

HOKKAIDO UNIVERSITY

| Title | The Rate of the Photoelectrochemical Generation of Hydrogen at p-Type Semiconductors |
|------------------|---|
| Author(s) | Bockris, J. O'M.; Uosaki, K. |
| Citation | Journal of The Electrochemical Society, 124(9), 1348-1355 https://doi.org/10.1149/1.2133652 |
| Issue Date | 1977 |
| Doc URL | http://hdl.handle.net/2115/50261 |
| Rights | © The Electrochemical Society, Inc. 1977. All rights reserved. Except as provided under U.S. copyright law, this work may not be reproduced, resold, distributed, or modified without the express permission of The Electrochemical Society (ECS). The archival version of this work was published in J. Electrochem. Soc. 1977 volume 124, issue 9, 1348-1355. |
| Туре | article |
| File Information | JES124-9_1348-1355.pdf |





the society for solid-state and electrochemical science and technology

The Rate of the Photoelectrochemical Generation of Hydrogen at p – Type Semiconductors

J. O'M. Bockris and K. Uosaki

J. Electrochem. Soc. 1977, Volume 124, Issue 9, Pages 1348-1355. doi: 10.1149/1.2133652

Email alerting service Receive free email alerts when new articles cite this article - sign up in the box at the top right corner of the article or click here

To subscribe to *Journal of The Electrochemical Society* go to: http://jes.ecsdl.org/subscriptions

© 1977 ECS - The Electrochemical Society

The Rate of the Photoelectrochemical Generation of Hydrogen at p-Type Semiconductors

J. O'M. Bockris* and K. Uosaki¹

School of Physical Sciences, Flinders University, Adelaide, Australia 5042

ABSTRACT

The current-potential relations with and without illumination, quantum efficiency-wavelength relations at several potentials, the flatband potentials, the transient behavior, and the stability of seven p-type semiconductors, *i.e.*, ZnTe, CdTe, GaAs, InP, GaP, SiC, and Si, have been measured in 1N NaOH and $1N H_2SO_4$. The position of the photocurrent-potential relations are related to the flatband potential and the energy gap of the semiconductor. The existence of the maximum in quantum efficiency-wavelength relation is analyzed by considering surface recombination. The stability and the transient behavior are analyzed.

Photoelectrochemical production of hydrogen was envisaged by Fujishima and Honda in 1972 (1). To obtain hydrogen, either a pH gradient or an external power source in the cell was required (2-4). However, homogenization of the solution would inevitably occur on prolonged functioning in such an arrangement.

The lack of need for single crystals in the photoelectrochemical approach to energy conversion (5, 6) gives the prospect of favorable economics in purely photoelectrochemical hydrogen production from water. The primary aim is the development of a suitable cathode, so that light may be directed both onto the cathode and anode, with the objective of obtaining stable photoelectrolysis in a cell with a uniform pH. A previously reported photocathode is unstable (7). We report investigations concerning the stability and efficiency of certain new photocathodes.

Experimental

Apparatus.—The photoelectrochemical cell is shown in Fig. 1. Stopcocks and taps were Teflon. To avoid contact of the metal used to form an ohmic contact with the solution, the back face and side of the electrode were covered with epoxy resin. To minimize contact of this with the solution, a Teflon electrode holder was used. The absence of a leak was verified by the small magnitude of the dark current. All photoelectrode areas were 0.125 cm².

A PAR Model 173 potentiostat/galvanostat, with a Model 176 current-potential converter, was used to control the potential. The electrode potential was swept by a Wenking SMP 69 potential stepping motor control. The current-potential relationship was recorded by a Hewlett-Packard Model 7004B X-Y recorder. The time dependence of the photocurrent was recorded by means of a Hitachi QD25 recorder.

A 900W xenon lamp (Canrad-Hanovia 538C1) was used as a light source and a Jarrell-Ash quarter-meter grating monochrometer (Cat. no. 82-410) was employed to obtain monochromatic light. An IR absorbing filter (Oriel G-776-7100) was placed between the cell and the light house, when current-potential measurements were carried out without the monochrometer. However, a quartz lens (d = 5 cm, f = 5 cm) was employed to concentrate the light on the electrode surface when the photocurrent was measured under monochromatic light. In this case, two long pass filters (Oriel G-772-3900 and Oriel G-772-5400) were used with an IR absorbing filter to eliminate second-order diffraction. The conditions used in this respect were: 3000 \sim 5000Å, IR

* Electrochemical Society Active Member. ¹ Present address: Mitsubishi Petrochemical Company, Limited, Ami, Ibaraki, Japan. Key words: hydrogen production, photoelectrochemical reac-tion, p-type semiconductors, photocathodes.

absorbing filter only; 5000 \sim 7000Å, IR absorbing filter + G-772-3900 filter; 7000 \sim 7500Å, IR absorbing filter + G-772-5400 filter; 7500Å, G-772-5400 filter only.

