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Group I Metabotropic Glutamate Receptor Signaling via $G_\alpha q/G_\alpha 11$ Secures the Induction of Long-Term Potentiation in the Hippocampal Area CA1
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Heterotromeric G-proteins of the Gq family are thought to transduce signals from group I metabotropic glutamate receptors (mGlurRs) in central neurons. We investigated roles of this cascade in hippocampal long-term potentiation (LTP) by using null-mutant mice lacking the $\alpha$ subunit of Gq ($G_\alpha q$) or G11 ($G_\alpha 11$). We found no obvious abnormalities in the morphology, layer structure, expression of NMDA receptors, and basic parameters of excitatory synaptic transmission in the hippocampus of $G_\alpha q$ mutant mice. We used theta burst stimulation (TBS) (3–10 burst trains at 5 Hz; each train consisted of five stimuli at 100 Hz) to induce LTP at Schaffer collateral to CA1 pyramidal cell synapses. Conventional TBS with 10 burst trains induced robust LTP in wild-type, $G_\alpha q$ mutant, and $G_\alpha 11$ mutant mice. Weak TBS with three burst trains consistently induced LTP in wild-type mice. In contrast, the same weak TBS was insufficient to induce LTP in $G_\alpha q$ and $G_\alpha 11$ mutant mice. In wild-type mice, the LTP by weak TBS was abolished by inhibiting group I mGlurR or protein kinase C (PKC) but not by blocking muscarinic acetylcholine receptors. Prior activation of group I mGlurR by an agonist significantly enhanced the LTP by weak TBS in wild-type mice. However, this priming effect was absent in $G_\alpha q$ mutant mice. These results indicate that the signaling from group I mGlurR to PKC involving $G_\alpha q/G_\alpha 11$ does not constitute the main pathway for LTP, but it secures LTP induction by lowering its threshold in the hippocampal area CA1.
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Long-term potentiation (LTP) and long-term depression (LTD) in the hippocampal area CA1 are widely thought to be cellular bases for certain forms of learning and memory (Bliss and Collingridge, 1993; Bear and Malenka, 1994; Nicoll and Malenka, 1995; Bear and Abraham, 1996). Several previous studies suggest that metabotropic glutamate receptors (mGlurRs) are involved in the processes of LTP or LTD in the CA1. The mGlurRs consist of eight different subtypes, from mGlurR1 to mGlurR8, and are classified into groups I, II, and III (Nakanishi, 1994; Conn and Pin, 1997). The group I mGlurR consists of mGlurR1 and mGlurR5 and couples to the Gq family heterotrimeric G-protein and phospholipase C (PLC)$\beta$, leading to triggering of inositol-1,4,5-trisphosphate (1P3)-mediated Ca$^{2+}$ release and to activation of protein kinase C (PKC) (Masu et al., 1991; Abe et al., 1992). Although several studies have addressed the involvement of group I mGlurR in LTP or LTD in the CA1, the results remain controversial. For example, several researchers report that an antagonist of group I/II mGlurRs, (R,S)-amino-methyl-4-carboxyphenylglycine (MCPG), inhibits LTP induction (Bashir et al., 1993; Riedel and Reymann, 1993; Bortolotto et al., 1994; Richter-Levin et al., 1994), whereas others found no effect (Chinestra et al., 1993; Manzoni et al., 1994; Selig et al., 1995; Thomas et al., 1995).

CA1 pyramidal cells strongly express mGlur5 that is densely concentrated at the perisynaptic region of the dendritic spines facing the excitatory synaptic terminals (Lujañ and Thomas, 1996; Lujañ et al., 1997). In contrast, mGlur1 is not found on their dendrites (Shigemoto et al., 1997), indicating that mGlur5 is a major receptor at CA1 excitatory synapses that activates the Gq-PLC$\beta$ cascade. It is reported that the null-mutant mice lacking mGlur5 have a partial impairment in NMDA receptor-dependent LTP (Lu et al., 1997). Several previous studies have suggested an involvement of PKC in LTP. Postsynaptic activation of PKC is required for the induction of LTP (Wang and Feng, 1992). Tetanic stimulation that induces LTP increases the activation of PKC (Klann et al., 1993) and the phosphorylation of PKC substrates (Ramakers et al., 1999). Furthermore, LTP is impaired in PKC$\gamma$ mutant mice (Abeliovich et al., 1993). However, maximal activation of postsynaptic G-protein does not occlude the induction of LTP in area CA1 (Goh and Pennefather, 1989; Katsuki et al., 1992).

