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Abstract

Recent findings focus much attention on the molecular consequence of the microenvironment in tumor progression; nonetheless, events occurring in cancer cells themselves in response to their ambient conditions remain obscure. Here, we identify receptor activator of nuclear factor κB ligand (RANKL) as a microenvironment-specific factor essential for tumorigenesis in vivo, utilizing head and neck squamous cell carcinoma (HNSCC) as a model. In human HNSCC tissues, RANKL is abundantly expressed, and its expression level correlates with the histological grade of differentiation. In fact, RANKL levels are significantly higher in poorly differentiated SCCs than those in well- or moderately differentiated SCCs. In contrast, all HNSCC cell lines tested display extremely low RANKL expression, which is, however, efficiently upregulated when these cell lines are inoculated in the head and neck region of mice. This restored RANKL expression is in a microenvironment-specific manner, and cannot be observed when the cells are inoculated in the hindlimbs. Interestingly, forced expression of RANKL compensates for tumor growth in the hindlimb milieu, promotes epithelial mesenchymal transition (EMT), and induces tumor angiogenesis, in a manner independent of VEGF. These results implicate RANKL expression causatively in tumor growth and progression in HNSCC in vivo, and may therefore provide a novel functional marker for biological malignancy and a therapeutic target based on the specific nature of the microenvironment.
Introduction

The microenvironment — the sanctuary in which a tumor originates — plays a critical role in tumor initiation and progression, and is created by the complex relationship between tumor cells and their surrounding tissues consisting of extracellular matrices, extracellular molecules, and host cells.\textsuperscript{1-7} Knowledge of the complicated interplay within these niches however, is still limited. In particular, the exact mechanism of how the host cells that comprise normal stroma are altered during tumor progression and how they reciprocally influence tumor cells during tumorigenesis is poorly understood. By addressing these fundamental issues, the development of therapeutic strategies targeted at specific interactions occurring between the tumor and its microenvironment is ultimately envisaged.

Given that the head and neck region is an environment challenged by a large variety of insults, including pathogens, foods, and chemicals, the aforementioned relationship between cancer cells and inflammatory stroma might be of particular importance for malignancies arising there. Head and neck cancers, over 90\% of which are squamous cell carcinomas (SCCs),\textsuperscript{8} represent approximately 6\% of all new cancers in the United States,\textsuperscript{9} and consistently rank as one of the top ten cancers worldwide.\textsuperscript{10} More worrying is that the incidence of head and neck cancer appears to be increasing in many parts of the world.\textsuperscript{11-14} Head and neck squamous cell carcinoma (HNSCC) is characterized by a high degree of local invasiveness and a high rate of metastasis to the cervical lymph nodes.\textsuperscript{15} Survival of patients with HNSCC has not improved in the last 40 years, despite recent advances in surgical procedures and the availability of new chemotherapeutic agents. In addition, surgical treatment results in significant functional and cosmetic defects; therefore, it is important to develop conservative therapeutics, whereupon identification of markers for HNSCC aggressiveness would be worthwhile to decide the most suitable treatment for each patient from therapeutic options.\textsuperscript{16}

As with other cancers, most of the head and neck cancer deaths are accounted for by local invasion and distant metastasis. The landmark of carcinoma progression during the invasive and
metastatic phase is epithelial cell plasticity and dedifferentiation, which is similar to epithelial-
mesenchymal transition (EMT) that occurs during embryonic development. EMT is the process
that cells undergo to switch from a polarized, epithelial phenotype to a motile mesenchymal
phenotype.\textsuperscript{17-20} Loss of epithelial cell polarity and acquisition of motility results from the
disappearance of cell junction adherence molecules, reorganization of cytoskeleton, and
redistribution of organelles.\textsuperscript{21,22} Uncovering the mechanism for and identifying the marker of
EMT would be strategies to predict tumor progression and possibly develop therapeutic
intervention. However, this is complicated by the diversity of molecular mechanisms
contributing to the plasticity of epithelial cells in different tissues,\textsuperscript{21} especially in cancer tissues
\textit{in vivo}.

We have previously reported that parathyroid hormone-related protein (PTHrP) promotes
malignant conversion of head and neck cancers in a paracrine or autocrine manner.\textsuperscript{23} This finding
raises the possibility that PTHrP induces the expression of receptor activator of NF-κB ligand
(RANKL), a member of the TNF family, in a manner analogous to osteoblasts.\textsuperscript{24-26} Here, we
report that RANKL is preferentially expressed in poorly differentiated HNSCC, and plays a
critical role in tumor progression \textit{in vivo} in a microenvironment-specific fashion. By utilizing
RANKL-expressing cancer cells, RANKL expression is revealed to induce poorly differentiated
histology, epithelial mesenchymal transition, and tumor angiogenesis, presumably in a VEGF-
independent manner. In view of the fact that tumors cannot exist outside of their respective
microenvironments, these findings highlight RANKL and its downstream signaling as an \textit{in vivo}
specific marker of tumor progression and an attractive therapeutic target in HNSCC.

\textbf{Materials and Methods}

\textit{Cell Culture}

Human head and neck squamous cell carcinoma (HNSCC) cell lines HSC-2 (JCRB0622), HSC-3
(JCRB0623), HSC-4 (JCRB0624), SAS (JCRB0260), and Ca9-22 (JCRB0625) were obtained
from the Japanese Collection of Research Bioresources (JCRB) cell bank (Osaka, Japan). Human
gingival fibroblasts (HGF, CRL-1740) and murine leukemic monocytes/macrophage cell line RAW264.7 cells (TIB-71) were purchased from American Type Culture Collection (ATCC, Manassas, VA). Cells used in this study, except for RAW 264.7 cells, were maintained in Dulbecco’s modified Eagles medium (DMEM; Sigma, St. Louis, MO) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS; complete DMEM) at 37°C under a humidified atmosphere containing 5% CO₂. RAW 264.7 cells were maintained in RPMI 1640 medium supplemented with 10% FBS. Human umbilical vein endothelial cells (HUVECs) were purchased from Lonza (Walkersville, MD) and maintained in complete endothelial basal medium (EBM-2, Lonza).

