Title	Diverse structures and adsorption properties of quasi-Werner-type copper(II) complexes with flexible and polar axial bonds
Author(s)	Noro, Shin-ichiro; Ohba, Tomonori; Fukuhara, Katsuo; Takahashi, Yukiko; Akutagawa, Tomoyuki; Nakamura, Takayoshi
Citation	Dalton Transactions, 40(10), 2268-2274 https://doi.org/10.1039/c0dt01129k
Issue Date	2011-03-14
Doc URL	http://hdl.handle.net/2115/49201
Rights	Dalton Trans., 2011, 40, 2268-2274 - Reproduced by permission of The Royal Society of Chemistry (RSC)
Type	article (author version)
File Information	DT40-10_2268-2274.pdf

[Instructions for use](#)

Diverse structures and adsorption properties of quasi-Werner-type copper(II) complexes with flexible and polar axial bonds

Shin-ichiro Noro,^{*a,b} Tomonori Ohba,^c Katsuo Fukuhara,^b Yukiko Takahashi,^a Tomoyuki Akutagawa^d and Takayoshi Nakamura^{*a,b}

Received (in XXX, XXX) Xth XXXXXXXXX 200X, Accepted Xth XXXXXXXXX 200X

First published on the web Xth XXXXXXXXX 200X

DOI: 10.1039/b000000x

The quasi-Werner-type copper(II) complex, $[\text{Cu}(\text{PF}_6)_2(4\text{-mepy})_4]$ (**1**), in which 4-mepy is the 4-methylpyridine ligand, has flexible and polar axial bonds of Cu–PF₆. Flexibility of the Cu–PF₆ bonds induces diverse and unprecedented guest-inclusion structures, such as $\{[\text{Cu}(\text{PF}_6)_2(4\text{-mepy})_4][\text{Cu}(\text{PF}_6)(4\text{-mepy})_4(\text{acetone})]\cdot\text{PF}_6\cdot 4\text{acetone}\}$ ($\gamma\text{-1}\supset 2.5\text{acetone}$), $\{[\text{Cu}(\text{PF}_6)_2(4\text{-mepy})_4][\text{Cu}(\text{PF}_6)(4\text{-mepy})_4(2\text{-butanone})]\cdot\text{PF}_6\cdot 3.5(2\text{-butanone})\}$ ($\gamma\text{-1}\supset 2.25(2\text{-butanone})$), $\{[\text{Cu}(\text{PF}_6)_2(4\text{-mepy})_4][\text{Cu}(\text{PF}_6)(4\text{-mepy})_4(\text{H}_2\text{O})]\cdot\text{PF}_6\cdot 4\text{benzene}\}$ ($\gamma\text{-1}\supset 0.5\text{H}_2\text{O}\cdot 2\text{benzene}$), and $\{[\text{Cu}(\text{PF}_6)_2(4\text{-mepy})_4]\cdot 2\text{benzene}\}$ ($\gamma\text{-1}\supset 2\text{benzene}$). Exposure of the dense form, $\alpha\text{-1}$, to benzene vapor affords the benzene-inclusion complex $\{[\text{Cu}(\text{PF}_6)_2(4\text{-mepy})_4]\cdot 2\text{benzene}\}$ ($\gamma\text{-1}\supset 2\text{benzene}$), all benzene guests of which are easily removed by vacuum drying, reforming guest-free, dense $\alpha\text{-1}'$ with smaller sized crystals than $\alpha\text{-1}$. In contrast to $\alpha\text{-1}$, which shows almost no CO₂ adsorption, $\alpha\text{-1}'$ adsorbs CO₂ gas with structural transformations, this being the first example that exhibits adsorption of gas in a dense Werner-type complex and a drastic change in adsorption properties depending on the size of the crystals.

Introduction

Porous materials constructed from metal ions and organic ligands are now of great interest because of the advantages for storage, separation, and catalysis, each of which can be rationally controlled using their characteristics, such as high designability, structural diversity and regularity, and flexibility. There are three types of such porous materials: one is called porous coordination polymers (PCPs) or metal-organic frameworks (MOFs) that have polymeric frameworks with regular pores;¹ the second is discrete clusters (metal-organic polyhedra (MOPs)) with tailorable internal space;² and the third is formed by the assembly of discrete coordination complexes without internal space via weaker intermolecular interactions (van der Waals, electrostatic, and hydrogen-bonding interactions) than coordination bonds.^{3–5}

The Werner-type complex formulated as $[\text{Ni}(\text{NCS})_2(4\text{-mepy})_4]$ (4-mepy = 4-methylpyridine) and its derivatives are well known as examples of the third porous materials type defined above, their preparation and guest inclusion ability first being reported in 1957.^{3a} Among them, $[\text{Ni}(\text{NCS})_2(4\text{-mepy})_4]$ has been thoroughly studied because of the interesting guest-inclusion properties derived from pseudopolymorphism, such as a dense α -form, and guest-including β - and γ -forms. In particular, this complex easily affords an empty β -form that adsorbs several gases with type I isotherms. In this complex, the coordination environment around the Ni^{II} ion always remains unchanged, irrespective of its assembly state. Then, another complex, $[\text{CuL}_2]$ ($\text{L} = \{\text{CF}_3\text{COCHCOC}(\text{CH}_3)_2\text{OCH}_3\}^-$),^{4a} was found that also gives three pseudopolymorphs: a dense α -form, a porous β -form, and one other. The Cu^{II} atom in this complex has square-

planar coordination from two chelating ligands having asymmetric substituents. The α -form contains both *cis* and *trans* $[\text{CuL}_2]$ units, whereas the β -form contains only *trans* units. Each unit in both forms is linked by weak coordination interactions between the Cu^{II} ions and the oxygen atoms of methoxy groups, forming polymeric frameworks. Further, a new phase, neither the α - nor β -form, is formed by exposure to MeOH or EtOH vapor, in which the oxygen atoms of MeOH or EtOH occupy the Cu^{II} axial sites, resulting in discrete coordination complexes.^{4c} Such a characteristic arises from weak axial Cu^{II} bonds. Here, we define these third porous materials as *porous assemblies of coordination complex* (PACs). Although there have been a large number of reports on PCPs and MOPs with intriguing porous functionalities to date, corresponding examples of PACs are much less common; the studies of PACs have been mainly based on structural chemistry.