These observations raise a question of whether and how the signaling from mGlur5 to PKC is involved in the induction of LTP in the area CA1. To address this issue, we used the null-mutant mice lacking the $\alpha$ subunit of Gq ($G_\alpha q$) or G11 ($G_\alpha 11$) (Offermanns et al., 1997; Offermanns and Simon, 1998), members of the heterotrimeric Gq family that are shown to transduce signals from group I mGlurR in central neurons (Masu et al., 1991;
Abe et al., 1992). Our results suggest that the group I mGluR (presumably mGluR5) to PKC cascade does not constitute the main pathway for LTP at Schaffer collateral to CA1 pyramidal cell synapses, but that this cascade facilitates LTP induction by significantly lowering its threshold.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Morphological and immunohistochemical studies. Under deep anesthesia by pentobarbital (100 mg/kg body weight, i.p.), wild-type and Gαq mutant mice were perfused transcardially with 4% paraformaldehyde in 0.1 M sodium phosphate buffer, pH 7.2. Parasagittal paraffin sections (5 μm in thickness) were prepared from fixed brains and processed for histological (hematoxylin) and immunohistochemical stainings. Before immunohistochemical incubations, sections were treated at 37°C for 10 min with 1 mg/ml pepsin (Dako, Carpinteria, CA) in 0.2 N HCl, as reported previously (Watanabe et al., 1998). After blocking with 10% normal goat serum, sections were incubated with primary antibodies (0.5 μg/ml) against mouse NMDA receptor subunit GluR1 (anti-GluR1C), GluR2 (anti-GluR2N), or GluR3 (anti-GluR3C) (Watanabe et al., 1998; Yamada et al., 2001) at room temperature overnight, with biotinylated goat anti-rabbit IgG at room temperature for 2 hr, and with avidin–peroxidase complex at room temperature for 30 min, using a Histofine SAB-PO Kit (Nichirei Corp., Tokyo, Japan). The anti-GluR3C was directed against the C2 exon cassette. Immunoreaction was visualized with diaminobenzidine.

Hippocampal slice preparation. Hippocampal slices were prepared from Gαq mutant, Gα11 mutant, and wild-type mice using standard procedures (Manabe et al., 1993). In brief, young adult mice (6–12 weeks of age) were anesthetized and decapitated. Hippocampi were dissected free and cut with tissue slicer (VT1000S; Leica, Nussloch, Germany) in ice-cold medium composed of (in mM): 20 glucose, 120 choline-Cl, 3 KCl, 1.1 NaH2PO4, 8 MgCl2, and 26 NaHCO3, pH 7.4 (Tsukobawa et al., 2000). In the priming experiment, area CA3 was removed by a manual knife cut to prevent the slow onset potentiation induced by mGluR agonists (Bortolotto and Collingridge, 1992; Chinestra et al., 1993).

Parasagittal paraf.

Reciprocal lateral septal nuclei; G, GluR2 subunit; H, GluR3 subunit. CA1, CA1 region; DG, dentate gyrus; Gr, granule cell layer; LM, stratum lacunosum-moleculare; Mo, molecular layer; Or, stratum oriens; Py, pyramidal cell layer; Ra, stratum radiatum. Scale bars, 100 μm.

