



Title	ON THE NORMAL BASIS THEOREMS AND THE EXTENSION DIMENSION
Author(s)	Kishimoto, Kazuo; Onodera, Takesi; Tominaga, Hisao
Citation	Journal of the Faculty of Science Hokkaido University. Ser. 1 Mathematics, 18(1-2), 081-088
Issue Date	1964
Doc URL	http://hdl.handle.net/2115/56053
Type	bulletin (article)
File Information	JFSHIU_18_N1-2_081-088.pdf



[Instructions for use](#)

ON THE NORMAL BASIS THEOREMS AND THE EXTENSION DIMENSION

By

Kazuo KISHIMOTO, Takesi ONODERA
and Hisao TOMINAGA

Recently, in his paper [7] one of the authors has presented several generalized normal basis theorems for a division ring extension, which contain as special cases the normal basis theorems given in [1] by Kasch (provided for division ring extensions). One of the purposes of this paper is to extend his results to simple rings. In §1, we shall prove those extensions, and add a decision condition for a normal basis element in a strictly Galois extension of a division ring, which is well-known in commutative case. Next, in §2, we shall treat exclusively an F -group of order p^e in a simple ring, and consider the relations between the extension dimension over the fixed subring and the order of the F -group. The principal theorem of §2 is an improvement of the result stated in [8] for a DF -group. As to notations and terminologies used in this paper, we follow [3] and [5].

§1. The following lemma has been given in [7]¹⁾, and will play a fundamental role in our present study.

Lemma 1. *Let $T \ni 1$ be a ring with minimum condition for right ideals, and let M, N be unital right T -modules.*

(i) *M is T -projective if and only if it is T -isomorphic to a direct sum of submodules each of which is T -isomorphic to a directly indecomposable direct summand of T .*

(ii) *If $M^{(m)} \simeq T^{(\omega)}$ for a positive integer m and an infinite cardinal number ω , then $M \simeq T^{(\omega)}$.*

(iii) *If $M^{(m)} \simeq T^{(t)}$ for positive integers m, t and $t = mq + r$ ($0 \leq r < m$), then $M \simeq T^{(q)} \oplus M_0$, where M_0 is a T -homomorphic image of T such that $M_0^{(m)} \simeq T^{(r)}$. In particular, if $m = t$ then $M \simeq T$.*

(iv) *If M is T -projective and $M^{(m)} \sim N^{(n)}$ with $m \leq n$ then $M \sim N$.*

Theorem 1. *Let \mathfrak{G} be an N -group with $B = J(\mathfrak{G}, A)$, and $N \ni 1$ an \mathfrak{G} -invariant subring of A with minimum condition for right ideals such that A possesses a finite (linearly independent) right N -basis $\{x_1, \dots, x_t\}$. If*

1) Numbers in brackets refer to the references cited at the end of this paper.

$t \leq [A : B]$ then A is $\mathfrak{S}N_r$ -homomorphic to $\mathfrak{S}N_r$, in particular, A is always $\mathfrak{S}B_r$ -homomorphic to $\mathfrak{S}B_r$.

Proof. Since $V_{\text{Hom}(A,A)}(B_i) = \mathfrak{S}A_r$ by [3, Theorem 1], $[A : B] = m$ implies $A^{(m)} \simeq \mathfrak{S}A_r$ and $\mathfrak{S}A_r = \bigoplus_{i=1}^m \sigma_i A_r = \bigoplus_{i,j} \sigma_i x_{jr} N_r$ with some $\sigma_i \in \mathfrak{S}$. Then, to be easily verified, $\mathfrak{S}N_r$ satisfies the minimum condition for right ideals and $\mathfrak{S}A_r = A_r \mathfrak{S} = \sum x_{ir} N_r \mathfrak{S} = \sum x_{ir} (\mathfrak{S}N_r)$, so that $\mathfrak{S}A_r$ is $\mathfrak{S}N_r$ -homomorphic to $(\mathfrak{S}N_r)^{(t)}$, whence it follows that $A^{(m)}$ is $\mathfrak{S}N_r$ -homomorphic to $(\mathfrak{S}N_r)^{(t)}$. Hence, by Lemma 1 (iv), A is $\mathfrak{S}N_r$ -homomorphic to $\mathfrak{S}N_r$.

