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Lack of Molecular–Anatomical Evidence for GABAergic Influence on Axon Initial Segment of Cerebellar Purkinje Cells by the Pinceau Formation

Atsushi Iwakura, Motokazu Uchigashima, Taisuke Miyazaki, Miwako Yamasaki, and Masahiko Watanabe

The axon initial segment (AIS) of cerebellar Purkinje cells (PCs) is embraced by ramified axons of GABAergic basket cells (BCs) called the pinceau formation. This unique structure has been assumed to be a device for the modulation of PC outputs through electrical and/or GABAergic inhibition. Electrical inhibition is supported by enriched potassium channels, absence of sodium channels, and developed septate-like junctions between BC axons. The neurochemical basis for GABAergic inhibition, however, has not been well investigated. Here we addressed this issue using C56BL/6 mice. First, we confirmed previous observations that typical synaptic contacts were rare and confined to proximal axonal portions, with the remaining portions being mostly covered by astrocytic processes. Then we examined the expression of molecules involved in GABAergic signaling, including GABA synthetic enzyme glutamic acid decarboxylase (GAD), vesicular GABA transporter (VGAT), cytomatrix active zone protein bassoon, GABA receptor GABA_A, and cell adhesion molecule neurexin-2. These molecules were recruited to form a functional assembly at perisomatic BC–PC synapses and along the AIS of hippocampal and neocortical pyramidal cells. GAD and VIAAT immunogold labeling was five times lower in the pinceau formation compared with perisomatic BC terminals and showed no accumulation toward the AIS. Moreover, bassoon, neurexin-2, and GABA_A formed no detectable clusters along the ankyrin-G-positive AIS proper. These findings indicate that GABAergic signaling machinery is organized loosely and even incompletely in the pinceau formation. Together, BCs do not appear to exert GABAergic synaptic inhibition on the AIS, although the mode of action of the pinceau formation remains to be explored.

Introduction

The axon initial segment (AIS) and node of Ranvier are characterized by a unique membrane undercoating and molecular organization specialized for impulse conduction (Ogawa and Rasband, 2008; Debanne and Rama, 2011). In these domains, voltage-gated sodium channels (Na_v) are densely accumulated to generate action potentials, and voltage-gated potassium channels (K_v) are to modulate their amplitude, duration, and frequency (Clark et al., 2009). In addition, specific cell adhesion molecules, scaffolds, and cytoskeletons, such as L1-CAM, ankyrin-G (AnkG), and bIV spectrin, are recruited to organize functional molecular assembly (Kordeli et al., 1995; Berghs et al., 2000). The AIS in cortical pyramidal and granule cells is the target for neuronal excitability modulation by specific GABAergic interneurons, called the axo-axonic or chandelier cells (Somogyi, 1977). At axo-axonic synapses, presynaptic elements contain GABA, the GABA synthetic enzyme glutamic acid decarboxylase (GAD), and the plasmalemmal GABA transporter GAT-1 (Peters et al., 1982; Freund et al., 1983; Somogyi et al., 1985; DeFelipe and González-Albo, 1998). Moreover, postsynaptic membranes express GABA_A receptors, particularly those containing the α2 subunit (Nusser et al., 1996). Thus, molecules required for GABAergic transmission are functionally organized in the AIS, which is thought to modulate the timing and frequency of action potential firing and contribute to synchronous oscillations in cortical regions (Howard et al., 2005).

Basket cells (BCs) strongly inhibit Purkinje cells (PCs) in the cerebellum. During early postnatal life, axon collaterals originating from three to seven BCs descend to form GABAergic perisomatic synapses and further embrace the AIS of PCs to construct the pinceau formation, a cone-shaped structure at the base of PC somata (Roman y Cajal, 1911; Gobel, 1971; Sotelo and Llinás, 1972; Palay and Chan-Palay, 1974; Rosina et al., 1999; Gianola et al., 2003; Ango et al., 2004; Sotelo, 2008; Ichikawa et al., 2011). The AIS of PCs is enriched with Na_v1.6, L1-CAM neurofascin, AnK, and bIV spectrin (Zhou et al., 1998; Jenkins and Bennett, 2001) and triggers action potentials (Foust et al., 2010). BC axons make direct contact with the AIS, but synaptic contacts are rare compared with the hippocampus and neocortex. Instead, the AIS is mostly covered with astrocytic processes in PCs (Palay, 1974; Somogyi and Hamori, 1976; Bobik et al., 2004; Sotelo, 2008).

