface of the leaves was kept at 500 µmol m⁻² s⁻¹, 25°C, and 60%, respectively. The system automatically calculated P_n, T_r, and stomatal conductance on an area basis. T_r divided by P_n was defined as being equal to WUE.

Statistical analysis

Statistic analyses were carried out using a software package (SPSS 12.0.1J, SPSS Japan, Tokyo, Japan). To discuss significant differences among the treatments, parametric one-way ANOVA or non-parametric testing of Kruskal Wallis accompanied by multiple comparison tests with the Bonferroni correction was carried out according to the results of tests for normality and equality of variance. Chamber and position effects could be canceled by switching the chambers and rotating pots, respectively.

Results

The acorns germinated 5 to 15 days after sowing. CO_2 concentration had no effect on the speed and the rate of germination (data not shown, the significance level (P) > 0.05). The lack of effect of [CO₂] on the speed might have resulted from a dependency of germination on the reserved substrates in the acorns. Stem height had reached a constant level with the first shoots; i.e., the height did not change significantly throughout the growing season, with no second shoots (data not shown, P > 0.05). Significantly higher stem height was observed with increasing treatment [CO₂] (Table 1). In contrast to stem height, stem diameter continuously increased throughout the season, which resulted in a continuous increase in stem mass (Fig. 1).

Two months after sowing, foliage leaves had completely expanded. Leaf area and leaf mass reached constant values under all treatments; i.e., these values did not increase significantly after that (data not shown, P > 0.05). At the same time, SPAD value (i.e., relative chlorophyll content) also reached constant values, signifying the maturation of leaves (Fig. 2, P < 0.05). Various features of the leaves were estimated as follows: Leaf area, leaf mass, and leaf thickness increased with the increasing treatment level; on the

other hand, relative chlorophyll content decreased with the increasing treatment level (Table 2). Such a decrease in relative chlorophyll content was observed throughout the growing season (Fig. 2). Although nitrogen content and stomatal density of the leaves also seemed negatively to correlate with treatment $[CO_2]$, the differences were not significant (Table 2).

Measuring the gas exchange of leaves, higher CO_2 treatment resulted in higher P_n (Table 2). In contrast, higher CO_2 treatment resulted in lower T_r , leading to an improvement in WUE (Table 2). P_n associated with the treatment levels of 200, 550, and 750 μ L L⁻¹ compared with that at the treatment level of 350 μ L L⁻¹ was 68%, 102%, and 119%, respectively. In the same way, WUE was 50%, 131%, and 209%, respectively. Stomatal conductance showed a trend similar to that found for T_r (Table 2).

The formation of winter buds almost kept in step with the maturation of leaves. It was observed 2 months after sowing at the treatment levels of 200, 350, and 550 μ L L⁻¹. On the other hand, it was observed 3 months after sowing at 750 μ L L⁻¹. The number of winter buds did not subsequently increase. A histogram of the number showed that most individuals had 1, 3, or 5 buds; i.e., one terminal bud plus even-numbered lateral buds (Data not shown). Both the significantly largest number of winter buds and the maximum growth in the length of terminal bud were observed at the treatment level of 750 μ L L⁻¹ among the treatments (Table 1). Terminal bud length, however, reached a maximum under the treatment level of 550 μ L L⁻¹ at the end of the growing season, probably as a result of the delayed formation of winter buds at 750 μ L L⁻¹ (Table 1).

The first growing season drew to an end with the senescence of leaves at around 5 months after sowing; the relative chlorophyll content of leaves decreased month by

month toward the end of the season (Fig. 2). Since the increase in treatment $[CO_2]$ lowered the chlorophyll content throughout the growing season, the leaves turned yellow at an early month with increasing $[CO_2]$ (Fig. 2). Six months after sowing, the remaining leaves turned brown and were almost dry. In particular, the shedding associated with the 750 μ L L⁻¹ treatment seemed relatively to be more advanced compared with the other treatments; i.e., at the end of the experiment, the percentage of seedlings with dry leaves still attached was 68%, 75%, 92%, and 35% at the treatment level of 200, 350, 550, and 750 μ L L⁻¹, respectively.