Based on the excellent pioneering work on PACs, we focused on a new PAC, $[\text{Cu}(\text{PF}_6)_2(4\text{-mepy})_4]$ (**1**), which is a quasi-Werner-type metal complex. Recently, we have found that the porous Cu^{II} coordination polymer (PCCP) $[\text{Cu}(\text{PF}_6)_2(\text{bpetha})_2]_n$ (bpetha = 1,2-bis(4-pyridyl)ethane) with flexible and polar Cu–PF₆ bonds has interesting guest-recognition abilities.⁶ This PCCP has polar Cu–PF₆ units in the framework and therefore selectively adsorbs CO₂ and C₂H₂ gases with Lewis-acid properties via electron donor-acceptor interactions.^{7a} On the other hand, when this PCCP is exposed to Lewis-base guests with sterically less-crowded coordinated atoms, the weakly coordinated PF₆[−] anions are easily released from the axial sites and Lewis-base guests attach to these sites instead. This characteristic enables the PCCP to selectively separate large molecules from Lewis-base mixtures by using the differences in molecular shape.^{7b} These

results clearly indicate that the flexible and polar Cu–PF₆ units are useful for the construction of porous materials with multiguest-recognition properties. Introducing the Cu–PF₆ units to the Werner-type complexes, we could obtain unprecedented PACs that show a variety of structures depending on the type of included guests and selective guest adsorption properties.

The synthesis and crystallographic characterization of the dense α -form (α -1) have been previously reported.^{7a,8} Here, we determined the crystal structures of four γ -type inclusion complexes, $\{[\text{Cu}(\text{PF}_6)_2(4\text{-mepy})_4][\text{Cu}(\text{PF}_6)(4\text{-mepy})_4(\text{acetone})]\cdot\text{PF}_6\cdot 4\text{acetone}\}$ (γ -1 $\supset$ 2.5acetone), $\{[\text{Cu}(\text{PF}_6)_2(4\text{-mepy})_4][\text{Cu}(\text{PF}_6)(4\text{-mepy})_4(2\text{-butanone})]\cdot\text{PF}_6\cdot 3.5(2\text{-butanone})\}$ (γ -1 $\supset$ 2.25(2-butanone)), $\{[\text{Cu}(\text{PF}_6)_2(4\text{-mepy})_4][\text{Cu}(\text{PF}_6)(4\text{-mepy})_4(\text{H}_2\text{O})]\cdot\text{PF}_6\cdot 4\text{benzene}\}$ (γ -1 $\supset$ 0.5H₂O $\cdot$ 2benzene), and $\{[\text{Cu}(\text{PF}_6)_2(4\text{-mepy})_4]\cdot 2\text{benzene}\}$ (γ -1 $\supset$ 2benzene), obtained by recrystallization of α -1 in the presence of guest molecules. The guest-including γ -forms (γ -1 $\supset$ 2.5acetone, γ -1 $\supset$ 2.25(2-butanone), and γ -1 $\supset$ 0.5H₂O $\cdot$ 2benzene) trapped Lewis-base guests in the uncommon mode, which has never been observed in traditional Werner-type complexes. We also examined the gas adsorption properties of the dense α -forms, and succeeded in achieving the adsorption of CO₂ gas with structural transformations in its form.

Fig. 1 Crystal structure of α -1: (a) the molecular structure, (b) the chain arrangement in the projection along the a -axis, and (c) the packing view in the projection along the b -axis. The hydrogen atoms are omitted for

clarity. The red, blue, gray, gold, and orange colors represent copper, nitrogen, carbon, fluorine, and phosphorus, respectively.

Results and discussion

Crystal structures

The synthesis and characterization of α -1 were performed by Morrison and Thompson about 30 years ago.⁸ Recently, we have succeeded in the single-crystal X-ray diffraction analysis of α -1.^{7a} The crystal structure of α -1 has been briefly reported in our previous literature. Here, we elucidate its structure in more detail. In the crystal, there are two crystallographically independent Cu^{II} complexes (I and II), both of which have similar coordination environments. The Cu^{II} ion has an elongated octahedral environment with four 4-mepy nitrogen atoms in the equatorial plane, and two fluorine atoms of the PF₆[−] anions at the axial sites (Fig. 1a). Each Cu^{II} complex adopts a propeller-type conformation. The Cu–F bond distances (2.586(2) and 2.528(2) Å for complex I, and 2.478(2) and 2.629(2) Å for complex II) are considerably longer than the Cu–N bond distances (2.002–2.024 Å), indicative of a Jahn–Teller effect. The Ni^{II} analogue has been reported,⁹ in which the Ni^{II} ion has a nearly square-planar environment and the Ni–F bond distance is 3.031(8) Å, only marginally less than the estimated sum of the van der Waals radii (3.10 Å), but considerably more than the Cu–F bond distances in α -1. Therefore, the PF₆[−] anions of α -1 interact with the Cu^{II} ions more strongly than those of the Ni^{II} analogue. Generally, Ni^{II} complexes show an octahedral or square-planar coordination environment. Having a weak Lewis-base ability,¹⁰ the PF₆[−] anion is hardly coordinated to a Ni^{II} ion, resulting in a nearly square-planar coordination environment. The difference in coordination states of the PF₆[−] anions between α -1 and the Ni^{II} analogue can also be confirmed by a comparison of P–F bond distances. In the case of α -1, the P–F bond distances with weakly coordinated F atoms are clearly longer than those of other P–F bonds (see Table S1, ESI). On the other hand, the P–F bond distances of the Ni^{II} analogue are in the range from 1.466 to 1.593 Å and a similar trend to α -1 is not observed. Hence, it should be noted that the PF₆[−] anions of α -1 undoubtedly interact with the Cu^{II} ions, which affects the structural distortion from a noncoordinated form.

