On Performance of 3D Infinite Elements for High-Frequency Electromagnetic Fields
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The infinite elements for edge based finite element methods (FEM) have been shown effective for open boundary problems. In the infinite elements, electromagnetic fields are expressed in terms of radially decaying basis functions. On the other hand, the perfect matched layer has widely been used for FEM for high-frequency problems. In this paper, numerical performance of both methods is comparably discussed. The numerical experiments show that the former has higher computational efficiency.
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I. INTRODUCTION

HIGH-FREQUENCY ELECTROMAGNETIC FIELD COMPUTATIONS using the finite-difference time-domain (FDTD) method, finite element method (FEM) and method of moment (MoM) have widely been performed [1], [2]. The MoM has an advantage to deal with the infinite region without introducing artificial open boundaries. However we must solve equations including a dense matrix for MoM analysis. The FDTD method can effectively solve large scale problems because it is an explicit method. If analysis model includes curved surfaces or structures whose scale is much smaller than the whole scale, the FDTD method needs a great number of unknowns because it employs cuboid cells.

FEM can effectively analyze electromagnetic fields in complicated geometries because it can employ the tetrahedron or hexahedron elements. To solve high-frequency electromagnetic field problems using FEM, the infinite elements [3-6] and perfectly matched layer (PML) [7], [8] have been employed to treat open boundaries. The infinite element has been shown effective for static, quasi-static [9] and high-frequency electromagnetic field analysis [10], [11]. The infinite elements have been discussed for sound and electromagnetic waves in [4] and [5], respectively. Although the formulation in [5] is mathematically rigorous, the resultant finite element (FE) matrix is asymmetric. Although the formulation in [4] is valid only for spherical domains, the FE matrix is symmetric. In this study, we consider the symmetric formulations of the infinite elements presented in [4], which has been extended to electromagnetic waves in [6]. In the infinite elements, electromagnetic fields are expressed in terms of radially decaying basis functions. It has been shown that the infinite element method results in ill-conditioned FE matrices. This problem must be overcome to apply the infinite elements to large scale problems. Moreover the performance of the infinite elements for high-frequency problems has not been compared with that of PML.

In this study, the matrix conditioning for the infinite elements applied to high-frequency problems is improved by orthogonalization of the basis functions, which has been shown effective for static fields [9]. The numerical performance of the 3D infinite elements and PML is comparatively discussed.

This paper will be organized as follows: in Section II, FEM using the infinite element will be formulated. In section III, numerical results will be presented which show effectiveness of the infinite element.

II. FORMULATION

A. Governing Equation

The weak form for high-frequency electromagnetic fields is given by

\[ \int_{\Omega} \left[ (i \nabla \times A) \cdot (\nabla \times W) - \omega^2 \varepsilon \mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{W} \right] d\mathbf{v} + \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{W} \times \mathbf{H} \cdot d\mathbf{s} = \int_{\partial \Omega} \mathbf{J} \cdot d\mathbf{w}, \]

where \( \mathbf{A}, \mathbf{W}, \mathbf{H} \) and \( \mathbf{J} \) denote the vector potential, weighting vector magnetic field and current density, respectively. Moreover, \( \nu, \omega \) and \( \varepsilon \) denote the inverse of permeability, driving frequency and complex permittivity. In this study, (1) is solved by FEM in which the finite domain is described with hexahedral elements while open boundaries are treated by the infinite elements.

B. Formulation of Infinite Element [6]

Figure 1 illustrates the infinite element in which unknowns are assigned to the eight edges. The infinite element is formed by linearly extending the outermost boundary of the finite region from the reference point \( X_0(x_0, y_0, z_0) \) to the infinite point. The position vector \( \mathbf{x} \) in the infinite element can be expressed as

\[ \mathbf{x} = X_0 + r \left( \sum_{i=1}^{6} \omega_i(r, s) \mathbf{x}_i - X_0 \right) \]

where \( \mathbf{x}_i \) is the position vector of the \( i \)-th node on the outermost boundary and \( \omega_i(r, s) \) is the interpolation function whose explicit forms are summarized in Table I. The
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contravariant and covariant basis vector of the infinite element are given by