Given the strategic location and similarity to the axon cap of teleost Mauthner cells, the pinceau formation has been assumed...
to regulate PC firing through GABAergic inhibition and electrical inhibition by imposing a passive hyperpolarizing potential on the AIS (Korn and Axelrad, 1980; Gabbott et al., 1986). Distinct molecular–anatomical organizations in the pinceau formation, such as high KV expression, absence of NaV, and developed septate-like junctions (Sotelo and Llina’s, 1972; Wang et al., 1994; Laube et al., 1996; Bobik et al., 2004), are thought to support the hypothesized electrical inhibition. However, findings regarding GABAergic inhibition are still fragmented and inconclusive. In the present study, we compare the molecular architecture in the pinceau formation with that in perisomatic BC–PC synapses and cortical axo-axonic synapses. Here we report the lack of neurochemical evidence for GABAergic inhibition.

Materials and Methods

Animal and section preparation. C57BL/6 mice of either sex at 2 months of age (adult) and postnatal day 13 were used. Under deep anesthesia with pentobarbital (100 mg/kg body weight, i.p.), mice were fixed transcardially with 4% paraformaldehyde in 0.1M phosphate buffer (PB), pH 7.2, for light microscopy, 2% paraformaldehyde/2% glutaraldehyde in PB for conventional electron microscopy, and 4% paraformaldehyde/0.1% glutaraldehyde in PB for immunoelectron microscopy. Sections for light microscopy (50 μm in thickness) and postembedding immunogold (400 μm) were prepared with a microslicer (VT1000S; Leica). For postembedding immunogold electron microscopy, sections were cryoprotected with 30% sucrose in 0.1M PB and frozen rapidly with liquid propane in an EM CPC unit (Leica). Frozen sections were immersed in 0.5% uranyl acetate in methanol at 45°C in an AFS freeze-substitution unit (Leica), infiltrated at −45°C with Lowicryl HM-20 resin (Lowi), and polymerized with UV light. For conventional electron microscopy, cerebellar

Table 1. Primary antibodies used in the present study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Molecule</th>
<th>Sequence (NCBI #)</th>
<th>Host</th>
<th>Specificity</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AnkG</td>
<td>1708–1726 (NP_733924.2)</td>
<td>Rb/GP</td>
<td>IB/PT</td>
<td>Present study (Fig. 1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bassoon</td>
<td>Rat bassoon</td>
<td>Ms-mAb</td>
<td>IB/PT</td>
<td>Assay designs (VAM-PS003)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaR8</td>
<td>33–61 (BC010773)</td>
<td>Rb/GP/Go</td>
<td>IB/HEX</td>
<td>Patrizi et al. (2008)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GABA&lt;sub&gt;B&lt;/sub&gt;GABA&lt;sub&gt;A&lt;/sub&gt;Rx1</td>
<td>369–386 (NM_010250)</td>
<td>Rb/GP</td>
<td>IB/HEK</td>
<td>Ichikawa et al. (2011)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GAD</td>
<td>268–593 (A28072)</td>
<td>Rb/Go</td>
<td>IB/PT</td>
<td>Yamada et al. (2001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GAT-1</td>
<td>1–46/564–599 (NM_178703)</td>
<td>Rb/GP</td>
<td>IB/HEX</td>
<td>Present study (Fig. 2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GLAST</td>
<td>503–553 (NM148938)</td>
<td>Rb/GP/Go</td>
<td>IB/HEX</td>
<td>Shibata et al. (1997)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KV&lt;sub&gt;1.1&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>478–492 (NM_010595)</td>
<td>Rb/GP</td>
<td>IB/HEX/PT</td>
<td>Present study (Fig. 8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KV&lt;sub&gt;1.2&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>482–496 (NM_008477)</td>
<td>Rb/GP</td>
<td>IB/HEX/PT</td>
<td>Present study (Fig. 8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pan-NaV</td>
<td>1490–1508 (NM_018733)</td>
<td>Rb</td>
<td>IB/PT</td>
<td>Present study (Fig. 11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PV</td>
<td>1–110 (NM_013645)</td>
<td>Rb/GP/Go</td>
<td>IB/HEX</td>
<td>Present study (Fig. 6)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NCBI, National Center for Biotechnology Information; Ms-mAb, mouse monoclonal antibody; Go, goat polyclonal antibody; GP, guinea pig polyclonal antibody; HEK, immunoblot with transfected HEK cell lysates; IB, immunoblot with brain homogenates; Rb, rabbit polyclonal antibody; PT, preabsorption test.
slices were postfixed in 2% osmium tetroxide for 1 h, stained in block with 2% uranyl acetate for 20 min, dehydrated, and embedded in Epon 812. Ultrathin sections were prepared with an ultramicrotome (UltraCut; Leica).

