



Title	Validating a regional climate model ' s downscaling ability for East Asian summer monsoonal interannual variability
Author(s)	Sato, Tomonori; Xue, Yongkang
Citation	Climate Dynamics, 41(9-10), 2411-2426 https://doi.org/10.1007/s00382-012-1616-5
Issue Date	2013-11
Doc URL	http://hdl.handle.net/2115/53677
Rights	The original publication is available at www.springerlink.com , © Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg 2012
Type	article (author version)
Note	Published online: 7 December 2012
Additional Information	There are other files related to this item in HUSCAP. Check the above URL.
File Information	Sato and Xue_20121022revision2-submit.pdf (本文 , 表)



[Instructions for use](#)

1
2 **Validating a regional climate model's downscaling ability for**
3 **East Asian summer monsoonal interannual variability**
4

5 ¹Tomonori Sato and ²Yongkang Xue
6

7 ¹Faculty of Environmental Earth Science, Hokkaido University, Japan

8 ²Department of Geography, Department of Atmospheric & Oceanic Sciences,
9 University of California, Los Angeles, USA
10

11
12
13
14 Submitted to *Climate Dynamics*
15

16 Corresponding author

17 Tomonori Sato

18 Faculty of Environmental Earth Science, Hokkaido University

19 Kita-10, Nishi-5, Sapporo, 060-0810, JAPAN

20 Tel: +81-11-706-2288, FAX: +81-11-706-4867

21 E-mail: t_sato@ees.hokudai.ac.jp
22

Abstract

Performance of a regional climate model (RCM), WRF, for downscaling East Asian summer season climate is investigated based on 11-summer integrations associated with different climate conditions with reanalysis data as the lateral boundary conditions (LBC). It is found that while the RCM is essentially unable to improve large-scale circulation patterns in the upper troposphere for most years, it is able to simulate better lower-level meridional moisture transport in the East Asian summer monsoon. For precipitation downscaling, the RCM produces more realistic magnitude of the interannual variation in most areas of East Asia than that in the reanalysis. Furthermore, the RCM significantly improves the spatial pattern of summer rainfall over dry inland areas and mountainous areas, such as Mongolia and the Tibetan Plateau. Meanwhile, it reduces the wet bias over southeast China. Over Mongolia, however, the performance of precipitation downscaling strongly depends on the year: the WRF is skillful for normal and wet years, but not for dry years, which suggests that land surface processes play an important role in downscaling ability. Over the dry area of North China, the WRF shows the worst performance.

Additional sensitivity experiments testing land effects in downscaling suggest the initial soil moisture condition and representation of land surface processes with different schemes are sources of uncertainty for precipitation downscaling. Correction of initial soil moisture using the climatology dataset from GSWP-2 is a useful approach to robustly reducing wet bias in inland areas as well as to improve spatial distribution of precipitation. Despite the improvement on RCM downscaling, regional analyses reveal that accurate simulation of precipitation over East China, where the precipitation pattern is strongly influenced by the activity of the Meiyu/Baiu rainfall band, is difficult. Since the location of the rainfall band is closely associated with both lower-level meridional moisture transport and upper-level circulation structures, it is necessary to have realistic upper-air circulation patterns in the RCM as well as lower-level moisture transport in order to improve the circulation-associated convective rainfall band in East Asia.

1. Introduction

Motivated by societal and scientific demands for climate information with higher resolution, research using regional climate models (RCMs) has developed rapidly since early limited-area atmospheric models were applied to climate research (Dickinson et al. 1989; Giorgi and Bates 1989). Recently, dynamical downscaling using regional atmospheric models has expanded its range of application from short-term weather prediction, seasonal to interannual variability, and global warming (e.g., Giorgi et al. 2001) to paleo-climate (e.g., Diffenbaugh et al. 2006). RCMs are now widely applied as promising tools for downscaling coarse-resolution gridded data supplied from reanalysis data or general circulation model (GCM) experiments.

Castro et al. (2005) classified dynamical downscaling experiments with respect to constraints imposed on RCMs, namely initial conditions and boundary conditions, and contemplated the advantage of using RCMs, i.e., whether dynamical downscaling adds value to the imposed lateral boundary conditions (LBC). For the Type 1 experiment, in which initial conditions have a principal effect on the simulated result, it is evident that the regional model has achieved significant progress in numerical weather prediction. However, for dynamical downscaling with constraints of greater degrees of freedom (types 2, 3, and 4 experiments classified in Castro et al. 2005), in which the effect of initial conditions is no longer dominant and LBCs from a reanalysis or GCM's seasonal to longer climate simulations provide constraints on the RCM large scale circulation, further investigations are required on how the RCM retains or adds value. Castro et al. (2005) and many other papers discussed adding value problem of the dynamically downscaled data for a rather small spatial and time scale. Because this is the core issue in any dynamical downscaling, we have extend the investigation of this issue to much longer time scale and larger spatial scale (Xue et al. 2007). In this paper, our main theme is only for the seasonal time scale and continental spatial scale but we still keep the same definitions for different downscaling categories as proposed by Castro et al. (2005).

1 There are several factors which affect uncertainty of the result in the dynamical
2 downscaling. In order to quantify possible causes of deficiency in downscaling generated by
3 RCM-derived errors, it is useful to adopt reanalysis data as boundary forcing, which is referred to
4 as a perfect boundary experiment (or type 2 experiment in Castro et al. 2005). There are many
5 studies evaluating the value added by dynamical downscaling with the perfect boundary
6 experiment (e.g., Castro et al. 2005; Fu et al. 2005; Xue et al. 2007; Gao et al. 2011). In addition to
7 errors due to the quality of lateral boundary condition data (e.g., Gong and Wang 2000; Xue et al.
8 2012), known sources of uncertainty generated through RCM experiments are horizontal
9 resolution (e.g., Christensen et al. 1998; Mo et al. 2000; Wang et al. 2003; Zhang et al. 2003;
10 Castro et al. 2005; Xue et al. 2007; Sato et al. 2008), domain size and location (e.g., Treadon and
11 Petersen 1993; Xue et al. 2007; Gao et al. 2011), lateral boundary settings (Denis et al. 2003;
12 Giorgi and Mearns 1999; Warner et al. 1997), and physics schemes (Xue et al. 2001; Liang et al.
13 2004; Wang et al. 2004). Meanwhile, the nudging setup (including nudging method and coefficient
14 for time scale) has been introduced to overcome the problems caused by the above mentioned
15 factors (e.g., Marbaix et al. 2003; Gong and Wang 2000; Xu and Yang 2012). The RCM's ability to
16 add value in East Asian regional climate downscaling, where the region covers complex
17 topographical features, has been extensively investigated. For instance, Gao et al. (2011) tested the
18 RCM's dynamical downscaling ability in an extreme flood event during the summer of 1998 using
19 a set of numerical experiments with different land surface and cumulus schemes, initialization
20 methods, and locations of the lateral boundary. Kang and Hong (2008) investigated the sensitivity
21 of simulated climatology to convective parameterizations. Fu et al. (2005) conducted the RCM
22 inter-comparison project (RMIP), in which nine RCMs participated, and found that cumulus
23 parameterization is the most important factor that causes diversity of simulated regional climate in
24 East Asia. Ishizaki et al. (2012) examined the RCM's ability to simulate the Japanese climate using
25 five RCMs. They found the RCMs tend to overestimate weak rainy days and underestimate heavy

1 rainy days. Those studies focused on the RCM's performance in downscaling intra-seasonal to
2 seasonal variations, usually dealing with a typical weather event or climatological mean state.
3 However, with substantial interannual variability in the Earth's climate system, the results of
4 dynamical downscaling revealed in the previous studies are likely dependent on the climate
5 conditions during the target year/period. For example, the role of land surface processes on
6 precipitation strongly depends on the land surface conditions in the targeting year/period (Kanae et
7 al. 2001; Sato and Kimura 2007a). Therefore, the downscaling investigations targeting a select
8 year/period are insufficient to lead to a general conclusion about the RCM's performance. It is
9 important to evaluate the RCM's performance for multiple years and to clarify the influence of
10 interannual variability on the RCM's downscaling ability. We speculate that the RCM should have
11 different performance for dry years and wet years. This hypothesis will be tested in this study.

