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SUMMARY

PtdIns-4,5-bisphosphate is a lipid messenger of eukaryotic cells playing critical roles in processes such as cytoskeleton organization, intracellular vesicular trafficking, secretion, cell motility, regulation of ion channels and nuclear signalling pathways. The enzymes responsible for the synthesis of PtdIns(4,5)P$_2$ are phosphatidylinositol phosphate kinases (PIPKs). The moss *Physcomitrella patens* contains two PIPKs, PpPIPK1 and PpPIPK2. To study their physiological role, both genes were disrupted by targeted homologous recombination and as a result mutant plants with lower PtdIns(4,5)P$_2$ levels were obtained. A strong phenotype for *pipk1*, but not for *pipk2* single knockout lines, was obtained. The *pipk1* knockout lines were impaired in rhizoid and caulonemal cell elongation, whereas *pipk1*-2 double knockout lines showed dramatic defects in protonemal and gametophore morphology manifested by the absence of rapidly elongating caulonemal cells in the protonemal tissue, leafy gametophores with very short rhizoids, and loss of sporophyte production. *pipk1* complemented by overexpression of *PpPIPK1* fully restored the wild type phenotype whereas overexpression of the inactive PpPIPK1E885A did not. Overexpression of *PpPIPK2* in the *pipk1*-2 double knockout did not restore the wild type phenotype demonstrating that *PpPIPK1* and *PpPIPK2* are not functionally redundant. In vivo imaging of the cytoskeleton network revealed that the shortened caulonemal cells in the *pipk1* mutants was the result of the absence of the apicobasal gradient of cortical F-actin cables normally observed in wild type caulonemal cells. Our data indicate that both PpPIPKs play a crucial role in the development of the moss *P. patens*, and particularly in the regulation of tip growth.
INTRODUCTION

Phosphoinositides (PIs) are membrane phospholipids with important regulatory and signalling roles in eukaryotic cells. Phosphatidylinositol-4,5-bisphosphate [PtdIns(4,5)P$_2$] is the immediate precursor of the pivotal second messengers, diacylglycerol [DAG] and inositol-1,4,5-trisphosphate [Ins(1,4,5)P$_3$] (Berridge, 1983; Hinchliffe and Irvine, 1997). As a messenger molecule by itself, PtdIns(4,5)P$_2$ plays critical roles in cytoskeleton organization, intracellular vesicular trafficking, secretion, cell motility, regulation of ion channels and nuclear signalling pathways in various eukaryotic models (Heck et al., 2007). Despite of several recent reports from the plant field, knowledge on PtdIns(4,5)P$_2$ functions in plants is still limited (Thole and Nielsen, 2008; Heilmann, 2009). The synthesis of PtdIns(4,5)P$_2$ is catalyzed by PtdInsP kinases (PIPKs). The basic structure shared by animal, yeast and plant PIPKs consists of a highly conserved central kinase domain and a dimerization domain (Mueller-Roeber and Pical, 2002). In addition, most plant PIPKs contain a unique conserved domain at the N terminus, the MORN domain (Membrane Occupation and Recognition Nexus) characterized by repetitions of MORN motifs (Mueller-Roeber and Pical, 2002). For instance, the A. thaliana genome contains eleven genes encoding type I/II A and B PIPKs. Subfamily A consists of two members, AtPIPK10 and AtPIPK11, which lack the MORN domain at the N terminus and exhibit a domain structure similar to human type I PIPKs, whereas the other nine isoforms in subfamily B contain the N-terminal MORN domain (Mueller-Roeber and Pical, 2002).

In flowering plants, PtdIns(4,5)P$_2$ was shown to be localized at the tip of pollen tubes (Kost et al., 1999; Ischebeck et al., 2008; Sousa et al., 2008) and root hairs (Braun et
al., 1999; Vincent et al., 2005; Kusano et al., 2008; Stenzel et al., 2008; Ischebeck et al., 2010) leading to the suggestion that PtdIns(4,5)P₂ plays a role in the regulation of directional tip growth of plant cells. Tip growth involves signalling components from multiple pathways, such as Ca²⁺, protein kinases, cAMP, Ras-like small GTPases, phosphoinositides, targeted vesicle fusion, and specific cytoskeleton arrangements (Hepler et al., 2001; Cole and Fowler, 2006; Malhó, 2006). Until now, only a few studies have dealt with the physiological roles of plant PIPKs. Lack of PIPKs has been shown to affect tip growth and sugar signalling in A. thaliana roots, and floral initiation in rice (Kusano et al., 2008; Stenzel et al., 2008). In A. thaliana, it was shown that root hair development requires AtPIP5K3-dependent PtdIns(4,5)P₂ production in the apical region of root hair cells (Kusano et al., 2008; Stenzel et al., 2008). AtPIP4 and AtPIP5 are pollen-specific isoforms, and pollen of T-DNA insertion lines deficient in both enzymes exhibited reduced pollen germination and defects in pollen tube elongation (Ischebeck et al., 2008; Sousa et al., 2008) which were associated with a reduction in endocytosis and membrane recycling in the mutant pollen tubes. Moreover, excessive PtdIns(4,5)P₂ production by these lipid kinases disturbs the balance of membrane trafficking and apical pectin deposition (Ischebeck et al., 2008). Other pollen-specific isoforms, PIP5K10 and PIP5K11, are important for pollen tube polarity. However, the mechanisms of action of PtdIns(4,5)P₂ produced by these subfamily A PIPKs appears to differ from that reported previously (Ischebeck et al., 2008; Sousa et al., 2008) in that membrane trafficking and secretion are not affected, but rather the actin cytoskeleton (Ischebeck et al., 2010). Additionally, AtPIP5K9 was shown to interact with the cytosolic invertase CINV1 to negatively regulate sugar-mediated root cell elongation (Lou et al., 2007). In rice, OsPIP1K is involved in
shoot growth and floral initiation through the regulation of floral induction genes (Ma et al., 2004). The reports of PtdIns(4,5)P_2 effects in both monocot and dicot plants suggests that PtdIns(4,5)P_2 functions have been evolutionarily conserved.