The intensity of light was measured by means of a Hewlett-Packard Model 8334 radiant flux meter with either a 8334A radian flux detector or a Carl Zeiss vacuum thermocouple (VT Q3/A) with a Keithley 149millimicrovoltmeter. The error in relative intensity measurement was < 5%. However, absolute intensity measurements had an uncertainty of \pm 20% error.

The electrochemical cell and the optical system were set up on an optical bench.

Impedance measurement.-The cell for impedance measurements had a working electrode surrounded by a cylindrical platinized platinum counterelectrode, apparent area 60 cm². Hydrogen gas was passed into the solution before and during measurement.

The direct method was employed (8, 9). The circuit contained a dry cell (6V) as a d-c source and the potential was controlled by a ten-turn variable resistor



Fig. 1. The photoelectrochemical cell (front view). I, Working electrode compartment (d = 50 mm); II, counterelectrode compartment (d = 25 mm); III, reference electrode compartment (d= 20 mm). 1, Working (semiconductor) electrode; 2, Teflon electrode holder; 3, Luggin capillary; 4, quartz optical flat; 5, gas collector; 6, 11, frits (gas inlets); 7, 12, 14, drains; 8, 9, Teflon stopcocks; 10, Pt counterelectrode; 13, reference electrode (SCE); 15, 16, gas bubbler.

while being monitored by means of a Keithley 616 digital electrometer. The a-c source was a Mini-Lab Model 603A (B.W.D. Electronics). A capacitor $(10 \ \mu f)$ and a choke coil (35 H) were in the circuit. The resistor, the value of which was several hundred times larger than the cell impedance, Z_{cell} , was connected in series to the cell so that the alternating current, *I*, became constant, and independent of the cell voltage. The impedance of the choke was at least one hundred times larger than that of the cell.

Signals taken from two points in the circuit and applied to the X and Y inputs of a cathode ray oscilloscope (Tetronix 5103N with 5A20N and 5A21N differential amplifiers) displayed Lissajou's figures. Since the X and Y inputs showed I ($Z_{cell} + R$) and IZ_{cell} , respectively, and $R >> Z_{cell}$, the absolute value of the cell impedance, and phase difference due to the cell, could be ascertained. Assuming a series equivalent circuit (measurements were carried out under nearly ideally polarized conditions), the cell capacitance, which is effectively the space charge capacitance of the semiconductor electrode, can be obtained.

The accuracy of the direct method is low compared with that of the bridge method (8, 9). At metal electrodes the phase difference is small, and hence determination of C is inaccurate by this method.

However, at semiconductor electrodes, capacitance is low so that the phase difference can be measured accurately.

Semiconductors chosen.—Cathodes were selected on the basis of sufficiently low values of energy gap (2.574 $E_{\rm G} < 1.3$ eV) and electron affinity ($E_{\rm a} < 4.0$ eV) (28). Zinc telluride (ZnTe).—A ZnTe single crystal (Ag doped), grown by the Bridgeman method, was cut parallel to the cleaved face (100). After being etched in K₂Cr₂O₇-HNO₃ aqueous solution, the specimen was dipped in HAuCl₄ solution to make an ohmic contact (10). It was masked with paraffin, later removed in trichloroethylene. The contact was ohmic and the specific resistance was $0.2 \ \Omega \cdot cm$. The face of the specimen was polished by means of emery paper to 600 grade. The electrode was etched in HF-HNO₃ (11) solution.

Cadmium telluride (CdTe) .-- A CdTe single crystal (undoped) grown by the Bridgeman method was cut parallel to the cleaved face (100). The specimen was heated in Te vapor at 500°C for 8 hr to increase nonstoichiometry. Thereafter, the crystal was etched in $K_2Cr_2O_7$ -HNO₃ (12), dipped into AgNO₃ solution, and heated at 200°C for 30 min. Finally, a gold film was grown on the crystal by dipping it into aqueous HAuCl₄ (13). During the processes of etching and dipping into AgNO₃ and HAuCl₄ solutions, the crystal was covered with paraffin except for the spots where it was intended to make a contact. The specific resistance was 10³ $\Omega \cdot cm$ and the ohmic character of the contact good. The face to be used was polished by emery paper, 400 to 600 grade, and the surface etched in HF-HNO₃ solution.

