



Title	Source identification of nitrous oxide on autotrophic partial nitrification in a granular sludge reactor
Author(s)	Rathnayake, R.M.L.D; Song, Y.; Tumendelger, A.; Oshiki, M.; Ishii, S.; Satoh, Hisashi; Toyoda, S.; Yoshida, N.; Okabe, S.
Citation	Water Research, 47(19), 7078-7086 <a href="https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2013.07.055">https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2013.07.055</a>
Issue Date	2013-12
Doc URL	<a href="http://hdl.handle.net/2115/53659">http://hdl.handle.net/2115/53659</a>
Type	article (author version)
File Information	WR.47.19.pdf



[Instructions for use](#)

For submission to Water Research as a **Research Paper**

**Source identification of nitrous oxide on autotrophic partial nitrification in a granular sludge reactor**

R.M.L.D. Rathnayake<sup>a</sup>, Y.-J. Song<sup>a</sup>, A. Tumendelger<sup>b</sup>, M. Oshiki<sup>a</sup>, S. Ishii<sup>a</sup>, H. Satoh<sup>a</sup>, S. Toyoda<sup>b</sup>, N. Yoshida<sup>b</sup>, and S. Okabe<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Division of Environmental Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, Hokkaido University, North-13, West-8, Sapporo 060-8628, Japan

<sup>b</sup> Department of Environmental Science and Technology, Tokyo Institute of Technology, G1-17, Natatsuda 4259, Midori-ku, Yokohama 226-8502, Japan

E-mail:

R.M.L.D. Rathnayake; englashi@gmail.com

Y.-J. Song; yan\_jun\_song@hotmail.com

A. Tumendelger; tumendelger.a.ab@m.titech.ac.jp

M. Oshiki; oshiki@eng.hokudai.ac.jp

S. Ishii; s.ishii@eng.hokudai.ac.jp

H. Satoh; qsatoh@eng.hokudai.ac.jp

S. Toyoda; toyoda.s.aa@m.titech.ac.jp

N. Yoshida; yoshida.n.aa@m.titech.ac.jp

S. Okabe; sokabe@eng.hokudai.ac.jp

\*Corresponding author.

Satoshi Okabe, Division of Environmental Engineering, Faculty of Engineering,

Hokkaido University, North-13, West-8, Sapporo 060-8628, Japan. Tel:

+81-(0)11-706-6266 Fax: +81-(0)11-706-6266 E-mail: sokabe@eng.hokudai.ac.jp

## **ABSTRACT**

Emission of nitrous oxide ( $\text{N}_2\text{O}$ ) during biological wastewater treatment is of growing concern since  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  is a major stratospheric ozone-depleting substance and an important greenhouse gas. The emission of  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  from a lab-scale granular sequencing batch reactor (SBR) for partial nitrification (PN) treating synthetic wastewater without organic carbon was therefore determined in this study, because PN process is known to produce more  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  than conventional nitrification processes. The average  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  emission rate from the SBR was  $0.32 \pm 0.17 \text{ mg-N L}^{-1} \text{ h}^{-1}$ , corresponding to the average emission of  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  of  $0.8 \pm 0.4\%$  of the incoming nitrogen load ( $1.5 \pm 0.8\%$  of the converted  $\text{NH}_4^+$ ). Analysis of dynamic concentration profiles during one cycle of the SBR operation demonstrated that  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  concentration in off-gas was the highest just after starting aeration whereas  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  concentration in effluent was gradually increased in the initial 40 min of the aeration period and was decreased thereafter. Isotopomer analysis was conducted to identify the main  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  production pathway in the reactor during one cycle. The hydroxylamine ( $\text{NH}_2\text{OH}$ ) oxidation pathway accounted for 65% of the total  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  production in the initial phase during one cycle, whereas contribution of the  $\text{NO}_2^-$  reduction pathway to  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  production was comparable with that of the  $\text{NH}_2\text{OH}$  oxidation pathway in the latter phase. In addition,

spatial distributions of bacteria and their activities in single microbial granules taken from the reactor were determined with microsensors and by in situ hybridization. Partial nitrification occurred mainly in the oxic surface layer of the granules and ammonia-oxidizing bacteria were abundant in this layer.  $N_2O$  production was also found mainly in the oxic surface layer. Based on these results, although  $N_2O$  was produced mainly via  $NH_2OH$  oxidation pathway in the autotrophic partial nitrification reactor,  $N_2O$  production mechanisms were complex and could involve multiple  $N_2O$  production pathways.

**Keywords:** Nitrous oxide production pathway; Sequencing batch reactor; Isotopomer analysis; Microsensors; In situ hybridization; Hydroxylamine

## 1. Introduction

Nitrous oxide ( $N_2O$ ) emissions draw attention since  $N_2O$  is expected to be a major stratospheric ozone-depleting substance in the future (Ravishankara et al., 2009) and is an important greenhouse gas with a global warming potential of about 300 times higher than  $CO_2$  (Desloover et al., 2012; IPCC, 2007). It is generally accepted that nitrogen removal processes in a wastewater treatment system are an anthropogenic source of  $N_2O$  (Desloover et al., 2012). Conventionally, biological nitrogen removal is achieved by a combination of nitrification and denitrification processes. In contrast, an alternative and innovative approach is the use of a partial nitrification (PN) process followed by an anaerobic ammonium oxidation (anammox) process (PN-anammox process), which has several advantages, such as no need for external carbon addition, less energy and oxygen

requirement, and less sludge production (van Dongen et al., 2001; Kartal et al., 2010). The PN-anammox process is applicable to reject water (Desloover et al., 2011; Kampschreur et al., 2008; 2009a; Joss et al., 2009; Okabe et al., 2011), landfill leachate (Wang et al., 2010), and wastewater from semiconductor factory (Tokutomi et al., 2011). N<sub>2</sub>O emission from PN-anammox processes, especially from the PN process, has been reported (Desloover et al., 2011; Kampschreur et al., 2008; Okabe et al., 2011). Especially, a granular sludge reactor for PN process draws attention because of high specific nitrification rate, efficient biomass retention and excellent settleability.