RESULTS

Morphology and NMDA receptor expression of the Gαq mutant hippocampus

Gαq is expressed in CA1 pyramidal cells of the mouse hippocampus (Milligan, 1993; Friberg et al., 1998; Tanaka et al., 2000). We began by examining whether the genetic deletion of Gαq causes any change in the morphology of the hippocampus. As reported previously, the gross anatomy of the CNS was apparently normal in Gαq mutant mice (Offermanns et al., 1997; Hashimoto et al., 2000). The hippocampus of Gαq mutant mice at 2 months of age had similar morphology to that of wild-type mice at the same age (Fig. 1A–E). The shape, size, cellular arrangement, and laminar organization of the CA1 and dentate gyrus were normal in hematoxylin staining (Fig. 1A–E). We then assessed the expression and distribution of NMDA receptor subunits, because the decrease in NMDA receptor-mediated synaptic currents significantly attenuates the magnitude of LTP in the area CA1 (Sakimura et al., 1995; Kiyama et al., 1998). We examined immunohistochemical distribution of three NMDA receptor subunits, GluR1 (Fig. 1B,F), GluR2 (Fig. 1C,G), and GluR3 (Fig. 1D,H). In both strains of mice, distinct laminar distribution was evident for each subunit, but no significant differences by the genotypes were noted in the distribution and intensity within the hippocampus. The highest immunostaining was detected in neup- rils of the strata radiatum and oriens in the CA1 region. In contrast, cell bodies of pyramidal cells and stem apical dendrites
were almost immunonegative. These morphological results suggest that the deletion of the \textit{Gaq} gene caused no gross abnormalities in histology and NMDA receptor subunit expression.

**Excitatory synaptic transmission is normal in Gaq mutant hippocampus**

We subsequently examined whether the basic property of excitatory synaptic transmission is normal in Gaq mutant mice. We recorded fEPSPs by stimulation of the Schaffer collateral-commissural pathway in hippocampal slices prepared from 6- to 11-week-old wild-type and mutant mice. We measured the initial slope of fEPSP to quantify the strength of the synaptic response. The input–output relationship in Gaq mutant mice was similar to that of wild-type mice (Fig. 2A). In the area CA1, paired stimulation with short intervals usually causes paired-pulse facilitation (PPF). The extent of PPF of Gaq mutant mice was identical to that of wild-type mice, with an interpulse interval of 25–500 msec (Fig. 2B).

To evaluate the NMDA receptor functions of Gaq mutant mice, the ratio of the NMDA to AMPA receptor-mediated component of EPSC was measured with whole-cell recording techniques in the presence of a GABA\(_A\) receptor antagonist, bicuculine (25 \(\mu\)M). AMPA receptor-mediated EPSCs were recorded at a membrane potential of \(-70\) mV in a voltage-clamp mode. NMDA receptor-mediated EPSCs were then measured at the same stimulus strength in the presence of 6-cyano-7-nitroquinoxaline-2,3-dione (CNQX) (10 \(\mu\)M), a non-NMDA receptor antagonist, at \(+40\) mV to relieve the voltage-dependent Mg\(^{2+}\) block of the NMDA receptor channel (Mayer et al., 1984; Nowak et al., 1984). The ratio of NMDA to AMPA EPSC amplitudes of Gaq mutant mice (30.7 ± 3.3% \(n = 7\)) was identical to that of wild-type mice (39 ± 4.1% \(n = 10\)) (Fig. 2C).

Furthermore, the current–voltage (I–V) relationships for the NMDA component of wild-type and Gaq mutant mice were almost identical (Fig. 2D), suggesting that the voltage-dependent Mg\(^{2+}\) block of the NMDA receptor is not altered in Gaq mutant mice. These results indicate that excitatory synaptic transmission and functions of NMDA receptors are normal in CA1 pyramidal cells of Gaq mutant mice.

**Elevated threshold for LTP induction in Gaq mutant hippocampus**

We then examined whether LTP in the CA1 and the CA3 is altered in Gaq mutant mice. Conventional TBS applied to the Schaffer collateral–CA1 synapses (10 burst trains repeated at 5 Hz; each burst consisted of five stimuli at 100 Hz) induced a robust and stable LTP lasting \(>60\) min in both Gaq mutant and wild-type mice (Fig. 3A). The average increase in the fEPSP slope (50–60 min after TBS) was 40.8 ± 4.3% \((n = 10)\) for wild-type mice and 35.4 ± 3.1% \((n = 10)\) for Gaq mutant mice (Fig. 3A), showing no significant difference. Two excitatory synapses onto CA3 neurons, associational/commissural (A/C)–CA3 synapses and mossy fiber (mo)–CA3 synapses, display LTP with distinct mechanisms (Salin et al., 1996). Thus, we examined whether LTP at these two types of synapses is altered in Gaq mutant mice. Conventional tetanic stimulation (100 Hz for 1 sec) of the A/C–CA3 synapses induced robust and stable LTP in both Gaq mutant and wild-type mice (Fig. 3B). Furthermore, tetanic stimulation (100 Hz for 1 sec) of the mo–CA3 synapses also caused stable LTP in both strains of mice (Fig. 3C). The average fEPSP (the last 10 min of recording) at these two types of synapses showed no significant differences between the Gaq mutant and wild-type mice (Fig. 3B,C). These results indicate that LTP can be induced normally in both the CA1 and CA3 regions of Gaq mutant mice by conventional stimulation protocols. In the rest of the present study, we focused on the issue of whether and how the group I mGluR signaling involving Gaq contributes to LTP induction at the Schaffer collateral–CA1 synapses.

