



Title	A NOTE ON THE SIEVE METHOD OF A. SELBERG
Author(s)	Uchiyama, Saburô
Citation	Journal of the Faculty of Science Hokkaido University. Ser. 1 Mathematics, 16(3-4), 189-192
Issue Date	1962
Doc URL	http://hdl.handle.net/2115/56030
Type	bulletin (article)
File Information	JFSHIU_16_N3-4_189-192.pdf



[Instructions for use](#)

A NOTE ON THE SIEVE METHOD OF A. SELBERG

By

Saburô UCHIYAMA

The purpose of this note is to obtain a universal upper bound for the remainder term in a useful formula in number theory, known as the sieve of A. Selberg [5, see also 4; Chap. II, Theorem 3.1].

Let $N > 1$ and let a_1, a_2, \dots, a_N be natural numbers not necessarily distinct. We wish to evaluate the number S of those a_j ($1 \leq j \leq N$) which are not divisible by any prime number $p \leq z$, where $z \geq 2$. Let d be a positive integer and let S_d denote the number of a 's divisible by d . Suppose that

$$S_d = \frac{\omega(d)}{d} N + R(d),$$

where $R(d)$ is the error term for S_d and where $\omega(d)$ is assumed to be a multiplicative function of d , namely a function such that $(d_1, d_2) = 1$ implies

$$\omega(d_1 d_2) = \omega(d_1) \omega(d_2):$$

in particular, we have $\omega(1) = 1$ if $\omega(d)$ does not vanish identically.

We put

$$f(d) = \frac{d}{\omega(d)}.$$

Then $f(d)$ is a multiplicative function of d . We shall suppose that $1 < f(d) \leq \infty$ for $d > 1$; $f(d) = \infty$ only if $\omega(d) = 0$ and then $S_d = R(d)$. We now define for positive integers m and d

$$f_1(m) = \sum_{n|m} \mu(n) f\left(\frac{m}{n}\right),$$

$$Z(d) = \sum_{\substack{r \leq z/d \\ (r, d) = 1}} \frac{\mu^2(r)}{f_1(r)}, \quad Z = Z(1),$$

$$\lambda(d) = \mu(d) \prod_{p|d} \left(1 - \frac{1}{f(p)}\right)^{-1} \frac{Z(d)}{Z},$$

where $\mu(d)$ denotes the Möbius function. It is clear that $f_1(m)$ is a multiplicative function of m and that if $\mu^2(m) = 1$ then

$$f_1(m) = f(m) \prod_{p|m} \left(1 - \frac{1}{f(p)}\right).$$

The formula of Selberg hereinbefore mentioned is given in the following

THEOREM. *Under the notations and conditions described above we have*

$$S \leq \frac{N}{Z} + R$$

with

$$R = \sum_{d_1, d_2 \leq z} |\lambda(d_1) \lambda(d_2) R(\{d_1, d_2\})|,$$

where $\{d_1, d_2\}$ denotes the least common multiple of d_1 and d_2 .

We shall suppose in what follows that for all d, d_1, d_2 we have

$$(1) \quad |R(d)| \leq \omega(d), \quad \omega(\{d_1, d_2\}) \leq \omega(d_1) \omega(d_2),$$

the latter inequality being automatically satisfied when $\omega(\{d_1, d_2\}) \geq 1$. This condition for $\omega(d)$, as well as the assumption that $\omega(d)$ should be a multiplicative function, is in fact satisfied in many cases of applications of Selberg's sieve method. The remainder term R in the theorem is then not greater than

$$\sum_{d_1, d_2 \leq z} |\lambda(d_1) \lambda(d_2) \omega(d_1) \omega(d_2)| = \left(\sum_{d \leq z} |\lambda(d)| \omega(d) \right)^2.$$

We show that if the condition (1) is fulfilled, then

$$(2) \quad R = O(z^2 (\log \log z)^2),$$

where, and henceforth, the constants implied in the symbol O are all absolute. Furthermore, if $\omega(p) \leq 1$ for all primes p , then we have, under the condition (1),

$$(3) \quad R = O\left(\frac{z^2}{Z^2}\right).$$

Indeed, we have by the definition of $\lambda(d)$

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{d \leq z} |\lambda(d)| \omega(d) \\ &= \frac{1}{Z} \sum_{d \leq z} \mu^2(d) \omega(d) \prod_{p|d} \left(1 - \frac{1}{f(p)}\right)^{-1} \sum_{\substack{n \leq z/d \\ (n, d)=1}} \mu^2(n) \frac{\omega(n)}{n} \prod_{p|n} \left(1 - \frac{1}{f(p)}\right)^{-1} \\ &= \frac{1}{Z} \sum_{m \leq z} \mu^2(m) \frac{\omega(m)}{m} \prod_{p|m} \left(1 - \frac{1}{f(p)}\right)^{-1} \cdot \sum_{d|m} d. \end{aligned}$$

