

HOKKAIDO UNIVERSITY

Title	A Study of Chirality Induction Mechanism in Cyclocopolymerization of Bis(4-vinylbenzoate)s with Styrene
Author(s)	Obata, Makoto
Citation	北海道大学. 博士(工学) 甲第4926号
Issue Date	1999-09-30
DOI	10.11501/3159789
Doc URL	http://hdl.handle.net/2115/52192
Туре	theses (doctoral)
File Information	00000344980.pdf



A Study of Chirality Induction Mechanism in Cyclocopolymerization of Bis(4-vinylbenzoate)s with Styrene

Makoto Obata



## Acknowledgments

Alter would like to express his somere gratabale to Professor is studied.

## A Study of Chirality Induction Mechanism in Cyclocopolymerization of Bis(4-vinylbenzoate)s with Styrene

A Dissertation for the Degree of Doctor of Engineering

and har enjoyed working with his colleagues occurs the incodulip and by of the members in the Isboratory created a pleasant and almuining which to work. In particular, the author is very thinkful to Mc Yasanti Ia, Ma Yukio Yanmuchi, Mc Hiro-omi Shimemana. Mc Yui Sugion, Me. Banana, Mr Atsochi Nagumi, Ma, Norieki Yanmuoto, Mr. Masashi Tagio ahi Nakada, Mr. Tadashi Isbibashi, and Dr. Takahiro Uesaka for their wro-this work

Internation is indebted to Assistant Protessor You Milena (Department of Specialty of Science, (Nara Women's Oriversity) and Assistant Professor Nari (Laboratory of Biographia Chemistry, Institute for Chemical Research of regality) for X-ray structural analytic.

Twellee souther would like to throw Research Fellowsneps of the Japan Society -- motion of Science for Young Scientists for its support of this work

## Makoto Obata

Hokkaido University

September, 1999

## Acknowledgments

The author would like to express his sincere gratitude to Professor Kazuaki Yokota (Division of Molecular Chemistry, Graduate School of Engineering, Hokkaido University) for his help in preparing the thesis and the many useful suggestions for its improvement. The author is deeply grateful to Associate Professor Toyoji Kakuchi (Division of Bioscience, Graduate School of Engineering, Hokkaido University) for his helpful advice and valuable suggestions with continuous encouragement throughout this work. The author would like to acknowledge Assistant Professor Toshifumi Satoh (Division of Molecular Chemistry, Graduate School of Engineering, Hokkaido University), Associate Professor Osamu Haba (Department of Material Science and Engineering, Yamagata University) for making a number of helpful suggestions.

The author enjoyed working with his colleagues because the friendship and good humor of the members in the laboratory created a pleasant and stimulating environment in which to work. In particular, the author is very thankful to Mr. Yasushi Morimoto, Mr. Yukio Yamauchi, Mr. Hiro-omi Shimomura, Mr. Yui Sugiura, Mr. Kazunobu Kamino, Mr. Atsushi Narumi, Mr. Noriaki Yamamoto, Mr. Masashi Tsuji, Mr. Hiroshi Nakade, Mr. Tadashi Ishibashi, and Dr. Takahiro Uesaka for their contribution to this work.

The author is indebted to Assistant Professor Yuji Mikata (Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Science, Nara Women's University) and Assistant Professor Yasushi Kawai (Laboratory of Bioorganic Chemistry, Institute for Chemical Research, Kyoto University) for X-ray structural analysis.

Finally, the author would like to thank Research Fellowships of the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science for Young Scientists for its support of this work.

Makoto Obata

## Contents

Chapter 1	
Introduction	
Chapter 2	
Asymmetric Cyclocopolymerization of (2S,3S)-2,3-Butanediyl, (2S,4S)-2,	4-
Pentanediyl, and (2S,5S)-2,5-Hexanediyl Bis(4-vinylbenzoate)s with Styrene	е
Chapter 3	
Stereoselectivity in Radical Cyclization of Bis(4-vinylbenzoate) having a Chin	ral
Template	
Chapter 4	
Computational Study on the Chirality Induction Mechanism	
Chapter 5	
Effect of Total Monomer Concentration on the Chirality Induction	
Chapter 6	
Conformational Effect of Monomer on the Chirality Induction	
Chapter 7	1
Asymmetric Cyclocopolymerization of 1,2:5,6-Di-O-isopropylidene-3,4-di-	0-
methacryloyl-D-mannitol with Styrene	
Chapter 8	1



CONTRACTOR OF

1, E Stereoclasmical Control in Radical Polymerication

Free radial polymerization of ymy) manamery is to important method for producing polymers. Control of the state-chemistry (i.e., the inductivit in free radical ordy meritation reactions has been investigated since 1955 when Nam polynetical out this two different state-cohemical arrangements (agricly, isotactic, and symbolactic) could be formed through viryl polymerization. The control of acceleration in organic symbols at well as polynest synthesis.<sup>24</sup> Since free radical polymerization methods induction distributed of state-ochemistry in the polymerization have been offeringted using matrixes<sup>24</sup>, magnetic field<sup>1</sup>, acidic solvents<sup>2</sup>, tradsition methods complexes<sup>616</sup>, and auxiliaries<sup>15,16</sup>.

Chapter 1 Introduction

The president of a second region having a higher presibility of meso addition.<sup>10</sup>



## **1.1 Stereochemical Control in Radical Polymerization**

Free radical polymerization of vinyl monomers is an important method for producing polymers. Control of the stereochemistry (i.e., the tacticity) in free radical polymerization reactions has been investigated since 1955 when Natta pointed out that two different stereochemical arrangements (namely, isotactic and syndiotactic) could be formed through vinyl polymerization.<sup>1</sup> The control of stereochemistry in free radical reaction is generally more difficult than other types of reaction in organic synthesis as well as polymer synthesis.<sup>2-4</sup> Since free radical polymerization methods are convenient, the control of stereochemistry in the polymerization have been attempted using matrixes<sup>5,6</sup>, magnetic field<sup>7</sup>, acidic solvents<sup>8</sup>, transition metal complexes<sup>9,10</sup>, and auxiliaries<sup>11-16</sup>.

As for the stereochemical control using an auxiliary, radical polymerization of various esters of acrylic acid have been investigated. Most methacrylates produce a polymer having high content of *r* diad in radical polymerization. However, Okamoto et al. reported that the radical polymerization of bulky esters of methacrylic acid such as triphenylmethyl and 1-phenyldibenzosuberyl methacrylate produced highly isotactic polymer (Chart 1.1).<sup>12</sup> The obtained polymer could be converted to poly(methyl methacrylate) (PMMA) by the acidic hydrolysis and methyl esterification. The isospecificity in this radical polymerization was caused to the thermodynamically stable forms of  $\omega$ -end radical having a higher possibility of meso addition.<sup>13</sup>

Chart 1.1



#### Chart 1.2





Oppolzer's camphor sultam<sup>17-20</sup> Chiral pyrrolidine derivatives<sup>21-27</sup> Chiral oxazolidine derivatives<sup>28-31</sup>



Chiral Kemp's triacid derivatives<sup>33</sup>



```
Menthyl derivatives<sup>32</sup>
```

Scheme 1.1



Rationally designed chiral auxiliaries can be used for asymmetric synthesis by means of free radical reactions (Chart 1.2).<sup>17-33</sup> Chiral pyrrolidines and oxazolidines were widely used to control acyclic stereochemistry in the radical addition (Scheme  $1.1^{23}$ ). Porter et al. applied chiral oxazolidine auxiliaries to the radical polymerization of acrylamide.<sup>14</sup> The radical polymerization of acrylic oxazolidides produced highly isotactic polymer having *m* contents more than 90 % (Scheme 1.2). In addition, the stereocontrol in this polymerization system was investigated using the radical

telomerization technique utilizing allyltri-*n*-butyltin as a chain transfer reagent.<sup>16</sup> Because of a large number of repeating units, the difference in chain ends is negligible. Hence, after cleavage of the chiral auxiliary, vinyl polymer is optically inactive although the chiral auxiliary exhibits diastereofacial selection in the vinyl polymerization. For asymmetrci polymerization to synthesize an optically active polymer due to main chain chirality, therefore, it is necessary that the rational approach

## Scheme 1.2



Conditions: (i) AIBN, benzene, 80 °C; (ii) 12N HCl, 1,4-dioxane, 110 °C; (iii) CH<sub>2</sub>N<sub>2</sub>, benzene to break down the symmetric property of the polymer chain as well as the stereochemical control by the chiral auxiliary.

#### salapet 1.3



## 1.2 Optically Active Polymer due to Main Chain Chirality

The  $\alpha$ -olefins form the chiral center in polymerization. However, isotactic and syndiotactic vinyl polymer as the most accessible stereoregulity cannot be optically active, because the whole polymer chain has a mirror plane, ignoring the end groups. Chart 1.3 shows the tactic sequence of the stereoregular vinyl polymer and its cyclic models. As for homopolymer, there are no chiral sequences for diad, triad, tetrad, and pentad. In the hexad sequence, the *mrmrrr* sequence is chiral. Thus, the stereochemical control at hexad sequence level is needed to form optically active homopolymer of  $\alpha$ -olefins at least.

Chart 1.3





The same consideration can be applied to copolymer sequence as shown in Chart 1.4. Two of the four possible triad sequences A-A-B in a copolymer are chiral. In order to the synthesis of an optically active polymer due to such chiral A-A-B sequence, asymmetric cyclocopolymerization of divinyl monomer having a chiral auxiliary (template) with an achiral monovinyl comonomer is a versatile method for stereochemical control of such diad as well as construction of isolated diad. Wulff et al. carried out the radical cyclocopolymerization of divinylmonomer with methyl

#### Scheme 1.3





methacrylate (Scheme 1.3).<sup>34</sup> After removal of the chiral template using AgNO<sub>3</sub>/ NH<sub>3</sub>, the resulting poly[styrene-*co*-(methyl methacrylate)] showed an optical activity. The origin of chirality was assigned to (*S*,*S*)-styryl diad on the basis of the sign of the specific rotation of the model compound. The chirality induction in this polymerization system was characterized by considering its rigid structure expexcted by X-ray analysis of D-mannitol 1,2:3,4:5,6-tris-*O*-(phenylbronate). Yokota and Kakuchi have been extensively studied the asymmetric cyclocopolymerization of bis(4-vinylbenzoate) derivatives using chiral diol as a template with styrene (Scheme 1.4).<sup>35,36</sup> After removal of the chiral template, the resulting polymer, i.e., poly[(methyl 4-vinylbenzoate)-*co*styrene], showed an optically active due to main chain chirality. In addition, the chiral configuration of the main chain could be characterized using the CD exciton chirality method<sup>37</sup> by CD spectroscopy.

The coefficient of state should be do built-so





#### 1.3 Object and Outline of the Thesis

Asymmetric cyclocopolymerization of bis(4-vinylbenzoate) having a chiral template with styrene has beed extensively studied. The specific rotation of poly[(methyl 4-vinylbenzoate)-*co*-styrene] significantly depends on the structural characteristics of the chiral template. However, we little know about the relationship among the optical rotatory power of the resulting polymer, structural characteristics of the template, and chirality induction mechanism of the chiral template because of flexiblity in these divinyl monomers.

The objects of this study are to examine fresh approaches for the quantitative estimation of the chirality induction and to clarify the chirality induction mechanism.

The outline of this thesis is as follows:

In chapter 2, the cyclocopolymerizations of (2S,3S)-2,3-butanediyl, (2S,4S)-2,4pentanediyl, and (2S,5S)-2,5-hexanediyl bis(4-vinylbenzoate)s with styrene were examined in order to clarify the influence of the distance between two chiral centers on the chirality induction. The chiroptical property and chirality induction efficiency were discussed on the basis of the optical rotatory power of the template-free polymer and CD spectroscopy.

In chapter 3, the radical cyclization of bis(4-vinylbenzoate)s was examined in order to clarify the stereochemical distribution of the cyclic units. The stereoselectivity in the intramolecular cyclization of bis(4-vinylbenzoate) was controlled by the chiral twist of two 4-vinylbenzoyl groups. On the other hand, the stereoselectivity in the intermolecular addition of the cyclized radical significantly depended on the configuration of the first chiral center. The results of the radical cyclization was agreed with the stereoselection in the asymmtric cyclocopolymerization.

In chapter 4, the chirality induction mechanism in the radical cyclization of bis(4-vinylbenzoate) having a chiral template was studied using a computational method. The semiempirical molecular orbital calculation indicated that the chiral template biases two conformational elements, namely chiral twist of 4-vinylbenzoyl groups and chiral orientation of two carbonyl groups. The two states play an important

role in the stereoselctivity in intra- and intermolecular radical reaction, respectively. This chirality induction mechanism could conclusively explain the stereoselctivity in the radical cyclization.

In chapter 5, total monomer concentration effect on the chirality induction were estimated in the cyclocopolymerizations of (2S,3S)-2,3-butanediyl, (2S,4S)-2,4-pentanediyl, and (2S,5S)-2,5-hexanediyl bis(4-vinylbenzoate)s with styrene. The molecular weight has no influence on the chiroptical properties of the template-free polymer as long as  $DP_n$ ' of the template-free polymer ranges from 32 to 21. In this  $DP_n$ ' range, the specific rotation of the template-free polymer increased with a decrease in the total monomer concentration during the polymerization. This effect could be explained by considering the chirality induction mechanism established in chapter 4.

In chapter 6, conformational effect of monomer on the chirality induction was estimated in the cyclocopolymerization of 2,3-bis-O-(4-vinylbenzoyl)-L-tartarate and (2S,3S)-1,4-dimethoxy-2,3-butandiyl bis(4-vinylbenzoate) with styrene. Although these templates have an identical configuration on the chiral center, the sense of chiral twist of two 4-vinylbenzoyl groups was different between the tartarate derivative and its decarbonyl analog. The tartarate derivative was unsuitable for chirality induction, whereas its decarbonyl analog effectively acted as a template. This conformational effect was discussed on the basis of the chirality induction mechanism established in chapter 4.

In chapter 7, the cyclocopolymerization of 1,2:5,6-di-*O*-isopropylidene-3,4-di-*O*-methacryloyl-D-mannitol with styrene. The resulting polymer was converted to poly[(methyl methacrylate)-*co*-styrene]. The cotacticity of poly[(methyl methacrylate)-*co*-styrene] could be estimated by <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectroscopy. The origin of chirality was discussed on the basis of the chiroptical properties and tactic sequence

of poly[(methyl methacrylate)-*co*-styrene]. Finally, chapter 8 summarizes the results in this study.

1277 Frenzen M. A., Sener, D. M. (1 order, B.; Obere, B.; Loux, H. O., Fredman M.) San San Charm, And. (1982, 111, 131) Frank, San San McFheil, A. I.A Papier, N. A. Trindinghon Lett. Amer. 31, 107

#### **1.4 References**

- (1) Natta, G. J. Polym. Sci. 1955, 16, 143.
- (2) Giese, B. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 1989, 28, 969.
- (3) Porter, N. A.; Giese, B.; Curran, D. P. Acc. Chem. Res. 1991, 24, 296.
- (4) Samadja, W. Synlett 1994, 1.
- (5) Buter, R.; Tan, Y. Y.; Challa, G. J. Polym. Sci., A-1 1973, 11, 2975.
- (6) Gons, J.; Vorenkamp, E. J.; Challa, G. J. Polym. Sci., Polym. Chem. Ed. 1977, 15, 3031.
- (7) Turro, N. J.; Pierola, I. F.; Chung, C.-J. J. Polym. Sci., Polym. Chem. Ed. 1983, 21, 1085.
- (8) Yamada, K.; Nakano, T.; Okamoto, Y. Macromolecules 1998, 31, 7598.
- (9) Nakano, T.; Okamoto, Y. Polym. Preprints, Japan 1995, 44, 1108.
- (10) Nakano, T.; Ishigaki, Y.; Okamoto, Y. Polym. Preprints, Japan 1997, 46, 138.
- (11) Yuki, H.; Hatada, K.; Niinomi, T.; Kikuchi, Y. Polym. J. 1970, 1, 36.
- (12) Nakano, T.; Mori, M.; Okamoto, Y. Macromolecules 1993, 26, 867.
- (13) Nakano, T.; Matsuda, A.; Okamoto, Y. Polym. J. 1996, 28, 556.
- (14) Porter, N. A.; Allen, T. R.; Breyer, R. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1992, 114, 7676.
- (15) Wu, W.-X.; McPhail, A. T.; Porter, N. A. J. Org. Chem. 1994, 59, 1302.
- (16) Porter, N. A.; Carter, R. L.; Mero, C. L.; Roepel, M. G.; Curran, D. P. *Tetrahedron* 1996, 52, 4181.
- (17) Oppolzer, W. Tetrahedron 1987, 43, 1969.
- (18) Oppolzer, W. Pure Appl. Chem. 1988, 60, 39.
- (19) Curran, D. P.; Shen, W.; Zhang, J.; Heffner, T. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1990, 112, 6738.
- (20) Curran, D. P.; Shen, W.; Zhang, J.; Geib, S. J.; Lin, C.-H. *Heterocycles* 1994, 37, 1773.
- (21) Schlessinger, R. H.; Iwanowicz, E. J. Tetrahedron Lett. 1987, 28, 2083.

(22) Short, R. P.; Kennedy, R. M.; Masamune, S. J. Org. Chem. 1989, 54, 1755.
(23) Porter, N. A.; Lacher, B.; Chang, V. H.-T.; Magnin, D. R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1989, 111, 8309.

(24) Porter, N. A.; Scott, D. M.; Lacher, B.; Giese, B.; Zeitz, H.-G.; Lindner, H. T. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1989, 111, 8311.

(25) Scott, D. M.; McPhail, A. T.; Porter, N. A. Tetrahedron Lett. 1990, 31, 1679.

- (26) Porter, N. A.; Swann, E.; Nally, J.; McPhail, A. T. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1990, 112, 6740.
- (27) Giese, B.; Zehnder, M.; Roth, M.; Zeitz, H.-G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1990, 112, 6741.
- (28) Radinov, R.; Mero, C. L.; McPhail, A. T.; Porter N. A. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1995**, *36*, 8183.
- (29) Porter, N. A.; Bruhnke, J. D.; Wu, W.-X.; Rosenstein, I. J.; Breyer, R. A. J. Am. *Chem. Soc.* **1991**, *113*, 7788.
- (30) Badone, D.; Bernassau, J.-M., Cardamone, R.; Guzzi, U. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 1996, 35, 535.
- (31) Sibi, M. P.; Ji, J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1996, 118, 3063.
- (32) Nishida, M.; Ueyama, E.; Hayashi, H.; Ohtake, Y.; Yamaura, Y.; Yanagimura, E.; Yonemitsu, O.; Nishida, A.; Kawahara, N. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1994, 116, 6455.
- (33) Stack, J. G.; Curran, D. P.; Geib, S. V.; Rebek, Jr. J.; Ballester, P. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1992, 114, 7007.
- (34) Wulff, G. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 1989, 28, 21.
- (35) Yokota, K.; Kakuchi, T.; Uesaka, T.; Obata, M. Acta Polym. 1997, 48, 459.
- (36) Kakuchi, T.; Uesaka, T.; Obata, M.; Yokota, K. Kobunshi Ronbunshu 1997, 54, 684.
- (37) Harada, N.; Nakanishi, K. Circular Dichroic Spectroscopy Exciton Coupling in Organic Stereochemistry; Oxford University Press: Oxford, U. K., **1983**.



2. Chinadainstan

the operation of the second state of the secon

In this chapter, the cyclocupolytacrizations of (22,32)-2.2 datased yi, 122,43) 2.4 gate models in the cyclocupolytacrizations of (22,32)-2.4 datased yi, 22,32) and 24 gate with a prove state of the charter is the datased where is the lease of the formation of the charter of the lease of the lease of the charter is proved in the lease of the dataset free proved in the lease of the charter is a proved in the lease of the charter is a proved in the lease of the lease of the charter is a proved in the lease of the charter is a proved in the lease of the lease of the charter is a proved in the lease of the lease of the charter is a proved in the lease of the lease of the lease of the lease of the charter is a proved in the lease of the leas

## Chapter 2

Asymmetric Cyclocopolymerization of (2S,3S)-2,3-Butanediyl, (2S,4S)-2,4-Pentanediyl, and (2S,5S)-2,5-Hexanediyl Bis(4vinylbenzoate)s with Styrene



## 2.1 Introduction

For the asymmetric cyclocopolymerization of bis(4-vinylbenzoate) having chiral templates with styrene, the chirality induction depends on the structural characteristics of the templates, i.e., the distance, the torsion angle, the rotational freedom, and the steric crowding between two 4-vinylbenzoyl groups. A series of compounds as template need to design adequately for the elucidation of these effects. (2S,3S)-2,3-Butanediol, (2S,4S)-2,4-pentanediol, and (2S,5S)-2,5-hexanediol are well suitable for the purpose that is to clarify the effect of the distance between two 4-vinylbenzoyl groups on chirality induction.

In this chapter, the cyclocopolymerizations of (2S,3S)-2,3-butanediyl, (2S,4S)-2,4-pentanediyl, and (2S,5S)-2,5-hexanediyl bis(4-vinylbenzoate)s with styrene were examined (Scheme 2.1). The effect of the distance between two 4-vinylbenzoyl groups was discussed on the basis of the chiroptical property of the template-free polymer.



Scheme 2.1

# abcConditions: (i) AIBN, toluene, 60 °C; (ii) KOH, MeOH, reflux; (iii) CH2N2, Et2O

Present 1 to F. presided " If this is special with the new rate on the star and the second test

## 2.2 Results and Discussion

## 2.2.1 Cyclocopolymerization.

The cyclocopolymerizations of (2S,3S)-2,3-butanediyl, (2S,4S)-2,4-pentanediyl, and (2S,5S)-2,5-hexanediyl bis(4-vinylbenzoate)s (**1a**, **1b**, and **1c**, respectively, M<sub>1</sub>) with styrene (M<sub>2</sub>) were carried out using AIBN in toluene at 60 °C. The results are listed in Table 2.1. The polymerization systems were homogeneous and the resulting polymers **2a-c** were soluble in chloroform and tetrahydrofuran. The number-average molecular weights ( $M_n$ s) of polymers **2a-c** decreased with an increase of the mole fraction of styrene in the feed. The degree of polymerization decreased from 66, 104, and 51 for polymers **2a**, **2b**, and **2c**, respectively, to 22 for all of them. The higher and lower limits are intrinsic in the bis(4-vinylbenzoate)s and styrene, respectively,





Figure 2.1. Expanded <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra of monomers 1a (a), 1b (b), and 1c (c) and polymers 2a (d), 2b (e), and 2c (f).

M <sub>1</sub>	$F_1^{b}$	Time	Yield	$f_1^c$	$f_{ m c}^{~d}$	$M_n \left( M_w / M_n \right)^e$	$DP_n$	$[\alpha]^{23}_{435}^{f}$
-		(h)	(%)	all and	- out	nia and ime	let minute	- frontis
1a	0.9	2.5	24	0.99	0.86	22900 (3.41)	66	+278
	0.8	2.0	16	0.90	0.87	19300 (2.05)	59	+304
	0.7	3.5	28	0.79	0.89	18700 (1.76)	63	+313
	0.6	2.5	19	0.77	0.88	15200 (2.34)	52	+315
	0.5	3.0	9	0.66	0.89	10700 (1.78)	40	+307
	0.4	3.6	19	0.65	0.90	10200 (2.12)	39	+314
	0.3	5.5	25	0.51	0.94	7900 (1.62)	34	+319
	0.2	6.0	18	0.46	0.89	6100 (1.83)	28	+291
	0.1	12.5	14	0.30	0.94	3900 (1.67)	22	+252
	0.05	68.0	27	0.11	1.00	4400 (1.32)	34	+150
1 b	0.9	1.0	22	0.97	1.00	37000 (1.73)	104	+434
	0.8	1.5	24	0.95	1.00	29300 (1.89)	83	+423
	0.7	1.8	24	0.89	1.00	31300 (1.89)	93	+394
	0.6	1.8	23	0.86	1.00	18300 (1.72)	56	+405
	0.5	2.5	22	0.79	1.00	20900 (2.25)	67	+386
	0.4	2.3	22	0.71	1.00	11200 (1.76)	39	+395
	0.3	4.0	21	0.61	1.00	9000 (2.09)	34	+354
	0.2	5.0	22	0.49	1.00	5000 (1.60)	22	+319
1 c	0.9	1.5	16	0.95	0.85	19200 (2.28)	53	+218
	0.8	1.5	15	0.91	0.87	17000 (2.10)	48	+250
	0.7	1.7	18	0.77	0.90	12500 (2.03)	40	+268
	0.6	2.0	11	0.71	0.88	11200 (2.12)	37	+256
	0.5	2.5	17	0.68	0.88	10000 (1.96)	34	+275
	0.4	2.8	15	0.62	0.89	8200 (1.76)	30	+261
	0.3	3.5	11	0.56	0.90	7300 (1.67)	28	+263

**Table 2.1.** Cyclocopolymerizations of (2S,3S)-2,3-butanediyl, (2S,4S)-2,4-pentanediyl, and (2S,5S)-2,5-hexanediyl bis(4-vinylbenzoate)s (**1a**, **1b**, and **1c**, respectively, M<sub>1</sub>) with styrene (M<sub>2</sub>).<sup>*a*</sup>

ni balad ni balad ni balad ni balad ni balad ni balad ni balad

> 0.2 5.0 14 0.45 0.94 5700 (1.51) 25 +259 0.1 8.0 0.29 0.95 4100 (1.33) 11 22 +218 <sup>*a*</sup> Solvent, toluene;  $[1 + \text{styrene}]_0 = 0.1 \text{ mol} \cdot L^{-1}$ ;  $[AIBN]_0 = 6.1 \text{ mmol} \cdot L^{-1}$ ; temp, 60 °C. <sup>*b*</sup> Mole fraction of 1 in the monomer feed. <sup>c</sup> Mole fraction of  $M_1$  unit in the polymer determined by <sup>1</sup>H and quantitative <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra.<sup>d</sup> Extent of cyclization determined by quantitative <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra.<sup>e</sup> Determined by GPC using a polystyrene standard.<sup>*f*</sup> Measured in CHCl<sub>3</sub> at 23 °C (c 1.0).

under the cyclocopolymerization conditions. Figure 2.1 shows the <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra of monomers **1a-c** and polymers **2a-c**. The peaks due to the vinyl groups disappeared in the <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectrum of polymer **2b**, and thus, the monomer **1b** was suggested to polymerize with complete cyclization. However, polymers **2a** and **2c** contained a small amount of residual double bonds. The extent of cyclization ( $f_c$ ) was estimated from the area ratio between the peaks of the carbonyl and vinyl carbons in the inverse gated decoupling <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra of polymers **2a** and **2c**. The  $f_c$  values for polymers **2a** and **2c** increased with increasing M<sub>2</sub> fraction. Hence, the cyclization tendency increased in the order of **1a**  $\cong$  **1c** < **1b**. The mole fraction of M<sub>1</sub> unit in polymer **2** was estimated from area ratio of the aromatic and carbonyl carbon region in the inverse gated decoupling <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectrum as listed in Table 2.1. The monomer reactivity ratio  $r_1 = 3.41$  and  $r_2 = 0.38$  for **1a**/St,  $r_1 = 3.81$  and  $r_2 = 0.28$  for **1b**/St, and  $r_1 = 1.97$  and  $r_2 = 0.21$  for **1c**/St, which were calculated by the Kelen-Tüdös plots.<sup>1</sup> Figure 2.2 shows the composition curves calculated on the basis of Mayo-Lewis equation.<sup>2</sup>



Figure 2.2. Composition curves for the radical cyclocopolymerizations of monomers  $1_{2}$  (a)  $1_{2}$  (b) and  $1_{2}$  (c) with styres Conditions column to be a set of the styres  $1_{2}$  (c)  $1_{2}$ 

**1a** (a), **1b** (b), and **1c** (c) with styrene. Conditions: solvent, toluene;  $[1+styrene]_0 = 0.1$  mol·L<sup>-1</sup>;  $[AIBN]_0 = 6.1$  mmol·L<sup>-1</sup>; temp, 60 °C.

