



Title	NOTE ON DECOMPOSITION SETS OF SEMI-PRIME RINGS
Author(s)	Kishimoto, Kazuo; Kurata, Yoshiki
Citation	Journal of the Faculty of Science Hokkaido University. Ser. 1 Mathematics, 16(3-4), 214-220
Issue Date	1962
Doc URL	http://hdl.handle.net/2115/56029
Type	bulletin (article)
File Information	JFSHIU_16_N3-4_214-220.pdf



[Instructions for use](#)

NOTE ON DECOMPOSITION SETS OF SEMI-PRIME RINGS

By

Kazuo KISHIMOTO and Yoshiki KURATA

Introduction. As has been observed by Jacobson the set $\mathfrak{P} = \mathfrak{P}(A)$ of all primitive ideals of a ring A may be made into a topological space endowed with Stone's topology, and recently, concerning topological properties of the structure space, Suliński [8] obtained some structure theorems of a semi-simple ring which is represented as a subdirect sum of simple rings with unity.

In this note, we shall extend his results to semi-prime rings and give necessary and sufficient conditions for a semi-prime ring to have a minimal decomposition set.

§ 1. First of all, we shall prove the following extension of [1, Theorem 1].

Lemma 1. *Let T be an ideal of a ring A .*

(1) *If p is a prime ideal of A then $T \cap p$ is a prime ideal of the ring T and if moreover p does not contain T then $(p \cap T : T)^1 = p$.*

(2)²⁾ *If p_1 is a prime ideal of the ring T , then there exists a prime ideal p of A such that $p \cap T = p_1$ and, if $p_1 \neq T$, then $(p_1 : T) = p$.*

Proof. (1) By [6, Lemma 2], $T \cap p$ is a prime ideal of the ring T . Assume that p does not contain T . Then $T \cdot (p \cap T : T) \subseteq p$ implies $(p \cap T : T) \subseteq p$ and hence we have $(p \cap T : T) = p$.

(2) Let B be the ideal of A generated by p_1 and let x be an arbitrary element of $B \cap T$. Since $xTxTx \subseteq TBT \subseteq p_1$ and p_1 is a prime ideal in T , x belongs to p_1 , and hence $T \cap B = p_1$. The complement C of p_1 in T is an m -system (in T whence) in A and does not meet B . By Zorn's lemma, there exists a prime ideal p of A containing B such that p does not meet C and satisfies $T \cap p = p_1$. Moreover, if $p_1 \neq T$ then p can not contain T , and hence, by (1), we have $(p_1 : T) = p$.

A ring A is called a *semi-prime ring* if it is isomorphic to a subdirect sum of prime rings, i.e., if there exist prime ideals p_α ($\alpha \in \Lambda$) of

1) We shall denote by $(p \cap T : T)$ the set $\{a \in A; Ta \subseteq p \cap T\}$.

2) Cf. [3] and [7].

A such that $\bigcap_{\alpha \in A} p_\alpha = 0$.

As is easily seen, the annihilator³⁾ of a non-zero ideal in a semi-prime ring is always represented as the intersection of all prime ideals which contain the annihilator. However, we have

Corollary 1. *A non-zero ideal T of a semi-prime ring A is a prime ring if and only if the annihilator $(0: T)$ is a prime ideal in A .*

Let A be an arbitrary ring and let $\Omega = \Omega(A)$ be the set of all prime ideals of A other than A . For any non-empty subset \mathfrak{N} of Ω , we define the closure $\bar{\mathfrak{N}}$ of \mathfrak{N} as the totality of those prime ideals p in Ω which contains $I(\mathfrak{N})$, where $I(\mathfrak{N})$ denotes the intersection of all prime ideals belonging to \mathfrak{N} . Ω becomes a topological space relative to this closure operation $\mathfrak{N} \rightarrow \bar{\mathfrak{N}}$, and is called the *structure space* of the ring A .

For the lower radical $R = I(\Omega)$ of A , we set $T^* = (R: T)$ for any ideal T of A . If A is semi-prime, then the lower radical R of A is equal to 0 and hence T^* coincides with the right annihilator $r(T)$ of T as well as the left annihilator $l(T)$ of T .

