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Assessment of early changes in $^3$H-fluorothymidine uptake after treatment with gefitinib in human tumor xenograft in comparison with Ki-67 and phospho-EGFR expression

Songji Zhao¹, Yuji Kuge²*, Yan Zhao¹, Satoshi Takeuchi³, Kenji Hirata⁴, Toshiki Takei⁴, Tohru Shiga⁴, Hirotoshi Dosaka-Akita³ and Nagara Tamaki⁴

Abstract

Background: The purpose of this study was to evaluate whether early changes in $^3$'-deoxy-$^3$'-$^3$H-fluorothymidine ($^3$H-FLT) uptake can reflect the antiproliferative effect of gefitinib in a human tumor xenograft, in comparison with the histopathological markers, Ki-67 and phosphorylated EGFR (phospho-EGFR).

Methods: An EGFR-dependent human tumor xenograft model (A431) was established in female BALB/c athymic mice, which were divided into three groups: one control group and two treatment groups. Mice in the treatment groups were orally administered a partial regression dose (100 mg/kg/day) or the maximum tolerated dose of gefitinib (200 mg/kg/day), once daily for 2 days. Mice in the control group were administered the vehicle (0.1% Tween 80). Tumor size was measured before and 3 days after the start of treatment. Biodistribution of $^3$H-FLT and $^{18}$F-FDG (%ID/g/kg) was examined 3 days after the start of the treatment. Tumor cell proliferative activity with Ki-67 was determined. Immunohistochemical staining of EGFR and measurement of phospho-EGFR were also performed.

Results: High expression levels of EGFR and Ki-67 were observed in the A431 tumor. After the treatment with 100 and 200 mg/kg gefitinib, the uptake levels of $^3$H-FLT in the tumor were significantly reduced to 67% and 61% of the control value, respectively (0.39 ± 0.09, 0.36 ± 0.06, 0.59 ± 0.11%ID/g/kg for 100 mg/kg, 200 mg/kg, and control groups, respectively; $p < 0.01$ vs. control), but those of $^{18}$F-FDG were not. After the treatment with 100 and 200 mg/kg gefitinib, the expression levels of Ki-67 in the tumor were markedly decreased (4.6 ± 2.4%, 6.2 ± 1.8%, and 10.4 ± 5.7% for 100 mg/kg, 200 mg/kg, and control groups, respectively, $p < 0.01$ vs. control). The expression levels of the phospho-EGFR protein also significantly decreased (29% and 21% of the control value for 100, and 200 mg/kg, respectively $p < 0.01$ vs. control). There was no statistically significant difference in tumor size between pre- and post-treatments in each group.

Conclusion: In our animal model, $^3$H-FLT uptake levels significantly decreased after the treatment with two different doses of gefitinib before a significant change in tumor size was observed. These results were confirmed by the immunohistochemical staining of Ki-67 and phospho-EGFR protein immunoassay. Thus, it was indicated that early changes in $^3$H-FLT uptake may reflect the antiproliferative effect of gefitinib in a mouse model of a human epidermoid cancer.
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Background
The epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) is a receptor tyrosine kinase that plays a crucial role in the signal transduction pathway, regulating key cellular functions such as proliferation, angiogenesis, metastasis, and evasion of apoptosis [1,2]. EGFR is highly overexpressed in numerous types of human cancers, including lung, stomach, and head and neck cancers, and is a strong prognostic factor [3-6].