Lemma 2. Let \mathfrak{S} be an N -group with $B = J(\mathfrak{S}, A)$ and $N \ni 1$ an \mathfrak{S} -invariant subring of A with minimum condition for right ideals such that A possesses a right N -basis $\{x_\lambda; \lambda \in \Lambda\}$.

(i) If $V = C$ or $V \subseteq N$, then $\mathfrak{S}N_r$ possesses a right N_r -basis containing $[A : B]$ elements and $\{x_{\lambda r}; \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ forms a right $\mathfrak{S}N_r$ -basis of $\mathfrak{S}A_r$.

(ii) If A/B is strictly Galois with respect to $\mathfrak{S} = \{\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_m\}$, then $\mathfrak{S}N_r = \bigoplus_1^m \sigma_i N_r$ and $\{x_{\lambda r}; \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ forms a right $\mathfrak{S}N_r$ -basis of $\mathfrak{S}A_r$.

Proof. (i) As in the proof of Theorem 1, $A^{(m)} \simeq \mathfrak{S}A_r$ ($m = [A : B]$) and $\mathfrak{S}A_r = \bigoplus_1^m \sigma_i A_r = \bigoplus_1^m A_r \sigma_i$ with some $\sigma_i \in \mathfrak{S}$. If $V = C$ then \mathfrak{S} coincides with $\{\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_m\}$ by [6, Theorem 1]. On the other hand, if $V \subseteq N$ then $\mathfrak{S}V_r = \bigoplus_1^m \sigma_i V_r \subseteq \bigoplus_1^m \sigma_i N_r$ by [5, Lemma 1.3 (iii)]. Thus, in either cases, $\mathfrak{S}N_r = \bigoplus_1^m \sigma_i N_r$ and $\mathfrak{S}A_r = \bigoplus_{i,\lambda} \sigma_i x_{\lambda r} N_r \sigma_i = \bigoplus_{\lambda} x_{\lambda r} (\mathfrak{S}N_r)$, so that $\{x_{\lambda r}; \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ is a right $\mathfrak{S}N_r$ -basis of $\mathfrak{S}A_r$.

(ii) As $\mathfrak{S}A_r = \bigoplus_1^m \sigma_i A_r$, $\mathfrak{S}N_r = \bigoplus_1^m \sigma_i N_r$ of course. So that, the rest of the proof is the same with the last part of (i).

Lemma 3. Let A be Galois and finite over B , and $N \ni 1$ a \mathfrak{S} -invariant simple subring of A . If V is different from $(GF(2))_2$ and $[\mathfrak{S}N_r : N_r]_r = [A : B]$ then $V = C$ or $V \subseteq N$.

Proof. The proof will proceed except only one point in the same way as [3, Theorem 3] did. However, for the sake of completeness, we shall give it here. Suppose on the contrary that V is neither C nor contained in N . Every element of V is a finite sum of elements contained in V (the group of units in V) and $[\mathfrak{S}A_r : A_r]_r = [A : B] = [\mathfrak{S}N_r : N_r]_r$. In what follows, we shall prove that there exist some $v, v_1, \dots, v_k \in V$ such that $\{v_1, \dots, v_k\}$ is linearly independent over C and $\tilde{v} = \sum_1^k \tilde{v}_i a_{i_r}$ with some $a_i \in A$ not all contained in N . (But, by [4, Lemma 1.3 and Lemma 1.4], the last fact yields at once a contradiction.) To this end, we set $V = \sum_1^t U g_{pq}$ where $\{g_{pq}\}$ is a system of matrix units and $U = V_V(\{g_{pq}\})$ a division ring, and distinguish between two cases :

Case I. $l=1$: Let $\{v_1, \dots, v_m\}$ be a C -basis of V . Then, $V \neq C$ yields $m > 1$. We shall distinguish further between three cases:

(i) $C \not\subseteq N$: As is readily verified, $\widetilde{v_1 + v_2} = \tilde{v}_1(v_1(v_1 + v_2)^{-1})_r + \tilde{v}_2(v_2(v_1 + v_2)^{-1})_r$. If $v_1(v_1 + v_2)^{-1} \notin N$ then $v_1 + v_2$, v_1 and v_2 are elements desired. On the other hand, if $d_1 = v_1(v_1 + v_2)^{-1}$ is in N then $v_2 = (d_1^{-1} - 1)v_1$ and d_1 is different from 1. For an arbitrary $c \in C \setminus N$, we have $\widetilde{v_1 + cv_2} = \tilde{v}_1(v_1(v_1 + cv_2)^{-1})_r + \tilde{v}_2(v_2c(v_1 + cv_2)^{-1})_r$. Then, $d_2 = v_1(v_1 + cv_2)^{-1}$ is not contained in N . In fact, if $d_2 \in N$ then $(d_1^{-1} - 1)v_1 = v_2 = c^{-1}(d_2^{-1} - 1)v_1$ yields a contradiction $c = (d_2^{-1} - 1) \cdot (d_1^{-1} - 1)^{-1} \in N$.

