



Title	Totally umbilical submanifolds in normal contact Riemannian Manifolds
Author(s)	Morohashi, Masayuki
Citation	Journal of the Faculty of Science Hokkaido University. Ser. 1 Mathematics, 22(3-4), 108-115
Issue Date	1972
Doc URL	http://hdl.handle.net/2115/54690
Type	bulletin (article)
File Information	JFSHIU_22_N3-4_108-115.pdf



[Instructions for use](#)

Totally umbilical submanifolds in normal contact Riemannian Manifolds

Dedicated to Professor Yoshie Katsurada on her 60th birthday

By Masayuki MOROHASHI

§ 1. Introduction.

It is well known that any complete hypersurface of an Euclidean space is isometric to a sphere if it is umbilical and has non-vanishing mean curvature. M. Okumura [3, 4] studied totally umbilical surfaces in a Kaehlerian manifold, and in a locally product manifold. Y. Watanabe [7] studied totally umbilical submanifolds in a normal contact Riemannian manifold and proved the following theorems :

THEOREM. *Let M be a complete connected totally umbilical hypersurface in a normal contact Riemannian manifold. If M is of constant mean curvature H , then M is isometric to a sphere of radius $1/\sqrt{1+H^2}$ in an Euclidean space.*

THEOREM. *Let M be a $(2n-1)$ -dimensional complete connected totally umbilical surface in a $(2n+1)$ -dimensional normal contact Riemannian manifold. Suppose that the covariant derivative of the mean curvature vector field of M is tangent to M , and that the mean curvature h does not vanish. Then either of the following two cases occurs*

- (1) *M is isometric to a sphere of radius $1/\sqrt{1+h^2}$ in an Euclidean space*
- (2) *M is homothetic to a $(2n-1)$ -dimensional normal contact Riemannian manifold.*

The purpose of this paper is to give a generalization of the above theorems for submanifolds of codimension greater than 2 in a normal contact Riemannian manifold.

The author wishes to express his sincere thanks to Prof. Yoshie Katsurada for her kind guidance.

§ 2. Normal contact Riemannian manifolds.

A $(2n+1)$ -dimensional differentiable manifold \bar{M} is called a contact Riemannian manifold if there exists a structure (ϕ, ξ, η, G) , $\phi = (\phi_i^\mu)$ being

a (1, 1)-type tensor field, $\xi = (\xi^\lambda)$ a contravariant vector field, $\eta = (\eta_\lambda)$ a 1-form and $G = (G_{\lambda\mu})$ a Riemannian metric tensor field, on \widetilde{M} such that

$$(2.1) \quad \phi_\lambda^\epsilon \xi^\lambda = 0, \quad \phi_\lambda^\epsilon \eta_\epsilon = 0, \quad \xi^\lambda \eta_\lambda = 1,$$

$$(2.2) \quad \phi_\lambda^\epsilon \phi_\nu^\lambda = -\delta_\nu^\epsilon + \eta_\nu \xi^\epsilon,$$

$$(2.3) \quad G_{\lambda\epsilon} \phi_\mu^\lambda \phi_\nu^\epsilon = G_{\mu\nu} - \eta_\mu \eta_\nu,$$

$$(2.4) \quad G_{\lambda\epsilon} \xi^\epsilon = \eta_\lambda,$$

$$(2.5) \quad \phi_{\lambda\mu} = G_{\mu\epsilon} \phi_\lambda^\epsilon = \frac{1}{2}(\partial_\lambda \eta_\mu - \partial_\mu \eta_\lambda),$$

where (ϕ_λ^ϵ) , (ξ^λ) , (η_λ) and $(G_{\lambda\mu})$ denote respectively the component of ϕ , ξ , η and G with respect to local coordinates $\{X^\lambda\}$ and δ_ν^ϵ means the Kronecker delta.