Gallium arsenide (GaAs).—The GaAs was a single crystal in wafer form, (100) face; Zn doped; carrier density = 2×10^{19} cm⁻³; 0.5 mm thick. It was etched (12) by dipping into CH₃OH-Br₂ (5%) solution, for 1 min before an ohmic contact was made by soldering with indium (14). The *I*-V relation was ohmic. The specific resistance was 0.2 $\Omega \cdot$ cm.

Indium phosphide (InP).—The InP was a single crystal wafer (100) face, Zn doped; carrier density 5.6×10^{18} cm⁻³; 0.8 mm thick. Treatment was as for GaAs except that the ohmic contact was by means of an In-Zn alloy (15). The specific resistance was 0.21 $\Omega \cdot$ cm.

Gallium phosphide (GaP).—The GaP was a single crystal wafer, Zn doped; carrier density 6.7×10^{17} cm⁻³; (111) face; 0.4 mm thick. A HNO₃-HCl mixture was used for etching (12). An ohmic contact was obtained by the use of an In-Zn alloy (7). The specific resistance was 2.0 $\Omega \cdot$ cm.

Silicon carbide (SiC).—The silicon carbide was a single crystal; (0001) face; Al doped; carrier density 4×10^{18} cm⁻³; 0.2 mm thick. "Acme" conductive adhesive gave an ohmic contact if heated in hydrogen at 300°C for 2 hr. The specific resistance was 0.31 $\Omega \cdot$ cm. The electrode was dipped in HF for 1 min before each experiment.

Silicon (Si).—The silicon was a single crystal wafer, (100) face; B doped; 0.2 mm thick. An In-Zn alloy was used to obtain an ohmic contact. The specific resistance was 1.2 $\Omega \cdot \text{cm}$. The crystal was etched in HF solution before each experiment.

Results

The current-potential relations.—The current-potential relations with and without illumination by means of a 900W Xe lamp were measured in 1N NaOH and in 1N H₂SO₄. The relations found can be divided into two groups. Results typical of the first group (ZnTe, CdTe, GaP,² SiC, and Si) are exemplified in Fig. 2 (ZnTe). Dark curents are low. Typical results of the second group (GaAs and InP) are in Fig. 3 (GaAs).² The degree of displacement of the current-potential

 2 In the measurements of Gerischer et al. (16), GaAs showed saturation photocurrents at -1.0V, but such saturation was not observed in our work, probably due to a lower intensity of illumination. The current-potential curves observed for GaP were similar to those reported earlier by other workers (17-19).



Fig. 2. The current-potential relations of ZnTe in 1N NaOH and 1N H_2SO_4 with and without illumination by a 900W Xe lamp. Sweep rate: 1.5 V/min. Intensity of light 0.08 W/cm². Arrows show the direction of the polarization. 1, 1st sweep in dark; 2, 2nd sweep in dark. 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 5th, 6th, 7th, 8th, and 9th sweeps in dark.



Fig. 3. The current-potential relations of GaAs with and without illumination by a 900 Xe lamp in 1N NaOH (a) and 1N H_2SO_4 (b). Sweep rate: 1.5 V/min. Intensity of light: 0.08 W/cm².

curves in $\mathrm{H}_2\mathrm{SO}_4$ and NaOH respectively is shown in Table I.

At CdTe in NaOH no photocurrent was observed at a potential more positive than -0.75V, when the oxygen concentration in the solution had been sufficiently diminished.

A white film was observed on the InP electrode after measurements in 1N H₂SO₄. Mayumi *et al.* (20) observed such white films: Irreproducibility due to them may account for the fact that the critical potential observed by Mayumi was 0.5V more negative than that reported here. No films were observed in 1N NaOH.

Photocurrents at SiC electrodes were $< 10 \ \mu A \ cm^{-2}$.

Quantum efficiency-wavelength relations at several potentials.—Photocurrents were measured under monochromatic light at several potentials. Quantum efficiencies were calculated by using measured values of the photocurrents and the light intensity. Typical results are shown in Fig. 4 (GaP).³ The spectral response of the quantum efficiency in 1N NaOH of the semiconductors examined in this work except for GaP and SiC are shown in Fig. 5. Those in 1N H₂SO₄ are as in 1N NaOH. Quantum efficiencies at SiC in 1N NaOH (Fig. 6) are low.

The flatband potential.—The flatband potentials were determined by using Mott-Schottky plots. A typical plot is shown in Fig. 7 (SiC). Table II shows the flatband potential of the semiconductors in 1N NaOH and 1N H₂SO₄ and the slopes of the corresponding Mott-Schottky plot. The flatband potentials of InP in 1N H₂SO₄ and Si in 1N NaOH and 1N H₂SO₄ could not be measured due to the instability of these materials in solution.