There are three main microbial pathways involved in N<sub>2</sub>O production. During nitrification, it is produced from hydroxylamine (NH<sub>2</sub>OH) as a side product of the oxidation of ammonium (NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>) to nitrite (NO<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup>) (Poughon et al., 2001; Hooper and Terry, 1979). During denitrification, N<sub>2</sub>O is produced as an intermediate during reduction of nitrate (NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>) to N<sub>2</sub> by heterotrophic denitrifiers (Lu and Chandran, 2010; Schmidt et al., 2004). Some ammonia-oxidizing bacteria (AOB) reduce NO<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> to N<sub>2</sub>O or N<sub>2</sub> through a process called nitrifier denitrification (Tallec et al., 2006; Wrage et al., 2001; Colliver and Stephenson, 2000). Many studies have been conducted to estimate N<sub>2</sub>O emission rate of PN processes (Kong et al., 2013a; Kong et al., 2013b; Okabe et al., 2011; Law et al., 2011; Desloover et al., 2011; de Graff et al., 2010; Kampschreur et al., 2008). In contrast, there are few studies on N<sub>2</sub>O production pathways. Nitrifier denitrification was the key biological pathway of N<sub>2</sub>O production in an intermittently aerated sequencing batch biofilm reactor for PN treating synthetic ammonium-rich wastewater (Kong et al., 2013b) while NH<sub>2</sub>OH oxidation pathway was the main source of N<sub>2</sub>O in a sequencing batch reactor (SBR) for PN (PN-SBR) (Law et al., 2011; Yang et al., 2009). To determine

which pathway is responsible for N<sub>2</sub>O production in a wastewater treatment process is still challenging, because a variety of operational parameters (concentrations and loading rates of nitrogenous compounds, dissolved oxygen (DO) and organic carbon, pH, a ratio of organic carbon and nitrogenous compounds (COD/N) and temperature) influence N<sub>2</sub>O production in a PN process (Tallec et al., 2006; Kampschreur et al., 2009b; Desloover et al., 2012; Wunderlin et al., 2012; Law et al., 2011). Furthermore, their temporal changes also affect N<sub>2</sub>O production.

Analyses of the intermolecular distributions of <sup>15</sup>N in N<sub>2</sub>O (isotopomers) are regarded as useful parameters to infer the predominant N<sub>2</sub>O production pathway (Wunderlin et al., 2013; Sutka et al., 2006; Toyoda et al., 2005; 2011). Isotopomer ratios (site-specific N isotope ratios in asymmetric molecules of NNO) give us qualitative information on N<sub>2</sub>O production and consumption pathways. Toyoda et al. (2011) and Wunderlin et al. (2013) conducted isotopomer analysis and distinguished N<sub>2</sub>O produced during NH<sub>2</sub>OH oxidation from N<sub>2</sub>O produced during NO<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> reduction in wastewater treatment processes. However, N<sub>2</sub>O production pathways in a PN-SBR have not been investigated by isotopomer analysis. In a PN-SBR, temporal changes in the operational parameters (DO, NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> and NO<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> concentrations and pH level) are more significant than conventional activated sludge processes, which likely play an important role in N<sub>2</sub>O production pathways.

In this study, source of N<sub>2</sub>O produced in an autotrophic granular PN-SBR was investigated. A lab-scale PN-SBR was operated and N<sub>2</sub>O emission from the reactor was determined with an on-line monitoring system. Dissolved N<sub>2</sub>O in the reactor was monitored with a microsensor for N<sub>2</sub>O. N<sub>2</sub>O, DO, pH, NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>, NO<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> and NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>

concentrations in the PN-SBR for one cycle were continuously monitored. We measured temporal changes in intermolecular  $^{15}\text{N}$ -site preference (SP) in  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  in the PN-SBR for one cycle. In addition, the spatial distribution of  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$ , DO, pH,  $\text{NH}_4^+$ ,  $\text{NO}_2^-$  and  $\text{NO}_3^-$  in the PN granules were determined with the microsensors to estimate net production and consumption rates of  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$ ,  $\text{NH}_4^+$  and  $\text{NO}_2^-$  in single granules. The spatial distribution of AOB and other bacteria in the PN granules was determined by FISH. The combination of microsensor measurements and FISH analysis allows us to deduce function of AOB. Finally, these results were compared and we discussed the source of  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  in the PN-SBR.

## **2. Materials and methods**

### **2.1 Operation of a lab-scale autotrophic PN-SBR**

A lab-scale autotrophic PN-SBR with working volume of 2.0 L was operated. The reactor was inoculated with 0.3 L of PN granules (3-5 mm in diameter), which was obtained from the PN reactor operated in our laboratory (Okabe et al., 2011). One cycle of the reactor operation was 4 h. It consisted of feeding of a synthetic wastewater (3 min), aeration (232 min), settling of the granules (3 min), and discharging of treated wastewater (2 min). The composition of a synthetic wastewater was as follows:  $(\text{NH}_4)_2\text{SO}_4$  (1650 mg  $\text{L}^{-1}$ ),  $\text{KHCO}_3$  (3300 mg  $\text{L}^{-1}$ ),  $\text{CaCl}_2 \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$  (135 mg  $\text{L}^{-1}$ ),  $\text{MgSO}_4 \cdot 7\text{H}_2\text{O}$  (300 mg  $\text{L}^{-1}$ ), and  $\text{KH}_2\text{PO}_4$  (22 mg  $\text{L}^{-1}$ ). Trace element solutions I and II were prepared and added as described by van de Graaf et al. (1996). The influent pH was adjusted to  $7.7 \pm 0.1$ . The hydraulic retention time (HRT) of the PN reactor was fixed at 8 h. The airflow rate was changed according to reactor performance until the PN process became stable. After the PN process became stable, airflow rate was fixed at  $0.2 \text{ L min}^{-1}$ .

## 2.2 Water and gas analyses

The PN reactor performance was determined by collecting grab samples of influent and effluent at arbitrary time intervals during the operation.  $\text{NH}_4^+$ ,  $\text{NO}_2^-$  and  $\text{NO}_3^-$  concentrations in the influent and effluent were measured by using ion-exchange chromatography (DX-100, DIONEX, CA., USA) with an IonPac CS3 cation column and IonPac AS9 anion column after filtration with a 0.45- $\mu\text{m}$  pore size membrane (ADVANTEC, Tokyo, Japan).

The  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  concentrations in the off-gas from the reactor were determined with a 1412 Photo acoustic Field Gas-Monitor (INNOVA, Copenhagen, Denmark). Grab samples were taken from 115 min to 125 min during 4-h cycles. For batch tests, the  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  concentrations in the off-gas were determined once every minute. The dissolved  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  ( $\text{D-N}_2\text{O}$ ) concentration in the effluent of the reactor was measured with a  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  microsensor (Unisense, Aarhus, Denmark).  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  emission rate into the headspace of the PN-SBR was calculated by multiplying the  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  concentration in the off-gas by gas emission rate, and  $\text{D-N}_2\text{O}$  discharge rate into the effluent of the PN-SBR was calculated by multiplying the  $\text{D-N}_2\text{O}$  concentration in the effluent by hydraulic flow rate.