Antibodies and Reagents

Antibodies to RANKL, TCF8, and β-actin were obtained from Santa Cruz Biotechnology (Santa Cruz, CA). Anti-Flag (M2) and anti-E- or N-cadherin antibodies were from Stratagene (La Jolla, CA) and BD Biosciences Pharmingen (San Diego, CA), respectively. In immunohistochemical analysis, additional monoclonal antibodies against E-cadherin (Zymed/Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) and N-cadherin (Takara Bio Inc., Otsu, Japan) were utilized. Anti-CD31 and Slug antibodies were from Abcam (Cambridge, UK) and Cell Signaling Technology (Danvers, MA), respectively. Human recombinant osteoprotegerin (OPG, TNFRSF11B)/Fc chimera, an anti-VEGF antibody, and a human VEGF ELISA kit were from R&D SYSTEMS (Minneapolis, MN). Human recombinant RANKL and VEGF were purchased from PeproTech (Rocky Hill, NJ).

Ethics

Tumor tissues from patients who had signed a written informed consent document were used for this study. We also obtained approval from the Institutional Review Board of Hokkaido University Hospital.

RNA Isolation and RT-PCR

Total RNA isolation, first strand cDNA synthesis, and PCR were performed as described previously. The sequences for primers used are denoted in Table 1. PCR was performed using a
thermal cycler as follows: denaturation at 94°C for 30 sec, annealing at 58 and 60°C (for
RANKL and GAPDH, respectively) for 30 sec, extension at 72°C for 30 sec, followed by a final
incubation at 72°C for 10 min. PCR products were subjected to 1% agarose gel electrophoresis,
stained with ethidium bromide, and detected using an image analyzer (ATTO, Tokyo, Japan).
Quantitative real-time RT-PCR was performed as described\textsuperscript{28} using a StepOne real-time PCR
system (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA) and the same primers as for the conventional RT-
PCR, except those for RANKL (Table 1). Data are normalized by the expression level of
GAPDH and expressed as fold increase compared to control indicated in the Figure Legends. Of
note, all primers except those for mouse VEGF were designed to amplify human mRNAs.

Pathological Examination and Immunohistochemistry
Formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded tissue sections (4 μm) of head and neck cancer samples were
deparaffinized and rehydrated. These deparaffinized sections were stained with hematoxylin and
eosin (HE) by the conventional method. Histological classifications were performed by two
pathologists independently, according to two common criteria for SCC: one is grades of
differentiation, in which histological differentiation was divided into well- (stratified squamous
cell nest≥ 50%), poorly (< 5%), and moderately (the rest) differentiated SCCs; another is the
Yamamoto-Kohama’s classification, a histological grading of mode of invasion, in which tumor
tissues were categorized into 4 groups (1, well-defined borderline; 2, cords, less marked
borderline; 3, groups of cells, no distinct border line; 4, Diffuse invasion).\textsuperscript{29}

The sections were also immersed in 10 mM citrate buffer (pH 6.0) and heated in a pressure
cooker for antigen retrieval, followed by incubation in 3% H\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{2} peroxidase blocking solution.
For RANKL staining, the specimens were treated with 100 mM glycine solution (pH 3.0) for 20
min before the blocking step. After incubation in 1% bovine serum albumin (BSA) blocking
solution for 30 min, the sections were then incubated with a primary antibody for RANKL (FL-
317), E-cadherin (4A2 C7), N-cadherin (M142) or CD31 (ab28364) for 1 h, biotinylated
secondary antibody, avidin/biotin C solution, and peroxidase substrate solution. Microscopic
observation was performed after counterstaining with hematoxylin.

To semi-quantify RANKL expression, staining intensity, evaluated by two pathologists independently, was categorized into 5 groups: 1, no detectable immunoreactivity; 2, weak staining; 3, moderate staining; 4, moderate to intense staining; 5, intense staining. The staining intensity of the surrounding tissue was used as a basal-level reference.

**Immunoblotting**

Cells were lysed in a solution containing 10 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.4), 5 mM EDTA, 150 mM NaCl, 10% glycerol, 1% Triton X-100, 1% sodium deoxycholate, 0.1% SDS, 50 mM NaF, 1 mM Na$_3$VO$_4$, and complete (EDTA-free) protease inhibitor (Roche, Indianapolis, IN) for 20 min on ice and clarified by microcentrifugation at 14,000 rpm for 10 min at 4°C. Supernatants were subjected to SDS-PAGE, and separated proteins were transferred to polyvinylidene difluoride membranes (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA). The membranes were incubated with primary antibodies, followed by horseradish peroxidase-labeled secondary antibodies. Signals were developed using ECL Western Blotting Detection Reagent (GE Healthcare, UK) and detected using an LAS-1000UVmini image analyzer (FUJIFILM, Tokyo, Japan).

