<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Title</th>
<th>The potential of glycerol in freezing preservation of turbine oil-degrading bacterial consortium and the ability of the revised consortium to degrade petroleum wastes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Author(s)</td>
<td>Kurachi, Kumiko; Hosokawa, Reia; Takahashi, Marina; Okuyama, Hidetoshi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Citation</td>
<td>International Biodeterioration &amp; Biodegradation, 88: 77-82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Issue Date</td>
<td>2014-03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Doc URL</td>
<td><a href="http://hdl.handle.net/2115/55385">http://hdl.handle.net/2115/55385</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type</td>
<td>article (author version)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>File Information</td>
<td>Okuyama_IBB.pdf</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hokkaido University Collection of Scholarly and Academic Papers : HUSCAP</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The potential of glycerol in freezing preservation of turbine oil-degrading bacterial consortium and the ability of the revised consortium to degrade petroleum wastes

Kumiko Kurachi, Reia Hosokaewa, Marina Takahashi, Hidetoshi Okuyama

Graduate School Environmental Earth Science, Hokkaido University, Sapporo 060-0810, Japan

Abstract: The turbine oil (TuO)-degrading bacterial consortium Tank-2 (original Tank-2) was preserved as a glycerol stock at −80°C from 2009 to 2012. Storage methods have been unavailable so far for any TuO-degrading bacterial consortia or isolates. To evaluate the usefulness of glycerol stock, the original Tank-2 consortium frozen in glycerol at −80°C was thawed and then revived by repeated culture in mineral salts medium (MSM) containing 0.5% (w/w) TuO (revived Tank-2). The revived Tank-2 consortium exhibited a high activity to degrade TuO, which was equivalent to that of original Tank-2. It also degraded car engine oil, used car engine oil, Arabian light and Vityaz crude oils and TuO in wastewater. These results indicated that a glycerol stock at −80°C was useful for storing Tank-2. PCR-denaturing gradient gel electrophoresis (DGGE) that targeted the V3 regions of 16 S rRNA gene sequences showed that the DGGE band profiles of principal bacteria were significantly different between the original and revived Tank-2 consortia and between the revived Tank-2 culture grown in MSM containing TuO and that grown in MSM containing other types of petroleum products. This suggested that bacterial strains inherently residing in Tank-2 could adjust their compositions based on the storage and culture conditions.
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1. Introduction

Turbine oil (TuO) is used for lubricating and controlling gas and steam turbine systems. TuO typically comprises 95%–99.5% (w/w) of highly refined base oil (a mixture of branched alkanes and cyclic alkanes) and 0.5%–5% (w/w) of additives (Hosokawa et al. 2010). The biodegradability of branched and cyclic alkanes is lower than that of aliphatic hydrocarbons (Gough and Rowland 1990). According to Perry (1984), the susceptibility of hydrocarbons to microbial attack is in the following order: normal alkanes \((n\text{-alkanes})\) > isoalkanes > low molecular weight aromatics > cyclic alkanes. Thus, TuO, particularly cyclic alkanes, is assumed to be relatively recalcitrant to microbial degradation.

There have been numerous reports on bacteria and bacterial consortia that can degrade petroleum products, including gasoline (Wongsa et al. 2004; Lu et al. 2006), diesel oil (Ciric et al. 2010; Wongsa et al. 2004; Jung et al. 2010), car engine oil (Wongsa et al. 2004; Abioye et al. 2012), heavy oil (Wongsa et al. 2004; Aoshima et al. 2006; Hao and Lu 2009) and crude oil (Razak et al. 1999; Rahman et al. 2002; Sathishkumar et al. 2008). However, there are only a limited number of bacteria that can degrade TuO.

Zvyagintseva et al. (2001) reported that *Rhodococcus erythropolis* and *Dietzia maris* are TuO degraders. Two types of TuO-degrading consortia, designated Atsuta (Ito et al. 2008) and Tank-2 (Hosokawa et al. 2010), which had been formulated from soil samples contaminated with crude oil and TuO-containing wastewater sampled at an electric power plant, respectively, efficiently degraded TuO. Their capacities to degrade TuO were consistently maintained as long as these consortia were continuously cultured in media that contained TuO.