Photos I.A. La particular (Philit operation) relignants in the 20 fbs, and 22 for and they are a set of the se

## 2.2.2 Removal of the Template from Polymer 2

The chirality induction in the cyclocopolymerization should be confirmed by quantitatively removing the chiral template from polymer **2**. The removal of the chiral template from **2** was carried out using KOH in aqueous MeOH. The hydrolyzed polymer was then treated with diazomethane. Figure 2.3 shows the <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra of the methylated polymers derived from **2a-c**. The peaks due to the chiral template (i.e., the peaks at 5.3-5.4 ppm assigned to methine proton of the chiral template) disappeared so that the lack of chiral templates was confirmed for each polymer. Therefore, the template-free polymers were poly[(methyl 4-vinylbenzoate)-*co*-styrene] (**3**). Table 2.2 lists the results of the synthesis of polymer **3**.





Figure 2.3. Expanded <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra of polymers 2a (a), 2b (b), and 2c (c) and thier template-free polymers 3a (d), 3b (e), and 3c (f).

Thereston (2), Table

Ν	A1.	$f_1^{\ b}$	Yield	$M_n (M_w/M_n)^c$	$[\alpha]^{23}_{435}{}^d$
	in the second second		(%)		
1	a	0.99	27	21400 (1.72)	-1.3
		0.90	68	18000 (1.71)	-1.6
		0.79	46	14400 (1.42)	-1.9
		0.77	71	14300 (1.58)	-2.2
		0.66	73	9200 (1.76)	-2.6
		0.65	69	10100 (1.51)	-3.7
		0.51	56	7200 (1.53)	-4.7
		0.46	80	5800 (1.55)	-7.2
		0.30	61	3800 (1.48)	-8.4
		0.11	62	2500 (1.52)	-8.4
1	b	0.97	32	28800 (1.92)	-0.4
		0.95	44	26800 (1.87)	-5.2
		0.89	41	21800 (1.98)	-9.4
		0.86	41	17600 (1.76)	-16.3
		0.79	72	15700 (2.12)	-19.7
		0.71	67	10700 (1.71)	-31.6
		0.61	64	6900 (2.16)	-36.4
		0.49	70	5500 (1.47)	-46.3
1	c	0.95	58	22800 (2.11)	-0.4
		0.91	80	17400 (1.76)	-2.4
		0.77	47	11700 (1.81)	-4.2
		0.71	61	13300 (1.72)	-5.1
		0.68	68	10500 (1.58)	-7.0
		0.62	81	8200 (1.65)	-9.8
		0.56	80	7300 (1.50)	-14.5
		0.45	66	6400 (1.48)	-19.5

#### 0.29 80 4300 (1.54) -24.2

<sup>*a*</sup> Alkali hydrolysis of polymer **2** was carried out using KOH in aqueous MeOH under reflux. The resulting polymer was treated with diazomethane in benzene-ether to yield the polymer **3**. <sup>*b*</sup> Mole fraction of  $M_1$  unit in the polymer **2**. <sup>*c*</sup> Determined by GPC using a polystyrene standard. <sup>*d*</sup> Measured in CHCl<sub>3</sub> at 23 °C (*c* 1.0).

## 2.2.3 Chiroptical Properties of Polymers 3a-c

Even after removal of the chiral templates, the obtained polymers, i.e., poly[(methyl 4-vinylbenzoate)-*co*-styrene]s (**3a**-c), showed an optical activity as listed in Table 2.2. The specific rotation ( $[\alpha]_{435}$ , *c* 1.0, CHCl<sub>3</sub>) increased with a decrease in the M<sub>1</sub> fraction in the polymer and varied from -1° to -8° for **3a**, from 0° to -46° for **3b**, and from 0° to -24° for **3c**. These optical activities originated in the chiral configuration of the main chain possessing numerous chiral centers. As described in chapter 1, the minimum chiral repeating unit is generated in a racemo diad of the M<sub>1</sub> unit separated by M<sub>2</sub> units, namely, the isolated benzoate diad. On the first-order Markov model and perfect cyclization, the weight fraction of the isolated benzoate diad (W<sub>1</sub>) could be calculated as follows:<sup>3</sup>

$$W_{1} = W_{M1} \left( \frac{[M_{2}]}{r_{1}[M_{1}] + [M_{2}]} \right)$$
(2.1)

 $W_{\rm MI}$  is the weight fraction of the M<sub>1</sub> unit. Figure 2.4 shows the specific rotations of



**Figure 2.4.** Specific rotations ( $[\alpha]_{435}$ ,  $c \ 0.1$ , CHCl<sub>3</sub>) versus weight fraction of the isolated benzoate diad ( $W_1$ ) in polymers **3a** ( $\bigcirc$ ), **3b** ( $\square$ ), and **3c** ( $\bigtriangleup$ ). The  $W_1$  values were calculated according to eq. 2.1.

polymers **3a-c** as a function of  $W_1$ . Each of the polymers showed a good linearity of the plots. This result means that the source of chirality in polymer **3** is attributable to the isolated benzoate diad. Here the slope of the plots gives the specific rotation per isolated benzoate diad. Therefore, the chirality induction efficiency increased in order of 1a < 1c < 1b. Figure 2.5 shows the CD and UV spectra of monomers 1a-c. The CD spectra exhibit the split Cotton effect with a positive first and a negative second Cotton effects. According to the CD exciton chirality method<sup>4</sup>, these CD spectra indicate that two 4-vinylbenzoyl groups twist clockwise. On the other hand,



**Figure 2.5.** CD (upper) and UV (lower) spectra of monomers **1a** (solid line), **1b** (short broken line), and **3c** (broken line), recorded in HFIP at 21 °C using a path length of

5mm.

the CD spectra of polymers **3a-c** show the split Cotton effect with a negative first and a positive second Cotton effects (Figure 2.6). These CD spectra indicate that the adjacent two methyl benzoate units twist counterclockwise. On the assumption of the zigzag conformation under the conditions of CD spectral measurements, one would expect that polymer **3** favors a segmental distribution with a high contents of (R,R)racemo benzoate diads. The templates having (S,S)-configuration, thus, induced the chirality of the (R,R)-racemo configuration in the main chain as summarized in Scheme 2.2.



**Figure 2.6.** CD (upper) and UV (lower) spectra of polymers **3a** ( $f_1 = 0.11$ , solid line), **3b** ( $f_1 = 0.49$ , short broken line), and **3c** ( $f_1 = 0.24$ , broken line). The  $\Delta \varepsilon$  and  $\varepsilon$  values

uųi

an or

were based on the concentration of the methyl benzoate diad unit.

Breast, the mapping and includence is the state of the strength of the main that to form





zigzag conformation

and and " all I is one donated by German Gians ( ). I is a perturbation of the second second second second the second se



And I here and the list design the support of the

#### **2.3 Conclusions**

The cyclocopolymerizations of monomers **1a**-**c** with styrene proceeded without crosslinking to yield polymers **2a**-**c** soluble in common organic solvents. Even after removal of the chiral template, the resulting polymers **3a**-**c** exhibited an optical activity due to the configurational chirality of the main chain. The optical activity of **3a**-**c** was attributable to the isolated benzoate diad, and the chirality induction efficiency of the chiral template increased in the order of  $\mathbf{a} < \mathbf{c} < \mathbf{b}$ , namely, 1,2-diol < 1,4-diol < 1,3-diol. According to the CD exciton chirality method, adjacent two methyl benzoate units predominately take an (*R*,*R*)-racemo configuration in polymers **3a**-**c**. Hence, the templates in monomer **1** transmitted its chirality to the main chain to form an enantiomeric (*R*,*R*)-racemo configuration in polymers **3a**-**c**.

i CCD vili dikogi s nijinesni nijinesni i neopen i nijinesni valivility

## 2.4 Experimental Section

**Measurements.** <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra were recorded using JEOL JNM-EX270 and JNM-A400II instruments. Quantitative <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra were obtained at 30 °C in CDCl<sub>3</sub> (100 mg·mL<sup>-1</sup>; delay time 7.0 seconds; inverse gated decoupling). The molecular weight of the resulting polymers was measured by gel permeation chromatography (GPC) in tetrahydrofuran on a Jasco Intelligent HPLC system (880-PU pump and 830-RI detector) equipped with three polystyrene columns (Shodex KF-804L). The number-average molecular weight ( $M_n$ ) was calculated on the basis of a polystyrene calibration. Optical rotations were measured with a Jasco DIP-140 digital polarimeter. CD spectra were measured in 1,1,1,3,3,3-hexafluoro-2-propanol (HFIP) with a 5 mm path length using a Jasco J-720 spectropolarimeter.

**Materials.** Toluene was refluxed over sodium benzophenone ketyl and distilled just before use. 2,2'-Azobis(2-methylpropionitrile) (AIBN) was recrystallized from methanol. HFIP was donated by Central Glass Co. and used without further purification. (2S,4S)-2,4-pentanediol was purchased from Kanto Chemical Co. and used without further purification. (2S,3S)-2,3-Butanediol and (2S,5S)-2,5-hexanediol were synthesized according to literature procedures.<sup>5,6</sup>

(2*S*,3*S*)-2,3-Butanediyl Bis(4-vinylbenzoate) (1a). To a solution of (2*S*,3*S*)-2,3-butanediol (8.6 g, 95 mmol) in dry pyridine (600 mL) was gradually added 4-vinylbenzoyl chloride (32.5 g, 195 mmol) at 5 - 10 °C, and then the mixture was heated at 80 °C with stirring for 4 hr. After dilution of the solution with water on cooling, the whole was stirred further for 1 hr and extracted with ether. The solvent was removed, and then the residue was purified by squat column chromatography on silica gel (Kiesel Gel 60) with hexane/diethyl ether (vol. ratio 3/1) to give **1a** as a white powder. Yield 24.3 g (69.3 mmol, 73 %).  $[\alpha]_{435} = +72.2^{\circ}$ ,  $[\alpha]_D = +30.8^{\circ}$  (CHCl<sub>3</sub>, 23 °C, *c* 1.0). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (270 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  (ppm) = 7.99 (d, <sup>3</sup>*J* = 8.25 Hz, 4H, Ar), 6.74 (dd, <sup>3</sup>*J*<sub>trans</sub> = 17.8 Hz, <sup>3</sup>*J*<sub>cis</sub> = 10.9 Hz, 2H,

=CH-), 5.84 (d,  ${}^{3}J_{trans}$  = 17.2 Hz, 2H, =CH<sub>2</sub>), 5.39-5.30 (m, 4H, =CH<sub>2</sub> and OCH), 1.42 (d,  ${}^{3}J$  = 5.9 Hz, 6H, CH<sub>3</sub>).  ${}^{13}$ C NMR (67.8 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  (ppm) =165.6 (C=O), 142.0, 129.9, 129.3, 126.0 (Ar), 135.9 (=CH-), 116.4 (=CH<sub>2</sub>), 72.2 (OCH), 16.3 (CH<sub>3</sub>). Anal. Calcd for C<sub>22</sub>H<sub>22</sub>O<sub>4</sub> (350.4): C 75.41; H 6.33. Found: C 67.84; H 6.16. (2S,4S)-2,4-Pentanediyl Bis(4-vinylbenzoate) (1b). The same procedure as that for 1a was applied to a mixture of (2S,4S)-2,4-pentanediol (4.2 g, 40 mmol), 4-

vinylbenzoyl chloride (14 g, 84 mmol) and 200 mL of pyridine. The crude product was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (Kiesel Gel 60) with hexane/ ethyl acetate (vol. ratio 15/85) to give **1b** as a sticky liquid. Yield 10.4 g (28.6 mmol, 71.5 %).  $[\alpha]_{435} = +516.5^{\circ}, [\alpha]_{D} = +216.8^{\circ}$  (CHCl<sub>3</sub>, 23 °C, *c* 1.0). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  (ppm) = 7.92 (d, <sup>3</sup>J = 8.4 Hz, 4H, Ar), 7.36 (d, <sup>3</sup>J = 8.3 Hz, 4H, Ar), 6.70 (dd, <sup>3</sup>J<sub>trans</sub> = 17.6 Hz, <sup>3</sup>J<sub>cis</sub> = 10.9 Hz, 2H, =CH-), 5.81 (d, <sup>3</sup>J<sub>trans</sub> = 17.5 Hz, 2H, =CH<sub>2</sub>), 5.33 (d, <sup>3</sup>J<sub>cis</sub> = 10.8 Hz, 2H, =CH<sub>2</sub>), 5.28-5.36 (m, 2H, OCH), 2.08 (t, <sup>3</sup>J = 6.3 Hz, 2H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 1.40 (d, <sup>3</sup>J = 6.3 Hz, 6H, CH<sub>3</sub>). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  (ppm) = 165.6 (C=O), 141.7, 129.8, 129.6, 125.9 (Ar), 136.0 (=CH-), 116.3 (=CH<sub>2</sub>), 68.2 (CH), 42.1 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 20.5 (CH<sub>3</sub>). Anal. Calcd for C<sub>23</sub>H<sub>24</sub>O<sub>4</sub> (364.4): C 75.79; H 6.64. Found: C 76.21; H6.79.

(2*S*,5*S*)-2,5-Hexanediyl Bis(4-vinylbenzoate) (1c). The same procedure as that for 1a was applied to a mixture of (2*S*,5*S*)-2,5-hexanediol (4.3 g, 36 mmol) , 4-vinylbenzoyl chloride (18.3 g, 110 mmol) and 200 mL of pyridine. The crude product was purified by column chromatography on alumina with hexane/diethyl ether (vol. ratio 4/1), followed by recrystallization from hexane to give 1c as a white powder. Yield 8.5 g (22.5 mmol, 62 %).  $[\alpha]_{435} = +60.2^{\circ}, [\alpha]_{D} = +23.6^{\circ}$  (CHCl<sub>3</sub>, 23 °C, *c* 0.1). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (270 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ (ppm) = 7.99 (d, <sup>3</sup>*J* = 8.2 Hz, 4H, Ar), 7.45 (d, <sup>3</sup>*J* = 8.2 Hz, 4H, Ar), 6.75 (dd, <sup>3</sup>*J*<sub>trans</sub> = 17.5 Hz, <sup>3</sup>*J*<sub>cis</sub> = 10.9 Hz, 2H, =CH-), 5.85 (d, <sup>3</sup>*J*<sub>trans</sub> = 17.5 Hz, 2H, =CH<sub>2</sub>), 5.37 (d, <sup>3</sup>*J* = 6.3 Hz, 6H, CH<sub>3</sub>). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (67.8 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ (ppm) = 165.9 (C=O), 141.8, 129.8, 126.0 (Ar), 136.0 (-CH=), 116.4 (=CH<sub>2</sub>), 71.1 (CH), 31.8 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 20.0 (CH<sub>3</sub>). Anal. Calcd. for C<sub>24</sub>H<sub>26</sub>O<sub>4</sub> (378.5): C 76.17; H 6.92. Found: C 76.10; H 6.98.

**Cyclocopolymerization.** The cyclocopolymerization of **1** with styrene was carried out using AIBN in toluene at 60 °C. After an appropriate time, the polymerization mixture was poured into methanol and the precipitate was filtered. The obtained white powder was purified by reprecipitation with chloroform-methanol

and dried in vacuo.

**Poly**[(methyl 4-vinylbenzoate)-*co*-styrene] (3). The removal of the chiral template from polymers 2 was carried out using methanolic KOH. After neutralization with hydrochloric acid, the solution was dialyzed using a cellophane tube, and later concentrated by freeze-drying. The hydrolyzed copolymers were treated with diazomethane in benzene/ether, whereupon polymers 3 were obtained. The procedures

# of dialysis and freeze-drying lowered the yields of **3** as shown in Table 1.

- [5] Lehen L. Ishita, F. J. Macrowiol. Sci. Chem. 1975, A9(1).
- 12) Infined, R. R., Lewis, F. M. J. Amer Chemit. Soc. 1944, 60, 1594.
- 14) Ine K. : Yumashim, Y. J. Pedyer, Sci. Part A. Polem, Chem. 1965, 1, 2165.
- (4) Hunde, N., Nakastshi, K. Cintalar Dathink, Spectroscopy Eartin Compling i
  - Distrink, Internationality, Children Oniversity Press, Ortion, C. P. 1983
- 151 Intendit, V.; Koppenhioelev, B.; Buerkle, W. J. Org, Chem. 1980, 45, 538
- (6). 17 16. 1 W. Sweth, Commun. 1983, 15, 765.



## **2.5 References**

- (1) Kelen, T.; Tüdös, F. J. Macromol. Sci. Chem. 1975, A9(1), 1.
- (2) Mayo, F. R.; Lewis, F. M. J. Amer. Chem. Soc. 1944, 66, 1594.
- (3) Ito, K.; Yamashita, Y. J. Polym. Sci. Part A: Polym. Chem. 1965, 3, 2165.
- (4) Harada, N.; Nakanishi, K. *Circular Dichroic Spectroscopy Exciton Coupling in Organic Stereochemistry*; Oxford University Press: Oxford, U. K, **1983**.
- (5) Schurig, V.; Koppenhoefer, B.; Buerkle, W. J. Org. Chem. 1980, 45, 538.
- (6) Lieser, J. K. Synth. Commun. 1983, 13, 765.

## Chapter 3

Sterne derivity in Radion! Cyclication of Bis(4-vinythenzoide) having a Chical Template,



2:5 Rofe (1) Kal (2) Ma (4) Han (4) Han (5) Sch (5) Lin

1 | Indignation

The process despite clarifies that the character induction efficiency depended a find there is not a second contrast in the chiral template. However, an userfield period is not also distance between two chiral centers concerns with chirality adaption. In which to resolve the guestion, it is necessary to eductively with chirality ordering for placeby induction on the back of a stereochemical distribution of the entropy of the back.

Chapter 3

Stereoselectivity in Radical Cyclization of Bis(4-vinylbenzoate) having a Chiral Template.



## **3.1 Introduction**

The previous chapter clarified that the chirality induction efficiency depended on the distance between two chiral centers in the chiral template. However, an unsettled question is how the distance between two chiral centers concerns with chirality induction. In order to resolve the question, it is necessary to construct the rational mechanism for chirality induction on the basis of a stereochemical distribution of the isolated benzoate diads.

The first report of the analysis of the stereochemical process for the asymmetric cyclocopolymerization was presented by Wulff and Küneweg.<sup>1</sup> The radical cyclizations of 3,4-*O*-cyclohexylidene-D-mannitol 1,2:5,6-bis-*O*-[(4-vinylphenyl)boronate] and 3,4-*O*-cyclohexylidene-D-mannitol 1,2:5,6-bis-*O*-[(4-vinylnaphthyl-1)boronate] were examined using an excess amount of radical initiator. However the excessive use of radical initiator induced the primary radical termination, namely, the recombination between the cyclized radical and the initiator. This reaction essentially differed from the intermolecular propagation in the radical cyclocopolymerization. The radical cyclization, therefore, must be designed to produce





Second Step for Formation of Chiral Center · SnBu<sub>3</sub> BnBr Br Bn = Benzyl6: A = H8: A = AllylCyclic Unimer 28

the suitable cyclic compound as the model of the constitutional repeating unit formed by the cyclopolymerization. The use of an appropriate chain transfer becomes the first step to solve the subject.

In this chapter, the radical cyclization of bis(4-vinylbenzoate) was examined using tri-*n*-butyltin hydride or allyltri-*n*-butyltin as a chain transfer reagent (Scheme 3.1). The stereoselectivities in the intramolecular cyclization and the intermolecular addition were discussed on the basis of a distribution of stereoisomers of the obtained cyclic unimer.

## 3.2 Results and Discussion

#### 3.2.1 Radical Cyclization using Tri-n-butyltin Hydride

The radical cyclizations of monomers **1a-f** were carried out using benzyl bromide, AIBN, and tri-*n*-butyltin hydride as a chain transfer reagent (Scheme 3.2). Reaction profile is described as follows (see Scheme 3.1). (1) An isobutyronitrile radical due to thermal homolysis of AIBN reacts with benzyl bromide to generate the benzyl radical which is a model for a propagating species in the polymerization. (2) The benzyl radical attacks one of the vinyl groups in the monomer to form an intermediate radical **4** (The monomer has  $C_2$  symmetry and the two vinyl groups are equal in reactivity). (3) Radical **4** attacks the other vinyl groups to form a cyclized radical **5** 

#### Scheme 3.2



## 

Conditions: (i) KOH / MeOH, reflux, (ii)  $CH_2N_2$  / Ether

with a chiral center. (4) Radical **5** leads to form the cyclic compound **6** through the reaction with tri-*n*-butyltin hydride. Although radical **5** tends to attack another monomer to yield the higher oligomers and polymers, tri-*n*-butyltin hydride as a chain transfer reagent depress the undesirable tendency.

### 3.2.2 Structural Elucidation for Stereochemical Analysis

Compound **6a**, which consisted of two diastereomers, was isolated from the reaction mixture using preparative HPLC. Moreover, its diastereomers could be separate into two parts using chiral HPLC (Figure 3.1). In addition, one of the





diastereomers, which is the first-eluted compound on the chiral HPLC, could be purified by recrystallization from hexane. Its absolute structure was determined as (R)-configuration by the single crystal X-ray analysis (Figure 3.2 and Table 3.1). The figure indicates that the protons pointed are effectively shielded by the ring current

effect of the other benzene ring. These protons showed ring current shifts at 5.8-6.5 ppm in the <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra of **6a** (region b in Figure 3.3). Compound **6a** was hydrolyzed using methanolic KOH, and then the hydrolyzed compound was treated with diazomethane to yield compound **7a**. The structure of **7a** was confirmed as 1,3-bis(4-methoxycarbonylphenyl)-5-phenylpentane (**7**) by the FD-MS, and <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra as shown in Figure 3.4 as well as the single crystal X-ray analysis of



Figure 3.2. X-ray structure of first-eluted diastereomer of 6a.

Table 3.1.	Crystal data and experimental parameters for X-ray structure determination of first-eluted
diastereomer 6	a.

crys	tal data	experimental parameters		
molecular formura	$C_{29}H_{30}O_4$	radiation	CuK $\alpha$ ( $\lambda$ = 1.54178 Å)	
molecular weight	442.55	scan type	ω - 2θ	
crystal system	orthorhombic	scan rate / deg·min <sup>-1</sup>	8.0 (up to 3 scans)	
space group	P2 <sub>1</sub> 2 <sub>1</sub> 2 <sub>1</sub>	scan width / deg	$1.15 + 0.30 \tan \theta$	
crystal size / mm	0.40 x 0.50 x 0.40	$2\theta$ range	47.5 - 60.0°	
a/ Å	10.1725(9)	no. of unique data	2486 ( $R_{int} = 0.029$ )	
b/Å	25.615(2)	no. of obsd data	2201	

ational ational minomi a minda a minda a minda

C ulius) sepace

$D_{calcd} / g \cdot cm^{-3}$	1.219	goodness of fit	1.02	
Ζ	4	$R_{w}$	0.063	
V / Å <sup>3</sup>	2412.2(3)	R	0.047	
c / Å	9.257(1)	no. of variables	419	




Physics 3.5. Opening rescant mean 7# Columns, CHIRALCEL, Colyclocal, hearestern



Figure 3.4. <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of 7.

**6a**. Compound **7a** could be separate into two enantiomers using chiral HPLC (Figure 3.5). The first-eluted enantiomer was assigned to (R)-1,3-bis(4-methoxycarbonylphenyl)-5-phenylpentane ((R)-7) corresponding to (R)-6a. Since the cyclic compounds 6b-f were converted to an identical compound 7, the stereoselectivity in the intramolecular cyclization could be estimated by application of the chiral HPLC to **7b-f**.