\[
e_i = \frac{\partial x}{\partial r} = \frac{\partial x_i}{} \quad \text{by}
\]

\[
e_j = \frac{\partial x}{\partial s} = \frac{\partial x_j}{t} \quad \text{by}
\]

\[
e_1 = \frac{\partial x_1}{r} \quad \text{by}
\]

\[
e_2 = \frac{\partial x_2}{s} \quad \text{by}
\]

\[
e_3 = \frac{\partial x_3}{t} \quad \text{by}
\]

\[
e_4 = \frac{\partial x_4}{s} \quad \text{by}
\]

where, \( \sqrt{g} \) is the Jacobian and the suffix “1” denotes the values on the quadrilateral \( \{ 1, 2, 3, 4 \} \) where \( t = 1 \). The metric tensors are defined by \( g_{ij} = e_i \cdot e_j \) and \( g^{ij} = e^i \cdot e^j \). It is assumed that the outermost boundary is a sphere whose center is at \( X_0 \) so that the coordinates are orthogonal, that is,

\[
g_{ij} = e_i \cdot e_j = 0, \quad i \neq j
\]

(4)

The vector potential \( A \) in the infinite element is approximated using the vector interpolation function \( N^e_n \) as follows:

\[
A = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{8} a^e_j N^e_n
\]

(5)

**TABLE I**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>node number ( i )</th>
<th>( a^e_j(r, s) )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>((1+r)(1+s)/4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>((1+r)(1+s)/4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>((1+r)(1+s)/4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>((1+r)(1+s)/4)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Fig. 1** Local coordinate of infinite element.

where \( N \) is the expansion order of infinite element. The vector interpolation function \( N^e_n \) is given by

\[
N^e_n = \tau_n (r) e^{-j \sqrt{g} \theta (r)} \left[ f_\omega (r, s)e^1_\omega + g_\omega (r, s)e^2_\omega \right] (1 \leq e \leq 4)
\]

\[
N^e_n = \tau_n (r) e^{-j \sqrt{g} \theta (r)} \left[ f_\omega (r, s)e^1_\omega + g_\omega (r, s)e^2_\omega \right] (1 \leq e \leq 4)
\]

\[
N^e_n = \phi_n (r) e^{-j \sqrt{g} \theta (r)} \left[ f_\omega (r, s)e^1_\omega + g_\omega (r, s)e^2_\omega \right] (1 \leq e \leq 4)
\]

(6)

(7)

where \( k \) is the wavenumber and \( g_{\omega} = e_i \cdot e_j \). Equations (6) and (7) show in-plane and out-of-plane components of \( N^e_n \). The explicit forms of \( f_\omega (r, s) \), \( g_\omega (r, s) \) and \( a^e_j(r, s) \) are shown in Table II. The functions \( \tau_n \) and \( \phi_n \) are defined by

\[
\tau_n = \frac{1}{t^{n+2}}, \quad \phi_n = \frac{1}{t^{n+2}} \quad (n = 1, 2, \ldots N)
\]

(8)

In this study, \( \tau_0 \) and \( \phi_0 \) are determined to approximate the far field radiation pattern of the high-frequency electromagnetic waves. The rotation of \( N^e_n \) is given by

\[
\nabla \times N^e_n = \frac{1}{t} \gamma_n e^{-j \sqrt{g} \theta (r)} \left[ f_\omega (r, s)e^1_\omega + g_\omega (r, s)e^2_\omega \right] (1 \leq e \leq 4)
\]

\[
\nabla \times N^e_n = \frac{1}{t} \gamma_n e^{-j \sqrt{g} \theta (r)} \left[ f_\omega (r, s)e^1_\omega + g_\omega (r, s)e^2_\omega \right] (1 \leq e \leq 4)
\]

(9)