At the final stage of the first growing season, stem was significantly heavier with increasing $[CO_2]$; on the contrary, treatment $[CO_2]$ did not affect total root mass (Table 1, Fig. 3). As a result, the stem-root ratio increased significantly with increasing $[CO_2]$. This indicated a change in allocation of photosynthetic products under different growth $[CO_2]$. Compared with the case of the treatment level of 350 µL L⁻¹, the increment in stem mass was 58% at the treatment level of 550 µL L⁻¹, and 73% at the treatment level of 750 µL L⁻¹. The ratio of fine root mass to tap root mass was not affected by $[CO_2]$ (Table 1)

Discussion

Regenerated seedlings of Siebold's beech (*F. crenata*) on forest floor disappear usually within few years after their germination (e.g. Kataoka 1982; Maeda 1988). The causes of the disappearance are thought to be insufficient light, drought stress, herbivory, fungal pathogens, and so on (Sahashi et al. 1994; Maruta 1996). Because the beech prefers mesic condition, drought stress particularly injures the seedlings (Nakashizuka

2004). The insufficient light condition on forest floor suppresses the development of root system remarkably. In addition, thick layered litter on forest floor blocks the access of the poor root system to soil moisture. Consequently, most of the current-year seedlings wither through a water deficit (Kataoka 1991). Thus the light and water availability of the seedlings may affect those survival rate.

[CO₂] on forest floor sometime exceeds atmospheric [CO₂] during after sunset and before dawn, but the former roughly closes to the later (Norisada 1997; Koike et al. 2001). It means that [CO₂] in forest and on forest floor might have been increasing from nearly pre-industrial level to future level. In this study, current-year seedlings of F. crenata showed significant responses to four levels of treatment [CO₂], which represent past, near present, and future conditions. Namely, with increasing treatment $[CO_2]$, stem mass, leaf area, the number of winter buds, P_n, and WUE tended to increase, whereas T_r and chlorophyll content of leaves decreased (Table 1, Table 2). In response to a stepwise set-up of treatment CO₂ level, indexes of physiological features such as P_n and T_r showed stepwise increase or decrease (Table 2). In contrast, indexes of morphological features such as stem height, stem mass, and leaf area did not differ significantly in response to a change in the treatment CO₂ level from 200 μ L L⁻¹ to 350 μ L L⁻¹; on the other hand, the indexes increased significantly in response to that from 350 μ L L⁻¹ to 750 μ L L⁻¹ (Table 1, Table 2). It could be suggested that the beech seedlings might alter their morphology from the present days to the future under a rapid and larger increase in $[CO_2]$ level, in contrast to the insensitiveness under a comparatively slow and small increase in [CO₂] level from the pre-industrial days to the present. Such a difference between sensitiveness and insensitiveness was found in the density of stomata in many plant species; for instance, the density increased under the

elevation of $[CO_2]$ from the pre-industrial level to the current atmospheric level, whereas it did not respond to further elevation of $[CO_2]$ (Woodward 1987; Woodward and Bazzaz 1988). Both of morphological and physiological changes in the coming generations of the beech seedlings would affect its survival rate on future forest floor.

The improved WUE with increasing CO₂ might be profitable for the survival of the seedlings (Table 2). Stomatal conductance was significantly reduced with increasing $[CO_2]$ without any change in the density of stomata (Table 2). This meant that the conductance was controlled by the partial closure of stomata (Morison 1985; Mansfield et al. 1990; Terashima 1992). By contrast, stomatal conductance of *F. sylvatica* is insensitive to elevated $[CO_2]$ (Heath and Kerstiens 1997). The insensitivity accompanied with the increase in leaf area may decrease water availability of whole seedlings of *F. sylvatica*; and the drought tolerance may not improve under elevated $[CO_2]$ (Küppers and Schneider 1993; Körner 2000). In this study of *F. crenata*, leaf area increased 1.1 times larger, on the other side, WUE on an area basis improved 2.1 times larger with an increase in $[CO_2]$ from 350 μ L L⁻¹ to 750 μ L L⁻¹ (Table 2). Thus the improvement in WUE exceeded the increase in leaf area; consequently, water availability of whole seedlings, i.e., drought tolerance might improve in current -year seedlings of *F. crenata* in future.