And the second state and state which is the function of a structure state state state becault



Figure 3.5. Optical resolution of 7a. Column, CHIRALCEL OD; eluent, hexane/2propanol = 8/2; flow rate, 0.5 mL·min<sup>-1</sup>.

# 3.2.3 Stereoselectivity in the Intramolecular Cyclization

Compounds **6b-f** were confirmed by FD-MS, and <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra (Figure 3.6). In particular, their <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra showed the characteristic shielded protons at 5.8-6.5 ppm. For **6c**, the broad peaks were found in contrast to other spectra. Figure 3.7 shows the <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra of **6c** measured at 213, 298, and 313 K. Because the spectra of **6c** at lower temperature gives fine peaks as same as those of other cyclic compounds, **6c** has more flexible structure than the others. The removal of the chiral templates from **6b-f** were carried out by a way similar to that used for **6a**. The <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra of **7b-f** were identical with that of **7a**. Table 3.2 lists the results of the radical cyclization using tri-*n*-butyltin hydride. Monomers **1a**, **1b**, **1c**, **1e**, and **1f** preferentially formed the cyclic compounds **7** with an (*R*)-configuration rather than a (*S*)-configuration. Monomer **1d** showed the stereoselectivity opposite to the other monomers. These results agree with the cyclocopolymerization results. The extent of stereoselectivity in the intramolecular cyclization was varied from **19** to **39** % d.e.

	Synthesis of <b>6</b> <sup><i>a</i></sup>		Synthesis of 7	r c
Monomer	Yield <sup>b</sup> (%)	Yield <sup>d</sup> (%)	d.e. <sup>e</sup> (%)	Major Configuration
1a	13.9	52.1	34	(R)
1 b	19.5	53.7	21	( <i>R</i> )
1 c	8.6	72.0	24	( <i>R</i> )
1d	13.2	28.0	19	(S)
1 e	12.1	62.0	39	( <i>R</i> )
lf	11.2	87.0	27	(R)

Table 3.2. Results of radical cyclization using tri-n-butyltin hydride.

<sup>*a*</sup> Solvent, toluene; temp., 80 °C. <sup>*b*</sup> Isolated yields. <sup>*c*</sup> Alkali hydrolysis of **6** was carried out using KOH in aqueous MeOH under reflux. The resulting compound was treated with diazomethane in ether to yield **7**. <sup>*d*</sup> Isolated yields. <sup>*e*</sup> The diastereometric excess was determined by chiral HPCL.









\* I see a Sidier fil forgenisien / hit hiktogenprophytical fillebranning in fait for each hit weer field is i form a die "Ethnologies / elle bingtie uit Sterrei.



Figure 3.7. <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra of 6c recorded at 313 (a), 298 (b), and 213 K (c).

with the second se

The chirality induction in the intramolecular cyclization should be attributable to the chiral twist of two 4-vinylbenzoyl groups. Figure 3.8 shows the CD and UV spectra of monomers 1a, 1d, 1e, and 1f. The A value, which is characteristics of the split Cotton effect and defined as  $A = \Delta \varepsilon$  (first Cotton effect) -  $\Delta \varepsilon$  (second Cotton effect), was increased in order of 1d < 1f < 1a < 1e. The order coincided with that of



**Figure 3.8.** CD (upper) and UV (lower) spectra of monomers **1a**, **1d**, **1e**, and **1f**, recorded in HFIP at 21 °C using a path length of 5 mm.



Figure 3.9. Angular dependence of the A value of split Cotton effect (a) with definitions of the A value on the ordinate (b) and of the dihedral angle  $\theta$  on the abscissa (c).

stereoselectivity for the intramolecular cyclization, i.e., 1d (19 % d.e.) < 1f (27 % d.e.) < 1a (34 % d.e.) < 1e (39 % d.e.). Since monomers 1a, 1d, 1e, and 1f are the 4-vinylbenzoates of 1,2-diols, the A value only depends on a dihedral angle between two 4-vinylbenzoyl groups. The angular dependence of the A value is illustrated in Figure 3.9. Consequently, the stereoselectivity in the intramolecular cyclization was improved with an increase in the dihedral angle between two 4-vinylbenzoyl groups.

# 3.2.4 Radical Cyclization using Allyltri-n-butyltin

The radical cyclizations of bis(4-vinylbenzoate) monomers 1a-d were carried out using benzyl bromide, AIBN, and allyltri-*n*-butyltin as a chain transfer reagent (Scheme 3.3). Reaction profile is similar to that in the case used tri-*n*-butyltin hydride (Scheme 3.1). The structure of cyclic compound **8** consists of four stereoisomeric forms. The chiral centers are formed in the intermolecular addition of radical **5** to allyltri-*n*-butyltin, in addition to the intramolecular cyclization.



Scheme 3.3

# a b c d

Conditions: (i) KOH / MeOH, reflux, (ii) CH<sub>2</sub>N<sub>2</sub> / Ether

# 3.2.5 Structural Elucidation for Stereochemical Analysis

Cyclic compound **8a** containing four stereoisomers was obtained from the reaction mixture using preparative HPLC, and confirmed by the FD-MS, <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra (Figure 3.10). The shielded protons characteristically appeared at 5.8-6.5 ppm in analogy with the case of **6a**. The olefinic carbons were found as peaks at 116 and 136 ppm in the <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectrum. Cyclic compound **8a** was hydrolyzed using methanolic KOH, and then the resulting compound was treated with diazomethane to yield compound **9a**. This compound **9a** should consist of four stereoisomers as shown in Chart 3.1. The chiral HPLC, therefore, was used for the



#### ppm ppm ppm

**Figure 3.10.** <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of **8a** (a) and expanded <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra of **8a** in the main chain region (b), in the allyl methylene region (c), and in the allyl methine region (d).

Chart 3.1



separation of these stereoisomers. The resolution in the chromatogram of 9a is satisfactory, as shown in Figure 3.11. The isolation of each stereoisomer also was successfully carried out. Figure 3.12 shows their CD and UV spectra. The assignment of stereoisomers was performed as follows: The CD spectra indicate that 9a consists of two pairs of enantiomers, i.e., rel-(R,R)-9a and rel-(R,S)-9a. Figure 3.13 shows the <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra of rel(R,R)-9a and rel(R,S)-9a. On the assumption that 9a mainly takes a zigzag conformation, the isomer which exhibits strong split Cotton effect corresponds to rel(R,R)-9a, and the other is rel(R,S)-9a. In addition, the CD exciton chirality method is useful for assigning (R,R)- and (S,S)-9a. The results is shown in Figures 3.11 and 3.12. The assignment of (R,S)-9a and (S,R)-9a was performed on the basis of the ratio of [(R,R)-9a+(R,S)-9a]/[(S,S)-9a+(S,R)-9a]corresponding to the extent of stereoselectivity in the radical cyclization using tri-nbutyltin hydride. All assignments were indicated in Figure 3.11 and 3.12. Since the cyclic compounds 8b-d were converted into an identical compound 9, the extent of stereoselectivity in the radical cyclization using allyltri-n-butyltin could be estimated by the chiral HPLC for 9b-f obtained.





**Figure 3.11.** Separation of **9a** into each stereoisomer. Column, CHIRALCEL OD; eluent, hexane/2-propanol = 9/1; flow rate, 0.2 mL·min<sup>-1</sup>.



**Figure 3.12.** CD (upper) and UV (lower) spectra of isolated stereoisomer of **9a**, recorded in acetonitrile at 21 °C using a path length of 5 mm.

#### - - mayele milly in the special flow.

 $\frac{5.9}{(6)}$ C ll d а 0= =0 OMe OMe ее 9.6 (9) 4.0 b  $\frac{2.0}{(2)}$ (4)  $\frac{9.8}{(10)}$ a 1.0 d (1) C f (a) male × 2 6 4 0 ppm 8  $\frac{6.0}{(6)}$ 



8 6 4 2 0 ppm

Figure 3.13. <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra of rel-(R,S)-9a (a) and rel-(R,R)-9a (b).

# 3.2.6 Stereoselectivity in the Overall Process

Cyclic compounds 8b-d were confirmed by the FD-MS, <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra (Figure 3.14). In particular, the characteristic peaks due to shielded protons and the peaks due to vinyl carbons were observed at 5.8-6.5 ppm in <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra and at 116 and 136 ppm in <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra of **8b-d**, respectively. For **8c**, the <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum shows the broad peaks in analogy with the case of 6c. Figure 3.15 shows the <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra of 8c measured at 213, 298, and 313 K. Because the measurements of 8c at lower temperature gives more fine signals, the structure of 8c is more flexible than those of 8a, 8b and 8d. The removal of the chiral template from 8b-d were carried out by the procedure similar to that for 8a. Table 3.3 lists the results of the radical cyclization using allyltri-*n*-butyltin. The extremely low contents of (S,S)-9 for 1a-c and (R,R)-9 for 1d are characteristic. The results mean that monomers 1a-cform the benzoate diad with (R,R)-racemo configuration rather than (S,S)-racemo configuration, and vise versa for 1d, which fact fairly agrees with the results for cyclocopolymerization. However, there is little difference in chirality induction efficiency, which corresponds to the absolute value of (R,R)-9 minus (S,S)-9, among 1a-d in contrast to the results for cyclocopolymerization. This disagreement result between the radical cyclization and cyclocopolymerization is discussed in later chapters.

	Synthesis of 8 <sup>a</sup>	Synthesis of 9 <sup>c</sup>					
Monomer	Yield <sup>b</sup>	Yield <sup>d</sup>	$(R,R)-9^{e}$	$(R,S)-9^{e}$	$(S,R)-9^{e}$	$(S,S)-9^{e}$	
	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	
1a	2.3	88.1	38.5	27.9	32.8	0.8	
1 b	5.4	88.3	39.0	16.9	43.8	0.3	

 Table 3.3.
 Results of radical cyclization using allyltri-n-butyltin.

1c	4.0	90.2	39.9	22.6	36.7	0.8
1d	3.9	89.3	0.6	36.4	22.2	40.8
Solvent, tolu	ene; temp., 80 °C	C. <sup>b</sup> Isolated yield	ds. <sup>c</sup> Alkali hy	drolysis of 6 v	was carried out u	using KOH in
queous MeOH	Hunder reflux. Th	ne resulting com	pound was tre	ated with diaz	omethane in eth	her to yield 7.
Isolated yield	s. <sup>e</sup> The composi	tion of stereoise	omers was dete	ermined by chi	iral HPCL.	



A. C. C. C.

.



Figure 3.14. <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra of 8b (a), 8c (b), and 8d (c).

 $(a) \qquad (a) \qquad (a) \qquad (b) \qquad (b)$ 



#### A.J. Canchadan



Figure 3.15. <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra of 8c recorded at 313 (a), 298 (b), and 213 K (c).

In the radical cyclization, there are two kinds of stereoisomeric forms in radical **5**. One of the forms, which has the (*R*)-configuration at the chiral center formed through the cyclization, referred to as "(*R*)-**5**" and the other to "(*S*)-**5**". Table 3.4 lists the extent of stereoselectivity of each radical **5**. For **1a-c**, the (*R*)-**5** exhibited the lower stereoselectivity in the range of 16 to 40 % d.e. favorable to the formation of (*R*,*R*)-**8**, whereas the (*S*)-**5** exhibited the excellent stereoselectivity in the range of 95 to 99 % d.e. favorable to the formation of (*S*,*R*)-**8**. On the other hand, for **1d**, the (*R*)-**5** exhibited that of 97 % d.e., favoring (*R*,*S*)-**8**, whereas the (*S*)-**5** exhibited that of 30 % d.e., favoring (*S*,*S*)-**8**. These results indicate that the stereoselectivity in the reaction of the radical **5** with allyltri-*n*-butyltin significantly depends on the absolute configuration of the first chiral center formed through the cyclization. The stereochemical process of the radical cyclization of **1a** using allyltri-*n*-butyltin is outlined in Scheme 3.4.

Table J.4.	The extent of stereoscie	etity of fudeuis		<i>c</i> .	
		steresoselecti	vity for $(R)$ -5	stereoselectivity for $(S)$ -5	
Monomer	Abs $[(R,R)-9 - (S,S)-9]^a$	( <i>R</i> , <i>R</i> )- <b>9</b>	(R,S)-9	(S, R)-9	( <i>S</i> , <i>S</i> )-9
	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)
1a	37.7	58.0	42.0	97.6	2.4
1 b	38.7	69.8	30.2	99.3	0.7
1 c	39.1	63.8	36.2	97.9	2.1
1d	40.2	1.6	98.4	35.2	64.8

**Table 3.4.** The extent of stereoselectity of radcals (R)-5 and (S)-5.

<sup>*a*</sup> The absolute values of content of (R,R)-9 minus that of (S,S)-9, indicating chirality induction efficiency.

#### **3.3 Conclusion**

The radical cyclizations of monomers 1a-f using tri-*n*-butyltin hydride were carried out to estimate the stereoselectivity in the intramolecular cyclization. Monomers 1a, 1b, 1c, 1e, and 1f preferentially forms the chiral center with (R)-

configuration rather than (S)-configuration, while 1d shows the opposite stereoselectivity. These results agreed with those of cyclocopolymerization. The stereoselectivity in the cyclization was varied from 19 to 39 % d.e. For the bis(4-vinylbenzoate)s of 1,2-diols (i.e., 1a, 1d, 1e, and 1f), the diastereomeric excess increased with an increase in the A value of the split Cotton effect in the CD spectra, indicating that the stereoselectivity in the cyclization was improved with an increase

S. Ca ithmage thmage bioker is der S andis is der is der in line alerter alerter alerter



in the dihedral angle between two 4-vinylbenzoyl groups.

The radical cyclizations of **1a-d** using allyltri-*n*-butyltin were carried out to estimate the stereoselectivity in the intermolecular addition of the cyclized radical **5**. The results shows the extremely low content of (S,S)-**9** for **1a-c** and (R,R)-**9** for **1d**, indicating that the second stereoselectivity during the addition of radical **5** depends

Scheme 3.4

on the absolute configuration of the first chiral center. Therefore, monomers 1a-c form the benzoate diad with (R,R)-racemo configuration rather than that with (S,S)-racemo configuration, and *vise versa* for 1d, which fact agrees with the results for cyclocopolymerization.

# **3.4 Experimental Section**

Measurements. <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra were recorded using JEOL JNM-EX 270 and JNM-A400II instruments. 500 MHz 1H NMR spectra were recorded using JEOL ALPHA500 (High-Resolution NMR Laboratory, Hokkaido University). FD-MS and FD-HRMS were recorded on a JEOL JMS-SX102A mass spectrometer (GC-MS & NMR Laboratory, Faculty of Agriculture, Hokkaido University). X-ray data were collected with a Rigaku AFC7R diffractometer with filtered Cu-Ka radiation and a rotating anode generator (Laboratory of Bioorganic Chemistry, Institute for Chemical Research, Kyoto University). The crystal structures were solved by direct methods (SIR92).<sup>2</sup> Optical rotations were measured with a Jasco DIP-1000 digital polarimeter. CD spectra were measured in acetonitrile with a 5 mm path length using Jasco J-720 spectropolarimeter. The chiral HPLC analysis was performed using a Jasco HPLC system (PU-1580 Intelligent HPLC pump and UV-1575 Intelligent UV detector) equipped with cellulose-tris(3,5-dimethylphenylcarbamate) (Daicel, CHIRALCEL OD, 0.46 cm $\phi$  x 25 cm) column (hexane/2-propanol (vol. ratio 9/1) as eluent, flow rate of 0.5 mL·min<sup>-1</sup> for resolution of 7 and flow rate of 0.2 mL·min<sup>-1</sup> for resolution of 9).

**Materials.** Toluene was purchased from Kanto Chemical Co. and used without further purification. 2,2'-Azobis(2-methylpropionitrile) (AIBN) was recrystallized from methanol. Benzyl bromide was distilled before use. Tri-*n*-butyltin hydride and allyltri-*n*-butyltin were purchased from Aldrich and used without further purification. 1,2:5,6-Di-*O*-isopropylidene-D-mannitol<sup>3</sup>, (1*S*,2*S*)-1,2-cycloheptanediol<sup>4</sup>, and (2*S*,3*S*)-1,4-dimethoxy-2,3-butanediol<sup>5</sup> were synthesized according to literature procedures.

**Separation of the Cyclic Compound.** The preparative HPLC for the isolation of the cyclic compound was performed using Jasco HPLC system (PU-986 Intelligent preparative pump and UVIDEC-100-III UV Spectrophotometer) equipped with silica gel-ODS (Kanto, Mightysil RP-18 250-20) column.

**Removal of the Chiral Template.** The cyclic compound and 5 wt% methanolic KOH solution (50 eq. to carboxyl groups) were placed in 50 mL flask and refluxed for 1 hour. The resulting mixture was neutralized with hydrochloric acid. After removal of water under reduced pressure, the residue was treated with diazomethane in ether, whereupon template-free compound.

**1,2:5,6-Di**-*O*-isopropylidene-3,4-bis-*O*-(4-vinylbenzoyl)-D-mannitol (1d). The same procedure as that for **1a** in chapter 2 was applied to a mixture of 1,2:5,6-di-*O*-isopropylidene-D-mannitol (11 g, 43 mmol), 4-vinylbenzoyl chloride (22 g, 130 mmol) and 250 mL of pyridine. The crude product was purified by column chromatography on alumina with dichloromethane to give **1d** as a white solid. Yield 4.1 g (7.8 mmol, 18 %).  $[\alpha]_{435} = +172.2^{\circ}, [\alpha]_{D} = +75.1^{\circ}$  (CHCl<sub>3</sub>, 21 °C, *c* 0.1). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (270 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  (ppm) = 8.02 (d, <sup>3</sup>J = 8.2 Hz, 4H, Ar), 7.48 (d, <sup>3</sup>J = 8.3 Hz, 4H, Ar), 6.76 (dd, <sup>3</sup>J<sub>trans</sub> = 17.5 Hz, <sup>3</sup>J<sub>cis</sub> = 10.9 Hz, 2H, =CH-), 5.88 (d, <sup>3</sup>J<sub>trans</sub> = 17.5 Hz, 2H, =CH<sub>2</sub>), 5.70 (d, <sup>3</sup>J = 5.3 Hz, 2H, OCH), 5.41 (d, <sup>3</sup>J<sub>cis</sub> = 10.9 Hz, 2H, =CH<sub>2</sub>), 4.40-4.34 (m, 2H, CH), 4.04-3.91 (m, 4H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 1.31 (s, 6H, CH<sub>3</sub>), 1.30 (s, 6H, CH<sub>3</sub>). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (67.8 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  (ppm) = 165.6 (C=O), 142.9, 130.5, 128.9, 126.7 (Ar), 136.30 (=CH-), 117.4 (=CH<sub>2</sub>), 110.0 (C), 75.3 (CH), 72.5 (CH), 66.2 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 26.9 (CH<sub>3</sub>), 25.7 (CH<sub>3</sub>). Anal. Calcd. for C<sub>30</sub>H<sub>34</sub>O<sub>8</sub> (522.6): C 68.95; H 6.56. Found: C 69.93; H 6.53.

(1*S*,2*S*)-1,2-Cycloheptanediyl Bis(4-vinylbenzoate) (1e). The same procedure as that for 1a in chapter 2 was applied to a mixture of (1*S*,2*S*)-1,2-cycloheptanediol (1.0 g, 7.7 mmol), 4-vinylbenzoyl chloride (4.4 g, 26.4 mmol) and 100 mL of pyridine. The crude product was purified by column chromatography on alumina with hexane/ diethyl ether (vol. ratio 4/1) to give 1e as a white solid. Yield 2.4 g (6.1 mmol, 79.4 %).  $[\alpha]_{435} = +579.7^{\circ}, [\alpha]_{D} = +237.9^{\circ}$  (CHCl<sub>3</sub>, 23 °C, *c* 0.1). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (270 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ (ppm) = 7.92 (d, <sup>3</sup>J = 8.6 Hz, 4H, Ar), 7.38 (d, <sup>3</sup>J = 8.6 Hz, 4H, Ar), 6.75 (dd, <sup>3</sup>J<sub>trans</sub> = 17.8 Hz, <sup>3</sup>J<sub>cis</sub> = 10.9 Hz, 2H, =CH-), 5.80 (d, <sup>3</sup>J<sub>trans</sub> = 17.5 Hz, 2H, =CH<sub>2</sub>), 5.44-5.38 (m, 2H, OCH), 5.33 (d, <sup>3</sup>J<sub>cis</sub> = 10.9 Hz, 2H, =CH<sub>2</sub>), 2.07-1.60 (m, 10H, cyclic-CH<sub>2</sub>). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (67.8 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ (ppm) = 165.6 (C=O), 141.8, 129.9, 129.4, 126.0 (Ar), 136.0 (=CH-), 116.4 (=CH<sub>2</sub>), 76.5 (OCH), 30.4, 28.2, 22.8 (cyclic-CH<sub>2</sub>). Anal. Calcd. for C<sub>25</sub>H<sub>26</sub>O<sub>4</sub> (390.5): C 76.90; H 6.71. Found: C 76.73; H 6.83.

(2S,3S)-1,4-Dimethoxy-2,3-butanediyl Bis(4-vinylbenzoate) (1f). The same procedure as that for 1a in chapter 2 was applied to a mixture of (2S,3S)-1,4-dimethoxy-

2,3-butanediol (2.2 g, 14.6 mmol), 4-vinylbenzoyl chloride (7.2 g, 43.2 mmol) and 120 mL of pyridine. The crude product was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (Kiesel Gel 60) with hexane/diethyl ether (vol. ratio 1/1) to give **1f** as a sticky liquid. Yield 5.4 g (13.2 mmol, 90.3 %).  $[\alpha]_{435} = +67.5^{\circ}$ ,  $[\alpha]_{D} = +23.2^{\circ}$  (CHCl<sub>3</sub>, 23 °C, *c* 1.0). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (270 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  (ppm) = 8.00 (d, <sup>3</sup>J = 8.5 Hz, 4H, Ar), 7.43 (d, <sup>3</sup>J = 8.5 Hz, 4H, Ar), 6.72 (dd, <sup>3</sup>J<sub>trans</sub> = 17.7 Hz, <sup>3</sup>J<sub>cis</sub> = 10.9 Hz, 2H, =CH-),

5.84 (d,  ${}^{3}J_{trans} = 17.6$  Hz, 2H, =CH<sub>2</sub>), 5.66 (m, 2H, OCH), 5.36 (d,  ${}^{3}J_{cis} = 11.0$  Hz, 2H, =CH<sub>2</sub>), 3.72 (m, 4H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 3.37 (s, 6H, CH<sub>3</sub>).  ${}^{13}$ C NMR (67.5 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  (ppm) = 165.6 (C=O), 142.1, 130.0, 128.8, 126.1 (Ar), 135.9 (=CH-), 116.5 (=CH<sub>2</sub>), 71.4 (OCH), 71.1 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 59.3 (CH<sub>3</sub>). Anal. Calcd for C<sub>24</sub>H<sub>26</sub>O<sub>6</sub> (410.5): C 70.23; H 6.38. Found: C 70.14; H 6.54.

(6S,7S)-6,7-Dimethyl-5,8-dioxa-4,9-dioxo-1-(2-phenylethyl)-[3,6]-*p*cyclophane (6a). Dry toluene (800 mL) was placed into 1 L flask. This solution was degassed by bubbling nitrogen through for 1 hr and preheated at 80 °C. To this solution, a solution of (2S,3S)-2,3-butanediyl bis(4-vinylbenzoate) (1a) (282 mg, 0.8 mmol) and benzyl bromide (1mL, 8 mmol) were added 5 times at intervals of 24 hrs, and tri*n*-butyltin hydride (220 mL, 0.8 mmol) and a portion of AIBN (ca. 30 mg) were added a 10 times at intervals of 12 hrs under nitrogen atmosphere. After removal of the solvent, reaction mixture was roughly purified by column chromatography. Further purification was performed by preparative HPLC (CH<sub>3</sub>CN/H<sub>2</sub>O (vol. ratio 9/1) as eluent, 10 mL/min) to give a diastereomeric mixture **6a** as a colorless solid (247 mg, 13.9 %). FD-HRMS m/z for C<sub>29</sub>H<sub>30</sub>O<sub>4</sub> calcd 442.2144, found 442.2126 (Error -4.1 ppm, -1.8 mmu).

(6*S*,8*S*)-6,8-Dimethyl-5,9-dioxa-4,10-dioxo-1-(2-phenylethyl)-[3,7]-*p*cyclophane (6b). The same procedure as that for 6a was applied to a mixture of (2*S*,4*S*)-2,4-pentanediyl bis(4-vinylbenzoate) (1b) (292 mg, 0.8 mmol x 5), benzyl bromide (1mL, 8 mmol x 5), tri-*n*-butyltin hydride (220 mL, 0.8 mmol x 10), and AIBN (ca. 30 mg x 10) in dry toluene (800 mL). The crude product was roughly purified by column chromatography. Further purification was performed by preparative HPLC (CH<sub>3</sub>CN/H<sub>2</sub>O (vol. ratio 9/1) as eluent, 10 mL/min) to give a diastereomeric mixture 6b as a colorless solid (356 mg, 19.5 %). FD-HRMS m/z for  $C_{30}H_{32}O_4$  calcd 456.2301, found 456.2319 (Error +4.0 ppm, +1.8 mmu).

(6S,9S)-6,9-Dimethyl-5,10-dioxa-4,11-dioxo-10-dioxo-1-(2-phenylethyl)-[3,8]-p-cyclophane (6c). The same procedure as that for 6a was applied to a mixture

of (2S,5S)-2,5-hexanediyl bis(4-vinylbenzoate) (1c) (303 mg, 0.8 mmol x 4), benzyl bromide (1mL, 8 mmol x 4), tri-*n*-butyltin hydride (220 mL, 0.8 mmol x 8), and AIBN (ca. 30 mg x 8) in dry toluene (800 mL). The crude product was roughly purified by column chromatography. Further purification was performed by preparative HPLC (CH<sub>3</sub>CN/H<sub>2</sub>O (vol. ratio 9/1) as eluent, 10 mL/min) to give a diastereomeric mixture **6c** as a colorless solid (130 mg, 8.6 %). FD-HRMS m/z for

 $C_{31}H_{34}O_4$  calcd 470.2457, found 470.2470 (Error +2.7 ppm, +1.3 mmu).

