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Coupled control of land use and topography on nitrate-nitrogen dynamics in three adjacent watersheds

Rui Jiang*1, 2, Krishna P. Woli2-a, Kanta Kuramochi2, Atsushi Hayakawa2-b, Mariko Shimizu2, Ryusuke Hatano2

1. College of Resources and Environment, Northwest A&F University; Key Laboratory of Plant Nutrition and the Agri-environment in Northwest China, Ministry of Agriculture, Yangling 712100, China
2. Graduate School of Agriculture, Hokkaido University, Sapporo 060-8589, Japan

Abstract

To investigate the factors controlling nitrate-nitrogen (NO3-N) dynamics during snowmelt season and rainfall events, this study was conducted in three adjacent headwater stream watersheds with coupled land use and topography characteristics in eastern Hokkaido, Japan. The agriculture-dominated watershed (AW) had flat topography in agricultural area, the forest-dominated watershed (FW) was characterized by a steep slope in forest area, and the mixed agriculture-forested watershed (AFW) had flat topography in the agricultural area and steep topography in the forest area. Results showed that the timing of NO3-N export is different between the forested and steep watershed FW and the agricultural and flat watershed AW. The NO3-N export peaked before discharge peak with quick subsurface flow during snowmelt and
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rainfall events in the AW, while after discharge peak with slow subsurface flow in the FW. The difference in the timing of NO$_3^-$-N export is attributed to the subsurface flow which is regulated by the coupled characteristics of topography and land use. The fast release of NO$_3^-$-N in the FW was attributed to the “flushing mechanism”, which was driven by the rapid response of the subsurface flow due to the macropores in the forest soil and the steep slope. The AW showed a consistent “prolonged flush” of NO$_3^-$-N, where NO$_3^-$-N concentrations peaked after the peak of discharge, which might be attributed to the slow occurrence of subsurface flow because of the flat slope and the low hydraulic conductivity of the pasture. In the AFW, the NO$_3^-$-N concentration peaked before the discharge peak during the snowmelt season but after the discharge peak during the rainfall events, indicating other factor such as the macropores related to the freeze/thaw cycles replaced of the coupled characteristics of topography and land use controlling the timing of NO$_3^-$-N export in the mixed watershed.
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1. **Introduction**

Nitrogen (N) export from watersheds at different spatial and temporal scales, especially the estimation of hydrologically induced mobilization of nitrate-nitrogen (NO$_3^-$-N) from forested watersheds, has received considerable attention in recent hydrological and biogeochemical studies (Rusjan et al., 2008; Christopher et al., 2008a; 2008b; Piatek et al., 2009; McNamara et al., 2008).

During the rainfall events and snowmelt season, many studies have reported a significant increase in NO$_3^-$-N, and they have attributed this increase to NO$_3^-$-N flushing. Hydrological flushing of
NO$_3^-$-N has been explained by the following: 1) a flushing mechanism, in which the flush of stream NO$_3^-$-N, originates from a near-surface soil layer when the water table rises to the upper soil profile during hydrological events (Burns, 2005; Creed and Band, 1998; Jiang et al., 2010); 2) a draining mechanism, where recharge of groundwater due to snowmelt and precipitation translocates N from the upper layer of the soil profile into the deeper hydrological flow pathways that are released slowly over the year, either via displacement by infiltrating precipitation during the hydrological events or via groundwater discharge to the stream during baseflow (Creed and Band, 1996; McHale et al., 2002; Inamdar et al., 2004; Christopher et al., 2008a); and 3) a prolonged flushing mechanism, where flushing occurs throughout a storm, and the peak NO$_3^-$-N concentration is reached with a time delay after the peak of the hydrograph graph (Rusjan et al., 2008).

However, hydrological controls on NO$_3^-$-N flushing at the watershed scale are still poorly understood. Hydrological NO$_3^-$-N flushing has shown variability in the timing of NO$_3^-$-N export. For instance, Creed and Band (1998) and Zhang et al. (2007) observed a clockwise pattern between discharge and NO$_3^-$-N concentrations, which means NO$_3^-$-N peaked before the discharge peak. However, Rusjan et al. (2008) and Jiang et al. (2010) illustrated a counter-clockwise pattern of discharge and NO$_3^-$-N concentrations, with higher NO$_3^-$-N concentrations during the receding limb of discharge. The differences in the timing of the delivery of NO$_3^-$-N to streams, depending on the location, seasons, and the antecedent moisture condition, have been related to the differences in the mechanisms that control the export of NO$_3^-$-N (Wagner et al., 2008). Many studies have demonstrated that hydrological N export is complicated by a variety of factors, such as land use (Kaushal et al., 2008; Poor and McDonnell, 2007; Wagner et al., 2008; Vidon et al.,
2009), topography (Buttle et al., 2001; Creed and Band 1998; Creed and Beall, 2009; Inamdar and Mitchell, 2006; Ogawa et al., 2006; Welsch et al., 2001; Bayabil et al., 2010; Chen et al., 2010), hydrological characteristics (i.e., antecedent moisture condition, rainfall amount, and rainfall intensity) (Zhang et al., 2007; Christopher et al., 2008a; Busjan et al., 2008), soil types (McNamara et al., 2008), vegetation types (Christopher et al., 2006, 2008b; McNamara et al., 2008), N source (Christopher et al., 2008a; Park et al., 2003), and climatic variables (Kaushal et al., 2008; Mitchell et al., 1996; Park et al., 2003), all of which contribute to the heterogeneous spatial and temporal patterns of NO$_3$-N export.