The enhanced P_n accompanied with the increased leaf area improved the net photosynthetic gain of whole seedlings under the increased [CO₂] (Table 2). It is plausible that the improved gain makes it possible to enhance the growth in the next season; that means, increases in the number or in the size of winter buds predicts acceleration in leaf production in the next season (Table 1) (Maruyama 1983). Such acceleration is advantageous to seedlings for interception of light (Küppers 1985). However, accelerated leaf production in the top layer of forest under elevated [CO₂] could aggravate the photosynthesis of seedlings at the same time (Oikawa 1986). If the chlorophyll content in the leaves of top layer decreases under elevated [CO₂] same as the case of current-year seedlings, it would become profitable to the seedlings. Filtering effect of layered leaves above seedlings particularly diminishes the light intensity around the absorption spectrum of chlorophyll as found in detail by Lei et al. (1998). Such a change in light quality disturbs the survival of seedlings (Kataoka 1991; Lei et al. 1998). Decreased chlorophyll in the leaves of top layer could reduce deterioration of light quality, and it might compensate a decrease in light quantity on future forest floor. Our data showed only the responses of current-year seedlings with cotyledon that store large amount of starch and other storage compounds. Therefore, we should conclude the survival of the seedlings based on the results of multiply years but we unfortunately could not infer this point.

The average increments in stem mass in this study were 58% and 73% under the future levels of $[CO_2]$. The corresponding increment is 32% or 49%, where broad-leaved trees are exposed to long-term elevated $[CO_2]$ of around 700 µL L⁻¹ without environmental stress, as suggested by Curtis and Wang (1998) and Saxe et al. (1998). In a case of 2-year-old *F sylvatica* grown under $[CO_2]$ of 700 µL L⁻¹ on garden soil of medium fertility, the increment in stem mass was 34% compared with that at the treatment level of 350 µL L⁻¹ (Overdieck 1993). The effect of elevated $[CO_2]$ on biomass increment is most intense when the test plants are young (Saxe et al. 1998). On the other hand, the biomass increment thought to be suppressed by following factors. One is the stress resulting from a low level of fertilization with low irradiance (Curtis and Wang 1998; Ainsworth and Long 2005). A low level fertilization causes a shortage

of phosphoric acid in plant bodies, which restricts translocation of photosynthates and biomass increment (Issop et al. 2000). Another factor is an earlier senescence under elevated [CO₂]. Such an earlier senescence is reported in cases of *Salix phylicifolia* (Silvola and Ahlholm 1993), two willows (Koike et al. 1995), and *F. sylvatica* (Epron et al. 1996). In a study on annuals, a relatively earlier senescence shortened the growth period, which did not lead to an increase in standing biomass under elevated [CO₂] (McConnaughay et al. 1993a). Promoted aging under elevated [CO₂] is particular under nitrogen limitation (Stitt and Krapp 1999), which usually reveals in decreased chlorophyll content (Ainsworth and Long 2005) as was observed in this study. The other factors are low temperature (Ainsworth and Long 2005) and exposure to elevated [CO₂] from the time of germination avoiding response to a step elevation in growth [CO₂] (Luo and Reynolds 1999). Though all the suppressing factors might be found in the experimental design of this study, the use of very juvenile material with the rich reserves in the seeds may give current-year seedlings of *F. crenata* a larger increment in stem mass compared with the average of broad-leaved species.

Seedlings suppressed under dense canopy need to survive until disturbances such as a gap formation, which brings an opportunity of regeneration (e.g. Kataoka 1982; Nakashizuka 2004). Even though beech seeds carry sufficient amount reserves in the acorns, which may give them tolerance to environmental stresses in their first growing season, it is actually important for the current-year beech (*F. crenata*) seedlings to get over serious dry stresses. In conclusion, the consistent improvement in WUE under increasing $[CO_2]$ would be able to give the current-year seedlings for the possibility of survival on forest floor.