(6*R*,7*R*)-6,7-Bis[(4*S*)-2,2-dimethyl-1,3-dioxolane-4-yl]-7-Dimethyl-5,8-dioxa-4,9-dioxo-1-(2-phenylethyl)-[3,6]-*p*-cyclophane (6d). The same procedure as that for 6a was applied to a mixture of 1,2:5,6-di-*O*-isopropylidene-3,4-bis-*O*-(4vinylbenzoyl)-D-mannitol (1d) (418 mg, 0.8 mmol x 5), benzyl bromide (1mL, 8 mmol x 5), tri-*n*-butyltin hydride (220 mL, 0.8 mmol x 10), and AIBN (ca. 30 mg x 10) in dry toluene (800 mL). The crude product was roughly purified by column chromatography. Further purification was performed by preparative HPLC (CH<sub>3</sub>CN/ H<sub>2</sub>O (vol. ratio 9/1) as eluent, 10 mL/min) to give a diastereomeric mixture 6d as a sticky liquid (326 mg, 13.2 %). FD-HRMS m/z for  $C_{37}H_{42}O_8$  calcd 614.2880, found 614.2880 (Error 0.0 ppm, 0.0 mmu).

(6S,7S)-5,8-Dioxa-4,9-dioxo-6,7-pentamethylene-1-(2-phenylethyl)-[3,6]-*p*cyclophane (6e). The same procedure as that for 6a was applied to a mixture of (1S,2S)-1,2-cycloheptanediyl bis(4-vinylbenzoate) (1e) (313 mg, 0.8 mmol x 4), benzyl bromide (1mL, 8 mmol x 4), tri-*n*-butyltin hydride (220 mL, 0.8 mmol x 8), and AIBN (ca. 30 mg x 8) in dry toluene (800 mL). The crude product was roughly purified by column chromatography. Further purification was performed by preparative HPLC (CH<sub>3</sub>CN/H<sub>2</sub>O (vol. ratio 9/1) as eluent, 10 mL/min) to give a diastereomeric mixture 6e as a sticky liquid (128 mg, 8.5 %). FD-HRMS m/z for C<sub>32</sub>H<sub>34</sub>O<sub>4</sub> calcd 482.2457, found 482.2450 (Error -1.5 ppm, -0.7 mmu).

(6S,7S)-6,7-Bis(methoxymethyl)-5,8-dioxa-4,9-dioxo-1-(2-phenylethyl)-[3,6]*p*-cyclophane (6f). The same procedure as that for 6a was applied to a mixture of (1S,2S)-1,2-bis(methoxymethyl)-1,2-butanediyl bis(4-vinylbenzoate) (1f) (328 mg, 0.8 mmol x 4), benzyl bromide (1mL, 8 mmol x 4), tri-*n*-butyltin hydride (220 mL, 0.8 mmol x 8), and AIBN (ca. 30 mg x 8) in dry toluene (800 mL). The crude product was roughly purified by column chromatography. Further purification was performed by preparative HPLC (CH<sub>3</sub>CN/H<sub>2</sub>O (vol. ratio 9/1) as eluent, 10 mL/min) to give a diastereomeric mixture 6f as a sticky liquid (181 mg, 8.6 %). FD-HRMS m/z for

C<sub>31</sub>H<sub>34</sub>O<sub>6</sub> calcd 502.2355, found 502.2328 (Error -5.5 ppm, -2.8 mmu). **1,3-Bis(4-methoxycarbonylphenyl)-5-phenylpentane** (7). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ (ppm) = 8.03 (d, <sup>3</sup>J = 7.92 Hz, Ar, 2H), 7.91 (d, <sup>3</sup>J = 7.92 Hz, Ar, 2H), 7.27-7.05 (m, Ar, 9H), 3.92 (s, OCH<sub>3</sub>, 3H), 3.89 (s, OCH<sub>3</sub>, 3H), 2.66-2.59 (m, -CH-, 1H), 2.48-2.40 (m, -CH<sub>2</sub>-Ph, 4H), 2.06-1.88 (m, -CH<sub>2</sub>-, 4H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ (ppm) = 167.04 (C=O), 167.02 (C=O), 150.37 (C), 147.52 (C), 141.87 (C), 129.92

(CH, Ar), 129.66 (CH, Ar), 128.42 (C), 128.31 (CH, Ar), 128.26 (CH, Ar), 127.84 (CH, Ar), 127.82 (CH, Ar), 125.80 (CH, Ar), 51.99 (OCH<sub>3</sub>), 51.92 (OCH<sub>3</sub>), 45.04 (-CH-), 38.32 (-CH<sub>2</sub>-), 37.95 (-CH<sub>2</sub>-), 33.68 (-CH<sub>2</sub>-Ph), 33.59 (-CH<sub>2</sub>-Ph). UV:  $\varepsilon_{max} = 32900 \text{ cm}^2 \cdot \text{mmol}^{-1} (\lambda_{max} = 240.8 \text{ nm}).$ 

(*R*)-1,3-Bis(4-methoxycarbonylphenyl)-5-phenylpentane ((*R*)-7).  $[\alpha]_{365} = -33.89^{\circ}, [\alpha]_{435} = -16.39^{\circ}, [\alpha]_{546} = -8.36^{\circ}, [\alpha]_{577} = -6.97^{\circ}, [\alpha]_{589} = -6.90^{\circ} (CHCl_3, 21 ^{\circ}C, c 0.81)$ . CD:  $\lambda$  nm ( $\Delta \epsilon$  cm<sup>2</sup>·mmol<sup>-1</sup>) = 246.5 (-3.89) (*c* = 7.59 x 10<sup>-5</sup> mol·L<sup>-1</sup>, path length = 5 mm).

(S)-1,3-Bis(4-methoxycarbonylphenyl)-5-phenylpentane ((S)-7).  $[\alpha]_{365} =$ +32.80°,  $[\alpha]_{435} =$  +15.38°,  $[\alpha]_{546} =$  +9.01°,  $[\alpha]_{577} =$  +7.42°,  $[\alpha]_{589} =$  +7.39° (CHCl<sub>3</sub>, 21 °C, *c* 0.74). CD: λ nm (Δε cm<sup>2</sup>·mmol<sup>-1</sup>) = 245.9 (+4.17) (*c* = 5.84 x 10<sup>-5</sup> mol·L<sup>-1</sup>, path length = 5 mm).

(6S,7S)-1-Allyl-6,7-dimethyl-5,8-dioxa-4,9-dioxo-3-(2-phenylethyl)-[3,6]-*p*cyclophane (8a). Dry toluene (80 mL) and allyltri-*n*-butyltin (2.2 mL, 7.2 mmol) were placed into 200 mL flask. This solution was degassed by bubbling nitrogen through for 1 hr and preheated at 80 °C. To this solution, a solution of (2S,3S)-2,3butanediyl bis(4-vinylbenzoate) (1a) (63 mg, 0.18 mmol) and benzyl bromide (0.21 mL, 1.8 mmol) in dry toluene (1 mL) and a portion of AIBN (ca. 10 mg) were added 10 times at intervals of 6 hrs under nitrogen atmosphere. After removal of the solvent, reaction mixture was roughly purified by column chromatography. Further purification was performed by preparative HPLC (CH<sub>3</sub>CN/H<sub>2</sub>O (vol ratio 9/1) as eluent, 10 mL/ min) to give a stereoisomeric mixture **8a** as a sticky liquid (20.4 mg, 2.3 %).

(6S,8S)-1-Allyl-6,8-dimethyl-5,9-dioxa-4,10-dioxo-3-(2-phenylethyl)-[3,7]-*p*cyclophane (8b). The same procedure as that for 8a was applied to a mixture of (2S,4S)-2,4-pentanediyl bis(4-vinylbenzoate) (1b) (66 mg, 0.18 mmol x 10), benzyl bromide (0.22 mL, 1.8 mmol x 10), and AIBN (ca. 10 mg x 10) with allyltri-*n*-butyltin (2.2 mL, 7 mmol) in dry toluene (90 mL). The crude product was roughly purified by column chromatography. Further purification was performed by preparative HPLC

(CH<sub>3</sub>CN/H<sub>2</sub>O (vol. ratio 9/1) as eluent, 10 mL/min) to give a stereoisomeric mixture **8b** as a sticky liquid (49.0 mg, 5.4 %). FD-HRMS m/z for  $C_{33}H_{36}O_4$  calcd 496.2614, found 496.2601 (Error -2.6 ppm, -1.3 mmu).

(6S,9S)-1-Allyl-6,9-dimethyl-5,10-dioxa-4,11-dioxo-3-(2-phenylethyl)-[3,8]*p*-cyclophane (8c). The same procedure as that for 8a was applied to a mixture of (2S,5S)-2,4-hexanediyl bis(4-vinylbenzoate) (1c) (68 mg, 0.18 mmol x 10), benzyl

bromide (0.22 mL, 1.8 mmol x 10), and AIBN (ca. 10 mg x 10) with allyltri-*n*-butyltin (2.2 mL, 7 mmol) in dry toluene (90 mL). The crude product was roughly purified by column chromatography. Further purification was performed by preparative HPLC (CH<sub>3</sub>CN/H<sub>2</sub>O (vol. ratio 9/1) as eluent, 10 mL/min) to give a stereoisomeric mixture **8c** as a sticky liquid (37.2 mg, 4.0 %). FD-HRMS m/z for  $C_{34}H_{38}O_4$  calcd 510.2770, found 510.2763 (Error -1.4 ppm, -0.7 mmu).

(6*R*,7*R*)-1-Allyl-6,7-Bis[(4*S*)-2,2-dimethyl-1,3-dioxolane-4-yl]-7-Dimethyl-5,8-dioxa-4,9-dioxo-3-(2-phenylethyl)-[3,6]-*p*-cyclophane (8d). The same procedure as that for 8a was applied to a mixture of 1,2:5,6-di-*O*-isopropylidene-3,4bis-*O*-(4-vinylbenzoyl)-D-mannitol (1d) (79 mg, 0.15 mmol x 10), benzyl bromide (0.18 mL, 1.5 mmol x 10), and AIBN (ca. 10 mg x 10) with allyltri-*n*-butyltin (1.9 mL, 6 mmol) in dry toluene (75 mL). The crude product was roughly purified by column chromatography. Further purification was performed by preparative HPLC (CH<sub>3</sub>CN/H<sub>2</sub>O (vol. ratio 9/1) as eluent, 10 mL/min) to give a stereoisomeric mixture 8d as a sticky liquid (38.6 mg, 3.9 %). FD-MS (C<sub>40</sub>H<sub>46</sub>O<sub>8</sub>): m/z (relative intensity) = 654 (100), 655 (50.3), and 656 (14.4).

**4,6-Bis(4'-methoxycarbonylphenyl)-8-phenylocta-1-ene (9).** FD-HRMS m/ z for  $C_{30}H_{32}O_4$  calcd 456.2301, found 456.2321 (Error +4.4 ppm, +2.0 mmu).

*rel-*(4*R*,6*R*)-4,6-Bis(4'-methoxycarbonylphenyl)-8-phenylocta-1-ene [*rel-*(*R*,*R*)-9]. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  (ppm) = 7.99 (d, <sup>3</sup>*J* = 8.30 Hz, Ar, 2H), 7.96 (d, <sup>3</sup>*J* = 8.29 Hz, Ar, 2H), 7.20-6.96 (m, Ar, 9H), 5.51-5.41 (m, -CH=, 1H), 4.88-4.83 (m, =CH<sub>2</sub>, 2H), 3.93(s, OCH<sub>3</sub>, 6H), 2.39-2.20 (m, 3-CH<sub>2</sub>, 8-CH<sub>2</sub>, 4-CH, 6-CH, 6H), 2.09-1.95 (m, 5-CH<sub>2</sub>, 2H), 1.90-1.75 (m, 7-CH<sub>2</sub>, 2H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  (ppm) = 167.10 (C=O), 150.25 (C), 149.94 (C), 141.87 (C), 135.97 (CH), 129.84 (CH), 129.74 (CH), 128.39 (C), 128.30 (C), 128.24 (CH), 128.14 (CH), 127.95 (CH), 127.91 (CH), 125.72 (CH), 116.48 (=CH<sub>2</sub>), 52.03 (CH<sub>3</sub>), 52.01 (CH<sub>3</sub>), 43.25 (CH), 43.20 (CH), 41.94 (3-CH<sub>2</sub>), 41.82 (5-CH<sub>2</sub>), 39.49 (7-CH<sub>2</sub>), 33.63 (8-CH<sub>2</sub>). UV  $\varepsilon_{max}$  = 32500 cm<sup>-1</sup>·mmol<sup>-1</sup> ( $\lambda_{max}$  = 240.8 nm).

*rel-*(*4R*,6*S*)-4,6-Bis(4'-methoxycarbonylphenyl)-8-phenylocta-1-ene [*rel-*(*R*,*S*)-9]. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  (ppm) = 7.96 (d, <sup>3</sup>*J* = 8.29 Hz, Ar, 2H), 7.90 (d, <sup>3</sup>*J* = 8.29 Hz, Ar, 2H), 7.26-7.01 (m, Ar, 9H), 5.57-5.47 (m, -CH=, 1H), 4.90-4.86 (m, =CH<sub>2</sub>, 2H), 3.913 (s, OCH<sub>3</sub>, 3H), 3.906 (s, OCH<sub>3</sub>, 3H), 2.67-2.59 (m, 4-CH, 1H), 2.57-2.49 (m, 6-CH, 1H), 2.43-2.24 (m, 3-CH<sub>2</sub> and 8-CH<sub>2</sub>, 4H), 2.07-1.81 (m, 5-CH<sub>2</sub> and 7-CH<sub>2</sub>, 4H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  (ppm) = 167.04 (C=O), 150.79 (C),

150.30 (C), 141.85 (C), 135.91(-CH=), 129.88 (CH), 129.70 (CH), 128.31 (CH), 128.26 (CH), 128.13 (C), 127.64 (CH), 127.59 (CH), 125.81 (CH), 116.57 (=CH<sub>2</sub>), 52.00 (CH<sub>3</sub>), 51.97 (CH<sub>3</sub>), 43.38 (4-CH), 43.00 (5-CH<sub>2</sub>), 42.73 (6-CH), 40.41 (3-CH<sub>2</sub>), 37.32 (7-CH<sub>2</sub>), 33.44 (8-CH<sub>2</sub>). UV:  $\varepsilon_{max} = 30700 \text{ cm}^{-1} \cdot \text{mmol}^{-1} (\lambda_{max} = 240.4 \text{ nm}).$ 

(4R,6R)-4,6-Bis(4'-methoxycarbonylphenyl)-8-phenylocta-1-ene [(R,R)-9]. CD:  $\lambda$  nm ( $\Delta\epsilon$  cm<sup>2</sup>·mmol<sup>-1</sup>) = 248.0 (-47.8), 229.8 (+14.5).

(4*S*,6*S*)-4,6-Bis(4'-methoxycarbonylphenyl)-8-phenylocta-1-ene [(*S*,*S*)-9]. CD:  $\lambda$  nm (Δε cm<sup>2</sup>·mmol<sup>-1</sup>) = 248.0 (+46.1), 230.4 (-13.6).

(4R,6S)-4,6-Bis(4'-methoxycarbonylphenyl)-8-phenylocta-1-ene [(R,S)-9]. CD:  $\lambda$  nm ( $\Delta\epsilon$  cm<sup>2</sup>·mmol<sup>-1</sup>) = 249.1 (-3.0), 228.9 (+5.6).

(4*S*,6*R*)-4,6-Bis(4'-methoxycarbonylphenyl)-8-phenylocta-1-ene [(*S*,*R*)-9]. CD:  $\lambda$  nm (Δε cm<sup>2</sup>·mmol<sup>-1</sup>) = 249.8 (+3.5), 229.1 (-5.9).



# **3.5 References**

- (1) Wulff, G.; Kühneweg, B. J. Org. Chem. 1997, 62, 5785.
- (2) Altomare, A.; Burla, M. C.; Camalli, M.; Cascarano, M.; Giacovazzo, C.;
   Guagliardi, A.; Polidori, G. J. Appl. Cryst. 1994, 27, 435.
- (3) Tipson, R. S.; Cohen, A. Carbohydr. Res. 1968, 7, 232.
- (4) Kaga, H.; Yamauchi, Y.; Narumi, A.; Yokota, K.; Kakuchi, T. *Enantiomer* 1998, 3, 203.
- (5) Seebach, D.; Kalinowski, H.-O.; Bastani, B.; Crass, G.; Daum, H.; Dörr, H.; DuPreez, N. P.; Ehrig, V.; Langer, W.; Nüssler, C.; Oei, H.-A.; Schmidt, M. *Helvetica Chimica Acta* 1977, 60, 301.



#### 4.) fittereluction

The previous chapter clauted that the successelectivity in the introduced as spectration was depended on the dihedral angle between two 4 vinylbenzayl groups. In addition, the successferit vity in the intermolecular addition of the spectred ration? the addition the successferit vity in the intermolecular addition of the spectred ration? the provide by the alpedate configuration of the first chiral cause (formed through the the cyclication.

the group severals and to anot gororib all both of an tradial a soft of an equal a soft of a contract of the soft of the soft

Scherne 4,1

Chapter 4

Computational Study on the Chirality Induction Mechanism

# 4.3 Results and Discipation

Mail Second for the Minimum Energy Conferminations

Por the first time. The conformation of the femplated must account furthe following relentation. The lottel eracture for the MML colculation was estephied.

be receiving the second relation and provide from models relation direction between the contemp of provide in the procedure sectors). In this means, the initial arrestors in memory of a basis of the procedure sectors in the means which is an a sector in memory of a basis of the procedure sectors in the means which is a sector in memory of a basis of the procedure sectors in the means which is a sector in memory of a basis of the procedure sectors in the means which is a sector in memory of a basis of the procedure sectors in the means which is a sector in memory of a basis of the procedure sectors in the means which is a sector in memory of the sector is a sector of the sector is a sector of the isotropic of the sector o

#### **4.1 Introduction**

The previous chapter clarified that the stereoselectivity in the intramolecular cyclization was depended on the dihedral angle between two 4-vinylbenzoyl groups. In addition, the stereoselectivity in the intermolecular addition of the cyclized radical was affected by the absolute configuration of the first chiral center formed through the cyclization.

The aims in this chapter are to find the driving force of the stereoselectivity and to construct the rational mechanism for chirality induction according to a numerical method used for the model reaction (Scheme 4.1).



### Scheme 4.1

#### 4.2 Results and Discussion

### 4.2.1 Search for the Minimum Energy Conformations

For the first time, the conformation of the templates must account for the following calculation. The initial structure for the MM2 calculation was assembled

by specifying the skeletal carbons as all gauche form and the relative direction between the carbonyl group in ester against the methine proton in neighboring position (the details are mentioned in the procedure section). In this manner, the initial structures are numbered in 12 for **1a**, 36 for **1b**, and 108 for **1c**. The major conformers in each monomer were estimated using a MM2 calculation (Figure 4.1). Monomer **1a** predominately has a counterclockwise gauche form (hereinafter referred to as "g-")





in the carbon skeletal of the template, and monomer **1b** substantially has a combination of anti form ("a") and clockwise gauche form (" $g^+$ "). For **1c**, the conformer is distributed to " $ag^+g^+$ ", " $g^+ag^+$ ", and "aaa" forms because of flexibility.

Having a high tendency for intramolecular cyclization, monomers **1a-c** should have a conformation suitable for the cyclization. For this reason, the conformers in Figure 4.1 can be applied to the conformation for **11a-c** in the transition state. The dissymmetric conformation such as "ag<sup>+</sup>" and "ag<sup>+</sup>g<sup>+</sup>" yields two directions for the cyclization (Figure 4.2). Therefore, there are 4, 8, and 16 pathways for cyclizations of **10a**, **10b**, and **10c**, respectively (Scheme 4.2). For the second time, the direction of the carbonyl groups must be considered, which is controlled by the chiral template. Monomers **1a-c** have the bond vectors of the two carbonyl groups where their *Si* faces are directed toward the inside. The "aaa" conformer contains the carbonyl groups having *Re* faces.



Figure 4.2. Direction of cyclization in the dissymmetric conformers of 1b (a) and 1c (b).

#### 4.2.2 Simplified Reaction Pathway

For the cyclization of radical **10**, there are four transition state structures forming from the combination of two prochiral faces, i.e., the radial center and the vinyl group. In addition, as each of the intra- and intermolecular reactions induces new chirality, the cyclic structure also consists of four stereoisomeric forms as shown in Scheme 4.2. The cyclized radical (R,R)-**12a** stacks two benzene rings in the molecule (Figure 4.3). The orbital of the radical is perpendicular to the benzene ring, which situation stabilizes the radical. For the cyclized radical, hence, one of the prochiral faces on the radical center is directed to the inside of the ring and the other to the outside of the ring. As the inside direction is effectively shielded by the benzene rings, the outside direction is favorable in the addition of the cyclized radical. Hence, there is one-to-one correspondence between the cyclized radical **12** and the cyclic compound **13** on the stereochemistry. In view of cyclized radical structure, Scheme 4.2 is simplified as Scheme 4.3.







UHF-AM1 method. The atom with a slanted line indicates the radical center.



4.2 Di ale ministre e the Briten - Pringers and the Saldie Paste

The beau of humenous of the energy-number to energy in 11, 12, and 12,



# Scheme 4.3



## 4.2.3 Calculation of the Energy-Minima and the Saddle Point

The heat of formation of the energy-minima (corresponding to **10**, **12**, and **13**) and the saddle point **11** was estimated using MOPAC6-AM1 method (Tables 4.1, 4.2, and 4.3). The energy profiles for the radical reaction of **10a** are illustrated in Figure 4.4.

10 NO			initial state	transition state					
	conf. <sup>a</sup>	direction <sup>b</sup>	10	( <i>R</i> , <i>R</i> )-11	(R,S)-11	( <i>S</i> , <i>R</i> )- <b>1</b> 1	( <i>S</i> , <i>S</i> )-11		
1a	g		-105.9	-88.0 (+17.9) <sup>c</sup>	-86.8 (+19.1)	-88.8 (+17.1)	-86.9 (+19.0)		
1 b	ag+	1	-111.4	-97.2 (+14.2)	-96.1 (+15.3)	-97.5 (+13.9)	-95.7 (+15.7)		
	g*a	2	-111.5	-97.1 (+14.3)	-95.8 (+15.6)	-97.4 (+14.0)	-95.4 (+16.0)		
1 c	ag <sup>+</sup> g <sup>+</sup>	1	-119.8	-101.3 (+18.5)	-100.2 (+19.6)	-101.6 (+18.2)	-99.9 (+19.9)		
	g <sup>+</sup> g <sup>+</sup> a	2	-119.7	-100.8 (+18.9)	-99.7 (+20.0)	-101.1 (+18.6)	-99.1 (+20.6)		
	g*ag*		-119.1	-105.2 (+13.9)	-104.0 (+15.1)	-105.7 (+13.4)	-103.4 (+15.7)		
	aaa		-118.2	-102.8 (+16.3)	-104.1 (+15.0)	-103.2 (+15.9)	-103.8 (+15.3)		

**Table 4.1.** The calculated heat of formation (kcal·mol<sup>-1</sup>) of initial states **10** and transition states **11** for the intramolecular cyclization using MOPAC6-UHF-AM1 method.

<sup>*a*</sup> The confomations are illustrated in Figure 4.1. <sup>*b*</sup> The direction are defined by Figure 4.2. <sup>*c*</sup> The values in parenthesis were differences to **1**0, i.e., activation energy for the radical cyclization.

Table 4.2.	The calculated heat of	formation (1	kcal·mol <sup>-1</sup>	) of radicals 12	using	MOPAC6-	UHF-AM1	method
					0			

	conf. <sup>a</sup>	direction <sup>b</sup>	( <i>R</i> , <i>R</i> )- <b>1</b> 2	(R,S)-12	( <i>S</i> , <i>R</i> )- <b>1</b> 2	( <i>S</i> , <i>S</i> )-12
1a	g	-	-114.4 (-8.5) <sup>c</sup>	-114.5 (-8.6)	-115.5 (-9.6)	-112.8 (-6.9)
1 b	ag <sup>+</sup>	1	-123.9 (-12.5)	-124.0 (-12.6)	-125.0 (-13.6)	-122.4 (-11.0)
	g <sup>+</sup> a	2	-123.9 (-12.4)	-123.7 (-12.2)	-125.0 (-13.5)	-122.1 (-10.6)
1 c	ag <sup>+</sup> g <sup>+</sup>	1	-127.8 (-8.0)	-127.6 (-7.8)	-129.1 (-9.3)	-126.0 (-6.2)
	g <sup>+</sup> g <sup>+</sup> a	2	-128.4 (-8.7)	-128.9 (-9.2)	-129.5 (-9.8)	-127.3 (-7.6)
	g*ag*		-132.1 (-13.0)	-132.4 (-13.3)	-133.4 (-14.3)	-130.7 (-11.6)
	aaa		-129.9 (-11.7)	-132.0 (-13.8)	-131.5 (-13.3)	-130.8 (-12.6)

64

were differences to 10, i.e., heat of reaction for the radical cyclization.