The complexes I and II alternately stack to form a chain with the distance between Cu^{II} atoms being 8.63 Å (Fig. 1b). These chains are packed in a roughly hexagonal fashion, as shown in Fig. 1c. Such a packing structure is similar to that of α -[Ni(NCS)₂(4-mepy)₄].^{3e,3i} The overall packing structure of these Cu^{II} complexes is too dense to leave vacant space (accessible void space calculated by the PLATON program is 0.6%).¹¹

In the presence of Lewis-base guests such as acetone and 2-butanone, guest-inclusion complexes of γ -1 $\supset$ 2.5acetone and γ -1 $\supset$ 2.25(2-butanone) were formed. The structures around the Cu^{II} centers are illustrated in Fig. 2a and 2b. In each complex, there are two crystallographically independent Cu^{II} complexes (I and II), both of which have different coordination environments, in contrast to α -1. The structures of complex I in γ -1 $\supset$ 2.5acetone and γ -1 $\supset$ 2.25(2-butanone) are similar to

that in α -1. The Cu^{II} centers of complex II have elongated octahedral environments with four 4-mepy nitrogen atoms in the equatorial plane and one fluorine atom of the PF_6^- anion and one oxygen atom of acetone or 2-butanone at the axial sites. The Cu–O bond distances are 2.427(4) Å for γ -1 $\supset$ 2.5acetone and 2.482(2) Å for γ -1 $\supset$ 2.25(2-butanone). Each Cu^{II} complex assemblies to form two-dimensional sheets parallel to the *ab*-plane, as shown in Fig. 2c. These sheets stack along the *c*-axis (Fig. 2d), and uncoordinated PF_6^- and ketone molecules are included between the sheets. The accessible void space calculated by the PLATON program is 32 and 33% for γ -1 $\supset$ 2.5acetone and γ -1 $\supset$ 2.25(2-butanone), respectively.¹¹

Fig. 2 Crystal structures of γ -1 $\supset$ 2.5acetone and γ -1 $\supset$ 2.25(2-butanone): (a) and (b) the molecular structures of γ -1 $\supset$ 2.5acetone and γ -1 $\supset$ 2.25(2-butanone), (c) the sheet arrangement of γ -1 $\supset$ 2.5acetone in the projection along the *c*-axis, and (d) the packing view of γ -1 $\supset$ 2.5acetone in the projection along the *a*-axis. The hydrogen atoms, free PF_6^- anions, and acetone guests are omitted for clarity.

Fig. 3 Crystal structure of γ -1 $\supset$ 0.5 H_2O $\supset$ 2benzene: (a) the molecular structure and (b) the packing view in the projection along the *a*-axis. The hydrogen atoms, free PF_6^- anions, and benzene guests are omitted for clarity.

Fig. 4 TGA (solid lines) and DTA (dotted lines) curves of α -1 (red) and γ -1 $\supset$ 2benzene (blue).

Fig. 5 Adsorption (filled symbols) and desorption (open symbols) isotherms for benzene vapor in α -1 at 298 K.

Recrystallization of α -1 in CHCl_3 /benzene produced two kinds of the benzene-inclusion complexes, γ -1 $\supset$ 0.5 H_2O $\supset$ 2benzene and γ -1 $\supset$ 2benzene. γ -1 $\supset$ 0.5 H_2O $\supset$ 2benzene, which was obtained using nondistilled solvents under the atmosphere, has two crystallographically independent Cu^{II} complexes I and II similar to γ -1 $\supset$ 2.5acetone and γ -1 $\supset$ 2.25(2-butanone). Complex I has a similar coordination environment to α -1, while complex II has an elongated octahedral environment with four 4-mepy nitrogen atoms in the equatorial plane, and one fluorine atom of the PF_6^- anion and one oxygen atom of H_2O at the axial sites (Fig. 3a). The origin of H_2O may be the solvents used (CHCl_3 and benzene), neither of which was distilled before use. The assembly state of γ -1 $\supset$ 0.5 H_2O $\supset$ 2benzene is similar to those of γ -1 $\supset$ 2.5acetone and γ -1 $\supset$ 2.25(2-butanone) (Fig. 3b). Coordination-free PF_6^- anions and benzene guests are filled into the space formed between the sheets. The accessible void space calculated by the PLATON program is 33%.¹¹ On the other hand, γ -1 $\supset$ 2benzene was got under a nonaqueous condition. In the crystal, there is single-species Cu^{II} complex having the similar coordination environment to α -1. One of two coordinated PF_6^- anions has the disordered structure (see Fig. S1). The Cu–F bond distances (2.501(3), 2.43(2), and 2.544(14) Å) are close to those for other complexes presented

in this paper. It should be noted that α -1 accommodates benzene guests without reconstitution of coordination structures around the Cu^{II} ions in the absence of Lewis base guests. The assembly state of γ -1 $\rightarrow$ 2benzene is similar to those of other γ -type complexes (Fig. S1). The accessible void space calculated by the PLATON program is 31%.¹¹

Fig. 6 XRD patterns of (a) simulated α -1 from single-crystal analysis, (b) α -1, (c) α -1' obtained by drying γ -1 $\rightarrow$ 2benzene in vacuum at room temperature for 2 h, and (d and e) simulated γ -1 $\rightarrow$ 0.5H₂O $\cdot$ 2benzene (d) and γ -1 $\rightarrow$ 2benzene (e) from single-crystal analysis.