(10)

where

\[
v_\omega (r, s) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{g_1}} \left[ f_\omega (r, s)e^1_\omega + g_\omega (r, s)e^2_\omega \right],
\]

\[
u_\omega (r, s) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{g_1}} \left[ f_\omega (r, s)e^1_\omega + g_\omega (r, s)e^2_\omega \right],
\]

\[
u_\omega (r, s) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{g_1}} \left[ f_\omega (r, s)e^1_\omega + g_\omega (r, s)e^2_\omega \right],
\]

\[
u_\omega (r, s) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{g_1}} \left[ f_\omega (r, s)e^1_\omega + g_\omega (r, s)e^2_\omega \right],
\]

\[
u_\omega (r, s) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{g_1}} \left[ f_\omega (r, s)e^1_\omega + g_\omega (r, s)e^2_\omega \right],
\]

(11-a)

(11-b)

(11-c)

(11-d)

When the weighting function \( W \) in (1) is assumed to be the interpolation function \( N^e_n \), the local FE matrix corresponding

**TABLE II**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>edge number ( e )</th>
<th>( f_\omega (r, s) )</th>
<th>( g_\omega (r, s) )</th>
<th>( a^e_j(r, s) )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>((1+r)(1+s)/4)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>((1+r)(1+s)/4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>((1+r)(1+s)/4)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>((1+r)(1+s)/4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>((1+r)(1+s)/4)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>((1+r)(1+s)/4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>((1+r)(1+s)/4)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>((1+r)(1+s)/4)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
to the first term of L.H.S in (1) is given by

$$K_{e',e}^{m,n} = \left( u_{e',e} \right) \int_1^\infty \left[ \int_1^\infty \frac{r_m r_n}{t^2} e^{-2k \sqrt{\mathbf{g} \cdot \mathbf{r}_l}} dt \right] e^{-j2k \sqrt{\mathbf{g} \cdot \mathbf{r}_l}} dr, \quad (12-a)$$

$$K_{e',e}^{m,n} = \left( v_{e',e} \right) \int_1^\infty \left[ \int_1^\infty \frac{r_m r_n}{t^2} \gamma_m \gamma_n e^{-j2k \sqrt{\mathbf{g} \cdot \mathbf{r}_l}} dt \right] e^{-j2k \sqrt{\mathbf{g} \cdot \mathbf{r}_l}} dr, \quad (12-b)$$

$$K_{e',e}^{m,n} = \left( w_{e',e} \right) \int_1^\infty \left[ \int_1^\infty \frac{r_m r_n}{t^2} \phi_m \phi_n e^{-j2k \sqrt{\mathbf{g} \cdot \mathbf{r}_l}} dt \right] e^{-j2k \sqrt{\mathbf{g} \cdot \mathbf{r}_l}} dr, \quad (12-c)$$

Equations (12-a), (12-b) and (12-c) include the inner products of two in-plane, in-plane and out-of-plane, and two out-of-plane components. In (12), the inner products among \( u_e, v_e \) and \( w_e \) vanish due to the orthogonality (4) in the basis vectors. The local FE matrix corresponding to the second term of L.H.S in (1) is given by

$$M_{e',e}^{m,n} = \left( U_{e',e} \right) \int_1^\infty \tau_m r_n e^{-j2k \sqrt{\mathbf{g} \cdot \mathbf{r}_l}} dt, \quad (13-a)$$

$$M_{e',e}^{m,n} = \left( U_{e',e} \right) \int_1^\infty \tau_m \gamma_n e^{-j2k \sqrt{\mathbf{g} \cdot \mathbf{r}_l}} dt, \quad (13-b)$$

$$M_{e',e}^{m,n} = \left( U_{e',e} \right) \int_1^\infty \tau_m \phi_n e^{-j2k \sqrt{\mathbf{g} \cdot \mathbf{r}_l}} dt. \quad (13-c)$$

It is assumed that

$$\alpha_i = \int_1^\infty e^{-j2k \sqrt{\mathbf{g} \cdot \mathbf{r}_l}} dt = e^{-j2k \sqrt{\mathbf{g} \cdot \mathbf{r}_l}} \mathbf{E}_i \left( \mathbf{g} \cdot \mathbf{r}_l \right), \quad (14-a)$$

$$\alpha_i = \int_1^\infty e^{-j2k \sqrt{\mathbf{g} \cdot \mathbf{r}_l}} \tau t^{i-1} dt = \frac{1}{l-1} \left( -1 + 2jk \sqrt{\mathbf{g} \cdot \mathbf{r}_l} \alpha_{i-1}, \quad (14-b)$$

where \( \mathbf{E}_i \) is the exponential integral [12] and \( \alpha_0 \) is the divergent integral. It can be found that the divergent integrals in (12-a) and (13-a) cancel out with the boundary term in (1).