Lemma 2. *Let A be a ring. Then, for any subset \mathfrak{N} of Ω , we have $I(\mathfrak{N})^* = I(\Omega - \bar{\mathfrak{N}})$ ⁴⁾.*

In particular, we have $I(\mathfrak{N})^ \cap I(\mathfrak{N}) = R$.*

Proof. $I(\mathfrak{N}) \cdot I(\Omega - \bar{\mathfrak{N}}) \subseteq I(\mathfrak{N}) \cap I(\Omega - \bar{\mathfrak{N}}) = I(\bar{\mathfrak{N}}) \cap I(\Omega - \bar{\mathfrak{N}}) = I(\Omega) = R$. Conversely, for any prime ideal $p \in \Omega - \bar{\mathfrak{N}}$, we have $I(\mathfrak{N}) \cdot I(\mathfrak{N})^* \subseteq R \subseteq p$ and hence $I(\mathfrak{N})^* \subseteq p$, thus $I(\mathfrak{N})^* \subseteq I(\Omega - \bar{\mathfrak{N}})$.

Lemma 3. *Let A be a ring and let p be in Ω . Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1) $p^* \neq R$.
- (2) $p^{**} = p$.
- (3) $\overline{\{p\}}$ contains a non-empty open subset \mathfrak{N} of Ω .

Moreover, if this is the case, p is a minimal prime ideal of A .

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2). Assume that $p^* \neq R$. Then $p^* \not\subseteq p$ and, since $p^* p^{**} \subseteq R \subseteq p$, we have $p^{**} \subseteq p$ and hence $p^{**} = p$. Conversely, assume that $p^{**} = p$ and $p^* = R$. Then $p = A$, a contradiction.

(1) \Rightarrow (3). $p^* \neq R$ means $\overline{\Omega - \{p\}} \neq \Omega$. Thus, $\mathfrak{N} = \Omega - \overline{\Omega - \{p\}}$ ($\subseteq \overline{\{p\}}$) is

3) In a semi-prime ring, the right annihilator $r(T)$ of any ideal T coincides with its left annihilator $l(T)$.

4) We shall denote by $\Omega - \bar{\mathfrak{N}}$ the set theoretical complement of $\bar{\mathfrak{N}}$ in Ω .

open. Conversely, let \mathfrak{N} be a non-empty open subset of $\overline{\{p\}}$. Then $p^* = I(\mathfrak{Q} - \overline{\{p\}}) \supseteq I(\mathfrak{Q} - \mathfrak{N}) \not\subseteq R$ because $\mathfrak{Q} - \mathfrak{N}$ is closed. Thus $p^* \neq R$.

Now assume that $p^* \neq R$ and let p_1 be a prime ideal of A such that $p_1 \not\subseteq p$. Since $p_1 \not\subseteq \overline{\{p\}}$, $p^* = I(\mathfrak{Q} - \overline{\{p\}}) \subseteq p_1$, and hence $p^* \subseteq p$, which is a contradiction.

Corollary 2. *Let A be a semi-prime ring and let p be a prime ideal in A such that $p^* \neq 0$. Then p^* is a prime ring, and is maximal in the set of those ideals of A which are prime as ring.*

Proof. From Lemma 3 and Corollary 1, p^* is a prime ring. Let T be an ideal in A which is prime as a ring and $T \not\subseteq p^*$. Then $T \cap p$ and p^* are non zero ideals in the prime ring T and $(T \cap p) \cdot p^* = 0$. This is a contradiction.

Lemma 4. *Let A be a ring and let $\mathfrak{N} = \{p_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in A'}$ be a set of different minimal prime ideals in A . If $I(\mathfrak{N}) = 0$ then $r(p_\alpha) = l(p_\alpha) = I(\mathfrak{N} - \{p_\alpha\})$ for each $\alpha \in A'$.*

Proof. Let p_α be in \mathfrak{N} . Then for each p_β in \mathfrak{N} , we have either $p_\alpha \subseteq p_\beta$ or $r(p_\alpha) \subseteq p_\beta$. Since p_β is a minimal prime ideal in A , $r(p_\alpha) \subseteq p_\beta$ for all p_β with $\beta \neq \alpha$. Therefore $r(p_\alpha) (\subseteq \text{whence}) = I(\mathfrak{N} - \{p_\alpha\})$. Similarly, we have $l(p_\alpha) = I(\mathfrak{N} - \{p_\alpha\})$.