The last common ancestor of the moss, Physcomitrella patens, and higher land plants dates back more than an estimated 400 million years (Rensing et al., 2008), providing a view on the evolutionary history of PIPKs. *P. patens* has two PIPK genes, *PpPIPK1* and *PpPIPK2*, which belong to the type I/II B subfamily (Ischebeck et al.). In vitro, the corresponding enzymes are able to synthesize PtdIns(4,5)P_2, *PpPIPK1* being the more active enzyme (Saavedra et al., 2009). *P. patens* has emerged as a powerful model system for studying plant functional genomics because of its high frequency of homologous recombination (Schaefer and Zryd, 1997). The *P. patens* life cycle is dominated by a photoautotrophic haploid gametophytic generation that supports a relatively simple and mainly heterotrophic diploid sporophyte generation. The haploid gametophyte is characterized by two distinct developmental stages, the protonema and the gametophore or leafy shoot. The protonema consists of a filamentous network of chloronemal and caulonemal cells, which develop by apical growth and cell division of apical and subapical cells. The gametophore differentiates by caulinary growth from a simple apical meristem, the bud. The gametophore is made up of a photosynthetic non-vascularized stem, which carries the leaves, the reproductive organs and the filamentous rhizoids that arise from the base of the stem (Schaefer and Zryd, 2001).

This study addresses the physiological role of PIPKs in *P. patens* through the targeted disruption of *PpPIPK1* and *PpPIPK2* by homologous recombination. We show that double knockout pipk1-2 lines show a dramatic phenotype with defects in protonemal
and gametophore morphology manifested as lack of the rapidly elongating caulonemal cell type in the protonemal tissue, leafy gametophores with very short rhizoids, and loss of sporophyte production. Use of an inducible GFP-talin to detect the actin cytoskeleton in living tissue (Finka et al., 2007) revealed that the reduced elongation of the caulonemal cells observed in the pipk1 knockout line was correlated with the absence of the apico-basal gradient distribution of the F-actin meshwork characteristic of wild type caulonemal cells. Taken together, our results indicate that the moss PIPKs are involved in tip growth by affecting the F-actin cytoskeleton network.

RESULTS

PpPIPK1 and PpPIPK2 affect rhizoid and caulonemal cell elongation

The two moss PIPKs, PpPIPK1 and PpPIPK2, exhibit high sequence similarity at the gene and protein levels, however, the recombinant enzymes differed with respect to specific activities and substrate specificity (Saavedra et al., 2009). To further dissect the role of these two enzymes in P. patens, we generated pipk null mutants by homologous recombination using targeted gene disruption (Suppl. Figure 1A and Table I). For the generation of pipk1-2 double knockouts, pipk1 and pipk2 single knockout lines were transformed with a PIPK2 or a PIPK1 deletion construct, respectively. After antibiotic selection, targeted disruption events were detected by simultaneous PCR amplifications using gene-specific primers external to the targeting construct in combination with outward-oriented primers specific to the selectable marker cassette (Suppl. Figure 1A and Table I). For the pipk1 knockout lines, a PCR product of 2468 bp and 1445 bp for the left
and right borders, respectively should be amplified, whereas for the pipk2 knockout lines, PCR fragments of 2379 bp and 1379 bp should be amplified for left and right borders, respectively (Suppl. Figure 1B). PCR products of the expected sizes were obtained. Positive transformants analyzed by PCR were selected and the transcript pattern was analyzed by RT-PCR with specific primers (Table I). In the wild type, transcripts of 918 bp and 919 bp for PIPK1 and PIPK2, respectively, were amplified; whereas the mutants completely lacked the respective transcripts (Suppl. Figure 1C). Two lines for each of the pipk1 (#5 and #8), pipk2 (#2 and #27) and pipk1-2 (#38 and #6) knockouts giving stable phenotypes were isolated from independent transformations and used for detailed analyses.

The phenotypes of the wild type and the pipk knockout lines during different developmental stages of P. patens life cycle are shown in Figure 1 (A-E). Colony morphology of pipk1 knockout lines showed a dramatic reduction of protonema and rhizoid growth compared to wild type (Figure 1 A-B). The pipk1 knockout leafy gametophores developed earlier than in the wild type (data not shown). As seen in Figure 1C pipk1 knockout protonemata appeared more green than the wild type and showed a reduction in individual cell length. The pipk1 knockout lines were able to complete the life cycle and produce normal sporophytes (Figure 1 D-E). The phenotype of the single pipk2 mutant did not differ significantly from the wild type (Figure 1 A-E). Importantly, pipk1-2 double knockout lines showed a more pronounced phenotype compared to the single pipk1 knockout lines. Protonemal filaments exhibited a compact structure, and were composed of shorter cells. The double knockout showed a distinct delay in the gametophore development, as well as very short rhizoids. Importantly, the double knockout did not produce sporophytes (Figure 1 A-E).
To further characterize the transformant phenotype some morphometric parameters (Vidali et al., 2007) were evaluated (Figure 1F). Colony total area was similar for the wild type and for pipk2 knockout lines whereas a reduction in size of 84 and 89% was observed for pipk1 and pipk1-2 knockout lines, respectively. Solidity is defined as area/convex hull area, and plants with values approaching one are more compact. Solidity values were close to 1 in pipk1 and pipk1-2 knockout lines, which agrees with the observed reduction in protonemal filament extension whereas pipk2 knockout and wild type exhibited average values of 0.2 (Figure 1F).