**Antibodies.** In the present study, we produced polyclonal antibodies against mouse AnkG, GAT-1, K\(_{\text{v}}\) 1.1, K\(_{\text{v}}\) 1.2, Na\(_{\text{v}}\) 1.1, and neurofilament-2 (NL2), whose antigen sequences and host species are summarized in Table 1. Glutathione S-transferase fusion proteins were used for antigens of GAT-1 and NL2 antibodies by subcloning their cDNA fragments into the BamHI/EcoRI site of the pGEX4T-2 plasmid (GE Healthcare). Synthetic peptides coupled to keyhole limpet hemocyanin were used for antigens of AnkG, K\(_{\text{v}}\) 1.1, K\(_{\text{v}}\) 1.2, and Na\(_{\text{v}}\) 1.1 antibodies. Because the sequence of amino acid residues 1490–1508 of Na\(_{\text{v}}\) 1.1 is common to all Na\(_{\text{v}}\) subunits (Na\(_{\text{v}}\),1–1,–Na\(_{\text{v}}\) 1,9), the produced antibody was termed pan-Na\(_{\text{v}}\) antibody. Immunization and affinity purification were performed as reported previously (Watanabe et al., 1998). The specificity of the raised antibodies was tested by immunoblot with mouse brain homogenates, transfected human embryonic kidney (HEK293T) cells using pTracer–CMV2 mammalian expression vectors (Invitrogen), and preabsorption testing. We also used antibodies against bassoon, carbonic anhydrase-8 (Car8), GABA\(_{\text{A}}\) receptor α1 subunit (GABA\(_{\text{A}}\) α1), 65/67 kDa GAD, plasmalemmal glutamate transporter GLT-1 and plasmalemmal glutamate-aspartate transporter GLAST, parvalbumin (PV), and vesicular inhibitory amino acid transporter (VIAAT), whose antigen sequences, host species, specificity, and references are summarized in Table 1.

**Immunohistochemistry.** All immunohistochemical incubations were performed using the free-floating method at room temperature. For immunofluorescence, microslicer sections were successively incubated with 10% normal donkey serum for 20 min, followed by a mixture of primary antibodies overnight (1 μg/ml). The following day, sections were incubated, after washing, in a mixture of Alexa Fluor 488-labeled, indocarbocyanine (Cy3)-labeled, or indodicarbocyanine (Cy5)-labeled species-specific secondary antibodies for 2 h at a dilution of 1:200 (Invitrogen; Jackson Immunoresearch). PBS was used as dilution and washing buffers. When necessary, sections were subjected to antigen-exposing pretreatment with 1 mg/ml pepsin (Dako) in 0.2N HCl at 37°C for 0.5–2 min (Watanabe et al., 1998). Images were taken with a confocal laser-scanning microscope (FV1000; Olympus) equipped with helium–neon/argon laser and PlanApo (10×/0.40) and PlanApoN (60×/1.42, oil-immersion) objective lens (Olympus). To avoid cross-talk between multiple fluorophores, Alexa Fluor 488, Cy3, and Cy5 fluorescent signals were acquired sequentially using the 488, 543, and 633 nm excitation laser lines. All images show single optical sections (640 × 640 pixels; pixel size, 110 nm).

For preembedding silver-enhanced immunogold electron microscopy, microslicer sections were incubated with primary antibodies (1 μg/ml) overnight and then with 1.4 nm gold particle-conjugated anti-guinea pig IgG (Nanogold; Nanoprobes) for 6 h. The sections were silver-enhanced with the HQ silver kit (Nanoprobes), post-fixed for 30 min with 1% osmium tetroxide in PB, block-stained overnight with 1% aqueous uranyl acetate solution, dehydrated using graded alcohols, and embedded in Epon 812. In postembedding immunogold electron microscopy, ultrathin sections on nickel grids were etched with saturated sodium-sulfate solution for 1–5 s and treated successively with 2% normal goat serum for 20 min, primary antibodies (20 μg/ml for each) overnight, and 10 nm gold particles-conjugated anti-rabbit IgG (1:100; British Bio Cell International) for 2 h. Tris-buffered saline (TBS), pH 7.4, containing 0.03% Triton X-100 was used as dilution and washing buffers. After extensive washing, sections were fixed with 2% glutaraldehyde in TBS for 15 min and 1% osmium tetroxide for 20 min, stained with 2% uranyl acetate for 10 min, and Reynold’s lead citrate solution for 1 min. Images were obtained using an H-7100 electron microscope (Hitachi).

**Immunoblot.** Mouse whole brains were homogenized using a Potter homogenizer with 15 strokes at 1000 rpm in 10 vol of ice-cold homogenizer buffer (0.32 M sucrose, 1 mM EDTA, 1 mM EGTA, 10 mM Tris-HCl, 0.1 M KCl, pH 7.4).

