



Title	Renewable Energy Sources in the Energy Abundant Economy : the Case of Russia
Author(s)	Suslov, Nikita
Issue Date	2013-11-05
Doc URL	<a href="http://hdl.handle.net/2115/54003">http://hdl.handle.net/2115/54003</a>
Type	conference presentation
Note	環境・エネルギー国際シンポジウム：持続可能な未来へ 低炭素社会と再生可能エネルギー. 2013年11月5日(火). 北海道大学学術交流会館講堂. 札幌.
File Information	03_Presentation-Supporo_RUS-11.5Ver.pdf



[Instructions for use](#)

# Renewable Energy Sources in the Energy Abundant Economy: the Case of Russia

Prof. Dr. Nikita Suslov

Institute of Economics and IE SB RAS & Novosibirsk State  
University  
Novosibirsk, Russia

# Russian Energy Sector in the World Economy in 2011

	Volume	World position	Share in the World	Net exports
Oil, mill. t	517	2	12,9	246
Gas, bill. cubic m	677	1	20	196
Coal, mill. t	334	6	4,3	99
Energy from HPS, bill. kWt-h	170	4	6,2	
Energy from NPS, bill. kWt-h	168	5	4,8	
Petroleum products, mill. t	240	3	6,3	111
Electric Energy, bill. kWt-h	1036	4	4,8	17
Energy production, mill. oil. equ.	1315	3	10,0	592
Energy consumption, mill. oil. equ.	731	3	5,6	
Renewables (RE), mill. oil equ.	17,7		1,34	
RE without HPS, mill. oil. equ.	3,5		0,25	
GDP, \$ bill. PPP	2376	6	3,0	
Population, mill. of persons	142,9	9	2,06	

Sources: Rosstat RF, IEA, and IMF

# Russia and Some Other World Economies in 2010, USA=100%

	Per capita			Per GDP	
	GDP PPP	Energy use	Electricity use	Energy use	Electricity use
Canada	83	104	126	125	152
Czech Republic	54	60	60	112	110
Finland	74	97	109	131	146
Germany	76	56	54	74	72
Greece	63	36	38	57	60
Israel	62	44	56	71	91
Japan	72	55	62	76	87
Netherlands	85	70	50	81	58
<b>Russia</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>70</b>	<b>52</b>	<b>209</b>	<b>154</b>
Sweden	82	79	116	96	141

Calculated using WB & IEA data

# Renewable energy (RE) in the World and selected world economies, t. of oil e., 2011

	Per capita energy output	Per capita energy use	Per capita RE output	RE share in energy output, %	RE output to ener. use ratio, %
Canada	12,02	7,40	1,33	11,04	17,93
Denmark	3,80	3,25	0,55	14,43	16,84
Finland	3,25	6,61	1,73	53,14	26,13
Germany	1,52	3,83	0,38	25,19	10,04
Iceland	15,45	18,42	15,45	100,00	83,83
<b>Japan</b>	<b>0,41</b>	<b>3,65</b>	<b>0,15</b>	<b>37,80</b>	<b>4,23</b>
Netherlands	3,82	4,60	0,19	4,88	4,06
Norway	41,64	6,00	2,55	6,12	42,50
Spain	0,68	2,69	0,29	43,31	10,96
United States	5,70	7,00	0,43	7,61	6,20
World	1,91	1,89	0,25	12,89	12,98
OECD Total	3,13	4,31	0,35	11,09	8,05
<b>Russia</b>	<b>9,20</b>	<b>5,12</b>	<b>0,12</b>	<b>1,35</b>	<b>2,43</b>

## Structure of renewable energy produced by sources, percent, 2011, Total RE=100%

	Russia	Japan	OECD Europe	OECD Total	World
Hydro	80,42	36,63	23,41	27,94	17,64
Geothermal	2,53	12,70	6,58	7,64	3,87
Solar Photovoltaics	0,00	2,27	2,09	1,16	0,31
Solar Thermal	0,00	2,10	1,51	1,49	1,08
Tide, Wave and Ocean	0,00	0,00	0,02	0,01	0,00
Wind	0,00	2,01	8,47	6,61	2,19
Renewable Muni. Waste	0,00	3,19	4,95	3,24	0,87
Solid Biomass	17,05	40,54	41,29	38,27	68,91
Landfill Gas	0,00	0,00	1,57	2,08	0,53
Sludge Gas	0,00	0,00	0,69	0,34	0,09
Other Biogas	0,00	0,56	3,34	1,53	0,90
Biogasoline	0,00	0,00	0,92	6,63	2,06
Biodiesel	0,00	0,00	4,29	2,61	1,02
Other Liquid Biofuels	0,00	0,00	0,86	0,42	0,50

## Potential of Energy Production from RES in Russia\*

	Potential, bill. kWt-h		
	Technical	Economic	Industrial
Small HPS (<25 Mh)	372	205	6-10
Wind PS	6517	326	70-90
Geothermal PS	34905	335	40-60
Biomass PS	412	203	90-130
Tidal PS	253	61,6	16-45
Solar HPS	2714	435	5-10
In Total	45173	1566	227-342