(ii) $C \subseteq N$ and $\{v_1, \dots, v_m\} \frown N = \emptyset$: $1 = v_1c_1 + \dots + v_mc_m$ with $c_i \in C$, so that $\tilde{1} = \tilde{v}_1(v_1c_1)_r + \dots + \tilde{v}_m(v_mc_m)_r$. Recalling that $c_j \neq 0$ for some j and hence $v_jc_j \notin N$, 1 , v_1, \dots, v_m are evidently desired ones.

(iii) $C \subseteq N$ and $\{v_1, \dots, v_m\} \frown N \neq \emptyset$: As $C \subseteq N$ and $V \not\subseteq N$, without loss of generality, we may assume that $v_1 \in N$ and $v_2 \notin N$. Then, $\widetilde{v_1 + v_2} = \tilde{v}_1(v_1(v_1 + v_2)^{-1})_r + \tilde{v}_2(v_2(v_1 + v_2)^{-1})_r$ and $v_1(v_1 + v_2)^{-1} \notin N$, so that $v_1 + v_2$, v_1 and v_2 are desired ones.

Case II. $l > 1$: Evidently, $\{1, f_{pq} = 1 - g_{pq} \ (p, q = 1, \dots, l; p \neq q)\}$ ($\subseteq V$) is linearly independent over C , and similarly in case l is even so is $\{f_q = g_{qq} + \sum_1^l g_{pl-p+1} \ (q = 1, \dots, l)\}$ ($\subseteq V$). By [2, Theorem 2], $V \subseteq N$ or $N \subseteq H$, so that $N \subseteq H$ in reality²⁾. Noting that $V \frown N$ is then a field contained in the center of V , it is clear that no non-diagonal elements of V are contained in N . Now, we shall complete our proof by distinguishing between two cases:

(i) V is not of characteristic 2: In this case, every $1 + f_{pq}$ is in V and $\widetilde{1 + f_{pq}} = \tilde{1}(1 + f_{pq})_r^{-1} + \tilde{f}_{pq}(f_{pq}(1 + f_{pq})^{-1})_r$ with $(1 + f_{pq})^{-1} \notin N$.

(ii) V is of characteristic 2: If l is odd, then $u = 1 + \sum_2^l f_{p-1p} \in V$ and $\tilde{u} = \tilde{1}u_r^{-1} + \sum_2^l \tilde{f}_{p-1p}(f_{p-1p}u^{-1})_r$ with $u^{-1} \notin N$. On the other hand, if l is even then $1 = \sum_1^l f_p$, so that $\tilde{1} = \sum_1^l \tilde{f}_p f_{pr}$ with $f_p \notin N$.

The following example will show that the assumption $V \neq (GF(2))_2$ is indispensable in Lemma 3.

Example 1. Let $A = (GF(2))_2$, $B = GF(2)$. Then, $1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$, $\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$, $\beta = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$, $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, $\delta = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, $\varepsilon = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ induce the Galois group $\mathfrak{G} = \{\tilde{1}, \tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\beta}, \tilde{\gamma}, \tilde{\delta}, \tilde{\varepsilon}\}$ of A/B , $V = A$ and $N = \{0, 1, \delta, \varepsilon\}$ is a \mathfrak{G} -invariant subfield of A . Since $\tilde{\gamma} = \tilde{\alpha}\varepsilon_r + \tilde{\beta}\delta_r$ and $\tilde{\varepsilon} = \tilde{1}\delta_r + \tilde{\delta}\varepsilon_r$, we obtain $\mathfrak{G}N_r = \tilde{1}N_r \oplus \tilde{\alpha}N_r \oplus \tilde{\beta}N_r \oplus \tilde{\delta}N_r$, so that $[\mathfrak{G}N_r : N_r]_r = 4 = [A : B]$. However, to be easily verified, $V \neq C$ and $\not\subseteq N$.