---

**Figure 2.** The AIS of PCs is mostly covered by astrocytic processes. A, Triple immunofluorescence for GLT-1 (green; marker for astrocytes), Car8 (blue; PCs), and PV (red; PCs and BCs) is displayed in three combinations of fluorescent image pairs (A1, GLT1 and Car8; A2, PV and Car8; A3, GLT1 and PV). Arrows indicate PC axons descending in the pinceau formation. B, Immunoblot showing the specificity of GABA transporter GAT-1 antibodies raised against two sequences (1–46 and 564–599 aa residues of mouse GAT-1). Both antibodies recognize single protein bands at 75 kDa in mouse brain homogenates (left lane) and HEK cell lysates transfected with mouse GAT-1 cDNA (middle) but not mouse GAT-3 (right) cDNA. Presumably because of different protein modifications, GAT-1 expressed in HEK cells are detected as two major bands at 60 and 100 kDa. C, Immunofluorescence with rabbit GAT-1 (1–46) antibody. Intense labeling is found in putative BC axons surrounding PC somata and in the pinceau formation. Asterisks indicate negative silhouettes of PC somata. Similar patterns of immunofluorescence were reproduced using rabbit GAT-1 (564–599) antibody (data not shown). D, Double immunofluorescence for GAT-1 (green) and GLT-1 (red) in the pinceau formation. Arrow indicates a PC axon descending in the pinceau formation. E, Consecutive images from preembedded immunoelectron microscopy for GLT-1 in the pinceau formation. The surface of the AIS is primarily covered by thin processes with low or negative GLT-1 labeling (filled arrowheads), which are continuous in adjacent sections to electron-lucent astrocytic processes with heavy GLT-1 labeling (open arrowheads). Scale bars: A, C, D, 5 μm; E, 250 nm.
Results
Infrequent synaptic contact at the AIS of PCs
We examined the AIS of mouse PCs by conventional electron microscopy (Fig. 1). Cylindrical axons descended from the conical apex at the base of PC somata, called the axon hillock (Fig. 1A). As reported previously (Palay et al., 1968; Palay and Chan-Palay, 1974; Peters et al., 1976; Somogyi and Hamori, 1976), the pinceau formation consisted of densely packed finger-like profiles of BC axons, abundant in mitochondria, whereas PC axons surrounded by the pinceau formation were characterized by the presence of fasciculated microtubules and an electron-dense undercoating of the axolemma. The undercoating started at a short distance from the beginning of PC axons (Fig. 1B, arrows).

BC axons frequently formed symmetrical contacts on PC somata, including the axon hillock (Fig. 1A, red profiles, B, D). These somatic contacts displayed typical synaptic specializations, i.e., vesicle accumulation in presynaptic terminals, parallel apposition of the presynaptic and postsynaptic membranes, and electron-dense material associated with synaptic membranes and cleft (Fig. 1B, D). In the AIS, however, most of the BC axon profiles (Fig. 1A, purple profiles, B, D) were separated from the AIS with thin sheets of putative astrocytic processes. In 75 AIS profiles examined from two adult mice, we observed typical synaptic contact only at proximal axonal portions, in which the membrane undercoating had not started (Fig. 1A, B). No typical synaptic specializations were seen at the site of direct BC–AIS contact in the remaining PC axons, although axolemmal ruffling and coated pits were often discerned at these sites (Fig. 1A, green profile, E, arrowheads). Measurement using electron micrographs revealed that BC axons covered only 9.2% of the total surface of the AIS (7.1 of 77.7 μm), and the remaining surface was covered by putative astrocytic processes (Fig. 1B, E). A similar anatomical configuration was also observed in the AIS of PCs at postnatal day 13 (Fig. 1F), when the pinceau formation starts to be differentiated (Ango et al., 2004; Sotelo, 2008).

To cytochemically ascertain that the major covering elements were astrocytes, we performed triple immunofluorescence for GLT-1 (Fig. 2A, red), an astrocytic plasma membrane glutamate transporter (Chaudhry et al., 1995), Car8 (Fig. 2A, B, green), a carbonic anhydrase isomorph selective to PCs (Jiao et al., 2005; Patrizi et al., 2008), and PV (Fig. 2A, blue), a calcium-binding protein expressed in PCs and BCs (Kosaka et al., 1993). In the pinceau formation, BC axons were visualized as PV-positive (PV+ / Car8-negative elements surrounding PV+ / Car8+ PC axons. Inside the pinceau formation, GLT-1+ astrocytic processes were elaborately interdigitated with BC axons. The intense axoglial interdigitation was better appreciated using double immunofluorescence for GLT-1 (Fig. 2A, D) or GLAST, another astrocytic plasma membrane glutamate transporter (data not shown).