Acknowledgments

We thank two anonymous reviewers for constructive suggestion and Mr. Tohru Kawano, Ms. Aki Kikuchi, and Mr. Masaomi Nagano for their assistance during the experiment. Thanks are also due for the Grant-in-Aid from the Japan Society of Promotion of Science (Type B to T. Koike) and for Scientific Research on Innovative Areas (to I. Terashima and T. Koike).

Literature Cited

Aerts R, Chapin III FS (2000) the mineral nutrition of wild plants revisited: A re-evaluation of processes and patterns. Adv Ecol Res 30: 1-67

Ainsworth EA, Long SP (2005) What have we learned from 15 years of free-air CO_2 enrichment (FACE)? A meta-analytic review of the responses of photosynthesis, canopy properties and plant production to rising CO_2 . New Phytol 165: 351-372

Arp WJ (1991) Effects of source-sink relations on photosynthetic acclimation to elevated CO₂. Plant Cell Environ 14: 869-875

Bazzaz FA, Miao SL (1993) Successional status, seed size, and response of tree seedlings to CO₂, light, and nutrients. Ecol 74: 104-112

Bazzaz FA (1996) Plants in changing environments: linking physiological, population, and community ecology. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge Berntson GM, McConnaughay KDM. Bazzaz F.A. (1993) Elevated CO₂ alters deployment of roots in "small" growth containers. Oecologia 94: 558-564

Bucher-Wallin K, Sonnleitner MA, Egli P, G⊳nthardt-Goerg MS, Schulin R, Bucher JB (2000) Effects of elevated CO₂, increased nitrogen deposition and soil on evapotranspiration and water use efficiency of spruce-beech model ecosystems. Phyton 40: 49-60

Ceulemans R, Mousseau M (1994) Transley review No.71 Effects of CO₂ on woody plants. New Phytol 127: 425-446

Curtis PS, Wang X (1998) A meta-analysis of elevated CO₂ effects on woody plant mass, form, and physiology. Oecologia 113: 299-313

Dufrene E, Pontailler JY, Saugier B (1993) A branch bag technique for simultaneous CO₂ enrichment and assimilation measurements on beech (*Fagus sylvatica* L.). Plant Cell Environ 16: 1131-1138

Dyckmans J, Flessa H (2002) Influence of tree internal nitrogen reserves on the response of beech (*Fagus sylvatica*) trees to elevated atmospheric carbon dioxide concentration. Tree Physiol 22: 41-49

Egli P, Maurer S, Günthardt-Goerg MS, Körner Ch (1998) Effects of elevated CO2 and

soil quality on leaf gas exchange and above-ground growth in beech-spruce model ecosystems. New Phytol 140: 185-196

Eguchi N, Morii N, Ueda T, Funada R, Takagi K, Hiura T, Sasa K, Koike T (2008) Changes in petiole hydraulic structure and leaf water flow in birch and oak saplings in an enhanced CO_2 environment. Tree Physiol 28:287-295

Epron D, Liozon R, Mousseau M (1996) Effects of elevated CO_2 concentration on leaf characteristics and photosynthetic capacity of beech (*Fagus sylvatica*) during the growing season. Tree Physiol 16: 425-432

Farquhar D, Sharkey D (1982) Stomatal conductance and photosynthesis. Ann Rev of Plant Physiol 22: 317-346

Hagedorn F, Landolt W, Tarjan D, Egli P, Bucher JB (2002) Elevated CO₂ influences nutrient availability in young beech-spruce communities on two soil types. Oecologia 132: 109-117

Hättenschwiler S, Körner Ch (1996) System-level adjustments to elevated CO₂ in model spruce ecosystems. Global Change Biol 2: 377-387

Hättenschwiler S (2001) Tree seedling growth in natural deep shade: functional traits related to interspecific variation in response to elevated CO₂. Oecologia 129: 31-42

Heath J, Kerstiens G (1997) Effects of elevated CO_2 on leaf gas exchange in beech and oak at two levels of nutrient supply: consequences for sensitivity to drought in beech. Plant Cell Environ 20: 57-67