If in a contact Riemannian manifold the tensor, defined by

$$(2.6) \quad N_{\mu\lambda}^\epsilon = \phi_\mu^\nu (\partial_\nu \phi_\lambda^\epsilon - \partial_\lambda \phi_\nu^\epsilon) - \phi_\lambda^\nu (\partial_\nu \phi_\mu^\epsilon - \partial_\mu \phi_\nu^\epsilon) + \partial_\lambda \xi^\epsilon \eta_\mu - \partial_\mu \xi^\epsilon \eta_\lambda,$$

vanishes everywhere on \widetilde{M} , then the manifold \widetilde{M} is called a normal contact Riemannian manifold.

We have the following theorem by Y. Hatakeyama, Y. Ogawa and S. Tanno [1]:

THEOREM. *A contact Riemannian manifold is normal if and only if the conditions*

$$(2.7) \quad \nabla_\lambda \eta_\epsilon = \phi_{\lambda\epsilon}, \quad \nabla_\nu \phi_{\lambda\epsilon} = \eta_\lambda G_{\epsilon\nu} - \eta_\epsilon G_{\lambda\nu},$$

are satisfied.

§ 3. Submanifolds in a Riemannian manifold.

Let \widetilde{M} be a $(m+k)$ -dimensional orientable Riemannian manifold and M be an m -dimensional orientable submanifold in \widetilde{M} . In terms of local coordinates (X^1, \dots, X^{m+k}) of \widetilde{M} and (x^1, \dots, x^m) of M , M is locally expressed by equations

$$(3.1) \quad X^\lambda = X^\lambda(x^i) \quad \left(\begin{array}{l} \lambda = 1, \dots, m+k \\ i = 1, \dots, m \end{array} \right).$$

If we put

$$(3.2) \quad B_i^\epsilon = \partial X^\epsilon / \partial x^i,$$

then B_i^ϵ are linearly independent local vector fields tangent to M . A Riemannian metric g_{ji} on M induced from the Riemannian metric $G_{\lambda\epsilon}$ on \widetilde{M} is given by

$$(3.3) \quad g_{j\bar{i}} = G_{\lambda\kappa} B_j^\lambda B_{\bar{i}}^\kappa.$$

We choose k mutually orthogonal unit normal vectors $N_A^\lambda (A = m+1, \dots, m+k)$. Then we find

$$(3.4) \quad \begin{aligned} G_{\lambda\kappa} B_j^\lambda N_A^\kappa &= 0, & G_{\lambda\kappa} N_A^\lambda N_B^\kappa &= \delta_{AB}, \\ B_{\bar{i}}^\lambda B_{\lambda}^{\bar{h}} &= \delta_{\bar{i}}^{\bar{h}}, & N_A^\lambda N_{B\lambda} &= \delta_{AB}, \\ B_{\bar{i}}^\lambda N_{A\lambda} &= 0, & N_A^\lambda B_{\lambda}^{\bar{i}} &= 0, \\ B_{\bar{i}}^\lambda B_{\mu}^{\bar{i}} + \sum_A N_A^\lambda N_{A\mu} &= \delta_{\mu}^{\bar{i}}, \end{aligned}$$

where we have put $B_{\lambda}^{\bar{i}} = G_{\lambda\kappa} B_j^\kappa g^{j\bar{i}}$, $N_{A\lambda} = G_{\lambda\kappa} N_A^\kappa$.

Let $H_{A\bar{j}\bar{i}}$ ($A = m+1, \dots, m+k$) be the second fundamental tensors and $L_{AB\bar{i}}$ the third fundamental tensors. Then the Gauss and Weingarten equation are given respectively by

$$(3.5) \quad \nabla_j B_{\bar{i}}^\kappa = \sum_A H_{A\bar{j}\bar{i}} N_A^\kappa,$$

$$(3.6) \quad \nabla_j N_A^\kappa = -H_{A\bar{j}}^{\bar{i}} B_{\bar{i}}^\kappa + \sum_B L_{AB\bar{j}} N_B^\kappa,$$

where $\nabla_j B_{\bar{i}}^\kappa$ and $\nabla_j N_A^\kappa$ are defined respectively by