We then used preembedding silver-enhanced immunogold electron microscopy for GLT-1 (Fig. 2E). Heavy labeling of metal particles was consistently observed on electron-lucent astrocytic

pH 7.2, and 0.4 mM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride). Nuclei and large debris were removed by centrifugation at 1000 × g for 10 min. The protein concentration was determined by the Lowry’s method (Lowry et al., 1951). Homogenates were denatured with 50 mM (±)-di-thiothreitol at 65°C for 15 min. Proteins (20 μg/lane) were separated by SDS-PAGE and electroblotted onto Immobilon-P Transfer Membrane (Millipore). After blocking with 5% skimmed milk for 30 min, membranes were incubated with primary antibodies (1 μg/ml) for 1 h and peroxidase-conjugated secondary antibodies for 1 h (1:10,000; Jackson ImmunoResearch). TBS containing 0.1% Tween 20 was used as dilution and washing buffers. Immunoreaction was visualized with an ECL chemiluminescence detection system (GE Healthcare).

Figure 3. The specificity of AnkG and pan-NaV antibody and their colocalization in the AIS. A, Immunoblot with guinea pig AnkG antibody and rabbit pan-NaV antibody that recognize major bands at 200 or 250 kDa, respectively. B, Double immunofluorescence for AnkG (red; B1, B2), Car8 (green; B1) in the cerebellar cortex. Arrow indicates a PC axon labeled for AnkG. C, Abolished immunofluorescent labeling in the cerebellar cortex with use of preabsorbed AnkG antibody. D, Double immunofluorescence for Nav (red; D1, D2), Car8 (green; D1) in the cerebellar cortex. E, Double immunofluorescence for AnkG (red; E1, E3), Na+ (green; E1, E2). Note colabeling in the AIS of PCs (arrow). F, G, Postembedding immunogold electron microscopy showing selective labeling for AnkG (F) and Na+ (G) in the membrane undercoating (arrows). Scale bars: B–D, 10 μm; E, 5 μm; F, G, 250 nm.
processes enwrapping finger-like BC axon profiles (asterisks). In contrast, thin sheets enwrapping the AIS (filled arrowheads) were relatively high in electron density and were faint or negative in GLT-1 labeling. When investigated on serial sections, the thin sheets were found to be continuous with electron-lucent astrocytic processes expressing GLT-1 (open arrowheads), suggesting molecular/structural specialization of astrocytic processes enwrapping the AIS. Thus, consistent with the previous studies (Somogyi and Hamori, 1976; Sotelo, 2008), the surface of the AIS in PCs is mainly covered by astrocytes. This, together with infrequent synaptic contacts, suggests that the AIS of PCs is an unlikely target for GABAergic innervation.

Limited molecular machinery for GABA synthesis and release in BC axons surrounding AIS

We examined the expression of molecules involved in GABAergic signaling in the pinceau formation to obtain the neurochemical basis of its function. To this end, we produced an antibody to AnkG, a scaffolding protein in the AIS and the node of Ranvier (Kordeli et al., 1995), and used it as a marker of the AIS. The specificity of AnkG antibody was determined by immunoblot detection of a single major band at 200 kDa (Fig. 3A), intense immunohistochemical labeling of putative AISs (Fig. 3B), the lack of immunohistochemical labeling when preabsorbed antibody was used (Fig. 3C), and overlapping distribution with NaV at putative AISs (Fig. 3D,E). Indeed, postembedding immunogold electron microscopy demonstrated selective labeling in the membrane undercoating of the AIS for both AnkG and NaV (Fig. 3F,G).

We compared the expression of three presynaptic molecules: the GABA synthetic enzyme GAD (Kaufman et al., 1986), the vesicular GABA transporter VIAAT (McIntire et al., 1997), and cytomatrix active zone protein bassoon (tom Dieck et al., 1998), vesicular GABA transporter VIAAT (McIntire et al., 1997), and the GABA synthetic enzyme GAD (Kaufman et al., 1986), the VIAAT immunoreactivities were weak and diffuse in the (Oertel et al., 1981; Chaudhry et al., 1998). Clearly, GAD and pinceau formation, in which no particular accumulation or PC somata (Fig. 4A), red, arrowhead), as reported previously (Richter et al., 1999; Fritschy and Panzanelli, 2006; Patrizi et al., 2004). The specificity of NL2 antibody was shown by immunoblot detection of a single major band at 100 kDa (Fig. 6B). Specificity was further seen with close apposition to GAD+ puncta on somata, dendrites, and synaptic glomeruli (Fig. 6C) and the lack of immunohistochemical staining with preabsorbed NL2 antibody (data not shown).

