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Abstract

Seasonal changes in the zooplankton community in Ishikari Bay, northern Japan Sea were studied based on monthly samples collected by vertical hauls of a 100 μm mesh NORPAC net from March 2001 to May 2002. Zooplankton abundance peaked in May and was mainly composed of copepodid stages of copepods. Cluster analysis of copepod abundance separated the community into two main groups: group A was observed from January to June (winter and spring) and group B from July to December (summer and autumn). Groups A and B were composed of cold and warm water species, respectively. Species diversity was high for group B. As the second dominant taxa, copepod nauplii dominated during winter and spring, whereas molluscs and appendicularians were abundant during summer and autumn. Copepod nauplii in winter and spring likely reflect the copepod reproduction initiated by spring the phytoplankton bloom. Molluscs in summer and autumn were composed of planktonic larvae of benthos (=meroplankton). Appendicularians during summer and autumn are oceanic and considered to have been transported by the Tsushima warm current. High copepod species diversity during summer and autumn might also be related to the Tsushima warm current.
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Introduction

Zooplankton are important prey for larvae of various commercially important fishes (e.g., herring, capelin, walleye pollock and cod) in high-latitude coastal waters (Pedersen and Fosheim, 2008; Logerwell et al., 2010). Studies have shown that the amount of food available is an important determinant of fish survival; i.e., walleye pollock in the southeastern Bering Sea (Brodeur et al., 2000; Ciannelli et al., 2004) and in Funka Bay (Kendall and Nakatani, 1992). Information on zooplankton abundance, biomass and community structure is essential to evaluate the survival of fish larvae.

Ishikari Bay is located in the northern Japan Sea. Hydrography of the Japan Sea is characterised by a two-layer system; the surface layer is strongly affected by the Tsushima warm current, and the deep layer has a highly saline and low-temperature water mass (Zenkevitch, 1963). Ishikari Bay has a moderate slope down to approximately 100 m, with a steep slope down to 700 m. Because of this bathymetric feature, coastal upwelling and vertical mixing in winter are the major sources of nutrient supply to the surface layer (Yoshida et al., 1977; Yamashita et al., 2004). The Ishikari River, the largest river on Hokkaido, flows into Ishikari Bay and provides sufficient nutrients to the estuarine region (Yoshida et al., 1977), making Ishikari Bay highly productive and an important fishing ground for species such as salmon, herring, walleye pollock and flounder (Hayashi, 1980).

Zooplankton in Ishikari Bay has been studied for a long time, and information on the large taxa dominating the biomass has accumulated (Anraku, 1953; Motoda, 1971; Kotori, 1977; Asami et al., 2010). However, there remains a need to assess the zooplankton community, especially the numerically dominant small taxa that are important food for larval fish.

The present study aims to evaluate seasonal changes in small zooplankton taxa based on fine mesh (100 μm) net samples collected in Ishikari Bay at 1-2 month intervals between March 2001 and May 2002. From the samples, seasonal changes in zooplankton abundance, biomass, com-
Community structure and species diversity were evaluated. We discuss what factors control the seasonal changes of small zooplankton taxa.

Materials and Methods

Field sampling

One or two month interval samplings were conducted at four stations (J3A, J31, J32 and J33) in Ishikari Bay from 12 March 2001 to 14 May 2002 (a total of twelve times) (Fig. 1). Zooplankton samples were collected by vertical hauls of NORPAC nets (45 cm mouth diameter, 100 μm mesh; Motoda, 1957) from near the bottom to the surface. A flow-meter (Rigosha Co. Ltd.) mounted on the net ring enabled quantification of the filtered water volume. Zooplankton samples were immediately preserved with 5% formaldehyde-seawater.

Water temperature and salinity were measured by CTD (Seabird SBE911) casts during each sampling. In addition, at all stations except J33, 300-ml sea water samples were collected at 5- or 10-m intervals by Nansen bottle or bucket at the surface and filtered through GF/F filters. The filters were then immersed in 90% acetone in dark conditions for a day, and the chlorophyll a (Chl. a) concentration was measured using a fluorometer (Turner Model 10AU).