The share of the of the test of the set of t

	conf. <sup>a</sup>	direction <sup>b</sup>	( <i>R</i> , <i>R</i> )- <b>13</b>	(R,S)-13	( <i>S</i> , <i>R</i> )- <b>1</b> 3	( <i>S</i> , <i>S</i> )- <b>1 3</b>
1a	g		-139.4 (-33.5) <sup>c</sup>	-141.3 (-35.4)	-141.2 (-35.3)	-139.3 (-33.4)
1 b	ag <sup>+</sup>	I	-148.7 (-37.3)	-150.6 (-39.2)	-150.5 (-39.1)	-148.6 (-37.2)
	g*a	2	-148.7 (-37.2)	-150.6 (-39.1)	-150.5 (-39.0)	-148.6 (-37.1)
1 c	ag <sup>+</sup> g <sup>+</sup>	1	-152.2 (-32.4)	-154.2 (-34.4)	-154.2 (-34.4)	-152.3 (-32.5)
	g <sup>+</sup> g <sup>+</sup> a	2	-151.9 (-32.2)	-154.1 (-34.4)	-154.2 (-34.5)	-152.2 (-32.5)
	g <sup>+</sup> ag <sup>+</sup>		-156.4 (-37.3)	-158.4 (-39.3)	-158.3 (-39.2)	-156.5 (-37.4)
	aaa		-155.4 (-36.3)	-157.3 (-38.2)	-157.4 (-38.3)	-155.4 (-36.3)

Table 4.3. The calculated heat of formation (kcal·mol<sup>-1</sup>) of 13 using MOPAC6-AM1 method.

<sup>*a*</sup> The confomations are illustrated in Figure 4.1. <sup>*b*</sup> The direction are defined by Figure 4.2. <sup>*c*</sup> The values in parenthesis were differences to **10**.



- Reaction Coordinate

Figure 4.4. Energy profile for the radical addition of 10a.

The chirality induction mechanism for intramolecular cyclization can be explain on the basis of the stereoelectronic effect during the radical addition. Houk et. al. estimated the theoretical transition structure for the radical addition on the basis of the ab initio calculation.<sup>1</sup> According to their study, the angle with which the radical attacks the carbon-carbon double bond is fairly constant in the range from 102 to  $109^{\circ}$  (Figure 4.5). For the radical cyclization of monomers **1a-c**, only one side of the



**Figure 4.5.** Calculated geometry of the transition state for the addition of methyl radical to ethylene.

prochiral faces of the vinyl group, therefore, is accepted for the attack of radical because of chiral twist of the two 4-vinylbenzoyl groups (Scheme 4.4). In the transition state of cyclization, the clockwise twist selects (R,S)-11 and/or (S,S)-11, while the counterclockwise twist selects (R,R)-11 and/or (S,R)-11. Table 4.1 indicated that (R,S)-11 and (S,R)-11 have a slightly lower energy for the heat of formation than (S,S)-11 and (R,R)-11, respectively, except for 11a. The differences of the heat of formation in the transition states should be caused by the differences in position of the penultimate group, i.e., ethyl group. The transition state in which the ethyl group

#### Scheme 4.4

twist clockwise

twist counterclockwise



is situated in *pseudo-equatorial* position is more stable than that in *pseudo-axial* position (Scheme 4.5). Table 4.4 lists the calculated stereoselectivity in the intramolecular cyclization on the basis of the heat of formation for the transition state **11**. These results indicated that the positive twist of two 4-vinylbenzoyl groups induces the first chirality with (R)-configuration, and *vise versa* for the negative twist, which fairly agree with the results in chapter 2. Hence, the chirality induction in the intramolecular cyclization is arised by the chiral twist of bis(4-vinylbenzoate) accompanying the stereoelectronic effect of the radical addition and the steric effect of the penultimate group.




			positive	chirality	negative chirality		
	conf. <sup>b</sup>	direction <sup>c</sup>	( <i>R</i> , <i>S</i> )-11	( <i>S</i> , <i>S</i> )-11	( <i>R</i> , <i>R</i> )-11	( <i>S</i> , <i>R</i> )- <b>1</b> 1	
	12 min.e	Heat star	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	
1a	g		46.4	53.6	24.2	75.8	
1 b	ag <sup>+</sup>	1	63.9	36.1	39.5	60.5	
	g*a	2	63.9	36.1	39.5	60.5	
1 c	ag <sup>+</sup> g <sup>+</sup>	1	60.5	39.5	39.5	60.5	
	g <sup>+</sup> g <sup>+</sup> a	2	70.2	29.8	39.5	60.5	
	g <sup>+</sup> ag <sup>+</sup>		70.2	29.8	32.9	67.1	
	aaa		60.5	39.5	36.1	63.9	

**Table 4.4.** The calculated extent of stereoselectivity for the intramolecular cyclization<sup>*a*</sup>.

<sup>*a*</sup> The Boltzmann distribution calculated on the basis of the heat of formation of transition state **11** at 80 °C. <sup>*b*</sup> The conformations are illustrated in Figure 4.1. <sup>*c*</sup> The directions are defined by Figure 4.2.

For the intermolecular addition of the cyclized radical, the stereochemical distribution of 13 depends on that of cyclized radical 12 because of their one-to-one correspondence. On the other hand, (R,R)-12 and (S,S)-12 could interconvert to (R,S)-12 and (S,R)-12, respectively, through ring inversion. Table 4.5 lists the equilibrium distribution of the conformational transformation calculated from the heat of formation of the cyclized radical 12. Except for "aaa" conformation of 1c, since the heat of formation of (R,R)-12 was practically equal to that of (R,S)-12 as shown in Table 4.2, (R,R)-12 equaled (R,S)-12 in the population on the equilibrium of the ring inversion. However, the heat of formation for (S,S)-12 was significantly higher than that for (S,R)-12. Thereby, the conformational transformation between (S,S)-12 and (S,R)-12

			(R)-cycliz	ed radical	(S)-cyclized radical		
	conf. <sup>b</sup>	direction <sup>c</sup>	(R,R)-12	( <i>R</i> , <i>S</i> )-12	(S,R)-12	(S,S)-12	
			(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	
<b>1</b> a	g		46.4	53.6	97.9	2.1	

Table 4.5. The calculated distribution of radicals 12 on the equilibrium of the ring inversion<sup>a</sup>

10	ag	1	40.4	55.0	97.0	2.4
	g <sup>+</sup> a	2	57.1	42.9	98.4	1.6
1c	ag <sup>+</sup> g <sup>+</sup>	1	57.1	42.9	98.8	1.2
	g <sup>+</sup> g <sup>+</sup> a	2	32.9	67.1	95.8	4.2
	g <sup>+</sup> ag <sup>+</sup>		39.5	60.5	97.9	2.1
- Carr	aaa		4.7	95.3	73.0	27.0

<sup>*a*</sup> The Boltzmann districution calculated on the basis of the heat of formation of radicals **1 2** at 80 °C <sup>*b*</sup> The conformations are illustrated in Figure 4.1. <sup>*c*</sup> The directions are defined by Figure 4.2.

strongly shifted toward (S,R)-12 to suppress the formation of (S,S)-13. Consequently, the cyclized radical 12 having the (R)-configuration exhibited the poor stereoselectivity in intermolecular addition, whereas the cyclized radical 12 having the (S)-configuration exhibited the excellent stereoselectivity. These results satisfactorily agreed with the experimental results in chapter 3.

In order to estimate the stereoelectronic effect on the stability of the cyclized radical, the heat of formation for (-,R)-14 and (-,S)-14 as shown in Scheme 4.6 was calculated using the MOPAC6-UHF-AM1 method (Table 4.6). Because the heat of formation for (-,R)-14 is lower than that of (-,S)-14 except for "aaa" conformation of 1c, the stereoelectronic effect of the radical gives a priority to (-,R)-14 over (-,S)-14.





**Table 4.6.** The calculated heat of formation (kcal·mol<sup>-1</sup>) and the torsion angle  $\theta$  (deg) of 14 using MOPAC6-UHF-AM1 method.

			heat of formati	on (kcal·mol <sup>-1</sup> )	torsion angle $\theta$ (deg)		
	conf. <sup>a</sup>	direction <sup>b</sup>	(-, <i>R</i> )-14	(-,S)-14	(-,R)-14	(-,S)-14	
1a	g		-106.0	-104.5	+22.2	+37.0	
1 b	ag <sup>+</sup>	1	-115.4	-114.0	+13.7	+33.3	
	g*a	2	-115.4	-113.8	+20.6	+40.2	
1c	ag <sup>+</sup> g <sup>+</sup>	1	-119.5	-117.6	+14.0	+33.7	
	g <sup>+</sup> g <sup>+</sup> a	2	-119.9	-118.9	+3.5	+13.4	
	g <sup>+</sup> ag <sup>+</sup>		-123.7	-122.4	+11.6	+25.3	
	aaa		-121.6	-122.4	-21.6	+3.6	

69

defined by Figure 4.6.

The promotive factor in the heat of formation for 14 should become a driving force of the stereoselectivity in the intermolecular addition. For the cyclized radical 14, the rotation around a single bond as shown in Figure 4.6 lowers the stabilization energy based on the conjugation. Table 4.6 lists the torsion angle  $\theta$  for cyclized radical 14. Except for "aaa" conformation of 14, the torsion angle  $\theta$  of (-,R)-14 was smaller than that of (-,S)-14. On the other hand, the reverse took place in the "aaa" conformer. These results agreed very closely with those obtained by the heat of formation. The torsional strain in the cyclized radical, which is essentially concerned with the heat of formation, should be closely connected with the stereoselectivity in the intermolecular addition of the cyclized radical. Since the orbital of the radical is perpendicular to the benzene ring, the direction of the benzene ring is controlled by the direction of the radical orbital as shown in Scheme 4.7. On the other hand, the direction of the carbonyl group is preferentially faced to form eclipsed conformation with a hydrogen atom of the chiral center (Scheme 4.8).<sup>2</sup> For (-,S)-14, the conflict of direction between the benzene ring and the carbonyl groups had an adverse influence on the heat of formation of cyclized radical.





# **Figure 4.6.** The structures of cyclized radicals (-,R)-14a (a) and (-,S)-14a (b) estimated using MOPAC6-UHF-AM1 method. The torsion angles $\theta$ are listed in Table 4.6.

Scheme 4.7





In order to the estimate the effect of the direction of the carbonyl groups, the heat of formation of **15** and **16** as shown in Chart 4.1 were calculated using MOPAC6-UHF-AM1 method. For both of **15** and **16**, the bond vectors of the two carbonyl groups are opposite in direction. However, **15** takes a conformation where their *Si* faces are directed toward the inside similar to that of **12a**, and *vise versa* for **16**. The results are listed in Table 4.7. The calculated distribution of the ring inversion equilibrium of **15** is approximately equal to that of **12a**, and mirror image to that of **16**. These results indicate that the chiral orientation of two carbonyl groups due to chiral template exhibits the stereoselctivity in the intermolecular addition of the cyclized radical. The conformer **15**, in which the *Si* face for the carbonyl groups of the 4-vinylbenzoate face each other, lead to the formation of (R,R)-racemo cyclic unit rather that (S,S)-racemo one.





**Table 4.7.** The calculated heat of formation (kcal·mol<sup>-1</sup>) of radicals **15** and **16** using MOPAC6-AM1 method.

	(R)-cycliz	zed radical	(S)-cyclized radical		
	(R, R)-	(R,S)-	(S,R)-	(S,S)-	
15	-106.0 (46.4 %) <sup>a</sup>	-106.1 (53.6 %)	-107.1 (97.6 %)	-104.5 (2.4 %)	
16	-104.5 (2.4 %)	-107.1 (97.6 %)	-106.1 (53.6 %)	-106.0 (46.4 %)	

72

<sup>a</sup> The values in parenthesis were partition percentage on ring inversion equilibrium at 80 °C.

The stereoselectivity in the addition of the cyclized radical can be explained by considering the stereoelectronic effect of the radical and the steric effect of the penultimate group (Scheme 4.9). For (R,R)-12 and (R,S)-12, the stereoelectronic effect selects (R,R)-12 rather than (R,S)-12, whereas the steric effect selects (R,S)-12 rather than (R,R)-12. The conflict, therefore, lowered the stereoselectivity in the addition of the cyclized radical. For (S,S)-12 and (S,R)-12, on the other hand, both of the stereoelectronic and the steric effects selects (S,R)-12 rather than (S,S)-12. Hence the cooperative effect resulted in the excellent stereoselection for the (S)-cyclized radical.

Scheme 4.9

Top View

Steric effect Stereoelectronic effect

(R,R)-12unfavorable favorable

(R,S)-12favorable unfavorable

(S,S)-12Steric effect

(S,R)-12



## **4.3 Summary for Chirality Induction Mechanism**

Chiral template biases two conformational elements, i.e., chiral twist of two 4vinylbenzoyl groups and chiral orientation of two carbonyl groups (Scheme 4.10). With the stereoelectoronic effect of radical addition and the steric effect of penultimate group in the transition state, the conformation 17 in which two 4-vinylbenzoyl groups twist clockwise preferentially forms (R)-configuration in the intramolecular cyclization, and *vise versa* for 18. For the intermolecular addition of cyclized radical, the stereoselectivity is explicable on the basis of the ring inversion equilibrium of the cyclized radical. Because of the stereoelectronic effect of the radical on the conformation of the benzene ring and the steric effect of the penultimate group, the conformation 19 in which two carbonyl groups direct each *Si* face toward inside leads to the formation of (R,R)-configuration unit than (S,S)-configuration one, *vise versa* for 20.







Si faces directed toward inside 19 2074

### **4.4 Procedures**

The molecular mechanics and the semiempirical molecular orbital calculation were carried out using MM2<sup>3</sup> and MOPAC6-AM1<sup>4</sup> method (implemented in MacGAMESS ver 6<sup>5</sup>). All the open-shell systems were treated with the unrestricted Hartree-Fock procedure (MOPAC6-UHF-AM1<sup>4</sup>).

Conformer distribution was calculated as follows: The set of initial structures for the MM2 calculation consisted of conformers having the staggered forms of carbons in the template and was assembled by consideration of all combinations that could face the prochiral carbonyl groups in the monomer (i.e., *Re-Re, Re-Si, Si-Re, Si-Si* faces). In this manner, the number of initial structures obtained is 12 for **1a**, 36 for **1b**, and 108 for **1c**. The optimization of the initial structure using MM2 calculation gave a set of equilibrium structures and its steric energy. Then, relative free energy of a conformer was estimated using the steric energy as the enthalpy term and the symmetry number as the entropy term. The conformer distribution was calculated according to the Boltzmann distribution using the free energy at 353 K.

The saddle points were calculated as follows: In most case, attempts using the reaction coordinate method failed for the transition state of the large cyclic structure. Then, the initial structure in which the distance of atoms involved in the radical addition was fixed at 2.0 Å was optimized using MOPAC6-AM1 method. Further, this structure was fully optimized using NLLSQ algorithm. The systems obtained were characterized as a transition state by the presence of only one negative force constant in the Hessian matrix of the normal coordinate analysis.



## **4.5 References**

- (1) Houk, K. N.; Paddon-Row, M. N.; Spellmeyer, D. C.; Rondan, N. G.; Nagase,
   S. J. Org. Chem. 1986, 51, 2874.
- (2) Methineson, A. McL. Tetrahedron Lett. 1965, 4137.
- (3) Allinger, N. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1977, 99, 8127.
- (4) Dewer, M. J. S.; Zoebisch, E. G.; Healy, E. F.; Stewart, J. J. P. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1985, 107, 3902.
- (5) Schmidt, M. W.; Baldridge, K. K.; Boatz, J. A.; Elbert, S. T.; Gordon, M. S.; Jensen, J. H.; Koseki, S.; Matsunaga, N.; Nguyen, K. A.; Su, S. J.; Windus, T. L.; Dupuis, M.; Montgomery, J. A. J. Comput. Chem. 1993, 14, 1347.



#### A Tintroduction

The chirabity induction mechanism described in the chapter 4 requires the equilibrium in the ring inversion of the cyclisted ranked. As for the day inversion of each comparise, free-rolen et al. reported a kinetic study of the ring inversion of cyclistery," According to their sonly, the activation energy for more inversion of cyclistery radical and your states is low apound for concurrent occurrence in the polymerication system by comparison with the activation energy of propagation in radical polymerications. The rate of sing greenion is independent of the total monomer concentration, whether competitive days is been and the state of the rate of sing greenion is independent of the total monomer concentration. Therefore, the dampetion of the officer of the total monomer concentration on oblighty induction would chart of the officer of the total monomer concentration on oblighty induction would chartly whether in the total monomer concentration of the officer of the total monomer concentration of the t

Chapter 5

Effect of the Total Monomer Concentration on the Chirality Induction



## **5.1 Introduction**

The chirality induction mechanism described in the chapter 4 requires the equilibrium on the ring inversion of the cyclized radical. As for the ring inversion of radical species, Fessenden et. al. reported a kinetic study of the ring inversion of cyclohexyl radical using ESR spectroscopy.<sup>1</sup> According to their study, the activation energy for ring inversion of cyclohexyl radical is 4.9 kcal·mol<sup>-1</sup>. This value is low enough for concurrent occurrence in the polymerization system by comparison with the activation energy of propagation in radical polymerization.<sup>2</sup> The rate of ring inversion is independent of total monomer concentration, whereas other competitive elemental reactions are proportional to total monomer concentration. Therefore, the examination of the effect of the total monomer concentration on chirality induction would clarify whether ring inversion functions or not.

In this chapter, the cyclocopolymerization of (2S,3S)-2,3-butanediyl, (2S,4S)-2,4-pentanediyl, and (2S,5S)-2,5-hexanediyl bis(4-vinylbenzoate) (**1a**, **1b**, and **1c**, respectively) with styrene were carried out at several total monomer concentrations in the range of 0.05 to 0.09 mol·L<sup>-1</sup> (Scheme 5.1). The effect of the total monomer concentration was discussed on the basis of the specific rotation of the template-free polymer.



# 1 $T = \frac{2}{1 + \frac{2$

## **5.2 Results and Discussion**

## 5.2.1 Molecular Weight Dependency of Chiroptical Property

The cyclocopolymerization of (2S,3S)-2,3-butanediyl bis(4-vinylbenzoate) (1a,  $M_1$ ) with styrene ( $M_2$ ) were carried out under the condition of the [1+styrene]<sub>0</sub>/[AIBN]<sub>0</sub> molar ratio of 31, 15, 10, and 5. The results are listed in Table 5.1. The mole fraction of  $M_1$  unit ( $f_1$ ) and the extent of cyclization ( $f_c$ ) of the resulting polymer **2a** were substantially constant at the value of 0.28 and 0.96, respectively, while the degree of polymerization on the basis of the monomer unit ( $DP_n$ ) decreased from 26 to 15 with a decrease of the [1+styrene]<sub>0</sub>/[AIBN]<sub>0</sub> molar ratio. In order to estimate the molecular weight dependency of the chiroptical property of the template-free polymer **3**, the removal of the chiral template from **2a** was carried out by the same procedure described in chapter 2. Table 5.2 lists the results of hydrolysis and methyl esterification. The

**Table 5.1.** Cyclocopolymerizations of (2S,3S)-2,3-butanediyl bis(4-vinylbenzoate) (1a, M<sub>1</sub>) with styrene  $(M_2)^a$ 

<b>M</b> <sub>1</sub>	$[1 + \text{styrene}]_0 / [I]_0^b$	Time (h)	Yield (%)	$f_1^{\ c}$	$f_{ m c}{}^d$	$M_n \left( M_w / M_n \right)^e$	$DP_n$	$[\alpha]^{23}_{435}^{f}$
1a	31	12.5	16	0.27	0.96	4500 (1.48)	26	+251
	15	12.0	17	0.31	0.96	3500 (1.37)	20	+237
	10	11.5	17	0.26	0.96	3300 (1.37)	19	+229
	5	7.0	10	0.29	0.94	2600 (1.28)	15	+231

<sup>*a*</sup> Solvent, toluene;  $[1+styrene]_0 = 0.1 \text{ mol} \cdot \text{L}^{-1}$ ; Initiator, AIBN; temp, 60 °C. <sup>*b*</sup> The molar ratio of monomer to initiator. <sup>*c*</sup> Mole fraction of M<sub>1</sub> unit in the polymer determined by quantitative <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra. <sup>*d*</sup> Extent of cyclization determined by quantitative <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra. <sup>*e*</sup> Determined by GPC using a polystyrene standard. <sup>*f*</sup> Measured in CHCl<sub>3</sub> at 23 °C (*c* 1.0).

<b>M</b> <sub>1</sub>	$[1 + \text{styrene}]_0 / [\mathbf{I}]_0^b$	Yield (%)	$f_{ m benzoate}{}^c$	$M_n (M_w/M_n)^d$	$DP_n'^e$	$[\alpha]^{23}{}_{435}{}^{f}$
<b>1</b> a	31	72	0.45	4200 (1.41)	32	-11.8
	15	47	0.45	3400 (1.30)	26	-11.9
	10	45	0.44	3400 (1.27)	26	-11.2
	5	24	0.47	2700 (1.20)	21	-10.8

**Table 5.2.** Hydrolysis and methyl esterification of polymers  $2a^a$ 

peaks due to chiral template disappeared in the <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum, indicating that the removal of the chiral template was completely performed. The degree of polymerization on the basis of the vinyl group  $(DP_n)$  decreased from 32 to 21 with a decrease of the [1+styrene]<sub>0</sub>/[AIBN]<sub>0</sub> molar ratio in the polymerization. The specific rotation ([ $\alpha$ ]<sub>435</sub>, *c* 0.1, CHCl<sub>3</sub>) of **2a** practically constant within the range from -11.8 to -10.8°. As long as the  $DP_n$  ranges from 32 to 21, therefore, the  $DP_n$  has no influence on the chiroptical property of the polymer **3**.

#### 5.2.2 Effect of the Monomer Concentration on the Chirality Induction

The cyclocopolymerizations of **1a**, **1b**, and **1c** ( $M_1$ ) with styrene ( $M_2$ ) were carried out under the condition of the [**1**+styrene]<sub>0</sub> ranging from 0.09 to 0.05 mol·L<sup>-1</sup>, and the results are listed in Table 5.3. The mole fraction of  $M_1$  unit ( $f_1$ ) and the extent of cyclization ( $f_c$ ) of the resulting polymers **2a-c** were practically constant. The decrease of the [**1**+styrene]<sub>0</sub> values caused to decrease the number-average molecular weight ( $M_n$ ) from 3200 to 2500 for **2a**, from 3300 to 2700 for **2b**, and from 3300 to 2300 for **2c**. The effect of the decrease in the [**1**+styrene]<sub>0</sub> is similar to that in the [**1**+styrene]<sub>0</sub>/

<b>M</b> <sub>1</sub>	$[1 + \text{styrene}]_0^b$ (mol·L <sup>-1</sup> )	Time (h)	Yield	$f_1^{\ c}$	$f_{c}{}^{d}$	$M_n \left( M_w / M_n \right)^e$	DP <sub>n</sub>	$[\alpha]^{23}_{435}^{f}$
1a	0.09	16	22	0.27	0.96	3200 (1.50)	19	+244
	0.08	22	20	0.27	0.96	3200 (1.40)	19	+234
	0.07	28	22	0.26	0.97	2900 (1.42)	17	+236
	0.06	38	24	0.29	0.97	2800 (1.36)	16	+239
	0.05	48	23	0.24	0.97	2500 (1.40)	15	+246
1 b	0.09	9	14	0.28	1.00	3300 (1.43)	19	+236
	0.06	24	17	0.25	1.00	2900 (1.30)	17	+252
	0.05	30	18	0.25	1.00	2700 (1.30)	16	+248
1 c	0.09	16	24	0.24	0.96	3300 (1.49)	19	+190

**Table 5.3.** Cyclocopolymerizations of (2S,3S)-2,3-butanediyl, (2S,4S)-2,4-pentanediyl, and (2S,5S)-2,5-bexanediyl bis(4-vinylbenzoate)s (1a, 1b, and 1c, respectively, M<sub>2</sub>) with styrene (M<sub>2</sub>)<sup>*a*</sup>

0.08 22 22 0.23 0.96 3000 (1.45) 18 +190 0.07 0.24 0.96 2800 (1.44) 28 29 16 +192 0.06 38 27 0.25 0.98 2700 (1.40) 16 +194 33 0.05 48 0.21 0.98 14 +197 2300 (1.48) <sup>*a*</sup> Solvent, toluene;  $[1 + \text{styrene}]_0 / [\text{AIBN}]_0 = 15$ ; mole fraction of 1 in feed  $(F_1)$ , 0.1; temp, 60 °C. <sup>*b*</sup>. Total monomer concentration. <sup>c</sup> Mole fraction of M<sub>1</sub> unit in the polymer determined by <sup>1</sup>H and quantitative <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra.<sup>d</sup> Extent of cyclization determined by quantitative <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra.<sup>e</sup> Determined by GPC using a polystyrene standard.<sup>f</sup> Measured in CHCl<sub>3</sub> at 23 °C (c 1.0).

 $[AIBN]_0$  ratio on the degree of polymerization of polymer 2. However, the decrease in the  $[1+styrene]_0$  influenced on the <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra of 2a, while the decrease in the  $[1+styrene]_0/[AIBN]_0$  ratio has no influence on those. Figure 5.1 shows the expanded <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra of 2a within the region of the methyl carbon of the template, indicating that the change in the  $[1+styrene]_0$  leads to the monotonous change in the distribution of the cyclic structure.



**Figure 5.1.** The molecular weight dependency (a) and the total monomer concentration dependency (b) of expanded <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra of polymers **2a** (template methyl region).

The quantitative removal of the chiral template from **2a-c** was carried by the same procedure described in chapter 2, and the results are listed in Table 5.4. With the decrease in the [**1**+styrene]<sub>0</sub>, the absolute value of specific rotation ([ $\alpha$ ]<sub>435</sub>, *c* 0.1, CHCl<sub>3</sub>) increased from 13.0 to 19.5 for **3a**, from 52.5 to 55.9 for **3b**, and from 26.5 to 32.6 for **3c** (Figure 5.2). Because the *DP<sub>n</sub>*' values of **3a-c** falls in the range from 32 to 21, these changes in the chiroptical property are attributable to the effect of the total monomer concentration ([**1**+styrene]<sub>0</sub>).