Lack of GABA<sub>R1</sub> and NL2 expression along the AnkG + AIS portion

We then investigated the expression of two postsynaptic molecules at GABAergic synapses, i.e., GABA<sub>R1</sub>, a major GABA<sub>A</sub> receptor subunit in PCs (Fritschy and Panzanelli, 2006), and NL2, a cell adhesion molecule at GABAergic synapses (Varoqueaux et al., 2004). The specificity of NL2 antibody was shown by immunoblot detection of a single major band at 100 kDa in both mouse brain homogenates and HEK cell lysates transfected with NL2 cDNA (Fig. 6B). Specificity was further seen with close apposition to GAD+ puncta on somata, dendrites, and synaptic glomeruli (Fig. 6C) and the lack of immunohistochemical staining with preabsorbed NL2 antibody (data not shown).

GABA<sub>R1</sub> and NL2 were clustered on the surface of Car8 + PC somata (Fig. 6A,D, single arrowheads), as reported previously (Richter et al., 1999; Fritschy and Panzanelli, 2006; Patrizi et al., 2008). However, no such clusters were found along AnkG + portions of the AIS (Fig. 4A,D, arrows; 58 and 43 AISs analyzed for GABA<sub>R1</sub> and NL2, respectively). Occasionally, clusters of GABA<sub>R1</sub> and NL2 were found at the beginning or very proximal portions of PC axons. These portions were located at areas lacking AnkG (Fig. 4A, double arrowheads). The post-
Distinct molecular organization at AIS of hippocampal pyramidal cells

In cortical regions of the telencephalon, axo-axonic or chandelier cells selectively and densely target the AIS of pyramidal cells (Somogyi, 1977; Somogyi et al., 1982). For comparison, the configuration of the five molecules was examined in the hippocampal CA3 region (Fig. 8A–E) and somatosensory cortex (Fig. 8F–J) by triple immunofluorescence for AnkG (green), GAD (blue), and one of VIAAT, bassoon, NL2, or GABA_A_R1 (red). AnkG^+ AISs originated from the base of pyramidal cell somata (Fig. 8A,F, arrows). Many GAD^+ boutons were aligned along AnkG^+ AISs (Fig. 8B–E,G–J, arrows), as is the case at the somatic surface (arrowheads). Furthermore, GAD^+ boutons in the AIS were overlapped almost completely with VIAAT (Fig. 8B,G, arrows) and associated with bassoon (Fig. 8C,H, arrows), NL2 (Fig. 8D,I, arrows), and GABA_A_R1 (Fig. 8E,J, arrows) clusters. Therefore, unlike PCs, a set of GABAergic molecules is recruited to axo-axonic contacts on the AIS of cortical pyramidal cells.

BC axons in the pinceau formation are known to highly express Shaker-type potassium channels K_v1.1 and K_v1.2 and their scaffolding protein postsynaptic density-95 (PSD-95) (Kistner et al., 1993; Wang et al., 1994; Laube et al., 1996; Fukaya and Watanabe, 2000). Using specific antibodies (Fig. 9A; Table 1), we confirmed intense labeling for K_v1.1, K_v1.2, and PSD-95 in the pinceau formation, whereas they appeared very low or negative in the AIS of PCs (Fig. 9B–D). In contrast, K_v1.1 and K_v1.2 were expressed on the AIS but not in terminals of axo-axonic cells in hippocampal CA3 pyramidal cells (Fig. 9E,F). PSD-95 was below the threshold of immunofluorescence detection in both the AIS and terminals (Fig. 9G). Thus, the organization of GABAergic signaling molecules and Shaker-type potassium channels at axo-axonic contacts in PCs are quite distinct from those in cortical pyramidal cells.

Discussion

The present study examined the molecular architecture required for GABAergic signaling in the pinceau formation. Our neurochemical data argue against a role for GABAergic inhibition within this structure.

Palay et al. (1968) found only one synapsing BC terminal from some 60 AIS profiles of rat PCs. Through three-dimensional reconstruction of 17 AISs with electron microscopic serial sections, Somogyi and Hamori (1976) counted 2.9 and 3.5 axo-axonic synapses per AIS in the rat and cat, respectively. Most of these synapses were located in the proximal one-third of the AIS. They further revealed that glial elements cover as much as 85.6 and 74.2% of the total AIS surface in the rat and cat, respectively. In the pinceau formation, septate-like junctions develop at contacts between finger-like processes of BC axons and also between BC axons and AISs. Interestingly, the vicinity of the latter contact lacks synaptic specialization, such as vesicle accumulation and membrane densities (Gobel, 1971; Sotelo and Llinás, 1972). In the present study, we examined 75 AIS profiles in mouse PCs and found 90.8% coverage by astrocytic elements. Although typical synaptic contacts were found at the very proximal portion of PC axons, we failed to find typical synaptic specializations in the remaining portions of the AIS. This may attributable to species difference or the lack of complete serial reconstruction of single AISs. Nevertheless, the rarity of axo-axonic synaptic contacts in PCs is common to all these studies and contrasts with frequent formation in the neocortex, hippocampus, and amygdala (for review, see Howard et al., 2005; Fig. 8). In these telencephalic regions, axo-axonic cells form terminal cartridges consisting of synaptic membrane was labeled for GABA_A_R1 and NL2 at perisomatic BC synapses (Fig. 7A, C, filled arrowheads) using postembedding immunogold electron microscopy. The mean number per 1 μm of the postsynaptic membrane was 7.93 (the total measured length, 8.64 μm). However, no immunogold particles were found at BC–AIS contacts (Fig. 7B, open arrowheads; the total measured length, 2.49 μm). The density of NL2 labeling was 3.50 and 0 at perisomatic BC synapses and BC–AIS contacts (the total measured length, 5.14 and 1.64 μm), respectively. Therefore, in contrast to perisomatic BC synapses, GABA_A_R1 and NL2 are not clustered along the spike-generating portion of AIS.