## 2.3 Isotopomer analysis

Isotopomer ratios ( $\delta$ ) in  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  in the off-gas from the PN reactor were measured to identify  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  production pathway. The notations of isotopomer ratios are shown below.

$$\delta^{15}\text{N}^\alpha = ({}^{15}\text{R}_{\text{sample}}^\alpha - {}^{15}\text{R}_{\text{standard}}^\alpha) / {}^{15}\text{R}_{\text{standard}}^\alpha$$

$$\delta^{15}\text{N}^\beta = ({}^{15}\text{R}_{\text{sample}}^\beta - {}^{15}\text{R}_{\text{standard}}^\beta) / {}^{15}\text{R}_{\text{standard}}^\beta$$

Where,  $^{15}\text{R}^\alpha$  and  $^{15}\text{R}^\beta$  donates  $^{14}\text{N}^{15}\text{N}^{16}\text{O}/^{14}\text{N}^{14}\text{N}^{16}\text{O}$  and  $^{15}\text{N}^{14}\text{N}^{16}\text{O}/^{14}\text{N}^{14}\text{N}^{16}\text{O}$ , respectively, for samples and standards (atmospheric  $\text{N}_2$ ). Here, we define a certain parameter called  $^{15}\text{N}$ -site preference (SP) as an illustrative parameter of intermolecular distribution of  $^{15}\text{N}$  that was defined as follows (Toyoda et al., 2005; 2011).

$$^{15}\text{N}\text{-site preference (SP)} = \delta^{15}\text{N}^\alpha - \delta^{15}\text{N}^\beta$$

The off-gas samples of the PN reactor were collected into evacuated 50 mL glass bottles at arbitrary time intervals in the aeration phase. The isotopomer ratios of the collected gas samples were measured on an isotope-ratio monitoring mass spectrometer (MAT 252; Thermo Fisher Scientific K.K, Yokohama, Japan) using an online analytical system at the Tokyo Institute of Technology, Japan (Toyoda et al., 2005; 2009; 2011). The precision of the isotopomer ratios were typically better than 0.5‰ for  $\delta^{15}\text{N}^\alpha$  and  $\delta^{15}\text{N}^\beta$ .

Characteristic SP values of 33‰ and 0‰ for  $\text{NH}_2\text{OH}$  oxidation and  $\text{NO}_2^-$  reduction (nitrifier denitrification and heterotrophic bacterial denitrification), respectively, which were estimated in specific pure cultures, were used for estimation of the contribution to each process (Sutka et al., 2006). Approximate contributions of  $\text{NH}_2\text{OH}$  oxidation and  $\text{NO}_2^-$  reduction to  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  production were estimated by assuming that each process is linearly proportional to the SP value using the following equation:

$$\text{Contribution of } \text{NH}_2\text{OH oxidation (\%)} = \text{SP}/(\text{SP for } \text{NH}_2\text{OH oxidation} - \text{SP for } \text{NO}_2^- \text{ reduction}) \times 100 = \text{SP}/33 \times 100$$

$$\text{Contribution of } \text{NO}_2^- \text{ reduction (\%)} = 100 - \text{contribution of } \text{NH}_2\text{OH oxidation}$$

## 2.4 Microsensor measurements

The steady-state concentration profiles of DO, N<sub>2</sub>O, NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>, NO<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup>, NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> and pH in the PN granules were measured in a synthetic medium for microsensor measurements with microsensors. DO and N<sub>2</sub>O microsensors were purchased from Unisense (Aarhus, Denmark). LIX-type NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>, NO<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup>, NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> and pH microsensors were constructed in our laboratory as described by de Beer et al. (1997) and calibrated and used according to a protocol reported by Okabe et al. (1999a). The synthetic medium for the microsensor measurements of DO, N<sub>2</sub>O and pH was as follows (mg L<sup>-1</sup>): NaH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub> (19), (NH<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> (990), NaHCO<sub>3</sub> (2770), NaNO<sub>2</sub> (690), MgSO<sub>4</sub>·7H<sub>2</sub>O (300), CaCl<sub>2</sub>·2H<sub>2</sub>O (135) and trace element solution I and II (van der Graaf et al., 1996). Trace element solution I contained EDTA (5 g L<sup>-1</sup>) and FeSO<sub>4</sub> (5 g L<sup>-1</sup>), and trace element solution II contained EDTA (15 g L<sup>-1</sup>), ZnSO<sub>4</sub>·7H<sub>2</sub>O (0.43 g L<sup>-1</sup>), CoCl<sub>2</sub>·6H<sub>2</sub>O (0.24 g L<sup>-1</sup>), MnCl<sub>2</sub>·4H<sub>2</sub>O (0.99 g L<sup>-1</sup>), CuSO<sub>4</sub>·5H<sub>2</sub>O (0.25 g L<sup>-1</sup>), NaMoO<sub>4</sub>·2H<sub>2</sub>O (0.22 g L<sup>-1</sup>), NiCl<sub>2</sub>·6H<sub>2</sub>O (0.19 g L<sup>-1</sup>), NaSeO<sub>4</sub>·10H<sub>2</sub>O (0.21 g L<sup>-1</sup>), and H<sub>3</sub>BO<sub>4</sub> (0.014 g L<sup>-1</sup>). pH was adjusted to 7.5. For NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>, NO<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> and NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> concentration measurements, the concentration of the species to be measured was adjusted to 250 μM, 250 μM and 50 μM, respectively. The PN granules with diameters of 2 to 3 mm were sampled from the reactor at 120 min after aeration was started and positioned with five insect needles in the flow chamber (2.0 L) that was filled with the synthetic medium. DO concentration in the medium was controlled at the required value by continuous bubbling with N<sub>2</sub> gas (99.9%) and/or atmospheric air, which also provided sufficient mixing of the medium. The granules were acclimated in the medium at least 3 h to ensure that steady-state profiles were obtained. At least five concentration profiles of each species were measured in different granules taken in one cycle. The concentration profiles were determined in five cycles.

Net volumetric production rates of  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$ ,  $\text{NH}_4^+$  and  $\text{NO}_2^-$  in the granules were estimated from the averaged steady-state concentration profiles by using Fick's second law of diffusion as previously described by Santegoeds et al. (1999). Diffusion coefficients of  $1.38 \times 10^{-5} \text{ cm}^2 \text{ s}^{-1}$ ,  $1.25 \times 10^{-5} \text{ cm}^2 \text{ s}^{-1}$  and  $2.10 \times 10^{-5} \text{ cm}^2 \text{ s}^{-1}$  were used for  $\text{NH}_4^+$ ,  $\text{NO}_2^-$  and  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$ , respectively, at  $25^\circ\text{C}$  for the calculation of net volumetric production rates (Okabe et al., 2011).