For example, land use has been found to have a large effect on the quantity of N exported to the stream. There is a significant correlation between N export and the percentage of agricultural area in the watershed (Hayakawa et al., 2006; Woli et al., 2004). Land use can significantly affect the watershed hydrological and N export responses to storm events, with higher NO$_3$-N concentrations in agriculture watersheds and lower in mixed agriculture/urban watershed (Vidon et al., 2009). Poor and McDonnell (2007) examined the seasonality of the NO$_3$-N dynamics in three catchments with different land use patterns to explore how human activities altered the export of NO$_3$-N. Across a variety of land uses, Wagner et al. (2008) reported a variation in the timing of NO$_3$-N delivery to streams that was associated with the differences in the mechanisms controlling the delivery of NO$_3$-N to streams.

Topography may regulate the hydrologic flushing of NO$_3$-N through its effects on the sources of flushable NO$_3$-N or the rate of expansion versus contraction of the variable source area (Creed and Band, 1998). Catchments with larger, hydrologically organized variable source areas or a greater potential for the lateral expansion of the source areas will have longer flushing times and
higher rates of N export. Topographic depressions and/or flatness, where NO$_3^-$-N is transformed
either into gaseous forms of N or into dissolved organic forms of N, can act as sinks for NO$_3^-$-N
(Creed and Beall, 2009). Topography may also affect the N export associated with soil moisture.
Welsch et al. (2001) showed that the wetter areas of a catchment with a higher topography index
value had higher NO$_3^-$-N values than in drier locations. Although several studies have clearly
documented the relationships between topography and watershed NO$_3^-$-N concentrations/loadings,
little is known about the effect of the topography on the timing of NO$_3^-$-N export. Because the
topography plays an important role in the generation of subsurface flow and determines when the
subsurface flow occurs quickly enough to contribute to the peak stream discharge (Chen et al.,
2010), the steep topography may result in a more rapid response of NO$_3^-$-N flushing due to the
quicker subsurface flow.

Moreover, these studies have shown that NO$_3^-$-N export is not only one factor-controlling
process, but it is also a function of the complex factors affecting the sources, transport, and
transformations of N in a watershed, including the microbial transformation of N, plant uptake, N
transport, hydrologic processes, climate, and landscape (topography, geology, soil depth, and land
cover). Therefore, to improve upon the limited understanding of the factors controlling N export
and their integrated effects, analysis of the temporal and spatial variables and the characterization
of such heterogeneous landscapes are required.

In the Shibetsu watershed, located in eastern Hokkaido, Japan, N export was reported to have a
significant relationship with land use (Hayakawa et al., 2006; Woli et al., 2004) and hydrological
events (Jiang et al., 2010). The NO$_3^-$-N export mechanism was described as flushing mechanism,
which was closely related to subsurface flow (Jiang et al., 2010). However, the Shibetsu watershed
has coupled land use and topography characteristics. The upstream area is characterized by steep
topography and is covered by forest, whereas the downstream area possesses gently sloping areas
currently being used for agriculture. As mentioned above, land use may affect the N export
mechanism, while topography may regulate the occurrence of subsurface flow. Hence, the flow
system and N export mechanism may be different at spatial scale in Shibetsu area. How the land
use/topography, especially the coupled characteristics effect on the subsurface flow and N export
in Shibetsu area is not clear. To examine whether coupled topography and land use affect N export
and to investigate the variation in those effects, we chose three adjacent watersheds with different
land use types and topographies to examine the hydrological responses of NO₃-N export, in
particularly, the timing of NO₃-N export associated with subsurface flow

2. Materials and Methods

2.1 Watershed description

Three headwater stream watersheds adjacent to each other (agriculture-dominated, AW;
forest-dominated, FW; and mixed agriculture-forested, AFW), located in the Shibetsu watershed
(43.634°N, 145.085°E) in eastern Hokkaido, Japan (Fig. 1), were selected for the study. In the
Shibetsu area, the mean annual temperature is 5°C, and the average annual precipitation is 1,147
mm. The snowpack persists from early December to late April, with the annual maximum
snowpack depth of 73 cm and annual snowfall of 480 cm. The soil freezing depths range from 35
to 50 cm. Stream discharge varies with seasons at the outlets of headwater streams and the
Shibetsu watershed. Peak stream discharge occurs during the snowmelt season and again in
August to November during rainfall events (1978-2002, Japan Meteorological Agency 2007,
The AW covers 14.3 km$^2$ and is located in the middle of the Shibetsu watershed (Fig. 1). The
main land use is agriculture, accounting for 73.5% of the area (Table 1), and the other land uses
are forest (23.5%), water (2.6%) and urban (0.4%). The soils are sandy loam Andosols with high
sand (50%–77%) and low clay (<7%) contents. The AFW covers an area of 36.6 km$^2$ and consists
of 58.9% of agriculture, 37.1% of forest, 2.6% of urban and 1.4% of water (Table 1). The soils are
mainly loam, sandy loam, and loamy sand Regosolic and Cumulic Andosols (sand: 48–85%, silt:
10–42%, and clay: <10%). The FW has the largest area, 70.0 km$^2$, and is dominated by forest
(84.4%, Table 1), with some agriculture (14.5%) and water (1.1%). It has Brown Forest soils with
55–58% of sand, 16–18% of silt, 18–21% of clay, and 5–7% of rock (soil data in AW, AFW and
FW were from: http://www.agri.hro.or.jp/chuo/kankyou/soilmap/html/map_index.htm,
government report data). The topographic characteristics were analyzed using GIS based on a 50
m digital elevation model (DEM). The overall relief of the AW is 132 m, ranging from 46 m to
241 m above sea level. The relief ratio (the difference between maximum and minimum elevation
of a watershed divided by its maximum length of the river or stream) is 0.0146. The flat terrain
(less than 5% of slope) accounts for 86.0% of the area, and it is connected to slight steeper
near-stream zones (Fig. 1, Table 1). The relief of the AFW varies from 69 m to 575 m above sea
level, with a mean value of 193 m and a relief ratio value of 0.0362. The flat topography (less than
5% of slope) dominates 60.2% of this watershed. The FW is located in a mountainous area with
the highest relief of 977 m above sea level and a relief value of 0.0553, where 62.8% of the area is
steep (larger than 10% of slope) and only 17.0% is less than 5% of slope. As illustrated in Fig. 1,
land use and topography are coupled characteristics in the three headwater stream watersheds,
where forest covers the steep area and agriculture dominates the flat area. Grassland and pasture
occupy 90% of the agricultural land. The major vegetation types are *Phleum pretense* in
agriculture land and Japanese larch (*Larix kaempferi*) in the forest. The underlying rocks are
mainly volcanic ash and Pumice (younger volcanic ash bed) for the three watersheds
(http://iggis1.muse.aist.go.jp/en/top.htm). For river areas, there are sand and gravel (alluvial
deposits or Nita sand bed or Chashikotsu formation) in the three watersheds as well as green tuff,
propylite and pumiceous tuff in FW and quartz bearing hypersthene andesite in AW. In FW, the
bedrocks in mountainous areas are covered by sand, gravel and liparite, and the near river areas
are hypersthene dacite.