Gleria and Memming reported (22) difficulties in respect to the Mott-Schottky plot on SiC but none were noted here.

Transient measurement.—Current-time relations at fixed potentials following illumination and interruption of light were measured in 1N NaOH and $1N H_2SO_4$. Typical results are in Fig. 8. When the potential is relatively negative, the current becomes stable just after the light was on or off, but when the electrode potential became relatively positive, it took time to attain a steady state (Fig. 8d).

Stability.—The photocurrents at fixed potentials were measured as a function of time (1-20 hr) at all semiconductors mentioned above in 1N NaOH and 1N H₂SO₄. Results are listed in Table III in terms of (1/i) (di/dt), which is a measure of the instability.

Discussion

By analogy to well-known behavior at the metalvacuum interface, the electrode potential corresponding to the commencement of electron emission ("the critical potential") would have been expected to vary with change of the frequency of the exciting source. That the critical potential is not thus dependent for the semiconductor-solution interface is demonstrated in Fig. 9 (ZnTe). An interpretation is that electronphonon collisions in the semiconductor cause the electrons photogenerated within the semiconductors at various energies (depending on the wavelength of the

³Reasonable agreement was observed with the results of Yoneyama *et al.* (21), but the maximum in the quantum efficiencywavelength relation was at 4500Å in their measurement and at 3500Å in ours. In the Yoneyama work, published data on xenon lamps (instead of calibration) was used.





incident light) to fall to the bottom of the conduction band before they have reached the electrode surface.

The i_{photo} -V relations are Tafel-like (Table IV), whereas, at metals, $i^{0.4}$ is linear with V. Thus, in metals, nearly all the photoactivated electrons decay before the surface is reached. The small fraction ($< 10^{-2}\%$) of photoactivated electrons which reach the surface and emit have an energy distribution which is a function of the energy of the exciting source. In the semiconductor, a greater fraction ($\sim 1\%$) of the photogenerated electrons reaches the surface but the energy of nearly all of them is that of the conduction band, Fig. 10. (See above). The variation of the electrochemical photocurrent with potential then becomes subject to the reasoning [*e.g.*, Ref. (23)] which relates the thermal current to potential at metals.

The saturation part of the photocurrent-potential relation can be understood from Fig. 11. When the electrode potential is such that the energy of the emitting electrons is equal to that of the ground state of the acceptor levels in H_3O^+ , no further increase in the availability of acceptor levels in solution occurs as the potential is made more negative (24).



Fig. 4. The quantum efficiency-wavelength relations of GaP in 1N NaOH (a) and 1N H_2SO_4 (b) at several electrode potentials.



Fig. 5. The quantum efficiency wavelength relations for ZnTe, CdTe, GaAs, and InP in 1N NaOH.

The "critical potential".— $\Delta H'(e)$ (Fig. 12) at the flatband potential is given by (23)

$$\Delta H'(e) = -L_{o} + E_{a} - J + A + R + (S.C_{\Delta}S_{\phi})_{fbp} [1]$$

where L_0 , E_a , J, A, R, and $(S.C\Delta S_{\phi})_{\rm fbp}$ are the hydration energy of the proton, electron-affinity of the semiconductor, ionization energy of hydrogen, adsorption en-



Fig. 6. The quantum efficiency-wavelength relations of SiC in 1N NaOH (a) and 1N H₂SO₄ (b).



Fig. 7. The Mott-Schottky plots of SiC in 1N NaOH (a) and 1N $\rm H_2SO_4$ (b) at several frequencies.

Table 11. The flatband potential and slope of Mott-Schottky plots of semiconductors

| | 1N NaOH | | 1N H ₂ SO ₄ | |
|----------------------|----------------------------------|---|-----------------------------------|---|
| Semicon- ductors | Flatband potential, V, NHE | Slope (µf/ cm ²) ⁻² /V | Flatband potential, V, NHE | Slope (µf/ cm ²)- ² /V |
| ZnTe CdTe CaAs | -0.79 0.21 | 28 6000 0 12 | 0.04 -0.35 0.43 | 150 2200 0.22 |
| InP GaP SiC | -0.04 -0.07 0.18 1.38 | 0.12 0.48 6.0 0.86 | 1.13 1.68 | 4.6 0.7 |

ergy of hydrogen, $H-H_2O$ repulsive force, and the potential drop in the electric double layer at the flatband potential.

 $(^{S.C}\Delta^{S}\phi)_{fbp}$ can be estimated as follows.

