ON THE INTRINSIC DERIVATIVE IN THE NON-HOLONOMIC EXSURFACE

By

Yoshie KATSURADA

Introduction. H. V. CRAIG has shown that M-th order intrinsic derivative of an absolute tensor can be expressed in a form of contraction of extensors [1][(1)]. The principal purpose of the present paper is its generalization, that is, to find the structure of M-th order intrinsic derivative of a contravariant vector in the space called the non-holonomic exsurface which is defined by the n independent Pfaffian equations

$$(0.1) \qquad \sum_{\alpha=0}^{M} \lambda_{\alpha i}^{a'}(x, x', \cdots, x^{(M)})\, dx^{(\alpha)i} \overset{(2)}{=} 0 \qquad (a' = 1, \cdots, n)$$

in a space $K_n^{(M)}$ of line-elements of M-th order. A non-holonomic space [2] and an exsurface [3] introduced by CRAIG are both special ones of this space.

In the present paper we use certain of ideas, notations and results given in the previous paper [4] without explanation. The present author wishes to express to Prof. A. KAWAGUCHI her sincere thanks for his criticisms.

§1. The non-holonomic exsurface and the intrinsic derivative. Let us consider the Pfaffian equations defined by (0.1), then under "non-holonomic exsurface" which is denoted by N_n' we understand a set of the fields of n mutually independent excovariant extensors $\lambda_{\alpha i}^{a'}$ associated with each expoint $x^{(\alpha)i}$ of the space $K_n^{(M)}$.

We now define the displacement $ds^{a'}$ of an ideal point in N_n' corresponding to the displacement $dx^{(\alpha)i}$ of an expoint $x^{(\alpha)i}$ in $K_n^{(M)}$ as follows:

$$(1.1) \qquad ds^{a'} = \sum_{\alpha=0}^{M} \lambda_{\alpha i}^{a'}(x, x', \cdots, x^{(M)})\, dx^{(\alpha)i}.$$

Then for two infinitesimal displacements d_1 and d_2, there exists the relation

(1) Numbers in brackets refer to the references at the end of the paper.

(2) Numbers in parentheses denote times of differentiation with respect to t.

$$d_1d_2s^{a'}-d_2d_1s^{a'} = \omega_{\alpha\, i\beta\, j}^{\ \ a'}d_1x^{(\alpha)i}d_2x^{(\beta)j},$$

where

$$\omega_{\alpha\, i\beta\, j}^{\ \ a'} = \frac{\partial\lambda_{\alpha\, i}^{a'}}{\partial x^{(\beta)j}} - \frac{\partial\lambda_{\beta\, j}^{a'}}{\partial x^{(\alpha)i}} . \tag{1.2}$$

Especially, if $\omega_{\alpha\, i\beta\, j}^{\ \ a'} \equiv 0$, we have the relation

$$\frac{\partial\lambda_{\alpha\, i}^{a'}}{\partial x^{(\beta)j}} - \frac{\partial\lambda_{\beta\, j}^{a'}}{\partial x^{(\alpha)i}} \equiv 0$$

which shows complete integrability of the system of partial differential equations $\frac{\partial s^{a'}}{\partial x^{(\alpha)i}} = \lambda_{\alpha\, i}^{a'}(x, x', \cdots, x^{(M)})$ whose solutions

$$s^{a'} = f^{a'}(x, x', \cdots, x^{(M)}, s_0^{a'}) \tag{1.3}$$

may be considered as the equations of exsurface in an n-dimensional space. Consequently we can see the following theorem:

Theorem 1.1. *If $\omega_{\alpha\, i\beta\, j}^{\ \ a'} \equiv 0$ and $s^{a'}$ are assumed to be rectangular cartesian coordinates of a point in an n-dimensional Euclidean space E, then the non-holonomic exsurface becomes the exsurface defined by* CRAIG ([3] p. 792).