Gefitinib, a selective small-molecule EGFR tyrosine kinase inhibitor, is widely used as a second- or third-line therapy for the treatment of patients with advanced non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) who failed to respond to standard chemotherapy [7]. Very recently, the European Medicine Agency has granted marketing authorization for gefitinib in patients with locally advanced or metastatic NSCLC with activating mutations of EGFR in all lines of therapy [8]. First-line gefitinib was approved in Korea for the treatment of patients with NSCLC who harbor the EGFR mutation [9]. However, gefitinib-induced interstitial lung disease (ILD) has been reported as a serious adverse effect [10,11], in addition to the common adverse effects of gefitinib including skin rash and diarrhea. To avoid the adverse effects and to effectively use the molecular targeted drug, it is necessary to accurately evaluate the tumor response early after the start of treatment. Such an evaluation method enables us to identify patients responsive to gefitinib and determine the treatment strategy: continuation or discontinuation of gefitinib therapy, or even a reduction in gefitinib dose. Indeed, re-administration at a reduced dose is a potential treatment strategy for patients who have once responded to, but later discontinued gefitinib treatment owing to severe adverse effects including ILD. The early and accurate assessment of treatment effects is particularly necessary in these patients. Recently, EGFR mutation, EGFR copy number, and EGFR protein expression are the three EGFR-related biomarkers that have been reported to be associated with the therapeutic benefit of gefitinib [12]. However, the therapeutic effect of gefitinib is not confined to patients whose tumors harbor EGFR mutation and other predictors of efficacy of this agent. In general, about 80% of NSCLCs with EGFR mutation respond to EGFR-TKIs, whereas 10% of tumors without EGFR mutations do so [13]. Although this observation provides highly valuable insights into the molecular mechanisms underlying sensitivity to EGFR-TKIs, none of the known clinical or molecular tumor characteristics allows the accurate prediction of tumor response at an early phase of treatment with gefitinib in an individual patient. Therefore, there is a clear need for new approaches to identify patients who will benefit from treatment with EGFR-TKIs. In this respect, imaging techniques that can be used to predict treatment outcome in an early phase of treatment are warranted.

X-ray computed tomography (CT) and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) have commonly been used to evaluate the anti-tumor effect of cytotoxic and molecular targeted drugs by measuring tumor size. However, these anatomical imaging techniques have limited value because a relatively long time is required to obtain sufficient tumor size shrinkage with successful drug therapies. Thus, patients may have to endure adverse effects [14] and high medical costs [15] during the periods of desperate treatment. These limitations could be overcome using functional imaging techniques such as positron emission tomography (PET), because metabolic and physiologic changes in the tumor are likely to precede changes in size [16]. The quantitative nature of PET also contributes to the accurate determination of functional changes. In fact, PET imaging using 2-deoxy-2-18F-fluoro-D-glucose (18F-FDG) is increasingly used to assess early tumor response after chemotherapy [17]. On the other hand, the thymidine analog 3′-deoxy-3′-18F-fluorothymidine (18F-FLT) was also developed as a PET tracer for imaging tumor proliferation in vivo [18]. 18F-FLT uptake has been shown to reflect the activity of thymidine kinase-1 (TK1), an enzyme expressed during the DNA synthesis phase of the cell cycle. Owing to the phosphorylation of 18F-FLT by TK1, negatively charged 18F-FLT monophosphate is formed, resulting in intracellular trapping and accumulation of radioactivity [19]. Thus, this tracer is retained in proliferating cells through the activity of thymidine kinase. Accordingly, 18F-FLT PET could more appropriately evaluate the effects of signal transduction inhibitors whose main action mechanism is the inhibition of tumor cell proliferation, as compared with 18F-FDG PET [20]. Measurement of tumor proliferative activity by 18F-FLT PET may enable early and accurate assessment of the response to therapy with molecular targeted drugs [21].

Taken together, we aim to apply 18F-FLT PET for monitoring the antiproliferative effect of gefitinib. Several studies have shown that 18F-FLT PET is useful for the early evaluation of tumor response to anti-EGFR targeted therapy such as erlotinib and cetuximab [22-24]. However, there have been no studies on the usefulness of 18F-FLT PET for monitoring the antiproliferative effect of gefitinib, except for two reports [25,26]. Sohn et al. demonstrated that 18F-FLT PET can predict early responses to gefitinib treatment in patients with advanced pulmonary adenocarcinoma [25]. The effect of gefitinib on 1H-FLT uptake in vitro was studied previously by Su et al. [26]. Although several studies have indicated the ability of 18F-FLT or 3H-FLT to detect the effect of gefitinib [25,26], whether changes in 18F-FLT uptake can reflect the effect of gefitinib by comparing the level of 18F-FLT uptake with those of other proliferation or predictive markers, such as Ki-67 or phosphorylated EGFR, in an early phase of treatment has not been fully validated under a pathological condition.
Thus, in the present study, to determine whether early changes in $^3$H-FLT uptake can reflect the antiproliferative effect of gefitinib, we determined the changes in $^3$H-FLT uptake level after the start of treatment at different doses of gefitinib in comparison with those in $^{18}$F-FDG uptake, Ki-67 expression, and phospho-EGFR levels in a human tumor xenograft (EGFR-dependent human tumor xenograft model, A431).