Freezing and freeze drying are common means used for long-term storage of microbial cells (see: http://www.atcc.org/CulturesandProducts/tabid/167/Default.aspx).
However, regardless of the isolated bacterial strains or consortia, appropriate reservations must be considered before their practical use, such as in bioaugmentation. To date, there have been no reports on whether a glycerol stock, the most commonly used storage method for bacterial cells, is useful for TuO-degrading bacteria or bacterial consortia.

In this study, the availability and usefulness of a glycerol stock of the TuO-degrading consortium Tank-2, which had been stored at −80°C for 3.5 years were evaluated. This revived consortium was tested for its ability to degrade TuO and types of petroleum products, including lubricating oils, crude oils and TuO in the wastewater from an electric power plant.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Microbial consortia and culture media

To culture microbial consortia, we used minimal salts medium (MSM) that included 0.4% NH₄NO₃, 0.47% KH₂PO₄, 0.0119% Na₂HPO₄, 0.001% CaCl₂•2H₂O, 0.1% MgSO₄•7H₂O, 0.001% MnSO₄•7H₂O and 0.0015% FeSO₄•7H₂O, pH 7.0. MSM was supplemented with TuO or another type of petroleum product (Ueno et al. 2006 a, b)

TuO-degrading consortia Tank-2 was used throughout this study. The original Tank-2 was formulated in 2009 (Hosokawa et al. 2010) and had been preserved at −80°C as a glycerol stock. In this study, frozen original Tank-2 was revived from storage, subjected to re-testing for its capacities to degrade various types of petroleum products and these results were compared with those for the original Tank-2 consortium.

Glycerol-stocked original Tank-2 was first thawed at room temperature. The thawed Tank-2 culture (1 ml) was then transferred to a 50-ml flask containing 10 ml of MSM supplemented with 0.5% (w/w) of TuO (TuO-containing MSM) and cultured for two weeks
at 30°C with shaking at 160 rpm. After the first reviving culture (enrichment culture), a part (200 μl) of the culture was inoculated into freshly prepared TuO-containing MSM and cultured (second enrichment culture) as described above. This enrichment procedure was repeated more than four times. These prepared consortia were designated as revived Tank-2.

TuO (type FBK turbine SH), a product of Nippon Oil Corporation (Tokyo, Japan), was obtained from Hokkaido Electric Power Co., Inc. Car engine oil (Toyota Castrol motor oil SN 5W-30; abbreviated EO) was purchased from a local market. Used car engine oil (original Toyota Castrol motor oil SN 5W-30) was obtained from a local body shop. Arabian light crude oil and Vityaz crude oil (Maki et al. 2008) were provided by Idemitu Kosan Co., Ltd. and Vityaz crude oil (Maki et al. 2008) from the Geological Survey of Hokkaido, respectively. All oil types were autoclaved at 121°C for 20 min before use.

2.2. Formulating a new microbial consortium to degrade TuO

To formulate a new TuO-degrading consortium, wastewater samples that contained TuO were collected from the oil-water separating tank at the Moiwa hydraulic plant, Hokkaido Electric Power Co., Inc. located at Minami-ku, Sapporo in 2012. This was the same sampling site where a wastewater sample was collected to prepare the original Tank-2 consortium in 2009. Wastewater samples were processed as described previously (Hosokawa et al. 2010). Samples were centrifuged at 7,000 rpm for 15 min to precipitate insoluble matters, after which the pellets were suspended in 10 ml of the supernatant. This suspension was added as an inoculum to 10 ml of MSM that contained TuO in a 50-ml flask. This was then incubated at 30°C on a rotary shaker (160 rpm) for two weeks. An aliquot of this culture (200 μl) was transferred to another 50-ml flask containing 10 ml of the same medium and incubated as described above. Because bacterial cultures, including cultures of the original Tank-2, formed
aggregates during culture, 200 µl of each liquid culture that contained small cell aggregates were inoculated directly into 10 ml of fresh TuO-containing MSM. These cultures were incubated at 30°C with shaking (160 rpm) for two weeks. The formulated TuO-degrading consortium was designated Moiwa-KK.