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_j B_{\bar{i}}^\kappa &= \partial_j B_{\bar{i}}^\kappa - \left\{ \begin{matrix} \bar{h} \\ j \bar{i} \end{matrix} \right\} B_{\bar{h}}^\kappa + \left\{ \begin{matrix} \kappa \\ \lambda \mu \end{matrix} \right\} B_j^\lambda B_{\bar{i}}^\mu, \\ \nabla_j N_A^\kappa &= \partial_j N_A^\kappa + \left\{ \begin{matrix} \kappa \\ \lambda \mu \end{matrix} \right\} B_j^\mu N_A^\lambda, \end{aligned}$$

$\left\{ \begin{matrix} \bar{h} \\ j \bar{i} \end{matrix} \right\}$ and $\left\{ \begin{matrix} \kappa \\ \lambda \mu \end{matrix} \right\}$ being the Christoffel's symbols of M and \bar{M} respectively.

§ 4. Submanifolds in a normal contact Riemannian manifold.

Let \bar{M} be a $(2n+1)$ -dimensional normal contact Riemannian manifold with normal contact Riemannian structure $(\phi_\lambda^\kappa, \xi^\lambda, \eta_\lambda, G_{\lambda\kappa})$ and M be a submanifold of codimension $k (> 2)$ in \bar{M} . The transform $\phi_\lambda^\kappa B_{\bar{i}}^\lambda$ of the tangent vector fields $B_{\bar{i}}^\lambda$ by ϕ_λ^κ can be represented as a sum of its tangential part and its normal part, that is,

$$(4.1) \quad \phi_\lambda^\kappa B_{\bar{i}}^\lambda = f_{\bar{i}}^{\bar{h}} B_{\bar{h}}^\kappa + \sum_A f_{A\bar{i}} N_A^\kappa.$$

In the same way, we can put

$$(4.2) \quad \phi_\lambda^\kappa N_A^\lambda = h_A^{\bar{i}} B_{\bar{i}}^\kappa + \sum_B h_{AB} N_B^\kappa.$$

From (4.1) and (4.2) we have

$$(4.3) \quad f_{\bar{i}}^{\bar{h}} = B_{\bar{i}}^{\bar{h}} \phi_\lambda^\kappa B_{\bar{h}}^\lambda,$$

$$(4.4) \quad f_{A\bar{i}} = N_{A\kappa} \phi_\lambda^\kappa B_{\bar{i}}^\lambda,$$

$$(4.5) \quad h_A^i = B_{\epsilon}^i \phi_{\lambda}^{\epsilon} N_A^{\lambda} = -f_{Aj} g^{ji},$$

$$(4.6) \quad h_{AB} = N_{B\epsilon} \phi_{\lambda}^{\epsilon} N_A^{\lambda} = -h_{BA}.$$

On the other hand, we can put

$$(4.7) \quad \xi^{\epsilon} = u^h B_h^{\epsilon} + \sum_A u_A N_A^{\epsilon},$$

from the above relation we have

$$(4.8) \quad u_i = \xi^{\epsilon} B_{\epsilon}^i, \quad u_i = u^j g_{ji} = \eta_{\epsilon} B_i^{\epsilon},$$

$$(4.9) \quad u_A = \eta_{\epsilon} N_A^{\epsilon}.$$

Transforming both members of (4.1) by ϕ_{ϵ}^{μ} , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & -\delta_i^j B_j^{\mu} + u_i u^j B_j^{\mu} + \sum_B u_i u_B N_B^{\mu} \\ & = (f_i^h f_h^j - \sum_A f_{Ai} f_A^j) B_j^{\mu} + \sum_B (f_i^h f_{Bh} + \sum_A f_{Ai} h_{AB}) N_B^{\mu}, \end{aligned}$$

by means of (2.2), (4.1), (4.2), (4.5) and (4.7). Because B_j^{μ}, N_A^{μ} are linearly independent, we find