**Methods**

**Radiopharmaceutical**

[Methyl-$^3$H (N)]-$^3$-fluoro $^3$-deoxythymidine ($^3$H-FLT) (specific activity, 74–370 GBq/mmol) was purchased from Moravek Biochemicals Inc. $^{18}$F-FDG was obtained from the Hokkaido University Hospital Cyclotron Facility, which produces the tracer for clinical use.

**Animal studies**

All experimental protocols were approved by the Laboratory Animal Care and Use Committee of Hokkaido University. Nine-week-old female BALB/c athymic nude mice (supplied by Japan SLC, Inc., Hamamatsu, Japan) were used in all experiments. Room temperature was maintained between 23 and 25°C, and relative humidity was maintained between 45 and 60%. The institutional laboratory housing the cages provided a 12-hour light cycle and met all the criteria of the Association for Assessment and Accreditation of Laboratory Animal Care (AAALAC) International. The EGFR-dependent human tumor xenograft model was established in mice using the human epidermoid cancer cell line A431 (European Collection of Cell Cultures). A431 is a human cell line established from an epidermoid carcinoma of the vulva of an 85-year-old female patient, which has gene amplification and an unusually high number of EGF receptors [27]. A431 cells ($5 \times 10^6$ cells/0.1 ml) were inoculated subcutaneously into the right flank of the mice [28]. A431 xenograft is a recognized model for the testing of the biological effects on EGFR signaling [29]. When the tumors reached 5–8 mm in diameter, the mice were randomly divided into three groups, one control group (n = 8) and two treatment groups (n = 14). Mice in one treatment group were orally administered a partial regression gefitinib dose (100 mg/kg/day, n = 7) and those in the other treatment group the maximum tolerated gefitinib dose (200 mg/kg/day, n = 7), once daily for 2 days. Mice in the control group were given the vehicle (0.1% Tween 80). Gefitinib was purchased from Chugai Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd. (Tokyo, Japan). The doses of gefitinib have been widely used to evaluate its effects on human tumor xenografts [29-31]. Tumor size and body weight were measured before and 3 days after the start of treatment. Tumor volume was calculated using the formula: $\pi/6 \times $ larger diameter $\times$ (smaller diameter)$^2$.

After overnight fasting, mice in the control and treatment groups were intravenously injected with a mixture of $^{18}$F-FDG (7.4 MBq) and $^3$H-FLT (0.185 MBq) 24 hours after the second treatment under light anesthesia. Sixty minutes after the injection, the mice were sacrificed, and tumor tissues and other organs were excised. Tumor tissues were cut into three pieces for radioactivity measurement, immunohistochemical staining and phospho-EGFR, respectively. The tissue and blood samples were weighed, and $^{18}$F-radioactivity was determined using a gamma-counter (1480 WIZARD 3”; Wallac Co., Ltd.). The samples were then solubilized with Soluene 350 (Packard Bioscience B.V.), and $^3$H-radioactivity was measured using a liquid scintillation counter (LSC-5100; Aloka Co., Ltd.) following the decay of $^{18}$F. Radioactivity uptake in the tissues was expressed as the percentage of injected dose per gram of tissue after being normalized to the animal’s weight (%ID/g) × kg. The tumor-to-muscle (T/M) ratios was calculated as (%ID/g) × kg. For the subsequent immunohistologic staining, tumor samples were formalin-fixed and paraffin-embedded. The remaining tumor samples were immediately frozen using liquid nitrogen for the subsequent phospho-EGFR assay.

**Pathological studies**

Formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded, 3-µm-thick sections of tumor tissue were used for immunohistochemical staining. Immunohistochemical stainings of EGFR and Ki-67 (a tumor cell proliferation marker) were carried out using adjacent sections, in accordance with a standard procedure [32]. EGFR was stained using a monoclonal antibody (mAb) (mouse IgG1, Clone 31G7, Zymed, South San Francisco, CA) that recognizes the 170 kDa extracellular EGFR binding domain. A mouse monoclonal antibody, clone MIB-1 (Dako, Carpinteria, CA) was used as a primary antibody for the staining of the nuclear antigen Ki-67. The Ki-67 labeling index was defined as the percentage of the number of positively stained cells with respect to the total number of cells in the entire field of the specimens.