2.3. Degradation test

To estimate the degradation of TuO and other types of petroleum products, 200 µl of the pre-culture for revived TuO was transferred to a 50-ml flask that contained 10 ml of MSM supplemented with either TuO, EO, Arabian light crude oil or Vityaz crude oil at 0.5% (w/v). Culture was carried out for one or two weeks at 30°C with shaking at 160 rpm. When the thawed Tank-2 consortium was used as the inoculum, the total volume (1 ml) of the original Tank-2 culture in a microfuge tube that had been frozen for 3.5 years at −80°C was thawed at room temperature and then transferred into the same TuO-containing medium as described above.

TuO in wastewater was also used as the carbon source for revived Tank-2. Culture media were prepared as shown in Table 1. For this test, the concentration of TuO in the medium was adjusted to 1.5%, which was three times higher than that of normal TuO-containing MSM. A 200-µl aliquot of the culture grown in TuO-containing MSM was inoculated directly into 10 ml of MSM supplemented with 0.5% (w/v) of the various petroleum products. Culture was performed as described above.

2.4. Extraction and analysis of hydrocarbons

Petroleum product extraction was performed with chloroform using the modified Bligh-Dyer
method (Bligh and Dyer 1959), as described previously (Hosokawa et al. 2010). Total petroleum hydrocarbons (TPHs) were separated into saturated, aromatic, resin and asphaltene fractions and quantified by the thin-layer chromatography-flame ionization detection method (TLC-FID) using an Iatroscan (Model MK-6), as described previously (Goto et al. 1994; Ito et al. 2008; Hosokawa et al. 2010). When crude oils were analysed by TLC-FID, the resin and asphaltene fractions were omitted from the calculations because of their recalcitrant characteristics and the inclusion of cell-derived polar lipids in the asphaltene fraction (Ito et al. 2008).

2.5. Analysis of metals

The concentrations of Al, Cr, Cu, Fe, Pb, Zn and other ions at >0.1 mg/L (ppm) in TuO-containing wastewater were determined by an inductively coupled plasma-atomic emission spectrometer (ICP-AES) (Model ICPE-9000). For preparations for hydride generation analysis, 5 g of sample was mixed with 8 ml of nitric acid. This mixture was subjected to microwave digestion first at 230°C for 40 min and then at 120°C for 15 min. After cooling, the sample mixture volume was adjusted to 50 ml with distilled water. This original sample solution was diluted with 2 M nitric acid at appropriate ratios and then subjected to ICP-AES. Multi-element standard solution I (MERCK, Darmstadt, Germany) was used as a standard.

2.6. DNA procedures

2.6.1. Extraction of bacterial genomic DNA

Bacterial cells were harvested by centrifugation at 7,000 rpm for 10 min at 4°C, after which
the pellets were freeze-dried overnight. Freeze-dried samples were suspended in 1 ml of 20 mM Tris–HCl buffer (pH 8.0) containing 250 mM EDTA. The suspensions were homogenized in a sterilized mortar. A 200-µl aliquot was transferred to a 2-ml sterilized screw-capped tube with a O-ring (Assist Co., Ltd., Tokyo) that contained 500 mg of sterilized glass beads (105–150 µm in diameter; Polysciences, Inc., Warrington, PA), and the remainder of the suspended cells was stored at −30°C.

A mixture of 400 µl of an organic solvent containing phenol, chloroform, and isoamyl alcohol (25:24:1, by volume) and 800 µl of a stabilizing reagent [100 mM Tris–HCl (pH 8.0), 20 mM EDTA, 1.4 M NaCl and 2% (w/v) cetyltrimethyl ammonium bromide] were added to the screw-capped tubes. This mixture was disrupted with a Mini-Beadbeater™ at 5,000 rpm for 1 min, and then heated at 65°C for 15 min. After cooling on ice, the sample was bead-beated at 5,000 rpm for 1 min, and centrifuged at 13,000 rpm for 10 min at 4°C. Supernatants were transferred to 1.5 ml sterilized tubes and precipitated with ethanol. Genomic DNA was finally dissolved in 50 µl of EDTA-containing 20 mM Tris-HCl buffer mentioned above and stored at −30°C.