**Establishment of RANKL-Expressing Cancer Cells**

Full-length cDNA for human RANKL was kindly provided from Dr. Takayanagi (Tokyo Medical and Dental University, Tokyo, Japan) and subcloned into the XhoI and NotI site of a pCXN2-Flag expression vector.$^{30}$

HSC-3 cells were then transfected with pCXN2-Flag-RANKL or its control vector without RANKL expression, with the use of Fugene HD reagent (Roche). Starting at two days after transfection, the cells were cultured in complete DMEM containing 0.5 mg/ml G418 (Sigma). After 10 days, the resistant cells were together harvested and cultured for further 7 days. Stably transfected cells, in which RANKL expression was examined by RT-PCR and immunoblotting analyses, were maintained in culture media supplemented with 0.2 mg/ml G418.
In Vivo Tumor Formation in Nude Mice

Mice breeding and experiments were approved by the institutional animal care and experiment committee of Hokkaido University. Nude mice (BALB/cA Jc1 nu/nu) were injected with HNSCC cells and control or RANKL-expressing HSC-3 cells in their muscles in masseter or hindlimb regions. Of note, the former region is one of the established sites for an oral cancer orthotopic model,\textsuperscript{31-33} whereas the latter is chosen as a muscle existing far from the oral tissue. Total RNA from developed tumors was isolated using the RNeasy mini kit and analyzed as described in the section of RNA isolation and RT-PCR. Proteins were extracted through lysis as described in the immunoblotting section. Formalin-fixed paraffin sections were also prepared and stained with hematoxylin and eosin (HE) by conventional methods.

Osteoclastogenesis

Raw 264.7 cells and RANKL-expressing or control HSC-3 cells were co-cultured for 6 d. The cells were fixed with 8% glutaraldehyde and subjected to tartrate-resistant acid phosphatase (TRAP) staining as described previously.\textsuperscript{33} As a positive control for TRAP staining, Raw264.7 cells were also cultured in the presence of 100 ng/ml RANKL for 4 d. RAW264.7 cells were cultured in a 96-well plate. After 24 h, cancer cells stained with Hoechst33342 (Molecular Probes) were added on a RAW264.7 cell monolayer, and allowed to adhere for 30 min. The medium was then removed, and the adherent cells were quantified by measuring the fluorescence at 480 nm with an excitation wavelength of 375 nm.

Cell Proliferation and Wound-Healing Assays

The cell proliferation was measured by counting every other day for 8 days after $5 \times 10^4$ cell plating. A wound-healing assay was performed as described previously\textsuperscript{23}. Briefly, confluent cells were wounded by scraping with a P200 pipette tip. Cell movements were then observed by phase-contrast microscopy.

Three Dimensional (3D) Culture and Colony Formation in Gels
Collagen gel and Matrigel cultures were essentially performed as described previously with some modifications according to the manufacturer’s protocol. Briefly, cells (2 × 10^4) were resuspended in 0.5 ml complete DMEM containing 0.3% collagen (type I-A, Nitta Gelatin, Osaka, Japan), and plated on a 12-well dish. After the collagen solution had gelled, 1 ml complete DMEM was added to each well and changed every 7 days. Alternatively, the cells were resuspended in 0.8 ml Matrigel (BD-Discovery Labware, Bedford, MA), and plated on a 24-well dish. After the Matrigel had gelled, 0.5 ml complete DMEM was added to each well and changed every 7 days. After 21 days, colonies were photographed.

**Endothelial Cell Migration Assay**

The chemotaxis assay was performed using 24-well Cell Culture Inserts with 8.0-μm pores (Nunc, Kamstrupvej, Denmark), as described previously. Conditioned media obtained were added as a chemoattractant into the lower chamber, and HUVECs (1.5 × 10^4) were seeded in the upper chamber. In some experiments, OPG (100 ng/ml) or an anti-VEGF antibody (1 μg/ml) was added to the media. Recombinant human VEGF (10 ng/ml) was also used as a control. After 24 h incubation at 37°C, transferred HUVECs to the lower surface of filters were fixed and stained by 0.2% crystal violet, and the cell number in randomly selected fields was counted. Levels of secreted VEGF in conditioned media were analyzed by enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) according to the manufacturer’s recommendation.

**Statistical Analysis**

All data, unless otherwise specified, are expressed as the mean ± standard deviation (S.D.), subjected to one-way analysis of variance, followed by comparison using a Student's t-test, a Mann-Whitney U test, or a Spearman’s test to evaluate the difference between the samples. A P value less than 0.05 was considered significant in each test, is represented by an asterisk over the error bars in the figures, and is described in the figure legends.
Results

RANKL Expression in Human Head and Neck Cancer Tissues and Cell Lines

To explore the possible implication of RANKL in HNSCC progression, we first examined the expression of RANKL mRNA by quantitative RT-PCR in 20 human HNSCC samples, including those in the tongue and the gingiva. In all cases, high expression (from 6 to 123-fold) is observed compared to human gingival fibroblast, HGF (Figure 1A). Next, to clarify the relationship between RANKL expression and HNSCC progression, HNSCC samples were categorized into various groups that are based on difference in clinical staging or histological differentiation, and RANKL expression levels were compared across the groups using non-parametric analyses. The expression level of RANKL was positively correlated with the histological grade of differentiation (Figure 1B), but not with tumor-node-metastasis (TNM) staging (rank correlation coefficient: $\rho = 0.0264, P = 0.497$). Because factors related to TNM can be affected by the phase when the patients are diagnosed, this result does not rule out the possibility that RANKL is implicated in progression and biological malignancies of HNSCC. Indeed, when we utilized the Yamamoto-Kohama classification, a criterion based on histological architecture and mode of invasion, strikingly high expression was observed in a YK-4 group (diffuse invasive type; Figure 1C).