The flatband potential, $V_{\rm fbp}$, with respect to normal hydrogen electrode (NHE) is given by

$$V_{\rm fbp} = {}^{\rm Pt}\Delta^{\rm S.C}\phi + ({}^{\rm S.C}\Delta^{\rm S}\phi)_{\rm fbp} + ({}^{\rm S}\Delta^{\rm Pt}\phi)_{\substack{p_{\rm H2}=1\\C_{\rm H^+}=1}} [2]$$

where ${}^{\operatorname{Pt}\Delta S.C}\phi$ is the potential difference between the semiconductor and the Pt wire, $({}^{S\Delta Pt}\phi)_{PH_2=1}$ is the po- ${}^{C_{H^+=1}}$ tential drop in the electric double layer of the semiconductor at the flatband potential and $({}^{S\Delta Pt}\phi)_{PH_2=1}$ ${}^{C_{H^+=1}}$ is the potential drop in the electric double layer at

the Pt electrode in the presence of 1 atm of hydrogen gas and with $C_{\rm H^+} = 1$. (See Fig. 12). Since

$$\mu_{\rm e}^{\rm -Pt} = \mu_{\rm e}^{\rm -S.C} \qquad [3]$$

where μ_e^{-Pt} and $\mu_e^{-S.C}$ are the electrochemical potentials of electrons in Pt and the semiconductor, respectively. Hence

$$^{\mathrm{Pt}}\Delta^{\mathrm{S.C}}\phi \equiv (\mu_{\mathrm{e}}^{\mathrm{Pt}} - \mu_{\mathrm{e}}^{\mathrm{S.C}})/\mathrm{F}$$
[4]

where μ_e^{Pt} and $\mu_e^{S.C}$ are the chemical potentials of Pt and the semiconductor, respectively. Therefore

$$V_{\rm fbp} = \{ ({}^{\rm S.C}\Delta^{\rm S}\phi)_{\rm fbp} - \mu_{\rm e}{}^{\rm S.C}/{\rm F} \} - [({}^{\rm Pt}\Delta^{\rm S}\phi)_{\substack{{\rm PH}_2 \equiv 1 \\ C_{\rm H}^+ \equiv 1}} - \mu_{\rm e}{}^{\rm Pt}/{\rm F}]$$
[5]



Fig. 8. The transient behavior of the current of ZnTe after illumination and interruption of light in 1N NaOH at several potentials. The current before illumination is taken as zero. Intensity of light: 0.08 W/cm².

September 1977

Table III. The stability of photocathodes, as measured by their change with time at various potentials (given)

| | Value of $\frac{1}{i} \frac{di}{dt}$ (sec ⁻¹) | | |
|---|--|---|--|
| Semicon- ductor | 1N NaOH | 1N H ₂ SO ₄ | |
| ZnTe CdTe GaAs InP GaP SiC Si | $\begin{array}{c} 6\times10^{-4}\ (-1.26\mathrm{V})\\ 2.5\times10^{-6}\ (-1.16\mathrm{V})\\ 2\times10^{-4}\ (-1.36\mathrm{V})\\ 2\times10^{-5}\ (-1.36\mathrm{V})\\ 5\times10^{-5}\ (-0.76\mathrm{V})\\ 0^{*}\ (-1.16\mathrm{V})\\ 5\times10^{-6^{**}}\ (-1.06\mathrm{V}) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c} 5\times 10^{-5} \ (-0.56V) \\ 1\times 10^{-6} \ (-0.76V) \\ 3\times 10^{-4} \ (-0.76V) \\ 2\times 10^{-4} \ (-0.46V) \\ 5\times 10^{-5} \ (-0.26V) \\ 0^{*} \ (-0.76V) \\ 1.7\times 10^{-4**} \ (-0.41V) \end{array}$ | |

• Current is very small 9 μ A/cm² in 1N NaOH and 3 μ A/cm³ in 1N H₂SO₄. •• After 10 hr in dark, almost no photocurrent was observed.

Thus, the potential drop in the electric double layer of the semiconductor at the flatband potential is given by

$$({}^{S.C}\Delta^{S}\phi)_{fbp} = V_{fbp} + [({}^{Pt}\Delta^{S}\phi)_{PH_{2}=1} - \mu_{e}{}^{Pt}/F] + \mu_{e}{}^{S.C}/F$$

$$= V_{fbp} + [({}^{Pt}\Delta^{S}\phi)_{PH_{2}=1} - \mu_{e}{}^{Pt}/F]$$

$$= V_{fbp} + [({}^{Pt}\Delta^{S}\phi)_{PH_{2}=1} - \mu_{e}{}^{Pt}/F]$$

$$= (\Phi/F - \chi^{S.C}) \quad [6]$$

where Φ is the work function of the semiconductor and $\chi^{S.C}$ is potential drop in the semiconductor.