The quantities $v^{a'}$ determined by an excontravariant extensor $v^{\alpha i}$ of range $R\,(R \leqq M)$ in $K_n^{(M)}$ such that

$$\binom{M}{R} v^{a'} \equiv \sum_{\alpha=M-R}^{M} \binom{\alpha}{M-R} \lambda_{\alpha\, i}^{a'} v^{\alpha-M+R\, i}$$

are called the *vector components* in N_n' corresponding to $v^{\alpha i}$. Similarly, the tensor components in N_n' corresponding to higher order excontravariant extensors can be defined. If $v^{(\alpha)i}$, $\alpha = 0, 1, \cdots, R$, are the components of the extensor obtained by differentiating the components of a vector v^i in $K_n^{(M)}$ α times along a parameterized arc, then the vector components in N_n' of $v^{(\alpha)i}$ are given by

$$\binom{M}{R} v_R^{a'} = \sum_{\alpha=M-R}^{M} \binom{\alpha}{M-R} \lambda_{\alpha\, i}^{a'} v^{(\alpha-M+R)i} ,$$

we call such the quantities $v_R^{a'}$ *R-th order intrinsic derivatives of the components* $v^{a'}$ in N_n' corresponding to v^i, *i.e.*,

$$v^{a'} \equiv \lambda_{Mi}^{a'} v^i ,$$

and put

$$\frac{\delta^R v^{a'}}{dt^R} \equiv v_R^{a'} .$$

Next we shall discuss such the intrinsic derivative of $v^{a'}$.

In the case that $R=1$, we have

$$(1.4)\qquad M\frac{\delta v^{a'}}{dt}\equiv v_1^{a'}=\sum_{\alpha=M-1}^{M}\binom{\alpha}{M-1}\lambda_{\alpha i}^{a'}v^{(\alpha-M+1)i}$$
$$=M\lambda_{Mi}^{a'}v^{(1)i}+\lambda_{M-1\,j}^{a'}v^{j}\,.$$

If we consider the reciprocal contravariant vectors $\lambda_{a'}^{j}$ of the covariant vectors $\lambda_{Mi}^{a'}$, which satisfy the equation

$$\lambda_{Mi}^{a'}\lambda_{a'}^{j}=\delta_i^j \qquad (\delta_i^j:\ \text{KRONECKER delta})$$

under the assumption that $|\lambda_{Mi}^{a'}|\neq 0$. Then multiplying the last result of (1.4) by $\frac{1}{M}\lambda_{a'}^{j}$ and summing with respect to a', we have

$$\frac{\delta v^{a'}}{dt}\lambda_{a'}^{j}=v^{(1)j}+\frac{1}{M}\lambda_{M-1\,k}^{a'}\lambda_{a'}^{j}v^{k}\,,$$

on putting

$$(1.5)\qquad \Gamma_k^j=\frac{1}{M}\lambda_{M-1\,k}^{a'}\lambda_{a'}^{j}\,,$$

the above equation is rewritten in the form

$$\frac{\delta v^{a'}}{dt}\lambda_{a'}^{j}=v^{(1)j}+\Gamma_k^j v^k\,.$$

Consequently, $K_n^{(M)}$ is the space with the affine connection Γ_k^j, Γ_k^j being the function of $x^{(\alpha)i}$, $\alpha=0,1,\cdots,M$. For the structure of $\frac{\delta v^{a'}}{dt}$ referred to the components of the non-holonomic exsurface, we can state the following theorem.

Theorem 1.2. *The relation*

$$\frac{\delta v^{a'}}{dt}=\frac{dv^{a'}}{dt}+\Gamma_{b'}^{a'}v^{b'}\,.$$

holds good, where

$$\Gamma_{b'}^{a'}=\Gamma_k^j\lambda_{Mj}^{a'}\lambda_{b'}^{k}-\sum_{\alpha=0}^{M}\frac{\partial\lambda_{Mk}^{a}}{\partial x^{(\alpha)j}}x^{(\alpha+1)j}\lambda_{b'}^{k}$$
$$=\Gamma_k^j\lambda_{Mj}^{a'}\lambda_{b'}^{k}-\lambda_{Mk}^{a'(1)}\lambda_{b'}^{k}$$
$$=\frac{1}{M}\lambda_{M-1\,j}^{a'}\lambda_{b'}^{j}-\lambda_{Mk}^{a'(1)}\lambda_{b'}^{k}\,.$$