2) The assumption $V \neq (GF(2))_2$ is needed only to secure $N \subseteq H$ (provided $V \not\subseteq N$). Accordingly, our lemma is evidently valid for $N = B$ even in case $V = (GF(2))_2$. (Cf. [2, Theorem 3]).

Theorem 2. Let A/B be Galois, $[A : B] = m$, V different from $(GF(2))_2$, and let N be a \mathfrak{G} -invariant simple subring of A .

(i) The following conditions are equivalent to each other:

(1) $V = C$ or $V \subseteq N$.

(2) $[\mathfrak{G}N_r : N_r]_r = [A : B]$.

(ii) If $[A : N]_r$ is an infinite cardinal number ω , then A is $\mathfrak{G}N_r$ -isomorphic to $(\mathfrak{G}N_r)^{(\omega)}$.

(iii) If $[A : N]_r = t$ and $t = mq + r$ ($0 \leq r < m$), then each of the conditions (1), (2) cited in (i) is equivalent to the next:

(3) A is $\mathfrak{G}N_r$ -isomorphic to $(\mathfrak{G}N_r)^{(q)} \oplus \mathfrak{M}$, where \mathfrak{M} is a $\mathfrak{G}N_r$ -homomorphic image of $\mathfrak{G}N_r$ such that $M^{(m)} \simeq (\mathfrak{G}N_r)^{(r)}$.

Proof. (i) The equivalence is a direct consequence of Lemma 2 (i) and Lemma 3. (ii) $A^{(m)} \simeq \mathfrak{G}A_r \simeq (\mathfrak{G}N_r)^{(\omega)}$ by Lemma 2 (i). Hence, Lemma 1 (ii) yields at once our assertion. (iii) By (i) and Lemma 1 (iii), one will easily see the equivalence relations.

Now, by the light of Lemma 2 (ii), Lemma 1 (ii) and (iii) will yield the following, too. The proof may be left to readers.

Theorem 3. Let A/B be strictly Galois with respect to \mathfrak{G} of order m , and $N \ni 1$ an \mathfrak{G} -invariant subring of A with minimum condition for right ideals such that A possesses a right N -basis $\{x_\lambda; \lambda \in \Lambda\}$.

(i) If Λ is infinite then there exists a subset $\{u_\lambda; \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ of A such that $\{u_\lambda \sigma; \lambda \in \Lambda \text{ and } \sigma \in \mathfrak{G}\}$ is a right N -basis of A .

(ii) If $\#\Lambda = t < \infty$ and $t = mq + r$ ($0 \leq r < m$) then A contains q elements u_1, \dots, u_q and an $\mathfrak{G}N_r$ -homomorphic image M with $M^{(m)} \simeq (\mathfrak{G}N_r)^{(r)}$ such that $\{u_i \sigma; i = 1, \dots, q \text{ and } \sigma \in \mathfrak{G}\}$ is right linearly independent over N and $A = (\bigoplus_{i, \sigma} (x_i \sigma) N) \oplus M$.

As a special case of Theorem 3 (ii), we see that if A/B is strictly Galois with respect to \mathfrak{G} then there exists a right (and similarly a left) \mathfrak{G} -n.b.e. (cf. [3, Theorem 4]). In case A is a division ring, we can prove the following theorem, that is well-known for the commutative case.

Theorem 4. Let A be a division ring, and $\mathfrak{G} = \{\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_m\}$ an automorphism group of A with $B = J(\mathfrak{G}, A)$. In order that $[A : B]$ coincides with m , it is necessary and sufficient that there exists an element $a \in A$ such that the matrix $(a\sigma_i \sigma_j)$ is regular. Moreover, $a \in A$ is a left \mathfrak{G} -n.b.e. (right \mathfrak{G} -n.b.e.) if and only if the matrix $(a\sigma_i \sigma_j)$ (the matrix ${}^t(a\sigma_i \sigma_j)$ transposed) is regular.