§ 2. Definition 1. *Let A be a ring. We shall denote by \mathfrak{D} the set of all prime ideals $p \in \mathfrak{Q}$ such that $p^* \neq R$, and call it the decomposition set for A .*

Definition 2. *Let A be a semi-prime ring. A subset \mathfrak{N} of \mathfrak{Q} will be called a minimal decomposition set for A if $I(\mathfrak{N}) = 0$ and $I(\mathfrak{N} - \{p\}) \neq 0$ for all p in \mathfrak{N} (Goldie [1]).*

In [4, Theorem 3], one of the present authors proved that a semi-prime ring has at most one minimal decomposition set for A , and, if it exists, it should coincide with \mathfrak{D} .

Now we shall give necessary and sufficient conditions for a semi-prime ring to have a minimal decomposition set.

Theorem 1. *If A is a semi-prime ring, then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1) *There exists a minimal decomposition set \mathfrak{M} for A .*
- (2) *Every non-zero ideal T of A contains a non-zero ideal B of the ring T which is prime as a ring.*
- (3) *The annihilator of the ideal generated by all those non-zero*

ideals of A which are prime as ring is zero.

(4) There exists a subset \mathfrak{N} of \mathfrak{D} such that $I(\mathfrak{N})=0$.

Proof. (1)→(2). Let T be any non-zero ideal of A . There exists a prime ideal p in \mathfrak{M} such that $T \not\subseteq p$. Then $T \cdot p^*$ is a non-zero ideal of the ring T . For otherwise, $p^* \neq R$ and so $p^{**}=p$ by Lemma 3, which would imply $T \subseteq p$. Besides, $T \cdot p^*$ is prime as a ring by Lemma 1 (1) because of $(T \cdot p^*) \cap p \subseteq (T \cap p^*) \cap p = T \cap (p^* \cap p) = 0$.

(2)→(3). It is easily seen, by Corollaries 1 and 2, that the ideal generated by all those non-zero ideals of A which are prime as ring coincides with the ideal $\sum p^*$ generated by all p^* with $p \in \mathfrak{D}$. Now $(\sum p^*)^* = \cap p^{**} = \cap p = I(\mathfrak{D})$ by Lemma 3.

Next, suppose that $I(\mathfrak{D}) \neq 0$. Then, by our assumption, there exists a non-zero ideal B of the ring $I(\mathfrak{D})$ which is prime as a ring. By Lemma 1 (2), there exists a prime ideal $p \notin \mathfrak{D}$ such that $0 = (p \cap I(\mathfrak{D})) \cap B = p \cap B$. B contains a non-zero ideal B' of A by [2, Proposition IV. 3.2]. Since $B' \cap p = 0$, we have $p^* \supseteq B' \neq 0$, which contradicts $p \notin \mathfrak{D}$.

(3)→(4). This is clear by the proof of (2)→(3).

(4)→(1). Since every prime ideal p belonging to \mathfrak{D} is a minimal prime ideal by Lemma 3, Lemma 4 yields our implication.

Corresponding to [8, Theorem 5], we have

Theorem 2. *Let A be a semi-prime ring and let T be a non-zero ideal of A . Then we have $I(\mathfrak{D}_T) = I(\mathfrak{D}) \cap T$, where \mathfrak{D}_T denotes the decomposition set for the ring T .*

Proof. Let \mathfrak{N} be the set of all p in \mathfrak{D} such that $p \supseteq T$. Then we have $I(\mathfrak{D}) \cap T = I(\mathfrak{D} - (\mathfrak{D} \cap \mathfrak{N})) \cap I(\mathfrak{D} \cap \mathfrak{N}) \cap T = I(\mathfrak{D} - (\mathfrak{D} \cap \mathfrak{N})) \cap T$. Now assume that $p' \in \mathfrak{D} - (\mathfrak{D} \cap \mathfrak{N})$ and $(T \cap p')^* \cap T = 0$. Then $(T \cap p')^* \subseteq p'$ because $p' \not\supseteq T$ contradicting $p' \in \mathfrak{D}$. Hence, $(T \cap p')^* \cap T \neq 0$ and we have $T \cap p' \in \mathfrak{D}_T$. Thus $I(\mathfrak{D}) \cap T = I(\mathfrak{D} - (\mathfrak{D} \cap \mathfrak{N})) \cap T \supseteq I(\mathfrak{D}_T)$.