Let $\sigma(m)$ be the sum of divisors of m , i.e.

$$\sigma(m) = \sum_{d|m} d.$$

It is known that

$$\sigma(m) = O(m \log \log m)$$

(cf. [3; Theorem 323]). It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{m \leq z} \mu^2(m) \frac{\omega(m)}{m} \prod_{p|m} \left(1 - \frac{1}{f(p)}\right)^{-1} \sigma(m) \\ \leq \left(\sum_{m \leq z} \frac{\mu^2(m)}{f_1(m)} \right) \cdot \max_{m \leq z} \sigma(m) \\ = Z \cdot O(z \log \log z), \end{aligned}$$

and this proves the assertion (2).

To prove (3) let us suppose that $\omega(p) \leq 1$ for all primes p . Then we find that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{m \leq z} \mu^2(m) \frac{\omega(m)}{m} \prod_{p|m} \left(1 - \frac{1}{f(p)}\right)^{-1} \sigma(m) \\ \leq \sum_{m \leq z} \mu^2(m) \frac{1}{m} \prod_{p|m} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right)^{-1} \sigma(m) \\ = \sum_{m \leq z} \mu^2(m) \frac{\sigma(m)}{\varphi(m)}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\varphi(m)$ is the Euler totient function. It is easily verified that

$$\frac{\sigma(m)}{\varphi(m)} = O\left(\frac{\sigma^2(m)}{m^2}\right),$$

and hence

$$\sum_{m \leq z} \mu^2(m) \frac{\sigma(m)}{\varphi(m)} = O\left(\sum_{m \leq z} \frac{\sigma^2(m)}{m^2}\right).$$

By a result due to S. Ramanujan (cf. [2; p. 135]) we see that

$$\sum_{m \leq n} \sigma^2(m) = O(n^3).$$

Using this relation we obtain by partial summation

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{m \leq z} \frac{\sigma^2(m)}{m^2} &= \sum_{m \leq z-1} \left(\sum_{r \leq m} \sigma^2(r) \right) \left(\frac{1}{m^2} - \frac{1}{(m+1)^2} \right) + \frac{\sum_{r \leq z} \sigma^2(r)}{[z]^2} \\ &= \sum_{m \leq z-1} O(1) + O(z) = O(z), \end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$\sum_{m \leq z} \mu^2(m) \frac{\omega(m)}{m} \prod_{p|m} \left(1 - \frac{1}{f(p)}\right)^{-1} \sigma(m) = O(z),$$

completing the proof of (3).

As an easy application of (3) we can prove that the number of positive integers $n \leq x$ such that $p \nmid n$ for all primes $p \leq z$ is less than

$$c(a) \frac{x}{\log z},$$

provided that $z \geq 2$ and $x \geq z^a$, $a \geq 2$, where $c(a)$ is a positive constant depending only on a . This result is slightly better than [4; Chap. II, Theorem 4.10].

Also, we may mention the following. Let k and l be integers such that $k \geq 1$, $0 \leq l < k$, $(k, l) = 1$. Let $\pi(x, k, l)$ denote, as usual, the number of primes $p \leq x$ of the form $km + l$. Then, if $k = O(x^a)$, $0 < a < 1$, we have

$$\pi(x, k, l) < \frac{2x}{\varphi(k) \log(x/k)} \left(1 + O\left(\frac{1}{\log x}\right) \right).$$

This is a slight improvement of a result due to I.V. Čulanovskii [1; Theorem 1]. (Here the O -constant may possibly depend upon a .)

References

- [1] I.V. Čulanovskii: Certain estimates connected with a new method of Selberg in elementary number theory, Doklady Akad. Nauk SSSR (N. S.) vol. 63 (1948), pp. 491-494 (in Russian).
- [2] G.H. Hardy, P.V. Seshu Aiyar and B.M. Wilson: Collected papers of Srinivasa Ramanujan, Cambridge 1927.
- [3] G.H. Hardy and E.M. Wright: An introduction to the theory of numbers, 3rd edition, Oxford 1954.
- [4] K. Prachar: Primzahlverteilung, Springer-Verl., Berlin-Göttingen-Heidelberg 1957.
- [5] A. Selberg: On an elementary method in the theory of primes, Norske Vid. Selsk. Forh. Trondhjem, vol. 19, no. 18 (1947), pp. 64-67.

Department of Mathematics,
Hokkaidô University

(Received August 21, 1961)