$$(4.10) \quad f_i^h f_h^j = -\delta_i^j + u_i u^j + \sum_A f_{Ai} f_A^j,$$

$$(4.11) \quad f_i^h f_{Ah} = u_A u_i - \sum_B f_{Bi} h_{BA}.$$

Similarly from (4.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & -\sum_B \delta_{AB} N_B^{\mu} + u_A u^j B_j^{\mu} + \sum_B u_A u_B N_B^{\mu} \\ & = -(f_A^i f_i^j + \sum_B h_{AB} f_B^j) B_j^{\mu} + \sum_B (-f_A^i f_{Bi} + \sum_C h_{AC} h_{CB}) N_B^{\mu}, \end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$(4.12) \quad f_A^i f_i^j = -\sum_B h_{AB} f_B^j - u_A u^j,$$

$$(4.13) \quad f_A^i f_{Bi} = \delta_{AB} - u_A u_B + \sum_C h_{AC} h_{CB}.$$

On the other hand, making use of (4.1) and (4.7), condition (2.1) can be written respectively as

$$\begin{aligned} 0 & = \phi_{\lambda}^{\epsilon} \xi^{\lambda} = \phi_{\lambda}^{\epsilon} (u^i B_i^{\lambda} + \sum_A u_A N_A^{\lambda}) \\ & = (u^i f_i^h - \sum_A u_A f_A^h) B_h^{\epsilon} + \sum_B (u^i f_{Bi} + \sum_A u_A h_{AB}) N_B^{\epsilon}, \\ 1 & = \eta_{\lambda} \xi^{\lambda} = (u^i B_{i\lambda} + \sum_A u_A N_{A\lambda}) (u^j B_j^{\lambda} + \sum_B u_B N_B^{\lambda}) = u_i u^i + \sum_A u_A^2, \end{aligned}$$

from which we find

$$(4.14) \quad u^i f_i^h = \sum_A u_A f_A^h,$$

$$(4.15) \quad u^i f_{A\bar{i}} = -\sum_B u_B h_{BA},$$

$$(4.16) \quad u_i u^i = 1 - \sum_A u_A^2.$$

Differentiating (4.1) covariantly and making use of (2.7), (4.2) and (4.7), we have

$$\begin{aligned} u_i \delta_j^h B_h^k - g_{j\bar{i}} u^h B_h^k - \sum_A u_A g_{j\bar{i}} N_A^k - \sum_A H_{A\bar{j}i} f_A^h B_h^k + \sum_A \sum_B H_{B\bar{j}i} h_{BA} N_A^k \\ = \nabla_j f_i^h B_h^k + \sum_A f_i^h H_{A\bar{j}h} N_A^k + \sum_A \nabla_j f_{A\bar{i}} N_A^k - \sum_A f_{A\bar{i}} H_{A\bar{j}}^h B_h^k + \sum_A \sum_B f_{B\bar{i}} L_{BA\bar{j}} N_A^k, \end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$(4.17) \quad \nabla_j f_{i\bar{h}} = u_i g_{j\bar{h}} - u_h g_{j\bar{i}} - \sum_A (f_{A\bar{h}} H_{A\bar{j}i} - f_{A\bar{i}} H_{A\bar{j}h})$$

$$(4.18) \quad \nabla_j f_{A\bar{i}} = -u_A g_{j\bar{i}} + \sum_B (H_{B\bar{j}i} h_{BA} - f_{B\bar{i}} L_{BA\bar{j}}) - f_i^h H_{A\bar{j}h}.$$

Differentiating (4.2) covariantly and making use of (2.7), (4.1), (4.2) and (4.7), we have

$$\begin{aligned} u_A B_j^k - H_{A\bar{j}}^h (f_h^i B_i^k + \sum_B f_{B\bar{h}} N_B^k) + \sum_B L_{AB\bar{j}} (-f_B^i B_i^k + \sum_C h_{BC} N_C^k) \\ = -\nabla_j f_A^h B_h^k - \sum_B (f_A^i H_{B\bar{j}i} - \nabla_j h_{AB}) N_B^k + \sum_B h_{AB} (-H_{B\bar{j}}^i B_i^k + \sum_C L_{BC\bar{j}} N_C^k), \end{aligned}$$

from which we find

$$(4.19) \quad \nabla_j f_A^i = -u_A \delta_j^i + H_{A\bar{j}}^h f_h^i + \sum_B (h_{BA} H_{B\bar{j}}^i - L_{BA\bar{j}} f_B^i),$$

$$(4.20) \quad \nabla_j h_{AC} = f_A^i H_{C\bar{j}i} - f_C^i H_{A\bar{j}i} + \sum_B (L_{AB\bar{j}} h_{BC} - L_{BC\bar{j}} h_{AB}).$$