## 2.5 Fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH)

Ten granules were taken from the reactor in a cycle at 120 min after aeration was started. The sampling was conducted in five cycles from day 100 to day 200. The granule samples were fixed in 4% (w/v) paraformaldehyde solution at  $4^\circ\text{C}$  for 24 h, washed three times with phosphate-buffer saline (PBS; 10mM sodium phosphate buffer, 130 mM sodium chloride; pH 7.2), and embedded in Tissue-Tek OCT compound (Sakura Finetek, Torrance, CA) at  $-30^\circ\text{C}$  overnight to infiltrate the OCT compound into granules. 20- $\mu\text{m}$ -thick vertical thin sections were prepared by using a cryostat (Reichert-Jung Cryocut 1800, Leica, Bensheim, Germany). FISH was performed as previously described by Okabe et al. (1999b). The 16S rRNA-targeted probes used in our present study were as follows; Mixture of EUB, EUBII, and EUBIII probes (Daims et al., 1999) in an equimolar for all bacteria and Nso1225 probe (Mobarry et al., 1996) for betaproteobacterial ammonia-oxidizing bacteria. Hybridized samples were observed using a model LSM510 confocal laser-scanning microscope (CLSM, Carl Zeiss, Oberkochen, Germany) equipped with an Ar ion laser (458 and 488 nm) and two He-Ne ion lasers (543 and 633 nm).

### 3. Results and discussion

#### 3.1 Reactor performance

Figure 1 shows concentrations of  $\text{NH}_4^+$ ,  $\text{NO}_2^-$ ,  $\text{NO}_3^-$  and D- $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  in the influent and the effluent,  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  concentrations in the off-gas, and  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  emission rates into the headspace and D- $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  discharge rate into the effluent of the PN-SBR. The reactor was operated at an average nitrogen loading rate (NLR) ( $\pm$  standard deviation) of  $43 \pm 2.7 \text{ mg-N L}^{-1} \text{ h}^{-1}$ . In the initial stage of the reactor operation, airflow rate was adjusted to achieve stable  $\text{NO}_2^-$  production. The stable PN was achieved at day 30 and the airflow rate was fixed at  $0.2 \text{ L min}^{-1}$ . The average concentration of  $\text{NH}_4^+$  in the influent was  $350 \pm 21 \text{ mg-N}$  (Figure 1A). The average  $\text{NH}_4^+$  and  $\text{NO}_2^-$  concentrations in the effluent were  $168 \pm 18 \text{ mg-N L}^{-1}$  and  $182 \pm 29 \text{ mg-N L}^{-1}$ , respectively. Approximately 50% of the influent  $\text{NH}_4^+$  was converted to  $\text{NO}_2^-$  with the  $\text{NH}_4^+$  oxidation rate of  $22 \pm 2.9 \text{ mg-N L}^{-1} \text{ h}^{-1}$ , indicating that a favorable  $\text{NH}_4^+/\text{NO}_2^-$  ratio for anammox process was achieved.  $\text{NO}_3^-$  concentration in the effluent was  $0.5 \pm 0.1 \text{ mM}$ .

Figure 2A shows an image of the PN granules. The average diameter and the settling velocity of PN granules were approximately 2 mm and  $160 \text{ cm min}^{-1}$ , respectively. FISH, using a TRITC-labeled Nso1225 probe and a Cy5-labeled EUB338 mix probe, revealed that the outer layer (ca. 600  $\mu\text{m}$  thick) was dominated by bacteria and AOB were found in the upper 400  $\mu\text{m}$ . The probe specific for anammox bacteria was not applied.

$\text{N}_2\text{O}$  concentrations in the off-gas and the effluent of the PN reactor were measured (Figure 1B). The  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  concentration in the off-gas varied from 30 ppm to 230 ppm ( $89 \pm 48 \text{ ppm}$  on average). D- $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  concentration in the effluent varied between  $14 \mu\text{g-N L}^{-1}$  and

420  $\mu\text{g-N L}^{-1}$ . Fluctuation of  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  concentrations in the off-gas and the liquid phase might be due to fluctuation of airflow and hydraulic flow rates.

The  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  emission and D- $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  discharge rates from the PN-SBR are shown in Figure 1C. The  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  emission rate from the PN reactor was  $0.67 \pm 0.34 \text{ mg-N h}^{-1}$  per reactor and  $0.32 \pm 0.17 \text{ mg-N L}^{-1} \text{ h}^{-1}$  as specific rate. Fluctuation of the  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  emission might be due to fluctuation of airflow and hydraulic flow rates, followed by the change in microbial activities of production or consumption of  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$ . A large portion (more than 96%) of  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  produced in the PN reactor was evolved to the headspace by aeration. The average ratio of  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  production rate to NLR was  $0.8 \pm 0.4\%$  (or  $1.5 \pm 0.8\%$  of the converted  $\text{NH}_4^+$  in the PN reactor).

The ratios of  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  production rate to NLR and the parameters affecting the  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  production rate in the PN-SBR were compared with those reported in the previous studies (Table 1). The ratio of  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  production rate to NLR in this study (0.8%) was in the same order (between 0.28% and 0.85%) of the other reactors. The ratios of a lab-scale column biofilm reactor (Okabe et al., 2011) and a full scale floc based sequential PN reactor (Desloover et al., 2011) were higher than the other reactors. The variation in  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  emission in previous studies (Figure 1) is attributed to a complicated pathway of biological and chemical  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  production, for example,  $\text{NH}_2\text{OH}$  oxidation by AOB,  $\text{NO}$  reduction by heterotrophic bacteria and AOB, and chemodenitrification (Poughon et al., 2001; Lu and Chandran, 2010; Wrage et al., 2001; van Cleemput, 1998) and consumption ( $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  reduction by heterotrophic bacteria and AOB (Pan et al., 2012; Schmidt et al., 2004)). Therefore, it was obvious that difference in operational parameters (DO concentration,  $\text{NH}_4^+$  and  $\text{NO}_2^-$  concentration, COD/N ratio,  $\text{NH}_4^+$  loading rate, and pH)

of the PN reactors could strongly affect them (Kampschreur et al., 2009a; Law et al., 2011; Burgess et al., 2002).