Sample analysis

Zooplankton samples were divided into two subsamples using a Motoda plankton splitter (Motoda, 1959), and wet mass (WM) was measured for each subsample. Samples at J3A, J32 and J33 were sorted and counted, with each zooplankton taxonomic group identified under a stereomicroscope. For the 1/5-1/30 subsamples (made using a wide-bore pipette) at St. J31, identification and enumeration of copepod species and copepodid stages were made under a stereomicroscope or inverted microscope. However, because of difficulties in species identification for the genera Oncaea and Corycaeus, they were treated as Oncaea spp. and Corycaeus spp., respectively. Identification of copepod species followed Brodsky (1967) and Ohtsuka et al. (1997). Nauplii were unidentified to species and grouped as ‘copepod nauplii’, and the copepodid stages were identified to species as much as possible. For copepodid stages of Oithona, we referred to Uchima (1979).

Data analysis

Abundance data of copepods (X: ind. m⁻³) for each species were log₁₀(X+1) transformed prior to cluster analysis in order to reduce the bias of abundance. Similarities between samples were examined using the Bray–Curtis index, according to the differences in species composition. Samples with similar species composition were grouped using hierarchical agglomerative clustering, based on a complete linkage method (Unweighted Pair Group Method using Arithmetic mean : UPGMA) (Field et al., 1982). This cluster analysis was performed using Primer 6 software. Species diversity index (H'), based on copepod abundance, was calculated using the equation:

\[ H' = - \sum \frac{n_i}{N} \ln \frac{n_i}{N} \]

where \( n \) is the abundance (ind. m⁻³) of \( i \)th species, and \( N \) is the total copepod abundance (ind. m⁻³) in the group (Shannon and Weaver, 1949).
Results

Hydrography

Fig. 2 shows seasonal changes in the T-S diagram and the integrated mean temperature, salinity, Chl. \( a \) and zooplankton wet mass at J3A, J31, J32 and J33. At all stations, salinity showed little seasonality, and temperature had a clear seasonal pattern: \(<15^\circ C\) at sea surface from February to June and \(>20^\circ C\) from July to December. Integrated mean salinity was low (33.1-33.9 PSU) at coastal station J3A and gradually increased with offshore stations.

At all stations, Chl. \( a \) had a peak during March to April (Fig. 2). The maximum value was higher at coastal stations: 6.0 mg m\(^{-3}\) at J3A, 3.8 mg m\(^{-3}\) at J31 and 1.9 mg m\(^{-3}\) at J32. At the near-coastal station (J3A), in addition to the spring peak, there were additional high concentrations during September to December (Fig. 2a). At the offshore stations (J31 and J32), Chl. \( a \) had a peak only in the spring period (Fig. 2b, c).

Zooplankton biomass showed minimal station-specific differences (peaks ranged between 2.3 and 2.9 g WM m\(^{-3}\)). Seasonal changes in zooplankton biomass were similar to those of Chl. \( a \); higher values occur from March to April 2001 and at the more coastal station (J3A) when compared to the offshore stations (Fig. 2).

Zooplankton community

Seasonal changes in total zooplankton abundance and taxonomic composition at J3A, J32 and J33 are shown in Fig. 3. At all stations, zooplankton abundance peaked in May, which was one or two months later than the biomass peak (March or April) (Fig. 3a). The maximum value for zooplankton abundance was 55,454 ind. m\(^{-3}\), recorded at J3A. Throughout the year, zooplankton abundance was higher at the coastal station (J3A) than at the offshore stations (J32 and J33) (Fig. 3a).

Taxonomic composition in abundance was dominated by copepods, which composed 50-80%, except during September 2001 and May 2002 at J3A. In addition to copepods, copepod nauplii (3-45%), appendicularians (0.04-37%) and molluscs (0.09-39%) were also dominant (Fig. 3b, c, d). Taxonomic composition showed little station-specific difference, and there were common seasonal changes: copepod nauplii were dominant from February to June, and appendicularians and molluscs were proportionally more abundant from July to December.