Hida T, Eguchi N, Tobita H, Utsugi H, Uemura A, Kitaoka S Koike T (2007) Light compensation point of the seedlings grown under shady condition decreased under elevated CO₂. Transaction Jpn Forest Soc Hokkaido 55:41-43 (in Japanese)

IPCC (2007) Climate change, Cambridge University Press, New York

Issop H, Frehner M, Long SP, Nösberger J (2000) Sucrose-phosphate synthase responds differently to source-sink relations and to photosynthetic rate: *Lolium perenne* L. growing at elevated pCO_2 in the field. Plant Cell Environ 23: 597-697

Kataoka H (1982) Conservation of beech forests. Norin Shuppan, Tokyo (in Japanese)

Kataoka H (1991) Advisable care for beech forests. In: Murai H, Yamatani K, Kataoka H, Yui T (ed.) Natural environment and its conservation on Buna (*Fagus crenata*) forest, Soft science inc., Tokyo: pp351-394

Koike T, Kohda H, Mori S, Takahashi K, Inoue MT, Lei TT (1995) Growth responses of the cuttings of two willow species to elevated CO₂ and temperature. Plant Species Biol 10: 95-101 Koike T (1995) Effects of CO_2 in interaction with temperature and soil fertility on the foliar phenology of alder, birch, and maple seedlings. Can J Bot 73: 149-157

Koike T, Umemoto M, Hiroe K, Sato K, Izuta T (1996) Foliar symptom and shoot development of deciduous broadleaved tree seedlings raised under ambient and enriched carbon dioxide with two nutrient regimes. For Resources Environ 34: 81-88

Koike T, Kato S, Kitamura K, Kawano S, Ueda K, Mikami T (1998) Mitochondrial DNA follows a geographic pattern in Japanese beech species. Bot Acta 111: 87-92

Koike T, Maruyama Y (1998) Comparative ecophysiology of the leaf photosynthetic traits in Japanese beech grown in provenances facing the Pacific Ocean and the Sea of Japan. J Phytogeorg & Taxon 46: 23-28 (in Japanese with English summary)

Koike T, Kitao M, Maruyama Y, Mori S, Lei TT (2001) Leaf morphology and photosynthetic adjustments among deciduous broad-leaved trees within the vertical canopy profile. Tree Physiol 21: 951-958

Körner Ch (2000) Biosphere responses to elevated CO₂ enrichment. Ecol Appl 10:1590-1619

Küppers M (1985) Carbon relations and competition between woody species in a Central European hedgerow. Oecologia 66: 343-352 Küppers M, Schneider H (1993) Leaf gas exchange of beech (*Fagus sylvatica* L.) seedlings in lightflecks: effects of fleck length and leaf temperature in leaves grown in deep and partial shade. Trees 7: 160-168

Larcher W (2003) Physiological plant ecology: Ecophysiology and stress physiology of functional groups. 4th eds. Springer-Verlag, New York

Lei TT, Tabuchi R, Kitao M, Takahashi, K, Koike T (1998) Effects of season, weather and vertical position on the light variation in quantity and quality in a Japanese deciduous broadleaf forest. J Sustainable Forestry 6: 35-55

Lei TT, Koike T (2005) Photosynthetic acclimation of birch, oak and maple seedlings to elevated CO_2 and the mediating effect of low growth irradiance. Phyton 45: 145-152

Liozon R, Badeck FW, Genty B, Meyer S, Saugier B (2000) Leaf photosynthetic characteristics of beech (*Fagus sylvatica*) saplings during three years of exposed to elevated CO₂ concentration. Tree Physiol 20: 239-247

Luo Y, Reynolds JF (1999) Validity of extrapolating field CO₂ experiments to predict carbon sequestration in natural ecosystems. Ecol 80: 1568-1583

Maeda T (1988) Studies on natural regeneration of beech (*Fagus crenata* blume). Special Bull. Coll. of Agriculture, Utsunomiya Univ. 46:1-79 (in Japanese with English summary)

Mansfield TA, Hetherington AM, Atkinson CJ (1990) Some current aspects of stomatal physiology. Annu Rev Plant Physiol 41: 55-75