12 In this study, the RCM's ability to dynamically downscale seasonal means is evaluated first.
13 Then our evaluation extends to multiple years in order to take into account the influence of
14 year-to-year variation of environmental factors on performance of dynamical downscaling. The
15 analysis and experimental domain covers East Asia (Fig. 1) which consists of five sub-domains¹,
16 Mongolia (90-120°E, 42-52°N), Southeast China (S.E. China; 105-120°E, 22-28°N), North China
17 (N China; 105-120°E, 32-38°N), Northwest China (N.W. China; 90-105°E, 35-41°N), and the
18 Tibetan Plateau (90-105°E, 28-34°N). The five sub-domains contain a variety of climate
19 categories: cold and dry area, mountain area, tropical humid plain area, and the transition zone.
20 The RCM's downscaling ability in each subregion will be evaluated and compared. This study
21 mainly focuses on the investigation of the RCM's performance in simulating the East Asian
22 summer monsoon (EASM) during June-July-August (JJA). A measure of statistical indices
23 representing atmospheric circulation and precipitation is introduced for quantitative assessment.

¹ We define the sub-domain names here for convenience in the presentation. Some sub-regions cover several countries and/or regions

1 In this paper, the regional model setup is explained in Section 2; the verification data and
2 verification method are presented in Section 3; and evaluation of downscaled results for seasonal
3 mean as well as interannual variability is shown in Section 4. In Section 5, we will discuss
4 physical processes responsible for affecting RCM performance using additional sensitivity
5 experiments. Section 6 is the conclusion.

6

7 **2. Model description**

8 The regional atmospheric model adopted here is the WRF-ARW version 3.0.1 (Skamarock
9 et al. 2008) developed at the National Center for Atmospheric Research (NCAR). Horizontal mesh
10 size is 54 km (90 x 80 grids) and the vertical grid number is 28 layers. Figure 1 shows the
11 covered area. The WRF's short wave radiation parameterization is based on Dudhia (1989), and
12 the long wave radiation scheme is the Rapid Radiative Transfer Model (Mlawer et al. 1997). Other
13 physical parameterizations include cumulus convection scheme (Kain 2004), cloud microphysics
14 scheme (Hong et al. 2004), non-local parameterization of the planetary boundary layer (Hong et al.
15 2006), MM5 similarity theory for the surface layer, and Simplified Simple Biosphere Model
16 (SSiB) land surface (Xue et al. 1991, 2001). The SSiB is a biophysics-based model of
17 land-atmosphere interactions and is designed for global and regional studies. It consists of three
18 soil layers and one vegetation layer. The aerodynamic resistance values in SSiB are determined in
19 terms of vegetation properties, ground conditions, and bulk Richardson number according to the
20 modified Monin–Obukhov similarity theory. The model is intended to realistically simulate the
21 controlling biophysical processes and to provide fluxes of radiation, momentum, and sensible and
22 latent heat to RCMs.

23 The WRF is driven using atmospheric and surface forcing data obtained from NCEP-DOE
24 reanalysis 2 (NCEP2; Kanamitsu et al. 2002). NCEP2 reanalysis 2 may not be the best reanalysis
25 products for the East Asian climate (see discussions in next section). However, this study

1 emphasizes how much value is added by the dynamical downscaling. We need to select the best
2 reanalysis data as a reference to assess the model results. It is not necessary to select the best
3 reanalysis data as a LBC. Four outmost rows of the grid points from the lateral boundary are
4 nudged to the forcing dataset. The period of simulation is 11-year from 1993 through 2003. The
5 initial time for each year's numerical integration is 25 May and the ending time is 1 September of
6 the same year, covering the East Asian summer monsoon period. The first 7 days are for spin-up
7 and the latter 3 months (June, July, and August) are applied for analysis. Hereafter, the ensemble of
8 the numerical experiments with the aforementioned setting is referred to as the CNTL run. In
9 Section 5.1 we discuss the investigation on the uncertainty raised from the land surface processes.
10 The sensitivity experiments, named NOAH and GSWP, will be introduced (See Section 5.1 for
11 detail).

12

13 **3. Verification data**

14 We evaluate the downscaled results based on statistical measure using atmospheric
15 variables and surface precipitation. The Asian Precipitation - Highly Resolved Observational Data
16 Integration Towards the Evaluation of Water Resources (APHRODITE; Yatagai et al. 2009) is
17 adopted as the truth for precipitation. The APHRODITE is a daily precipitation dataset on a
18 0.25-degree grid based on rain gauge measurements but with consideration of orographic
19 enhancement.

20 Since there are a limited number of observations for the atmosphere, we have to adopt
21 reanalysis data for evaluation of the atmospheric circulation simulation. However, there are
22 substantial differences among several available reanalysis datasets. We believe that the GAME
23 reanalysis data (Yamazaki et al. 2003) would be most reliable for the East Asian region because, in
24 the GAME reanalysis, additional upper-air sounding conducted during the GAME intensive
25 observing period in 1998 was incorporated and a high-resolution GCM was used for data

1 assimilation (Yamazaki et al. 2003). The GAME reanalysis, however, covers only the warm season
2 in 1998 corresponding to the intensive observation period. Therefore, we first evaluated three
3 global reanalysis data, ERA-Interim (hereafter, ERA; Dee et al. 2011), JRA25 (Onogi et al. 2007),
4 and NCEP2, using the GAME reanalysis data as a reference during June-July-August of 1998.
5 The results show that all these reanalyses are generally closer to GAME reanalysis in the East
6 Asian region. Table 1 lists the comparisons of reanalysis datasets for June-July-August in 1998 by
7 using basic statistics of four meteorological indices (upper troposphere zonal wind, middle
8 troposphere pressure height, lower troposphere temperature, and lower troposphere meridional
9 moisture transport) over East Asia ($85\text{-}120^{\circ}\text{E}$, $25\text{-}52^{\circ}\text{N}$), in which the GAME reanalysis is used as
10 the truth. For every index, each reanalysis shows very high spatial correlation with the GAME
11 reanalysis. Only for meridional moisture transport at 850 hPa, the NCEP2 shows slightly lower
12 correlation. Meanwhile, JRA25 and NCEP2 have relatively large biases in the geopotential height
13 at 500 hPa. The ERA data appear to be closer to the GAME reanalysis. Hereafter, this study uses
14 ERA as the verification data of the atmospheric properties. We also check the
15 root-mean-square-error (RMSE) and find the results are very consistent with the bias. Since the
16 bias also shows positive and negative features, to make the discussion more concise, we only
17 present the bias in this paper.

18

19 **4. Assessing dynamical downscaling**

20 The JJA-mean atmospheric 200-hPa zonal wind and 500-hPa geopotential height of the ERA
21 reanalysis averaged from 1993 through 2003 are shown in Fig. 2. The strongest upper level zonal
22 wind is around 40°N , which corresponds to the westerly jet running to the north of the Tibetan
23 Plateau. The core of the westerly jet is found around $80^{\circ}\text{-}90^{\circ}\text{E}$ (Fig. 2a). The tropical easterly jet in
24 low troposphere is observed equator-ward at around 25°N (not shown). The contrast in zonal wind
25 in the upper troposphere is associated with the Tibetan High centered around 30°N , which is

1 maintained by diabatic heating resulting from deep convection in Southeast Asia as well as surface
2 heating over the Tibetan Plateau (Hoskins and Rodwell 1995). In the middle troposphere at
3 500-hPa, zonal structure is evident to the north of 40°N, weakly meandering and having a ridge
4 near 100°E and a trough near 120°E (Fig. 2b). The meandering feature of 500-hPa geopotential
5 height is associated with a distribution of arid climate in mid-latitude Asia through a modulation of
6 mid-latitude storm activity due to large-scale orographic effects (Broccoli and Manabe 1992). The
7 meridional gradient of geopotential height becomes weaker at lower latitudes. In the lower
8 troposphere, temperature at 850 hPa shows a warmer area over the Middle East, North India,
9 Central Asia, and Northern China, corresponding to the arid and semi-arid areas (not shown).
10 Moisture transport in the lower troposphere, which is the most important feature in the convective
11 process within the EASM, shows distinct northward moisture flow from the North Bay of Bengal
12 and coastal regions over Southeast China as a result of conjunction of southwesterly flow from the
13 Indian Monsoon and clockwise circulation due to the Western North Pacific subtropical High (Fig.
14 3).