Adsorption properties for benzene vapor

When α -1 was exposed to a benzene vapor for 4 h, a benzene-inclusion complex was formed. The TG-DTA curve (Fig. 4) reveals that the obtained complex has the formula $\{[\text{Cu}(\text{PF}_6)_2(4\text{-mepy})_4] \cdot 2\text{benzene}\}$ (γ -1 $\rightarrow$ 2benzene), a formula that is consistent with the results of crystal analysis. The adsorption isotherm for benzene in α -1 was measured to elucidate the adsorption phenomenon of benzene. The adsorption isotherm at 298 K shows a sudden increase at the relative pressure of 0.67, and attains saturation (Fig. 5). On the other hand, the desorption isotherm is almost flat in the measured pressure range. The saturated amount of benzene is ca. 2 mol per mol of Cu^{II} ion, coincident with the value obtained from the TG curve and the crystal analysis. An abrupt increase in adsorption volume and decrease in pressure was observed at a certain pressure. This phenomenon can be explained according to the method of measuring volumetric

adsorption and gate-opening adsorption phenomena.¹² At this pressure, α -1 transforms from a closed to an open structure and suddenly adsorbs some of the vapor in the measurement cell, which results in a loss of pressure. These results indicate that α -1 is converted into γ -1 $\rightarrow$ 2benzene with retention of coordination environments around the Cu^{II} ions, because of the very weak Lewis-base ability of benzene. We next attempted the formation of empty γ -1 by removal of all benzene guests from γ -1 $\rightarrow$ 2benzene. However, the XRD pattern of the obtained dry compound (α -1') shows similar peak positions to those of α -1, as shown in Fig. 6b and 6c, suggesting the lability of empty γ -1. A similar trend was observed in the Werner-type Ni^{II} complexes.³ⁱ The XRD peaks of α -1' become much broader than those of α -1, which is probably attributed to a decrease of crystal size (Fig. S2, ESI). Such a slight change in assembly state strongly affects adsorption properties (vide infra).

Fig. 7 Adsorption (filled symbols) and desorption (open symbols) isotherms for CO₂ gas in α -[Ni(NCS)₂(4-mepy)₄] (circles), α -1 (squares), and α -1' (triangles) at 195 K.

Adsorption properties for CO₂ gas

Adsorption and desorption properties of α -1 and α -1' were measured with the Werner-type Ni^{II} complex, α -[Ni(NCS)₂(4-mepy)₄]. Fig. 7 shows the adsorption/desorption isotherms for CO₂ gas at 195 K. In the case of α -1 and α -[Ni(NCS)₂(4-mepy)₄], almost no CO₂ gas is adsorbed on these complexes, which is consistent with the fact that their complexes densely pack. Surprisingly, α -1' exhibits an unprecedented adsorption/desorption behavior. Despite α -1' having the same structure as α -1—that is, dense packing—CO₂ gas is adsorbed only onto α -1'. As shown in Fig. 7, the adsorption isotherm shows a stepwise curve. First, the isotherm exhibits little increase in the adsorption amount, indicating that the densely packed structure is retained. At the relative pressure of 8×10^{-3} , the amount adsorbed steeply increases and reaches 17 cm³·g⁻¹ at the relative pressure of 0.23, followed by further adsorption. The adsorbed amount at the relative pressure of 0.98 is 41 cm³·g⁻¹. The desorption isotherm does not trace the adsorption isotherm any more, instead showing an abrupt drop at the relative pressure $\sim 10^{-2}$. From the results of the crystal structure, XRD pattern, and the adsorption isotherm for benzene, it is suggested that α -1' has a nonporous structure. The gate-opening-type adsorption occurs when the assembled

structure changes during the adsorption process from a closed structure to an open one at a specific pressure.¹² Hence, the first adsorption step is found to be a gate-opening-type adsorption behavior. By applying the Langmuir model, we found the apparent surface area to be 340 m²·g⁻¹, supporting the suggestion that the adsorption occurs within the crystals.

Size effects for adsorption properties have been reported in some PCPs.^{13,14} The three-dimensional PCP {[Cr₃FO(1,4-benzenedicarboxylate)₃(H₂O)₂] $\cdot$ xH₂O}_n (MIL-101) has a large free aperture, mesoporous cages, and a record adsorption capacity (Langmuir surface area $\sim$ 5900 $\pm$ 300 m²·g⁻¹).¹⁵ MIL-101 nanocrystals, whose size is smaller than MIL-101 synthesized using conventional hydrothermal techniques, were obtained using a microwave irradiation technique. Such a difference in crystal size only slightly affects the rate of adsorption.¹³ The flexible PCP of [Zn(isophthalate)(4,4'-bipyridine)]_n (CID-1) possesses two-dimensional interdigitated layers and shows drastic structural transformation triggered by guest adsorption and desorption.¹⁶ PCP nanocrystals of CID-1, which are obtained by the combined technique of nonaqueous inverse microemulsion and ultrasonication, show that the total adsorption amount within nanocrystals is almost the same as for bulk crystals, but the shapes of the adsorption/desorption isotherms differ and the adsorption kinetics increase, compared with bulk crystals.¹⁴ These changes are mainly attributed to nanocrystal size with shorter diffusion length. α -1 and α -1' are the first example that the decrease in crystal size causes a dramatic increase in adsorption capacity.