Figure 5. Preembedding (A, B) and postembedding (C–H) immunogold electron microscopy showing loose and incomplete assembly of presynaptic molecules for GABAergic synaptic transmission around the AIS of PCs. A–D: GAD, E, F, VIAAT, G, H, Bassoon (Bass). BC synapses on PC somata (So) are shown in A, C, E, and G (filled arrowheads), whereas BC axons contacting the AIS of PCs are in B, D, F, and H (open arrowheads). Asterisks indicate BC axons and terminals. Scale bars, 250 nm.
2–12 boutons along individual AISs. Single axo-axonic cells innervate as many as 250 (in the cortex) to 1200 (in the hippocampus) pyramidal cells (Somogyi et al., 1982; Li et al., 1992).

The above electron microscopic studies have clearly illustrated the unique structural features of the pinceau formation and the AIS of PCs. To clarify whether, and how, the molecular machinery for GABAergic transmission is organized in the pinceau formation, we investigated immunohistochemical localization of GAD, VIAAT, bassoon, NL2, and GABA_A1i, because all are highly accumulated at GABAergic synapses on somatodendritic elements in PCs (Oertel et al., 1982; Fritschy and Mohler, 1995; Chaudhry et al., 1998; Richter et al., 1999; Varoqueaux et al., 2004). Our examination confirmed that these molecules were clustered at perisomatic sites of PCs, thus ensuring the specificity and sensitivity of the present immunohistochemistry. Moreover, all these molecules were clearly and numerously detected along the AIS of pyramidal cells in the hippocampus and somatosensory cortex (Fig. 8). The functional organization of GABAergic molecules is consistent with previous studies demonstrating the localization of GABA and GAT-1 in presynaptic terminals of cortical and hippocampal axo-axonic cells (Peters et al., 1982; Somogyi et al., 1985; DeFelipe and Gonzalez-Albo, 1998). The localization of GABA_A1i and GABA_A2i in the AIS of hippocampal pyramidal cells (Nusser et al., 1996) also support the functional organization seen in our study. GAD and VIAAT were detected in the pinceau formation, but their density of immunogold labeling was five times lower compared with perisomatic BC terminals. In addition, no particular accumulation toward the AIS was observed for these presynaptic molecules. Most importantly, no vis-}
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**Figure 6.** Immunofluorescence showing the lack of postsynaptic molecules required for GABAergic synapses on the AIS of PCs. **A**, Triple immunofluorescence for AnkG (green; A1), Car8 (blue; A2), and GABA_A1i (red; A1, A2) in PCs. Note that GABA_A1i-immunoreactive clusters are found on Car8-labeled somata or the axon hillock of PCs (arrowheads) but not on the AIS colabeled for AnkG and Car8 (arrows). A double arrowhead indicates a GABA_A1i cluster on an AnkG-lacking portion of PC axon. **B**, Immunoblot showing the specificity of rabbit NL2 antibody. Single protein bands at 100 kDa are detected in HEX cell lysates transfected (left lane) with NL2 cDNA and mouse brain homogenates (right lane) but not in nontransfected HEX cell lysates (mock, middle lane). **C**, Double immunofluorescence for NL2 (red) and GAD (green). Extensive colocalization in the neuropil and around PC somata supports the specificity of NL2 immunolabeling. **D**, Triple immunofluorescence for AnkG (green), Car8 (blue), and NL2 (red) in PCs. Note that NL2^+ puncta are detected on Car8-labeled PC soma (arrowheads) but not on AnkG-labeled AISs (arrow). Scale bars: 5 μm; C, D, 10 μm.