15

16 **4.1 Validation of dynamical downscaling of circulation**

17 Figure 3 shows comparisons of upper-level zonal wind (U200), middle-level geopotential
18 height (H500), and meridional moisture flux (vq850) for ERA, which is taken as a reference in this
19 study, NCEP2 (LBC data), and CNTL (downscaled). The CNTL results in Fig. 4 are from the
20 average of the 11-year simulations. Long-term mean structures for U200 in ERA and NCEP2 are
21 very similar as spatial correlation reaches 0.99 (Table 2). In CNTL, however, the westerly jet core
22 along with the associated minimum wind center appears further to the west. Meanwhile, the high
23 wind speed center over the Philippian area as shown in the reanalyses is extended northwest onto
24 the continent in CNTL. These deficiencies lead to lower spatial correlation and large biases for the
25 200-hPa zonal wind. In contrast, CNTL captures the spatial pattern in middle tropospheric

1 geopotential height as the correlation coefficient is 0.96. Meanwhile, it also produces a lot of
2 small-scale spatial variability corresponding to its relatively high resolution compared with
3 reanalyses (Fig. 3). The simulated northern part of the southwest-northeast oriented trough axis,
4 however, shifts much to the west, resulting in low geopotential height appearing in northern China
5 and Mongolia and contributing to the significant negative bias (Table 2). The CNTL run has a large
6 cold bias in temperature at 850 hPa. The comparison indicates that dynamical downscaling in this
7 study over East Asia does not successfully add value to the forcing reanalysis data in terms of the
8 atmospheric structure in the upper and middle troposphere, which is consistent with previous
9 studies using simulations of a few years (e.g., Castro et al. 2005; Gao et al. 2011).

10 On the other hand, the downscaled meridional moisture transport at 850 hPa (vq850),
11 which is crucially important for EASM precipitation activity, retains a similar spatial correlation to
12 the driving reanalysis, in which 11-year mean correlation coefficients are 0.82 and 0.81 for NCEP2
13 and CNTL, respectively (Table 2). Mean bias in vq850, however, is significantly reduced
14 according to the downscaling (Table 2). The value added in low-level meridional moisture
15 transport has also been found in other downscaling studies (e.g., Xue et al. 2007). It should be
16 pointed out that to assess the model's ability to improve interannual variability, the 11-year
17 statistics are based on every year's statistics and then the averages of these results are reported in
18 Table 2 (and other similar tables in this paper). Table 2 demonstrates that dynamical downscaling
19 is likely to improve the simulation of the vq850 pattern's interannual variability. Considering the
20 fact that the vq850 is a crucial factor affecting the convective activity in EASM, it is expected that
21 the JJA precipitation in East Asia may have robust improvement due to dynamical downscaling.

22

23 **4.2 Verification of dynamical downscaling of precipitation**

24 Many studies have suggested that dynamical downscaling improves the spatial pattern of
25 warm season rainfall due to its high resolution for topography and/or physical representations (e.g.,

1 Christensen et al. 1998; Mo et al. 2000; Wang, et al. 2003; Zhang et al. 2003; De Sales and Xue
2 2006; Sato et al. 2008). However, few studies (e.g., De Sales and Xue 2011) focused on the
3 interannual variation of precipitation. In this section, we will analyze downscaled precipitation for
4 each year in order to clarify whether the RCM is capable of simulating interannual variation of
5 EASM precipitation features that is controlled by interannual variation of large-scale circulations.

6 Figure 4 illustrates 11-year JJA-mean precipitation in the observation (APHRODITE),
7 NCEP2, and CNTL. Obviously, precipitation in CNTL successfully captures detailed distribution
8 of precipitation in East Asia that was originally absent in the reanalysis data, which agrees with
9 previous studies (e.g., Xie et al. 2006; Sato et al. 2007c; De Sales and Xue 2011). NCEP2
10 significantly overestimates precipitation over southeastern Asia. The precipitation to the south of
11 the Yangtze River in China also has a substantially wet bias, which was overcome in the CNTL.
12 In particular, orographic enhancement of precipitation is found to be improved over the Himalayas
13 and complex terrain over the Tibetan Plateau, as well as the Tian Shan Mountains located in
14 Northwestern China. The meridional contrasting feature of aridity along North China and
15 Mongolia is more accurately simulated in CNTL. NCEP2 overestimates the extremely arid area
16 there. However, CNTL also shows some deficiencies in downscaling. Mean precipitation due to
17 northeastward migration of EASM, for instance, is overestimated in CNTL, which causes stronger
18 precipitation in the Huai River basin (between 30°N to 40°N). CNTL fails to reproduce high
19 precipitation along the western coastal area in the eastern Bay of Bengal probably due to its
20 location too close to the boundary. A spectacular amount of convective precipitation is simulated in
21 the Bay of Bengal and northern Indochina Peninsula in CNTL, which is also the southern
22 boundary of the domain. This feature is probably related to the WRF-ARW's LBC treatment in the
23 tropical region. Furthermore, precipitation over the East China Sea is low compared to the Climate
24 Prediction Center Merged Analysis of Precipitation (Xie and Arkin 1997. not shown).

25 The comparison of average JJA precipitation statistics in NCEP2 and CNTL is

1 summarized in Table 3. The correlation coefficients are calculated against observation for each
2 year from 1993 through 2003, and then mean correlation coefficient is calculated. Therefore, these
3 statistics also include the information of the interannual variability, which will be the focus of the
4 next section. We have conducted the T-test to check whether the differences between NCEP2 and
5 CNTL are statistically significant. It turns out that except for the correlation difference in
6 Northwest China and Southeast China, correlation differences in other subregions and bias
7 difference in every subregion are statistically significant at more than a 90% level. Among them
8 the majority have more than 95% significance level. Table 3 shows that the WRF produces
9 higher spatial correlation with observation than NCEP2 for the whole domain, consistent with our
10 discussion based on Fig. 4. However, the model has different downscaling ability over different
11 subregions. Precipitation in North China deteriorates in the CNTL run with both lower spatial
12 correlation and larger bias compared to the NCEP2. The bias in North China indicates that the
13 rainfall along the Meiyu rainfall band was too strong, which might be responsible for the
14 meridional position of the westerly jet axis and will be addressed in Section 5.2. Over the
15 Mongolian domain the spatial pattern of precipitation is ameliorated, although the bias gets
16 significantly larger, which also will be discussed later. Opposite to the Mongolian domain, the
17 downscaled JJA precipitation in S.E. China produces lower bias but similar spatial correlation
18 (very low) to the NCEP2. In N.W. China, which covers the northern part of the Tibetan Plateau and
19 Loess Plateau, the spatial pattern of precipitation is slightly better in CNTL than NCEP2. Over the
20 Tibetan Plateau, downscaled precipitation produces a significantly higher spatial pattern
21 correlation with lower bias. By and large, the reduction of the wet bias or the increase in
22 correlation in CNTL is robust even when we take the interannual variation into account.

23

24 **4.3 Regional and interannual dependence of precipitation downscaling ability**

25 To more closely evaluate the WRF's ability to downscale precipitation interannual

1 variability, we examine the model performance over five subdomains with more comprehensive
2 analyses.

3

4 4.3.1 The Mongolian subdomain

5 This section examines the effect of dynamical downscaling for each year over the
6 Mongolian region, which is defined in the introduction. The dynamical downscaling over East
7 Asia has the best performance in this region. Figure 5 shows the Taylor diagram (Taylor 2001) for
8 JJA precipitation over Mongolia. In many studies, the Taylor diagram is used to investigate the
9 difference of the model results relative to the reference data by showing spatial correlation and
10 standard deviation after subtracting the mean of the atmospheric variables. The systematic bias is
11 taken out. The precipitation deviation from its mean is then normalized with standard deviation
12 of observed JJA precipitation in each year to make the results from different years more
13 compatible. Therefore, the radial axis in Fig. 5 represents the normalized precipitation instead of
14 the absolute precipitation amount in each year. The angle from the lateral axis indicates the pattern
15 correlation, and the radius from the origin (0, 0) indicates the standard deviation against the
16 observed precipitation's; i.e., the value 1 indicates that simulated and observed standard deviation
17 are equal. The distance from the reference (APHRODITE's observation, red star) corresponds to
18 the relative root mean squared error (RMSE). The 2-digit numbers shown in Fig. 5 correspond to
19 the year evaluated. The two sensitivity experiments (NOAH and GSWP) are also plotted and will
20 be discussed in Section 5. Two-digit numbers with different colors plotted in the diagram indicate
21 the year analyzed with different experiments, respectively. For example, the years with red colors
22 (CNTL) are clearly separated from the years with black colors (NCEP2). In most years, the RMSE
23 and pattern correlation in CNTL are better than in NCEP2. Further analysis indicates that the
24 downscaling performance is associated with ability to simulate interannual variation of the JJA
25 mean precipitation over Central Mongolia. With careful comparison for each year, the effect of

1 dynamical downscaling is classified into two groups—the years with significant improvements
2 (1993, 1994, 1995, 1996, 1997, and 2000) and the years with no improvements (1998, 1999, 2001,
3 and 2002)—which indicates that the effect of dynamical downscaling for the Mongolian region
4 precipitation depends on the targeting year, and hence, to make an objective evaluation, the
5 verification of downscaling ability should be conducted for multiple years.