$$[({}^{\mathrm{Pt}\Delta\mathrm{S}}\phi)_{\substack{p_{\mathrm{H}2}=1\\C_{\mathrm{H}^{+}}=1}} - \mu_{\mathrm{e}}{}^{\mathrm{Pt}}/\mathrm{F}]$$

was calculated by Trasatti (25) using a method suggested by Bockris and Argade (26), as 4.3V. Therefore

$$(S.C\Delta^{S}\phi)_{fbp} = 4.3/F + V_{fbp} - (\Phi/F - \chi^{S.C})$$
 [7]

A schematic of the energy levels of a semiconductor which has surface states in a vacuum is shown in Fig. 13. From this figure, $\chi^{S.C}$ is given by

$$F\chi^{S.C} = \Phi + \Delta E - E_a - E_g \qquad [8]$$

where ΔE is the energy difference between the Fermi level and the top of the valence band in the bulk. Hence, Eq. [7] becomes

$$(^{S.C}\Delta^{S}\phi)_{fbp} = 4.3/F + V_{fbp} - (E_a + E_g - \Delta E)/F$$
 [9]

As a first approximation, ΔE is assumed to be zero for all p-type semiconductors concerned.

The values of $({}^{S.C}\Delta^{S}\phi)_{fbp}$ were calculated from Eq. [9] for semiconductors listed in Table V. $({}^{S.C}\Delta^{S}\phi)_{fbp}$ is negative in all cases, ${}^{S.C}\Delta^{S}\phi$ in NaOH is more negative than that in H₂SO₄ for most electrodes except CdTe. From Eq. [1] and [9]

$$H(e) = -L_{o} + E_{a} - J + A + R + 4.3/F + V_{fbp} - (E_{a} + E_{g} - \Delta E)/F \simeq -L_{o} - J + A + R + 4.3/F + V_{fbp} - E_{g}/F + \Delta E/F$$
[10]

Δ



Fig. 9. The photocurrent-potential relations of ZnTe in 1N NaOH and in 1N H₂SO₄ for light of several wavelengths.

Table IV. Slope of log i_p -V relations

| | 1N NaOH | 1N H2SO4 |
|------|---------------------------|--------------------|
| ZnTe | 0.165 | 0.13 |
| GaAs | 0.3 | 0.25 |
| GaP | 0.1 | 0.18 |
| CaTt | Not available high res | because of istance |
| Si | 0.17 | 0.21 |

where L_o , J, and R do not depend on semiconductor and the dependence of A on the semiconductor is less than 0.1 eV (27), Therefore, $\Delta H(e)$ is given by

$$\Delta H(e) \simeq \text{const.} + V_{\text{fbp}} - E_{\text{g}}/\text{F}$$
 [11]

The probability of the existence of acceptors at energy E, G(E), is given by

$$G(E) = \exp (H(e) - E_v)/kT$$
 [12]

where E is the energy of the electrons at the surface at a potential V.



$$\begin{cases} v - v_{fbp} = \psi_{s.c} + \Delta \Delta \phi_{H} \\ \Delta \Delta \phi_{H} = (sc_{\Delta} s \phi)_{V} - (sc_{\Delta} s \phi)_{fbp} \end{cases}$$

Fig. 10. The schematic diagram of energy levels of the valence band and conduction band of a semiconductor and of an acceptor.



Fig. 11. Schematic illustration of the model proposed to describe the observed photocurrent behavior of semiconductor electrodes.



Fig. 12. The energy levels at a semiconductor solution interface



Fig. 13. Schematic diagram of the energy levels of a semiconductor with surface states in a vacuum.

v is given by

$$E_{\rm v} = -F(V - V_{\rm fbp}) \qquad [13]$$

where each of these potentials is on (e.g.) the N.H. scale. Therefore, $E_{\rm v}$ at the critical potential $E_{\rm crit}$ is given by

$$E_{\rm crit} = -F(V_{\rm crit} - V_{\rm fbp})$$

At the critical potential, it can be assumed that G(E) corresponds to an energy value of 0.1-0.2 eV from the ground state of H_3O^+ .