### 2.2 Watershed monitoring, sampling, and analysis

Water samples were collected by an automated water sampler (ISCO 6712, Isco, Lincoln, NE,
USA), which was installed at the outlet of each stream during hydrological events. The
auto-sampler was triggered when rainfall was >4 mm 30 min$^{-1}$, with sampling intervals of 15 min
to 1 h for the rising stage of discharge and 2 to 6 h for the receding stage. Twenty rainfall events
were sampled for the three watersheds during 2003 to 2005. Water samples were collected during
the snowmelt season in 2004 and 2005, and the sampler was triggered manually, with a sampling
interval of 12 h. The water samples were transported to the laboratory immediately after collection
and then stored at 4°C until analysis. Rainfall was measured by a tipping-bucket rain gauge (0.2
mm) placed in an open area near the automated sampler. Stream discharge rates at baseflow were
measured using a flow velocity meter (TK-105; Toho Dentan, Tokyo) at the outlets of the
watersheds. The discharge rates were calculated by multiplying the sectional area of the stream by
the flow velocity along a transect across the stream. The daily stream water level was determined
from water level data recorded every 15 min by water sensors equipped with data loggers (MC-1100W, STS, Sirmach, Switzerland). Daily discharge was calculated from daily stream water level using calibrated H-Q equations (quadratic curve) modeling the relationships between discharge (Q) and water level (H) (Tachibana and Nasu, 2003). Meteorological data were obtained from the Japan Meteorological Agency (http://www.jma.go.jp/ima/indexe.html). Groundwater wells in near-stream zones located in the FW (in forest area) and AW (in agricultural area) were constructed of 5 cm (ID) PVC pipes and groundwater levels were recorded using pressure transducer and capacitance water level probes at 1 hour intervals from March of 2003 to November of 2004. The shallow groundwater wells in the FW and AW were cored to the depth of 1.52 and 2.94 m, respectively, under the ground surface where a coarse sandy sediment layer (confined layer) was intersected. The deep groundwater wells in the FW and AW with a depth of 9.73 m and 12.52 m drilled through the confined layer and were cored to the aquifer of Mashu pumice layer (impermeable layer). Since the deep groundwater wells were located near the recharge area of confined groundwater, so the deep groundwater table (pressure) could be measured. However, the water level probes in deep groundwater wells didn’t work well, so the data collection from two deep groundwater wells was actually from March to June 2004.

After filtering through 0.2 μm membrane filters, water samples were analyzed for NO$_3$-N and Si. Nitrate-N concentrations were determined using ion chromatography (QIC Analyzer; Dionex, Sunnyvale, CA, USA); Si concentrations were determined colorimetrically using the molybdenum blue method.

**2.3 Data analyses**

**Antecedent precipitation index**
To estimate the pre-event soil moisture of the watershed, previous reports (Christopher et al., 2008a; Jiang et al., 2010; Rusjan et al., 2008) have used the antecedent precipitation index (API) as its indicator. The API for \( x \) preceding days is defined as follows:

\[
API_x = \sum_{i=1}^{x} \frac{P_i}{i},
\]

where \( x = 7 \) or 21 days before a rainfall event and \( P_i (\text{mm}) \) is the total precipitation on the \( i^{th} \) day before the event. We used \( API_7 \) to calculate the surface soil moisture and \( API_{21} \) for the shallow groundwater condition because the surface volcanogenous soil usually has high infiltration rates and water can move quickly into the shallow groundwater aquifer. However, the shallow groundwater needs long time to seep into the deep aquifer.

**Runoff coefficient**

The runoff coefficient (RC) is another indicator of wetness in a watershed and is defined as the total volume of discharge divided by the total volume of precipitation. The RCs for observed rainfall events were computed to estimate the differences in the runoff generation process influenced by the interactions of hydrological, biogeochemical, and transpiration processes in a watershed.