### 3.2 Dynamic N<sub>2</sub>O emission in one cycle of the PN-SBR operation

The dynamics of N<sub>2</sub>O, NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>, NO<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup>, NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> and DO concentrations and pH level in one cycle of the PN-SBR are shown in Figure 3. In the settling period (-7 min to -4 min) both N<sub>2</sub>O and D-N<sub>2</sub>O concentrations decreased due to gas-liquid equilibrium and dilution of off-gas with air (an insertion panel in Figure 3A). In the discharging (-4 min to -2 min) and feeding (-2 min to 0 min) periods, N<sub>2</sub>O concentrations in off-gas further decreased due to dilution with air. In contrast, D-N<sub>2</sub>O concentration in the bulk liquid increased in the feeding period. N<sub>2</sub>O accumulation in the settling granular sludge bed was experimentally confirmed by a N<sub>2</sub>O microsensor measurement (Figure S1).

Subsequently, N<sub>2</sub>O concentration in off-gas suddenly increased just after starting the aeration due to release of N<sub>2</sub>O from the bulk liquid and decreased over the operation. D-N<sub>2</sub>O concentration was gradually increased in the initial 40 min of the aeration period and was decreased thereafter. Thus, the net N<sub>2</sub>O production rate was higher in the initial phase of aeration period. These trends were reproducible. We measured these concentrations in five cycles and found the same trend of changes in them. However, the level of N<sub>2</sub>O was different between each test, which agreed with the result shown in Figure 1C.

Dynamics of N<sub>2</sub>O emission from the PN-SBR suggests that the continuous measurement of N<sub>2</sub>O in off-gas is necessary for reliable estimation of N<sub>2</sub>O emission rate from a PN-SBR. Dynamics of N<sub>2</sub>O emission in our reactor (Figure 3A) might be

attributed to perturbation of the operating conditions, such as DO and pH (Figure 3C). N<sub>2</sub>O emission rate was also high in the initial phase of aeration period of a lab-scale PN-SBR (Kong et al., 2013a). Difference of N<sub>2</sub>O sampling methods (e.g., timing and amount of a sample) among studies reported in Table 1 might result in difference of N<sub>2</sub>O emission rates.

### 3.3 N<sub>2</sub>O isotopomer analysis

The  $\delta^{15}\text{N}^{\alpha}$ ,  $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ , and calculated SP value for the off-gas samples collected at different stages of the aeration period in the PN-SBR are shown in Figure 4A. The SP values ranged from 23‰ to 16‰. No significant increase in  $\delta^{18}\text{O}$  in the remaining N<sub>2</sub>O indicates that contribution of N<sub>2</sub>O reduction to N<sub>2</sub> was not strongly occurred in the reactor (Groenigen et al., 2005; Schmidt et al., 2004). Production of N<sub>2</sub> as estimated based on the N balance calculation was  $3.5 \pm 4.7\%$ , which might not be strong enough to influence the  $\delta^{18}\text{O}$  values and the SP value. Therefore, approximate contributions of NH<sub>2</sub>OH oxidation and NO<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> reduction (nitrifier denitrification and heterotrophic bacterial denitrification) to N<sub>2</sub>O production were estimated by assuming that each process is linearly proportional to the SP value (Figure 4B). The result indicates that N<sub>2</sub>O was produced in the PN-SBR by combination of NH<sub>2</sub>OH oxidation and NO<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> reduction pathways. Within initial 60 min of the aeration phase, about 70% of the totally produced N<sub>2</sub>O was produced via the NH<sub>2</sub>OH oxidation pathway. After 60 min, the contribution of the NH<sub>2</sub>OH oxidation pathway to the total N<sub>2</sub>O production gradually decreased. At the end of the aeration phase, the contribution of the NH<sub>2</sub>OH oxidation pathway was comparable with that of the NO<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> reduction pathway. To the best of our knowledge, this

is the first study to distinguish contribution of nitrification and denitrification processes to N<sub>2</sub>O production pathways in an autotrophic granular PN-SBR.

Higher contribution of NH<sub>2</sub>OH oxidation on N<sub>2</sub>O production within the initial 60 min is due to sudden fluctuation in DO and NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> concentrations and pH level. Wunderlin et al. (2012) reported that N<sub>2</sub>O production by NH<sub>2</sub>OH oxidation pathway was favored at high NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> and low NO<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> concentrations, in contrast, the contribution of nitrifier denitrification increased under the condition of higher NO<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> and lower NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> concentrations. In addition, N<sub>2</sub>O production was only observed during recovery to aerobic conditions after a period of anoxia in chemostat cultures of model nitrifying bacteria (Yu et al., 2010), and N<sub>2</sub>O production rates of the AOB enriched culture were increased with increases in pH and NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> oxidation rate (Law et al., 2011; 2012). Increase in the contribution of NO<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> reduction pathway might be due to relative enhancement of denitrification caused by increase in NO<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> concentration and decrease in NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> concentration in the reactor (Wunderlin et al., 2012). The contribution of the NH<sub>2</sub>OH oxidation pathway to the total N<sub>2</sub>O production was about 65% throughout one cycle, indicating that the NH<sub>2</sub>OH oxidation pathway was the key pathway of N<sub>2</sub>O production in the autotrophic PN-SBR. In the latter phase the N<sub>2</sub>O production via the NO<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> reduction pathway was comparable with that via the NH<sub>2</sub>OH oxidation pathway.

In contrast, in the previous studies to investigate N<sub>2</sub>O production pathways in NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> oxidation process in wastewater treatments by isotopomer analysis, NO<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> reduction contributed to N<sub>2</sub>O production greater than NH<sub>2</sub>OH oxidation did (Wunderlin et al., 2013; Toyoda et al., 2011). It might be because operational parameters affect N<sub>2</sub>O production pathways. In the present study, isotopomer analysis was conducted in only one cycle.

Reproducibility of the trend of shift in N<sub>2</sub>O production pathways should be confirmed in the future study. N<sub>2</sub>O production pathways have also been investigated with the use of mathematical models (Ni et al., 2013; Law et al., 2012) and by addition of substrates (NH<sub>2</sub>OH or NO<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup>) (Wunderlin et al., 2012; Yang et al., 2009). In contrast to this method, isotopomer analysis can reveal the N<sub>2</sub>O production pathways directly and quantitatively with high reliability. However, there are some limitations to isotopomer analysis, for example, it cannot distinguish N<sub>2</sub>O production by heterotrophic denitrification from that by nitrifier denitrification. For more specific and quantitative identification of N<sub>2</sub>O source in a PN-SBR, other analytical methods (e.g., functional gene expression analysis (Philippot and Hallin, 2005)) have to be combined with isotopomer analysis.

