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1. Introduction

[2] Earthquakes change the earth’s gravity field by the two processes, i.e., deformation of layer boundaries with density contrasts (e.g., sea floor and Moho) and density changes of rocks around fault due to volumetric strains. Such coseismic gravity changes have been first detected by superconducting gravimetry after the 2003 Tokachi-oki earthquake (Mw8.0) [Imanishi et al., 2004]. Gravity Recovery and Climate Experiment (GRACE) satellites, launched in 2002 to study mass redistribution in and around the focal region associated with this earthquake was studied using the gravity changes detected by Gravity Recovery and Climate Experiment (GRACE) satellite. After the 2004 Sumatra-Andaman and the 2010 Central Chile (Maule) earthquakes, the present study presents the third case of clear detection of coseismic gravity changes by GRACE. The observed gravity changes were dominated by decrease over the back-arc region of ∼7 μGal or less. This reflects, to a large extent, coseismic crustal dilatation of the landward plate. They agree well with the changes calculated with the Green’s function for the realistic earth using fault parameters inferred from coseismic crustal movements. The spatial patterns of the gravity changes of these earthquakes are very similar because they are all shallow angle reverse faulting at convergent plate boundaries. We found linear relationship between gravity decreases and seismic moments. Citation: Matsuo, K., and K. Heki (2011), Coseismic gravity changes of the 2011 Tohoku-Oki earthquake from satellite gravimetry, Geophys. Res. Lett., 38, L00G12, doi:10.1029/2011GL049018.

2. GRACE Observation of Gravity Changes

[3] GRACE can measure the earth’s gravity field accurate to several μGal with spatial and temporal resolutions of a few hundred km and a month, respectively. A GRACE data set consists of coefficients of spherical harmonics (Stokes’s coefficient) with degree and order complete to 60. Here we used 105 data sets of monthly solutions (Level-2 data, Release 4) by Center for Space Research, Univ. Texas, from 2002 April to 2011 May. We replaced the Earth’s oblateness values (C20) with those from Satellite Laser Ranging [Cheng and Tapley, 2004] because of their poor accuracy. We applied the anisotropic fan filter with averaging radius of 300 km to reduce short wavelength noises [Zhang et al., 2009], together with the de-correlation filter using polynomials of degree 3 for coefficients with orders 15 or higher to alleviate longitudinal stripes [Swenson and Wahr, 2006]. The movement of geocenter, expressed with the degree-one components (C10, C11, and S11), was not taken into account because they contribute little to local gravity changes studied here.

[4] Gravity may change by various geophysical processes other than earthquakes. The largest of those would be seasonal and inter-annual hydrological changes on land [e.g., Tapley et al., 2004; Morishita and Heki, 2008]. Although the width of the Japanese Islands is smaller than the spatial resolution of GRACE, fairly large seasonal mass changes due mainly to winter snow [Heki, 2004] may influence the GRACE data. Actually, GRACE showed such changes of amplitude of ∼2 μGal, and the peak in winter [Heki, 2010]. To remove such hydrological signals, it has been effective to use the Global Land Data Assimilation System (GLDAS) hydrological model [Rodell et al., 2004], which considers soil moisture, snow, and canopy water. Following Heki and Matsuo [2010], we removed the land hydrological contributions by subtracting the GLDAS Noah models.

[5] Figure 1 shows the time-series of monthly gravity changes at (38.0N, 138.0E), ∼350 km west of epicenter. We can see a significant gravity decrease of ∼5.0 μGal in 2011 March and the decrease reached ∼7.0 μGal in April suggesting that coseismic gravity changes did occur there. Note that the gravity jump between February and March, 2011, underestimates the true coseismic change because the March data include ∼10 days before the earthquake. Therefore, we estimated the true coseismic gravity changes using least-squares method assuming that 2/3 of coseismic jump occurred between February and March and 1/3 of the jump occurred between March and April.

[6] We show the two-dimensional distribution of the coseismic gravity changes in Figure 2a. The observed gravity changes are dominated by the negative changes in the back-arc region, with the largest decrease of ∼7.0 μGal 300–400 km landward from the focal region. One-sigma
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Figure 1. Time series of the monthly gravity values at 38.0N, 138.0E (open circle in Figure 2a) recovered by GRACE. The fan filter of averaging radius 300 km [Zhang et al., 2009] and the de-striping filter P3M15 [Swenson and Wahr, 2006] have been applied. Hydrological contributions (GLDAS/Noah) have been subtracted from GRACE time series. Data after 2007.0 have been modeled (thick red curves) assuming linear and seasonal (annual and semiannual) changes and a coseismic jump by the least-squares fitting (2/3 of the total jump is assumed to occur for the 2011 March data because the earthquake occurred on March 11). Error bars show one-sigma formal errors inferred a-posteriori by bringing the chi-square of the post-fit residual to unity.

Figure 2. Geographical distribution of coseismic gravity changes (a) observed by GRACE and (b) calculated according to Sun et al. [2009] using the coseismic slip distribution by GSI (http://www.gsi.go.jp/common/000060854.pdf). (c) Yellow stars in Figures 2a–2c denote the epicenter (38.1N, 142.9E). The contours of coseismic slips in Figure 2c are drawn every 20 m (thick contour) and 5 m (thin contour). The red line with triangles denotes the Japan Trench. GRACE data have been corrected with the GLDAS/Noah land hydrological models. (d) We compare profiles at latitude 38.0N (red curves in Figures 2a and 2b). For the observed profile, one-sigma formal errors are shown every two degrees.
The calculated gravity changes show significant short spatial filters (fan filter and de
deformations of the ocean floor. In order to compare them ∼
reflect, to a large extent, dilatation of rocks occurred above
wavelength negative gravity changes are considered to
explain them in different ways. The 2011 Tohoku
Oki earthquake has been detected by GRACE. It appears that the gravity change roughly scales with the moment, and the threshold of their detection with GRACE seems to lie somewhere around Mw 8.6–8.7.

Large mass redistribution by earthquake would also excite the earth’s polar motion [Chao and Gross, 1987]. Its amount can be inferred from the changes in the degree-2 tesseral components of the gravity change (ΔC21 and ΔS21) [Chen and Wilson, 2008]. We converted the coseismic changes of C21 and S21 associated with the 2011 Tohoku-Oki earthquake observed by GRACE to the motion of the north pole. It was ~14 cm toward 136E, and this is close to the value (~15 cm) calculated using a simple fault parameter by the Paris Observatory (http://hpiers.obspm.fr/eop-nc/). Its direction is similar to that of the 2010 Chile earthquake (~8.7cm), and their combined effect could be detected in a future as the difference of the average excitation pole positions between the periods before 2010 February and after 2011 March.

Postseismic recovery of gravity decrease with a time constant of ~0.6 year was found after the 2004 Sumatra-Andaman earthquake [Ogawa and Heki, 2007]. On the other hand, the 2010 Chile earthquake has not shown appreciable postseismic gravity changes so far. The mechanisms for postseismic gravity changes are still controversial, e.g., Ogawa and Heki [2007] and Panet et al. [2010] tried to explain them in different ways. The 2011 Tohoku-Oki earthquake would be a good test field to investigate how gravity changes after an earthquake, because we can constrain their mechanisms with crustal movements observed by the dense GPS network over the Japanese Islands.
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