Maruta E, Kamitani T (1996) Seedling establishment of *Fagus crenata* Blume on the pacific side of Japan I: Emergence and survival of current-year seedlings on Mt. Mikuni. JPN J For Environ 38:43-52

Maruyama K (1983) Shoot characteristics as a function of the bud length on Japanese beech trees. J Jap For Soc 65: 43-51

Masuda T, Ishii M (1985) A fundamental study on the method for estimating tree vigor-reflection spectra and chlorophyll contents in tree leaves. Ryokka Kenkyu 7: 76-87 (in Japanese)

Matsumura H, Mikami C, Sakai Y (2005) Impacts of elevated O₃ and/or CO₂ on growth of *Betula platyphylla*, *Betula ermanii*, *Fagus crenata*, *Pinus densiflora* and *Cryptomeria japonica* seedlings. J Agr Meteorology 60: 1121-1124

McConnaughay KDM, Bernston GM, Bazzaz FA (1993a) Limitations to CO₂-induced growth enhancement in pot studies. Oecologia 94: 550-557

McConnaughay KDM, Bernston GM, Bazzaz FA (1993b) Plant responses to carbon dioxide. Nature 361: 24

McConnaughay KDM, Nicotra AB, Bazzaz FA (1996) Rooting volume, nutrient availability, and CO₂-induced growth enhancements in temperate forest tree seedlings. Ecol Applications 6: 619-627

Mori T. (1991) Seeds of deciduous broad-leaved trees native to cool temperate region. Assoc Hoppo Ringyo, Sapporo. pp139

Morison JIL (1985) Sensitivity of stomata and water use efficiency to high CO₂. Plant Cell Environ 8: 467-474

Mousseau M, Dufr__ne E, Kohen AE, Epron D, Godard D, Liozon R, Pontailler JY, Saugier B (1996) Growth strategy and tree response to elevated CO₂: A comparison of beech (*Fagus sylvatica*) and sweet chestnut (*Castanea sativa* Mill). In: George WK, Harold AM (ed) Carbon dioxide and terrestrial ecosystems. Academic Press, San Diego, pp71-86

Nakashizuka T (2004) A sketch of forests. Tokai Univ. Press, Kanagawa (in Japanese)

Norisada M, Tange T, Suzuki M, Sakaki S (1998) Temporal and spatial variation in CO₂ concentration within a Japanese cedar forest on a slope land. B Tokyo Univ For 99: 199-207

Oikawa T (1986) Simulation of forest carbon dynamics based on a dry-matter production model. III: Effects of increasing CO₂ upon a tropical rainforest ecosystem.

Bot Mag Tokyo 99: 419-430

Osborne CP, Drake BG, LaRoche J, Long SP (1997) Does long-term elevation of CO₂ concentration increase photosynthesis in forest floor vegetation? Plant Physiol 114: 337-344

Overdieck D (1993) Effects of atmospheric CO_2 enrichment on CO_2 exchange rates of beech stands in small model ecosystems. Water Air Soil Pollution 70: 259-277

Overdieck D, Forstreuter M (1994) Evapotranspiration of beech stands and transpiration of beech leaves subject to atmospheric CO₂ enrichment. Tree Physiol 14: 997-1003

Pettersson R, McDonald AJS (1994) Effects of nitrogen supply on the acclimation of photosynthesis to elevated CO₂. Photosynthesis Res 39: 389-400

Sahashi N, Kubono T, Shoji T (1994) Temporal occurrence of dead seedlings of Japanese beech and associated fungi. J Jpn For Soc 76:338-345

Saxe H, Ellsworth DS, Heath J (1998) Transley review No.98 Tree and forest functioning in an enriched CO₂ atmosphere. New Phytol 139: 395-436

Silvola J, Ahlholm U (1993) Effects of CO_2 concentration and nutrient status on growth, growth rhythm and biomass partitioning in a willow, *Salix phylicifolia*. OIKOS 67: 227-234

Spinnler D, Egli P, Körner Ch (2003) Provenance effects and allometry in beech and spruce under elevated CO_2 and nitrogen on two different forest soils. Basic Appl Ecol 4: 467-478