6 To identify under what conditions the RCM causes better downscaling, we delineate the
7 interannual variation of JJA precipitation over Mongolia (Fig. 6). The summers of 1999, 2001, and
8 2002 have the lowest precipitation during the period of this study. It seems the dynamical
9 downscaling over Mongolia does not induce meaningful improvement for those dry summers. It
10 has been suggested that the lower performance for those dry years is attributed to the treatment of
11 land surface processes since the effects of soil moisture and evapotranspiration become more
12 important under dry conditions than those expected under wet conditions (Koster et al. 2004; Guo
13 et al. 2006; Fischer et al. 2007). In Section 5.2, further discussion is conducted with two sensitivity
14 experiments on this issue.

15 On the other hand, the simulated precipitation pattern deteriorates in 1998 despite
16 abundant precipitation in Mongolia during the summer. It is well known that the EASM in 1998
17 brought massive precipitation in many areas in East Asia (Wang et al. 2003). Precipitation in
18 eastern Mongolia was also very large during the JJA summer (not shown). However, the WRF fails
19 to simulate northward migration of the Meiyu/Baiu front in 1998, which affects precipitation
20 scores in the Mongolian region in 1998 in CNTL. Despite the deficiency in simulating the
21 precipitation amount, however, the simulated standard deviation is improved and the RMSE
22 remains about the same (Fig. 5)

23 In summary, the RCM is capable of improving JJA precipitation in Mongolia in normal
24 and most wet years. In contrast, the dynamical downscaling ability is limited in dry years, which
25 may be attributed to surface processes in the RCM and will be discussed later.

1

2 4.3.2 Four other subdomains

3 In the other four subregions, the improvement and the relationship between WRF
4 downscaling ability and dry/wet years are not as clear as in the Mongolian subregion. Figure 7
5 shows the Taylor diagram of JJA precipitation for the other four subdomains and shows that the
6 WRF generally has better simulations than NCEP2 Reanalysis in S.E. China, N.W. China, and the
7 Tibetan regions. Over S.E. China, dynamical downscaling has the best performance among these
8 four regions. It improves standard deviation for all 11 years and pattern correlation for six out of
9 11 years. Only one year's correlation gets worse. In N. China, the WRF has the worst performance.
10 Both RMSE and spatial correlation get worse after dynamical downscaling (Fig. 7b). Over S.E.
11 China and N. China, southerly wind is dominant during the EASM. Since S.E. China is located
12 upstream of the southerly wind and closer to the southern lateral boundary, the imposed LBC may
13 help the precipitation downscaling. Different from the Mongolian region, the precipitation in N.
14 China very much depends on the EASM's northward movement. Its adequate simulation is very
15 challenging and the WRF apparently fails to produce this feature well. The deficiency in
16 simulation of the upper level jet may also affect the precipitation simulation in N. China.

17 In the two western regions, the downscaling makes improvements in some aspects but no
18 improvement or even deterioration in other aspects. The spatial correlation of JJA precipitation in
19 N.W. China (Fig. 7c) is slightly improved in five out of 11 years. Meanwhile, the standard
20 deviation and RMSE are similar. Downscaled precipitation has improved spatial correlation in the
21 Tibetan Plateau for all 11 years, but standard deviation is too high and RMSE is also larger in
22 CNTL than NCEP2 (Fig. 7d). Better representation of complex terrains in the RCM should help
23 better CNTL's simulation of precipitation pattern in the Tibetan Plateau.

24 The precipitation bias for individual year is investigated. In N. China, N.W. China, and
25 Tibetan Plateau, wet bias tends to be large in dry years, i.e., too much rainfall in dry years (not

1 shown), which is similar to what we found in Mongolia (Fig. 6). Contrastingly, in S.E. China, dry
2 bias is significant in wet years while the bias is relatively small in dry years (not shown). The
3 precipitation bias depends on the year and the region. In addition, we also analyze the standard
4 deviation for interannual variation of JJA precipitation for each sub-domain (Table 4). Consistent
5 with the general improvements discussed above, the interannual variation of precipitation is much
6 improved after dynamical downscaling except for S.E. China, which suggests that dynamical
7 downscaling plays a role in improving the simulation of the summer precipitation interannual
8 variation. This discovery is different from another downscaling study (De Sales and Xue 2012), in
9 which the 22-year winter prediction for North America from the NCEP Climate Forecast System is
10 downscaled. De Sales and Xue (2012) have found that although the RCM improves the spatial
11 distribution and intensity of precipitation substantially, there is no improvement in interannual
12 variability. Whether this is due to different domains, seasons, or imposed LBCs requires further
13 investigation with more models.

14

15 **5. Discussion**

16 **5.1 Influence of land surface processes during dry years**

17 Figure 5 shows that downscaled precipitation has no improvement in relatively drier
18 years such as 1999, 2001, and 2002. In fact, low precipitation in summer of 2002 was the most
19 serious of the last few decades, having caused severe drought in Mongolia (Natsagdorj and
20 Dagvadorj 2010). Land surface processes have been considered as a major factor that affects RCM
21 downscaling (Xue et al. 2001, 2004). Because East Asia has been identified as one of the regions
22 having strongest land/atmosphere interactions (Xue et al. 2010b), we conduct an additional
23 experiment to clarify the role of land surface processes in downscaling in East Asia. Two
24 sensitivity experiments are conducted. Firstly, NCEP2-derived initial soil moisture is replaced by
25 soil moisture based on the Second Global Soil Wetness Project (GSWP-2, Dirmeyer et al. 2006) in

1 order to verify the sensitivity of downscaling ability to initial soil moisture data (referred to as
2 GSWP experiment). Initial soil moisture has been considered to have a strong effect on
3 precipitation downscaling (e.g., Pielke et al. 1999). Secondary, the SSiB land surface scheme is
4 replaced with Noah-LSM (referred to as NOAH experiment. Chen and Dudhia 2001) to investigate
5 the uncertainty of precipitation downscaling due to land surface schemes.

6 In the GSWP-2 13 land surface models participated in a 10-year simulation with observed
7 climate and reanalysis forcing (Dirmeyer et al. 2006). Due to data availability, in this study we
8 used multi-model ensemble monthly mean climatology (1986-1995) soil moisture in May as the
9 initial condition for the GSWP run. The multi-model ensemble means normally show better
10 climate representation unless systematic biases for every model exist (Xue et al. 2010a). Since it is
11 difficult to accurately measure soil moisture at the continental scale from ground and satellite
12 observations, we consider the climatology of the GSWP-2 as the best available data set. The
13 comparison of soil moisture between GSWP-2 and NCEP2 (Fig. 8) shows that the soil moisture in
14 NCEP2 reanalysis (hence CNTL initial condition) is apparently wetter than the GSWP-2's
15 estimation. In particular, initial soil moisture in GSWP over N.W. China and Mongolia is drier than
16 that in CNTL by more than 20%. Over dry regions around N. China and Mongolia,
17 column-integrated soil moisture in GSWP-2 is 0.2 kg m^{-2} less than in the NCEP2 (0.60 kg m^{-2} for
18 N. China and 0.46 kg m^{-2} for Mongolia, respectively, in NCEP2). Since the soil moisture anomaly
19 has substantial influence on precipitation in arid and semi-arid regions (Guo et al. 2006), it is
20 necessary to test whether the initial soil moisture in CNTL is responsible for the large precipitation
21 bias over Mongolia in CNTL (Table 3).

22 Figure 5 shows the Taylor diagram for three experiments: CNTL, GSWP, and NOAH
23 over the Mongolian region. The results from the GSWP experiment apparently show the best
24 performance. Over the Mongolian region, more than 80 % of precipitation during May to October
25 in 1998 comes from the evaporation around that area (Yoshimura et al. 2004). Sato et al. (2007b)

1 has also shown that a large portion of atmospheric moisture is supplied as a result of
2 evapotranspiration from the land surface over inland areas like Mongolia. By analyzing moisture
3 sources around Northeast Asia, Sato et al. (2007b) found that one third of atmospheric moisture
4 was maintained by local evapotranspiration in Mongolia. The largest contributor is
5 evapotranspiration in upstream regions such as Siberia. Therefore, accurate evapotranspiration
6 over inland areas is crucial for higher precipitation scores. In contrast, the contribution of local
7 evapotranspiration becomes smaller in N. China and S.E. China as larger amounts of moisture are
8 supplied from tropical oceans by EASM flow (Sato 2009), which should partially explain the WRF
9 downscaling performance discussed in Section 4.