When cases were available for histological examination ($n = 16$), immunohistochemical analysis was also performed to further evaluate the role of the RANKL protein in HNSCC malignancy, and revealed that poorly differentiated SCC (YK4), in which atypical cancer cells diffusely invaded into surrounding tissues, expressed abundant RANKL proteins (Figure 1D, left panels). Meanwhile, the level was low in well-differentiated SCC (YK-3), in which tumor cells showed expanded growth with obvious keratinization (Figure 1D, right panels). Statistical analyses also elucidated that RANKL expression was correlated with the histological grade of differentiation and mode of invasion (Figure 1, E and F). Thus, the expression level of RANKL is intimately associated with the grade of histological differentiation of HNSCC. Nevertheless, to
our surprise, none of the tested HNSCC cell lines, namely, HSC-2–4, SAS, and Ca9-22,
displayed such abundant RANKL expression as that observed in vivo, albeit the expression in
these cell lines, except for HSC-2, is higher than that in HGF (~3.2-fold) (see also Figure 1A). In
particular, although the HSC-2, HSC-3, and SAS cell lines are established from moderately to
poorly differentiated SCC with aggressive invasiveness, and in fact displayed similar
characteristics when the cells were inoculated into mice oral tissue (see below), the RANKL
expression level in these cell lines might be repressed under culture conditions.

Environment-Dependent Expression of RANKL

We thus hypothesized that repressed RANKL expression under culture conditions is due to a lack
of environmental cues that are required for the maintenance of its expression. To test this
possibility, HSC-3 cells were inoculated into the masseter muscles of mice (one of the most
established sites for a head and neck cancer orthotopic model),\textsuperscript{31-33} and the amount of RANKL
mRNA was analyzed by RT-PCR using a primer set specific for human RANKL. As expected,
RANKL expression in all tumor tissues tested (n = 3) was dramatically augmented (Figure 2A,
upper panels, lane 2–4; Figure 2B, middle panel, right two columns) compared to that in cultured
cells (Figure 2A, lane 1; Figure 2B, middle panel, left column). RANKL protein was also
upregulated in vivo compared with culture conditions (Figure 2A, lower panels). Moreover, when
all other available HNSCC cell lines, namely HSC-2, HSC-4, SAS, and Ca9-22, were inoculated
into mice masseter regions, HSC-2 and SAS could form obvious tumors, and RANKL
upregulation was observed in these tumors (Figure 2B). Histological examination revealed that
HSC-3 and SAS displayed poorly differentiated SCC, while HSC-2 showed moderate
differentiation (Figure 2C), in accordance with our clinicopathological findings that RANKL
expression correlated with histological grade and invasion mode (see Figure 1). In addition,
consistent with the established role for RANKL in bone resorption,\textsuperscript{35-37} we could also observe
bone destructive lesions accompanied by the accumulation of tartrate-resistant acid phosphatase
(TRAP)-positive, mature osteoclasts (Figure 2D), affirming that inoculated HNSCCs expressed
To assess the contribution of the oral environment to RANKL expression and subsequent tumor formation, HSC-3 cells were also injected into the muscle of hindlimbs, which was selected in analogy to the orthotopic site (intramuscular) and by the distance from the head and neck region. As shown in Figure 2, E and F, tumors formed in the hindlimbs (H) were significantly smaller than those in the masseter region (M). In parallel with tumor weight, RANKL could be detected in the masseter region tumors (Figure 2G; M1 and M2), but not in the hindlimb ones (Figure 2G; H1 and H2) at both mRNA and protein levels. Essentially similar results were obtained when HSC-2 and SAS cells were used (data not shown). Thus, RANKL expression requires the orthotopic environment and correlates with tumor formation ability.

RANKL Expression Accelerates Tumor Formation

Because none of the HNSCC cell lines expressed RANKL plentifully, compared to the in vivo condition, we established HNSCC cell lines that stably express RANKL, in order to further confirm the role for RANKL in HNSCC tumor formation. Of the cell lines that were able to form tumors in the masseter region (see Figure 2), we utilized HSC-3 cells, which display poorly differentiated, invasive SCC uniformly without apparent necrosis (see Figure 2C). Among several established cell lines, two control vector-transfected cell lines (C1 and C2), and two RANKL-expressing cell lines (R1 and R2) were used in the following experiments. These R1 and R2 cells appeared to express sufficient amounts of RANKL mRNA and RANKL protein (Figure 3A). Moreover, in view of induction of mature osteoclasts from RAW264.7 cells (Figure 3B, arrow heads) and adhesiveness to a RAW264.7 cell monolayer (Figures 3, C and D), the expressed RANKL was apparently functional. Under these conditions, C1 and R2 cells were injected into the mouse hindlimbs in which both RANKL expression and tumor formation were abolished in parental HNSCC lines (see Figure 2, E–G). It is to our surprise that RANKL-expressing cells achieved efficient tumor formation in the hindlimbs (Figure 4, A and B), whereas C1 cells, in which RANKL expression was not observed even after the inoculation
(Figure 4, C and D), failed to form sizable tumors (Figure 4, A and B), similar to parental cells (see also Figure 2E). These results together demonstrate that RANKL expression, which ordinarily depends on the head and neck environment, possesses the potential of inducing HNSCC formation.