## 5.2.3 Ring Inversion of the Cyclized Radical

The optical rotatory power of polymer **3** increased with a decrease of the total monomer concentration without change in values of  $f_1$  and  $f_c$ . These results mean that the change in the total monomer concentration leads to the change in the optical purity of the cyclic unit, i.e., chirality induction efficiency. Because the intramolecular cyclization is independent from the monomer concentration, the change in optical rotatory power is attributable to that in stereoselectivity in the intermolecular addition

M <sub>1</sub>	$[1 + \text{styrene}]_0^{\ b}$ (mol·L <sup>-1</sup> )	Yield (%)	$f_{benzoate}$	$M_n (M_w/M_n)^d$	$DP_n$ 'e	$[\alpha]^{23}_{435}^{f}$
1a	0.09	29	0.43	3400 (1.36)	26	-13.0
	0.08	20	0.40	3400 (1.28)	27	-13.7
	0.07	38	0.40	3200 (1.28)	25	-15.8
	0.06	25	0.41	3400 (1.21)	27	-17.4
	0.05	30	0.40	3000 (1.22)	24	-19.5
1 b	0.09	20	0.45	3700 (1.34)	28	-52.5
	0.06	70	0.41	3100 (1.29)	24	-55.7
	0.05	48	0.39	3000 (1.28)	24	-55.9
1 c	0.09	45	0.42	3600 (1.28)	28	-26.5
	0.08	45	0.43	3300 (1.26)	26	-27.6
	0.07	48	0.41	3200 (1.26)	25	-28.6
	0.06	48	0.41	3100 (1.24)	24	-30.4
	0.05	51	0.39	3000 (1.23)	24	-32.6

**Table 5.4.** Hydrolysis and methyl esterification of polymers 2a-c<sup>a</sup>

<sup>*a*</sup> Alkali hydrolysis of polymer **2** was carried out using KOH in aqueous MeOH under reflux. The resulting polymer was treated with diazomethane in benzene-ether to yield the polymer **3**. <sup>*b*</sup> The total monomer concentration in the polymerization <sup>*c*</sup> Mole fraction of methyl benzoate unit in the polymer **3** determined by <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra. <sup>*d*</sup> Determined by GPC using a polystyrene standard. <sup>*e*</sup> Degree of polymerization on the basis of the vinyl group. <sup>*f*</sup> Measured in CHCl<sub>3</sub> at 23 °C (*c* 1.0).





**Figure 5.2.** Specific rotations ( $[\alpha]_{435}$ , *c* 0.1, CHCl<sub>3</sub>) of polymers **3a** ( $\bigcirc$ ), **3b** ( $\square$ ), and **3c** ( $\triangle$ ).*versus* the total monomer concentration ( $[1+styrene]_0$ ) in the polymerization.

of the cyclized radical. According to the chirality induction mechanism described in chapter 4, the stereoselectivity in the intermolecular addition of the cyclized radical depends on the equilibrium for the ring inversion of the cyclized radical. Therefore, these results mean that the cyclocopolymerization proceeded under nonequilibrium situation on the ring inversion of the cyclized radical.<sup>3</sup> The enhancement of the chirality induction is explained as follows (see Scheme 5.2). (1) The bis(4-vinylbenzoate) monomer having positive chirality preferentially forms the cyclized radicals (*R*,*S*)-**21** and (*S*,*S*)-**21** by the stereoelectronic effect of radical addition. (2) In order to reach the equilibrium condition, the cyclized radicals (*R*,*S*)-**21** and (*S*,*S*)-**21** and (*S*,





## 5.3 Conclusions

The cyclocopolymerization of (2S,3S)-2,3-butanediyl, (2S,4S)-2,4-pentanediyl, and (2S,5S)-2,5-hexanediyl bis(4-vinylbenzoate)s (**1a**, **1b**, and **1c**, respectively) with styrene were carried out under the condition of the [**1**+styrene]<sub>0</sub> ranging of 0.09 to 0.05 mol·L<sup>-1</sup>. For the template-free polymer **3a**-**c**, the optical rotatory power increased with a decrease in the total monomer concentration ([**1**+styrene]<sub>0</sub>). The effect of the total monomer concentration was explicable by the chirality induction mechanism described in chapter 4.

unuture ware programed with a Justy PIP. (AND depiced pediar rectat.)

Alayerian. Tolocic top reflexed of the doubted of the solution of the left of

all as pulme-as top bernes see a destrate property set and main size of plaquestic set.

Poly[(methy) & single match-co-styrand Oil. The similar protoduces is their sectors in charter. 2 with policies.



## **5.4 Experimental Section**

**Measurements.** <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra were recorded using JEOL JNM-EX270 and JNM-A400II instruments. Quantitative <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra were obtained at 30 °C in CDCl<sub>3</sub> (100 mg·mL<sup>-1</sup>; delay time 7.0 seconds; inverse gated decoupling). The molecular weight of the resulting polymers was measured by gel permeation chromatography (GPC) in tetrahydrofuran on a Jasco GPC system (GPC-900) equipped with three polystyrene gel columns (Shodex KF-804L). The number-average molecular weight ( $M_n$ ) was calculated on the basis of a polystyrene calibration. Optical rotations were measured with a Jasco DIP-1000 digital polarimeter.

**Materials.** Toluene was refluxed over sodium benzophenone ketyl and distilled just before use. 2,2'-Azobis(2-methylpropionitrile) (AIBN) was recrystallized from methanol. (2S,3S)-2,3-Butanediyl, (2S,4S)-2,4-pentanediyl, and (2S,5S)-2,5-hexanediyl bis(4-vinylbenzoate) (**1a**, **1b**, and **1c**, respectively) were synthesized according to procedures similar to those described in chapter 2.

**Cyclocopolymerization.** The polymerization was carried out according to the similar procedure as that described in chapter 2.

**Poly**[(**methyl 4-vinylbenzoate**)-*co*-styrene] (3). The similar procedures as those described in chapter 2 were applied.



## **5.5 References**

- (1) Ogawa, S.; Fessenden, R. W.; J. Chem. Phys. 1964, 41, 994.
- (2) 6.9 kcal·mol<sup>-1</sup> for the polymerization of styrene, cite in Hughes, J.; North, A. M.; *J. Chem. Soc., Faraday. Trans.* 1966, 62, 1866.
- (3) The same nonequilibrium situation was observed in the radical polymerization of bulky esters of methacrylate such as triphenylmethyl methacrylate. Nakano, T.; Matsuda, A.; Okamoto, Y. *Polym. J.* 1996, 28, 556.



## heimannen la

As described in this per 4, the conjustance of analy radicand that the chiral to an addrive in is controlled by the direction of cathonyl proups as well as the chiral to an at the deviation of groups. For back- any boots are of 1,2 direct. then the chiral to an be conformations formed by the combinenes of the direction of the carbonyl groups and the chiral twin of two 4-vinylbeneo() groups. In general the contonnation the chirality and the chiral twin of two 4-vinylbeneo() groups. In general the contonnation of the carbonyl groups (2 dual template takes on former 22. Thus, it is interesting to contonnational effect of ebusiness). Yhereinstein the content of the stable conformation of disenvolution of their same configuration on the chiral center. Thus, the terratic well derivatives are well suitable as templates to confirm the conformational effect of measures.

## Chapter 6

## Conformational Effect of Monomer on the Chirality Induction



## **6.1 Introduction**

As described in chapter 4, the computational study indicated that the chirality induction is controlled by the direction of carbonyl groups as well as the chiral twist of two 4-vinylbenzoyl groups. For bis(4-vinylbenzoate) of 1,2-diols, Chart 6.1 shows the conformations formed by the combination of the direction of the carbonyl groups and the chiral twist of two 4-vinylbenzoyl groups. In general, most monomers having 1,2-diol template take conformer 22. Thus, it is interesting to confirm the chirality induction of the monomer being the conformer 23 (i.e., conformational effect of monomers). Yamamoto et. al. reported that the stable conformation of dibenzoyl Ltartaric acid was different from that of dibenzoate of (2S,3S)-2,3-butanediol in spite of their same configuration on the chiral center.<sup>1</sup> Thus, the tartaric acid derivatives are well suitable as templates to confirm the conformational effect of monomer.





In this chapter, the cyclocopolymerization of methyl, ethyl, and isopropyl 2,3bis-*O*-(4-vinylbenzoyl)-L-tartarate (**1g**, **1h**, and **1i**, respectively) and (2*S*,3*S*)-1,4dimethoxy-2,3-butanediyl bis(4-vinylbenzoate) (**1f**, i.e., the decarbonyl analog of **1g**) with styrene were carried out (Scheme 6.1). The conformational effect was discussed on the basis of the CD spectral analysis of monomer conformations and the comparison of the chiroptical property of the template-free polymers.

Scheme 6.1



Conditions: (i) AIBN, toluene, 60 °C; (ii) KOH, MeOH, reflux; (iii) CH<sub>2</sub>N<sub>2</sub>, Et<sub>2</sub>O-benzene

## 6.2 Results and Discussion

## 6.2.1 Cyclocopolymerizations of Monomers 1g-i with Styrene

The cyclocopolymerizations of methyl 2,3-bis-*O*-(4-vinylbenzoyl)-L-tartarate (**1g**,  $M_1$ ) with styrene ( $M_2$ ) were carried out using AIBN in toluene at 60 °C, and the results are listed in Table 6.1. For a mole fractions of less than 0.5 in the feed of **1g**, the obtained polymers were soluble in organic solvents. The extent of cyclization ( $f_c$ ), which was estimated by quantitative <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectroscopy, varied from 0.74 to 0.80 for copolymer **2g**. The number-average molecular weights ( $M_n$ s) of **2g** increased from 3200 to 14800 with an increase in the mole fraction of **1g** in the feed. As the content of the  $M_1$  unit in the polymer was higher than that in the feed, the copolymerization reactivity of **1g** was higher than that of styrene. The specific rotation ( $[\alpha]_{435}$ , c 1.0, CHCl<sub>3</sub>) of **2g** shifted from -25.8° to +28.3° with increasing M, content.

M <sub>1</sub>	$F_1^{\ b}$	Time (h)	Yield (%)	$f_1^{\ c}$	$f_{ m c}{}^d$	$M_n \left( M_w / M_n \right)^e$	$DP_n$	$[\alpha]^{23}_{435}^{f}$
1 g	0.9	2.0	14			Page 1 May	₩af t	-
	0.8	2.5	17	4	-	· marchite in	14.00	_
	0.7	3.0	20	Ξ.	1.4	notic.m	"Fault	4
	0.6	3.5	27	1.5	-	The Contract	5.00	-
	0.5	5.5	21	0.87	0.74	14800 (8.87)	37	-25.8
	0.4	5.5	15	0.72	0.76	7300 (2.60)	21	-39.5
	0.3	6.9	23	0.50	0.80	9200 (1.94)	34	+1.0
	0.2	9.0	21	0.39	0.78	5400 (2.04)	23	+23.3
	0.1	17.0	18	0.26	0.79	3200 (2.12)	17	+28.3
1 h	0.2	9.0	26	0.37	0.88	5800 (1.96)	24	+4.3
	0.1	17.0	10	0.07	0.07	2(00 (1 00)	10	. 10.5

**Table 6.1.** Cyclocopolymerizations of methyl, ethyl, and isopropyl 2,3-bis-O-(4-vinylbenzoate)-L-tartarate (**1 g**, **1 h**, and **1 i**, respectively, M<sub>1</sub>) with styrene (M<sub>2</sub>).<sup>*a*</sup>

11.0 0.21 0.01 3000 (1.88) 10 +12.31 i 0.2 9.0 24 0.37 0.88 6900 (1.81) 28 -15.9 0.1 17.0 0.21 0.87 24 4000 (1.88) 21 -4.4 <sup>*a*</sup> Solvent, toluene;  $[1 + \text{styrene}]_0 = 0.1 \text{ mol} \cdot L^{-1}$ ;  $[AIBN]_0 = 6.1 \text{ mmol} \cdot L^{-1}$ ; temp, 60 °C. <sup>*b*</sup> Mole fraction of 1 in the monomer feed.<sup>c</sup> Mole fraction of M<sub>1</sub> unit in the polymer determined by <sup>1</sup>H and quantitative <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra.<sup>d</sup> Extent of cyclization determined by quantitative <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra.<sup>e</sup> Determined by GPC using a polystyrene standard.<sup>*f*</sup> Measured in CHCl<sub>3</sub> at 23  $^{\circ}$ C (*c* 1.0).

The quantitative removal of the chiral template from 2g was carried out using methanolic KOH, followed by methyl esterification using diazomethane. The results are listed in Table 6.2. The peaks due to L-tartaric acid disappeared in the <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum (Figure 6.1), thus indicating that the template-free polymer was poly[(methyl 4-vinylbenzoate)-*co*-styrene] (**3g**). The specific rotation ( $[\alpha]_{435}$ , *c* 1.0, CHCl<sub>3</sub>) of **3g** slightly increased from +0.2° to -2.9° with an increase in the M<sub>2</sub> content in the copolymer. Polymer **3g** was optically active though it had an lower optical rotatory power.

Table 0.2. ny	and men	nyi esternicatioi	it of polymers 2 g-1.	
$\mathbf{M}_1$	$f_1^{\ b}$	Yield	$M_n (M_w/M_n)^c$	$[\alpha]^{23}_{435}{}^d$
		(%)		
1a	$(0.9)^{e}$	-	18700 (1.50)	-0.5
	(0.8)	-	14200 (1.73)	+0.2
	(0.7)	4	11900 (2.49)	0.0
	(0.6)	-	8000 (2.08)	-0.6
	0.87	83	7500 (1.98)	-0.7
	0.72	61	6000 (1.93)	-0.8
	0.50	74	5100 (2.14)	-0.8
	0.39	43	3200 (2.28)	-1.3
	0.26	38	2700 (1.88)	-2.9
1 b	0.37	59	3600 (2.17)	-0.7
	0.27	56	2400 (1.92)	-1.1
1 c	0.37	71	4600 (1.84)	-0.1
	0.21	43	3100 (1.73)	-0.8

Table 6.2. Hydrolysis and methyl esterification of polymers 2 g-i.<sup>a</sup>

<sup>*a*</sup> Alkali hydrolysis of polymer **2** was carried out using KOH in aqueous MeOH under reflux. The resulting polymer was treated with diazomethane in benzene-ether to yield the polymer **3**. <sup>*b*</sup> Mole fraction of  $M_1$  unit in the polymer **2**. <sup>*c*</sup> Determined by GPC using a polystyrene standard. <sup>*d*</sup> Measured in CHCl<sub>3</sub> at 23 °C (*c* 1.0). <sup>*e*</sup> The values in parenthesis were mole fraction of **1** in the feed.

The cyclocopolymerizations of ethyl and isopropyl 2,3-bis-O-(4-vinylbenzoyl)-L-tartarates (**1h** and **1i**, respectively) with styrene were examined to elucidate the effect of the alkyl group of an alcohol for tartarates on the chirality induction. These results are listed in Table 6.1. The  $f_c$ s for **2h** and **2i** were slightly higher than that for **2g**. The cyclization tendency was improved by increasing the size of the alkyl group on the alcohols. The specific rotations for **2h** and **2i** showed a change from a minus sign to a plus sign, which is similar to those for **2g**. The specific rotations of **3h** were -0.7° and -1.1° and for **3i** were -0.1° and -0.8°, which were lower than those of **3g** (-1.3° and -2.9°) as listed in Table 6.2. The alkyl group on the alcohols in the tartarates had only a marginal effect on the chirality induction.



Figure 6.1. 'H NMR spectra of monomer 1g (a), polymer 2g (b), and polymer 3g (c).

Figure 6.2 shows the CD and UV spectra of monomers 1g-i and polymer 3g in HFIP. A negative Cotton effect at 287 nm and a positive Cotton effect at 262 nm due to the exciton coupling between two 4-vinylbenzoate chromophores are observed. According to the CD exciton chirality method, two 4-vinylbenzoyl groups twist counterclockwise for 1g-i. Although monomers 1g-i had a chiral twist of the two 4-vinylbenzoyl groups, copolymer 3g did not exhibited a splitting Cotton effect in their CD spectra in contrast to that derived from (2S,3S)-2,3-butanediyl bis(4-vinylbenzoate) (1a). These results indicate that chirality induction depends on other conformational elements in addition to the chiral twist of the two 4-vinylbenzoyl groups.



**Figure 6.2.** CD (upper) and UV (lower) spectra of monomers **1g** (solid line), **1h** (short broken line), and **1i** (broken line) (a) and polymer **3g** (b).

## 6.2.2 Cyclocopolymerization of Monomer 1f with Styrene

The cyclocopolymerization of (2S,3S)-1,4-dimethoxy-2,3-butanediyl bis(4-vinylbenzoate) (**1f**, M<sub>1</sub>) with styrene (M<sub>2</sub>) was carried out using the same conditions as that for **1g**/St, and these results are summarized in Table 6.3. The polymerization

homogeneously proceeded, and the resulting polymer **2f** was soluble in chloroform and tetrahydrofuran. The  $M_n$  of **2f** increased from 2600 to 18000 with an increase in the mole fraction of **1f** in the feed. The  $f_c$  for **2f** ranged from 0.90 to 1.00, and was higher than that for **2g**. In contrast to **2g**, **2f** showed a specific rotation ( $[\alpha]_{435}$ , c 1.0, CHCl<sub>3</sub>) which was almost constant at +270° for every composition.

M <sub>1</sub>	$F_1^{\ b}$	Time (h)	Yield (%)	$f_1^{c}$	$f_{ m c}^{\ d}$	$M_n \left( M_w / M_n \right)^e$	$DP_n$	$[\alpha]^{23}_{435}^{f}$
1a	0.9	2.0	21	0.92	0.90	14600 (1.62)	38	+270
	0.8	2.0	17	0.90	0.91	15300 (1.41)	40	+263
	0.7	2.5	20	0.83	0.91	14000 (1.49)	39	+264
	0.6	2.5	12	0.74	0.90	18000 (1.43)	54	+275
	0.5	3.0	13	0.64	0.92	13400 (1.54)	45	+287
	0.4	3.0	11	0.61	0.95	12200 (1.48)	42	+264
	0.3	6.5	19	0.48	0.98	5800 (2.20)	23	+277
	0.2	9.0	20	0.39	1.00	4300 (2.32)	19	+258
	0.1	18.0	18	0.24	1.00	2600 (2.30)	15	+217

**Table 6.3.** Cyclocopolymerizations of (2*S*, 3*S*)-1,4-dimethoxy-2,3-butanediyl bis(4-vinylbenzoate)  $(1 f. M_{\rm s})$  with styrene  $(M_{\rm s})^a$ 

<sup>*a*</sup> Solvent, toluene;  $[1 + \text{styrene}]_0 = 0.1 \text{ mol} \cdot L^{-1}$ ;  $[AIBN]_0 = 6.1 \text{ mmol} \cdot L^{-1}$ ; temp, 60 °C. <sup>*b*</sup> Mole fraction of 1 in the monomer feed. <sup>c</sup> Mole fraction of  $M_1$  unit in the polymer determined by <sup>1</sup>H and quantitative <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra.<sup>d</sup> Extent of cyclization determined by quantitative <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra.<sup>e</sup> Determined by GPC using a polystyrene standard.<sup>*f*</sup> Measured in CHCl<sub>3</sub> at 23 °C (c 1.0).

Table 6.4.	Hydrolysis and methyl esterification of polymers <b>2 f</b> . <sup><i>a</i></sup>				
<b>M</b> <sub>1</sub>	$f_1^{\ b}$	Yield	$M_n (M_w/M_n)^c$	$[\alpha]^{23}{}_{435}{}^d$	ġ
		(%)			
1f	0.92	43	11800 (1.47)	-2.3	
	0.90	50	12000 (1.48)	-2.8	
	0.83	41	10700 (1.53)	-4.5	
	0.74	53	11500 (1.75)	-4.5	
	0.64	42	11100 (1.50)	-5.8	
	0.61	48	10700 (1.41)	-6.3	
	0.48	65	5800 (1.88)	-11.0	



<sup>a</sup> Alkali hydrolysis of polymer 2 was carried out using KOH in aqueous MeOH under reflux. The resulting polymer was treated with diazomethane in benzene-ether to yield the polymer 3. <sup>b</sup> Mole fraction of M<sub>1</sub> unit in the polymer 2. <sup>c</sup> Determined by GPC using a polystyrene standard. <sup>*d*</sup> Measured in CHCl<sub>3</sub> at 23  $^{\circ}$ C (*c* 1.0).

The specific rotation ( $[\alpha]_{435}$ , c 1.0, CHCl<sub>3</sub>) of **3f** significantly increased from - 2.3° to -21.9° with increasing M<sub>2</sub> content (Table 6.4). The optical rotatory power of **3f** was significantly higher than those of **3g-i**, indicating that the (2*S*,3*S*)-1,4-dimethoxy-2,3-butanediol template corresponding to the decarbonyl templates of L-tartaric acid has a higher ability for chirality induction. Figure 6.3 shows the CD and UV spectra of monomer **1f** and polymer **3f**. The CD spectrum of **1f** showed a positive Cotton effect at 287 nm and a negative Cotton effect at 262 nm, indicating that the two 4-vinylbenzoyl groups twist clockwise. On the other hand, a negative Cotton effect at 252 nm and a positive Cotton effect at 236 nm are observed for **3f**. According to the CD exciton chirality method, two adjacent methyl benzoate units twist counterclockwise, suggesting that the preferential configuration of methyl benzoate







diad is (R,R)-racemo. For the cyclocopolymerization of **3f** with styrene, hence, the positive chirality of the 4-vinylbenzoyl groups formed benzoate diad with an (R,R)-racemo configuration, which fairly agrees with the results for **1a**/St in chapter 2 (Figure 6.4).

## 6.2.3 Conformational Effect of Monomer on Chirality Induction

In general, the chiral template controls the chiral alignment of the two 4vinylbenzoyl groups, resulting in a chirality induction in the main chain. Chiral alignment of two 4-vinylbenzoyl groups is constructed with two conformational elements, i.e., the chiral twist of the 4-vinylbenzoyl groups (torsion angle  $\theta_1$ ) and orientation of the carbonyl group of 4-vinylbenzoyl groups (torsion angles  $\theta_2$  and  $\theta_3$ ) (Chart 6.2). Here, **1g-i** have a torsion angle  $\theta_1$  with the sign opposite to that for **1f** 

## Chart 6.2



because of the dipole-dipole repulsion between the two carbonyl groups of L-tartarate. The CD exciton chirality method indicates that the stable conformation for torsion angle  $\theta_1$  is 25 for 1g-i and 24 for 1f as shown in Figure 6.5. Based on the stereochemical selection due to the torsion angle  $\theta_1$ , the chiroptical property for 3g-i is presumed to

be opposite to that for **3f**. In addition, the two 4-vinylbenzoyl groups of **1g-i** adequately twist for chirality induction because the A values of the split Cotton effect for **1g-i** are greater than that for **1f**. However, the specific rotations for **3g-i** had the same sign as those for **3f**, although the former values were low. These results suggest that other conformational elements select the stereoisomer opposite to what the torsion angle  $\theta_1$  for **1g-i** induces.



**Figure 6.5.** Conformations on the torsion angle  $\theta_1$ .

As described in chapter 4, the conformer 26, in which the *Si* planes for the carbonyl groups of the 4-vinylbenzoate face each other, leads to the formation of an (R,R)-racemo cyclic unit and *vice versa* (Figure 6.6). In general, the carbonyl orientation of the 4-vinylbenzoyl groups is controlled by the configuration of the alcohol moiety in the ester. In many compounds having an ester moiety, it was confirmed by a single crystal X-ray analysis that the carbonyl groups of esters have a preference for the syn conformation with a hydrogen atom of the alkyl residue from



Si planes face each other Re planes face each other

(R,R)-racemo

26

(S,S)-racemo

27

**Figure 6.6.** Conformations on torsion angles  $\theta_2$  and  $\theta_3$ .



alcohols (Chart 6.3).<sup>2</sup> With regard to the torsion angles  $\theta_2$  and  $\theta_3$ , the sterically stable conformations for 1f-i are 26 shown in Figure 6.6. The preferential formation of the (R,R)-racemo diad of 4-vinylbenzoate for **1f** is in fair agreement with the chirality induction through the control based on the carbonyl direction as shown in chapter 4. The specific rotations for 1g-i indicate that 3g-i predominately have an (R,R)-racemo configuration of the 4-vinylbenzoate diad, which can be elucidated on the basis of the carbonyl direction. However, the optical activity for 3g-i is too low in comparison with that for **3f**. Therefore, during the polymerization of **1g-i**, the torsion angle  $\theta_1$  has an influence on the torsion angles  $\theta_2$  and  $\theta_3$ , namely, carbonyl direction.

Figure 6.7 illustrates the combination of the torsion angle  $\theta_1$  and the torsion angles  $\theta_2$  and  $\theta_3$ . Conformer 22 for 1f consists of conformation 24 for  $\theta_1$  and 26 for  $\theta_2$  and  $\theta_3$ . The conformer has an advantage during the cyclization because the two 4vinylbenzoyl groups situate close to each other. On the other hand, Conformer 23 for



23

22

## (R,R)-racemo (S,S)-racemo

H

28

**Figure 6.7.** Effect of the combination of the torsion angles  $\theta_1$ ,  $\theta_2$ , and  $\theta_3$ .

**1g-i**, which consist of conformation **25** for  $\theta_1$  and **26** for  $\theta_2$  and  $\theta_3$ , separates the two groups. Hence, the cyclization of the 4-vinylbenzoyl groups for **1g-i** requires a change in the torsion angle  $\theta_1$  or torsion angles  $\theta_2$  and  $\theta_3$ . The  $\theta_1$  angle, in which the change requires activation energy to overcome the dipole-dipole repulsion, is maintained and, alternatively, those of  $\theta_2$  and  $\theta_3$  angles change to form the conformation **28**. The conformation that is sterically unfavorable for carbonyl orientation leads to the formation of the (*S*,*S*)-racemo diad. For **1g-i**, hence, the unsuitable combination of the torsion angles  $\theta_1$ , and  $\theta_2$  and  $\theta_3$ , lowered the chirality induction ability.