GAT-1 is highly expressed in BC axons (Morara et al., 1996; Fig. 2C,D). Synaptic action of GABA released by Ca^{2+}-dependent vesicular release is terminated by GAT-mediated uptake into neighboring neurons and glial cells. GAT also mediates Ca^{2+}-independent nonvesicular release of GABA via reverse transport (Attwell et al., 1993). Because GAT cotransports GABA, 2Na\(^{+}\), and Cl\(^{-}\), reverse transport preferably occurs if the membrane potential is made positive enough or if [Na\(^{+}\)]\_o rises to a high enough level. Accordingly, it has been postulated that GAT contributes to spatiotemporal restriction of GABAergic inhibition by decreasing [GABA]\_o in the physiological state and also strengthening of GABAergic inhibition by increasing [GABA]\_o on excessive activities of excitatory synapses. This molecular function will be important as a homeostatic and protective mechanism at conventional GABAergic synapses. However, it is difficult to apply this notion to the pinceau formation, because GABAergic molecular machinery is loosely and incompletely organized and also because the pinceau formation lacks Nav (Laube et al., 1996) (Fig. 4D,E). Thus, it seems unlikely that GAT-1 enriched in the pinceau formation mediates GABA release via reversed operation of this transporter.

It has been proposed that the pinceau formation regulates the excitability of PCs by electrical inhibition (Korn and Axelrad,
This hypothesis is based on the structural similarity of the pinceau formation to the axon cap of the telodost Mauthner cell (Sotelo and Llinás, 1972; Nakajima and Kohno, 1978; Triller and Korn, 1980) and also the fact that the AIS of the Mauthner cell is hyperpolarized by an inward current originating from adjacent fibers (Furukawa and Furshpan, 1963). The failure in active impulse conduction in those terminals enables them to serve as passive current sources, with extracellular high resistivity allowing the current to channel mainly into the AIS of the Mauthner cell (Furukawa and Furshpan, 1963; Weiss and Faber, 2010). This in turn may prevent active impulse conduction in the pinceau formation. Similarly, septate junctions developed in the pinceau formation, which have been postulated to form high resistance elements (Sotelo and Llinás, 1972; Faber and Korn, 1989), may allow currents to preferentially channel into the AIS of PCs and lead to hyperpolarization. In contrast, terminals of cortical axo-axonic cells lack the expression of Kv1.1, Kv1.2, and PSD-95. Instead, Kv1.1 and Kv1.2 are expressed in the AIS of cortical pyramidal cells (Furukawa and Furshpan, 1963; Weiss and Faber, 2010). Hence, the unique molecular–structural configuration in the pinceau formation can be taken to support the electrical inhibition hypothesis, although its experimental proof and functional role remain elusive.

Together, it is thus possible that BCs exert two modes of inhibition at two distinct PC domains; GABAergic inhibition on PC somata by dense perisomatic synapses, and electrical inhibition on the AIS via the pinceau formation. In this regard, referring to the pinceau formation as “pinceau synapses” (Ango et al., 2004) is inappropriate and will mislead our understanding on this specialized cerebellar structure.
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Figure 9. Distinct expression of Shaker-type potassium channels, Kv1.1 and Kv1.2, and their scaffold PSD-95 at axo-axonic contacts onto cerebellar PCs and hippocampal pyramidal cells. A, Immunoblot showing the specificity of rabbit Kv1.1 and Kv1.2 antibodies. In the left, rabbit Kv1.1 antibody detects a 100 kDa protein band in brain homogenates and a 60 kDa band in HEK cell lysates transfected with mouse Kv1.1 but not mouse Kv1.2 cDNA (right). In the right, Kv1.2 antibody detects a 90 kDa protein band in brain homogenates (left lane) and a 60 kDa band in HEK cell lysates transfected with mouse Kv1.2 (right) but not mouse Kv1.1 cDNA (middle). Different-sized bands seen in brain homogenates and HEK cell lysates may reflect different protein modifications. B–D, Triple immunofluorescence for Car8 (green; B1, C1, D1), PV (blue; B1, C1, D1), and one of Kv1.1 (red; B1, B2), Kv1.2 (red; C1, C2), and PSD-95 (red; D1, D2) in the pinceau formation (arrows). Note the enrichment of Kv1.1, Kv1.2, and PSD-95 in the pinceau formation. E–G, Triple immunofluorescence for AnkG (green; E1, F1, G1), PV (blue; E1, F1, G1), and one of Kv1.1 (red; E1, E2), Kv1.2 (red; F1, F2), and PSD-95 (red; G1, G2) at axo-axonic contacts of hippocampal CA3 pyramidal cells. Note the lack of Kv1.1, Kv1.2, and PSD-95 in terminals of axo-axonic synapses in hippocampal CA3 pyramidal cells (arrowheads). Kv1.1 and Kv1.2 are instead detected on the AnkG-AdS. Scale bars, 10 μm.