**The timing of NO\(_3^–\)-N export**

Several studies have used clockwise or counter-clockwise patterns between discharge and NO\(_3^–\)-N concentrations to show the timing of NO\(_3^–\)-N export during hydrological events (Rusjan et al., 2008; Jiang et al., 2010; Creed and Band, 1998; Zhang et al., 2007). In this study, we used the clockwise or counter-clockwise patterns to examine the NO\(_3^–\)-N flushing before or after the discharge peak during the snowmelt season. To find the variations in NO\(_3^–\)-N concentrations in discharge during rainfall events, the NO\(_3^–\)-N concentrations were plotted against time. The time
scale was first expressed as the time since the beginning of rainfall and then calculated as a multiple of the time to peak discharge rate \((TP)\). For example, the time since the beginning of rainfall at peak discharge is 3 h, and the duration at NO\textsubscript{3}-N peak is 6 h; thus, the time in multiples of \(TP\) at the NO\textsubscript{3}-N peak is 2\(TP\), and \(1TP\) is the time at peak discharge. This allowed the comparison of the variations in the NO\textsubscript{3}-N concentrations between the rainfall events in relationship to their \(TP\), and it provided a more uniform scale for comparing different rainfall events with extreme variations in discharge (Pathak et al., 2004; Han et al., 2010). In our study, we used the time in multiples of \(TP\) to investigate the timing of NO\textsubscript{3}-N export associated with discharge. If there were several peaks in NO\textsubscript{3}-N concentration during a rainfall event, we defined the peak concentration of NO\textsubscript{3}-N as the largest one.

3. Results

3.1 Climatic characteristics

Table 2 shows that the rainfall in 2003 was larger than in normal years (30-yr average). During the study period, the lowest annual snowfall was in 2005, whereas the largest snowpack depth was in 2004.

3.2 Concentration-time relationships of NO\textsubscript{3}-N during hydrological events

Snowmelt season

Figure 2 shows that the peak discharge in 2004 was larger than that in 2005 for all three headwater stream watersheds because the snowpack depth was larger in 2004 than in 2005. Similarly, the peaks in NO\textsubscript{3}-N concentrations were much higher in 2004. The discharge peaks were observed earlier and were much lower in the AW than that in the AFW and FW, possibly because of the
watershed size. However, the NO$_3^-$-N concentrations followed the opposite pattern and were higher in the AW than that in the AFW. Although we only had the data for the groundwater tables in AW and FW in 2004, the results showed that the NO$_3^-$-N concentrations increased with the increase of shallow groundwater table in AW and FW; the Si concentrations peaked with the peak of deep groundwater table. The deep groundwater table increased after the shallow groundwater table and then decreased slowly. The increased shallow and deep groundwater tables indicated that the lateral and vertical movements of snowmelt water occurred synchronously, but the percolation process needed a certain time. The concentrations of NO$_3^-$-N and Si and groundwater tables all peaked before the discharge peaked in the FW while after discharge peaked in the AW. The trends of groundwater tables and Si concentrations suggested both shallow and deep groundwater might contribute to NO$_3^-$-N export during snowmelt season. Shallow groundwater table peaked before discharge peak in the FW but after discharge peak in the AW, indicating subsurface runoff occurred earlier in the AW than that in the FW, which may be attributed to the soil characteristics such as the water conductivity or the macroporosity.

Rainfall events

Table 3 shows that the hydrological characteristics of the selected rainfall events varied considerably. The total amount of rainfall ranged from 10 to 91 mm. Each watershed had rainfall events from large (≥ 50 mm), moderate (20 mm < total rainfall < 50 mm) and small quantities of rainfall (≤ 20 mm) and at both the dry and wet antecedent soil moisture conditions (API$_7$ ranged from 0 to 24.18, and API$_{21}$ was from 2.42 to 28.81). Regardless of the difference in the characteristics of rainfall events, the runoff coefficient showed smaller values in the AW and larger values in the FW, suggesting that the generation of runoff may be controlled not only by
hydrological characteristics, but also by watershed characteristics such as the land cover, slope, watershed size, or soil type.

Figure 3 shows the different patterns of the NO$_3^-$-N concentrations during rainfall events in the three watersheds. In the FW, the NO$_3^-$-N concentrations had similar trends with shallow groundwater table, both of which increased with the rising limb of discharge and peaked before the discharge peak (Fig. 3a). However, the shallow groundwater increased slowly after discharge peak in the AW (Fig. 3c); the NO$_3^-$-N concentrations first decreased with the discharge peak and then increased with the increase of shallow groundwater table after discharge peak. In the AFW and AW, the NO$_3^-$-N concentrations showed several peaks, with the largest one occurring after the discharge peak (Fig. 3b, c). The peak concentrations of NO$_3^-$-N ranged from 0.31 to 0.80, 1.07 to 1.76, and 1.62 to 1.98 mg L$^{-1}$ in the FW, AFW, and AW, respectively (Table 4). The Si concentrations decreased when the discharge peaked, and opposite trajectories were found for NO$_3^-$-N and Si concentrations for all three watersheds (Fig. 3).

3.3 Nitrate-N export patterns during hydrological events

Snowmelt season

The relationships between discharge and NO$_3^-$-N concentrations during the snowmelt season are given in Fig. 4. The direction of all relationships in the FW and AFW was clockwise patterns, indicating that higher NO$_3^-$-N concentrations were observed during the rising limb of discharge, whereas in AW, NO$_3^-$-N consistently displayed a counter-clockwise hysteresis, with higher concentrations during the receding limb of discharge.