## **6.3 Conclusions**

The cyclocopolymerization of methyl, ethyl, and isopropyl 2,3-bis-O-(4-vinylbenzoyl)-L-tartarate (**1g**, **1h**, and **1i**, respectively) with styrene were carried out to examine the conformational effect of monomer. After removal of the template, the resulting polymer **3g-i** shows an extremely low specific rotation in contrast with that of the polymer **3f** synthesized from the cyclocopolymerization of (2*S*,3*S*)-1,4-dimethoxy-2,3-butandiyl bis(4-vinylbenzoate) (**1f**), which is the decarbonyl analog of **1g**, with styrene. From the CD spectral analysis, two 4-vinylbenzoyl groups twisted counterclockwise for **1g-i** and clockwise for **1f**. The stable conformations of **1g-i** are different from that of **1f** and separate the two 4-vinylbenzoyl groups, thus lowering the ability for chirality induction because of the unsuitable combination of the torsion angles  $\theta_1$ ,  $\theta_2$ , and  $\theta_3$  for the cyclization. Hence, the appropriate selection of these torsion angles should be considered for the design of an effective chiral template to form an optically active polymer due to main chain chirality.

and wanter spin filters and the second intermed in the second of the spin of t



## **6.4 Experimental Section**

**Measurements.** <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra were recorded using JEOL JNM-EX270 and JNM-A400II instruments. Quantitative <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra were obtained at 30 °C in CDCl<sub>3</sub> (100 mg·mL<sup>-1</sup>; delay time 7.0 seconds; inverse gated decoupling). The molecular weight of the resulting polymers was measured by gel permeation chromatography (GPC) in tetrahydrofuran on a Jasco GPC system (GPC-900) equipped with three polystyrene gel columns (Shodex KF-804L). The number-average molecular weight ( $M_n$ ) was calculated on the basis of a polystyrene calibration. Optical rotations were measured with a Jasco DIP-1000 digital polarimeter. CD spectra were measured in 1,1,1,3,3,3-hexafluoro-2-propanol (HFIP) with a 5 mm path length using a Jasco J-720 spectropolarimeter.

**Materials.** Dry toluene was purchased from the Kanto Chemical Co. and used without further purification. 2,2'-Azobis(2-methylpropionitrile) (AIBN) was recrystallized from methanol. HFIP was donated by the Central Glass Co. and used without further purification. Methyl, ethyl, and isopropyl L-tartarates were synthesized from L-tartaric acid and the corresponding alcohol using a typical acidic esterification procedure. (2S,3S)-1,4-dimethoxy-2,3-butanediyl bis(4-vinylbenzoate) (**1f**) was synthesized according to procedure similar to that described in chapter 3.

**Methyl 2,3-Bis-***O***-(4-vinylbenzoyl)-L-tartarate (1g).** A solution of methyl Ltartarate (4.7 g, 26.1 mmol) in dry pyridine (210 mL) was cooled to 5 °C. To this solution, 4-vinylbenzoyl chloride (12.7 g, 76.6 mmol) was gradually added so that the temperature of the solution did not rise over 10 °C. The reaction mixture was stirred for 24 hrs at room temperature. The mixture was cooled to 0 °C in an ice bath, and water was then added. The resulting mixture was extracted with three portions of 160 mL of diethyl ether. The extract was successively washed with 0.5 M aqueous HCl, 5 % aqueous NaOH, and water, then dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate. After removal of the solvent under reduced pressure, chromatography on silica gel (Kiesel

Gel 60) with hexane/diethyl ether (vol. ratio 1/1) gave **1g** as a sticky liquid. Yield 7.7 g (17.6 mmol, 67.5 %).  $[\alpha]_{435} = -323^{\circ}$ ,  $[\alpha]_{D} = -115^{\circ}$  (CHCl<sub>3</sub>, 23 °C, *c* 1.0). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (270 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  (ppm) = 8.06 (d, <sup>3</sup>J = 8.3 Hz, 4H, Ar), 7.50 (d, <sup>3</sup>J = 8.5 Hz, 4H, Ar), 6.77 (dd, <sup>3</sup>J<sub>trans</sub> = 17.6 Hz, <sup>3</sup>J<sub>cis</sub> = 11.0 Hz, 2H, =CH-), 6.00 (s, 2H, OCH), 5.89 (d, <sup>3</sup>J<sub>trans</sub> = 17.6 Hz, 2H, =CH<sub>2</sub>), 5.42 (d, <sup>3</sup>J<sub>cis</sub> = 11.0 Hz, 2H, =CH<sub>2</sub>), 3.77 (s, 6H, CH<sub>3</sub>). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (67.5 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  (ppm) = 166.4 (C=O), 142.9, 130.5, 127.7, 126.3 (Ar),

135.9 (=CH-), 117.1 (=CH<sub>2</sub>), 71.5 (OCH), 53.1 (CH<sub>3</sub>). Anal. Calcd for  $C_{24}H_{22}O_8$  (438.4): C 65.75; H 5.06. Found: C 65.68; H 5.20.

**Ethyl 2,3-Bis-***O*-(**4-vinylbenzoyl**)-**L**-**tartarate** (**1h**). The same procedure as that for **1a** was applied to a mixture of ethyl L-tartarate (1.1 g, 5.4 mmol), 4-vinylbenzoyl chloride (2.5 g, 15 mmol) and 30 mL of pyridine. The crude product was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (Kiesel Gel 60) with hexane/ diethyl ether (vol. ratio 7/3) to give **1h** as a sticky liquid. Yield 2.3 g (4.9 mmol, 90.7 %).  $[\alpha]_{435} = -333^{\circ}, [\alpha]_{D} = -117^{\circ}$  (CHCl<sub>3</sub>, 23 °C, *c* 1.0). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (270 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ (ppm) = 8.07 (d, <sup>3</sup>*J* = 8.3 Hz, 4H, Ar), 7.49 (d, <sup>3</sup>*J* = 8.3 Hz, 4H, Ar), 6.77 (dd, <sup>3</sup>*J*<sub>trans</sub> = 17.5 Hz, <sup>3</sup>*J*<sub>cis</sub> = 10.9, 2H, =CH-), 6.00 (s, 2H, OCH), 5.89 (d. <sup>3</sup>*J*<sub>trans</sub> = 17.5 Hz, 2H, =CH<sub>2</sub>), 5.41 (d, <sup>3</sup>*J*<sub>cis</sub> = 11.2 Hz, 2H, =CH<sub>2</sub>), 4.29 - 4.19 (m, 4H, -CH<sub>2</sub>-), 1.19 (t, <sup>3</sup>*J* = 7.1 Hz, 6H, -CH<sub>3</sub>). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (67.5 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ (ppm) = 165.8 (C=O, benzoate), 165.0 (C=O, tartarate), 142.8, 130.4, 127.7, 126.3 (Ar), 135.91 (=CH-), 117.0 (=CH<sub>2</sub>), 71.6 (OCH), 62.3 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 14.1 (CH<sub>3</sub>). Anal. Calcd for C<sub>26</sub>H<sub>26</sub>O<sub>8</sub> (466.5): C 66.94; H 5.62. Found: C 66.80; H 5.68.

**Isopropyl 2,3-Bis-***O***-**(**4**-vinylbenzoyl)-L-tartarate (1i). The same procedure as that for **1a** was applied to a mixture of isopropyl L-tartarate (1.2 g, 5.1 mmol), 4-vinylbenzoyl chloride (2.7 g, 16 mmol) and 30 mL of pyridine. The crude product was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (Kiesel Gel 60) with hexane/ diethyl ether (vol. ratio 7/3) to give **1i** as a sticky liquid. Yield 2.0 g (4.0 mmol, 78.4 %).  $[\alpha]_{435} = -330^{\circ}, [\alpha]_{D} = -114^{\circ}$  (CHCl<sub>3</sub>, 23 °C, *c* 1.0). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (270 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ (ppm) = 8.07 (d, <sup>3</sup>*J* = 8.3 Hz, 4H, Ar), 7.49 (d, <sup>3</sup>*J* = 8.3 Hz, 4H, Ar), 6.77 (dd, <sup>3</sup>*J*<sub>trans</sub> = 17.7 Hz, <sup>3</sup>*J*<sub>cis</sub> = 11.1, 2H, =CH-), 5.99 (s, 2H, OCH), 5.89 (d. <sup>3</sup>*J*<sub>trans</sub> = 17.5 Hz, 2H, =CH<sub>2</sub>), 5.41 (d, <sup>3</sup>*J*<sub>cis</sub> = 10.9 Hz, 2H, =CH<sub>2</sub>), 5.08 (m, 2H, CHMe<sub>2</sub>), 1.25 (d, <sup>3</sup>*J* = 6.3 Hz, 6H, CH<sub>3</sub>). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (67.5 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ (ppm) = 165.3 (C=O, benzoate), 165.0 (C=O, tartarate), 142.7, 130.4, 127.8, 126.2 (Ar), 135.9 (=CH-), 116.9 (=CH<sub>2</sub>), 71.6 (OCH), 70.3 (CHMe<sub>2</sub>), 21.6 (CH<sub>3</sub>), 21.5 (CH<sub>3</sub>). Anal. Calcd for C<sub>28</sub>H<sub>30</sub>O<sub>8</sub> (494.5): C 68.00; H 6.11. Found: C 67.84; H 6.16.

**Cyclocopolymerization.** The polymerization was carried out according to the similar procedure as that described in chapter 2.

**Poly**[(**methyl 4-vinylbenzoate**)-*co*-styrene] (3). The similar procedures as those described in chapter 2 were applied.

## **6.5 References**

- (1) Yamamoto, Y.; Fushimi, M.; Oda, J.; Inouye, Y. Agr. Biol. Chem. 1975, 39, 2223.
- (2) Methineson, A. McL. Tetrahedron Lett. 1965, 4137.

- Chapter 7



#### 11 Introduction

In the previous chapters, the asymmetric cyclosopy lymerication of black anytherstonic) having a chiral templete with symmetric two discussed, especially, devith, chirality addedieu mechanismi. The propherization system has an addeming an this the CD excitors chirality method for the storactionical analysis can be applied to the template-first polymer. However, the template-fort polymer at mechanical for the structural analysis using WMR spectrum to be which is powerful tool for the administer of the new memocrate of polymers, i.e., nonverses requester and tacticity. Dates, it is interesting to explore the mynametric cyclotopolymerization system to give a template-first polymer which can be applicable to the MMR spectral molysis.

## Chapter 7

Asymmetric Cyclocopolymerization of 1,2:5,6-Di-Oisopropylidene-3,4-Di-O-methacryloyl-D-Mannitol with Styrene


# 7.1 Introduction

In the previous chapters, the asymmetric cyclocopolymerization of bis(4vinylbenzoate) having a chiral template with styrene was discussed, especially, about the chirality induction mechanism. This polymerization system has an advantage in that the CD exciton chirality method for the stereochemical analysis can be applied to the template-free polymer. However, the template-free polymer is unsuitable for the structural analysis using NMR spectroscopy, which is powerful tool for the estimation of the microstructure of polymers, i.e, monomer sequence and tacticity. Hence, it is interesting to explore the asymmetric cyclocopolymerization system to give a template-free polymer which can be applicable to the NMR spectral analysis.

In this chapter, the cyclocopolymerization of the 1,2:5,6-di-*O*-isopropylidene-3,4-di-*O*-methacryloyl-D-mannitol with styrene carried out (Scheme 7.1). The origin of chirality was discussed on the basis of the specific rotation and the NMR spectral analysis of the template-free copolymer, i.e., poly[(methyl methacrylate)-*co*-styrene].





# (iii) $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}_{n}^{n}$ $M_{1} & M_{2}$ 31 Conditions: (i) AIBN, toluene, 60 °C; (ii) KOH, MeOH, reflux; (iii) CH<sub>2</sub>N<sub>2</sub>, Et<sub>2</sub>O

# 7.2 Results and Discussion

#### 7.2.1 Cyclocopolymerizations

The copolymerizations of 1,2:5,6-di-*O*-isopropylidene-3,4-di-*O*-methacryloyl-D-mannitol (**29**, M<sub>1</sub>) with styrene (M<sub>2</sub>) were carried out using AIBN in toluene at 60 °C, and the results are listed in Table 7.1. The polymerization system was homogeneous, and the resulting polymer **30** was soluble in chloroform and tetrahydrofuran. The number average molecular weight ( $M_n$ ) of **30** ranged from 4300 to 34900. The <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra showed that the polymers **30** contained a small amount of residual double bonds (Figure 7.1). The extent of cyclization ( $f_c$ ), which was estimated from peaks at 5.6 and 6.2 ppm due to the methacrylic groups, was varied from 1.00 to 0.86 with an increase in the mole fraction of **29** in the feed. For the cyclocopolymerization, the intramolecular cyclization of the divinyl monomer is generally inhibited by incorporation of the comonomer. Table 7.2 shows the effect of the comonomer on the cyclization in the cyclocopolymerization of **29** with styrene. The  $f_c$  value was only slightly influenced by incorporation of the comonomer.

<b>M</b> <sub>1</sub>	$F_1^{\ b}$	Time (h)	Yield (%)	$f_1^{\ c}$	$f_{ m c}{}^d$	$M_n \left( M_w / M_n \right)^e$	$DP_n$	$[\alpha]^{23}_{435}{}^{f}$
29	1.0 <sup>g</sup>	5	53	1.00	0.95	34900 (20.7)	88	+77.9
	0.9	4	27	0.85	0.86	41200 (2.66)	116	+52.5
	0.8	6	27	0.74	0.89	26600 (1.86)	83	+39.5
	0.7	5	18	0.62	0.91	17600 (1.52)	61	+32.0
	0.6	7	18	0.56	0.92	14800 (1.41)	55	+26.4
	0.5	9	20	0.48	0.94	15700 (1.16)	64	+23.9
	0.4	12	19	0.43	0.95	8400 (1.46)	36	+22.8

**Table 7.1.** Cyclocopolymerizations of 1,2:5,6-di-*O*-isopropylidene-3,4-di-*O*-methacryloyl-D-mannitol (**29**, M<sub>1</sub>) with styrene (M<sub>2</sub>).<sup>*a*</sup>

0.3 12 19 0.37 0.96 8600 (1.45) 40 +20.9 0.2 10 0.31 14 0.96 43 8500 (1.35) +19.3 0.1 14 14 0.21 1.00 6100 (1.39) +16.9 37 0.05 27 19 0.13 1.00 4300 (1.64) 30 +12.6 <sup>*a*</sup> Solvent, toluene;  $[29+styrene]_0 = 0.2 \text{ mol}\cdot\text{L}^{-1}$ ;  $[AIBN]_0 = 6.1 \text{ mmol}\cdot\text{L}^{-1}$ ; temp, 60 °C. <sup>*b*</sup> Mole fraction of 29 in the monomer feed. <sup>c</sup> Mole fraction of M<sub>1</sub> unit in the polymer determined by <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra. <sup>d</sup> Extent of cyclization determined by <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra.<sup>e</sup> Determined by GPC using a polystyrene standard. <sup>*f*</sup> Measured in CHCl<sub>3</sub> at 23 °C (*c* 1.0). <sup>*g*</sup>  $[29]_0 = 0.1 \text{ mol} \cdot L^{-1}$ 

in order in families charly the affect of the symposympt, the hyperce of the cyclocomous process of the cyclocomolymetric or no discussed. The set of elementally metriculation is unfilled at Scheme 7.2. Store the intermediation



Figure 7.1. <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra of monomer 29 (a) and polymer 30 ( $f_1 = 0.74, f_c = 0.89$ ) (b).



**Table 7.2.** Effect of comonomer  $(M_2)$  on thecyclopolymerization tendency of monmer  $2 9^a$ 

$[\mathbf{M}_1] / \operatorname{mol} \cdot \mathbf{L}^{-1}$	$[M_2] / mol \cdot L^{-1}$	$f_{ m c}^{\;b}$	
0.10	0.10	0.94	

0.10 0.00 0.95	25 20
<sup><i>a</i></sup> Solvent, toluene; $[AIBN]_0 = 6.1 \text{ mmol} \cdot L^{-1}$ ; temp, 60 °C. <sup><i>b</i></sup>	
Extent of cyclization determined by 'H NMR spectra.	
106	

In order to further clarify the effect of the comonomer, the kinetics of the cyclocopolymerization are discussed. The set of elementary reactions in the cyclocopolymerization is summarized in Scheme 7.2. Since the intramolecular

#### Scheme 7.2

 $M_{1} + M_{1} \xrightarrow{k_{11}} M_{1}$   $M_{1} + M_{2} \xrightarrow{k_{12}} M_{2}$   $M_{1} + M_{2} \xrightarrow{k_{21}} M_{2}$   $M_{2} + M_{1} \xrightarrow{k_{21}} M_{1}$   $M_{2} + M_{2} \xrightarrow{k_{22}} M_{2}$   $M_{1} \xrightarrow{k_{c}} M_{c}$   $M_{c} + M_{1} \xrightarrow{k_{c1}} M_{1}$   $M_{c} + M_{2} \xrightarrow{k_{c2}} M_{2}$ 

cyclization of **29** was unaffected by the presence of the comonomer (i.e.,  $k_{12} = 0$ ), the expression of Roovers and Smets<sup>1</sup> can be applied to the polymer composition relationship.

$$\frac{d[M_{1}]}{d[M_{2}]} = \frac{2[M_{1}]}{[M_{2}]} \cdot \frac{2r_{c}[M_{1}] + [M_{2}]}{2[M_{1}] + r_{2}[M_{2}]} \left(1 + \frac{2[M_{1}]}{K_{c}}\right)$$
(7.1)  
$$\frac{1}{f_{c}} = \frac{d[M_{1}]}{d[M_{c}]} = 1 + \frac{2[M_{1}]}{K_{c}}$$
(7.2)  
$$\frac{d[M_{c}]}{d[M_{2}]} = \frac{[M_{1}]}{[M_{2}]} \cdot \frac{r'_{1}[M_{1}] + [M_{2}]}{[M_{1}] + r'_{2}[M_{2}]}$$
(7.3)

where  $r_c = k_{c1}/k_{c2}$ ,  $r_2 = k_{21}/k_{22}$ ,  $r'_1 = 2r_c$ ,  $r'_2 = r_2/2$ ,  $K_c = k_c/k_{11}$ , and  $d[M_c] = f_c \cdot d[M_1]$ . The experimental data are plotted according to eq. 7.2 (Figure 7.2). A good straight line was found; the slope gave  $K_c = 2.3 \text{ mol} \cdot \text{L}^{-1}$  and a intercept of 1.0, thereby indicating that the comonomer simply acts as a diluent for the intramolecular cyclization of **29**. The  $K_c$  value of **29** is comparable to 4.0 mol·L<sup>-1</sup> for ethylene glycol dimethacrylate<sup>2</sup>

and 3.4 mol·L<sup>-1</sup> for diethylene glycol dimethacrylate<sup>3</sup> during the homopolymerization, thus indicating that **29** has a high tendency for cyclopolymerization. The Kelen-Tüdös plots for the data in Table 7.1 according to eq. 7.3 gave  $r'_1 = 0.35$  and  $r'_2 = 0.36$ . The parameters  $r'_1$  and  $r'_2$  are the monomer reactivity ratios without consideration of the bifunctionality of **29**.





#### 7.2.2. Chiroptical Properties of Polymers 30 and 31

The specific rotation ( $[\alpha]_{435}$ ) of polymer **30** showed a deviation from a linear dependence on the weight fraction of M<sub>1</sub> units (Figure 7.3). This result means that the polymer **30** exhibited the optical activity due to the new chirality except the chiral template.

The removal of the chiral template from 30 was carried out using KOH in aqueous

MeOH. The hydrolyzed polymer was then treated with diazomethane to yield polymer **31**. However, since the characteristic peaks due to the template were slightly found in the <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra of **31**, the removal of the chiral template from **30** was not completely performed.

In spite of the slight residue of the chiral template, the sign of the specific rotation was changed from plus for polymer **30** to minus for polymer **31** (Table 7.3). Then the



weight fraction of  $M_1$  in polymer 30

Figure 7.3. Specific rotations ( $[\alpha]_{435}$ ) of polymer 30 versus weight fraction of M<sub>1</sub> unit in the polymer.

Тарие 7.5. пу	norysis and mentyr esternication of polymer 30."					
$\mathbf{M}_1$	$f_1^{\ b}$	Yield	$M_n (M_w/M_n)^c$	$[\alpha]^{23}{}_{435}{}^d$		
	A	(%)	16. A.S.	Ta .		
29	1.00	21	25400 (2.56)	-		
	0.85	57	23200 (2.15)	-4.3		
	0.74	52	18100 (1.69)	-4.0		
	0.62	72	17600 (1.52)	-2.6		
	0.56	48	11800 (1.32)	-1.4		
	0.48	14	6400 (1.87)	-2.5		
	0.43	46	9500 (1.39)	-2.4		
	0.27		7200 (2.00)	0.6		

0.31 41 7500 (1.27) -0.3 0.21 4200 (1.56) 56 +1.3 <sup>a</sup> Alkali hydrolysis of polymer 30 was carried out using KOH in aqueous MeOH under reflux. The resulting polymer was treated with diazomethane in benzene-ether to yield the polymer 31. <sup>b</sup> Mole fraction of M<sub>1</sub> unit in the polymer 30. <sup>c</sup> Determined by GPC using a polystyrene standard. <sup>d</sup> Measured in CHCl<sub>3</sub> at 23 °C (c 1.0).

new chirality exhibited the optical rotation of minus sign, getting over the optical activity due to the slight residue of the chiral template. Figure 7.4 showed the specific rotation of polymer **31** as a function of the composition. The absolute value of specific rotation increased with an increase in the mole fraction of  $M_1$  units in the polymer. This result indicates that the new chirality should be induced by the  $M_1$  diad and/or triad units rather than the isolated  $M_1$  unit in contrast to the results in the cyclocopolymerization of bis(4-vinylbezoate) having a chiral template with styrene as described in chapter 2.



Figure 7.4. Specific rotations ( $[\alpha]_{435}$ ) of polymer 31 versus mole fraction of M<sub>1</sub> unit in the polymer.

#### 7.2.3. Sequential Analysis of Polymer 31

Polymer **31** is poly[(methyl methacrylate)-*co*-styrene] (poly(MMA-*co*-St)) of which the structural analysis has already been established by <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectral methods.<sup>4-6</sup> Figure 7.5 shows the <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra of the aromatic ipso-carbon and the  $\alpha$ -methyl carbon in polymer **31**. The ipso-carbon region was divided into three peaks, X, Y, and Z, and the  $\alpha$ -methyl carbon region divided into four peaks, A, B, C, and D. Since the assignments of these peaks are slightly different in the three articles,



**Figure 7.5.** <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra of  $\alpha$ -methyl carbon region (15 - 25 ppm) and the aromatic ipso-carbon region (140 - 150 ppm) in polymer **31** with a mole fraction of 0.21 (a), 0.51 (b), and 0.62 (c). The symboles A, B, C, and D and X, Y, and Z denote the divisions of both regions.

Germann's more recent assignment<sup>6</sup> is adopted for the structural analysis of polymer **31**. The peak areas in the two carbons are as follows:

$$S_{\rm A} = (\sigma_{\rm MM})^2 F_{\rm MMM} + \sigma_{\rm MM} \sigma_{\rm SM} F_{\rm MMS} + (\sigma_{\rm SM})^2 F_{\rm SMS}$$
(7.4)

$$S_{\rm B} = \sigma_{\rm MM}(1 - \sigma_{\rm SM})F_{\rm MMS} + 2\sigma_{\rm SM}(1 - \sigma_{\rm SM})F_{\rm SMS} + (1 - \sigma_{\rm SM})^2F_{\rm SMS} \quad (7.5)$$

$$S_{\rm C} = 2\sigma_{\rm MM}(1 - \sigma_{\rm MM})F_{\rm MMM} + (1 - \sigma_{\rm MM})F_{\rm MMS} + (1 - \sigma_{\rm SM})^2F_{\rm SMS}$$
(7.6)

$$S_{\rm D} = (1 - \sigma_{\rm MM})^2 F_{\rm MMM}$$
(7.7)

$$S_{\rm X} = (1 - \sigma_{\rm SM})^2 F_{\rm MSM} \tag{7.8}$$

$$S_{\rm Y} = F_{\rm SSS +} (1 - \sigma_{\rm SM}) F_{\rm SSM} + 2\sigma_{\rm SM} (1 - \sigma_{\rm SM}) F_{\rm MSM}$$
(7.9)

(7.10)

 $S_{Z} = \sigma_{SM}F_{SSM} + (\sigma_{SM})^{2}F_{MSM}$ 

where the symbols, M and S, denote the MMA and the St units, respectively, the set of  $S_A$ ,  $S_B$ ,  $S_C$ , and  $S_D$  and the set of  $S_X$ ,  $S_Y$ , and  $S_Z$  are peak area ratios for  $\alpha$ -methyl and ipso-carbon regions, respectively, and  $\sigma_{MM}$  and  $\sigma_{SM}$  are the probability that two adjacent monomer units have a meso configuration,  $F_{MMM}$ ,  $F_{MMS}$ ,  $F_{MSM}$ , and  $F_{SSM}$  are the

population of the monomer sequence triads. Since the perfect cyclization of **29** is reasonably presumed, the population  $F_{\text{SMS}}$  drops to zero. The equations based on Germann's assignment (eqs 7.4 - 7.6), therefore, are simplified as follows:

$S_{\rm A} = (\sigma_{\rm MM})^2 F_{\rm MMM} + \sigma_{\rm MM} \sigma_{\rm SM} F_{\rm MMS}$	(7.11)
$S_{\rm B} = \sigma_{\rm MM} (1 - \sigma_{\rm SM}) F_{\rm MMS}$	(7.12)
$S_{\rm C} = 2\sigma_{\rm MM}(1 - \sigma_{\rm MM})F_{\rm MMM} + (1 - \sigma_{\rm MM})F_{\rm MMS}$	(7.13)

The fraction of each peak area in Figure 7.5 is summarized in Table 7.4. Although the separation of peaks is unsatisfactory, peaks D and X are preferably used for the analysis. By using eqs. 7.14 and 7.15, the probabilities,  $\sigma_{MM}$  and  $\sigma_{SM}$ , may be estimated.