Conversely, let p_1 be in \mathfrak{D}_T . Then there exists, by Lemma 1 (2), a prime ideal p in \mathfrak{D} such that $T \cap p = p_1$ and $(T \cap p)^* \cap T \neq 0$. Since $((T \cap p)^* \cap T) \cap p = ((T \cap p)^* \cap (T \cap p))^2 \subseteq (T \cap p)^* \cdot (T \cap p) = 0$, $((T \cap p)^* \cap T) \cap p = 0$ and hence $(T \cap p)^* \cap T \subseteq p^*$. Thus $p^* \neq 0$, showing that $I(\mathfrak{D}_T)$ contains $T \cap I(\mathfrak{D})$. This completes our proof.

As a corollary of Theorem 2, we have the following second necessary and sufficient condition for a semi-prime ring to have a minimal decomposition set.

Corollary 3. *A semi-prime ring A has a minimal decomposition set*

if and only if A has an ideal T such that $T^*=0$ and T has a minimal decomposition set.

Proof. Let \mathfrak{M} be a minimal decomposition set for A and let $T = \sum p_\alpha^*$ with $p_\alpha \in \mathfrak{M}$. Then $T^*=0$ by Theorem 1 and for $\alpha \neq \beta$, $p_\alpha^* \cap p_\beta^* \subseteq p_\alpha^* \cap p_\beta = 0$ since $p_\beta^* = I(\mathfrak{M} - \{p_\beta\}) \subseteq p_\alpha$ by Lemma 4. Thus for each α , $T = p_\alpha^* \oplus T_\alpha$ with $T_\alpha = \sum_{\beta \neq \alpha} p_\beta^*$. Moreover, $\bigcap T_\alpha \subseteq \bigcap p_\alpha = I(\mathfrak{M}) = 0$. Hence, T is isomorphic to a special subdirect sum of p_α^* with $p_\alpha \in \mathfrak{M}$, by [5, Theorem 15]. Therefore, T has a minimal decomposition set for T by [4, Corollary to Theorem 4].

Conversely, let T be an ideal of A such that $T^*=0$ and $I(\mathfrak{D}_T)=0$. By Theorem 2, $I(\mathfrak{D}) \cap T = I(\mathfrak{D}_T) = 0$ and hence $I(\mathfrak{D})=0$ because $T^*=0$. By Theorem 1 this completes our proof.

Definition 3. Let A be a ring. We shall denote by \mathfrak{D}_0 the intersection of all dense subsets of \mathfrak{D} and call it the minimal set for A . (Suliński [8]).

Lemma 5. Let A be a ring. Then $p \in \mathfrak{D}_0$ if and only if $\{p\}$ is open in \mathfrak{D} .

Proof. If we assume that $\{p\}$ is not open, then $\overline{\mathfrak{D} - \{p\}} = \mathfrak{D}$, and hence $\mathfrak{D} - \{p\} \supseteq \mathfrak{D}_0$. Thus $p \notin \mathfrak{D}_0$.

Conversely, assume that $\{p\}$ is open in \mathfrak{D} and $p \notin \mathfrak{D}_0$. Then there exists a dense subset \mathfrak{R} of \mathfrak{D} such that $\mathfrak{R} \not\ni p$. Accordingly $\mathfrak{R} \subseteq \mathfrak{D} - \{p\}$ and $\mathfrak{D} = \overline{\mathfrak{R}} \subseteq \overline{\mathfrak{D} - \{p\}} = \mathfrak{D} - \{p\}$. This contradiction shows $p \in \mathfrak{D}_0$.

In general, the minimal set \mathfrak{D}_0 is contained in the decomposition set \mathfrak{D} by Lemmas 3 and 5. However, in case the structure space of A is a T_1 -space, \mathfrak{D} coincides with \mathfrak{D}_0 .