The levels of regulatory phospholipids are altered in the pipk mutants

In order to test the effects of the knockouts on the endogenous phospholipid levels; PtdIns(4,5)P_2, PtdIns (phosphatidylinositol) and PtdCho (phosphatidylcholine) were quantified in the wild type and pipk knockout lines using moss protonema under normal growth conditions (Figure 2). While the levels of the structural phospholipid, PtdCho, showed no significant difference between the wild type and the pipk knockout lines, a reduction of PtdIns(4,5)P_2 content was obvious in both the single pipk knockouts and in the pipk1-2 double knockout lines when compared to the wild type. Interestingly, the levels of PtdIns-monophosphates, representing the sum of PtdIns3P and PtdIns4P, were not significantly changed (data not shown). PtdIns levels were slightly increased in the knockout lines over the wild type controls. The data indicate that the lack of the respective PIPK gene in the transformants can be attributed to differences in PtdIns(4,5)P_2 content, because other phospholipids were not significantly affected in the knockout lines. Importantly, the data show that the levels of PtdIns(4,5)P_2 were reduced in the pipk2 single
knockout, even though no phenotypic effect was observed for this line. The data further suggest that PIPK2 and its lipid product have little effect on protonemal growth of *P. patens*.

Whereas wild type and *pipk2* knockout lines have similar chlorophyll content, *pipk1* and *pipk1-2* knockout lines have double the amount of chlorophyll a + b, thus explaining their greener phenotype (Figure 3A). To distinguish between chloronemal and caulonemal cells we used Calcofluor staining, which binds to and visualizes the cell wall. Chloronemal cells contain cell walls that are perpendicular to the filament axis while caulonemal cell walls are oblique to the filament axis. When grown in minimal media, *pipk1* and *pipk2* knockout lines exhibited chloronemal cell lengths with average values similar to wild type, 100 μm (Figure 3B). Average caulonemal cell length in wild type and *pipk2* knockout was 200 μm, whereas the corresponding cells in *pipk1* knockout were only half this length (Figure 3B). It was not possible to identify typical caulonemal cells in the *pipk1-2* double knockout lines; in these mutants the protonema consists of short cells with an average length of 70 μm (Figure 3B).

It is commonly accepted that only caulonemal cells, which constitute the adventitious part of the protonema, are responsible of producing buds (Schumaker and Dietrich, 1997). To verify the observed lack of caulonemal cells in the *pipk1-2* double knockout lines we utilized the ability of caulonemal, but not chloronemal cells, to grow in the dark (Cove *et al.*, 1978). Figure 3C shows moss lines grown in the dark for 20 days. Plates were grown upright to aid visualization because in the absence of light *P. patens* filaments will orient their growth with respect to gravity (Jenkins and Cove, 1983). Wild
type and \textit{pipk2} knockout lines showed substantial growth in the dark, while a strong reduction in caulonemal cell growth was observed for \textit{pipk1} knockout lines (Figure 3C). No detectable growth was observed in \textit{pipk1-2} double knockout lines (Figure 3C), confirming the absence of the typical caulonemal cell type.

In addition to defects in caulonema development, rhizoid development was also altered in the mutant lines. It is important to note that rhizoids are multicellular filamentous structures, which resemble caulonemal filaments. They differentiate from the bottom part of the bud and function in the attachment of leafy shoots to the substratum and in the uptake of nutrients. Rhizoids that developed from the \textit{pipk1} and \textit{pipk1-2} knockout gametophores showed strong defects in cell elongation with average length values of 0.38 cm for wild type and \textit{pipk2}, and 0.060 cm and 0.037 cm for \textit{pipk1} and \textit{pipk1-2} knockout lines, respectively (Figure 4A-B).

Thus, the impaired growth phenotype exhibited by \textit{pipk1} and \textit{pipk1-2} knockout mutants could be explained by defects in the development of caulonemal cells as well as in rhizoid development. Interestingly, whereas in wild type bud differentiation occurs in caulonema cells, in the \textit{pipk1-2} double knockout mutants buds differentiated from chloronema cells. Additionally, \textit{pipk1-2} double knockout lines were not able to produce sporophytes.

\textit{P. patens} wild type treated with cytochalasin B mimics the \textit{pipk1} knockout phenotype

\textit{PtdIns(4,5)}P\textsubscript{2} is involved in the regulation of the cytoskeleton organization. To determine if the disruption of the \textit{PpPIPK} genes affects the actin cytoskeleton, we looked at the effect of cytochalasin B (Cyt B), a specific and efficient F-actin drug, that inhibits rapid
cell elongation in plants (Thimann et al., 1992). Cyt B binds to the barbed ends of actin filaments (AFs), which then do not grow further and consequently depolymerise due to the inherent loss of monomers at their pointed ends (Cooper, 1987). When wild type protonema was grown in minimal media with the addition of 10 µM Cyt B, filament growth by cell extension was dramatically affected and the phenotype observed closely resembled the pipk1 knockout phenotype without drug treatment (Figure 5A). Cyt B-treated pipk2 knockout lines exhibited the same phenotype as wild type controls, whereas the addition of Cyt B to pipk1 knockout lines further strengthened the inhibition of the protonemal cell elongation. However, no effect was observed in Cyt B-treated pipk1-2 double knockout lines. DMSO treatment of all pipk knockout lines or wild type controls did not significantly affect protonemal growth compared to growth in minimal media (Figure 5A).

Latrunculin B (Lat B) is another F-actin destabilizing drug, which causes a shift from F-actin to G-actin. Since the process of protoplast regeneration mimics spore germination and it is possible to follow the establishment of cell polarity, the effect of Cyt B and Lat B was tested on wild type and pipk protoplasts. Two-day-old protoplasts of wild type and mutants were transferred to a media containing either 10 µM Cyt B or 5 µM Lat B and analyzed 3 days after drug treatment (Figure 5B). For wild type and pipk2 knockout lines normal growth and establishment of polarity was observed under control conditions, whereas a pronounced reduction in cell elongation was observed upon treatments with Cyt B or Lat B. Wild type lines growing in the presence of Cyt B showed the same phenotype as pipk1 knockout lines growing in control (DMSO) media. However, the pipk1 knockout lines were affected by Cyt B and Lat B, displaying an even stronger phenotype under these conditions.
conditions. A general observation was that the treatment with Lat B affected growth more dramatically than Cyt B. Interestingly, the pipk1-2 double mutants showed a strong defect in the establishment of polar growth after 5 days; cells were more circular and no significant difference between DMSO and Cyt B or Lat B treatments was observed.