Table 1 Adsorption potentials (kJ·mol⁻¹) for the [Cu(PF₆)₂(4-mepy)₄] and [Ni(NCS)₂(4-mepy)₄] units.^a

CO ₂			
Compound		Adsorption potential ^c	Difference ^d
[Cu(PF ₆) ₂ (4-mepy) ₄]	I	15.8	1.0
	II	16.6	1.2
[Ni(NCS) ₂ (4-mepy) ₄]		15.3	0.8
[Cu(4-mepy) ₄] ^b	I	14.8	
	II	15.4	
[Ni(4-mepy) ₄] ^b		14.5	
N ₂			
Compound		Adsorption potential ^c	Difference ^d
[Cu(PF ₆) ₂ (4-mepy) ₄]	I	10.1	0.6
	II	10.6	0.8
[Ni(NCS) ₂ (4-mepy) ₄]		9.8	0.4
[Cu(4-mepy) ₄] ^b	I	9.5	
	II	9.8	
[Ni(4-mepy) ₄] ^b		9.4	

^a The atomic coordinates of α -1 and α -[Ni(NCS)₂(4-mepy)₄] were used for the calculation. In the case of α -1, there are two crystallographically independent units, I and II. ^b The anion parts are deleted. ^c Maximum value. ^d (Adsorption potential)_{[M(A)₂(4-mepy)₄] - (Adsorption potential)_[M(4-mepy)₄] (M = Cu^{II} or Ni^{II}, A = PF₆⁻ or NCS⁻).}

Previously, we have calculated the natural charges and the electrostatic surface potentials mapped onto a surface of total electron density in the unit of α -1 and α -[Ni(NCS)₂(4-mepy)₄], in which the unit of α -1 has a more polar structure than that of α -[Ni(NCS)₂(4-mepy)₄] and the F atoms of the PF₆⁻ anions possess negative charges. These results imply that the PF₆⁻

anions act as electron donors and interact with electron-acceptor CO₂ molecules. We speculate that the large hysteresis curves observed in α -1' are due to the strong host-guest interactions between the PF₆⁻ anions and CO₂ molecules.

The adsorption potentials around the unit of α -1 and α -[Ni(NCS)₂(4-mepy)₄] were calculated by molecular simulation to elucidate the effect of the PF₆⁻ anion. As shown in Table 1, the Cu^{II} complexes show slightly higher adsorption potentials for CO₂ and N₂ gases than the Ni^{II} complex. Next, to confirm that such an enhancement is attributed to the PF₆⁻ anion, the differences between the adsorption potentials in the presence and absence of anions were calculated. As a result, the differences for the Cu^{II} complexes are also slightly higher than those for the Ni^{II} complexes. Hence, the PF₆⁻ anion indeed enhances the adsorption potential, compared with the NCS⁻ anion. In real crystals, a gas molecule is probably incorporated in space surrounded by several discrete complexes, which means that the real adsorption potential is further amplified.

We deduce the reason why the dense α -1' adsorbs CO₂ gas from these experimental and calculational results. The crystal size of α -1' is smaller than α -1, meaning that there are more molecules on the surface of crystals in α -1'. The molecules on the surface take a more unstable state than those inside the crystal because of insufficient packing. In addition, the polar Cu–PF₆ units in α -1' enhance the interaction with gas molecules. These factors probably cause flexible adsorption in the dense α -1' form.

Conclusions

In this paper, we reported the syntheses and adsorption properties of PACs, quasi-Werner-type complexes with flexible and polar Cu–PF₆ bonds. The introduction of such Cu–PF₆ bonds afforded unprecedented guest-inclusion structures and adsorption properties, which have never been observed in traditional Werner-type complexes. Work is now in progress to obtain not only a porous β -[Cu(PF₆)₂(4-mepy)₄] complex with a robust framework, but also other derivatives [Cu(PF₆)₂(L)₄] (L = pyridine derivatives) with unique adsorption properties.

Experimental

Synthesis

All chemicals and solvents used during the syntheses were reagent grade. α -[Cu(PF₆)₂(4-mepy)₄] (α -1) was prepared according to the literature method.^{7a}

{[Cu(PF₆)₂(4-mepy)₄][Cu(PF₆)₂(4-mepy)₄(acetone)] $\cdot$ PF₆ $\cdot$ 4acetone} (γ -1 $\supset$ 2.5acetone), {[Cu(PF₆)₂(4-mepy)₄][Cu(PF₆)₂(4-mepy)₄(2-butanone)] $\cdot$ PF₆ $\cdot$ 3.5(2-butanone)} (γ -1 $\supset$ 2.25(2-butanone)), {[Cu(PF₆)₂(4-mepy)₄][Cu(PF₆)₂(4-mepy)₄(H₂O)] $\cdot$ PF₆ $\cdot$ 4benzene} (γ -1 $\supset$ 0.5H₂O $\cdot$ 2benzene) and {[Cu(PF₆)₂(4-mepy)₄] $\cdot$ 2benzene} (γ -1 $\supset$ 2benzene). These complexes were obtained by recrystallization of α -1 in acetone/hexane (γ -1 $\supset$ 2.5acetone), 2-butanone/hexane (γ -1 $\supset$ 2.25(2-butanone)), and CHCl₃/benzene (γ -1 $\supset$ 0.5H₂O $\cdot$ 2benzene and γ -1 $\supset$ 2benzene). In the case of γ -

1 $\rightarrow$ 2benzene, crystals were obtained using dried solvents under N₂ atmosphere.

Physical measurements

XRD data were collected using a Rigaku RINT-Ultima III diffractometer employing CuK α radiation. Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) and differential thermal analysis (DTA) were performed using a Rigaku Thermo Plus 2/TG-DTA8120 over the temperature range 298–773 K under an N₂ atmosphere. The adsorption isotherms for CO₂ and benzene at 195 K and 298 K, respectively, were measured with an automatic volumetric adsorption apparatus (BELSORP MAX; BEL Japan, Inc.). Prior to the adsorption measurements, the sample was treated under reduced pressure (< 10⁻² Pa) at 293 K for 12 h.

Molecular simulations

Atomic positions were obtained from the crystallographic structure of PACs, α -1^{7a} and α -[Ni(NCS)₂(4-mepy)₄].³ⁱ Adsorption potentials were calculated by molecular simulation of PACs using a universal force field.¹⁷ Intermolecular interaction was evaluated from the combination potential model of Lennard-Jones and Coulomb-type interactions. Effective diameters of Cu, Ni, P, F, N, S, C, and H are 0.3495, 0.2834, 0.4147, 0.3364, 0.366, 0.4035, 0.3851, and 0.2886 nm, respectively. The potential well depths are 2.5, 7.6, 153.6, 25.2, 34.7, 138.0, 52.9, and 22.2 K, respectively. The electric charges of Cu, Ni, P, F, and N are 2, 2, 5, -1, and -1, respectively. On the other hand, C, S, and H atoms have a zero charge. A unit of coordination complex in a unit cell was used for the calculation without periodic boundary conditions.