Proof. If $[A : B] = m$, that is, A/B is strictly Galois with respect to \mathfrak{G} , then there exists a left \mathfrak{G} -n.b.e. $a \in A$ by Theorem 3, for which we have

$T_{\mathfrak{S}}(a) = \sum a\sigma_i \neq 0$. Suppose $(a\sigma_i\sigma_j)$ is non-regular. Then, the matrix is a zero-divisor, so that there hold non-trivial relations $\sum a_i \cdot a\sigma_i\sigma_j = 0$ ($j=1, \dots, m$) with some $a_1, \dots, a_m \in A$, where we assume $a_k \neq 0$. Since $\sum aa_k^{-1}a_i \cdot a\sigma_i\sigma_j = 0$ ($j=1, \dots, m$) and $T_{\mathfrak{S}}(aa_k^{-1}a_k) = T_{\mathfrak{S}}(a) \neq 0$, we may assume further $T_{\mathfrak{S}}(a_k) \neq 0$. We obtain then $0 = \sum_{i,j} a_i\sigma_j^{-1} \cdot a\sigma_i = \sum_i T_{\mathfrak{S}}(a_i) \cdot a\sigma_i$. Now, $T_{\mathfrak{S}}(a_i) \in B$ and $T_{\mathfrak{S}}(a_k) \neq 0$ contradict our assumption that a is a left \mathfrak{S} -n.b.e. Conversely, if $(a\sigma_i\sigma_j)$ is regular then $\{a\sigma_1, \dots, a\sigma_m\}$ is linearly left independent over B , so that $[A : B] = m$ by [3, Lemma 2]. The latter assertion will be evident by the above proof.

Corollary 1. *Let a division ring A be strictly Galois with respect \mathfrak{S} of order m . A left \mathfrak{S} -n.b.e. is a right \mathfrak{S} -n.b.e. as well, provided either \mathfrak{S} is abelian or A is of characteristic p and $m = p^e$.*

Proof. If \mathfrak{S} is abelian, our assertion is evident by Theorem 4. On the other hand, in case A is of characteristic p and $m = p^e$, our assertion is a direct consequence of [3, Corollary 1].

§ 2. In [8]³⁾, the results obtained in [3, §3] have been generalized as follows: Let $A(\ni 1)$ be a simple ring (satisfying the minimum condition for right ideals) with the center C , \mathfrak{S} a DF -group of order p^e (p a prime), and $B = J(\mathfrak{S}, A)$. If the center Z of B contains no primitive p -th roots of 1, then $V = V_A(B)$ coincides with $C[Z]$ and $[A : B]$ divides p^e . If moreover A is not of characteristic p , then $[A : B]$ coincides with p^e . In below, we shall present an improvement of the above theorem (Theorem 5) together with several additional remarks. Our improvement is essentially due to the following brief lemma.

Lemma 4. *Let A be a central simple algebra of finite rank over C , \mathfrak{S} an automorphism group of A such that $J(\mathfrak{S}, A) = C$ and $\#\mathfrak{S} = p^e$ (p a prime). If C contains no primitive p -th roots of 1 then A coincides with C .*

Proof. Suppose on the contrary $e > 0$. As $\mathfrak{G}(A/C) = \tilde{A}$, the center of \mathfrak{S} contains a subgroup $\mathfrak{P} = \{\tilde{1}, \tilde{v}, \dots, \tilde{v}^{p-1}\}$ of order p . Then, for each $\sigma = \tilde{u} \in \mathfrak{S}$, $\tilde{v}\sigma = \sigma\tilde{v}$ implies $v\sigma = v c_v$, with some $c_v \in C$. And, $v^p = uv^p u^{-1} = (v\sigma)^p = v^p c_v^p$ yields $c_v^p = 1$, i.e. $c_v = 1$, which means evidently $v\sigma = v$, so that $v \in J(\mathfrak{S}, A) = C$. But, this is a contradiction.

In the rest of this paper, we use the following conventions: A is a simple ring with the center C , and \mathfrak{S} an F -group of A of order p^e (p a prime). We set $B = J(\mathfrak{S}, A)$, that is a simple ring by [3, Lemma 2]. And,

3) By the way, we should like to note here a typographical error in the proof of [8, Theorem 2]: $\mathfrak{S} = \tilde{V} \frown \mathfrak{G}$ should replace $\mathfrak{S} = \tilde{V}$.

Z , V and H represent the center of B , $V_A(B)$ and $V_A(V)$, respectively. $\mathfrak{S}_0 = \mathfrak{S} \cap \bar{V}$ is evidently an invariant subgroup of \mathfrak{S} consisting of all the inner automorphisms contained in \mathfrak{S} . One may remark here that $V = V(\mathfrak{S}) = V(\mathfrak{S}_0)$ by [3, Lemma 2]. Finally, by p^e we denote the exponent of \mathfrak{S}_0 , and set $p^f = (\mathfrak{S} : \mathfrak{S}_0) \cdot p^e$.