**PpPIP1 but not PpPIPK1E885A restored the wild type phenotype**

To test the specificity of the pipk1 knockout phenotype, pipk1 knockout protoplasts were transformed with a PpPIP1 overexpression construct driven by the maize ubiquitin promoter (Anterola et al., 2009), a hygromycin resistance cassette and a fragment of the 108 genomic loci as targeting sequence. PpPIP1 overexpression complemented the pipk1 knockout phenotype (Figure 6 A). The pipk1 knockout plus PpPIP1 phenotype resulted in normal rhizoid elongation and protonemal growth with, chloronemal and caulonemal cell types, as well as restoration of caulonema cell elongation. These results demonstrate that the mutant phenotype observed in pipk1 knockout lines was truly a result of the PIP1 disruption. In previous experiments (Saavedra et al., 2009) we have shown that PpPIP1 activity is almost completely abolished towards PtdIns4P by altering the glutamic acid residue at position 885 to alanine. When pipk1 knockout protoplasts were transformed with PpPIPK1E885A, the resulting line did not show the wild type phenotype (Figure 6 A). Values for rhizoid and caulonemal cell lengths in this line were higher than in the pipk1 mutant but not as high as in wild type controls (Figure 6 C-D). The data confirm that the glutamic acid at position 885 is essential for the activity of PpPIP1 in vivo.
Overexpression of *PpPIPK2* in the *pipk1-2* double knockout did not restore the wild type phenotype

Since the *pipk2* single mutant did not differ significantly from the wild type phenotype, we used the *pipk1-2* double mutant to test the addition of *PpPIPK2*. Interestingly, the *pipk1-2* plus *PpPIPK2* mutant showed a phenotype similar to the single *pipk1* knockout mutant, with caulonemal cell and rhizoid development restored to *pipk1* single knockout levels (Figure 6 A-D).

**The actin organization in *pipk1* knockout caulonemal cells is affected**

Because the phenotype resulting from treatment of *P. patens* wild type controls with Cyt B resembled that of the untreated *pipk1* mutant, we investigated the actin cytoskeleton in the knockout and wild type lines. For the in vivo visualization of F-actin, the *P. patens* line *hgt-1* was used, which expresses GFP-talin under a soybean heat-inducible promoter (Finka *et al.*, 2007). *pipk1* and *pipk2* single knockout lines were generated in the *P. patens hgt-1* background (Supplemental Figure 2) and the actin cytoskeleton was observed using confocal laser scanning microscopy (CLSM). For these studies, protonemal tissues of *hgt-1* controls, *pipk1* knockout (*hgt-1*) and *pipk2* knockout (*hgt-1*) were grown in minimal media and heat shocked for 1 h at 37 °C. GFP-talin was observed 12 h after induction. As shown in Supplemental Figure 3 apical chloronemal cells of *hgt-1*, the *pipk1* knockout (*hgt-1*) and the *pipk2* knockout (*hgt-1*) did not show significant differences in the F-actin distribution and accumulation in chloronemal cells. The patterns observed resembled those previously described for the *hgt-1* strain (Finka *et al.*, 2007) where F-actin accumulates at the tip and is connected to a cortical F-actin network along the cell. Wild type controls and single
knockout lines also showed a similar pattern characterized by caps of F-actin at new side branches (data not shown). In wild type hgt-1 apical caulonemal cells, accumulation of the F-actin cap array located either at the end of the tip or at its apical side. However, as previously reported, caulonemal cells display an apicobasal gradient of the cortical actin meshwork; a dense F-actin network close to the tip which becomes more diffuse towards the end of the cell (Figure 7A). The apicobasal gradient was observed in apical and subapical caulonemal cells of hgt-1 controls (Figure 7 A, D) and in the pipk2 knockout (hgt-1) line (Figure 7 C, F). Importantly, the pattern of the apicobasal gradient of cortical actin was altered in the pipk1 knockout (hgt-1) (Figure 7 B, E). Even though apical caulonemal cells of the pipk1 knockout (hgt-1) still exhibited the apical F-actin cap, the apicobasal gradient of the cortical F-actin cables was distorted, and F-actin was evenly distributed along the whole cell (Figure 7 B). The same unorganized pattern was observed in pipk1 knockout (hgt-1) subapical caulonemal cells (Figure 7 E). The data indicate that the gradient-driven organization of F-actin in caulonema cells is severely distorted in the pipk1 (hgt-1) knockout mutant.

DISCUSSION

Previous studies focusing on diverse isoenzymes of PIPKs have demonstrated important roles of PtdIns(4,5)P₂ in polar tip growth in higher plants (Braun et al., 1999; Kost et al., 1999; Ischebeck et al., 2008; Kusano et al., 2008; Sousa et al., 2008; Stenzel et al., 2008; Ischebeck et al., 2010). The fact that P. patens has only two PIPK genes and that filamentous tissues of moss chloronemal and caulonemal cells expand by tip growth
(Menand et al., 2007), made this organism an interesting model to reveal whether the loss of function of PIPKs affected tip growth also in lower plants.