Rainfall events

Figure 5 shows the NO$_3^-$-N concentration dynamics versus time in multiples of $T_p$. During all
rainfall events in the FW, the NO$_3^-$-N concentrations increased sharply during the rising limb of discharge (peaked before 1 $T_P$). Table 4 shows that higher peaks in NO$_3^-$-N concentrations were observed during the rainfall event on 26 July 2005, which had a high quantity of rainfall, and that lower peaks were during the smaller rainfall events on 8 and 25 August 2003. However, the small rainfall events with a high antecedent soil moisture condition showed a considerably higher peak in NO$_3^-$-N concentration, as in the rainfall event on 15 November 2004. The AFW showed several peaks before or after 1 $T_P$ for NO$_3^-$-N concentrations during each rainfall event; however, the largest peak was observed at or after 1 $T_P$ (the values of the time in multiple of $T_P$ were equal to or larger than 1, Table 4). The higher NO$_3^-$-N concentrations were observed in large rainfall events (20 June 2003) or rainfall events with a high value of API$_x$ (26 September 2003). In the AW, the NO$_3^-$-N concentrations often decreased during the rising limb of discharge (before 1 $T_P$) and peaked after 1 $T_P$.

We analyzed the relationships between the time in multiples of $T_P$ when NO$_3^-$-N peaked or the peak NO$_3^-$-N concentrations (in Table 4) and the rainfall amount, API$_x$, and RC (in Table 3). Significant correlations were found between API$_x$ and the peak NO$_3^-$-N concentrations (API$_7$: 0.85, P<0.05; API$_{21}$: 0.81, P<0.05) or the time in multiples of $T_P$ when NO$_3^-$-N peaked (API$_7$: 0.85, P<0.05) in the AFW. The RC showed a significant negative correlation with the peak NO$_3^-$-N concentrations (-0.80, P< 0.05) in the FW, suggesting the impact of streamflow dilution. There is no correlation in the AW. These results indicated that factors other than antecedent soil moisture condition control on NO$_3^-$-N export in the AW and FW. An exponential relationship was found between the time in multiples of $T_P$ when NO$_3^-$-N peaked and the relief ratio for all three watersheds (Fig. 6). For the peak concentrations of NO$_3^-$-N, there was a significant correlation
with the percentage of agricultural area in the watershed (Fig. 7). These results indicated that the
NO$_3^-$-N export among the three watersheds was different in both the export patterns (the timing of
peak) and the peak concentrations. These differences in the timing of the NO$_3^-$-N export and
concentrations might be controlled by different watershed factors, such as topography and land
use.

4. Discussion

The differences of NO$_3^-$-N concentrations during snowmelt and rainfall events were significant
among three watersheds (P<0.01). The NO$_3^-$-N concentrations were much higher in the AW than
that in the FW (Fig. 2, 3, Table 4), and there was a significant positive correlation between
agricultural area and the peak NO$_3^-$-N concentrations during the rainfall events (Fig. 7). These
results are consistent with the previous findings that the N exports at the watershed scale increased
with the percentage of agricultural area in a watershed used (Hayakawa et al., 2006; Kaushal et al.,
2008; Woli et al., 2002; 2004). Land use affects the magnitude of N exported from the watershed
that is associated with different N sources. Atmospheric N has been considered to be a major
source of stream NO$_3^-$-N in forested watersheds (Driscoll et al., 2003; Galloway et al., 2003; Park
et al., 2003). Shibata et al. (2001) found that the NO$_3^-$-N export in forest streams was as low as 0.5
mg L$^{-1}$ in the eastern part of Hokkaido, which was consistent with the low N deposition in this
area. Therefore, the low NO$_3^-$-N concentration in the FW might be because of the low atmospheric
N inputs. Hokkaido is the primary dairy farming area in Japan, and approximately 93% of
livestock waste is used as organic fertilizer for grassland/pasture (Hokkaido Government, 1996).

Higher N application rates in the form of chemical fertilizer and manure in agricultural areas have
resulted in surplus N as high as 49 kg N ha\(^{-1}\) yr\(^{-1}\) in the uplands of the Shibetsu watershed and the 
NO\(_3\)\,-N export in stream accounted for 14% of net N input (Hayakawa et al., 2009). Thus, the 
large inputs of N to the agricultural land would lead to high NO\(_3\)\,-N export in the AFW and AW.