10 Figure 6 shows that wetter initial soil moisture in CNTL produces overestimated JJA
11 precipitation while corrected (lower) initial soil moisture brings reasonable precipitation in the
12 GSWP run and reduces the RMSE (Fig. 5). Meanwhile, spatial pattern correlation is also improved
13 owing to the initial soil moisture correction. Figure 9 shows differences in surface fluxes between
14 the GSWP and CNTL runs. In the GSWP run latent heat flux is significantly reduced because of
15 less initial soil moisture; then more energy is partitioned into sensible heat flux in almost all areas
16 in East Asia. The amount of latent heat decrease is most prominent in Mongolia, N. China, and
17 N.W. China where soil moisture is very low (Fig. 8). This means that initial soil moisture reduction
18 in the GSWP run strongly limits the moisture supply to the atmosphere in the semi-arid and arid
19 drylands. Figures 5 and 7 show that the initial soil moisture effect is more important in Mongolia
20 and N.W. China than in other sub-domains. In these two regions, the results from the GSWP runs
21 are clearly separated from other runs and NCEP2. These two regions are located in inland/high
22 altitudes where moisture supply from the ocean is hard to reach. The contribution ratio of land
23 evapotranspiration to total atmospheric moisture should be relatively large compared to other
24 sub-domains. In other words, sensitivity of precipitation to initial soil moisture depends on the
25 moisture recycling ratio. Additionally, a large moisture gradient between land and atmosphere (i.e.,

1 dry atmosphere and wetter soil) efficiently releases water from the vegetation surface toward the
2 atmosphere. This supports the fact that the GSWP run has a significant improvement in
3 performance in dry years (such as 1999, 2001, and 2002) while CNTL run fails to add value to the
4 forcing reanalysis data.

5 To more clearly demonstrate the effect of initial soil moisture on dry years, the
6 precipitation and moisture flux anomaly patterns in JJA 2002 are shown in Fig. 10. The
7 precipitation in 2002 was very low compared to the 11-year climatology. It was less than 50%
8 compared to climatology in Central Mongolia. In the CNTL run, the observed anomalous pattern is
9 not properly simulated (Fig. 10b). With the initial soil moisture correction, the GSWP run captures
10 the anomalous precipitation pattern around Central Mongolia in 2002 (Fig. 10c). The initial soil
11 moisture has a strong impact on the local water recycling. But its effect on the synoptic-scale
12 circulation pattern is unclear (not shown).

13 Although the absolute value of the Mongolian precipitation is closer to observation in
14 the GSWP run than in CNTL (Fig. 6), the precipitation in GSWP still overestimates after 1999, a
15 dry period. This is probably due to the use of the 10-year average (1986-1995) soil moisture in the
16 GSWP run. In dry years, such as 1999, 2000, 2001, and 2002, soil moisture is expected to be lower
17 than climatology. Therefore, it is desirable to use corrected soil moisture with interannual variation
18 taken into account. In addition, interannual variation of land surface conditions, such as albedo,
19 vegetation fraction, and roughness, would be important since some studies have pointed out the
20 influences of land surface parameters on interannual variability of precipitation (Park and Hong
21 2004; Li and Xue 2005; Kang et al. 2007).

22 In the land scheme experiment, the runs for the NOAH experiment are clearly separated
23 from the CNTL (Fig. 7) with less improvement from the reanalysis data, which suggests that land
24 surface scheme has a deterministic effect on the performance of the precipitation downscaling,
25 particularly in dry areas like Mongolia. However, the soil moisture effect in this area is larger than

1 the land scheme effects (Fig. 6). Since the land model in NCEP2 is the predecessor of NOAH,
2 the close performance between NCEP2 and NOAH again confirms the land processes' crucial role
3 in regional climate downscaling. Over S.E. China and N. China, NOAH tends to have lower
4 performance for spatial correlation (0.04 and -0.23, respectively) than CNTL but similar results for
5 RMSE and standard deviation. Over N.W. China and the Tibetan Plateau, NOAH and CNTL show
6 similar spatial correlation, but biases tend to be larger in NOAH (0.96 and 3.63, respectively). A
7 comprehensive discussion for the causes of the differences between CNTL and NOAH is out of the
8 scope and not the purpose of this paper. The results present here just indicate that the land surface
9 process/parameterizations is one of the important causes that produced the diverse in the RCM
10 performance. The experiments with different land schemes are preliminary. More experiments
11 are required to comprehensively investigate the impact of land surface schemes on the ability of
12 dynamical downscaling for long time scales.

13 Re-initialization of atmospheric variables is a useful approach to improve precipitation
14 scores around the N. China and N.W. China (e.g., Gao et al. 2011). The GSWP runs in this study
15 suggest the re-initialization of soil moisture has the potential to improve the precipitation
16 downscaling. Since the water recycling is an important process for precipitation in the arid
17 region, the frequent correction of soil moisture has a role to ameliorate precipitation biases,
18 especially for longer term simulations. The RCM requires sufficient spin-up duration in the arid
19 regions when initial soil moisture contains biases because the adjustment of soil moisture takes a
20 long time during dry condition. Our result suggests that the spin-up duration would be shortened in
21 the arid regions if one can reduce biases in initial soil moisture. Further sensitivity studies are
22 necessary to examine the relationship between the length of spin-up duration and precipitation
23 downscaling.

24

25 **5.2 Large-scale circulation and location of Baiu/Meiyu front**

1 In Section 4.3.2, we confirmed that simulated precipitation along the Meiyu/Baiu front has
2 very low spatial correlation (N. China, Fig. 7b), which is caused by an incorrect location of the
3 precipitation belt along the Meiyu/Baiu front. This system is established under the complicated
4 thermodynamic balance among southward cold air flow along the Baiu trough and lower-level
5 humid southerly wind driven by the EASM and the Pacific high (e.g., Ninomiya and Akiyama
6 1992). Studies have found the role of the upper westerly jet to determine the location of the
7 precipitation belt (e.g., Liang and Wang 1998; Yoshikane et al. 2001; Sampe and Xie 2010). We
8 find that dynamical downscaling does not improve upper air large scale structures, such as zonal
9 wind speed (Fig. 3, Table 2). Castro et al. (2005) also showed large errors in synoptic-scale
10 circulation patterns in results from Type 2 downscaling. Thus, low performance for the
11 Baiu/Meiyu rainfall band is probably attributed to the problem of adequate simulation of upper air
12 circulation, especially in the westerly jet. Since the location of the westerly jet is determined by
13 many different factors, such as interaction of zonal mean flow and mountain ranges, diabatic
14 heating in the tropics and the Tibetan Plateau, and condensation heating along the Baiu/Meiyu
15 front, it is difficult to simulate the position of the westerly jet axis even with sophisticated models.
16 Some studies suggest a spectral nudging method (e.g., von Storch et al. 2000). Once position of the
17 westerly jet is improved, location of the precipitation belt is expected to improve, which eventually
18 leads to higher performance in the precipitation pattern in N. China. We conjecture that relatively
19 lower performance in 1998 over Mongolia (Fig. 5) is also associated with the biases in large scale
20 features. A great deal of effort must be carried out to study how to improve the mesoscale
21 features by dynamical downscaling through the improvement of large-scale circulation patterns.

22

23

24 **6. Conclusion**

25 In this study, the application of dynamical downscaling for simulating East Asian

1 summer regional climate and its interannual variability is examined using the WRF model.
2 Simulations driven by the NCEP reanalysis II are conducted for 11 years in order to investigate the
3 possible influence of interannual variation on downscaling ability. Statistical analysis based on the
4 downscaled data reveal that dynamical downscaling does not add value to large-scale features in
5 the upper and middle troposphere while meridional moisture transport in the lower troposphere is
6 improved after dynamical downscaling.

7 For precipitation over East Asia, it is confirmed that dynamical downscaling successfully
8 improves the precipitation pattern over Mongolia and the Tibetan Plateau where precipitation is
9 largely affected by topographic forcing and land-atmosphere interaction. Furthermore, interannual
10 variation is well produced by dynamical downscaling in all sub-domains except for S.E. China.
11 Over Mongolia, the performance in precipitation downscaling is strongly dependent on the year:
12 the RCM is skillful for normal and wet years, but not skillful for dry years, which suggests that
13 land surface processes play a dominant role in controlling downscaling ability.