2.6.2. PCR amplification of 16S rRNA gene sequences

For denaturing gradient gel electrophoresis (DGGE), PCR reactions were carried out using methods described by Hosokawa et al. (2010). A set of primers with a GC clamp, 338F-GC (5′-CGC CGG GGG CGC GCC CCG GGC GGG GCG GGG GCA CGG GGG GAC TCC TAC GGG AGG CAG CAG-3′) and 518R (5′-ATT ACC GCG GCT GCT GG-3′), which corresponded to the V3 regions of the 16S rRNA gene sequence was used. PCR was run using GC-338F and 518R primers. The PCR reaction mixture (final volume of 50 µl) included 1 unit of Ex Taq DNA polymerase (TAKARA BIO, Kyoto, Japan), 200 nM dNTP mixture, 25 pM of each primer, EX Taq buffer and genomic DNA as template. PCR was
carried out on a Mastercycler ep thermal cycler (Eppendorf AG) using the following program: an initial denaturation step at 94°C for 5 min, followed by 30 cycles of 1 min at 94°C, 1 min at 55°C and 1 min at 72°C and finally a 5 min extension at 72°C to amplify 16S rRNA gene fragments for DGGE. To amplify the whole 16S rRNA gene, the following program was used: an initial denaturation step at 94°C for 5 min, followed by 30 cycles of 1 min at 94°C, 1 min at 55°C, 2 min at 72°C and finally a 5-min extension at 72°C.

2.6.3. DGGE analysis

DGGE used the D-code™ Universal Mutation Detection system, as described in Hosokawa et al. (2010). PCR products (10 µl each) were mixed with 1 µl of alkaline gel-loading buffer containing 300 mM NaOH, 6 mM EDTA, 18% (w/v) Ficoll and 0.25% (w/v) xylene cyanol and then loaded on acrylamide gel containing a denaturing urea–formamide gradient ranging from 20% to 60%. A 100% concentration of denaturant was defined as 7 M urea and 40% (v/v) formamide (Muyzer et al. 1993). Electrophoresis was run at a constant voltage of 200 V for 3 h at a constant temperature of 60°C. After DGGE, the gel was stained for 30 min in 0.5× TAE containing 1 SYBR Green (BioWhittaker, Walkersville, MD, USA) and photographed under UV illumination.
3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Reviving the glycerol-stocked Tank-2 consortium

The TuO-degrading consortium Tank-2 was formulated in 2009. This bacterial consortium exhibited a significantly and consistently high activity to degrade TuO (>90% of total TuO for two weeks at 30°C; Hosokawa et al. 2010). Since that time it has been preserved as a glycerol stock at −80°C.

As shown in Figure 1a, TuO degradation by revived Tank-2 gradually increased up to approximately 90% of the total for the third enrichment culture and this activity was consistently maintained after the fourth enrichment culture (data not shown). Considering that TuO degradation by the original Tank-2 consortium was also consistently approximately 90% (Hosokawa et al. 2010), it was concluded that Tank-2 could be completely revived after storage at −80°C for more than three years. The revived Tank-2 consortium that was enriched more than three times was used for further experiments.

Figure 1a also shows the time-dependent increase in TuO degradation by a newly prepared TuO-degrading consortium (Moiwa-KK). After the third enrichment culture, TuO degradation by the revived Tank-2 and Moiwa-KK consortia was consistently approximately 90% and approximately 80%, respectively, of total TuO (Fig. 1b).

3.2. Degradation of different petroleum products by revived Tank-2

The original Tank-2 consortium could degrade not only TuO, but also different types of petroleum products, such as diesel oil, car engine oil and Arabian light crude oil (Fig. 2a and Hosokawa et al. 2010). In this study, in addition to these petroleum products, used car engine
oil and Vityaz crude oil were also used as the sole carbon and energy sources for the revived Tank-2 consortium. Revived Tank-2 degraded TuO (63% of total), car engine oil (64% of total), used car engine oil (81% of total), Arabian light crude oil (72%) and Vityaz crude oil (28% of total) after one week (Fig. 2b). Except for Vityaz crude oil, > 80% of each petroleum product was degraded by revived Tank-2 after two weeks (Fig. 2b). The relatively high degradation activity for engine oil by the revived Tank-2 consortium was probably because the main components of car engine oil, as well as TuO, are n-alkanes (Koma et al. 2003). Thus, the bacterial community structure of the Tank-2 consortium may be readily adaptable to a medium that contains car engine oil.