Crystallography

X-ray diffraction measurements on γ -1 $\rightarrow$ 2.5acetone, γ -1 $\rightarrow$ 2.25(2-butanone), γ -1 $\rightarrow$ 0.5H₂O $\cdot$ 2benzene, and γ -1 $\rightarrow$ 2benzene, were performed using a Rigaku RAXIS-RAPID imaging plate diffractometer using graphite-monochromated MoK α radiation (λ = 0.71073 Å). The data were corrected for Lorentz and polarization effects. The structures were solved using direct methods (SIR2004 (γ -1 $\rightarrow$ 2.5acetone, γ -1 $\rightarrow$ 2.25(2-butanone), and γ -1 $\rightarrow$ 0.5H₂O $\cdot$ 2benzene) and SIR2002 (γ -1 $\rightarrow$ 2benzene))^{18,19} and expanded using Fourier techniques.²⁰ The nonhydrogen atoms except for coordination-free guest molecules were refined anisotropically. The carbon and oxygen atoms of coordination-free guest molecules (acetone, 2-butanone, and benzene) were refined isotropically. All of the hydrogen atoms were refined using the riding model. In γ -1 $\rightarrow$ 2.25(2-butanone), because some 2-butanone molecules showed the static disorder, some hydrogen atoms were not located. In γ -1 $\rightarrow$ 0.5H₂O $\cdot$ 2benzene, the hydrogen atoms of the coordinated H₂O molecule were not located. The refinements were carried out using full-matrix least-squares techniques on F^2 . All calculations were performed using the CrystalStructure crystallographic software package.²¹ In the case of γ -1 $\rightarrow$ 2benzene, the refinement was performed using SHELXL-97.²² The crystallographic data in CIF format are available from the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre, CCDC reference numbers 789900 (γ -1 $\rightarrow$ 2.5acetone), 789901 (γ -1 $\rightarrow$ 2.25(2-butanone)), 789902 (γ -1 $\rightarrow$ 0.5H₂O $\cdot$ 2benzene), and

803508 (γ -1 $\rightarrow$ 2benzene).

Crystal data for γ -1 $\rightarrow$ 2.5acetone. C₆₃H₈₆Cu₂F₂₄N₈O₅P₄, FW = 1742.37, monoclinic $P2_1/c$, T = 173 K, a = 15.8084(10) Å, b = 15.7072(9) Å, c = 33.2060(16) Å, β = 102.5296(16)°, V = 8048.8(8) Å³, Z = 4, D_{calc} = 1.438 g·cm⁻³, F_{000} = 3576.00, $2\theta_{\text{max}}$ = 55.0°. Final $R1$ = 0.0910 ($I > 2.00\sigma(I)$), $wR2$ = 0.1290 ($I > 2.00\sigma(I)$), GOF = 1.102 for 961 parameters and a total of 77221 reflections, 18395 unique (R_{int} = 0.073). μ = 7.122 cm⁻¹. ρ_{max} = 1.20 e/Å³ and ρ_{min} = -1.02 e/Å³.

Crystal data for γ -1 $\rightarrow$ 2.25(2-butanone). C₆₆H₉₂Cu₂F₂₄N₈O_{4.5}P₄, FW = 1776.45, monoclinic $P2_1/c$, T = 173 K, a = 15.9844(10) Å, b = 15.7733(13) Å, c = 33.098(3) Å, β = 102.2421(19)°, V = 8155.2(10) Å³, Z = 4, D_{calc} = 1.447 g·cm⁻³, F_{000} = 3656.00, $2\theta_{\text{max}}$ = 55.0°. Final $R1$ = 0.0998 ($I > 2.00\sigma(I)$), $wR2$ = 0.1633 ($I > 2.00\sigma(I)$), GOF = 1.041 for 985 parameters and a total of 75460 reflections, 18593 unique (R_{int} = 0.100). μ = 7.040 cm⁻¹. ρ_{max} = 3.90 e/Å³ and ρ_{min} = -3.29 e/Å³.

Crystal data for γ -1 $\rightarrow$ 0.5H₂O $\cdot$ 2benzene. C₇₂H₈₂Cu₂F₂₄N₈OP₄, FW = 1782.44, triclinic $P1$, T = 173 K, a = 11.3833(6) Å, b = 11.4650(5) Å, c = 16.2264(8) Å, α = 75.6619(17)°, β = 83.2147(15)°, γ = 89.1992(14)°, V = 2037.10(17) Å³, Z = 1, D_{calc} = 1.453 g·cm⁻³, F_{000} = 912.00, $2\theta_{\text{max}}$ = 55.0°. Final $R1$ = 0.0583 ($I > 2.00\sigma(I)$), $wR2$ = 0.1014 ($I > 2.00\sigma(I)$), GOF = 1.124 for 961 parameters and a total of 19610 reflections, 15173 unique (R_{int} = 0.037). μ = 7.021 cm⁻¹. ρ_{max} = 3.01 e/Å³ and ρ_{min} = -2.66 e/Å³.

Crystal data for γ -1 $\rightarrow$ 2benzene. C₃₆H₄₀Cu₁F₁₂N₄P₂, FW = 882.21, orthorhombic $Pnna$, T = 173 K, a = 15.7382(5) Å, b = 16.4401(6) Å, c = 15.7189(5) Å, V = 4067.1(3) Å³, Z = 4, D_{calc} = 1.441 g·cm⁻³, F_{000} = 1804.00, $2\theta_{\text{max}}$ = 54.9°. Final $R1$ = 0.0535 ($I > 2.00\sigma(I)$), $wR2$ = 0.1848 (all reflections), GOF = 1.075 for 255 parameters and a total of 59767 reflections, 4648 unique (R_{int} = 0.055). μ = 7.017 cm⁻¹. ρ_{max} = 0.61 e/Å³ and ρ_{min} = -0.97 e/Å³.