Our results show that the disruption of the two PIPKs, PpPIPK1 and PpPIPK2, severely affects caulonemal growth. However, no phenotypic difference was observed between the pipk2 single knockout lines and the wild type phenotype while pipk1 single knockout lines showed a distinct phenotype. This result was somewhat surprising since the PtdIns(4,5)P2 levels in both single mutants were half of those found in wild type. The addition of PpPIPK2 to the pipk1-2 double knockout did not restore the wild type phenotype meaning that PIPK1 and PIPK2 are not functionally redundant. The phenotypes of the single and double mutants suggested that PIPK1 was predominantly more important for the maintenance of protonemal growth with only little contribution by PIPK2. While the effect on growth by eliminating PIPK2 appears small and PIPK2 might not normally function in the context of protonemal growth, it is obvious from the results on the double knockout that PIPK2 also has some capability to impact protonemal growth, at least in the metabolic situation present in the pipk1-2 double knockout. The double knockout analysis implicates that the PtdIns(4,5)P2 synthesized by PIPK2 is sufficient for maintaining developmental processes lost in the double knockout. The differences in phenotypes associated with the pipk1 and pipk2 single mutants, respectively, is consistent with the previous in vitro characterization of recombinant PpPIPK1 and PpPIPK2 which demonstrated substantial differences in specific activity and substrate preference between the two recombinant enzymes (Saavedra et al., 2009). PIPK1 preferred substrates are PtdIns4P and PtdIns3P to produce PtdIns(4,5)P2 and PtdIns(3,4)P2, respectively, whereas PIPK2
preferred PtdIns as substrate for the synthesis of PtdIns3P and is much less active towards PtdIns4P and PtdIns3P.

Different scenarios could explain the fact that only pipk1 single knockout plants, but not pipk2 single knockouts, showed a distinct phenotype (Figures 1, 3 and 4). First, the PtdIns(4,5)P$_2$ molecules produced by these two enzymes have different functions. Whether this can be explained in terms of that the two plasma membrane enzymes are activated by and interact with different cellular components, are differentially expressed and located in different tissues, cells or cell parts, or a combination remains to be established. We have earlier shown that both PIPKs are expressed in protonema, gametophores at similar levels. However, PIPK1 is much more abundant than PIPK2 in protoplasts, and additionally, the expression of PIPK1 is strongly induced by osmostress (Saavedra et al., 2009). Second, PIPK2 could be responsible for producing some of the PtdInsP substrate required by PIPK1, which would explain that the pipk1-2 double knockout has the most severe phenotype due to the reduction in both PtdInsP and PtdInsP$_2$, and that the addition of PIPK2 to the double knockout restore a phenotype similar to the pipk1 single knockout.

The strong reduction in cell elongation observed in the moss pipk mutants, resembles phenotypes observed in root hairs of the *A. thaliana* pip5k3 knockout (Kusano et al., 2008; Stenzel et al., 2008), pollen tubes of the pipk4 pipk5 double knockout (Sousa et al., 2008; Ischebeck et al., 2008) or those of pip5k10 pip5k11 double knockouts (Ischebeck et al., 2010). Loss of function of these genes led to phenotypes with impaired cell elongation in cell types exhibiting tip growth. The corresponding *A. thaliana* PIPKs and their product PtdIns(4,5)P$_2$ were both detected at the growing apex of pollen tubes (Kost et
al., 1999; Dowd et al., 2006; Ischebeck et al., 2008; Sousa et al., 2008) and root hairs (Braun et al., 1999; Kusano et al., 2008; Stenzel et al., 2008). The phenotype observed in the P. patens pipk1 and pipk1-2 mutants was correlated with decreased amounts of PtdIns(4,5)P2. It has been shown that both PpPIPKs are localized to the plasma membrane when overexpressed transiently as GFP fusions in moss protoplasts (Saavedra et al., 2009; Mikami et al., 2010) but it still remains to be confirmed that these enzymes are localized to the tip of the moss filaments.

Data presented in this study support the notion that PtdIns(4,5)P2 is an essential component of the machinery controlling polar tip growth. The P. patens pipk1 and pipk1-2 knockout phenotypes are also closely related to other P. patens mutants of several members of the Arp2/3 complex (a regulator of actin filament dynamics in a wide array of eukaryotic cells), which were also affected in tip growth. The arpc1 mutant lack the caulonemal cell type, colonies lack leafy gametophores and are defective in their ability to properly establish a polarized outgrowth during regeneration from a single cell (Harries et al., 2005). In addition, the arpc4 knockout caulonemal cells and rhizoids fail to elongate (Perroud and Quatrano, 2006), whereas the arp3a knockout lacks the caulonemal cell type, and the mutation was associated with the absence of the F-actin star like cap arrays at the growing tip of the cells (Finka et al., 2008). Our observations are also consistent with the recent report on effects of PIPK isoforms PIP5K10 and PIP5K11 on the actin-cytoskeleton of pollen tubes in Arabidopsis and N. tabacum (Ischebeck et al., 2010).

When PpPIPK1 was added back to the pipk1 mutant, the wild type phenotype was restored. Interestingly, the addition of PpPIPK1E885A to the pipk1 knockout background did not completely complement the mutation. We previously showed that PpPIPK1E885A
lipid kinase activity in vitro was almost completely abolished towards PtdIns4P and PtdIns3P; but resulted in some activity with PtdIns5P (Saavedra et al., 2009). Our present results with PpPIPK1E885A verify that the glutamic acid residue in the activation loop is essential for PpPIPK1 kinase activity also in vivo. Interestingly, an AtPIP5K3 mutant lacking the MORN domain, and with an in vitro kinase activity similar to the full length enzyme, could not rescue root hair growth when introduced to pip5k3 Arabidopsis mutant plants, showing that although the mutated enzyme was active in vitro it did not seem to be functional in vivo (Stenzel et al., 2008). Our data indicate that the *P. patens pipk1*-2 double mutant phenotype was caused by the reduced capability to form PtdIns(4,5)P$_2$ and not another PtdIns-bisphosphate isomer. Based on the mutant phenotypes it can also be concluded that the so far uncharacterized genes in the *P. patens* genome that encode putative PtdIns3P 5-kinases are not contributing to the formation of a pool of PtdIns-bisphosphate with roles in polar tip growth.