Proof. Differentiating the expression $v^i=\lambda_{a'}^{i}v^{a'}$ deduced from $v^{a'}=\lambda_{Mi}^{a'}v^i$ with respect to t, we have

$$v^{(1)i} = \lambda^i_{a'} v^{(1)a'} + \frac{\partial \lambda^i_{a'}}{\partial x^{(\alpha)j}} x^{(\alpha+1)j} v^{a'} ,$$

let replace $v^{(1)i}$ in the last result of (1.4) with the right-hand member of the above equation, then it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\delta v^{a'}}{dt} &= \lambda^{a'}_{Mi}\left(\lambda^i_{b'} v^{(1)b'} + \frac{\partial \lambda^i_{b'}}{\partial x^{(\alpha)j}} x^{(\alpha+1)j} v^{b'}\right) + \frac{1}{M} \lambda^{a'}_{M-1j} \lambda^j_{b'} v^{b'} \\ &= v^{(1)a'} + \left(\frac{1}{M} \lambda^{a'}_{M-1\,j} \lambda^j_{b'} + \lambda^{a'}_{Mi} \frac{\partial \lambda^i_{b'}}{\partial x^{(\alpha)j}} x^{(\alpha+1)j}\right) v^{b'} \\ &= v^{(1)a'} + \Gamma^{a'}_{b'} v^{b'} ,\end{aligned}$$

on making use of $\dfrac{\partial \lambda^i_{b'}}{\partial x^{(\alpha)j}} \lambda^{a'}_{Mi} = -\lambda^i_{b'} \dfrac{\partial \lambda^{a'}_{Mi}}{\partial x^{(\alpha)j}}$.

Theorem 1.3. *There exists the relation*

$$M \frac{\delta v^{a'}}{dt} = \sum_{\beta'=M-1}^{M} \binom{\beta'}{M-1} N^{a'}_{\beta' b'} v^{b'(\beta'-M+1)} , \tag{1.6}$$

where

$$N^{a'}_{\beta' b'} = \sum_{\alpha=\beta'}^{M} \binom{\alpha}{\beta'} \lambda^{a'}_{\alpha j} \lambda^j_{b'}{}^{(\alpha-\beta')} .$$

Proof. Calculating the right-hand member of (1.6), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{\beta'=M-1}^{M} \binom{\beta'}{M-1} N^{a'}_{\beta' b'} v^{b'(\beta'-M+1)} &= N^{a'}_{M-1\,b'} v^{b'} + M N^{a'}_{Mb'} v^{b'(1)} \\ &= \left\{\sum_{\alpha=M-1}^{M} \binom{\alpha}{M-1} \lambda^{a'}_{\alpha j} \lambda^j_{b'}{}^{(\alpha-M+1)}\right\} v^{b'} + M \lambda^{a'}_{Mj} \lambda^j_{b'} v^{b'(1)} \\ &= \left\{\lambda^{a'}_{M-1\,j} \lambda^j_{b'} + M \lambda^{a'}_{Mj} \lambda^{j(1)}_{b'}\right\} v^{b'} + M \delta^{a'}_{b'} v^{b'(1)} \\ &= M \left\{v^{a'(1)} + \left(\lambda^{a'}_{Mj} \lambda^{j(1)}_{b'} + \frac{1}{M} \lambda^{a'}_{M-1\,j} \lambda^j_{b'}\right) v^{b'}\right\} \\ &= M \left\{v^{a'(1)} + \left(\frac{1}{M} \lambda^{a'}_{M-1\,j} \lambda^j_{b'} - \lambda^{a'(1)}_{Mj} \lambda^j_{b'}\right) v^{b'}\right\} \\ &= M (v^{a'(1)} + \Gamma^{a'}_{b'} v^{b'}) = M \frac{\delta v^{a'}}{dt} .\end{aligned}$$

In general, for the structure of the higher order intrinsic derivative of $v^{a'}$, we have the

Theorem 1.4. *It follows that*

$$\binom{M}{R} \frac{\delta^R v^{a'}}{dt^R} = \sum_{\beta'=M-R}^{M} \binom{\beta'}{M-R} N^{a'}_{\beta' b'} v^{b'(\beta'-M+R)} ,$$

where

$$N_{\beta' b'}^{a'} = \sum_{\alpha=\beta'}^{M} \binom{\alpha}{\beta'} \lambda_{\alpha j}^{a'} \lambda_{b'}^{j(\alpha-\beta')} .$$

Such the quantities $N_{\beta' b'}^{a'}$ are called as *intrinsic derivation coefficients* of the non-holonomic exsurface.