Stitt M, Krapp A (1999) The interaction between elevated carbon dioxide and nitrogen nutrition: the physiological and molecular background. Plant Cell Environ 22: 583-621

Terashima I (1992) Anatomy of non-uniform photosynthesis. Photosynthesis Res 31: 195-212

Tsukada M (1982) Late-quaternary shift of Fagus Distribution. Bot Mag Tokyo 95: 203-217

Uemura A, Ishida A, Nakano T, Terashima I, Tanabe H, Matsumoto Y (2000). Acclimation of leaf characteristics of *Fagus* species to previous-year and current-year solar irradiances. Tree Physiol 20:945-951

Woodward FI (1987) Stomatal numbers are sensitive to increases in CO_2 from pre-industrial levels. Nature 327: 617-618

Woodward FI, Bazzaz FA (1988) the responses of stomatal density to CO_2 partial pressure. J Exp Bot 39: 1771-1781

Fig. 1 Effect of CO₂ treatment on biomass productivity. a Stem. b Roots. c Stem + roots. Mean ± SD. n=10. Asterisks show probabilities (P) associated with ANOVA; *P<0.05, **P<0.01, ***P<0.001.</p>

Fig. 2 Effect of CO₂ treatment on chlorophyll content of leaves. SPAD values indicate the content. Mean \pm SD. n=10. Asterisks show probabilities (P) associated with ANOVA; *P<0.05, **P<0.01, ***P<0.001.

Fig. 3 Effect of CO₂ treatment on biomass allocation at the end of the first growing season. Mean \pm SD. n=10. Capital letters above and under the bars represent significant differences of stem mass and roots (tap root + fine roots) mass, respectively (the significance level<0.05).







Table 1 Effect of CO ₂ treatment on tree	e shape, biomass	, and winter buds
---	------------------	-------------------

	$200 \ \mu L \ L^{-1}$	$350 \ \mu L \ L^{-1}$	550 $\mu L L^{-1}$	$750 \ \mu L \ L^{-1}$
	CO_2	CO_2	CO_2	CO_2
Stem height (cm) ^a	8.8 ± 2.0^{AB}	$7.9\pm1.0^{\rm A}$	$10.0 \pm 1.0^{\rm BC}$	$10.4 \pm 1.3^{\circ}$
Stem diameter (mm) ^a	$3.3\pm0.6^{\rm A}$	3.3 ± 1.0^{A}	$4.4\pm0.4^{\rm B}$	$4.1~\pm~1.3^{\rm AB}$
Stem mass (g) ^a	0.20 ± 0.07^{A}	0.21 ± 0.09^{A}	0.33 ± 0.09^{B}	0.36 ± 0.10^{B}
Roots mass (g) ^a	$0.68\pm0.19^{\text{n.s.}}$	$0.60\pm0.25^{n.s.}$	$0.81\pm0.30^{n.s.}$	$0.62 \pm 0.19^{\text{n.s.}}$
Stem + roots mass (g) ^a	$0.89\pm0.20^{\text{n.s.}}$	$0.81\pm0.29^{n.s.}$	$1.14 \pm 0.35^{\text{n.s.}}$	$0.98 \pm 0.26^{\text{n.s.}}$
Stem-roots ratio $(g g^{-1})^a$	$0.32\pm0.14^{\rm A}$	$0.39\pm0.24^{\rm A}$	$0.44 \pm 0.14^{\rm AB}$	0.62 ± 0.16^{B}
Fine-tap roots ratio (g g ⁻¹) ^a	$0.43 \pm 0.72^{\text{n.s.}}$	$0.48 \pm 0.38^{\text{n.s.}}$	$0.55 \pm 0.32^{\text{n.s.}}$	$0.47 \pm 0.19^{\text{n.s.}}$
Number of winter buds ^b	2.3 ± 1.4^{A}	2.1 ± 1.2^{A}	$2.2 \pm 1.1^{\text{A}}$	2.7 ± 1.4^{B}
Terminal bud length (mm) ^b	$6.0\pm0.9^{\rm A}$	6.6 ± 1.3^{A}	$7.2\pm0.5^{\rm A}$	4.4 ± 1.3^{B}
Terminal bud length (mm) ^a	$8.5~\pm~1.4^{\rm A}$	$9.0\pm1.4^{\rm A}$	10.3 ± 1.3^{B}	$8.7~\pm~1.2^{\rm A}$
Growth of terminal bud (mm) ^c	2.5	2.4	3.1	4.3