The lack of the effect of Gaq deletion on LTP induction (Fig. 3A) could be attributable to the fact that the conventional TBS with \(10\) burst trains may be well above the threshold for LTP induction. To detect subtle differences that may exist between wild-type and Gaq mutant mice, we used a weaker TBS that consisted of three burst trains. This weak TBS applied to the Schaffer collateral produced a stable LTP in wild-type mice (Figs. 4, 5C) (19.8 ± 2.1% \(n = 13\); measured at 50–60 min after TBS). In contrast, the same TBS caused a short-term potentiation during the initial 10 min but failed to induce LTP in Gaq mutant mice (Figs. 4, 5C) (6.3 ± 1.9%; \(n = 9\); measured at 50–60 min after TBS). The difference between wild-type and mutant mice was significant \((p < 0.01)\). These results indicate that the threshold for LTP induction is significantly elevated in the CA1 of Gaq mutant mice.

Induction of LTP in the CA1 region is known to require elevation of \([\text{Ca}^{2+}]_i\) in pyramidal cells. We examined whether there is any difference in \([\text{Ca}^{2+}]_i\) transients during the weak TBS between the wild-type and Gaq mutant mice. We recorded the \([\text{Ca}^{2+}]_i\), and EPSPs simultaneously from single CA1 pyramidal neurons under whole-cell current-clamp recording mode. The calcium indicator dye fura-2 was loaded to the neurons by diffusion from whole-cell recording pipettes, and the fluorescence ratio \((\Delta F(340)/F(380))\) was measured at the soma and the dendrites in response to the weak TBS. We could not detect any significant difference in the \([\text{Ca}^{2+}]_i\) transients in the soma and dendrites between the two mouse strains (data not shown). These results suggest that the elevated threshold for LTP induction in the Gaq mutant hippocampus is not likely caused by the alteration in the \([\text{Ca}^{2+}]_i\) transients during the weak TBS.

Another member of the Gq family, Ga11, is also expressed in CA1 pyramidal cells of the mouse hippocampus (Milligan, 1993; Friberg et al., 1998; Tanaka et al., 2000). Because both Gaq and Ga11 are thought to transduce signals from group 1 mGluR, it is possible that Ga11 has a facilitatory effect on LTP induction similar to Gaq. Therefore, we examined null-mutant mice lacking Ga11. The morphology of the hippocampus and other CNS regions appeared normal in Ga11 mutant mice. We found that the TBS with \(10\) burst trains induced robust and stable LTP in both Ga11 mutant and wild-type mice (Fig. 5A). The average increase in the fEPSP slope (50–60 min after TBS) was 34.7 ± 7.7% \((n = 7)\) for wild-type mice and 32 ± 12.7% \((n = 8)\) for Ga11 mutant mice (Fig. 5A), showing no significant difference. In contrast, the weak TBS with three burst trains induced a clear LTP in wild-type mice but not Ga11 mutant mice (Fig. 5B). The average magnitude of LTP (50–60 min after TBS) was 18.9 ± 3.3% \((n = 10)\) for wild-type mice and 0.3 ± 4.7% \((n = 10)\) for Ga11 mutant mice (Fig. 5B,C), showing a significant difference \((p < 0.01)\). These results indicate that Gaq and Ga11 have a similar facilitatory effect on LTP induction at Schaffer collateral–CA1 synapses.