14 A sensitivity experiment using GSWP-2-based soil moisture shows that precipitation bias is
15 significantly reduced by using corrected initial soil moisture in comparison to the control
16 experiment which uses NCEP2-derived soil moisture as an initial condition. The experiment also
17 suggests that the correction of initial soil moisture is necessary to improve precipitation scores for
18 dry years, for which simulation originally failed. Another sensitivity experiment using different
19 land surface schemes suggested that precipitation downscaling skill in inland areas is very
20 sensitive to the parameterizations of the land surface processes.

21 Analysis for the sub-domains indicates that precipitation features in N. China are the most
22 difficult to improve by dynamical downscaling because the location and activity of the Meiyu/Baiu
23 front is closely associated with both lower-level meridional moisture transport and upper-level
24 structures. It is necessary to have a realistic upper-air circulation pattern in the RCM as well as
25 lower-level moisture transport in order to improve the self-organized convective rainfall band in

1 East Asia over that region. Further studies are necessary to improve the RCM's performance for
2 large scale circulation.

3

4 **Acknowledgments**

5 The APHRODITE dataset was obtained at the project's web site (<http://www.chikyu.ac.jp/precip/>).

6 This study was funded by the Environment Research and Technology Development Fund S-8-1(2)
7 of the Ministry of the Environment, Japan, the Research Program on Climate Change Adaptation
8 (RECCA) of the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology, Japan, and the
9 National Science Foundation under grants AGS-1115506 and ATM-0751030. TS was supported
10 by the excellent young researcher overseas visit program funded by JSPS for visiting to UCLA.
11 The NCAR super computer has been used for the computation for this paper. We also appreciate
12 the help of Dr. Fernando De Sales in this study.

1 **References**

- 2 Broccoli A J, Manabe S (1992), The effects of orography on midlatitude northern hemisphere dry
3 climates. *J. Climate* 5: 1181-1201
- 4 Castro CL, Pielke Sr. RA, Leoncini G (2005), Dynamical downscaling: Assessment of value
5 retained and added using the Regional Atmospheric Modeling System (RAMS). *J.*
6 *Geophys. Res.* 110: D05108, doi:10.1029/2004JD004721
- 7 Chen F, Dudhia J (2001), Coupling an advanced land surface/hydrology model with the Penn
8 State/NCAR MM5 modeling system. Part I: Model description and implementation.
9 *Mon. Wea. Rev.* 129: 569-585
- 10 Christensen OB, Christensen JH, Machehauer B, Botzet M (1998), Very high-resolution regional
11 climate simulations over Scandinavia-Present climate. *J. Climate* 11: 3204-3229
- 12 Dee DP, Uppala SM, Simmons AJ, Berrisford P, Poli P, Kobayashi S, Andrae U, Balmaseda MA,
13 Balsamo G, Bauer P, Bechtold P, Beljaars ACM, van de Berg L, Bidlot J, Bormann N,
14 Delsol C, Dragani R, Fuentes M, Geer AJ, Haimberger L, Healy SB, Hersbach H, Hólm
15 EV, Isaksen L, Kållberg P, Köhler M, Matricardi M, McNally AP, Monge-Sanz BM,
16 Morcrette JJ, Park BK, Peubey C, de Rosnay P, Tavolato C, Thépaut JN, Vitart F (2011),
17 The ERA-Interim reanalysis: configuration and performance of the data assimilation
18 system. *Q. J. R. Meteor. Soc.* 137: 553–597
- 19 Denis B, Laprise RL, Caya D (2003), Sensitivity of a regional climate model to the resolution of
20 the lateral boundary conditions. *Clim. Dyn.* 20: 107-126 DOI
21 10.1007/s00382-002-0264-6
- 22 De Sales F, Xue Y (2006), Investigation of seasonal prediction of the South American regional
23 climate using the nested model system. *J. Geophys. Res.* 111: D20107
24 doi:10.1029/2005JD006989

- 1 De Sales F, Xue Y (2011), Assessing the Dynamic Downscaling Ability over South America using
2 a Precipitation Verification Approach. *Int. J. Climatol.* 31: 1205-1221. DOI:
3 10.1002/joc.2139
- 4 De Sales F, Xue Y (2012), Dynamic downscaling of CFS winter seasonal simulations with the
5 UCLA-ETA regional climate model over the United States. Submitted to *Climate*
6 *Dynamics*.
- 7 Dickinson RE, Errico RM, Giorgi F, Bates GT (1989), A regional climate model for western
8 United States. *Clim. Change* 15: 383-422
- 9 Diffenbaugh NS, Ashfaq M, Shuman B, Williams JW, Bartlein PJ (2006), Summer aridity in the
10 United States: Response to mid-Holocene changes in insolation and sea surface
11 temperature. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 33: L22712 doi:10.1029/2006GL028012
- 12 Dirmeyer PA, Gao X, Zhao M, Guo Z, Oki T, Hanasaki N (2006), GSWP-2: Multimodel analysis
13 and implications for our perception of the land surface. *Bull. Amer. Meteor. Soc.* 87:
14 1381–1397
- 15 Dudhia J (1989), Numerical study of convection observed during the winter monsoon experiment
16 using a mesoscale two-dimensional model. *J. Atmos. Sci.* 46: 3077–3107
- 17 Fischer EM, Seneviratne SI, Vidale PL, Luthi D, Schar C (2007), Soil moisture-atmosphere
18 interactions during the 2003 European summer heat wave. *J. Climate* 20: 5081-5099
- 19 Fu C, Wang S, Xiong Z, Gutowski WJ, Lee DK, McGregor JL, Sato Y, Kato H, Kim JW, Suh MS,
20 (2005), Regional Climate Model Intercomparison Project for Asia. *Bull. Amer. Meteor.*
21 *Soc.* 86: 257–266
- 22 Gao YH, Xue Y, Peng W, Kang HS, Waliser D (2011), Assessment of dynamic downscaling of the
23 extreme rainfall over East Asia using a regional climate model. *Adv. Atmos. Sci.* 28:
24 1077–1098 doi: 10.1007/s00376-010-0039-7
- 25 Giorgi F, Bates GT (1989), The climatological skill of a regional model over complex terrain. *Mon.*

- 1 Wea. Rev. 117: 2325-2347
- 2 Giorgi F, Mearns LO (1999), Introduction to special section: Regional climate modeling revisited.
3 J. Geophys. Res. 104: 6335-6352.
- 4 Giorgi F, Christensen J, Hulme, M., Storch, H, Whetton P , Jones R, Mearns L, Fu C, Arritt R,
5 Bates B, Benestad R, Boer G, Buishand A, Castro M, Chen D, Cramer W, Crane R,
6 Crossly J, Dehn M, Dethloff K, Dippner J, Emori S, Francisco R, Fyfe J, Gerstengarbe F,
7 Gutowski W, Gyalistras D, Hanssen-Bauer I, Hantel M, Hassell D, Heimann D, Jack C,
8 Jacobeit J, Kato H, Katz R, Kauker F, Knutson T, Lal M, Landsea C, Laprise R, Leung L,
9 Lynch A, May W, McGregor J, Miller N, Murphy J, Ribalaygua J, Rinke A,
10 Rummukainen M, Semazzi F, Walsh K, Werner P, Widmann M, Wilby R, Wild M, Xue
11 Y (2001), Regional Climate Information- Evaluation and Projections, Climate Change
12 2001: The Scientific Basis. Contribution of Working Group to the Third Assessment
13 Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change [Houghton, J.T. et al. (eds)].
14 Cambridge University Press, 881pp
- 15 Gong W, Wang WC (2000), A Regional Model Simulation of the 1991 Severe Precipitation Event
16 over the Yangtze–Huai River Valley. Part II: Model Bias. J. Climate 13: 93-108
- 17 Guo Z, and Coauthors (2006), GLACE: The Global Land–Atmosphere Coupling Experiment. Part
18 II: Analysis. J. Hydrometeor. 7: 611-625
- 19 Hong SY, Dudhia J, Chen SH (2004) A Revised Approach to Ice Microphysical Processes for the
20 Bulk Parameterization of Clouds and Precipitation. Mon. Wea. Rev. 132: 103–120
- 21 Hong SY, Noh Y, Dudhia J (2006), A new vertical diffusion package with an explicit treatment of
22 entrainment processes. Mon. Wea. Rev. 134: 2318–2341
- 23 Hoskins BJ, Rodwell MJ (1995), A Model of the Asian summer monsoon. Part I: The global scale.
24 J. Atmos. Sci. 52: 1329-1340.
- 25 Ishizaki NN, Takayabu I, Oh'izumi M, Sasaki H, Dairaku K, Iizuka S, Kimura F, Kusaka H,