**Phospho-EGFR assay**

Phospho-EGFR (Tyr) was determined by a sandwich immunoassay method using a Bio-Plex phospho-EGFR (Tyr) assay kit (Bio-Plex phosphoprotein assay, Bio-Rad Laboratories, Inc.) in accordance with the manufacturer’s instructions. Briefly, the frozen tumor samples (3x3 mm) were homogenized in a lysing solution (Bio-Plex Cell Lysis Kit). The lysate was centrifuged to remove insoluble materials, and the aliquot (50 µl) was incubated with 50 µl of anti- phospho-EGFR (Tyr)-antibody-coupled beads in a 96-well plate for 18 hours at 20°C. After washing the beads, 50 µl of and EGFR specific biotinylated detection antibody was added and
incubated with the beads for 30 minutes at room temperature. After washing three times streptavidin conjugated to a fluorescent protein, streptavidin-phycocerythrin (PE) (Bio-Plex phosphoprotein detection reagent kit, Bio-Rad Laboratories, Inc.) was added and incubated with the beads for 10 minutes at room temperature. Finally, after washing off unbound Streptavidin-PE, the beads were suspended in Bio-Rad assay buffer and analyzed on a Bio-Rad 96-well plate reader using the Bio-Plex 200 Array System (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Inc.). The median fluorescence intensity (MFI) was calculated from a standard curve using Bio-Plex Manager software (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Inc.) and considered to be proportional to analyte concentration. The protein content in the lysates was determined by Bio-Rad DC protein assay (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Inc.).

Statistical analyses
All values are expressed as means ± SD (standard deviation). One-way ANOVA was used to assess the significance of differences among the three groups. Bonferroni correction was implemented for post-hoc comparison. Paired Student’s t-test was performed to evaluate the significance of difference in tumor volume between pre- and post-treatments in each group. A value of \( p < 0.05 \) was considered significant. The statistical program Stat View 5.0 was used for data assessment.

Results
Studies of \(^{3}H\)-FLT and \(^{18}F\)-FDG biodistribution
Table 1 and 2 show the biodistribution and the tumor-to-muscle (T/M) ratios of \(^{3}H\)-FLT (Table 1) and \(^{18}F\)-FDG (Table 2). In the control group, the radioactivity derived from \(^{3}H\)-FLT was higher in the tumor than in other organs (Table 1). The \(^{18}F\)-FDG uptake level was higher in the tumor than in the blood and muscle, with relatively high \(^{18}F\)-FDG uptake levels in the heart, brown fat, and kidneys in the control mice (Table 2).

Three days after the start of treatment with 100 and 200 mg/kg of gefitinib, the uptake levels of \(^{3}H\)-FLT in the tumor were significantly reduced to 67% and 61% of the control value, respectively. The T/M ratios of \(^{3}H\)-FLT uptake were also significantly decreased to 72% and 60% of the control value, respectively (Table 1). The uptake levels of \(^{18}F\)-FDG were not reduced significantly by the treatment (87% and 86% of the control value for 100 and 200 mg/kg gefitinib, respectively). The T/M ratios of \(^{18}F\)-FDG were not reduced significantly (102% and 97% of the control value for 100 and 200 mg/kg gefitinib, respectively) (Table 2). No significant differences were observed in mouse body weight among the three groups before and 3 days after the start of treatment. Mouse body weights were 19.6 ± 1.1 g for the control group and 18.8 ± 1.5 g and 19.0 ± 0.9 g for the 100 and 200 mg/kg gefitinib groups, and 18.6 ± 1.3 g for the control group and 17.3 ± 0.9 g and 17.7 ± 0.8 g for the 100 and 200 mg/kg gefitinib groups before and 3 days after the start of treatment, respectively.

Pathological study
Typical microscopy images of EGFR and Ki-67 immunostaining in the tumor are shown in Figure 1. A high expression level of EGFR was observed in the tumor cell membranes of control mice (Figure 1A). A high expression level of Ki-67 was also observed in the tumor cell nucleus of control mice (Figure 1D). After the treatment with 100 and 200 mg/kg gefitinib, the expression level of

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tissue</th>
<th>(^{18}F)-FDG uptake level (%(ID/g)×kg)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Control</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blood</td>
<td>0.046 ± 0.027</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tumor</td>
<td>0.129 ± 0.045</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Muscle</td>
<td>0.022 ± 0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heart</td>
<td>0.648 ± 0.587</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brown fat</td>
<td>0.372 ± 0.182</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lung</td>
<td>0.108 ± 0.017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brain</td>
<td>0.171 ± 0.028</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spleen</td>
<td>0.115 ± 0.014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liver</td>
<td>0.071 ± 0.033</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kidney</td>
<td>0.168 ± 0.029</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skin</td>
<td>0.162 ± 0.030</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tumor/muscle ratio</td>
<td>5.8 ± 1.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* \( p < 0.01 \) vs. Control.