Therefore

E

$$\Delta H(e) \simeq -F(V_{\rm crit} - V_{\rm fbp}) \qquad [14]$$

From Eq. [12] and [14], a linear relation between $(V_{\rm fbp} - E_g/F)$ and $(V_{\rm crit} - V_{\rm fbp})$ is expected. Figure 14 shows this relation. The relation shows the impor-

Table V. Calculated values of the potential drop (volts) in the electric double layer at the flatband potential (see Eq. [10])

| Semiconductor | $\frac{1N}{s.c\Delta^{s}\phi}, V$ | $\frac{1N}{s.c} \stackrel{\text{H-SO}_{1}}{\Delta^{s}\phi}, \mathbf{V}$ |
|---------------|-----------------------------------|---|
| ZnTe | - 2.25 | - 1.42 |
| CdTe | -1.27 | -1.83 |
| GaAs | -1.20 | -0.77 |
| InP | -1.42 | |
| GaP | -2.07 | -1.12 |



Fig. 14. The relations between $V_{\rm fbp}$ — $E_{\rm g}/F$, and the critical potential with respect to the flatband potential in 1N NaOH (a) and 1N H₂SO₄ (b).

tance of any energy gap in respect to the critical potential. Although a small energy gap is required from the point of solar energy absorption, the smaller the energy gap, the more negative the critical potential (with respect to the flatband potential) and, therefore, the smaller the efficiency of the hydrogen production.

The quantum efficiency-wavelength relation.—Quantum efficiency-wavelength relation shown in Fig. 4-6 exhibit two features. (i) The quantum efficiency wavelength relation passes through a maximum. (ii) The height of the maximum decreases as the potential becomes more positive and therefore nearer to the flatband potential.

The position of the maximum can be interpreted by considering the photocurrent (i_p) as a function of the surface recombination.

Thus, i_{p} is given by

$$i_{\rm p} = f(i_{\rm electron\ arriving\ at\ surface}) - f(v_{\rm surface\ recombination})$$
[15]

As the wavelength decreases, the photon absorption increases and the number of electrons created per average photon increases and therefore the quantum efficiency. At sufficiently small wavelengths, the position of the average absorption of photons gets nearer to the surface. Hence, the effect of surface recombination will become more important and decrease the net current or quantum efficiency.

Stability.—The most outstanding differences in stability (Table III) are for ZnTe and CdTe.

Figures 15 and 16 (drawn for us by Dr. T. Ohashi) show the equilibrium potentials for several reactions relevant to ZnTe and CdTe. For CdTe, the equilibrium potential of hydrogen evolution at pH =14 is more negative than that of the decomposition of CdTe. Hence, CdTe will not decompose in the potential range of hydrogen evolution. For ZnTe, the equilibrium potential of hydrogen evolution is close to that of ZnTe decomposition. Transient behavior.—Surface recombination.—The transient behavior may be due to either a process in the semiconductor or a process in the surface of the electrode, or a process in solution.

In the former case, the behavior would be due to time dependence of the electron concentration at the surface. The time constant is 10^{-3} sec and could arise from the recombination process. Then, the number of excess electrons t sec after illumination, N(t), is

$$N(t) = N(1 - e^{-t/\tau})$$
 [16]

where N represents excess electrons at the steady state and τ is the lifetime of the excited electron. The num-



Fig. 15. Potential-pH equilibrium diagram for the system ZnTewater at 25°C.



Fig. 16. Potential-pH equilibrium diagram for the system CdTewater at 25°C.

ber of electrons t sec after illumination is turned off, N'(t), is

$$N'(t) = N e^{-t/\tau}$$
[17]

The time constant of diffusion is less than that of recombination process, so that

$$N_{\rm o}(t) = N_{\rm o}(1 - e^{t/\tau})$$
 [18]

when the light is on and

$$N'_{0}(t) = N_{0}e^{-t/\tau}$$
 [19]

when the light is off, where N_o is the steady-state concentration of excess electrons at the surface. Since the photocurrent, $i_p(t)$ is

$$i_{\rm p}(t) \alpha N_{\rm o}(t)$$
 [20]

the time dependence of the photocurrent is

$$i_{\rm p}(t) = i_{\rm st.} (1 - e^{-t/\tau})$$
 [21]

with illumination on, where $i_{st.}$ is the steady-state photocurrent. Also

$$i_{\rm p}(t) = i_{\rm st.} e^{-t/\tau}$$
 [22]

when the light is off.

The photocurrent according to Eq. [21] and [22] is shown in Fig. 17. The result of the behavior found in the present work was quite different from these predictions, especially when the electrode potential was near to the flatband potential (Fig. 17).

Hence, since the lifetime of excited electrons is $<10^{-3}$ sec, the transient behavior observed is due to surface electrochemical processes, such as the reduction of oxygen. Thus

$$i_{\rm p} = i_{\rm p,H_2} + i_{\rm p,red}$$

= $k_{\rm H_2}C_{\rm H_3O^+} + k_{\rm red}C_{\rm red}$ [23]

where $k_{\rm H2}$ and $k_{\rm red}$ are the rate constant for hydrogen evolution reaction and for some other reduction reaction, respectively.