\*Source: OAO “RusHydro” in 2010

# A specific reason to develop RES in Russia: an extremely large country

- ❑ About 2/3 of the country surface square with population of 20 mill is out of access to a centralized grid. The electricity prices here are extremely high (30-60 cent./kWh and even higher);
- ❑ The most of administrative regions of Russia lack their own energy sources and need to import fuels and energy from other regions. The problem of energy security is as important for them as for the energy importing countries;
- ❑ Only about 50% of urban and 35% of rural residential areas in Russia have access to gas networks. Both coal and petroleum fuels being ecologically harmful are used in these places to produce electricity and heat;
- ❑ Given a persistent growth of energy prices and costs to connect to the centralized energy networks, off-line energy production develops more rapidly. Consumers pursue to secure themselves with their own power and heat sources which generally reduces efficiency of use of fuels as compared with cogeneration.



# What could be estimated as successes?

- Russia entered the number of the World leading pellets producing economies (2 mill t per a year). However, they are mainly produced for exports to Europe.
- There are certain results in constructing tidal energy devices based on original national designing.
- Some companies are concentrated on a development of large size production of photoelectric converters, though also for exports.

## Summarized data on electricity production from renewable energy sources (RES) in Russia, 2010

Types of RES	Generation capacity, Mw	Power generation, mill. kwh	Share in industrial potential, %	Share in economic potential, %
Wind ES	13,2	14,2	0,02-0,02	0,04
Small HPS (<25 Mh)	700	2800	46,7-28,0	1,37
Geothermal PS	81,2	474	1,2-0,8	0,14
Solar PS	0	0	0,0-0,0	0,00
Tidal PS	1,1	1,2	0,0-0,0	0,00
Biomass PS	520	2600	2,9-2,0	1,28
In Total	1315,5	5889,4	2,6-1,7	0,38
Share of RES in total electricity production, %	0,57	0,58		


\*Source: OAO "RusHydro" in 2010

- In **Novosibirsk region** (Oblast): Long Run Program «Energy supply and increasing of energy efficiency in Novosibirsk region for the period up to 2015» It foresees elaboration of several investment programs and among them:
- Investment program «**Small size energy in Novosibirsk region**»
- «**Investment programs in life-support systems and local energy objects of municipal units in Novosibirsk region**»
- RES resources in Novosibirsk region:
- **Wind ES** is used now and will be used for individual consumption. Engines of 5-40 kWt. There are no conditions favorable for wind energy development in the size comparable with centralized energy system due to climate peculiarities
- **Agriculture wastes** 5 mill t per a year, wastes from wood processing and forest sanitary felling - 2 mill t per a year.
- **Peat reserves** - about 2 bill t, annual increment of reserves - 50 mill t. This increment equals a half electricity and heat production need for fuels. Peat is the most promising type of RES in Novosibirsk region

# The Reasons why RES development fails in Russia:

- General market uncompetitiveness of RES developing projects with respect to the energy projects based on the fossil fuels;
- Institutional barriers associated with the lack of legislative acts promoting RES in the sphere of electricity production and absence of federal and regional programs of large scale support of RES usage;
- Absence of infrastructure necessary for successful development of RES energy generation; in that number:
  - lack of scientific support,
  - lack of information environment including the data on both existing RES and their probable technical and economic parameters,
  - absence of regulatory technical and methodical documentation, and appropriate software required for projection, construction, and exploration of RES PS,
  - lack of personnel support.

# Electricity Production **Cost** in Leading World Economies and in Russia, 2007



Sources: Skardjuk, 2010; Based on: IEA, EFC «АПБЭ», HEH «RusHydro»

## Two Legislative Acts:

- The Law “On Energy Saving and Raising Energy Efficiency” - version from November 23, 2013
- The Federal Law from March, 26, 2003 г. N 35-Φ3 “On Electric Energy Sector (revised)”

- ✓ provide for possibility to set feed-in tariffs or markups for RE,
- ✓ promise a Government commitment to guarantee access to grid with budget compensations,
- ✓ guarantee obligations of network companies to purchase all the RE produced (e. g. using green certificates)

**But these institutions set do not work**

The main reason for this is extremely long and expensive certification procedure.

Belgorod (a city in European Russia) is a leader in using RES. Even in this place given a high experience and strong lobbying power it took a year to certificate a pilot solar power station (100 kWt)

As a rule local grids reject to accept the connection of RES plants due to their unstable character. The power provided by them is considered to be of low quality

The degree of capacity utilization by RES types, in %

Fuel PS in Russia	52,9
Large Hydro PS	40
Small Hydro PS	~ 45
Wind PS	~ 25-40
Solar PS	~ 20

Draft of Government Act: “On measures to stimulate the use of RES using wholesale market mechanisms”. This Act is expected to provide for -

the main tool to promote the use of RES – a contract on power capacity supplying (which guarantees investment return) on the basis of the results of competitive selection



# “RusHydro” Company Suggestions:

Expected normative acts determining concrete measures to support and stimulate RES development

Project of Government Resolution  
«On the order of subsidizing expenses to create a technical connection of RES objects...»