Throughout the study period, a total of 22 genera and 31 copepod species occurred at J31 (Table 1). Cluster analysis based on copepod abundance separated the community at J31 into two large groups (group A and B, Fig. 4a). Occurrence of each group showed clear seasonality: group A was observed from January to June and group B was observed from July to December. Group C was composed of only one sample from March 2001. Because of the extremely low abundance, group C was considered to be an out-group (Fig. 4b). Copepod abundance had a maximum (16,347 ind. m\(^{-3}\)) in May 2001, similar to that of total zooplankton (Fig. 4b). Species diversity (\( H' \)) was higher from September to December, and values of group B were significantly higher than those of group A (\( p < 0.05, U\)-test). Copepod species composition also showed clear seasonality. Group A was mainly composed of cold-water species (\( Pseudocalanus \) spp. and \( Oithona \) spp.), whereas group B was dominated by warm-water species (\( Clausocalanus \) pergens, \( Paracalanus \) parvus, \( Calanus \) pacificus and other warm-water copepods) (Fig. 4c, Table 1).

![Figure 2](image-url) Fig. 2. Seasonal changes in T-S diagram (upper panels) and depth integrated mean temperature, salinity, Chl. \( a \) and zooplankton biomass (lower panels) at J3A (a), J31 (b), J32 (c) and J33 (d) in Ishikari Bay from March 2001 to May 2002.
Discussion

Maximum zooplankton abundance in this study was 55,454 ind. m\(^{-3}\). Previously reported maximum zooplankton abundance in Ishikari Bay was between 1,175–2,177 ind. m\(^{-3}\) (Asami et al., 2010). These previous values were nearly one-twentieth of those of this study. These differences in abundance are considered to be a result of the differences in net mesh sizes. Asami et al. (2010) used a 335 μm mesh net, and we used a 100 μm mesh net. The finer mesh size enabled a more effective collection of smaller zooplankton, such as copepod nauplii during their peak abundance period (May). Maximum zooplankton biomass was 2.9 g WM m\(^{-3}\) in this study. Kotori (1983), using a 335 μm net, reported a maximum zooplankton biomass of 4.3 g WM m\(^{-3}\) in Ishikari Bay. Thus, zooplankton biomass did not vary markedly with the mesh sizes. This is a result of the zooplankton biomass being determined primarily by the abundance of the large-sized copepods, which may not vary with changes in the mesh size (100 μm or 335 μm).