Using Faraday's laws

$$i_{\rm p}(t) = i_{\rm p,H_2}C_{\rm H_3O^+} + k_{\rm red}C_{\rm o,red}\exp\left(-\frac{M}{\mathbf{F}}k_{\rm red}t\right) \quad [24]$$

where $C_{o,red}$ is the concentration of the species to be reduced at time zero.

From Eq. [24],
$$\log \frac{i(t) - i(\infty)}{i(0)}$$
 should be propor-

Acknowledgments

We thang Mr. H. Kimura of Mitsubishi Electric Company Limited, Dr. O. Mizuno of Nippon Electric Company Limited, Dr. K. Akita of Fujitsu Laboratory



Fig. 17. Schematic diagram of the transient behavior of the current after illumination and interruption of light. 1, Equations [21] and [22]; 2, experimental.



i(o)

in 1N H₂SO₄.

Limited, Professor von Munch of Technical University of Hannover, and Toyo Silicon Company Limited for their donation of semiconductor single crystals. Thanks are due to Dr. K. Ohashi for discussion. One of us (K.U.) thanks Flinders University for its Flinders University Research Scholarship.

Manuscript submitted Dec. 13, 1976; revised manuscript received April 1, 1977.

Any discussion of this paper will appear in a Discussion Section to be published in the June 1978 JOURNAL. All discussions for the June 1978 Discussion Section should be submitted by Feb. 1, 1978.

Publication costs of this article were assisted by Flinders University of South Australia.

REFERENCES

- A. Fujishima and K. Honda, Nature (London), 238, 37 (1972).
 W. Gissler, P. L. Lensi, and S. Pizzini, J. Appl. Electrochem., 6, 9 (1976).
 A. J. Nozik, Nature (London), 257, 383 (1975).
 M. S. Wrighton, D. S. Ginsley, P. T. Wolczauski, A. B. Ellis, D. L. Morse, and A. Lind, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci., U.S.A., 72, 1518 (1975).
 K. L. Hardee and A. J. Bard, This Journal, 122, 75 (1975).
 K. K. Kohayakawa and K. Honda

- (1975).
 A. Fujishima, K. Kohayakawa, and K. Honda, *ibid.*, 122, 1487 (1975).
 H. Yoneyama, H. Sakamoto, and H. Tamura, *Electrochim. Acta*, 20, 341 (1975).
 H. Gerischer, Z. Elektrochem., 59, 9 (1954).
 M. Sluyters-Rehbach and J. H. Sluyters, "Electro-analytical Chemictry: A Series of Advance," Vol.
- analytical Chemistry: A Series of Advance, Vol. 4, A. J. Bond, Editor, chap. 1, Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York (1970). 10. W. D. Baker and A. G. Milnes, This Journal, 119,
- 1269 (1972)
- 11. V. I. Sapritskii and N. G. Bardina, Elektrokhimiya,
- 18, 655 (1972).
 12. H. C. Gatos and M. C. Lavine, Prog. Semiconductors, 9, 1 (1965).
- 13. M. Aven and W. Garwacki, This Journal, 114, 1063 (1967).
- 14. J. Lowen and R. H. Rediker, ibid., 107, 26 (1960).
- 15. O. Mizuno, Private communication.
- 16. H. Gerischer and I. Mattes, Z. Phys. Chem. (N.F.), 49, 112 (1966).
- 17. K. H. Beckmann and R. Memming, This Journal, 116, 368 (1969).
- R. Memming and G. Schwandt, *Electrochim. Acta*, 13, 1299 (1968).
- R. Memming, This Journal, 116, 785 (1969).
 S. Mayumi, C. Iwakura, H. Yoneyama, and H. Tamura, Denki Kagaku, 44, 339 (1976).
 H. Yoneyama, H. Sakamoto, and H. Tamura, Electrochim. Acta, 20, 341 (1975).
 M. Clarie and P. Memmirg, L. Flastmannal, Cham.
- 22. M. Gleria and R. Memming, J. Electroanal. Chem., **65**, 163 (1975).
- J. O'M. Bockris and A. K. N. Reddy, "Modern Electrochemistry," Vol. 2, chap. VII, Plenum Press, New York (1970).
 A. R. Despic and J. O'M. Bockris, J. Chem. Phys.,
- 32, 389 (1960). 25. S. Trasatti, J. Electroanal. Chem., 52, 313 (1974)
- J. O'M. Bockris and S. O. Argade, J. Chem. Phys., 49, 5133 (1968).
- J. O'M. Bockris and K. Uosaki, Adv. Chem. Ser., Am. Chem. Soc., In press (1976).
 K. Uosaki, Ph.D. Thesis, The Flinders University of South Australia (1976).
- of South Australia (1976).