Compensation provided from the Federal budget of **the cost of technological access** to grid. (Expert estimation of the access cost is \$70 thous. per a MWt of capacity).

Project of Government Resolution  
«On setting markups to market prices for RES objects in order to ensure returns to ...»

Foresees **markups to market prices** as fixed size fractions sufficient to ensure returns to investment into construction of these objects

Project of Government Resolution  
«On measures of the government support in the sphere of RES objects ...»

Foresees the following support measures:

1. Compensation of a certain fraction of **interest rate payments**;
2. Compensation of a certain fraction of **payments for leasing services**;
3. Compensation of a certain fraction of **cost associated with obligatory insurance contracts**.

# What is being Expected?

“General Directions of the Government Policy in the Sphere of Increasing Energy Efficiency of Power Generation Sector Based on RES Usage for the period up to 2020”: RES based electricity production share in its total generation in **2020** r. should reach 4,5%, i.e. 51 bill. kWt-h (about 14,7 mill. kWt of installed capacity)


At the same time :

“General Scheme of Location of Power Generation Objects for the period up to **2030** “: foresees Installation of only 6,1 mill. kWt of generation capacity in the minimum variant and 14,3 mill. kWt –in the maximum one.

RES Installed Power Generation Capacities Structure according to  
 “General Scheme of Location of Power Generation Objects for the  
 period up to 2030”, in %

	2010	2030-I	2030-II
Total in ths. kWt	1315,5	7400	15600
Wind ES	1,0	26,6	48,9
Small HPS (<25 Mh)	53,2	27,4	20,5
Geothermal PS	6,2	4,1	2,9
Solar PS	0,0	0,0	0,0
Tidal PS	0,1	0,2	0,1
Biomass PS	39,5	41,7	27,6
In Total	100,0	100,0	100,0

## Total RE Power Generation Capacities According to General Scheme 2030, mill. kWt




# Approach to Modeling National Economy and Estimation of RES Investment Projects

- Inter-sector interactions: Leontief Input-Output framework;
- inter-region interactions (spillovers): each region of a large country is described by its own input-output (intra-regional) block; inter-region transportations of sector products are modeled using transport modeling techniques incorporating transport technologies;
- the model includes both a scope of input-output tables and transport blocks, thus optimization is feasible;
- all the endogenous variables are defined for the last year of a long period considered; at the same time investments (gross fixed capital formation) for this year are non-linear functions of investments in initial (base) year of the period;
- the total volume of investment for all the years of the period considered is also an endogenous variable;

# MODEL STRUCTURE FOR 2 REGIONS

$X^{10}$     $X^{11}$

$X^{12}$     $X^{21}$     $U$     $Z$



# Estimation of consequence of propagation of heat pumps in Russia

Annual market for compression heat pumps – 40-55 mill. of coal equivalent.

Spreading compression heat pump :


- Energy intensity reduction: reduction of fuel consumption as compared to situation when using only traditional energy generation technologies

Increase of capital intensity because of:


- 1) Heat pumps are more expensive,
  - 2) Additional electricity generation capacity is needed,
  - 3) Additional gas pipelines could be needed
- Heat pumps are efficient in Siberia under the transformation coefficient of 4
  - Heat pumps are efficient in European Russia under the transformation coefficient of 5
  - *Volume of fuels saved per a unit of electricity consumption averages 270 gram of coal equivalent per a kWt-hour*

# Effects of Heat Utilization and Further

GDP increment per a conv. unit of heat utilized rub. 2007



Energy Saving per a unit of heat conserved



***Recent result: both in European Russia and in Western Siberia RES based electricity generating technologies are efficient given cost of 1 kWt of installed capacity not higher than \$1100***



# Summary

1. Though Russia is an energy abundant country certain conditions favorable to develop RES are present here. Its extremely large surface square is a specific reason to increase their usage and share in energy balance and electricity generation.

2. In general RES are less competitive as compared to traditional energy technologies. However, there are areas where RES based technologies are effective just at present time. Probably future conditions will change in favor of RES.

3. It is doubtful that the role of RES in Russia will ever be as important as in Europe, Japan, Northern America, or in the most of other countries. Though their importance is expected to grow in Russia as well.

# Summary

4. In order to facilitate the RES development Russian Government should elaborate and conduct sound policy measures to support the RES business.
5. Current Russian legislative foresees the possibility to set feed-in tariffs, promises a Government commitment to guarantee access to grid with budget compensations, guarantees obligations of network companies to purchase all the RE produced (e. g. using green certificates) .

# Summary

6. The main reason why these institutions set do not work is extremely long and expensive certification procedure. As a rule local grids reject to accept the connection of RES plants due to their unstable character. The power provided by them is considered to be of low quality
7. The main tool to promote the use of RES is a contract on power capacity supplying (which guarantees investment return) on the basis of the results of competitive selection. But legislation necessary to implement it is not completely prepared

**Thank You for Your Attention!**