- 1 Adachi SA, Kurihara K, Tanaka K (2012), Improved performance of simulated Japanese
2 climate with a multi-model ensemble. *J. Meteor. Soc. Japan* 90: 235-254
- 3 Kain JS (2004), The Kain-Fritsch convective parameterization: An update. *J. Appl. Meteor.* 43:
4 170–181
- 5 Kanamitsu M, Ebisuzaki W, Woollen J, Yang SK, Hnilo JJ, Fiorino M, Potter GL (2002):
6 NCEP–DOE AMIP-II Reanalysis (R-2). *Bull. Amer. Meteor. Soc.* 83: 1631–1643
- 7 Kanae S, Oki T, Musiaka K (2001), Impact of deforestation on regional precipitation over the
8 Indochina Peninsula. *J. Hydrometeor.* 2: 51-70
- 9 Kang HS, Xue Y, Collatz GJ (2007), Assessment of satellite-derived leaf area index datasets using
10 a general circulation model: seasonal variability. *J. Climate* 20: 993-1015
- 11 Kang HS, Hong, SY (2008), Sensitivity of the simulated East Asian summer monsoon climatology
12 to four convective parameterization schemes. *J. Geophys. Res.* 113: D15119,
13 doi:10.1029/2007JD009692
- 14 Koster RD, and Coauthors (2004), Regions of strong coupling between soil moisture and
15 precipitation. *Science* 305: 1138-1140 DOI: 10.1126/science.1100217
- 16 Li WP, Xue Y (2005), Numerical simulation of the impact of vegetation index on the interannual
17 variation of summer precipitation in the Yellow River Basin. *Adv. Atmos. Sci.* 22: 865-876.
- 18 Liang XZ, Wang WC (1998), Associations between China monsoon rainfall and tropospheric jets.
19 *Q. J. R. Meteor. Soc.* 124: 2597-2623.
- 20 Liang XZ, Li L, Kunkel KE, Ting M, Wang JXL (2004), Regional climate model simulation of U.S.
21 precipitation during 1982-2002, Part I: Annual cycle. *J. Climate*, 17: 3510-3529
- 22 Marbaix P, Gallee H, Brasseur O, van Ypersele JP (2003), Lateral boundary conditions in regional
23 climate models: A detailed study of the relaxation procedure. *Mon. Wea. Rev.* 131:
24 461-479
- 25 Mo K, Kanamitsu M, Juang HMH, Hong SY (2000), Ensemble regional and global climate

1 prediction for the 1997/1998 winter. J. Geophys. Res. 105: 29609-29623

2 Mlawer EJ, Taubman SJ, Brown PD, Iacono MJ, Clough SA (1997), Radiative transfer for
3 inhomogeneous atmosphere: RRTM, a validated correlated-k model for the longwave. J.
4 Geophys. Res. 102 (D14): 16663–16682

5 Natsagdorj L, Dagvadorj D (2010), The adaptation to climate change in Mongolia. *Ministry of*
6 *Nature, Environment and Tourism of Mongolia*, 26 pp., Ulaanbaatar. (In Mongolian)

7 Ninomiya K, Akiyama T (1992), Multi-scale features of Baiu, the summer monsoon over Japan
8 and East Asia. J. Meteor. Soc. Japan 70: 467-495

9 Onogi K, Tsutsui J, Koide H, Sakamoto M, Kobayashi S, Hatsushika H, Matsumoto T, Yamazaki
10 N, Kamahori H, Takahashi K, Kadokura S, Wada K, Kato K, Oyama R, Ose T, Mannoji
11 N, Taira R (2007), The JRA-25 Reanalysis. J. Meteor. Soc. Japan 85: 369-432

12 Park S, Hong SY (2004), The role of surface boundary forcing over south Asia in the Indian
13 summer monsoon circulation: A regional climate model sensitivity study. Geophys. Res.
14 Lett. 31: L12112 doi:10.1029/2004GL019729

15 Pielke Sr. RA, Liston GE, Eastman JL, Lu L, Coughenour M (1999), Seasonal weather prediction
16 as an initial value problem. J. Geophys. Res., 104, 19463-19479.

17 Sampe T, Xie SP (2010), Large-Scale dynamics of the Meiyu-Baiu rainband: environmental
18 forcing by the westerly jet. J. Climate 23: 113-134

19 Sato T, Kimura F (2007a), Comparative study on the land-cover change and global warming
20 impacts on regional climate in Northeast Asia. Proceedings of the 19th Conference on
21 Climate Variability and Change, San Antonio, TX. Extended Abstract available at
22 <http://ams.confex.com/ams/pdfpapers/117639.pdf>

23 Sato T, Tsujimura M, Yamanaka T, Iwasaki H, Sugimoto A, Sugita M, Kimura F, Davaa G,
24 Oyunbaatar D (2007b), Water sources in semi-arid Northeast Asia as revealed by field
25 observations and isotope transport model. J. Geophys. Res. –Atmos. 112: D17112

1 doi:10.1029/2006JD008321

2 Sato T, F Kimura, A Kitoh (2007c), Projection of global warming onto regional precipitation over
3 Mongolia using a regional climate model. *J. Hydrol.*, 333: 144-154,
4 doi:10.1016/j.jhydrol.2006.07.023

5 Sato T, Yoshikane T, Satoh M, Miura H, Fujinami H (2008), Resolution dependency of the diurnal
6 cycle of convective clouds over the Tibetan Plateau in a mesoscale model. *J. Meteor.*
7 *Soc. Japan* 86A: 17-31

8 Sato T (2009), Influences of subtropical jet and Tibetan Plateau on precipitation pattern in Asia:
9 Insights from regional climate modeling. *Quat. Int.* 194: 148-158
10 doi:10.1016/j.quaint.2008.07.008

11 Skamarock WC, Klemp JB, Dudhia J, Gill DO, Barker DM, Duda MG, Huang XY, Wang W,
12 Powers JG (2008), A description of the advanced research WRF version 3. NCAR
13 TECHNICAL NOTE , NCAR/TN-475+STR

14 von Storch H, Langerberg H, Feser F (2000), A spectral nudging technique for dynamical
15 downscaling purposes. *Mon. Wea. Rev.* 128: 3664-3673

16 Taylor KE (2001), Summarizing multiple aspects of model performance in a single diagram. *J.*
17 *Geophys. Res.* 106: 7183-7192

18 Treadon RE, Petersen RA (1993), Domain size sensitivity experiments using the NMC Eta model.
19 Preprints, Proc. 13th Conference on Weather Analysis and Forecasting, Vienna, VA,
20 USA, pp.176-177.

21 Wang Y, Sen OL, Wang B (2003), A highly resolved regional climate model (IPRC-RegCM) and
22 its simulation of the 1998 severe precipitation event over China. Part I: Model
23 description and verification of simulation. *J. Climate* 16: 1721-1738

24 Wang Y, Leung LR, McGregor JL, Lee DK, Wang WC, Ding Y, Kimura F (2004), Regional
25 Climate Modeling: Progress, Challenges, and Prospects. *J. Meteor. Soc. Japan* 82:

- 1 1599-1628
- 2 Warner TT, Peterson RA, Treadon RE (1997), A tutorial on lateral boundary conditions as a basic
3 and potentially serious limitation to regional numerical weather prediction. *Bull. Amer.*
4 *Meteor. Soc.* 78: 2599-2617
- 5 Xie P, Arkin PA (1997), Global precipitation: a 17-year monthly analysis based on gauge
6 observations, satellite estimates and numerical model outputs. *Bull. Amer. Meteor. Soc.* 78:
7 2539–2558.
- 8 Xie SP, Xu H, Saji NH, Wang Y, Liu WT (2006), Role of narrow mountains in large-scale
9 organization of Asian monsoon convection. *J. Climate* 19: 3420-3429
- 10 Xu ZF, Yang ZL (2012), An improved dynamical downscaling method with GCM bias corrections
11 and its validation with 30 years of climate simulations, *J. Climate*, in press.
- 12 Xue Y, Sellers PJ, Kinter JL, Shukla J (1991), A simplified biosphere model for global climate
13 studies. *J. Climate* 4: 345–364
- 14 Xue Y, Zeng FJ, Mitchell KE, Janjic Z, Rogers E (2001), The impact of land surface processes on
15 simulations of the U.S. hydrological cycle: A case study of the 1993 flood using the
16 SSiB land surface model in the NCEP Eta regional model. *Mon. Wea. Rev.* 129:
17 2833–2860
- 18 Xue Y, Juang HMM, Li W, Prince S, DeFries R, Jiao Y, Vasic R (2004), Role of land surface
19 processes in monsoon development: East Asia and West Africa. *J. Geophys. Res.* 109:
20 D03105 doi:10.1029/2003JD003556.
- 21 Xue Y, Vasic R, Janjic Z, Mesinger F, Mitchell KE (2007), Assessment of Dynamic Downscaling
22 of the Continental U.S. Regional Climate Using the Eta/SSiB Regional Climate Model.
23 *J. Climate* 20: 4172–4193
- 24 Xue Y, De Sales F, Lau KMW, Boone A, Feng J, Dirmeyer P, Guo Z, Kim KM, Kitoh A, Kumar V,
25 Pocard-Leclercq I, Mahowald N, Moufouma-Okia W, Pegion P, Rowell D, Schubert SD,