Acknowledgments

We thank Prof. H.-C. Chang (Hokkaido University) for allowing the measurements of optical microscope images. This work is supported by a Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research, Young Scientists (B) (22750114), from MEXT, Japan.

Notes and references

^a Research Institute for Electronic Science, Hokkaido University, Sapporo 001-0020, Japan. Fax: 81 11 706 9420; Tel: 81 11 706 9418; E-mail: noro@es.hokudai.ac.jp and tnaka@es.hokudai.ac.jp

^b Graduate School of Environmental Earth Science, Hokkaido University, Sapporo 060-0810, Japan

^c Graduate School of Science, Chiba University, 1-33 Yayoi, Inage, Chiba 263-8522, Japan

^d Institute for Multidisciplinary Research for Advanced Materials (IMRAS), Tohoku University, 1-1 Katahira, Aoba-ku, Sendai 980-8577, Japan

† Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available: Selected bond distances, crystal structure of γ -1 $\rightarrow$ 2benzene, morphology of crystals, XRD patterns, adsorption and desorption isotherms for CO₂ gas at 195 K plotted against a logarithmic relative pressure. For ESI, crystallographic data in CIF, and checkcif files, see DOI: 10.1039/b000000x/.

1 (a) O. M. Yaghi, M. O'Keeffe, N. W. Ockwig, H. K. Chae, M. Eddaoudi and J. Kim, *Nature*, 2003, **423**, 705; (b) G. Férey, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2008, **37**, 191; (c) S. Kitagawa, R. Kitaura and S. Noro, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2004, **43**, 2334; (d) R. E. Morris and P. S.