Previous studies reported a significant correlation between N concentrations and discharge, but 
the relationship varied widely depending on the study sites and the properties of the rainfall events 
(i.e., Ahearn et al. 2004; McNamara et al. 2008; Christopher et al., 2008a; Zhang et al., 2007). For 
a given watershed, hysteresis patterns are associated with the antecedent moisture condition of the 
watershed. The antecedent wet condition was reported to result in the peak in NO\(_3\)\,-N 
concentration occurring before the discharge peak, whereas dry antecedent soil moisture was 
related to the NO\(_3\)\,-N flush occurring after the discharge peak (Christopher et al., 2008a; Jiang et 
al., 2010; McNamara et al., 2008). In our study, the NO\(_3\)\,-N concentration peak time only had 
some relationship with API\(_X\) in the AFW, while consistent patterns of NO\(_3\)\,-N export with 
discharge were found within each watershed during the rainfall events (Fig. 5). This result 
suggested that factors other than antecedent soil moisture condition were more important in 
controlling the timing of NO\(_3\)\,-N export among the watersheds. The topography was likely to 
regulate the NO\(_3\)\,-N concentration peak time, as the relief ratio was found to have an exponential 
relationship with the NO\(_3\)\,-N peak time (as a function of the peak discharge rate, Fig. 6). The steep, 
forested watershed may cause the NO\(_3\)\,-N to flush quickly and peak before 1 \(T_p\) during the rainfall 
events or to have a clockwise pattern for the relationship between NO\(_3\)\,-N concentration and 
discharge during the snowmelt season. In contrast, the agricultural or flat watersheds may export 
NO\(_3\)\,-N more slowly and peak after 1 \(T_p\) or exhibit the counter-clockwise patterns. The 
topography is thought to determine whether subsurface flow occurs quickly enough to contribute
to the peak stream discharge (Beven and Kirkby, 1979). Chen et al. (2010) also observed that
topography played a dominant role in the formation of the runoff components. When the
catchment mean slope increased by 87% (reduce the basin average topographic index from 14.14
to 13.43), the subsurface storm flow could increase by 50%, whereas overland flow decreased by
7.5% and baseflow by 6.7% (Chen et al., 2010). In Shibetsu watershed, the NO$_3^-$-N export is
described as a flushing mechanism during storms, which the NO$_3^-$-N flushed by subsurface runoff
with the rising shallow groundwater table (Jiang et al., 2010). We found the NO$_3^-$-N concentration
always increased with the increase of shallow groundwater table (Fig. 3). Thus the topography is
likely to regulate not only the occurrence of subsurface flow but also the timing of NO$_3^-$-N export.
However, the topography (relief ratio) could only explain 39% of the variation in the NO$_3^-$-N peak
time (Fig. 6) for our watersheds. Thus, topography together with other factors should be taken into
account for clarifying the relationships between the stream discharge and the NO$_3^-$-N
concentrations, such as the N sources, runoff, flow path, geology, soil, or land use (Chen et al.,
2010; Bayabil et al., 2010).

Some studies have found significant influence of land use on near surface hydrological
processes, especially when comparing forest and pasture (Germer et al., 2010; McDowell et al.,
2003; Alegre and Cassel, 1996), which may also explain the variability in the difference in the
timing of the NO$_3^-$-N export between the AW and AFW (dominated by pasture/grassland) and the
FW (dominated by forest). According to the soil attributes and the geology of Shibetsu watershed,
the occurrence of subsurface flow could more depend on the shallow groundwater table, because
the shallow groundwater table is always high during snowmelt season and summer rainy season.
When the shallow groundwater tables rise high enough to subsurface soil layer during
hydrological events (the shallow groundwater tables always raise to subsurface soil layer as shown in figure 2 and 3a,3c), the subsurface flow could enhance. Therefore, the infiltration rate of water from soil to shallow aquifer could affect on the timing of subsurface flow occurrence (quick or slow). The underlying of the three watersheds is mainly volcanic ash with the same infiltration rate, so the water infiltration rate in soil may be different between forested and agricultural land use. For example, installation of pasture and cattle trampling increase bulk density and penetration resistance and reduce macroporosity, infiltration rates and hydraulic conductivity, which may result in slow subsurface flow/return flow in the pasture (Germer et al., 2010). In forested catchments of temperate regions, substantial subsurface flow can be generated because of the high infiltration capacities of the forest surface soils perched above less permeable soil layers or a slowly moving wetting front (Hammermeister et al., 1982). Moreover, live or decayed plant roots may form macropores easily in forest soil (Beven and Germann, 1982). Mosley (1982) showed under experimental conditions using forest soil, under both saturated and unsaturated conditions, that water could move downslope through macropores very rapidly. In the saturated zone, the fast response would depend on steep slopes and high hydraulic conductivity caused by macropores (Beven and Germann, 1982). Thus, the rapid subsurface flow/preferential flow/return flows from the macropores were common in the forest (Germer et al., 2010). In our study, the macropores would also cause high infiltration rate and so that the shallow groundwater table might rise quickly and then lead to fast subsurface flow. The response of the subsurface flow may be more significant because of the steep slope. In addition, the soil depth was thicker in the flat pasture/grassland than that in the steep forest in our study, which was similar to the study conducted in South China (Chen et al., 2010). Chen et al. (2010) reported that the watershed with a steeper slope and thin
soil deposits generated more subsurface flow and a larger proportion of quick flow than did the
watershed with gentle slope and thick soil deposits. We observed the shallow groundwater table
peaked before the discharge peak in the FW while after discharge peak in the AW (Fig. 3), which
is a strong evidence to show the quicker subsurface flow in the forested steep watershed.

The timing of the NO$_3^-$-N export for the snowmelt season in the AFW was different from that
seen for the rainfall events. The NO$_3^-$-N peaked before the discharge peak during the snowmelt
season, but after the discharge peak during rainfall events. This may be because of an integrated
effect of the mixed land use and mixed topography characteristics. However, the integrated effect
was probably regulated by the macropores due to freeze/thaw cycles. Maximum soil freezing
depths of 35-50 cm have been recorded in eastern Hokkaido, probably due to the lack of snow
cover (Takeuchi 1980; 1981). Ou et al. (1999) showed that freeze/thaw could cause macropores
and preferential flow in the soil. Thus, the increased macropores during the snowmelt season
might make the NO$_3^-$-N release more quickly. The result suggested, when the topography and land
use are no longer the main characteristics in the mixed watershed, other factors such as the
hydrological process related to macropores or the antecedent soil moisture (i.e., the correlation
between API$_X$ and the timing of NO$_3^-$-N peak in AFW) would take control on NO$_3^-$-N export.