- 1 Sealy A, Thiaw WM, Vintzileos A, Williams S, Wu MLC (2010a), Intercomparison and
2 analyses of the climatology of the West African Monsoon in the West African Monsoon
3 Modeling and Evaluation Project (WAMME) First Model Intercomparison Experiment.
4 In Special Issue “West African Monsoon and its Modeling”. *Clim. Dyn.* 35: 3-27. DOI:
5 10.1007/s00382-010-0778-2.
- 6 Xue Y, De Sales F, Vasic R, Mechooso CR, Prince SD, Arakawa A (2010b), Global and temporal
7 characteristics of seasonal climate/vegetation biophysical process (VBP) interactions. *J.*
8 *Climate* 23: 1411–1433.
- 9 Xue Y, Vasic R, Janjic J, Liu YM, and Chu PC (2012), The impact of spring subsurface soil
10 temperature anomaly in the Western U.S. on North American summer precipitation – a case
11 study using regional climate model downscaling. *Journal Geophysical Research.* 117,
12 D11103, doi:10.1029/2012JD017692
- 13 Yamazaki N, Takahashi L, Yatagai A (2003), Report on the GAME reanalysis. GAME Phase 1
14 Summary Reports. GAME Publication 37: 81–87
- 15 Yatagai A, Arakawa O, Kamiguchi K, Kawamoto H, Nodzu MI, Hamada A (2009), A 44-Year
16 Daily Gridded Precipitation Dataset for Asia Based on a Dense Network of Rain Gauges.
17 SOLA 5: 137-140
- 18 Yoshikane T, Kimura F, Emori S (2001), Numerical study on the Baiu front genesis by heating
19 contrast between land and ocean. *J. Meteor. Soc. Japan* 79: 671-686
- 20 Yoshimura K, Oki T, Ohte N, Kanae S (2004), Colored moisture analysis estimates of variations in
21 1998 Asian Monsoon water sources. *J. Meteor. Soc. Japan* 82: 1315-1329
- 22 Zhang DL, Zheng WZ, Xue Y (2003), A numerical study of early summer regional climate and
23 weather over LSA-East. Part I: Model implementation and verification. *Mon. Wea. Rev.*
24 131: 1895-1909
- 25

1 Table 1: Spatial pattern correlation (SC) and mean bias (BIAS) of circulation indices in
2 ERA-Interim, JRA25, and NCEP2 against the GAME reanalysis over East Asia (85-120
3 °E, 25-52°N) for JJA 1998. Indices are zonal wind speed at 200 hPa (U200, m sec⁻¹),
4 geopotential height at 500 hPa (H500, m), temperature at 850 hPa (T850, K), and
5 meridional moisture flux at 850 hPa (vq850, g m kg⁻¹ sec⁻¹).

		SC	BIAS
ERA	U200	1	0.37
	H500	1	0.01
	T850	1	0.14
	vq850	0.97	-3.0
JRA	U200	1	0.47
	H500	1	1.27
	T850	0.98	-0.06
	vq850	0.98	-5.0
NCEP2	U200	1	-0.28
	H500	1	4.24
	T850	0.98	0.27
	vq850	0.94	-1.0

6

1 Table 2: Spatial pattern correlation (SC) and mean bias (BIAS) of circulation indices in NCEP2
 2 and CNTL run against the ERA-Interim over East Asia (85-120°E, 25-52°N) during JJA
 3 over 1993-2003. SC and BIAS are expressed as the 11-year averages of SC and BIAS
 4 computed for each year, respectively. The units are the same as in Table 1.

5

		SC	BIAS
NCEP2	U200	0.99	-0.48
	H500	0.99	4.89
	T850	0.97	0.22
	vq850	0.82	6.69
CNTL	U200	0.78	0.05
	H500	0.96	-10.30
	T850	0.71	-1.83
	vq850	0.81	-1.04

6

7

8

9

1 Table 3: Spatial pattern correlation (SC) and mean bias (BIAS, mm day⁻¹) of JJA precipitation for
 2 5 sub-domains in NCEP2 and CNTL run against the APHRODITE observation. SC and
 3 BIAS are expressed as the 11-year averages of SC and BIAS computed for each year,
 4 respectively.

		SC	BIAS
NCEP2	Whole	0.61	1.00
	Mongolia	0.63	0.50
	S.E. China	0.29	3.04
	N. China	0.64	0.69
	N.W. China	0.80	0.36
	Tibetan Plateau	0.06	3.88
CNTL	Whole	0.65	1.23
	Mongolia	0.69	1.36
	S.E. China	0.29	-1.12
	N. China	0.03	2.36
	N.W. China	0.83	0.79
	Tibetan Plateau	0.35	3.28

5

6

1 Table 4: Standard deviation for interannual variation of JJA precipitation (mm day⁻¹) over 5
2 sub-domains in observation (APHRODITE), NCEP2, and CNTL run, respectively.

3

	obs	NCEP2	CNTL
Mongolia	0.30	0.43	0.27
S.E. China	1.10	1.28	0.81
N. China	0.90	1.13	0.97
N.W. China	0.12	0.26	0.19
Tibetan P.	0.57	1.12	0.64

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

1 **Figure Captions**

2 Figure 1: Topography in study area and experimental domain. Rectangles show sub-domains for analysis;
3 Mongolia (90-120°E, 42-52°N), Southeast China (105-120°E, 22-28°N), North China (105-120°E,
4 32-38°N), Northwest China (90-105°E, 35-41°N), and Tibetan Plateau (90-105°E, 28-34°N).

5 Figure 2: Eleven-year (1993-2003) JJA mean (a) U200 (m sec^{-1}) and (b) H500 (m) in ERA-Interim.

6 Figure 3: Eleven-year (1993-2003) JJA mean U200 (top, m sec^{-1}), H500 (middle, m), and vq850 (bottom, m
7 sec^{-1}) in ERA-Interim (left), NCEP2 (middle), and CNTL run (right). Zonal mean of U200 and H500
8 are removed in each figure.

9 Figure 4: JJA mean precipitation (mm day^{-1}) in (a) observation (APHRODITE), (b) NCEP2, and (c) CNTL run
10 from 1993 to 2003.

11 Figure 5: Taylor diagram of JJA precipitation over Mongolia using observation (APHRODITE) as reference data
12 (shown at red star). 2-digit numbers plotted in the diagram indicate the year analyzed. Normalized
13 standard deviation is used to compare multiple years. Black for NCEP2, red for CNTL run, green for
14 NOAH run, and blue for GSWP run, respectively.

15 Figure 6: Interannual variation of JJA precipitation (mm day^{-1}) in Mongolia for observation (APHRODITE) and
16 three experiments.

17 Figure 7: As in Fig. 5, but for (a) S.E. China, (b) N.E. China, (c) N.W. China, and (d) Tibetan Plateau. Black for
18 NCEP2, red for CNTL run, and blue for GSWP run.

19 Figure 8: Column total soil moisture (kg m^{-2}) at the initial state of experiment in (a) CNTL and (b) GSWP run.
20 Since 6-hourly soil moisture data at 25 May every year is used in CNTL, (a) is shown as 11-year mean
21 at 25 May.

22 Figure 9: 11-year mean JJA sensible heat flux (W m^{-2}) and latent heat flux (W m^{-2}) differences between GSWP
23 and CNTL experiments.

24 Figure 10: Precipitation (mm month^{-1}) and vertical integrated moisture flux ($\text{kg m}^{-1} \text{sec}^{-1}$) anomalies in JJA 2002
25 from 11-year JJA mean (1993-2003). Precipitation anomaly is expressed as ratio (%) against 11-year

1 mean state. (a) Observation (APHRODITE), (b) CNTL run, (c) GSWP run. NCEP2 data are used to

2 draw moisture flux in (a).

3

4

5

6