Differentiating (4.7) covariantly and making use of (2.7) and (4.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} f_j^i B_i^k + \sum_A f_{A\bar{j}} N_A^k = \nabla_j u^i B_i^k + \sum_A u^i H_{A\bar{j}i} N_A^k \\ + \sum_A \left\{ \nabla_j u_A N_A^k + u_A (-H_{A\bar{j}}^i B_i^k + \sum_B L_{BA\bar{j}} N_B^k) \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$(4.21) \quad \nabla_j u^i = f_j^i + \sum_A u_A H_{A\bar{j}}^i,$$

$$(4.22) \quad \nabla_j u_A = f_{A\bar{j}} - u^i H_{A\bar{j}i} - \sum_B u_B L_{BA\bar{j}}.$$

§ 5. Totally umbilical submanifolds in a normal contact Riemannian manifold.

A submanifold M is called a totally umbilical submanifold if the second fundamental tensors $H_{A\bar{j}i}$ are proportional to the metric tensor $g_{j\bar{i}}$, that is, satisfying the following condition

$$(5.1) \quad H_{Ajt} = H_A g_{jt}.$$

Then the mean curvature vector field H^λ of M is given by

$$(5.2) \quad H^\lambda = \sum_A H_A N_A^\lambda.$$

LEMMA. *Let M be a totally umbilical submanifold in \bar{M} . In order that the covariant derivative $\nabla_j H^\lambda$ of the mean curvature vector field H^λ of M is tangent to M , it is necessary and sufficient that*

$$(5.3) \quad \nabla_j H_B = - \sum_A H_A L_{ABj}.$$

PROOF. Differentiating (5.2) covariantly, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_j H^\lambda &= \sum_A \nabla_j H_A N_A^\lambda + \sum_A H_A (-H_A B_j^\lambda + \sum_B L_{ABj} N_B^\lambda) \\ &= - \sum_A H_A^2 B_j^\lambda + \sum_B (\nabla_j H_B + \sum_A H_A L_{ABj}) N_B^\lambda, \end{aligned}$$

which proves the assertion of Lemma.

THEOREM. *Let M be a totally umbilical submanifold in \bar{M} . If the covariant derivative $\nabla_j H^\lambda$ of the mean curvature vector field H^λ of M is tangent to M , then the mean curvature h of M is constant.*

PROOF. The mean curvature h of M is given by

$$(5.4) \quad h^2 = \sum_A H_A^2.$$

Differentiating (5.4) covariantly and making use of (5.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_j h^2 &= 2 \sum_A H_A \nabla_j H_A = -2 \sum_A H_A (\sum_B H_B L_{BAj}) \\ &= -2 \sum_A \sum_B H_A H_B L_{ABj} = 0, \end{aligned}$$

by means of $L_{ABj} = -L_{BAj}$. This proves that h is constant.

We know the following Obata's theorem.

THEOREM (M. Obata [2]). *Let M be a complete connected Riemannian manifold of dimension $n (> 2)$. In order that M admits a non-trivial solution of the system of differential equations*

$$\nabla_i \nabla_i \phi + k \phi G_{ik} = 0, \quad (k = \text{const.} > 0)$$

it is necessary and sufficient that M is isometric to a sphere S^n of radius $1/\sqrt{k}$ in the Euclidean $(n+1)$ -space.

Now we shall prove the following theorem :

THEOREM. *Let \bar{M} be a normal contact Riemannian manifold and M be a complete connected totally umbilical submanifold of codimension $k (> 2)$ in \bar{M} . If the covariant derivative $\nabla_j H^\lambda$ of the mean curvature vector field*

H^λ of M is tangent to M and $H^\lambda \eta_\lambda$ does not vanish identically, then M is isometric to a sphere of radius $1/\sqrt{1 + \sum_A H_A^2}$ in the Euclidean space.