The relationship between discharge and NO$_3^-$-N concentrations in the FW, in which the NO$_3^-$-N
concentration peaked before the discharge peak, during the snowmelt season (Fig. 4) and rainfall
events (Fig. 5); the inverse patterns of NO$_3^-$-N and Si concentrations (Fig. 2, 3); and the similar
patterns of NO$_3^-$-N concentrations and shallow groundwater table (Fig. 2, 3) indicated that the
supply of NO$_3^-$-N accumulated near or at the soil surface was quickly flushed in response to the
discharge hydrograph. This characteristic behavior has been termed the “flushing effect” or
flushing mechanism. Creed and Band (1998) stated that the NO$_3^-$-N leached from the near-surface soil layers by the rising of the water table was followed by a quick lateral transport of the leached NO$_3^-$-N to the stream via subsurface flow from the nitrate source areas. This flushing depended on the variable source area, ascribed to topography through its effects on the source of flushable NO$_3^-$-N, or on the rate of expansion versus contraction of the variable source area (Creed and Band, 1998).

The delayed peak of NO$_3^-$-N (after 1Tp) in AW during the hydrological events (Fig. 3, 5) could suggest that intensive NO$_3^-$-N flushing occurred during the recession limbs of the peak discharge and that the rapid runoff component was not the prevailing contributor to NO$_3^-$-N export (Butturini et al., 2006). As discussed above, this delayed peak may be related to the slower subsurface flow/return flow due to the interplay of the flat topography and agricultural land use. There is also likely to be a mechanism that controls the temporal mobilization of NO$_3^-$-N on a watershed level beyond the interactive behavior of the hydrological and biogeochemical settings (Rusjan et al., 2008). Rusjan et al. (2008) explained that more accumulated NO$_3^-$-N becomes mobilized throughout the process of the rising of the saturation zone toward the upper soil layers enriched by an accumulated NO$_3^-$-N pool. In the time of the maximum extension of the variable source areas, which can be shown as the hydrograph peaks, the maximum concentrations of NO$_3^-$-N were still not reached. This could be the result of the NO$_3^-$-N moving slower from the variable source areas and the surrounding hillslopes; thus, the mechanism has been called “prolonged nitrate flushing”.

Rusjan et al. (2008) found that the low hydraulic conductivity of the clay and silt soils in a forested watershed in the southwestern part of Slovenia was the cause of the prolonged lateral extension that they observed. Jiang et al. (2010) also observed that NO$_3^-$-N concentrations peaked
after the peak of discharge in the Shibetsu watershed in 2003, but they attributed this to the low rate of change in the variable source area because of the low antecedent shallow groundwater level. In this study, the change in the variable source area and the response of subsurface flow may both be regulated by the flat topography in the AW, where we observed the slowly increase of shallow groundwater table after discharge peak (Fig. 3c).

In addition, the high concentrations of NO$_3$-N and the similar trends with Si concentrations and the deep groundwater table at the beginning of the snowmelt and rainfall events (Fig. 2, 3) suggested that the source of NO$_3$-N was groundwater, because the concentrations of Si and NO$_3$-N were both very low in the rainwater samples in our study. As Iqbal (2002) reported, the geology that is favorable for vertical recharge during snowmelt/rainfall events creates fluid pressure within the aquifer, forcing groundwater to discharge laterally into the stream. Shibetsu watershed is mainly covered by volcanic soil, which has high water conductivity and the snowmelt/rainfall water always percolate to deep groundwater. Thus the “displacement of groundwater” caused NO$_3$-N flushing at the beginning of the rainfall and formed the first peak of NO$_3$-N in our study.

5. Summary and Conclusion

In this study, we explored the factors controlling the export of stream NO$_3$-N, in three headwater stream watersheds to explain the large variation in N concentrations and the timing of NO$_3$-N export on different temporal and spatial scales. Our results showed that the integrated effects of land use and topography probably regulated the timing of the NO$_3$-N export (i.e., whether NO$_3$-N flushing occurred before or after the discharge peak). The NO$_3$-N in the FW was
exported more quickly because the watershed had both forest and steep topography, which may produce subsurface flow more quickly than the agricultural watershed with flat topography. The export mechanism of NO$_3^-$-N was similar to the “flushing mechanism” that was related to subsurface flow (Creed and Band, 1998). However, the slow response of the subsurface flow in the AW, due to the pasture/grassland land use and flat topography, was likely to cause a “prolonged flushing mechanism” for NO$_3^-$-N export, such that the peak NO$_3^-$-N concentration is reached with a time delay after the hydrograph peak (Rusjan et al., 2008). Meanwhile, we noticed in the mixed agriculture-forested watershed, other factors such as the macropores due to freeze/thaw cycles might change the patterns of NO$_3^-$-N export.