Proof. By virture of the definition, we can observe

$$\binom{M}{R} \frac{\delta^R v^{a'}}{dt^R} = \sum_{\alpha=M-R}^{M} \binom{\alpha}{M-R} \lambda_{\alpha j}^{a'} v^{(\alpha-M+R)j} .$$

Differentiating the equation $v^j = \lambda_{b'}^j v^{b'}$ $(\alpha-M+R)$ times by LEIBNITZ's rule, the following expression is obtained

$$v^{j(\alpha-M+R)} = \sum_{\beta=0}^{\alpha-M+R} \binom{\alpha-M+R}{\beta} \lambda_{a'}^{j(\alpha-M+R-\beta)} v^{a'(\beta)} .$$

Accordingly, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
\binom{M}{R} \frac{\delta^R v^{a'}}{dt^R} &= \sum_{\alpha=M-R}^{M} \binom{\alpha}{M-R} \lambda_{\alpha j}^{a'} \sum_{\beta=0}^{\alpha-M+R} \binom{\alpha-M+R}{\beta} \lambda_{b'}^{j(\alpha-M+R-\beta)} v^{b'(\beta)} \\
&= \sum_{\beta=0}^{R} \sum_{\alpha=\beta+M-R}^{M} \binom{\alpha}{M-R+\beta} \binom{M-R+\beta}{\beta} \lambda_{\alpha j}^{a'} \lambda_{b'}^{j(\alpha-M+R-\beta)} v^{b'(\beta)} \\
&= \sum_{\beta=0}^{R} \binom{M-R+\beta}{M-R} \sum_{\alpha=\beta+M-R}^{M} \binom{\alpha}{M-R+\beta} \lambda_{\alpha j}^{a'} \lambda_{b'}^{j(\alpha-M+R-\beta)} v^{b'(\beta)} \\
&= \sum_{\beta=0}^{R} \binom{M-R+\beta}{M-R} N_{M-R+\beta\, b'}^{a'} v^{b'(\beta)} \\
&= \sum_{\beta'=M-R}^{M} \binom{\beta'}{M-R} N_{\beta' b'}^{a'} v^{b'(\beta'-M+R)} \quad (\text{putting: } \beta'=M-R+\beta).
\end{aligned}$$

§ 2. **Specialization.** The corresponding statements for the exsurface $x^{a'} = f^{a'}(x, x', \cdots, x^{(M)})$ are obtained by replacing $\lambda_{\alpha i}^{a'}$ in the preceding statements with $\dfrac{\partial f^{a'}}{\partial x^{(\alpha)i}}$.

Let us consider a non-holonomic space $\overline{N}_n$ which is given by n independent Pfaffian equations

$$\lambda_i^{a'}(x)\, dx^i = 0 \qquad (a' = 1, \cdots, n)$$

corresponding to an n-dimensional space K_n with an affine connection $\Gamma_j^i(x)$ referred to the coordinate system x^i, then if we take the quantities $\lambda_i^{a'} T_{\beta j}^i$ in place of $\lambda_{\beta j}^{a'}$ in the preceding statements, $T_{\beta j}^i$ being the higher order extensive derivative of δ_j^i introduced by CRAIG, that is, $D^M | \delta_{\beta j}^i$ ([1] p. 338), the corresponding results for the higher order intrinsic derivatives of tensors in $\overline{N}_n$ are observed. Also if $\overline{N}_n$ coincides

with the original n-dimensional space K_n, then in use of the quantities $T^{i}_{\alpha j}$ corresponding to $\lambda^{\alpha'}_{\alpha j}$, the above statements will give the results obtained by CRAIG [1].

(July 1952)

Mathematical Institute,
Hokkaido University, Sapporo.

References.

[1] H. V. CRAIG: On the structure of intrinsic derivatives, Bulletin Amer. Math. Soc. Vol. 52, No. 4 (1947) 332–342.

[2] G. VRANCEANU: Sur les espaces non-holonomes; Sur le calcul différential absolu pour les variétiés non-holonomes, C. R., Vol. 183 (1926) p. 852 and 1083.

[3] H. V. CRAIG: On extensors and Euclidean basis for higher order spaces, Amer. Jour. of Math. Vol. 61 (1939) 721–808.

[4] Y. KATSURADA: Non-holonomic system in a space of higher order I. On the operations of extensors, Jour. Fac. Sci. Hokkaido Univ. Vol. 11 (1950) 190–217.