F-actin has previously been shown to be essential for plant cell elongation (Baluska et al., 2001). PtdIns(4,5)P$_2$ is known to be an important regulator of several F-actin-binding proteins (Lemmon et al., 2002; Yin and Janmey, 2003). Among these, profilin and the actin depolymerising factor (ADF) are critical for the dynamics and assembly state of F-actin in plant cells, playing a critical role in *A. thaliana* and *P. patens* cell elongation (Ramachandran et al., 2000; Dong et al., 2001; McKinney et al., 2001; Vidali et al., 2007; Augustine et al., 2008). However, it is still unknown whether interactions between F-actin and profilin or ADF are also conserved in mosses, and if so, how PtdIns(4,5)P$_2$ regulates the activity of these actin binding proteins. Cytochalasins abolish tip-growth in *P. patens* (Doonan et al., 1988) and it was reported that treatment of
the *hgt-1* moss line protonemal cells with Cyt B and Lat B eliminates the apical F-actin arrays and disorganizes actin network, which in turn affects cell growth (Finka *et al*., 2007). Using the two complementary F-actin drugs, Cyt B and Lat B, we showed that depletion of actin filaments (AFs) inhibits the onset of rapid cell elongation in the protonemata filaments of wild type plants, which resembles the protonema phenotype observed in *pipk1* knockout plants (Figure 5). This result points to the absence of *pipk* genes as having a close relation with the integrity of the AFs for tip growth. The notion that the actin fine structure of polar growing cells is controlled by PtdIns(4,5)P$_2$ is consistent with recent findings from Arabidopsis and tobacco (Ischebeck *et al*., 2010). However, there are some particular observations, which might be specific for the *P. patens* system. For instance, the *pipk1* mutant in the *hgt-1* background had an apical F-actin array, but the apicobasal gradient of the cortical F-actin cables normally observed in caulonemal cells was absent. This observation suggests that the gradient of the F-actin meshwork in the caulonemal cells is necessary for proper cell elongation. More studies should be done to elucidate if PtdIns(4,5)P$_2$ directly regulates this process and/or if the PpPIPK proteins interact with other actin binding proteins that in turn are responsible for establishing the gradient of F-actin necessary for polar tip growth.

**Experimental procedures**

*Plant material, culture conditions and treatments*

*P. patens* subsp. *patens* was used for all experiments described in this study. Plant cultures were grown axenically at 24°C either under continuous light or under a long-day light cycle.
(16 h of light and 8 h of darkness) with a photon flux of 60 μmol m⁻² s⁻¹. *P. patens* protonemal tissue was routinely subcultured at 7-day intervals on cellophane disks (AA packaging, Preston, UK) overlaying Petri dishes (90 mm in diameter) containing minimal medium supplemented with 5 mM (di)ammonium tartrate, 0.8% (w/v) agar (Ashton and Cove, 1977). Phenotypic analyses were completed on minimal media without addition of (di)ammonium tartrate.

Cell measurements were performed on three first subapical cells of 6-day-old protonema stained with 10 μg/mL fluorescent brightener 28 (Sigma-Aldrich). The measurements of cell length as well as area were made by ImageJ software (http://rsb.info.nih.gov/ij/).

For the dark growth experiments, 7-day-old moss protonemata were grown for one week under continuous light on solid minimal medium supplemented with ammonium-tartrate and glucose and then grown in darkness in vertically positioned Petri dishes for 3 weeks.

For gametophore induction fresh protonemata was grown in Jiffy7 pots covered by water below 1 cm, at 24°C under continuous light. After six weeks of growth, cultures were transferred to 15 °C under short day conditions (8 h light/day) for sporophyte induction.

For the determination of chlorophyll content, 20-day-old colonies grown on cellophane discs placed on minimal media were ground up in a mortle containing 1.8 ml of 80% v/v acetone, and then the homogenized plant material was filtered to remove cell debris. Total chlorophyll was calculated as chlorophyll a + chlorophyll b (mg g⁻¹ fresh weight) using the formula: Chl a mg g⁻¹ = [(12.7 x Abs₆₆₃) – (2.6 x Abs₆₄₅)] x mL acetone mg⁻¹ fresh tissue; Chl b mg g⁻¹ = [(22.9 x Abs₆₄₅) – (4.68 x Abs₆₆₃)] x mL acetone mg⁻¹ fresh tissue.

Isolation of protoplasts, polyethylene glycol–mediated transformation, regeneration and antibiotic selection were performed as described previously (Schaefer & Zryd, 1997). G418
(Sigma-Aldrich), Zeocin (Invitrogen) and Hygromycin (Invitrogen) were added at 50 mg/L, 25 mg/L and 25 mg/L to the media, respectively to select for antibiotic-resistant cells.

**RT-PCR**

Total RNA from 6- to 7-day-old moss protonemal tissue was isolated using the RNeasy Plant Mini Kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany). One microgram of total RNA was treated with one unit of DNase I (Promega) and then used as template for reverse transcription (ThermoscriptRT from Invitrogen) and primed with an oligo(dT) primer. A 1/50 volume of the cDNA was subsequently used as template for PCR. Primers used for amplification are listed in Table 1.

**Generation of targeting constructs**

Genomic *PpPIPK1* and *PpPIPK2* were amplified from genomic DNA with primers designed to the 5’ and 3’ ends of the cDNA sequence (Table 1). As target sequences for homologous recombination for gene disruption, two adjacent DNA fragments encompassing, respectively, the 5’ and 3’ regions of the *PpPIPK1* and *PpPIPK2* genes were cloned into the pUBW302 or p35S-Zeo vectors respectively, after their amplification from genomic DNA by PCR using the primer pairs (see table 1). The *PIP1* knockout construction contained the nptII gene driven by the CaMV 35S promoter and the 3’ UTR of the ocs gene, flanked by 1609 and 1045 bp of the 5’ and 3’ ends of the PpPIP1 gene, respectively. For *PIPK2*, the knockout construct contained the zeo gene driven by the CaMV 35S promoter and the 3’ UTR of the ocs gene, flanked by 1401 bp and 893 bp of the 5’ and 3’ ends of the PpPIP2 gene, respectively. As target sequence for homologous
recombination for the complementation analysis \textit{PpPIP1}, \textit{PpPIP1A885E} or \textit{PpPIP2}
coding sequences were amplified with specific primers (see table 1) and cloned into a pENTR/D-TOPO vector (Gateway system, Invitrogen, Sweden). The coding sequences were then cloned into the destination vector uj3 pTUbiGate (Anterola \textit{et al.}, 2009).