The following is an extension of [8, Theorem 7].

Theorem 3. Let A be a semi-prime ring. Then the following conditions are equivalent:

- (1) \mathfrak{D} is empty.
- (2) A has no non-zero ideal which is prime as a ring.

Proof. Assume that \mathfrak{D} is empty and there exists a non-zero ideal T of A which is prime as a ring. Then, by Lemma 1 (2), there is a prime ideal p of A such that $T \cap p = 0$. Hence $p^* \supseteq T \neq 0$, a contradiction.

The converse is easy from Corollary 2.

§ 3. Finally, we shall consider the case where $I(\mathfrak{D}) \neq 0$ and $\mathfrak{D} \neq \phi$, that is, the case where A is neither special nor completely non-special in

Suliński's sense [8].

Lemma 6. *Let A be a semi-prime ring and let T be a non-zero ideal of A such that $T^* \neq 0$.*

(1) *If the ring T has a minimal decomposition set, then the semi-prime ring $A/T^{*5)}$ has a minimal decomposition set too.*

(2) *If both T and T^* have minimal decomposition sets, then the ring A has a minimal decomposition set too.*

Proof. Let \mathfrak{N} and \mathfrak{N}' be the sets of all prime ideals $p \in \Omega$ such that $p \supseteq T$ and $p \supseteq T^*$ respectively. Since $T \neq 0$ and $T^* \neq 0$, both \mathfrak{N} and \mathfrak{N}' are not empty, and $\mathfrak{N} \cup \mathfrak{N}' = \Omega$ and $(\mathfrak{D} \cap \mathfrak{N}) \cap (\mathfrak{D} \cap \mathfrak{N}') = \phi$.

Let \tilde{p} be a prime ideal in the ring A/T^* . Then there exists a prime ideal $p \in \mathfrak{N}'$ such that $p/T^* = \tilde{p}$, and $\tilde{p}^{*6)}$ = 0 if and only if $(T^* : p) = T^*$.

(1) Suppose that T has a minimal decomposition set. Then, by Theorems 1 and 2, $0 = I(\mathfrak{D}_T) = I(\mathfrak{D}) \cap T = I(\mathfrak{D} - (\mathfrak{D} \cap \mathfrak{N})) \cap T$ as was seen in the proof of Theorem 2 and these are equal to $I(\mathfrak{D} \cap \mathfrak{N}') \cap T$. Hence $I(\mathfrak{D} \cap \mathfrak{N}') (\subseteq T^*$ whence) = T^* . Now, let p be in $\mathfrak{D} \cap \mathfrak{N}'$. Then $\tilde{p}^* \neq 0$. For otherwise, we would have $p^* \subseteq (T^* : p) = T^* \subseteq p$. Thus \tilde{p} is contained in the decomposition set of the ring A/T^* . Therefore $I(\mathfrak{D}_{A/T^*}) \subseteq I(\mathfrak{D} \cap \mathfrak{N}')/T^*$ and hence we have $I(\mathfrak{D}_{A/T^*}) = 0$.

(2) Suppose that both T and T^* have minimal decomposition sets. Then, $0 = I(\mathfrak{D}_T) = I(\mathfrak{D}) \cap T^* = I(\mathfrak{D}) \cap I(\mathfrak{D} \cap \mathfrak{N}') = I(\mathfrak{D})$ since $T^* = I(\mathfrak{D} \cap \mathfrak{N}')$ as was seen above. Thus, A has a minimal decomposition set.

Combining Lemma 6 (1) with Theorem 2, we obtain a generalization of [1, Theorem 6].