#### 3.4 Spatial distributions of bacteria and their activities in single PN granules

The steady-state concentration profiles of DO, pH, NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>, NO<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup>, NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>, and N<sub>2</sub>O in the PN granules were measured under the typical operational conditions of the PN-SBR and the spatial distributions of net volumetric production rates of NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>, NO<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> and N<sub>2</sub>O were calculated (Figure 5A). N<sub>2</sub>O was detected throughout the granule and the net N<sub>2</sub>O production rate was higher in the oxic layer (within 300 μm) of the granules (Figure 5B). NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> consumption and NO<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> production were found mainly in the oxic surface layer without a significant production or consumption of NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>, demonstrating that partial nitrification occurred efficiently in the PN granules. Moreover, FISH results revealed that AOB were abundant in the outermost layer of the granules (Figure 2). These results reflect that AOB might be responsible for N<sub>2</sub>O production in the PN granules. Unfortunately, based on the microsensors measurements we cannot conclude that the

NH<sub>2</sub>OH oxidation by AOB was the main N<sub>2</sub>O production pathway rather than NO<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> reduction by AOB and/or heterotrophic denitrifiers, as could be demonstrated by isotopomer analysis.

Less but detectable N<sub>2</sub>O production probably by heterotrophic denitrifiers in the deeper anoxic parts of the granules were found (Figure 5B), which could be expected by isotopomer analysis. Although the PN-SBR operated without an external organic carbon supply, it has been hypothesized that heterotrophic bacteria scavenge organic matter derived from biomass decay and substrate metabolism of nitrifying bacteria (Okabe et al., 2005). In addition, under the limited availability of biodegradable carbon, N<sub>2</sub>O can be produced due to the incomplete denitrification process and/or endogenous denitrification (Chung and Chung, 2000; Itokawa et al., 2001). As a result, the microsensor measurements revealed that the N<sub>2</sub>O production mechanisms in the PN granules involve multiple N<sub>2</sub>O production pathways, because there were steep vertical gradients of physicochemical parameters in the PN granules.

#### **4. Conclusions**

A lab-scale sequencing batch reactor for partial nitrification treating synthetic wastewater without organic carbon was operated to identify source of N<sub>2</sub>O in an autotrophic partial nitrification reactor.

- The average N<sub>2</sub>O emission rate from the reactor was  $0.32 \pm 0.17 \text{ mg-N L}^{-1} \text{ h}^{-1}$  and the average emission of N<sub>2</sub>O was  $0.8 \pm 0.4\%$  of the incoming nitrogen load.
- N<sub>2</sub>O emission rate and N<sub>2</sub>O production pathways were dynamic during one cycle of the sequencing batch reactor operation; N<sub>2</sub>O emission rate was high in the initial phase of

the aeration period, where hydroxylamine oxidation pathway accounted for 65% of the total N<sub>2</sub>O production.

- The active N<sub>2</sub>O production as well as partial nitrification was found in the oxic surface layer of the granule, where ammonia-oxidizing bacteria were abundant.
- Based on all experimental results (including isotopomer analysis, microelectrode and FISH), although N<sub>2</sub>O was produced mainly via NH<sub>2</sub>OH oxidation pathway in the autotrophic partial nitrification reactor, N<sub>2</sub>O production mechanisms were complex and could involve multiple N<sub>2</sub>O production pathways.

### **Acknowledgements**

This research was supported financially by the Core Research of Evolutional Science & Technology (CREST) for “Innovative Technology and System for Sustainable Water Use” from the Japan Science and Technology Agency (JST).

### **References**

- Burgess, J.E., Colliver, B.B., Stuetz, R.M., Stephenson, T., 2002. Dinitrogen oxide production by a mixed culture of nitrifying bacteria during ammonia shock loading and aeration failure. *Journal of Industrial Microbiology & Biotechnology* 29(6), 309-313.
- Chung, Y.C., Chung, M.S., 2000. BNP test to evaluate the influence of C/N ratio on N<sub>2</sub>O production in biological denitrification. *Water Science and Technology* 42(3-4), 23-27.
- Colliver, B.B., Stephenson, T., 2000. Production of nitrogen oxide and dinitrogen oxide

- by autotrophic nitrifiers. *Biotechnology Advances* 18(3), 219-232.
- Daims, H., Bruhl, A., Amann, R., Schleifer, K.H., Wagner, M., 1999. The domain-specific probe EUB338 is insufficient for the detection of all Bacteria: Development and evaluation of a more comprehensive probe set. *Systematic and Applied Microbiology* 22(3), 434-444.
- de Graaff, M.S., Zeeman, G., Temmink, H., van Loosdrecht, M.C.M. and Buisman, C.J.N., 2010. Long term partial nitrification of anaerobically treated black water and the emission of nitrous oxide. *Water Research* 44(7), 2171-2178.
- deBeer, D., Schramm, A., Santegoeds, C.M., Kuhl, M., 1997. A nitrite microsensor for profiling environmental biofilms. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* 63(3), 973-977.
- Desloover, J., Vlaeminck, S.E., Clauwaert, P., Verstraete, W., Boon, N., 2012. Strategies to mitigate N<sub>2</sub>O emissions from biological nitrogen removal systems. *Current Opinion in Biotechnology* 23(3), 474-482.
- Desloover, J., De Clippeleir, H., Boeckx, P., Du Laing, G., Colsen, J., Verstraete, W., Vlaeminck, S.E., 2011. Floc-based sequential partial nitrification and anammox at full scale with contrasting N<sub>2</sub>O emissions. *Water Research* 45(9), 2811-2821.
- Hooper, A.B., Terry, K.R., 1979. Hydroxylamine oxidoreductase of *Nitrosomonas*: production of nitric oxide from hydroxylamine. *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA)* 571(1), 12-20.
- Itokawa, H., Hanaki, K., Matsuo, T., 2001. Nitrous oxide production in high-loading biological nitrogen removal process under low COD/N ratio condition. *Water Research* 35(3), 657-664.