Data are means for 10 samples (\pm SD). Values with a different capital letter within a given row indicate statistical significance at the significance level < 0.05; n.s. means no significance. ^a Measured or calculated at 6 months after sowing; ^b Measured or counted at 3 months after sowing; ^c Growth in length of terminal buds from 3 to 6 months after sowing.

	200 µL L ⁻¹	$350 \mu \text{L} \text{L}^{-1}$	550 μ L L ⁻¹	750 µL L ⁻¹
	\dot{CO}_2	\dot{CO}_2	\dot{CO}_2	\dot{CO}_2
Leaf mass (g)	0.16 ± 0.04^{A}	0.21 ± 0.04^{AB}	$0.25 \pm 0.05^{\rm B}$	$0.22 \pm 0.04^{\rm B}$
Leaf area (cm ²)	41.7 ± 8.8^{A}	$48.0~\pm~7.7^{\rm A}$	55.0 ± 8.9^{AB}	53.0 ± 7.4^{B}
Leaf thickness (µm)	$85 \pm 10^{\mathrm{A}}$	90 ± 7^{AB}	93 ± 11^{B}	$101 \pm 9^{\mathrm{C}}$
Specific leaf area (cm ² g ⁻¹)	$270 \pm 53^{\mathrm{A}}$	$235~\pm~25^{AB}$	228 ± 32^{B}	$233~\pm~12^{\rm AB}$
Density of stomata $(10^3 \text{ x number cm}^{-2})$	$11.2 \pm 1.5^{\text{n.s.}}$	$11.0 \pm 0.9^{\text{n.s.}}$	$10.0 \pm 1.1^{n.s.}$	$9.8 \pm 1.6^{\text{n.s.}}$
Nitrogen content (%)	$1.65 \pm 0.41^{\text{n.s.}}$	$1.60 \pm 0.42^{\text{n.s.}}$	$1.18 \pm 0.15^{\text{n.s.}}$	$1.01 \pm 0.21^{\text{n.s.}}$
SPAD value ^a	28.4 ± 4.0^{A}	26.9 ± 4.9^{AB}	25.2 ± 3.5^{BC}	$23.6~\pm~5.3^{\rm C}$
Net photosynthetic rate $(\mu mol m^{-2} s^{-1})$	$2.9\pm0.3^{\rm A}$	4.3 ± 0.4^{B}	4.3 ± 0.7^{B}	$5.1 \pm 0.7^{\rm C}$
Transpiration (mmol $m^{-2} s^{-1}$)	1.62 ± 0.19^{A}	1.13 ± 0.21^{B}	$0.87 \pm 0.16^{\rm C}$	$0.72 \pm 0.15^{\rm C}$
Stomatal conductance $(\text{mmol m}^2 \text{ s}^{-1})$	$120 \pm 17^{\rm A}$	$87~\pm~17^{\rm B}$	$59 \pm 11^{\text{C}}$	$46 \pm 11^{\text{C}}$
Water use efficiency ^b	$1.8 \pm 0.20^{\mathrm{A}}$	3.6 ± 0.41^{B}	$4.7\pm0.84^{\mathrm{C}}$	$7.5 \pm 1.83^{\mathrm{D}}$

Table 2 Effect of CO₂ treatment on fully expanded leaves estimated 2 months after sowing

Data are means for 10 leaves (\pm SD). Values with a different capital letter within a given row indicate statistical significance at the significance level < 0.05; n.s. means no significance. ^a SPAD value corresponds to chlorophyll content of leaves. ^b Water use efficiency was define as a ratio of net photosynthetic rate (µmol m⁻² s⁻¹) to transpiration (mmol m⁻² s⁻¹).