Although our watersheds had the coupled characteristics of land use and topography that were very difficult to separate, if we looked at the factors controlling stream N export, we found an integrated impact of land use and topography on the timing of NO$_3^-$-N export related to subsurface flow. Although we investigated the shallow groundwater table during snowmelt and rainfall events, further study should examine the flow paths with more details, especially the subsurface flow, and how the subsurface flow responds to the hydrological events through the macropores.
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Table 1 Land use and topographic characteristics for the three headwater stream watersheds

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Watershed</th>
<th>Area (km²)</th>
<th>Land use (%)</th>
<th>Slope (%)</th>
<th>Relief ratio</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Agriculture</td>
<td>Forest</td>
<td>0-5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AW</td>
<td>14.3</td>
<td>73.5</td>
<td>23.5</td>
<td>86.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AFW</td>
<td>36.6</td>
<td>58.9</td>
<td>37.1</td>
<td>60.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FW</td>
<td>70.0</td>
<td>14.5</td>
<td>84.4</td>
<td>17.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AW: agricultural watershed; AFW: mixed agriculture-forested watershed; FW: forested watershed

Table 2 Climatic conditions of the Shibetsu watershed during the study period

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Annual</th>
<th>Maximum daily rainfall</th>
<th>Annual snowfall (cm)</th>
<th>Maximum snowpack depth (cm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2003</td>
<td>1,276</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>626</td>
<td>71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2004</td>
<td>931</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>612</td>
<td>109</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>975</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>384</td>
<td>83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30-yr average</td>
<td>1,147</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>480</td>
<td>71</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3 Characteristics of the rainfall events in 2003

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Annual</th>
<th>Maximum daily rainfall</th>
<th>Annual snowfall (cm)</th>
<th>Maximum snowpack depth (cm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2003</td>
<td>1276</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>626</td>
<td>71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2004</td>
<td>931</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>612</td>
<td>109</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>975</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>384</td>
<td>83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30-yr average</td>
<td>1147</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>480</td>
<td>71</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
API$_X$: antecedent precipitation index for 7 and 21 days before a rainfall event, respectively; RC: runoff coefficient;  
AW: agricultural watershed; AFW: mixed agriculture-forested watershed; FW: forested watershed.

Table 4 The time in multiple of $T_p$ and the NO$_3$-$N$ concentration when NO$_3$-$N$ peaked

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rainfall event</th>
<th>API$_{21}$</th>
<th>API$_7$</th>
<th>FW</th>
<th>AFW</th>
<th>AW</th>
<th>Watershed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>20 Jun 2003</td>
<td>5.19</td>
<td>3.24</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>AFW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 Jul 2003</td>
<td>6.03</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>FW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30 Jul 2003</td>
<td>6.11</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td></td>
<td>AFW; AW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 Aug 2003</td>
<td>7.24</td>
<td>2.03</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>FW; AFW; AW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25 Aug 2003</td>
<td>16.33</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td></td>
<td>FW; AFW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9 Sep 2003</td>
<td>5.62</td>
<td>3.67</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td></td>
<td>AFW; AW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19 Sep 2003</td>
<td>13.56</td>
<td>8.55</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>AFW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26 Sep 2003</td>
<td>28.81</td>
<td>23.45</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>AFW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23 Oct 2003</td>
<td>2.64</td>
<td>1.90</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>AW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18 Jul 2004</td>
<td>2.42</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>AW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30 Aug 2004</td>
<td>9.30</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>FW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 Sep 2004</td>
<td>7.97</td>
<td>4.14</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td></td>
<td>FW; AW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15 Nov 2004</td>
<td>28.22</td>
<td>24.18</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>FW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26 Jul 2005</td>
<td>2.71</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>FW</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Watershed</th>
<th>Rainfall event</th>
<th>Time to peak discharge rate ($T_p$) (h)</th>
<th>Time in multiple of $T_p$ at NO$_3$-$N$ peak</th>
<th>NO$_3$-$N$ peak concentration (μg L$^{-1}$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FW</td>
<td>10 Jul 2003</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8 Aug 2003</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>25 Aug 2003</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>30 Aug 2004</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7 Sep 2004</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>15 Nov 2004</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>26 Jul 2005</td>
<td>3.75</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AFW</td>
<td>20 Jun 2003</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>1.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>30 Jul 2003</td>
<td>6.75</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8 Aug 2003</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>1.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>25 Aug 2003</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>9 Sep 2003</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>1.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>19 Sep 2003</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>26 Sep 2003</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>1.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AW</td>
<td>30 Jul 2003</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>1.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8 Aug 2003</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>1.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>9 Sep 2003</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>1.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>23 Oct 2003</td>
<td>27.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>18 Jul 2004</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7 Sep 2004</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>1.62</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The time in multiples of $T_p$ when the largest peak concentration of NO$_3$-N occurred; \(^b\) The largest peak concentration of NO$_3$-N during a rainfall event. AW: agricultural watershed; AFW: mixed agriculture-forested watershed; FW: forested watershed.
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Figure 1. Locations of the watersheds and the sampling sites and the coupled land use and topography characteristics

Figure 2. Time-series of the discharge, groundwater table, NO$_3$-N and Si concentrations during snowmelt season in 2004 and 2005 (AW: agricultural watershed, AFW: mixed agriculture-forested watershed, FW: forested watershed)

Figure 3. Time-series of discharge, shallow groundwater table, NO$_3$-N and Si concentrations during selected rainfall events in (a) forested watershed: FW, (b) mixed agriculture-forested watershed: AFW, (c) agricultural watershed: AW

Figure 4. Relationships between discharge and NO$_3$-N concentrations during the snowmelt season in 2004 and 2005 in the agricultural, mixed agriculture-forested, and forested watersheds (AW, AFW and FW, respectively)

Figure 5. The dynamics of NO$_3$-N concentrations as a function of the time to peak discharge rates for different rainfall events in the forested watershed (FW), mixed agriculture-forested watershed (AFW) and agricultural watershed (AW)

Figure 6. The relationship between the watershed relief ratio and the time in multiples of $T_p$ of the NO$_3$-N peak during rainfall events

Figure 7. The relationship between the percentage of agricultural area in the watershed and the peak NO$_3$-N concentrations during rainfall events