- IPCC, 2007. In: Solomon, S., Qin, D., Manning, M., Chen, Z., Marquis, M., Averyt, K.B., Tignor, M., Miller, H.L., (Eds.), *Climate Change 2007: The Physical Science Basis, Contribution of Working Group I to the Fourth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA, P. 996.
- Joss, A., Salzgeber, D., Eugster, J., Konig, R., Rottermann, K., Burger, S., Fabijan, P., Leumann, S., Mohn, J., Siegrist, H., 2009. Full-scale nitrogen removal from digester liquid with partial nitrification and anammox in one SBR. *Environmental Science & Technology* 43(14), 5301-5306.
- Kampschreur, M.J., Poldermans, R., Kleerebezem, R., van der Star, W.R.L., Haarhuis, R., Abma, W.R., Jetten, M.S.M., van Loosdrecht, M.C.M., 2009a. Emission of nitrous oxide and nitric oxide from a full-scale single-stage nitrification-anammox reactor. *Water Science and Technology* 60(12), 3211-3217.
- Kampschreur, M.J., Temmink, H., Kleerebezem, R., Jetten, M.S.M., van Loosdrecht, M.C.M., 2009b. Nitrous oxide emission during wastewater treatment. *Water Research* 43(17), 4093-4103.
- Kampschreur, M.J., van der Star, W.R.L., Wienders, H.A., Mulder, J.W., Jetten, M.S.M., van Loosdrecht, M.C.M., 2008. Dynamics of nitric oxide and nitrous oxide emission during full-scale reject water treatment. *Water Research* 42(3), 812-826.
- Kartal, B., Kuenen, J.G., van Loosdrecht, M.C.M., 2010. Sewage Treatment with Anammox. *Science* 328(5979), 702-703.
- Kong, Q., Liang, S., Zhang, J., Xie, H.J., Miao, M.S., Tian, L., 2013a. N<sub>2</sub>O emission in a partial nitrification system: Dynamic emission characteristics and the

- ammonium-oxidizing bacteria community. *Bioresource Technology* 127, 400-406.
- Kong, Q., Zhang, J., Miao, M., Tian, L., Guo, N., Liang, S., 2013b. Partial nitrification and nitrous oxide emission in an intermittently aerated sequencing batch biofilm reactor. *Chemical Engineering Journal* 217, 435-441.
- Law, Y., Lant, P., Yuan, Z.G., 2011. The effect of pH on N<sub>2</sub>O production under aerobic conditions in a partial nitrification system. *Water Research* 45(18), 5934-5944.
- Law, Y., Ni, B.J., Lant, P., Yuan, Z., 2012. N<sub>2</sub>O production rate of an enriched ammonia-oxidising bacteria culture exponentially correlates to its ammonia oxidation rate. *Water Research* 46(10), 3409-3419.
- Lu, H.J., Chandran, K., 2010. Factors promoting emissions of nitrous oxide and nitric oxide from denitrifying sequencing batch reactors operated with methanol and ethanol as electron donors. *Biotechnology and Bioengineering* 106(3), 390-398.
- Mobarry, B.K., Wagner, M., Urbain, V., Rittmann, B.E., Stahl, D.A., 1996. Phylogenetic probes for analyzing abundance and spatial organization of nitrifying bacteria. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* 62(6), 2156-2162.
- Ni, B.J., Yuan, Z., Chandran, K., Vanrolleghem, P.A., Murthy, S., 2013. Evaluating four mathematical models for nitrous oxide production by autotrophic ammonia-oxidizing bacteria. *Biotechnology and Bioengineering* 110(1), 153-163.
- Okabe, S., Itoh, T., Satoh, H., Watanabe, Y., 1999a. Analyses of spatial distributions of sulfate-reducing bacteria and their activity in aerobic wastewater biofilms. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* 65(11), 5107-5116.
- Okabe, S., Kindaichi, T., Ito, T., 2005. Fate of <sup>14</sup>C-labeled microbial products derived from nitrifying bacteria in autotrophic nitrifying biofilms. *Applied and Environmental*

- Microbiology 71(7), 3987-3994.
- Okabe, S., Oshiki, M., Takahashi, Y., Satoh, H., 2011. N<sub>2</sub>O emission from a partial nitrification-anammox process and identification of a key biological process of N<sub>2</sub>O emission from anammox granules. *Water Research* 45(19), 6461-6470.
- Okabe, S., Satoh, H., Watanabe, Y., 1999b. In situ analysis of nitrifying biofilms as determined by in situ hybridization and the use of microelectrodes. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* 65(7), 3182-3191.
- Pan, Y.T., Ye, L., Ni, B.J., Yuan, Z.G., 2012. Effect of pH on N<sub>2</sub>O reduction and accumulation during denitrification by methanol utilizing denitrifiers. *Water Research* 46(15), 4832-4840.
- Philippot, L., Hallin, S., 2005. Finding the missing link between diversity and activity using denitrifying bacteria as a model functional community. *Current Opinion in Microbiology* 8, 234-239.
- Poughon, L., Dussap, C.G., Gros, J.B., 2001. Energy model and metabolic flux analysis for autotrophic nitrifiers. *Biotechnology and Bioengineering* 72(4), 416-433.
- Ravishankara, A.R., Daniel, J.S., Portmann, R.W., 2009 Nitrous oxide (N<sub>2</sub>O): The dominant ozone-depleting substance emitted in the 21st century. *Science* 326(5949), 123-125.
- Santegoeds, C.M., Damgaard, L.R., Hesselink, C., Zopfi, J., Lens, P., Muyzer, G., De Beer, D., 1999 Distribution of sulfate-reducing and methanogenic bacteria in anaerobic aggregates determined by microsensor and molecular analyses. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* 65(10), 4618-4629.
- Schmidt, I., van Spanning, R.J.M., Jetten, M.S.M., 2004. Denitrification and ammonia

- oxidation by *Nitrosomonas europaea* wild-type, and NirK- and NorB-deficient mutants. *Microbiology-Sgm* 150, 4107-4114.
- Sutka, R.L., Ostrom, N.E., Ostrom, P.H., Breznak, J.A., Gandhi, H., Pitt, A.J., Li, F., 2006. Distinguishing nitrous oxide production from nitrification and denitrification on the basis of isotopomer abundances. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* 72(1), 638-644.
- Tallec, G., Garnier, J., Billen, G., Gousailles, M., 2006. Nitrous oxide emissions from secondary activated sludge in nitrifying conditions of urban wastewater treatment plants: Effect of oxygenation level. *Water Research* 40(15), 2972-2980.
- Tokutomi, T., Yamauchi, H., Nishimura, S., Yoda, M., Abma, W., 2011. Application of the nitrification and anammox process into inorganic nitrogenous wastewater from semiconductor factory. *Journal of Environmental Engineering-Asce* 137(2), 146-154.
- Toyoda, S., Iwai, H., Koba, K., Yoshida, N., 2009. Isotopomeric analysis of N<sub>2</sub>O dissolved in a river in the Tokyo metropolitan area. *Rapid Communications in Mass Spectrometry* 23(6), 809-821.
- Toyoda, S., Mutobe, H., Yamagishi, H., Yoshida, N., Tanji, Y., 2005 Fractionation of N<sub>2</sub>O isotopomers during production by denitrifier. *Soil Biology & Biochemistry* 37(8), 1535-1545.
- Toyoda, S., Suzuki, Y., Hattori, S., Yamada, K., Fujii, A., Yoshida, N., Kouno, R., Murayama, K., Shiomi, H., 2011. Isotopomer analysis of production and consumption mechanisms of N<sub>2</sub>O and CH<sub>4</sub> in an advanced wastewater treatment system. *Environmental Science & Technology* 45(3), 917-922.
- van Cleemput, O., 1998. Subsoils: chemo- and biological denitrification, N<sub>2</sub>O and N<sub>2</sub>