**mGluR signaling involving Gaq/Ga11 facilitates LTP induction**

CA1 pyramidal cells express group I mGluRs (primarily mGluR5) (Lu et al., 1997) and muscarinic acetylcholine receptors.
Figure 2. Basic properties of excitatory synaptic transmission of Gq mutant are normal. 

**A.** The input–output relationships of fEPSPs in wild-type (○; n = 7) and Gq mutant (●; n = 5) mice. The fEPSPs were evoked by single stimulation of the Schaffer collateral. Insets are typical responses. Calibration: 2 mV, 5 msec.

**B.** Paired-pulse ratio of fEPSPs in wild-type (○; n = 5) and Gq mutant (●; n = 5) mice. The initial slope of the fEPSP was measured to quantify the strength of the synaptic response. The ratio of the second to the first response is plotted against the interstimulus interval. Calibration: 1 mV, 20 msec.

**C.** The ratio of amplitudes of the NMDA EPSC (recorded at a holding potential of +40 mV) to those of the AMPA EPSC (recorded at a holding potential of −70 mV) in wild-type (open column; n = 10) and Gq mutant (filled column; n = 7) mice. The NMDA EPSC was recorded in the presence of CNQX (25 μM). Sample traces are shown in the top panel. Calibration: 20 pA, 20 msec.

**D.** The current–voltage relationship of NMDA synaptic currents in wild-type (○; n = 8) and Gq mutant (●; n = 6) mice. The amplitude of the EPSC is normalized to the value obtained at −30 mV.
M1) (Tsubokawa and Ross, 1997; Tsubokawa et al., 2000) that functionally couple to Gq/G11. To examine which type of the receptors exerts the facilitatory effect on LTP induction, an mGluR antagonist, MCPG, or a muscarinic receptor antagonist, atropine, was bath applied during weak TBS. In the presence of MCPG (500 μM), the weak TBS caused a short-term potentiation during the initial 10 min but failed to induce LTP (Fig. 6A,C) (control: 18 ± 3.4%, n = 7; MCPG: 1.5 ± 2.3%, n = 6), which is

Figure 3. Normal LTP induced by conventional stimulation protocols in the Gq mutant hippocampus. A, The averaged time course of LTP induced by 10 trains of TBS in the area CA1 of wild-type (n = 10) and Gq mutant (n = 10) mice. Initial EPSP slopes were measured, and the values were normalized in each experiment using the averaged slope value measured during the control period (time, −10 to 0 min). The TBS was applied at time 0 (downward arrow). In the following figures, the averaged time course of LTP is illustrated similarly. B, The averaged time course of LTP induced by tetanic stimulation (100 Hz, 1 sec) at the association/commissural (asoc/com)-CA3 synapses in wild-type (n = 10) and Gq mutant (n = 8) mice. C, The averaged time course of LTP induced by tetanic stimulation (100 Hz, 1 sec) at the mo–CA3 synapses in wild-type (n = 9) and Gq mutant (n = 8) mice. Records were taken in the presence of AP-5 (25 μM) to block NMDA receptors. Mossy fibers were stimulated via a bipolar electrode placed in the dentate hilus.
very similar to the results seen in Gq or Gα11 mutant mice (Figs. 4, 5B,C). In contrast, the same weak TBS readily induced LTP in the presence of atropine (1 μM) (Fig. 6B,C) (control: 18 ± 3.4%, n = 7; atropine: 17 ± 10.8%, n = 5). In addition, atropine significantly enhanced the short-term potentiation during the first 20 min after the weak TBS (Fig. 6B).

It has been reported previously that previous activation of mGluRs by bath-applied ACPD facilitates the induction of LTP (Cohen and Abraham, 1996). Because ACPD is an agonist for both group I and II mGluRs, this priming effect may be attributable to activation of group I mGluR in CA1 pyramidal cells. Thus, we examined whether the priming effect by ACPD is altered in Gq mutant mice. In this experiment, we applied TBS with five burst trains to Gq mutant pyramidal cells to induce LTP comparable with that induced in wild-type pyramidal cells by TBS with three burst trains. The mean magnitude of LTP in wild-type mice was 22.1 ± 6.6% (Fig. 7A,C) (control, n = 6) and that in Gq mutant mice was 26.6 ± 3.3% (Fig. 7B,C) (Gq mutant, n = 6). Bath-applied ACPD (20 μM) caused transient depression of fEPSP in both genotypes (Fig. 7A,B, ACPD). However, the priming effect was clearly observed in wild-type mice (41.3 ± 5.4%) (Fig. 7A,C, ACPD; n = 7) but was deficient in Gq mutant mice (22.4 ± 5.6%) (Fig. 7B,C, ACPD; n = 6).