\textit{Transformation of P. patens}
Polyethylene glycol–mediated protoplast transformation was performed according to. In short, 6-day-old protonema were treated with 0.5\% Driselase in 8.5\% w/v mannitol for 30 min, passed through a 100-mm sieve, incubated for 15 min at room temperature and passed through a 50-mm sieve. The protoplasts of the final flow-through, were washed twice in 8.5\% mannitol, were ready for further use. Protoplasts were transformed at a concentration of 1.6x10^6 protoplasts/mL. Each transformation consisted of 0.3 mL of protoplast suspension and 20 \(\mu\)g of linear DNA. To eliminate any episomal resistant colonies (Ashton \textit{et al.}, 2000), two rounds of selection were undertaken using the appropriate antibiotic. Transformations with complementation vectors were performed with plasmid-based vectors linearized with the appropriate restriction enzyme.

\textit{Cytochalasin B and Latrunculin B treatment}
Protoplasts were prepared as described in (Schaefer and Zryd, 1997) and embedded in top-agar on cellophane disks placed on regenerating media for two days. The third day, protoplasts were transferred to the appropriate media containing either DMSO, Lat B or Cyt B. Lat B and Cyt B were prepared by diluting them to a 2 mM stock solution in DMSO. Concentrations used for physiological studies were 10 \(\mu\)M for Cyt B and 5 \(\mu\)M for Lat B.
**Live Cell Microscopy and Image Analysis**

For confocal microscopy, carefully excised pieces of cellophane containing undamaged five-day-old moss tissue were transferred into tubes containing 600 ml of minimal media and heat shocked twice, for 1 hour at 37 °C, with a 4 hour interval. The tissue was then placed in plates in standard growth conditions and GFP-talin was observed 12 hours after induction. Confocal microscopy was performed on a Zeiss LSM510 Meta. GFP signal was observed using an argon ion laser excitation line at 488 nm and the red (chloroplast) signal was observed using a 633 nm HeNe laser excitation line. Images were then processed using the software AxioVision Release 4.8.1 and Adobe Photoshop CS3.

**Lipid analysis**

Phospholipids were extracted from 250 µg of material ground in liquid nitrogen and analyzed exactly as previously described (Konig *et al.*, 2008).
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**Short legends for Supporting Information**

**Supplemental figure 1.** Scheme of the disruption of the *PpPIPK1* and *PpPIPK2* loci.

**Supplemental figure 2.** Disruption of the *PpPIPK1* and *PpPIPK2* in the HGT-1 line.

**Supplemental figure 3.** Effects of *pipk1* and *pipk2* mutations on the actin cytoskeleton in chloronemal cells.

**Tables**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1. Primer sequences used in this study</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>PpPIPK1 genomic sequence</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5’-AAGGCATCTGTATTTGACGGAC-3’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5’-AATACACTCCACGACACTAAGAGAGACTC-3’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>PpPIPK2 genomic sequence</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5’-TTCTGGAGACCTCTTTTGTCCGTAGTG-3’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5’-TCGATATTCTCTCCACATTCTCTGACC-3’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>PpPIPK1KO 5’g fragment</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5’-CTAGGGTGCAACAACGTCTAGGTGTTG-3’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5’-AGGGGTGCTCGACCCCAAGGC-3’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>PpPIPK1KO 3’g fragment</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5’-TGCGCTTTTTACAGCTAAGTG-3’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fragment Type</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PpPIPK2KO 5’g fragment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PpPIPK2KO 3’g fragment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PpPIPK2 KO RT-PCR fragment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PpPIPK1 RT-PCR fragment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PpPIPK2 RT-PCR fragment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PpPIPK1 CDs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PpPIPK2 CDs</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
FIGURE LEGENDS

Figure 1. Comparison of the phenotype of *P. patens* wild type, and of the single and double *pipk* knockout mutants at different developmental stages.

(A) Three-week-old colonies growing in 100X diluted minimal media, bar = 0.5 cm. (B) Three-week-old colonies growing in minimal media, bar = 0.5 cm. (C) Six-day-old protonema filaments growing on minimal media, bar = 200 μm. (D) Five-week-old leafy shoots, bar = 2.5 mm. (E) Sporophyte induction, bar = 1 mm. (F) Morphometric parameters of wild type and transformants. Total area values in cm$^2$ and solidity defined as area/convex hull area (plants with values approaching one are more compact) represented in the graphs.

Figure 2. The levels of PtdIns(4,5)$P_2$ are reduced in *P. patens pipk* mutants.
Phospholipids were extracted and separated by thin-layer-chromatography. The levels of PtdIns(4,5)$P_2$, PtdCho (phosphatidylcholine), PtdIns (phosphatidylinositol) from protonema tissue of wild type controls and the *pipk* lines grown under normal growth conditions were determined by quantifying the amount of associated fatty acids. Data represent the means ± SE of four independent experiments. Bgr, background signal without sample.

Figure 3. The *P. patens pipk* mutants are impaired in caulonemal cell development.

(A) Chlorophyll a+b content in wild type and *pipk* transformants. (B) Values of average cell length in micrometers measured on subapical cells of wild type and transformant
protonemal cells growing in minimal media. (C) Wild type and *pipk* transformants were grown vertically in the dark for 20 days, bar = 0.5 cm.