Table 2 Biodistribution of \(^{18}F\)-FDG in mice bearing A431 tumors
Ki-67 in the tumor markedly decreased (Figure 1E and F), whereas there was no significant change in the expression level of EGFR (Figure 1B and C).

Results of quantitative analysis of Ki-67 positive cells (index) in the tumor are summarized in Figure 2. As compared with the control, the Ki-67 index in the tumor tissues was significantly decreased after the gefitinib treatment (Ki-67 index: 4.6 ± 2.4% for 100 mg/kg; 6.2 ± 1.8% for 200 mg/kg; 10.4 ± 5.7% for control group; \( p < 0.01 \) for both treated groups vs. control group). Results of quantitative analysis of phospho-EGFR (Tyr) protein in the tumor are summarized in Figure 3. The median fluorescence intensity (MFI) of the phospho-EGFR (Tyr) protein in the tumor also significantly decreased after gefitinib treatment: 301.1 ± 131.4 MFI for 100 mg/kg (29% of control); 220.0 ± 70.8 MFI for 200 mg/kg (21% of control); 1052.0 ± 106.2 MFI for control group; \( p < 0.01 \) for both treated groups vs. control group. There was no statistically significant difference in tumor size between before and 3 days after the treatment in each group (Figure 4).

**Discussion**

After the treatment with two different doses of gefitinib, the \(^3\)H-FLT uptake levels in the tumor were significantly decreased at an early time point (Table 1). These early changes in tumor proliferation activity were confirmed by our pathological studies that including immunohistochemical staining of the Ki-67 (Figure 2) and phospho-EGFR assay (Figure 3). There was no statistically significant difference in tumor size...
between pre- and post-treatments in each group. Thus, the measurement of tumor proliferative activity using \( ^{3}H \)-FLT may enable early accurate assessment of the response to therapy with a molecular targeted drug, gefitinib, in human tumor xenografts.

Kawano et al. reported that the phospho-EGFR expression level significantly correlates with the response to gefitinib treatment [34]. They showed that a high level of basal EGFR activation (phospho-EGFR) is an important indicator of sensitivity to gefitinib. When ligands bind to the receptor, the molecule is phosphorylated (phospho-EGFR) by constitutive tyrosine kinases, activating downstream pathways [35]. Gefitinib blocks EGFR tyrosine kinases and prevents epidermal growth factor-induced proliferation of cultured cells. It inhibits growth and causes regression in human tumor xenografts overexpressing EGFR [29]. In our study, these effects of gefitinib were confirmed by the phospho-EGFR assay and analysis of \( ^{3}H \)-FLT uptake in the tumor. Namely, phosphor-EGFR expression level was markedly decreased after the gefitinib treatment, which was accompanied by the reduction in \( ^{3}H \)-FLT uptake level. Shen, et al. [36] also reported that the expression level of phospho-EGFR in lung cancer cells treated with gefitinib for 2 days was lower than that in non-treated cells. Su et al. [26] reported that the growth inhibitory effect of gefitinib was parallel to the inhibition of EGR phosphorylation in a gefitinib-sensitive cell line (NSCLC H3255). These data strongly support our results in confirming the proof of the mechanism of the EGFR inhibitor gefitinib. Thus, our findings suggest that \( ^{3}H \)-FLT can reflect EGFR activation and can be a predictor of the tumor response to gefitinib in human tumor xenograft.

Several clinical trials have demonstrated that \( ^{18}F \)-FLT can be used for imaging a various tumor types and that there is strong correlation between \( ^{18}F \)-FLT uptake level and proliferation index (Ki-67) in individual tumors [37-40]. Although TK1 is not a specific proliferation marker, TK1 is regulated within the cell cycle [41], and the \( ^{18}F \)-FLT uptake level within tumors usually reflects the fraction of tumor cells in the S-phase, in which the TK1 expression level is the highest. The TK1 activity is high in proliferating cells and low in dormant cells. In our study, the antiproliferative effect of gefitinib was confirmed by the Ki-67 and \( ^{3}H \)-FLT uptake in the tumor. Namely, the expression level of Ki-67 was markedly decreased after the gefitinib treatment, which was accompanied by a reduction in \( ^{3}H \)-FLT uptake level.