Lemma 7. *Let A be a semi-prime ring and let T be a non-zero ideal of A such that $T^* \neq 0$.*

(1) *If the decomposition set of the ring T is empty, then that of the semi-prime ring A/T^* is also empty.*

(2) *If the decomposition sets of both T and T^* are empty, then that of the ring A is also empty.*

Proof. (1) Suppose that \mathfrak{D}_T is empty. Then by Theorem 2 $I(\mathfrak{D}) \supseteq I(\mathfrak{D}) \cap T = I(\mathfrak{D}_T) = T$ and hence $I(\mathfrak{D})^* \subseteq T^*$. Let \mathfrak{N}' be as in the proof of Lemma 6. Then $\mathfrak{D} \cap \mathfrak{N}' = \phi$. For otherwise, there would exist a prime ideal p such that $p \in \mathfrak{D}$ and $p \supseteq T^*$. Then $p \supseteq T^* \supseteq I(\mathfrak{D})^*$, and hence p^*

5) As is remarked in § 1, $T^* = I(\mathfrak{N})$, $\mathfrak{N} = \{p \in \Omega : p \supseteq T^*\}$, and hence the ring A/T^* is semi-prime.

6) Since no confusion can arise, we shall use this notation in the residue class ring A/T^* .

$\subseteq I(\mathfrak{D}) \subseteq p$, because $I(\mathfrak{D})^{**} = I(\mathfrak{D})$, which is a contradiction. Let p be in \mathfrak{N} . Then $p \cdot (T^* : p) \subseteq T^*$, $p \cdot (T^* : p) \cdot T = 0$, $(T^* : p) \cdot T \subseteq p^* = 0$ and hence $(T^* : p) (\subseteq \text{whence}) = T^*$. This completes our proof.

(2) Suppose that both \mathfrak{D}_T and \mathfrak{D}_{T^*} are empty. Then we have, by Theorem 2, $T = I(\mathfrak{D}_T) = I(\mathfrak{D}) \cap T \subseteq I(\mathfrak{D})$ and $T^* = I(\mathfrak{D}_{T^*}) = I(\mathfrak{D}) \cap T^* \subseteq I(\mathfrak{D})$. Therefore $I(\mathfrak{D}) \supseteq T^* \supseteq I(\mathfrak{D})^*$, $(I(\mathfrak{D})^*)^2 = 0$, and hence $I(\mathfrak{D})^* = 0$. Thus $I(\mathfrak{D}) = I(\mathfrak{D})^{**} = A$. This completes our proof.

As an easy consequence of Lemmas 6 and 7, we have the following

Theorem 4. *Let A be a semi-prime ring and let $I(\mathfrak{D}) \neq 0$ and $\neq A$.*

(1) *The ring $I(\mathfrak{D})^*$ has a minimal decomposition set.*

(2) *The decomposition set of the ring $I(\mathfrak{D})$ is empty.*

(3)⁷⁾ *The semi-prime ring $A/I(\mathfrak{D})$ has a minimal decomposition set.*

(4)⁷⁾ *The decomposition set of the semi-prime ring $A/I(\mathfrak{D})^*$ is empty.*

Proof. (1), (2) and (3), (4) follow from Theorem 2 and Lemmas 6 (1) and 7 (1) respectively.

References

- [1] A.W. GOLDIE: Decompositions of Semi-Simple Rings, J. London Math. Soc., Vol. 31 (1956), 40-48.
- [2] N. JACOBSON: Structure of Rings, Providence (1956).
- [3] C.W. KOHLS: The Space of Prime Ideals of a Ring, Fundamenta Math., Vol. 45 (1957), 17-27.
- [4] Y. KURATA: Decompositions of Semi-Prime Rings and Jordan Isomorphisms, Osaka Math. J., Vol. 9 (1957), 189-193.
- [5] N.H. MCCOY: Subdirect Sums of Rings, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., Vol. 53 (1947), 856-877.
- [6] N.H. MCCOY: Prime Ideals in General Rings, Amer. J. Math., Vol. 71 (1949), 823-833.
- [7] M. NAGATA: On the Theory of Radicals in a Ring, J. Math. Soc. Japan, Vol. 3 (1951), 330-334.
- [8] A. SULIŃSKI: On Subdirect Sums of Simple Rings with Unity I, Bull. Acad. Polonaise Sci., Ser. Sci., Math., Astr. et Phys., Vol. 8 (1960), 223-228.
- [9] A. SULIŃSKI: On the Classification of Semi-Simple Rings, Bull. Acad. Polonaise Sci., Ser. Sci., Math., Astr. et Phys., Vol. 9 (1961), 1-6.

Department of Mathematics,
Hokkaido University

(Received April 30, 1962)

7) Cf. [9].