Given that RANKL expression positively correlated with histological grading of differentiation in human HNSCC samples (see Figure 1, B–F), we examined the histology of the tumor formed by control and RANKL-expressing cells. By HE staining, it was revealed that RANKL-expressing, hindlimb-injected tumors exhibited more poorly differentiated and invasive characters than control tumors (Figure 4E), consistent with the results observed in human specimens (see Figure 1, B–F). In these tumors, RANKL expression was detected at the protein level by immunohistochemistry (Figure 4F). We further performed immunohistochemical analysis to visualize the localization of the cell-cell adhesion molecule E-cadherin, one of the most important hallmarks of epithelial cells. Loss of E-cadherin localization from the cell-to-cell contact sites was specifically observed in tumors formed by RANKL-expressing cells (Figure 4G, lower panel), whereas control tumors displayed typical E-cadherin localization at the cell-to-cell borders (Figure 4G, upper panel). Moreover, in response to E-cadherin disappearance from the plasma membrane, intense staining for N-cadherin was observed in the tumor cell cytoplasm in RANKL-expressing tumors (Figure 4H). These findings raise the possibility that RANKL promotes loss of epithelial character, that is epithelial mesenchymal transition (EMT), a fundamental process in tumor development and progression.  

RANKL Induced Malignant Phenotypes in an In Vivo-Specific Manner

To test whether RANKL-expressing tumors in fact underwent EMT, we evaluated the expression levels of E-cadherin, N-cadherin, and several transcription factors implicated in EMT. However, we could note no differences in their expression in vitro (data not shown). Moreover, notwithstanding the dramatic increment in tumor formation of RANKL-expressing cells in the hindlimbs, in vitro proliferation of R2 cells was substantially slower than that of C1 cells (Figure
5A). In addition, there is no significant difference in *in vitro* motility (Figure 5B) or invasiveness (data not shown) between these two cell lines.

Hence, we assumed that RANKL function differs between *in vivo* and *in vitro* conditions, i.e. RANKL can promote tumor growth and EMT in an *in vivo*-specific manner. Indeed, expression of E-cadherin was significantly decreased in RANKL-expressing tumor cells at both protein and mRNA levels, as measured by Western blotting (Figure 6A) and quantitative RT-PCR (Figure 6B), respectively. Accordingly, N-cadherin expression was dramatically upregulated in tumor tissues expressing RANKL (Figure 6, A and B). An increase in N-cadherin expression was also observed in tumors arising from HNSCCs inoculated to the mouse masseter region (Figure 6C). Therefore, these results confirmed that cadherin switching from E-cadherin to N-cadherin accrued in tumors expressing RANKL. We further examined the levels of several mRNA transcripts for transcription factors implicated in inducing EMT, including Slug, Snail, Twist, and TCF8 (alternatively known as ZEB1 or δEF1). Of these, Slug and TCF8, but not Snail and Twist, were upregulated at both mRNA and protein levels in RANKL-expressing tumors (Figure 6, D and E). Furthermore, when we inoculated cells in gels and observed the morphology of formed colonies, RANKL-expressing cells efficiently formed colonies with a higher invasive character (epithelial branching, an EMT-dependent event) than control cells in both a collagen gel and Matrigel (Figure 6F), meaning that RANKL promotes EMT and enhances invasiveness in a 3D environment.

*Tumor angiogenesis induced by RANKL*

It is well established that tumor angiogenesis is critical not only for tumor growth but also its malignant properties, including invasiveness. Because RANKL expressing tumors were grossly rich in blood vessels (Figure 7A, right panel), we thus evaluated another function of RANKL in promoting angiogenesis. Immunohistochemical analysis using an antibody against CD31, a well-established marker for endothelial cells, revealed that RANKL-expressing tumors harbor significantly more abundant tumor microvessels than control tumors (Figure 7, B and C). It is
reported that endothelial cells are activated in response to RANKL via its cognate receptor RANK; this encouraged us to examine whether RANKL-expressing cells are capable of mobilizing endothelial cells. To do this, we utilized conditioned media from C1 and R2 cells as chemoattractants for human umbilical vein endothelial cells (HUVECs) and evaluated their migration ability. The number of migrating HUVECs toward culture medium of C1 cells was marginally upregulated compared to that toward control DMEM. In contrast, conditioned medium of R2 cells substantially facilitated HUVEC migration, which was reverted in the presence of osteoprotegerin (OPG), a RANKL decoy receptor that inhibits RANK-RANKL signaling (Figure 7D). It is noteworthy that an equivalent level of vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) was secreted in media of both C1 and R2 cells (Figure 7E). In addition, expression levels of human and murine VEGF were not altered, regardless of RANKL expression and whether cell growth conditions were in vivo or in vitro, as measured by RT-PCR using primers specific for respective species (Figure 7F). Moreover, the HNSCC- or RANKL-dependent HUVEC migration could not be hampered by an anti-VEGF antibody, which at a same concentration almost completely inhibited the migration induced by VEGF (Figure 7G). These results together demonstrate that expressed RANKL promotes tumor angiogenesis in a manner independent of VEGF.

**Discussion**

In the present study, we disclose that RANKL expression is specifically detected in the intravital environment, which in turn promotes EMT, tumorigenesis, and angiogenesis of HNSCCs in vivo. These observations highlight RANKL as a “bio-functional” marker molecule that should be useful for both diagnosis and therapy of this disease.

One of the most serious clinical concerns accompanying HNSCC is a high potential for local invasion, frequently targeting the adjacent bone, thus requiring radical surgical procedures that put much strain on patients due to the deprivation of fundamental functions, including mastication and vocalization. In the future, after appropriate preclinical and clinical tests, the
recognition of RANKL and its relevant signaling as potential targets for conservative therapy may enable us to hamper tumorigenesis and invasion by cutting the connection between HNSCC and the tumor microenvironment. In addition to the conventional molecular targeted therapy (i.e. small compounds and humanized antibodies), RANKL may constitute a better candidate for cancer immunotherapy. Several tumor antigens such as cancer-testis antigens provide specific targets for cancer cells due to their restricted expression patterns. However, in the case that these molecules are not essential for cancer cell survival, the cells can escape the challenge of the immune system by reducing the expression of the antigens. Since the expression of RANKL in response to the microenvironment is critical for HNSCC progression (Figures 2 and 4), it is definitively a possibility that RANKL-RANK signaling might be central to the conservative, multimodal treatment for this disease.