- Wheatley, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2008, **47**, 4966; (e) M. Dincă and J. R. Long, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2008, **47**, 6766; (f) S. Ma and H.-C. Zhou, *Chem. Commun.*, 2010, **46**, 44; (g) U. Mueller, M. Schubert, F. Teich, H. Puetter, K. Schierle-Amdt and J. Pastré, *J. Mater. Chem.*, 2006, **16**, 626; (h) C. N. R. Rao, S. Natarajan and R. Vaidyanathan, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2004, **43**, 1466; (i) B. Moulton and M. J. Zaworotko, *Chem. Rev.*, 2001, **101**, 1629; (j) S. L. James, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2003, **32**, 276; (k) C. Janiak, *Dalton Trans.*, 2003, 2781; (l) A. J. Black, N. R. Champness, P. Hubberstey, W.-S. Li, M. A. Withersby and M. Schröder, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 1999, **183**, 117; (m) G. S. Papaefstathiou and MacGillivray, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 2003, **246**, 169; (n) A. P. Côté and G. K. H. Shimizu, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 2003, **245**, 49; (o) D. Maspoch, D. Ruiz-Molina and J. Veciana, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2007, **36**, 770; (p) D. Bradshaw, J. B. Claridge, E. J. Cussen, T. J. Prior and M. J. Rosseinsky, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 2005, **38**, 273; (q) M. D. Allendorf, C. A. Bauer, R. K. Bhakta and R. J. T. Houk, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2009, **38**, 1330; (r) H. Kano, A. Kondo, H. Noguchi, H. Kajiro, A. Todoh, Y. Hattori, W.-C. Xu, M. Inoue, T. Sugiura, K. Morita, H. Tanaka, T. Ohba and K. Kaneko, *J. Colloid Interface Sci.*, 2009, **334**, 1; (s) S. Takamizawa, Y. Takasaki and R. Miyake, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2010, **132**, 2862; (t) Z. Wang and S. M. Cohen, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2009, **38**, 1315; (u) D. Zacher, O. Shekhah, C. Wöll and R. A. Fischer, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2009, **38**, 1418; (v) T. Kawamichi, T. Haneda, M. Kawano and M. Fujita, *Nature*, 2009, **461**, 633; (w) S. Noro, S. Kitagawa, T. Akutagawa and T. Nakamura, *Prog. Polym. Sci.*, 2009, **34**, 240.
- 2 (a) D. J. Tranchemontagne, Z. Ni, M. O'Keeffe and O. M. Yaghi, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2008, **47**, 5136; (b) M. Fujita, M. Tominaga, A. Hori and B. Therrien, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 2005, **38**, 371; (c) D. Fiedler, D. H. Leung, R. G. Bergman and K. N. Raymond, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 2005, **38**, 351; (d) S. R. Seidel and P. J. Stang, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 2002, **35**, 972; (e) L. Dobrzańska, G. O. Lloyd, H. G. Raubenheimer and L. J. Barbour, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2005, **127**, 13134.
 - 3 (a) W. D. Schaeffer, W. S. Dorsey, D. A. Skinner and C. G. Christian, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1957, **79**, 5870; (b) D. Belitskus, G. A. Jeffrey, R. K. McMullan and N. C. Stephenson, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1963, **2**, 873; (c) S. A. Allison and R. M. Barrer, *J. Chem. Soc. A*, 1969, 1717; (d) D. H. Brown, R. H. Nuttall, J. McAvoy and D. W. A. Sharp, *J. Chem. Soc. A*, 1966, 892; (e) I. S. Kerr and D. J. Williams, *Acta Cryst.*, 1977, **B33**, 3589; (f) J. Lipkowski, P. Starzewski and W. Zielenkiewicz, *Thermochim. Acta*, 1981, **49**, 269; (g) A. Y. Manakov and J. Lipkowski, *Thermochim. Acta*, 1996, **277**, 199; (h) A. Y. Manakov, J. Lipkowski and J. Pielaszek, *J. Inclusion Phenom.*, 1999, **35**, 531; (i) D. V. Soldatov, G. D. Enright and J. A. Ripmeester, *Cryst. Growth Des.*, 2004, **4**, 1185.
 - 4 (a) S. A. Gromilov, I. A. Baydina and G. I. Zharkova, *J. Struct. Chem.*, 1997, **38**, 792; (b) D. V. Soldatov, J. A. Ripmeester, S. I. Shergina, I. E. Sokolov, A. S. Zanina, S. A. Gromilov and Y. A. Dyadin, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1999, **121**, 4179; (c) D. V. Soldatov and J. A. Ripmeester, *Chem. Mater.*, 2000, **12**, 1827; (d) A. Y. Manakov, D. V. Soldatov, J. A. Ripmeester and J. Lipkowski, *J. Phys. Chem. B*, 2000, **104**, 12111; (e) A. V. Nossov, D. V. Soldatov and J. A. Ripmeester, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2001, **123**, 3563; (f) D. V. Soldatov, E. V. Grachev and J. A. Ripmeester, *Cryst. Growth Des.*, 2002, **2**, 401; (g) C. I. Ratcliffe, D. V. Soldatov and J. A. Ripmeester, *Microporous Mesoporous Mat.*, 2004, **73**, 71.
 - 5 (a) S. A. Dalrymple and G. K. H. Shimizu, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2007, **129**, 12114; (b) S. A. Dalrymple and G. K. H. Shimizu, *Chem. Commun.*, 2006, 956; (c) S. Takamizawa, T. Akatsuka and T. Ueda, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2008, **47**, 1689; (d) S. Takamizawa, M. Kohbara, T. Akatsuka and R. Miyake, *New J. Chem.*, 2008, **32**, 1782.
 - 6 S. Noro, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2010, **12**, 2519.
 - 7 (a) S. Noro, D. Tanaka, H. Sakamoto, S. Shimomura, S. Kitagawa, S. Takeda, K. Uemura, H. Kita, T. Akutagawa and T. Nakamura, *Chem. Mater.*, 2009, **21**, 3346; (b) S. Noro, T. Akutagawa and T. Nakamura, *Chem. Commun.*, 2010, **46**, 3134.
 - 8 R. M. Morrison and R. C. Thompson, *Can J. Chem.*, 1982, **60**, 1048.
 - 9 R. M. Morrison, R. C. Thompson and J. Trotter, *Can. J. Chem.*, 1980, **58**, 238.
 - 10 S. Noro, N. Yanai, S. Kitagawa, T. Akutagawa and T. Nakamura, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2008, **47**, 7360.
 - 11 The accessible void space was calculated after removal of all guest molecules (coordinated, hydrogen-bonding, and free ones) with the program PLATON by using a probe with a radius of 1.2 Å: A. L. Spek, *J. Appl. Crystallogr.*, 2003, **36**, 7.
 - 12 (a) A. Kondo, H. Noguchi, S. Ohnishi, H. Kajiro, A. Tohdoh, Y. Hattori, W.-C. Xu, H. Tanaka, H. Kano and K. Kaneko, *Nano Lett.*, 2006, **6**, 2581; (b) R. Kitaura, K. Seki, G. Akiyama and S. Kitagawa, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2003, **42**, 428; (c) D. Tanaka, K. Nakagawa, M. Higuchi, S. Horike, Y. Kubota, T. C. Kobayashi, M. Takata and S. Kitagawa, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2008, **47**, 3914; (d) S. Bourrelly, P. L. Llewellyn, C. Serre, F. Millange, T. Loiseau and G. Férey, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2005, **127**, 13519; (e) F.-X. Coudert, M. Jeffroy, A. H. Fuchs, A. Koutin and C. Mellot-Draznieks, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2008, **130**, 14294.
 - 13 S. H. Jhung, J.-H. Lee, J. W. Yoon, C. Serre, G. Férey, J.-S. Chang, *Adv. Mater.*, 2007, **19**, 121.
 - 14 D. Tanaka, A. Henke, K. Albrecht, M. Moeller, K. Nakagawa, S. Kitagawa, J. Groll, *Nature Chem.*, 2010, **2**, 410.
 - 15 G. Férey, C. Mellot-Draznieks, C. Serre, F. Millange, J. Dutour, S. Surblé, I. Margiolaki, *Science*, 2005, **309**, 2040.
 - 16 S. Horike, D. Tanaka, K. Nakagawa, S. Kitagawa, *Chem. Commun.*, 2007, 3395.
 - 17 A. K. Rappé, C. J. Casewit, K. S. Colwell, W. A. Goddard III and W. M. Skiff, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1992, **114**, 10024.
 - 18 M. C. Burla, R. Caliendo, M. Camalli, B. Carrozzini, G. L. Casciarano, L. De Caro, C. Giacovazzo, G. Polidori and R. Spagna, *SIR2004*; Istituto di Cristallografia: Bari, Italy, 2005.
 - 19 SIR2002: M. C. Burla, M. Camalli, B. Carrozzini, G. L. Casciarano, C. Giacovazzo, G. Polidori and R. Spagna, *J. Appl. Crystallogr.*, 2003, **36**, 1103.
 - 20 P. T. Beurskens, G. Admiraal, G. Beurskens, W. P. Bosman, R. de Gelder, R. Israel and J. M. M. Smits and C. Smykalla, *PATY: DIRDIF-99 Program System*, Technical Report of the Crystallography Laboratory; University of Nijmegen: Nijmegen, The Netherlands, 1992.
 - 21 CrystalStructure 3.8: Crystal Structure Analysis Package; Rigaku and Rigaku Americas: The Woodlands, Tx, 2000-2007.
 - 22 G. M. Sheldrick, *SHELXL-97: Program for the Refinement of Crystal Structure*, University of Göttingen, Germany, 1997.