This study shows that copepod nauplii dominated the zooplankton community in Ishikari Bay during winter and spring, and appendicularians and molluscs were dominant during summer and autumn (Fig. 3b-d). Dominance of nauplii from winter to spring may have been caused by copepods
Table 1. List of copepod species identified in Ishikari Bay during March 2001 to May 2002. Regarding species characteristics, their habitat temperature (warm, cold and eurythermal; cf. Hirakawa et al., 1990; Ohtsuka et al., 1997), maximum abundance and period are shown. Normal and bold lines indicate that the composition to total abundance was low (<20%) and high (≥ 20%), respectively.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Habitat temp.</th>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Maximum abundance (ind. m$^{-3}$)</th>
<th>2001</th>
<th>2002</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>M A</td>
<td>M J</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W</td>
<td><em>Acartia danae</em></td>
<td>15 (Oct. '01)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W</td>
<td><em>Acrocalanus gibber</em></td>
<td>19 (Dec. '01)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W</td>
<td><em>Acrocalanus gracilis</em></td>
<td>19 (Nov. '01)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W</td>
<td><em>Calanus pacificus</em></td>
<td>525 (Nov. '01)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W</td>
<td><em>Calocalanus pavo</em></td>
<td>15 (Oct. '01)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W</td>
<td><em>Candacia bipinnata</em></td>
<td>3 (Dec. '01)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W</td>
<td><em>Centropages bradyi</em></td>
<td>403 (Oct. '01)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W</td>
<td><em>Centropages tenuiremis</em></td>
<td>147 (Nov. '01)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W</td>
<td><em>Clausocalanus peregrus</em></td>
<td>1,440 (Nov. '01)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W</td>
<td><em>Eucalanus attenuatus</em></td>
<td>8 (Oct. '01)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W</td>
<td><em>Mesocalanus tenuicornis</em></td>
<td>131 (Feb. '02)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W</td>
<td><em>Nannocalanus minor</em></td>
<td>8 (Oct. '01)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W</td>
<td><em>Paracalanus aculeatus</em></td>
<td>19 (Nov. '01)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W</td>
<td><em>Paracalanus parvus</em></td>
<td>9,255 (July '01)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W</td>
<td><em>Temora discaudata</em></td>
<td>30 (Oct. '01)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td><em>Metridia pacifica</em></td>
<td>433 (Apr. '01)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td><em>Neocalanus flemingeri</em></td>
<td>57 (May '01)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td><em>Neocalanus plumchrus</em></td>
<td>296 (Apr. '01)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td><em>Pseudocalanus minutus</em></td>
<td>787 (May '01)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td><em>Pseudocalanus nevmani</em></td>
<td>3,862 (May '01)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td><em>Scolecithricella dentata</em></td>
<td>8 (Oct. '01)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td><em>Tortanus discaudatus</em></td>
<td>78 (May '02)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W</td>
<td><em>Oithona nana</em></td>
<td>442 (Nov. '01)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W</td>
<td><em>Oithona plumifera</em></td>
<td>26 (Dec. '01)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td><em>Oithona atlantica</em></td>
<td>497 (July '01)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td><em>Oithona similis</em></td>
<td>12,110 (Apr. '01)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td><em>Euterpinia acutifrons</em></td>
<td>30 (Oct. '01)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td><em>Microsetella norvegica</em></td>
<td>1,262 (Oct. '01)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Harpacticus spp.</em></td>
<td>5 (May '01)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poecilostomatoida</td>
<td><em>Corycaeus spp.</em></td>
<td>326 (Nov. '01)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Onclea spp.</em></td>
<td>2,643 (Nov. '01)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
utilising a phytoplankton bloom as energy for reproduction (Fig. 2). In Toyama Bay, located in the southern Japan Sea, reproduction of zooplankton (copepods or euphausiids) is initiated by the phytoplankton bloom during winter and spring (Hirakawa and Imamura, 1993; Yamaguchi et al., 1998; Iguchi and Ikeda, 1999). In this study, the biomass peak of zooplankton was observed in March or April (Fig. 2a-d), and the abundance peak was observed one or two months later (Fig. 3a). These results suggest that the biomass and abundance peaks correspond and are composed of adults (biomass peak in March to April) and recently reproduced larvae (abundance peak one-two months later), respectively.

Molluscs during summer and autumn were composed of planktonic larvae (meroplankton) of benthic bivalves or snails. Mollusc larvae have also been observed to be planktonic larvae (meroplankton) of benthic bivalves or molluscs during summer and autumn were composed of higher copepod species diversity in Ishikari Bay (Fig. 4b). Species may have been transported northward, leading to a strength of the Tsushima warm current is greater, warm water (Tomita et al., 2003), this species may dominate during summer and autumn when the strength of the Tsushima warm current is strong. Minoda et al. (1979) also reported that O. longicauda did not occur in Ishikari Bay during April and May, and O. longicauda was transported into the bay in June by the Tsushima warm current and dominated the zooplankton community during summer.

High species diversity of copepods during summer and autumn (Fig. 4b) might also be related to the strength of the Tsushima warm current. Because the straits connected with the North Pacific are shallow (<130 m), zooplankton fauna in the Japan Sea lack cold water species, especially deep sea species (Vinogradov, 1968). In contrast, the warm water species transported by the Tsushima warm current are numerous. For example, Toyama Bay has 69 warm water species and 15 cold water species of copepod fauna (Hirakawa et al., 1990), and Wakasa Bay contains 50 warm water species and seven cold water species of copepod fauna (Iguchi et al., 1999). This pattern also occurs in Ishikari Bay, where we observed 18 warm water species and nine cold water species. Because Ishikari Bay is located in the northern Japan Sea, fewer warm water species are found here than at the two southern locations (Toyama Bay and Wakasa Bay, Fig. 1a). We hypothesise that during summer and autumn, when the strength of the Tsushima warm current is greater, warm water species may have been transported northward, leading to a higher copepod species diversity in Ishikari Bay (Fig. 4b).
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