**Figure 4. The *P. patens pipk* mutants are impaired in rhizoid elongation.**

(A) Twenty- day-old gametophores of wild type and *pipk* transformants, bar = 0.5 cm. (B) Values of average rhizoid lengths in centimetres.

**Figure 5. Effects of the F-actin drugs Cyt B and Lat B on *P. patens* wild type and *pipk* mutants.**

A) Protonemal tissue was treated for one week with 10 µM Cyt B or DMSO as control. Bar = 500 µm.

B) Five-day-old protoplasts from wild type and *pipk* mutants growing in media containing DMSO, 10 µM Cyt B or 5 µM of Lat B. Protoplasts were isolated and after two days of growth under normal conditions they were transferred to the indicated media. Pictures were taken after 5 or 7 days, respectively. Bar = 200 µm.

**Figure 6. Comparison of the phenotype of *P. patens* wild type, *pipk1, pipk1+ PpPIPK1, pipk1-2 and pipk1-2+ PpPIPK2 knock out mutants* at different developmental stages.**

A) a) Three-week-old colonies growing in 100X diluted minimal media, bar = 0.5 cm. b) Three-week-old colonies growing in minimal media, bar = 0.5 cm. c) Six-day-old protonema filaments growing on minimal media, bar = 200 µm.
B) RT-PCR analysis of transformant and wild type using specific primers RT1f and RT1r for PIPK1 or RT2f and RT2r for PIPK2. As an internal control, a 400 bp fragment of Physcomitrella 18S cDNA was used.

C) Values of average rhizoid lengths in centimetres of twenty-day-old gametophores of wild type and pipk transformants.

D) Values of average cell length in micrometers measured on subapical cells of wild type and transformants protonemal cells growing in minimal media.

Figure 7. Effects of pipk1 and pipk2 mutations on the actin cytoskeleton CLSM images of six-day-old protonema of hgt-1 (A, D), pipk1 (hgt-1) (B, E) and pipk2 (hgt-1) (C, F) strains overproducing GFP-talin. A, B, C are apical caulonemal cells and D, E, F are subapical caulonemal cells. Asterisks indicate the caulonemal cell wall. Arrows in A, B and C, indicate the F-actin cap array located either at the end of the tip or at its apical side. The bar with arrow seen in panel A indicates the apicobasal gradient of the cortical actin meshwork observed in caulonemal cells. A-F bar = 20 μm.

Supplemental Figure 1. Scheme of the disruption of the PpPIPK1 and PpPIPK2 loci.

(A) Schematic representation of the genomic locus of PpPIPK1 and PpPIPK2, and the derived constructs used in this study for the disruption of the PpPIPK locus by homologous recombination. White and grey boxes correspond to exons and introns, respectively. The locations of the primers used (in B and C) are shown by arrowheads. (B) PCR genotyping analysis of the transformants and the wild type. Gene targeting events were detected by
simultaneous PCR amplifications utilizing gene-specific primers external to the targeting construct (PL1 and PR1 or PL2 and PR2 for \textit{PIPK1} and \textit{PIPK2}, respectively) in combination with outward-pointing primers specific to the selectable marker cassette (P35S and PnptII for \textit{PIPK1} and PcamVR and PnptII3 for \textit{PIPK2}). Left border, LB; right border, RB. (C) RT-PCR analysis of transformants and wild type using specific primers RT1f and RT1r for \textit{PIPK1} or RT2f and RT2r for \textit{PIPK2}. As an internal control, a 400 bp fragment of \textit{Physcomitrella} 18S cDNA was used.

\textbf{Supplemental figure 2. Disruption of the \textit{PpPIPK1} and \textit{PpPIPK2} in the HGT-1 line.}

A) (a) Three-week-old colonies growing in minimal media, bar = 0.5 cm, (b) Three-week-old colonies growing in 100X diluted minimal media, bar = 0.5 cm, (c) Six-day-old protonema filaments growing on minimal media, bar = 200 \( \mu \)m. B) RT-PCR analysis of \textit{pipk} transformants and the HGT-1 line using specific primers as described in Figure 1. As an internal control, a 400 bp fragment of \textit{Physcomitrella} 18S cDNA was used. C) PCR genotyping analysis of \textit{pipk} transformants and the HGT-1 line using specific primers as described in Figure 1. Gene targeting events were detected by simultaneous PCR amplifications utilizing gene-specific primers external to the targeting construct in combination with outward-pointing primers specific to the selectable marker cassette. Left border, LB; right border, RB. D) Values of average cell length in micrometers measured on subapical cells of HGT-1 line and \textit{pipk} transformant protonemal cells growing in minimal media. E) Values of average rhizoid lengths in centimetres of twenty-day-old gametophores of HGT-1 and \textit{pipk} transformants.
Supplemental figure 3. Effects of pipk1 and pipk2 mutations on the actin cytoskeleton in chloronemal cells. CLSM images of six-day-old chloronemal cells of hgt-1 (A), pipk1 (hgt-1) (B) and pipk2 (hgt-1) (C) strains overproducing GFP-talin. Arrows indicate the F-actin cap array located at the end of the tip. A-C bar = 10 μm.
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(A) WT, pipk1#5, pipk1#8, pipk2#2, pipk2#27, pipk1-2#6, pipk1-2#38

(B) WT, pipk1#5, pipk1#8, pipk2#2, pipk2#27, pipk1-2#6, pipk1-2#38

(C) WT, pipk1#5, pipk1#8, pipk2#2, pipk2#27, pipk1-2#6, pipk1-2#38

(D) WT, pipk1#5, pipk1#8, pipk2#2, pipk2#27, pipk1-2#6, pipk1-2#38

(E) WT, pipk1#5, pipk1#8, pipk2#2, pipk2#27, pipk1-2#6, pipk1-2#38

(F) Graphs showing Total area and Solidity for WT, pipk1#5, pipk1#8, pipk2#2, pipk2#27, pipk1-2#6, pipk1-2#38.
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