Arabian light crude oil was completely degraded by revived Tank-2 after two weeks. However, Vityaz crude oil degradation was less than that for Arabian light crude oil (Fig. 2b). This longer time-dependent degradation of Vityaz crude oil by revived Tank-2 implies that a much longer culture time in MSM supplemented with Vityaz crude oil would be needed for Tank-2 to adjust its microbial structure to be more adaptable to this crude oil, which contains more toxic, volatile and aromatic hydrocarbons than Arabian light crude oil (Maki et al. 2008).

The original Tank-2 consortium was known to form cell aggregates during culture in TuO-containing MSM and TuO degradation was considerably reduced when these bacterial aggregates were not inoculated along with a liquid aliquot that contained free-living bacteria (Hosokawa et al., 2010). These results suggested that free-living bacteria and bacteria comprising cell aggregates were both involved in TuO degradation in the original Tank-2 culture. Cell aggregates were also formed in the revised Tank-2 culture with TuO-containing MSM, which indicated that bacteria in cell aggregates and liquid culture were also both involved in TuO degradation by revised Tank-2. In contrast, during the degradation of fresh and used car engine oils, Arabian light crude oil and Vityaz crude oil by revived Tank-2, cell
aggregates did not form and thus, the bacterial strains involved in degrading these oil
products may be different from those involved in degrading TuO (see below).

3.3. Degradation of TuO in wastewater by revived Tank-2

The capacity to degrade TuO by revived Tank-2 was evaluated using TuO-containing
wastewater collected at a hydraulic plant where TuO was routinely used. The concentration of
TuO in this wastewater was estimated to be 3% (w/v) by TCL-FID. For the degradation test,
the concentration of TuO was adjusted to 1.5% (see Table 1).

Approximately 70% of the total TuO in wastewater was degraded by revived Tank-2
after one week (Table 1). This was much higher than the 37% of the total in the degradation
test when fresh (unused) TuO was used as a substrate. Interestingly, TuO degradation in the
wastewater inoculated with no revived Tank-2 was approximately 64%. These results
demonstrated that revived Tank-2 could utilize TuO components in wastewater as carbon
sources and that these components could be preferentially utilized by bacterial strains native
to the wastewater.

The relatively low TuO degradation rate by revived Tank-2 in freshly prepared MSM
could be explained by: (1) revived Tank-2 may inherently consist of bacteria that can degrade
TuO at its relatively low concentration (i.e. 1.5% TuO inhibits the growth of TuO degraders
in the revived Tank-2 consortium); (2) bacteria native to TuO-containing wastewater used in
this study can degrade TuO at concentrations as high as 1.5%; and (3) TuO wastewater may
contain a compound(s) that stimulates the growth of TuO degraders.

Because this wastewater might contain some metals derived from turbine facilities
and these metal contaminants might affect bacterial growth, the major metal elements in the
TuO-containing wastewater were analysed by ICP. Al (11 ppm), Cr (0.06 ppm), Cu (0.3 ppm),
Fe (17 ppm), Pb (0.16 ppm) and Zn (0.30 ppm) were the principal components detected in the TuO-containing wastewater, and all of these metals were at lower than detectable levels in fresh (unused) TuO. Although it has not been formulated whether elements contained in the wastewater affected TuO degradation by revived Tank-2, one or more elements in this wastewater may enhance the growth of TuO-degrading bacteria in this consortium. This speculation is supported in that revived Tank-2 preferentially degraded used car engine oil as compared to fresh car engine oil (Fig. 2b), although the metal components in the used car engine oil were not analysed.

All of these findings suggest that bacterial growth in revived Tank-2 would be enhanced rather than inhibited by elements and/or unidentified substances in the TuO-containing wastewater and in used car engine oil. TuO degradation in a culture with no inoculums was higher than that in the culture inoculated with the revised Tank-2 consortium (Table 1). This suggested that bacteria native to the TuO-containing wastewater used in this study could have adapted to this TuO-containing wastewater environment and that these bacteria exhibited a higher degradation activity towards TuO than the bacteria in revived Tank-2.