PROOF. Making use of (5. 1), from (4. 18), (4. 21) and (4. 22), we have

$$(5. 5) \quad \nabla_j f_{A\lambda} = -u_A g_{j\lambda} + \sum_B (H_B h_{BA} g_{j\lambda} - f_{B\lambda} L_{BAj}) + H_A f_{j\lambda},$$

$$(5. 6) \quad \nabla_j u_\lambda = f_{j\lambda} + \sum_A u_A H_A g_{j\lambda},$$

$$(5. 7) \quad \nabla_j u_A = f_{Aj} - H_A u_j - \sum_B u_B L_{BAj}.$$

We put

$$(5. 8) \quad \phi = H^\lambda \eta_\lambda = \sum_A u_A H_A.$$

Differentiating (5. 8) covariantly and making use of (5. 3) and (5. 7), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_i \phi &= \sum_A \nabla_i u_A H_A + \sum_A u_A \nabla_i H_A \\ &= \sum_A (f_{A\lambda} - H_A u_\lambda - \sum_B u_B L_{BA\lambda}) H_A - \sum_A \sum_B u_A H_B L_{BA\lambda} \\ &= \sum_A H_A f_{A\lambda} - \sum_A H_A^2 u_\lambda. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, we can see from the preceding theorem that the mean curvature of M is constant. Then, differentiating the above equation covariantly and making use of (5. 5), (5. 6) and (5. 7), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_j \nabla_i \phi &= \sum_A \nabla_j H_A f_{A\lambda} + \sum_A H_A \nabla_j f_{A\lambda} - \sum_A H_A^2 \nabla_j u_\lambda \\ &= -\sum_A \sum_B H_B L_{BAj} f_{A\lambda} + \sum_A H_A \left\{ -u_A g_{j\lambda} + \sum_B (H_B h_{BA} g_{j\lambda} - f_{B\lambda} L_{BAj}) + H_A f_{j\lambda} \right\} \\ &\quad - \sum_A H_A^2 (f_{j\lambda} + \sum_B u_B H_B g_{j\lambda}) \\ &= -\sum_A u_A H_A g_{j\lambda} - \sum_A H_A^2 \sum_B u_B H_B g_{j\lambda}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by means of (5. 8), we get

$$\nabla_j \nabla_i \phi + (1 + \sum_A H_A^2) \phi g_{ji} = 0.$$

This shows that M is isometric to a sphere of radius $1/\sqrt{1 + \sum_A H_A^2}$ in the Euclidean space by means of Obata's theorem.

References

- [1] Y. HATAKEYAMA, Y. OGAWA and S. TANNO: Some properties of manifolds with contact metric structure, *Tôhoku Math. Journ.* 15 (1963), 42-48.
- [2] M. OBATA: Riemannian manifolds admitting a solution of a certain system of differential equations, *Proc. of U.S.-Japan Sem. in Diff. Geom. Kyoto Japan* (1965), 101-114.
- [3] M. OKUMURA: Totally umbilical hypersurfaces of a locally product Riemannian manifold, *Kôdai Math. Sem. Rep.* 19 (1967), 35-42.
- [4] M. OKUMURA: Totally umbilical submanifolds of a Kaehlerian manifold, *J. Math. Soc.* 19 (1967), 317-327.
- [5] M. OKUMURA: Contact Riemannian Submanifolds, *J. Diff. Geom.* 4 (1970), 21-35.
- [6] S. SASAKI: On differentiable manifolds with certain structure which are closely related to almost contact structure I, *Tôhoku Math. Journ.* 12 (1960), 459-476.
- [7] Y. WATANABE: Totally umbilical surfaces in normal contact Riemannian manifolds, *Kôdai Math. Sem. Rep.* 19 (1967), 474-487.
- [8] S. YAMAGUCHI: On hypersurfaces in Sasakian manifolds, *Kôdai Math. Sem. Rep.* 21 (1969), 64-72.
- [9] K. YANO: *Differential geometry on complex and almost complex spaces*, Pergamon press, New York 1965.

(Received August 23, 1971)