	α-methyl			ipso-C			
$f_1$	S <sub>A</sub>	S <sub>B</sub>	S <sub>c</sub>	S <sub>D</sub>	S <sub>x</sub>	SY	Sz
0.85	0.155	0.109	0.416	0.320	0.391	0.490	0.118
0.74	0.157	0.104	0.425	0.314	0.359	0.541	0.100
0.62	0.197	0.169	0.419	0.216	0.278	0.562	0.160
0.56	0.242	0.186	0.434	0.139	0.258	0.629	0.114
0.48	0.315	0.282	0.336	0.066	0.198	0.683	0.119
0.43	0.335	0.243	0.354	0.069	0.204	0.667	0.130
0.37	0.317	0.334	0.294	0.056	0.208	0.623	0.170
0.31	0.375	0.310	0.295	0.020	0.114	0.638	0.248
0.21	0.407	0.346	0.243	0.005	0.094	0.856	0.050

Table 7.4. Summary of the ratios of peak areas in <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra of polymer 31.<sup>a</sup>

<sup>*a*</sup> The peak areas are defined as shown in Figure 7.5.

The populations,  $F_{\text{MMM}}$  and  $F_{\text{MSM}}$ , are calculated from the reactivity ratios,  $r'_1 = 0.35$  and  $r'_2 = 0.36$ , in the terminal model. The number-average chain length (N) of polymer **31** is derived from the mole fraction ( $f_1$ ) of the M<sub>1</sub> units and the chain length

# (N') in polymer **30**:

$$N = 2f_1 N' + (1 - f_1) N' = (1 + f_1) N'$$
(7.14)

As the  $M_1$  units in **30** are converted to the MM diad unit in **31**, the diad units  $M_1M_1, M_1M_2$ , and  $M_2M_2$  produce the sequences MMMM, MMS, and SS, respectively.

There is an exact correspondence between the number  $(n'_{12})$  of  $M_1M_2$  diad in 30 and the number  $(n_{MMS})$  of MMS triad in 31:

$$n_{\rm MMS} = (N-2)F_{\rm MMS} = (N'-1)F'_{12} = n'_{12}$$
(7.15)

For the larger values of N and N', the population  $F_{\text{MMS}}$  is then:

$$F_{\rm MMS} = \frac{N'}{N} F'_{12} = \frac{1}{1+f_1} F'_{12}$$
(7.16)

The sequence  $M_1M_2M_1$  quantitatively corresponds to the sequence MSM. Thus,

$$n_{\rm MSM} = (N-2)F_{\rm MMS} = (N'-2)F'_{121} = n'_{121}$$
(7.17)

For the larger values of N and N', the population  $F_{MSM}$  is given as

$$F_{\rm MSM} = \frac{N'}{N} F'_{121} = \frac{1}{1+f_1} F'_{121}$$
(7.18)

In a similar manner one obtains

$$F_{\text{SSM}} = \frac{1}{1+f_1} F'_{221}$$
(7.19)  
$$F_{\text{SSS}} = \frac{1}{1+f_1} F'_{222}$$
(7.20)

The population  $F'_{12}$  of the diad  $M_1M_2$  and populations  $F'_{121}$ ,  $F'_{221}$ , and  $F'_{222}$  of the triads  $M_1M_2M_1$ ,  $M_2M_2M_1$ , and  $M_2M_2M_2$  are given by the probabilities  $P'_{11}$ ,  $P'_{12}$ ,

 $P'_{21}$ , and  $P'_{22}$  forming the diads  $M_1M_1$ ,  $M_1M_2$ ,  $M_2M_1$ , and  $M_2M_2$ , that is,

$$F'_{12} = \frac{2P'_{21}P'_{12}}{P'_{12} + P'_{21}}$$
(7.21)  

$$F'_{121} = \frac{P'_{21}P'_{12}P'_{21}}{P'_{12} + P'_{21}}$$
(7.22)

$$F'_{221} = \frac{2P'_{12}P'_{22}P'_{21}}{P'_{12} + P'_{21}}$$
(7.23)  
$$F'_{222} = \frac{P'_{12}P'_{22}P'_{22}}{P'_{12} + P'_{21}}$$
(7.24)

The probabilities are given by

$$P'_{12} = \frac{[M_2]}{r'_{1}[M_1] + [M_2]} = 1 - P'_{11}$$

$$P'_{21} = \frac{[M_1]}{[M_1] + r'_{2}[M_2]} = 1 - P'_{22}$$
(7.26)

Figure 7.6 shows the triad populations which were calculated by using eqs. 7.14 - 7.26. Figure 7.7 shows the probabilities  $\sigma_{MM}$  and  $\sigma_{SM}$  which were estimated on the basis of the calculated populations  $F_{MMM}$  and  $F_{MSM}$  and the peak areas  $S_D$  and  $S_X$  using eqs. 7.7 and 7.8, respectively. The values of  $\sigma_{MM}$  and  $\sigma_{SM}$  changed with composition in the polymer **31**.



mole fraction of  $M_1$  units in 30 mole fraction of  $M_1$  units in 30

Figure 7.6. Populations of MMA center triads (a) and St center triads (b) calculated by using the reactivity ratios,  $r'_1 = 0.35$  and  $r'_2 = 0.36$ , versus mole fraction of M<sub>1</sub> units in polymer 30.

" Could belt start City paymental & same a loss "in- " Handle Start Barrier "



**Figure 7.7.** Probabilities  $\sigma_{MM}$  (a) and  $\sigma_{SM}$  (b) estimated by using eqs 7.7 and 7.8 versus mole fraction of M<sub>1</sub> units in polymer **30**.

#### 7.2.4. Origin of Chirality in Polymer 31

As shown in Figure 7.7, the  $\sigma_{MM}$  value decreases with an increase in the mole fraction of M<sub>1</sub> unit in polymer **31**. The extrapolated value of  $\sigma_{MM}$  to the M<sub>1</sub> fraction of 1.0 agrees with the  $P_m$  value of 0.29 in PMMA derived from the homopolymerization of **29**, where  $P_m$  is the probability of the formation of the meso diad and is estimated by the expression  $P_m = (m) = (mm) + 0.5 (mr)$ . The tactic triad fractions (mm), (mr), and (rr) in PMMA derived from **29** are shown in Table 7.5 along with the data previously reported for PMMAs from the analogous monomers **32**,<sup>7</sup> **33**,<sup>8</sup> **34**,<sup>9</sup> and **35**<sup>10</sup> (shown in Chart 7.1) during the cyclopolymerization. The polymerizations of **32**, **33**, and **34** are indicated to follow Bernoulli behavior, but those of **29** and **35** are

**Table 7.5.** Stereochemistry of poly(methyl methacrylate)s derived from radical cyclopolymerizations of **29** and the analog monomers.

$\mathbf{M}_1$	(m m)	( <i>mr</i> )	( <i>r</i> )	$P_{\rm m}$	Remarks
29	0.20	0.18	0.62	0.29	
32	0.12	0.49	0.39	0.37	T. Kakuchi <sup>b</sup>
33	0.14	0.51	0.36	0.39	T. Nakano <sup>c</sup>
34	0.20	0.52	0.28	0.46	H. Gueniffey <sup>d</sup>
35	0.84	0.10	0.06	0.89	T. Nakano <sup>e</sup>





like the first-order Markov model. It is characteristic of PMMA derived from **29** that the fraction of tactic triad *rr* is significantly high in contrast to the extremely high fraction of tactic triad *mm* in PMMA from **35**.

The probability  $\sigma_{MM}$  is composed of two distinctive probabilities  $\sigma'_{MM}$  and  $\sigma''_{MM}$ , which mean the probabilities of meso addition in the intramolecular cyclization of **29** to form M<sub>1</sub> unit and in the intermolecular addition to form M<sub>1</sub>M<sub>1</sub> diad, respectively. The contribution of the  $\sigma''_{MM}$  against observable  $\sigma_{MM}$  increases with an increase of the mole fraction of M<sub>1</sub> unit and will be asymptotic to 0.5 in PMMA. The decrease in  $\sigma_{MM}$  with an increase of M<sub>1</sub> unit in **31**, therefore, implies  $\sigma'_{MM} > \sigma''_{MM}$ . The probability of the formation of the racemo MM diad is higher in the intermolecular reaction than in the intramolecular cyclization.

As described in chapter 2, the specific rotation of the template-free polymer 3 derived form bis(4-vinylbenzoate) with styrene increased with an increase in the weight

fraction of the isolated  $M_1$  unit (i.e., isolated benzoate diad). Therefore, the chirality induction is caused to the formation of the enantiomeric racemo diad in the intramolecular cyclization. On the contrary, the specific rotation of polymer **31** increased with an increased of the  $M_1$  unit in the polymer, but nevertheless, PMMA derived from **29** was optically inactive. Therefore, the comonomeric unit is required to convert the racemo sequence to a chiral chain. The number-average sequence

length  $(n'_1)$  of the M<sub>1</sub> unit can be calculated from the monomer reactivity ratios,  $r'_1 = 0.35$  and  $r'_2 = 0.36$ , by the eq 7.27.<sup>11</sup>

$$n'_{1} = 1 + r'_{1} \frac{[M_{1}]}{[M_{2}]}$$
(7.27)

The  $n_1$  value increases from 1.04 for the  $M_1$  mole fraction of 0.1 to 1.82 and 2.40 for those of 0.7 and 0.8, respectively. Consequently, the chirality in polymer **31** should originate from the isolated M tetrad sequence due to the  $M_1M_1$  diad unit. Since the probability of the formation of the racemo diad in the intermolecular reaction is higher than that in the intramolecular cyclization, the decisive process for the chirality induction is attributable to the formation of the enantiomeric racemo diad in the intermolecular reaction in the intermolecular reaction.

## 7.3 Conclusions

Optically active poly[(methyl methacrylate)-*co*-styerne] was synthesized through the cyclocopolymerization of 1,2:5,6-di-*O*-isopropylidene-3,4-di-*O*-methacryloyl-Dmannitol (**29**,  $M_1$ ) with styrene. The specific rotation of template-free polymer **31**, i.e., poly(MMA-*co*-St), increased with an increase of the  $M_1$  unit in the polymer in contrast to the cyclocopolymerization of bis(4-vinylbenzoate) with styrene. In addition, the intermolecular reaction to form  $M_1M_1$  sequence showed the higher probability of the formation of the racemo MM diad than that in the intramolecular cyclization. Consequently, the origin of chirality was assigned to the chiral tetrad MMA sequence separated by comonomer units.

Cyclocopalytes in the process of an encounter of the second s

Palit på opni 1050 NG vangelog dit 3100 (kasrepre på galalge soder en fydrader) ett. Asgeså som samslag orif ("lag ("leg ("legendates 1623) allem diterer fille som ("legen 1564) soder beska junkte villendaret vil anverdeptendat och i fatsechere sode "l E val sigler velent

# 7.4 Experimental Section

**Measurements.** <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra were recorded using JEOL JNM-EX270 and JNM-A400II instruments. The molecular weight of the resulting polymers was measured by gel permeation chromatography (GPC) in tetrahydrofuran on a Jasco Intelligent HPLC system (880-PU pump and 830-RI detector) equipped with three polystyrene columns (Shodex KF-804L). The number-average molecular weight  $(M_n)$  was calculated on the basis of a polystyrene calibration. Optical rotations were measured with a Jasco DIP-140 digital polarimeter.

**Materials.** Toluene was refluxed over sodium benzophenone ketyl and distilled just before use. 2,2'-Azobis(2-methylpropionitrile) (AIBN) was recrystallized from methanol.

1,2:5,6-Di-O-isopropylidene-3,4-di-O-methacryloyl-D-mannitol (29). 1,2:5,6-Di-O-isopropylidene-D-mannitol (13.1 g, 50 mmol) was dissolved in anhydrous pyridine (130 mL) and then methacryloyl chloride (12.5 g, 120 mmol) was added with ice-cooling. The mixture was heated at 70 °C for 7 hrs and then allowed to stand overnight at room temperature. The entire mixture was poured into water and then extracted with ether. After removal of the solvent, the resulting oil was purified by column chromatography on silica gel with hexane/diethyl ether (vol. ratio 7:3) to yield a colorless crystal. The product had a m.p. of 53 °C after recrystallization with hexane. Yield 5.1g (12.8 mmol, 26 %).  $[\alpha]_{435} = +79.7^{\circ}, [\alpha]_{D} = +38.8^{\circ} (CHCl_{3}, 24)$ °C, c 1.0); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (270 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  (ppm) = 6.15 (s, 2H, =CH<sub>2</sub>), 5.64 (s, 2H, =CH<sub>2</sub>), 5.43 (dd,  ${}^{3}J$  = 2.5 Hz,  ${}^{3}J$  = 7.8 Hz, 2H, OCH), 4.27-4.21 (m, 2H, CH), 3.97-3.86 (m, 4H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 1.96 (s, 6H, α-CH<sub>3</sub>), 1.35 (s, 6H, CH<sub>3</sub>), and 1.31 ppm (s, 6H, CH<sub>3</sub>). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (67.8 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  (ppm) = 166.0 (C=O), 135.6 (=C-), 126.6 (=CH<sub>2</sub>), 109.3 (C), 74.7 (CH), 71.6 (CH), 65.5 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 26.3 (CH<sub>3</sub>), 25.1 (CH<sub>3</sub>), 18.2  $(\alpha$ -CH<sub>3</sub>). IR (KBr): v (cm<sup>-1</sup>) = 1760 (C=O st), 1636 (C=C st). Anal. Calcd for C<sub>20</sub>H<sub>30</sub>O<sub>8</sub> (398.5): C 60.29; H 7.59. Found: C 59.99; H 7.56.

**Cyclocopolymerization.** The polymerization was conducted at 60 °C using toluene (45 mL) and AIBN (46 mg). The total concentration of both monomers was  $0.2 \text{ mol}\cdot\text{L}^{-1}$  in each of the copolymerizations.

**Poly**[(**methyl methacrylate**)-*co*-styrene] (31). To polymer 30 (300 mg) in THF (3 mL) was added 25% methanolic KOH solution (15 mL). The mixture was heated under reflux for 5 h, then converted to be homogeneous by gradually adding water,

and boiled for ca. 100 h. After neutralization with hydrochloric acid, the solution was dialyzed using a cellophane tube, and later concentrated by freeze-drying. To a mixture of an ether solution (60 mL) containing diazomethane (ca. 30 mmol) and benzene (60 mL) was added the finely divided hydrolyzed polymer (ca. 100 mg: the amount of specimen used corresponded to 1.0 mmol of the C=O group). The polymer was dissolved with evolution of nitrogen gas. The entire mixture was set aside for 14 h and then the all solvent was removed. The residue was purified by reprecipitation with chloroform-methanol and dried *in vacuo*.

- Kenti Y. Andri, I.; Istalinika Y. Istephen Kegula Reset 1975, 301. Uten Abiti. 1975, 84, 2006.
- (d) Andrem, A. M.; de Fluon, J. W.; Occaren, A. L. Maconomizentes Mart, 26, 1953.
- (7) Kalanda T.; Kama, H. Katth, A.; Man. O.; Yeluta, K. Maanan hanks 1991 av. 8143
- and Nickman, T.; Smark, D. Y. J. dort (New Sort 1998), 117, 134
- (9) Gushiffey, R.; Khanneter, N.; Yunamb, C. Malowreat Chem 1970, J
- (11) Newsyor, T., Ottomoto, Y., Sogah, D. Y.: Wong, S. Mann-to-Isinder 1998, 74, 6708.
- (1) proc. K.: Yaumshillin, Y. J. Prillips Rev. Pairs 4: Pathon Science 1468, 3.211.



## 7.5 References

- (1) Roovers, J.; Smets, G. Makromol. Chem. 1963, 60, 89.
- (2) Aso, C. J. Polym. Sci. 1959, 39, 475.
- (3) Aso, C. Mem. Fac. Eng. Kyushu Univ. **1963**, 22, 119, Chem. Abstr. **1963**, 59, 4045f.
- (4) Katritzky, R.; Smith, A.; Weiss, D. E. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 2 1974, 1547.
- (5) Kato, Y.; Ando, I.; Nishioka, A. Nippon Kagaku Kaishi 1975, 501; Chem. Abstr. 1975, 83, 28706.
- (6) Aerdtsm, A. M.; de Haan, J. W.; German, A. L. Macromolecules 1993, 26, 1965.
- (7) Kakuchi, T.; Kawai, H.; Katoh, A.; Haba, O.; Yokota, K. *Macromolecules* 1992, 25, 5545.
- (8) Nakano, T.; Sogah, D. Y. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1995, 117, 534.
- (9) Guéniffey, H.; Kämmerer, H.; Pinazzi, C. Makromol. Chem. 1973, 165, 73.
- (10) Nakano, T.; Okamoto, Y.; Sogah, D. Y.; Zheng, S. *Macromolecules* 1995, 28, 8705.
- (11) Ito, K.; Yamashita, Y. J. Polym. Sci., Part A: Polym. Chem. 1965, 3, 2165.



The effect of the area between over the entropy of the trouble of the new of the trouble of the new of the trouble of the trou

Chapter 8 Conclusions

was defined by the chiral will of two 4-vinstitences by more

In order to unitate the sterenoisembed distribution of the cyclic units which cyclication of history symplectory was storied containing allyin a structure transfermingent. The atercostection between of 21- and (9-2)-configuration for ryulization with entitely agreed with the results of the spelecopairmonorphic. The scopal-structure place interpretation is additioned by 21/24 reduced on the scopal-structure place interpretation is additioned by 21/24 reduced on the scopal-structure place interpretation additioned by 21/24 reduced on the scopal-structure place interpretation of the spelecopairmonorphic field of depended on the place place interpretation of the spelecopairmonorphic of the scoped-structure place interpretation of the field reduced on the spelecopairmonorphic of the state of the place of the place of the state of

In order to einciciple the chirable fieldstime mechanism, the temperature molecular orbital catalogican (MOMAGO, MA) mechanism was optical to the doubling moder of calical cyclopetical of (25,23) of A future left) of 25,253-2,4 protocolity, and (23,553-2,5 protocolity) bits 4 wire fitter control of a 1b, and 1c, respectively). The search the minimum energy conformations was carried out using MM2 calculations. The results indicated that its and 1b practically link cay i one anticated in the

The effect of distance between two chiral centers of the template was examined by use of (2S,3S)-2,3-butanediol, (2S,4S)-2,4-pentanediol, and (2S,5S)-2,5-hexanediol as chiral templates. The cyclocopolymerization of (2S,3S)-2,3-butanediyl, (2S,4S)-2,4-pentanediyl, and (2S,5S)-2,5-hexanediyl bis(4-vinylbenzoate)s (**1a**, **1b**, and **1c**, respectively) with styrene homogeneously proceeded. The resulting polymer was converted into poly[(methyl 4-vinylbenzoate)-*co*-styrene] (**3**) by alkali hydrolysis and methyl esterification. Quantitative removal of chiral template from polymer **3** was confirmed by <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectroscopy. Polymers **3a-c** showed an optical activity and their CD spectra indicated that the segmental distribution of high content of (*R*,*R*)-racemo benzoate diads is favored in the polymer. Since the specific rotation of polymers **3a-c** increased with an increase in content of the isolated benzoate diad, the origin of chirality was attributable to the isolated benzoate diad. The chirality induction efficiency was improved in order of **a** < **c** < **b**, namely, 1,2-diol < 1,4-diol < 1,3-diol.

The radical cyclization of bis(4-vinylbenzoate) using tri-*n*-butyltin hydride was carried out to estimate the stereoselectivity in the intramolecular cyclization. The stereoselection in the radical cyclization was fairly agreed with that in the cyclocopolymerization. For 1,2-diol templates, the extent of stereoselectivity was increased with an increase in the A value of split Cotton effect in CD spectra of monomers. This result means that the chirality induction in intramolecular cyclization was driven by the chiral twist of two 4-vinylbenzoyl groups.

In order to estimate the stereochemical distribution of the cyclic units, radical cyclization of bis(4-vinylbenzoate) was carried out using allyltri-*n*-butyltin as a chain transfer reagent. The stereoslection between (R,R)- and (S,S)-configuration in the cyclization was entirely agreed with the results of the cyclocopolymerization. The second stereoselectivity in the intermolecular addition of cyclized radical significantly depended on the absolute configuration of the first chiral center.

In order to elucidate the chirality induction mechanism, the semiempirical molecular orbital calculation (MOPAC6-AM1 method) was applied to the simplified model of radical cyclizations of (2S,3S)-2,3-butanediyl, (2S,4S)-2,4-pentanediyl, and (2S,5S)-2,5-hexanediyl bis(4-vinylbenzoate)s (**1a**, **1b**, and **1c**, respectively). The search for minimum energy conformations was carried out using MM2 calculation. The results indicated that **1a** and **1b** practically took onyl one conformer but **1c** 

distributed among three conformers because of flexibility. The MOPAC6-AM1 calculation was carried out in consideration of direction in radical addition for dissymmetric conformation as well as conformational distribution. The stereoselectivity in the intramolecular cyclization could be explained by the heat of formation on the transition states and consideration about the allowed angle of radical addition to carbon-carbon double bond. The chiral twist of two 4-vinylbenzoyl groups selects the prochiral face of vinyl groups to meet the allowed angle of radical addition.

On the other hand, The stereoselectivity in the intermolecular cyclization of the cyclized radical could be explained by the heat of formation of the cyclized radical and equilibrium on the conformational interconversion. The stability of the cyclized radical significantly depended on the configuration of the first chiral center. This dependecy could be explained by considering the stereoelectoronic effect of cyclized radical and the steric effect of the penultimate group. The stereoelectronic effect of cyclized with the carbonyl groups because of conjugation. Hence, the chiral orientation of two carbonyl groups has an influence on the stability of the cyclized radical.

Chiral template biases two conformational elements, i.e., chiral twist of two 4vinylbenzoyl groups and chiral orientation of two carbonyl groups. According to abovementioned mechanism, the former and latter conformational elements play an important role on the stereoselction in the intra- and intermolecular addition, respectively.

The chirality induction mechanism established on the basis of the computational results included the conformational interconversion of the cyclized radical. The rate of the interconversion is independent of total monomer concentration, whereas propagation is proportional to total monomer concentration. Then, the effect of total monomer concentration on chirality induction were examined to clarify whether conformational interconversion functions or not. The  $DP_n$  of template-free polymer

has no influence on the chiroptical property within the  $DP_n$ ' ranging from 32 to 21. In this  $DP_n$ ' range, the specific rotation of the template-free polymer increased with a decrease in total monomer concentration. This effect could be explained on the basis of the chirality induction mechanism.

The computational study on the chirality induction indicated that chiral template controls two conformational element, i.e., chiral twist of two 4-vinylbenzoyl groups and chiral orientation of two carbonyl groups. In order to test the conformational effect, cyclocopolymerization of methyl, ethyl, and isopropyl 2,3-bis-*O*-(4-vinylbenzoyl)-L-tartarate (**1g**, **1h**, and **1i**, respectively) with styrene were examined. After removal of the template, the template-free polymer **3g-i** shows an extremely low specific rotation. The template-free polymer **3f**, which synthesized through the cyclocopolymerization of (2*S*,3*S*)-1,4-dimethoxy-2,3-butanediyl bis(4-vinylbenzoate) (**1f**, i.e., the decarbonyl analog of **1g**) with styrene, shows the considerably higher specific rotation than polymer **3g-i**. From the CD spectral analysis, two 4-vinylbenzoyl groups twisted counterclockwise for **1g-i** and clockwise for **1f**, whereas **1g-i** and **1f** have same configuration on the chiral center. Hence, the stable conformations of **1g-i** are different from that of **1f** and separate thier two 4-vinylbenzoyl groups. This leads to disorder the control of the carbonyl orientation in the cyclization, resulting in deterioration of the chirality induction efficiency.

In order to explore other approaches for characterization of the optically active polymer due to main chain chirality, the cyclocopolymerization of 1,2:5,6-Di-*O*-isopropylidene-3,4-di-*O*-methacryloyl-D-mannitol ( $M_1$ , **29**) with styrene ( $M_2$ ) were examined. The resulting polymer **30** was converted to poly[(methyl methacrylate)*co*-styrene] (**31**) by hydrolysis and methyl esterification. Although polymer **31** showed an optical activity, the specific rotation of polymer **31** increased with increase in mole fraction of  $M_1$  unit in polymer **31** in contrast to polymer obtained from cyclocopolymerization of bis(4-vinylbenzoate) with styrene. From the <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectral analysis, the probability of racemo addition in the intermolecular reaction was higher than that in the intramolecular cyclization in this polymerization system. Therefore, the optical activity of polymer **31** was attributable to the chiral tetrad sequence rather than chiral diad sequence.





> Ko	m 1
- d	d -
N	
Gray 3	Cold Yan
4 Sc	
5 ale	
တ	ro
2	Yellow
œ	che
0	25 Re
10	
=	Mag
12	jenta
13	
4	1   6 4  15 4 Nhite
ភ	
Ø	1 1 1 16 1 3/Colu
Kodak, 2 17	17 11 7 11 7 11 11 00