C. Orthogonalization

In this study, we orthogonalize the first term in (11-a) in order to improve the matrix conditioning. For simplicity, we express the integral in (12-a) as

$$g_{n,m} = \int_1^\infty \tau_n r_m e^{-j2k \sqrt{\mathbf{g} \cdot \mathbf{r}_l}} dt \quad (n, m = 0,1,2,\cdots N). \quad (15)$$

The gram matrix is given by

$$G = \begin{bmatrix} g_{0,0} & \cdots & g_{0,N} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ g_{N,0} & \cdots & g_{N,N} \end{bmatrix}. \quad (16)$$

The eigenvectors of \( G \) are arranged as column vectors in the matrix \( W \). The gram matrix \( G \) is now orthogonalized as follows:

$$\hat{G} = W'GW. \quad (17)$$

III. NUMERICAL RESULTS

A. Loop Antenna

The electromagnetic fields around the rectangular loop antenna, 1 m per side, are computed by FEM using the infinite element and PML. The computational domain is a sphere whose radius is 2 m. The amplitude and frequency of driving current are 1 AT and 75 MHz, respectively. The thickness and conductivity of PML layer are set to 1 m and 1.79 mS/m. Table III summarizes the iteration number of the ICCG method which solves the FE equation, errors in the magnetic field and CPU time of FEM using the infinite element and PML. The error is defined as follow:

$$\text{error} = \sqrt{\sum_i \left( B_i - B_{\text{ai}} \right)^2 / B_{\text{ai}}}. \quad (18)$$

where \( B_i \) and \( B_{\text{ai}} \) are magnetic flux densities obtained by the FEM and analytical solution in \( i \)-th element. It is observed that the magnetic fields obtained by the present method and PML are in good agreement with the analytical solution. When the order of series expansion of the infinite element increases, the error decreases and iteration number increases. The results in Table III lead to the conclusion that the infinite element has higher computational efficiency in comparison with PML. Fig. 2 shows the residual histories of the ICCG method. It can be seen that the infinite element with \( n=2 \) has poor convergence if the orthogonalization is not carried out.

B. Half-wave Dipole Antenna

The electromagnetic fields around the half-wave dipole antenna are computed by FEM using the infinite element and PML. The parameters of the FEM are the same as those used in subsection 3. A. The electric field distributions obtained by FEM with the infinite element and PML are shown in Fig. 3. Both distributions seem almost identical. Table IV summarizes the iteration number of the ICCG method which solves the FE equation and CPU time of FEM using the infinite element and PML. The results in Table III lead to the conclusion that the infinite element has higher computational efficiency in comparison with PML.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TABLE III</th>
<th>PERFORMANCE OF INFINITE ELEMENT AND PML</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>iteration number</td>
<td>error (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IE(n=2)</td>
<td>1160</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IE(n=3)</td>
<td>1389</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PML</td>
<td>2986</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TABLE IV</th>
<th>PERFORMANCE OF INFINITE ELEMENT AND PML</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>iteration number</td>
<td>CPU time</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IE(n=2)</td>
<td>2136</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IE(n=3)</td>
<td>2244</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PML</td>
<td>4646</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The electromagnetic field around a brick-shaped magnetic material of \( \mu_r = 10 \), placed near the loop antenna mentioned in III A, is computed using the present method. The driving frequency is set to 100 MHz. The FEM parameters are the same as those used in III A. The resultant magnetic field distribution is shown in Fig. 4, which is found to be almost identical with that obtained by the FEM with PML.

**IV. Conclusion**

In this work, effectiveness of the infinite elements applied to wave problems has been discussed. The electromagnetic fields around the loop and half-wave dipole antennas are computed by FEM using the infinite element and PML. The results using the infinite element have good computational efficiency in comparison with PML. One of the drawbacks in the present method is that the domain boundary must be spherical. If the domain is not spherical, the formulation includes divergent integrals. In future work, we would resolve this problem.
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