EMT, which is characterized by the loss of epithelial characteristics and the acquisition of mesenchymal phenotypes, is an important event in the progression towards more invasive and metastatic cancerous cells. During EMT, tumor cells upregulate mesenchymal markers such as vimentin and Snail, and downregulate epithelial markers such as E-cadherin. It was previously reported that in SCC cells there is a hierarchy of EMT-regulating transcription factors, in which Snail locates on the vertex, especially in the cells with mesenchymal phenotypes. As in other malignancies, several reports also suggest Snail is a most potent E-cadherin suppressor. Most of these studies, however, utilize cultured cell lines and overexpression experiments in their analyses. Moreover, few common carcinoma cell types with a well-defined epithelial phenotype can complete EMT in vitro, probably because EMT is very sensitive to culture conditions, including substrates and the presence of serum. Indeed, Onoue et al. reported that an SDF-1/CXCR4-dependent morphological change to a fibroblast phenotype is dependent on culture conditions, including serum starvation and low confluence. On the other hand, our results clearly indicate that RANKL-induced EMT observed in vivo is accounted for by upregulation of Slug and TCF8, but not Snail and Twist (Figure 6, D and E). Supporting of this view, in cells
obtained from recurrent tumors, TCF8 and SIP1 (ZEB2) overexpression, but not Snail upregulation, were specifically detected, relative to cells from primary tumors.\textsuperscript{48} Thus, expression of ZEB family proteins is sufficient to induce EMT \textit{in vivo}. Furthermore, it may be noteworthy that exogenous expression of Snail can further promote loss of E-cadherin even in these ZEB1/2-overexpressing cells. This is consistent with our observation that RANKL-expressing tumors preferentially displayed the upregulation of N-cadherin; rather, E-cadherin repression was not so dramatic (Figure 6B).

Potent tumorigenicity of RANKL-expressing cells reminds us of the properties of cancer stem cells. The invasive feature of these cells appears to be dissimilar to the dormant nature of stem cells. On the other hand, recent accumulating evidence indicates that the EMT, where carcinoma cells ephemerally obtain a highly invasive mesenchymal phenotype, generates cells with stem cell attributes.\textsuperscript{50-53} Unfortunately, however, RANKL-expressing cells exhibit no stem cell phenotype (spheroid formation and marker expression) \textit{in vitro} (data not shown). Since the effect of RANKL expression on the promotion of tumor formation and EMT is specific for \textit{in vivo} conditions; the proliferation and motility of these cells were slower than those of control cells (Figure 5), these results cannot rule out the possibility that RANKL-expressing cells might indeed behave like stem cells. In fact, our preliminary experiments revealed that the expression of the hyaluronic acid receptor CD44 that is recently implicated as a cell surface marker of tumor initiating cells of gastrointestinal tract and breast malignancies\textsuperscript{54,55} is specifically observed \textit{in vivo} conditions, and RANKL can facilitate the shedding of this molecule (T.Y., M.T., and Y.O., unpublished result). Further in-depth studies to clarify the significance of RANKL expression \textit{in vivo} will be required for ablating the tumor initiating cells to prevent the occurrence and recurrence of cancers, which is a current goal among cancer scientists worldwide.

The mechanism by which RANKL is expressed in a manner specific to the microenvironment remains to be addressed. Given the specific character of the head and neck as a gatekeeper of organisms that is always challenged by every pathogen, inflammatory responses
play an indispensable role in HNSCC tumor initiation and progression.\textsuperscript{56-60} In fact, genome-wide microarray analyses demonstrate the upregulation of inflammation-associated molecules in this cancer.\textsuperscript{61,62} The head and neck region including the oral cavity is also known as a site abundant in a range of growth factors, which are known to contribute to malignant conversion of HNSCC through activating diverse cancer-related signaling pathways.\textsuperscript{23,54,55,63} We thus extended the investigation of RANKL-inducing agents from factors directly implicated in HNSCC malignancy (EGF or PTHrP) to those implicated in EMT (such as TGF-β) as well as inflammatory cytokines (data not shown), but failed to identify it. Because the morphological differences between control and RANKL-expressing cells were observed in colonies formed in a collagen gel and Matrigel (Figure 6F), we thought that the engagement with extracellular matrices is likely to attribute to RANKL induction. Unfortunately, however, no extracellular matrices \textit{per se} could evoke RANKL expression \textit{in vitro} (data not shown). Therefore, we infer that the efficient RANKL expression and the subsequent EMT promotion and tumor progression are orchestrated by the combination of intravital conditions, including growth factors, tumor-associated fibroblasts, extracellular matrices, infiltration of inflammatory cells with the production of cytokines, and cells composing vasculatures.

There are other possibilities that account for the specific observation of RANKL expression \textit{in vivo}. For example, RANKL-expressing cells, which constitute a minor population under culture conditions, may preferentially survive under \textit{in vivo} conditions. Given that the RANKL-expressing cells formed larger colonies than control cells, it is possible that the interaction between the cells and the specific extracellular matrices is consolidated to escape anoikis. Indeed, intimate association between NF-κB, a downstream effector of RANKL and its cognate receptor RANK, and cell adhesion has been described,\textsuperscript{64-67} and recently, integrin has been shown to play a role in escaping anoikis.\textsuperscript{68} Research addressing this issue should be continued. In either case, we can state that RANKL is an \textit{in vivo}-specific functional marker for HNSCC malignancy.