A PKC inhibitor abolishes LTP by weak TBS

Because the group I mGluR signaling pathway including Gq leads to activation of PKC, we assessed whether the facilitatory effect on LTP induction involves PKC. We tested whether a PKC inhibitor, chelerythrine (2 μM), affects the LTP by weak TBS. In wild-type mice, a TBS with three burst trains readily induced LTP in the control saline (Fig. 8A,C) (control: 23.1 ± 5.7%; n = 9). Bath-applied chelerythrine (2 μM) effectively blocked LTP (Fig. 8A,C) (chelerythrine: 5.5 ± 5.8%; n = 9; *p < 0.01). In Gq mutant mice, a TBS with five burst trains induced LTP in the control saline (Fig. 8B,C) (control: 26.5 ± 10.8%; n = 8) that was comparable with the LTP in wild-type mice (Fig. 8A,C, control). However, bath-applied chelerythrine (2 μM) had no blocking effect on LTP in Gq mutant mice (Fig. 8B,C) (chelerythrine: 20.1 ± 6.2%; n = 9). These results indicate that the facilitatory effect on LTP induction by the Gq cascade involves activation of PKC.

DISCUSSION

We show that the threshold for LTP induction in the CA1 is significantly elevated in null-mutant mice lacking Gq or Gα11. Full-size LTP can be induced in the area CA1 of both Gq and Gα11 mutant mice with the TBS of 10 burst trains, a widely used LTP induction protocol. In contrast, a weak TBS that consistently induced LTP in wild-type mice was subthreshold for LTP induction in Gq and Gα11 mutant mice. The LTP by the weak TBS was abolished by inhibiting group I mGluR or PKC but not by blocking muscarinic acetylcholine receptors. Prior activation of group I mGluR by an agonist significantly enhanced the LTP by weak TBS in wild-type mice but not Gq mutant mice. These results suggest that the signaling from group I mGluR to PKC involving Gq/Gα11 facilitates LTP induction by lowering its threshold in the hippocampal area CA1.

Role of signal transduction pathway via Gq/Gα11 in LTP induction

Gq and Gα11 are the major Gq family isoforms in the adult brain (Strathmann and Simon, 1990; Simon et al., 1991) and are wildly distributed in the dendritic spines of pyramidal neurons in area CA1 (Mailleux et al., 1992; Tanaka et al., 2000). We could not evaluate the effect of total deletion of the Gq family on LTP, because Gq and Gα11 double knock-out mice are embryonic lethal (Offermanns et al., 1998). Because deletion of either Gq or Gα11 resulted in elevation of the threshold for LTP induction to the same extent, both isoforms appear to contribute to the facilitation of LTP induction. A previous study indicates that Gq- or Gα11-deficient mice do not exhibit a compensatory upregulation of the other isofrom (Kleppisch et al., 2001). This might be a reason that Gq and Gα11 cannot be mutually complementary in facilitating LTP induction. In contrast to the weak TBS, a conventional LTP induction protocol, such as TBS with 10 burst trains, can produce full-size LTP in both Gq- and Gα11-deficient mice that is indistinguishable from LTP in wild-type mice.

**Figure 4.** The threshold for LTP induction is elevated in the area CA1 of Gq mutant mice. A weak TBS (3 trains) applied at time 0 (downward arrows) induced a clear LTP in wild-type (n = 13) but not Gq mutant (n = 9) mice. *p < 0.01.
mice. In other studies, postsynaptic injection of GTPγS, a non-hydrolyzable guanine nucleotide analog, does not occlude LTP in area CA1 (Goh and Pennefather, 1989; Katsuki et al., 1992). These results suggest that the signal transduction pathway involving Goq or Gα11 is not essential but has a significant modulatory effect in the induction of LTP.