3.4. Microbial community structure of Tank-2 consortia

Based on DGGE analysis that targeted 16S rRNA gene sequences, the original Tank-2 consortium consisted of at least 14 principal bacterial strains (Fig. 3, bands 1–14) and its DGGE band profile was consistently maintained when it was continuously cultured in MSM containing TuO (Hosokawa et al. 2010). The number of DGGE bands for the freeze–thawed sample of Tank-2 decreased to 10 (bands B, C, D, F, H, J, K, N, O, P and S) and this band profile was significantly different from that of original Tank-2 (Fig. 3a). The intensity of each
band for freeze–thawed Tank-2 was low, even though a whole DNA sample extracted from 1 ml of frozen Tank-2 was used as a template for PCR when amplifying the 16S rRNA gene. This suggested that some of the bacteria cells in the frozen Tank-2 culture might have died and that their genomes had decayed during thawing.

Only three DGGE bands (bands 5 and C, bands 6 and D, bands 8 and F) were common to the original and freeze–thawed Tank-2 consortia. The number of bands for revived Tank-2 was 13 (bands A, B, D, E, G, I, L, M, N, P, Q, R and S), but its DGGE band profile differed significantly from that of original Tank-2. Only bands 10 and I appeared to be common to these two consortia. Interestingly, relatively dense bands, such as bands E, G, I, L and M, appeared only for revived Tank-2, which suggested that bacteria corresponding to some of these DGGE bands could be simply freeze–thaw-resistant, but not TuO-degrading.

Although TuO-containing-wastewater sampling to formulate Tank-2 and Moiwa-KK was performed at the same hydraulic plant of the electric power company and the enrichment processes used were also the same, the microbial community structures of these two consortia were entirely different.

The DGGE band profiles for the cultures grown in MSM supplemented with TuO, engine oil, and Arabian light and Vityaz crude oils were compared (Fig. 3b). Some bands (bands B, E, G, M, N, P, Q, and R) were common to all four cultures. However, band A was common and band G was dominant for the consortia containing lubricating oils (TuO and car engine oil) and bands M, N, and P were commonly dominant for the consortia containing crude oils (Arabian light and Vityaz). Because cycloalkanes are the major components only in lubricating oils, bacteria corresponding to bands A and G may be cycloalkane degraders. Similarly, bacteria corresponding to bands M, N and P in the cultures of crude oil may be degraders of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), which are the major components only in crude oils.
Figure 3c shows the DGGE band profiles for the microbial consortia cultured in TuO-containing wastewater. Ten bands (bands B, C, D, F, G, M, N, P, R, and S) were detected for revived Tank-2-inoculated wastewater culture that contained 1.5% TuO (lane 3 in Fig. 3c). Relatively dense bands (B, D, M, and P) were detected for Tank-2 culture supplemented with fresh TuO at 1.5% (lanes 2 and 3 in Fig. 3c), which suggested that bacteria corresponding to these DGGE bands were native in revived Tank-2 and that these bacteria would be mainly responsible for degrading a high concentration (1.5%) of TuO. Bands b, g, h, and s were detected only for the 1.5% TuO-containing wastewater culture inoculated with no Tank-2 (lane 4 in Fig. 3c), which indicated that bacteria corresponding to these bands originated from the wastewater.

Nine DGGE bands (bands a, c, e, f, j, l, n, p, and r in lane 5, Fig. 3c) were detected for the Moiwa-KK consortium, which had been formulated in TuO (0.5%)-containing MSM. Although the same TuO-containing wastewater was used, no common bands were detected between the Moiwa-KK consortium and the culture containing 1.5% TuO-containing wastewater. These results again suggested that the bacterial species capable of degrading 0.5% TuO and 1.5% TuO were different.