In summary, we hereby show that RANKL expression was specifically observed \textit{in vivo}, and
expressed RANKL plays a hitherto unidentified role in tumor progression, namely inducing EMT and angiogenesis. It may be noteworthy that aggressiveness of angiogenesis in HNSCC was correlated with RANKL expression level, but not the VEGF level, suggesting that VEGF-independent strategies should be taken into consideration to hamper angiogenesis in HNSCC. The function of RANKL as an EMT inducer was also specific for in vivo conditions. In the future, we believe that through our observations and the unveiling of remaining associated issues, the establishment of more rational, potent anti-cancer therapy with consideration of the communication between cancer cells and their respective microenvironment will eventually be accomplished.
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**Figure Legends**

**Figure 1.** Expression of RANKL in human head and neck cancers. (A) The mRNA levels of RANKL in surgical specimens of human head and neck cancers and head and neck squamous cell carcinoma (HNSCC) cell lines were analyzed by quantitative RT-PCR. Human gingival fibroblasts (HGF) were used as a control. (B) Differentiation of the tumors was determined by two pathologists independently, according to the criterion described in the Materials and Methods, and the expression level of RANKL mRNA in each tumor was plotted. A Spearman’s test was used to evaluate the difference between the samples. Rank correlation coefficient: \( \rho = 0.629, P < 0.05 \). (C) Tissue sections were also analyzed by the pathologists, invasion modes of which were categorized into four groups of the Yamamoto-Kohama’s (YK) classification as described in the text. \( \rho = 0.876, P < 0.01 \). (D) Typical histology of tumors with poorly differentiated SCC (left panels) and well-differentiated SCC (right) are shown and the expression levels of RANKL were also analyzed by immunohistochemical staining using anti-RANKL antibody. Bars, 100 µm. (E and F) Staining intensity for RANKL was categorized into 5 groups as described in the Materials and Methods, and plotted against grades of differentiation (E) and invasion mode (F). \( \rho = 0.705; P < 0.01 \) (E); \( \rho = 0.756, P < 0.01 \) (F).

**Figure 2.** Induction of RANKL expression in the head and neck environment. (A) The human head and neck cancer cells (HSC-3) were inoculated into nude mice and allowed to form tumors. Expression levels of RANKL mRNA (upper panels) and protein (lower panels) were analyzed by semi-quantitative RT-PCR and immunoblotting, respectively. Left lane, cells under culture condition before inoculation to mice were used as a control. (B) The HNSCC lines were inoculated into nude mice and allowed to form tumors. RANKL mRNA levels were evaluated by quantitative RT-PCR. Of the cell lines tested, HSC-2, HSC-3, and SAS cells formed tumors, data of which are shown. Cells under culture conditions before inoculation were used as a control (open column). (C and D) The tissues of tumors formed by cell lines indicated on the top were subjected to histopathological examinations. Photographs of hematoxylin-eosin (HE, C and D).
and tartrate-resistant acid phosphatase (TRAP, D) staining are shown. Bars, 100 µm. (E–G)

HSC-3 cells were injected into the masseter (M) or hindlimb (H) region. After 28 days, the
tumors formed were photographed (E), and weighed (F; *, *P < 0.05). Expression levels of
RANKL mRNA and protein in the masseter region (M1 and M2) or the hind leg region (H1 and
H2) of nude mice were analyzed by semi-quantitative RT-PCR (upper panels) and
immunoblotting (lower panels) (G).

**Figure 3.** Establishment of RANKL-overexpressing cells. (A) Expression of RANKL mRNA
and protein were determined by RT-PCR at 36 cycles (upper panels) and immunoblotting using
anti-RANKL and anti-Flag antibodies (lower panels), respectively. (B) RAW264.7 cells were co-
cultured with C1 (vector-transfected cells) or R2 (RANKL-expressing cells), and stained by
TRAP. Bars, 300 µm. (C and D) RAW264.7 cells were pre-cultured in 96-well plates. After 24 h,
C1 or R2 cells stained with Hoechst 33342 were added on the RAW cell monolayer and
incubated for 30 min. After medium removal, the bound cells were quantified by measuring the
fluorescence at an excitation wavelength of 357 nm. * *P < 0.05 (C). Representative photographs
are shown; Bars, 30 µm (D).

**Figure 4.** Tumor formation in hindlimbs rescued by RANKL expression. (A–D) Control (C1)
and RANKL-expressing (R2) cells were injected into muscles of the hind legs, and after 28 days,
the tumors were photographed (A) and weighed (B; **, *P < 0.01). RANKL mRNA in tumors
formed by C1 or R2 cells was examined by semi-quantitative (C) and quantitative (D) RT-PCR.
(E–H) Histopathology of HNSCC in the presence or absence of RANKL expression.
Pathological section from tumors formed by C1 and R2 cells were stained by HE and
photographed (E). The sections were also subjected to immunohistochemistry using an anti-
RANKL (F), E-cadherin (G), or N-cadherin (H) antibody. Arrows indicate striated muscles. Bars,
100 µm. The upper right image in the bottom of (H) is a magnified photograph of the inset.
Figure 5. The effect of RANKL expression on cell proliferation and motility in vitro. (A) The number of C1 and R2 cells were counted every three days and plotted. (B) C1, R1, and R2 cells were cultured on type I collagen-coated plates, allowed to form a cell monolayer, and subjected to a wound-healing assay. Relative wound closure compared to C1 cells is shown.