Because \( ^{18}F \)-FLT PET findings reflect the proliferation of tumor cells, this method is more suitable for detecting the early therapeutic effect than conventional modalities such as CT and MRI, which are based on sequential measurements of tumor size. Recently, several investigators used \( ^{18}F \)-FLT PET to evaluate treatment responses in animal models or humans following molecular targeted therapy [22,42]. However, the potentials of \( ^{18}F \)-FLT PET for monitoring the antiproliferative effect of gefitinib have not been clarified. Our present findings suggest that \( ^{3}H \)-FLT can predict the therapeutic effect of gefitinib at a very early time point (2-days after the start of gefitinib treatment) during which changes in tumor size cannot be detected yet. Su et al. [26] also showed that a marked decrease (~ 90%) in \( ^{3}H \)-FLT uptake in NSCLC H3255 cells was observed 2 days after exposure to two different doses of gefitinib. The in vitro data supported our results in confirming the proof of the mechanism of the EGFR inhibitor gefitinib. Because molecular targeted drugs are used for patients with advanced stage cancer, it is very important to determine their therapeutic effects as early as possible. If the therapeutic effects can be predicted at a very early time point, it will be possible to select the clinically optimal treatment and reduce medical costs in advance. In the present study, \( ^{3}H \)-FLT uptake level significantly decreased in a dose-dependent manner after the treatment with gefitinib. If the therapeutic effects can be predicted quantitatively and dose-dependently, \( ^{18}F \)-FLT PET can also be applied to evaluate the therapeutic effect of gefitinib re-administration with dose reduction in patients who have once responded to but later discontinued this treatment owing to severe adverse events including ILD. As the precise management of a gefitinib responder having severe adverse events remains to be established, \( ^{18}F \)-FLT PET may provide a potential means for the management of gefitinib responders having severe adverse events.

It is very important to compare the level of \( ^{3}H \)-FLT uptake with that of \( ^{18}F \)-FDG uptake, as \( ^{18}F \)-FDG is the most widely used tracer for tumor imaging by PET. In our study, however, the \( ^{18}F \)-FDG uptake level in the
tumor was not significantly reduced by the treatment with gefitinib. In addition, the $^{18}$F-FDG uptake level in the tumor was lower than those in most of the other organs including the heart, brown fat, and kidneys. Mamede et al. reported that the level of $^{18}$F-FDG uptake by the tumors in immunodeficient (athymic nu/nu) mice was significantly lower than that in immunocompetent mice [43]. Therefore, our mouse model, BALB/c athymic nude mice bearing human epidermoid cancer (A431), does not seem to be suitable for evaluating the potentials of $^{18}$F-FDG. Further studies, including comparisons between $^{18}$F-FLT and $^{18}$F-FDG uptake levels in mouse or rat allograft tumor models and in patients, are necessary to compare the potentials of $^{18}$F-FLT PET and $^{18}$F-FDG PET and to demonstrate the advantages of $^{18}$F-FLT PET for the early and accurate detection of the antiproliferative effect of gefitinib.

It seems better to measure the mice tumors in 3 dimensions ($3.14/6 \times (\text{length} \times \text{width} \times \text{depth})$) rather than using the smallest diameter for both the width and the depth ($3.14/6 \times \text{longest diameter} \times (\text{smallest diameter})^2$). Thus, to measure accurate tumor volumes, in vivo studies are necessary. Other limitations of our study were that $^{3}$H-FLT was used instead of $^{18}$F-FLT and only one tumor model (A431) was used to compare the uptake of $^{3}$H-fluorothymidine with the uptake of $^{18}$F-FDG, Ki-67 and phospho-EGFR after the treatment with two different doses of gefitinib. $^{18}$F-FLT and other tumor models should be used to confirm our present results.

Conclusions

In our animal model, the $^{3}$H-FLT uptake level significantly decreased after the treatment with two different doses of gefitinib before a significant change in tumor size was observed. These findings were confirmed by the immunohistochemical staining of Ki-67 and phospho-EGFR assay. Thus, it was indicated that early changes in $^{3}$H-FLT uptake may reflect the antiproliferative effect of gefitinib in a mouse model of human epidermoid cancer. $^{18}$F-FLT PET could be applied for early clarification of the therapeutic effect of gefitinib for selecting the clinically optimum treatment strategy and minimizing the fatal adverse effects.
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