**Figure 5.** The threshold for LTP induction is elevated in the area CA1 of Gα11 mutant mice. A, A TBS with 10 burst trains (downward arrow) induced a robust and stable LTP in both wild-type (n = 7) and Gα11 mutant (n = 8) mice. B, A weak TBS of three trains (downward arrow) induced a clear LTP in wild-type (n = 10) but not Gα11 mutant (n = 10) mice; **p < 0.01. C, The average increase in initial slopes of fEPSPs (50–60 min after TBS); **p < 0.01.

**Group I mGluR is linked to the Gαq/Gα11 to PKC signaling cascade**

In the area CA1, mGluR5 is highly expressed and enriched at the perisynaptic site of the postsynaptic membrane (Nusser et al., 1994; Luján et al., 1996, 1997). Immunoreactivity of Goq/Gα11 is...
observed in postsynaptic extrajunctional membrane and colocalized with mGluR5 in the neuropil of hippocampal pyramidal neurons (Tanaka et al., 2000). Mutant mice lacking mGluR5 reportedly exhibit partial reduction of LTP in CA1 (Liu and Simon, 1996). It has been shown previously that mGluR antagonists have inhibitory effects on LTP in certain experimental situations (Bashir et al., 1993; Riedel and Reymann, 1993; Bortolotto et al., 1994; Richter-Levin et al., 1994), especially in those studies using weak stimulation protocols (Riedel et al., 1996; Wilsch et al., 1998; Balschun et al., 1999). In the present study, we
show that LTP induced by weak TBS was abolished by an mGluR antagonist, MCPG. Together, it is most likely that group I mGluR is the major Gq-coupled receptor that is activated during the induction of LTP in area CA1.

The heterotrimeric Gq family couples to a wide variety of seven transmembrane receptors other than group I mGluR (Exton, 1996). These include muscarinic (M1, M3, and M5) receptors (Wess et al., 1995), dopamine (D2, D3, and D5) receptors, α1-

**Figure 7.** The ACPD-induced priming effect is deficient in Gαq mutant mice. A, Bath application of ACPD (20 μM, 10 min, horizontal bars) enhanced the subsequent LTP induced by the weak TBS of three trains (downward arrow) in wild-type mice (control, n = 6; ACPD, n = 7). B, A TBS of five trains (downward arrow) induced LTP in Gαq mutant mice (control, n = 6). Bath application of ACPD (20 μM, 10 min, horizontal bars) did not enhance LTP (ACPD, n = 6). C, The average increase in initial slopes of fEPSPs (50–60 min after TBS). **p < 0.01.
adrenergic receptors (Docherty, 1998), and serotonin (5-HT1A, 5-HT1C, and 5-HT2) receptors (Hoyer and Martin, 1997). Possible involvement of these receptors in LTP has been investigated. Activation of muscarinic M1 and M3 receptors has no effect on the LTP in area CA1, whereas the agonist of the M2 receptor, which couples to Gi/o, enhances the level of LTP (Auerbach and Segal, 1994; Kaneko et al., 1997). Cholinergic denervation does not attenuate the magnitude of LTP and short-term potentiation induced by weak stimulation protocol (Jouvenceau et al., 1996). Dopamine D2 antagonists prevent the maintenance of LTP (Frey

Figure 8. LTP induced by the weak TBS is abolished by a PKC inhibitor, chelerythrine. A, In wild-type mice, a PKC inhibitor, chelerythrine (2 μM, bath applied during the recording period indicated with the horizontal bar), abolished the LTP induced by the TBS of three trains (downward arrow) in normal control saline (control, n = 9; chelerythrine, n = 9; **p < 0.01). B, In Goq mutant mice, chelerythrine had no blocking effect on the LTP induced by the TBS of five trains (downward arrow) (control, n = 8; chelerythrine, n = 9). C, The average increase in initial slopes of fEPSPs (50–60 min after TBS); **p < 0.01.
et al., 1990), but the role of D2 receptors in the induction of LTP is not clear. Noradrenaline has little effect on LTP in CA1 and does not enhance the level of LTP induced by weak TBS protocols (Katsuki et al., 1997). Application of serotonin decreases the magnitude of LTP (Staubli and Otaky, 1994), and depletion of serotonin does not reduce LTP (Stanton and Survay, 1985). The selective 5-HT\textsubscript{2A} antagonist facilitates the LTP in the area CA1 (Wang and Arvanov, 1998). Mutant mice lacking 5-HT\textsubscript{2C} exhibit normal LTP of Schaffer collateral–CA1 and mo–CA3 synapses (Tecott et al., 1998). In the present study, we show that LTP induced by weak TBS was not abolished by a muscarinic acetylcholine receptor antagonist, atropine. These results suggest that these Gq-coupled receptors, other than group I mGluR, do not play significant modulatory roles in LTP.