- emissions. *Nutrient Cycling in Agroecosystems* 52(2-3), 187-194.
- van de Graaf, A.A., de Bruijn, P., Robertson, L.A., Jetten, M.S.M., Kuenen, J.G., 1996. Autotrophic growth of anaerobic ammonium-oxidizing micro-organisms in a fluidized bed reactor. *Microbiology* 142, 2187-2196.
- van Dongen, U., Jetten, M.S.M., van Loosdrecht, M.C.M., 2001. The SHARON-Anammox process for treatment of ammonium rich wastewater. *Water Science and Technology* 44(1), 153-160.
- Wang, C.C., Lee, P.H., Kumar, M., Huang, Y.T., Sung, S.W., Lin, J.G., 2010. Simultaneous partial nitrification, anaerobic ammonium oxidation and denitrification (SNAD) in a full-scale landfill-leachate treatment plant. *Journal of Hazardous Materials* 175(1-3), 622-628.
- Wrage, N., Velthof, G.L., van Beusichem, M.L., Oenema, O., 2001. Role of nitrifier denitrification in the production of nitrous oxide. *Soil Biology & Biochemistry* 33(12-13), 1723-1732.
- Wunderlin, P., Lehmann, M.F., Siegrist, H., Tuzson, B., Joss, A., Emmenegger, L., Mohn, J., 2013. Isotope signatures of N<sub>2</sub>O in a mixed microbial population system: constraints on N<sub>2</sub>O producing pathways in wastewater treatment. *Environmental Science & Technology* 47(3), 1339-1348.
- Wunderlin, P., Mohn, J., Joss, A., Emmenegger, L., Siegrist, H., 2012 Mechanisms of N<sub>2</sub>O production in biological wastewater treatment under nitrifying and denitrifying conditions. *Water Research* 46(4), 1027-1037.
- Yang, Q., Liu, X., Peng, C., Wang, S., Sun, H., Peng, Y., 2009. N<sub>2</sub>O production during nitrogen removal via nitrite from domestic wastewater: Main sources and control

method. *Environmental Science & Technology* 43(24), 9400-9406.

Yu, R., Kampschreur, M.J., van Loosdrecht, M.C.M., Chandran, K., 2010.

Mechanisms and specific directionality of autotrophic nitrous oxide and nitric oxide generation during transient anoxia. *Environmental Science & Technology* 44(4), 1313-1319.

Figure captions






Figure 1. (A) Concentrations of  $\text{NH}_4^+$  in the influent, and  $\text{NH}_4^+$ ,  $\text{NO}_2^-$  and  $\text{NO}_3^-$  in the

effluent of the PN reactor. (B)  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  concentration in the off-gas and  $\text{D-N}_2\text{O}$  concentration in the effluent. (C)  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  emission rates into the headspace and into the effluent.




**Figure 2.** (A) An image of the PN granules. (B) Confocal laser scanning microscope images of thin cross-section of the PN granules showing in situ spatial distribution of AOB (magenta) and other bacteria (blue) after fluorescence in situ hybridization with Cy5-labeled EUB338 mix probe and TRITC-labeled Nso1225 probe.




**Figure 3.** The concentration profiles of (A)  $N_2O$  in the off-gas and  $D-N_2O$ , (B)  $NH_4^+$ ,  $NO_2^-$  and  $NO_3^-$ , and (C) DO and pH during one typical cycle of the sequencing batch reactor operation. Inset of panel A shows the concentration profiles of  $N_2O$  in the off-gas


and D-N<sub>2</sub>O from -20 min to +20 min. A one cycle of the reactor operation was 4 h and aeration was started at 0 min.



**Figure 4.** (A) N<sub>2</sub>O concentration, isotopomer ratios and SP values in off-gas at each sampling time over one cycle. (B) Contribution of NH<sub>2</sub>OH oxidation and NO<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> reduction pathways to N<sub>2</sub>O emission from the PN reactor.



**Figure 5.** (A) Steady-state concentration profiles of DO, pH, NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>, NO<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup>, NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>, and N<sub>2</sub>O in the PN granules. (B) Net volumetric production rates of NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>, NO<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> and N<sub>2</sub>O in the PN granules. Positive and negative values indicate production and consumption rates, respectively.



**Figure S1.** The profile of D-N<sub>2</sub>O concentration in the PN reactor during the settling (-7 min to -4 min), discharging (-4 min to -2 min) and feeding (-2 min to 0 min) periods.

Table 1 Summary of the ratios of N<sub>2</sub>O production rate to nitrogen loading rate and parameters affecting the N<sub>2</sub>O production rate in PN reactors

Type of reactor	NLR <sup>a</sup> (mmol-N/L/d)	The ratio of N <sub>2</sub> O production to NLR (%) <sup>b</sup>	DO (mg/L)	pH	Ref.
A lab-scale PN SBR	71 ± 7	0.8 ± 0.4	2.0 ± 0.3	7.4 – 7.8	This study
A full-scale nitrification CFR	46.7	0.85	2.5		Kampscheur et al. 2008
A single-stage PN-anammox reactor	71.4	0.6	5.0		Kampscheur et al. 2009a
A lab-scale PN CFR	37.1	0.3 – 1.3	4.1 ± 0.73	6.8 ± 0.33	de Graff et al. 2010
A lab-scale PN SBR	89.3	0.75	1.3	7.1 – 7.5	Kong et al., 2013b
A lab-scale PN SBR	214	0.4	1.0	6 – 7.5	Kong et al., 2013a
A lab-scale PN SBR	571	0.28	0.5 – 0.8	6.4 ± 0.05	Law et al., 2011
A lab-scale PN CFR	179	2.0 ± 0.8	< 2.0		Okabe et al., 2011
A full-scale PN SBR	14.7	2.55 – 3.3	0.75 ± 0.05	7.5 ± 0.1	Desloover et al., 2011

<sup>a</sup> nitrogen loading rate.

<sup>b</sup> The ratio was calculated to divide N<sub>2</sub>O production rate (μmol-N L<sup>-1</sup> h<sup>-1</sup>) by NLR.

SBR: Sequencing batch reactor.

CFR: Continuous flow reactor.