4. Conclusions

A TuO-degrading bacterial consortium, Tank-2, maintained its high capacity to degrade TuO after storage for 3.5 years at −80°C and could utilize various types of petroleum products as substrates without enrichment culture. TuO in wastewater and used EO were degraded well by revived Tank-2. However, most of the bacterial strains in the original and the revived TuO-degrading microbial consortia were different from one another. Bacterial strains inherently residing in Tank-2 had the potential to flexibly adjust their composition in
accordance with storage and culture conditions. Tank-2, and probably the new consortium Moiwa-KK, even after freeze-storage can be used as inocula for bioaugmentation to remediate waters that are polluted with various types of lubricating and crude oils.
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Figure legends

**Figure 1.** Degradation of turbine oil (TuO) in TuO-containing mineral salts medium by the revived Tank-2 consortium and a newly prepared TuO-degrading consortium, Moiwa-KK. (a) Time-dependent increases in TuO degrading activity of revived Tank-2 and Moiwa-KK. (b) TuO degradation by the original and revived Tank-2 consortia and Moiwa-KK. Culture was carried out for two weeks at 30°C with shaking at 160 rpm. TuO was extracted and analysed as described in the text.

**Figure 2.** Degradation of different types of petroleum hydrocarbons by original and revived Tank-2. (a) Original and (b) revived Tank-2 consortia were cultured in MSM containing 0.5% (w/v) of different petroleum products. The results for original Tank-2 were obtained using the consortium maintained by continuous culture in MSM containing 0.5% TuO (see Hosokawa et al. 2010). Culture was for two weeks at 30°C, after which any remaining petroleum products were extracted and analysed as described in the text.

**Figure 3.** PCR-denaturing gradient gel electrophoresis (DGGE) analysis targeting the 16S rRNA gene sequences in different culture types. (a) DNA for templates was extracted from freeze–thawed Tank-2 (Freeze–thawed) and revived (Revived) Tank-2. Capital letters indicate DGGE bands for freeze–thawed and revived Tank-2 cultures. Bands D, N, P and S were commonly detected for freeze–thawed and revived Tank-2. Lane for Original indicates the DGGE profile for the original Tank-2 culture by Hosokawa et al. (2010). (b) Template DNA was extracted from revived Tank-2-inoculated cultures in MSM that contained either TuO (TuO), unused car engine oil (Engine oil), Arabian light crude oil (Arabian light) or Vityaz crude oil (Vityaz). Capital letters indicate DGGE bands corresponding to the DGGE bands
for freeze–thawed and revived Tank-2 cultures shown in Fig. 3a. Bands indicated by arrows at the same distance from the top of gel have the same denotation. The band indicated by * was detected only in the Arabian light crude oil culture. (c) Revived Tank-2 grown in MSM containing 0.5% TuO was inoculated in MSM that contained either 1.5% unused TuO (culture 2) or 1.5% TuO-containing wastewater (culture 3). For culture 4, no revived Tank-2 was inoculated into 1.5% TuO-containing wastewater. Culture 5 was a newly formulated TuO-degrading Moiwa-KK consortium that was cultured in MSM containing 0.5% TuO. Culture was for one week at 30°C with shaking at 160 rpm. Lane shown is the same as the lane for Revived in Fig. 3a. Capital letters for lanes 1, 2 and 3 indicate DGGE bands for freeze–thawed and revived Tank-2 cultures. Small letters for lanes for cultures 4 and 5 indicate DGGE bands arising from the TuO-containing waste water collected for this study.
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Table 1. Degradation of TuO in wastewater by revived Tank-2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Culture</th>
<th>Media component</th>
<th>Revived Tank-2</th>
<th>Unused TuO</th>
<th>Degradation of TuO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Culture 2</td>
<td>10 ml MSM (×1) plus no WW</td>
<td>200 μl</td>
<td>1.5% added</td>
<td>36.7% ± 3.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Culture 3</td>
<td>5 ml MSM (×2) plus 5 ml WW</td>
<td>200 μl</td>
<td>Not added</td>
<td>70.7% ± 2.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Culture 4</td>
<td>5 ml MSM (×2) plus 5 ml WW</td>
<td>Not added</td>
<td>Not added</td>
<td>63.7% ± 3.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Culture 5</td>
<td>10 ml MSM (×1) plus no WW</td>
<td>Not added</td>
<td>Not added</td>
<td>53.5% ± 6.9%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*, All cultures contained 1.5% (w/w) TuO.

**, MSM (×1), mineral salts medium (MSM) at its normal concentration; MSM (×2), MSM at two-times concentration; WW, original wastewater containing TuO (3%).

***, 200 μl of Moiwa-KK was added instead of Revived Tank-2.