What could be the reasons for the lack of the facilitatory effect of muscarinic receptors? A recent study indicates that spatial proximity of G-protein-coupled receptors and their transducing molecules in “signaling microdomains” is critical for the specificity and sensitivity of cellular responses (Delmas et al., 2002). In many neurons, it is known that B2 bradykinin receptors (B2Rs) but not M1 muscarinic receptors mobilize Ca\textsuperscript{2+} from internal stores, although both B2Rs and M1 receptors couple to the same transducing proteins (G\textsubscript{q}-PLC\textsubscript{B}) (Cruzblanca et al., 1998). Delmas et al. (2002) demonstrated that in sympathetic neurons, only IP\textsubscript{3} formed by B2Rs has the ability to activate IP\textsubscript{3} receptors, although both B2Rs and M1 receptors rapidly produce IP\textsubscript{3} and diacylglycerol. This exclusive coupling results from spatially restricted complexes linking B2Rs to IP\textsubscript{3} receptors. It is possible that group I mGluR may constitute signaling microdomains in CA1 pyramidal cells for the facilitation of LTP induction, whereas muscarinic receptors might be spatially apart and cannot participate in the microdomains.

We show that a PKC inhibitor, chelerythrine, abolished LTP induced by weak TBS in the wild-type mice. However, a slightly stronger TBS can induce LTP in Gq-deficient pyramidal cells that was comparable with LTP in wild-type pyramidal cells but insensitive to chelerythrine. This result suggests that PKC activation can facilitate LTP induction but is not essential for it. Together, we conclude that in CA1 pyramidal cells, the group I mGluRs couple to G\textsubscript{q}/11 to the PKC signaling cascade and exert facilitatory effects on LTP.

**Other effects of group I mGluR to G\textsubscript{q}/11 signaling on synaptic plasticity**

We show that the priming effect of bath-applied ACPD on subsequent induction of LTP is absent in Gq mutant mice. A previous study shows the involvement of PLC in the priming effect in area CA1 (Cohen et al., 1998). These results indicate that the priming effect of ACPD is through the group I mGluR–Gq/G\textsubscript{q/11}–PLC signaling cascade. The priming effect lasts \(\approx 20\) min after the washout of ACPD. This indicates that activation of group I mGluR does not itself cause potentiation of synaptic responses but can prepare a condition in which LTP can be induced with weaker stimulation than control condition. Such a phenomenon has been referred to as “metaplasticity” (Abraham and Bear, 1996). At the behavioral level, this mechanism could facilitate learning and memory in response to certain stimuli that have been applied repeatedly to the animal in a certain time window.

It was reported recently that Gq mutant mice lack mGluR-dependent LTD in the hippocampal CA1 region (Klepish et al., 2001). We also found that LTD induced by low-frequency stimulation (1 Hz, 15 min) is deficient in Gq mutant mice (M. Miura, S. Offermanns, M. I. Simon, and M. Kano, unpublished observations). Interestingly, Klepish et al. (2001) report that mGluR-dependent LTD is normal in Gq11 mutant mice. These results indicate that the activation of the group I mGluR–Gq cascade can induce LTD instead of LTP when a different stimulation protocol is adopted. It remains to be elucidated how the switch-over between LTP and LTD is regulated in CA1 pyramidal cells. The results suggest that the group I mGluR–Gq signaling cascade is involved in both establishment of LTD and facilitation of LTP induction and thus plays an important role in the modulation of synaptic strength in the hippocampal area CA1.
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