Figure 6. HNSCC EMT promoted by RANKL. (A and B) Alteration in expression levels of E-cadherin and N-cadherin. Protein and mRNA expression levels of these molecules in tumors formed by C1 and R2 cells were determined by immunoblotting (A) and quantitative RT-PCR (B), respectively. *, $P < 0.01$; **, $P < 0.005$. (C) Expression levels of E-cadherin and N-cadherin mRNA in tumors formed by indicated HNSCCs (see Figure 2B and C) were analyzed by quantitative RT-PCR. (D) Expression of indicated transcription factors implicated in EMT was analyzed by quantitative RT-PCR. *, $P < 0.05$. In (B) and (D), C1 cells were used as a control. (E) Expression of Slug and TCF8 was also analyzed by immunoblotting. (F) C1 and R2 cells were seeded on 12-well plates at a density of $2 \times 10^4$ cells per well in 0.3 mg/ml type I collagen-containing DMEM or on 24-well plates in Matrigel, and cultured for 21 days. Representative photographs are shown. Bars, 100 µm.

Figure 7. Tumor angiogenesis induced by RANKL. (A) Photographs of gross examination of tumors shown in Figure 4A. (B) Sections obtained as in Figure 4F-H were stained with an anti-CD31 antibody. Representative photomicrographs are shown. Bars, 0.5 mm. (C) The number of blood vessels visualized by CD31 was counted over three randomly selected high-power fields (HPFs), and the average values are shown with S.D. *, $P < 0.001$. (D) The conditioned medium of C1 or R2 cells was used as a chemoattractant and the HUVEC chemotaxis was examined in the presence or absence of osteoprotegerin (OPG), an inhibitor of RANKL. DMEM was used as a negative control. The numbers of HUVECs migrating to the lower surface of the chambers were counted and are shown as mean ± S.D. *, $P < 0.005$; **, $P < 0.001$. (E) Concentrations of VEGF in conditioned media of C1 and R2 cells were determined by enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA). (F) Levels of human and mouse VEGF mRNA were determined.
by RT-PCR, using primers specific for each species. RAW264.7 cells were used as a positive control for mouse VEGF. M1, M2, H1, and H2 represent samples of tumors shown in Figure 2G. (G) HUVEC migration toward the indicated conditioned media was examined in the presence (1 μg/ml) or absence of anti-VEGF antibody. DMEM and DMEM containing 10 ng/ml VEGF were used as negative and positive controls, respectively. **, P < 0.01; NS, not significant.
Table 1: Primers used for RT-PCR. All primers, unless otherwise specified, are designed to amplify human mRNAs specifically.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Target transcripts</th>
<th>Direction</th>
<th>Sequences</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RANKL (conventional)</td>
<td>forward</td>
<td>5’-TGGCCTCTCAGCATTTATAGAATT-3’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>reverse</td>
<td>5’-AGTTGAAGATACCTCTGTAGGTATTTG-3’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RANKL (real-time)</td>
<td>forward</td>
<td>5’-CGTTGGATACCTGACATCG-3’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>reverse</td>
<td>5’-GGCACCTCTTGGCCAGATTCAC-3’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E-cadherin</td>
<td>forward</td>
<td>5’-TCCATTTTCTTGCTACGC-3’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>reverse</td>
<td>5’-CACCTCAGGACATCTGTTT-3’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N-cadherin</td>
<td>forward</td>
<td>5’-GTGCCATTAGCCAGGATTCAG-3’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>reverse</td>
<td>5’-GCGTTCCCTGTCCACTGAGGAGG-3’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TCF8 (ZEB1; δEF1)</td>
<td>forward</td>
<td>5’-GCTAAGAACCTGCTGGGAGGAT-3’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>reverse</td>
<td>5’-TCTGTGCTTACAGGCTGA-3’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slug</td>
<td>forward</td>
<td>5’-TGTTGCTTCAAGGACACAT-3’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>reverse</td>
<td>5’-GGCTGAGGCAAGAA-3’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Snail</td>
<td>forward</td>
<td>5’-GGCTGACAGGACTCTCAATCAGA-3’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>reverse</td>
<td>5’-ATCTCCGGGAGGATGG-3’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Twist</td>
<td>forward</td>
<td>5’-GGCATCAGATTCAGACTT-3’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>reverse</td>
<td>5’-GGCCAGTTGGATCCATTT-3’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>human VEGF</td>
<td>forward</td>
<td>5’-GGCTCTAGATCGGGCCTCCGAAACC-3’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(hVEGF)</td>
<td>reverse</td>
<td>5’-GGCTCTAGATCGGGCCTCCTCTTC-3’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mouse VEGF</td>
<td>forward</td>
<td>5’-ACATCTTCAAGGCTCTGTGTC-3’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(mVEGF)</td>
<td>reverse</td>
<td>5’-AAATGGCAATCCGATCCAAC-3’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GAPDH</td>
<td>forward</td>
<td>5’-GAATCCCATTCATCTTCCCAGG-3’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>reverse</td>
<td>5’-CATGTGGGCCATGAGGTCCACCAC-3’</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 1 Yamada, T., et al.
Control (culture) Mouse #

hRANKL hGAPDH RANKL β-actin

HSC-2 cells HSC-3 cells SAS cells

Relative RANKL expression (-fold)

Control (culture) Masseter region

HSC-2 cells HSC-3 cells SAS cells

Case #

hRANKL hGAPDH RANKL β-actin

Figure 2 Yamada, T., et al.
Figure 3 Yamada, T., et al.
Figure 4 Yamada, T., et al.
Figure 5 Yamada, T., et al.
Figure 6 Yamada, T., et al.
Figure 7 Yamada, T., et al.