Theorem 5. *If Z contains no primitive p -th roots of 1, then V is the composite $C[Z]$ of C and Z (accordingly \mathfrak{S} is a DF-group⁴⁾), and $[A : B]$ is a multiple of p^f and a divisor of p^e . In particular, if moreover, A is not of characteristic p then $[A : B]$ coincides with p^e .*

Proof. Let C_0 be the center of V . Then, $\mathfrak{S}|C_0$ is evidently the Galois group of C_0/Z , so that $[C_0 : Z] = \#(\mathfrak{S}|C_0)$ divides p^e . Hence, C_0 contains no primitive p -th roots of 1. Next, $\mathfrak{S}_0|V$ is an automorphism group of V and its order divides p^e . As $J(\mathfrak{S}_0|V, V) = C_0$ and $[V : C_0] < \infty$, Lemma 4 yields then $V = C_0$. Suppose $V \not\cong C[Z]$. Then, noting that $V = V(\mathfrak{S}_0)$, we can find an element $v \in V \setminus C[Z]$ with $\tilde{v} \in \mathfrak{S}_0$. Since the field V is normal and separable over $C[Z]$ and $v^{p^e} = c \in C$, there exists an element $u \in V$ different from v with $u^{p^e} = v^{p^e}$, that is, $(vu^{-1})^{p^e} = 1$. Recalling here that $C_0 = V$ contains no primitive p -th roots of 1, we obtain $vu^{-1} = 1$. Hence, we have a contradiction $v = u$, which proves our first assertion $V = C[Z]$. It follows then, $[A : B]$ is a divisor of p^e by [4, Theorem 1] and in case A is not of characteristic p it coincides with p^e by [8, Theorem 3]. And so, in what follows, we shall prove that if A is of characteristic p then p^f divides $[A : B]$. By [6], we obtain $\mathfrak{S}(H) = \mathfrak{S}_0$ and $[H : B] = (\mathfrak{S} : \mathfrak{S}_0)$. Since the field V coincides with $V(\mathfrak{S}_0)$ and the order of \mathfrak{S}_0 is a power of p , V is a finite dimensional purely inseparable extension of C and one will easily see that the exponent of V/C coincides with e . Hence, p^e divides $[V : C] = [A : H]$, so that $p^f = p^e \cdot (\mathfrak{S} : \mathfrak{S}_0)$ does $[A : H][H : B] = [A : B]$.

Now, combining the first assertion of Theorem 5 with [4, Corollary 1. 3], we readily obtain the next:

Corollary 2. *Let A be of characteristic p , and \mathfrak{S} a fundamental abelian group: $\mathfrak{S} = \mathfrak{S}_1 \times \cdots \times \mathfrak{S}_e$, where $\mathfrak{S}_i = [\sigma_i]$ is cyclic with a generator σ_i of order p . If A/B is strictly Galois with respect to \mathfrak{S} then there exist some $x_1, \dots, x_e \in A$ such that (1) $x_i^p - x_i \in B$, (2) $A = B[x_1, \dots, x_e]$, (3) $B = B[x_i] \cap B[x_1, \dots, \check{x}_i, \dots, x_e]$ and (4) $B[x_i]/B$ is strictly Galois with respect to \mathfrak{S}_i .*

Theorem 6. *Let A be of characteristic p . In order that $[A : B]$ coincides with p^f , it is necessary and sufficient that V/C is primitive.*

Proof. As was noted in the proof of Theorem 5, $[H : B] = (\mathfrak{S} : \mathfrak{S}_0)$ and

4) However, in case Z contains a primitive p -th root, \mathfrak{S} is not always a DF-group.

the exponent of V/C coincides with ε . So that, by [9, p. 140], V/C is primitive if and only if $p^*=[V:C]=[A:H]$, i.e. $p^f=[A:B]$.

Corollary 3. *Let Z contain no primitive p -th roots of 1. If \mathfrak{H}_0 is cyclic then $[A:B]=p^e$, in particular, if C is a Galoisfeld then $[A:B]=p^{e \cdot 5}$.*

Proof. In virtue of Theorem 5, we may assume that A is of characteristic p . Since the exponent of cyclic \mathfrak{H}_0 coincides with $\#\mathfrak{H}_0$, our assertion is a direct consequence of Theorem 6.

Finally, let A be of characteristic p . As \mathfrak{H}_0 is abelian by Theorem 5, we may set $\mathfrak{H}_0=\mathfrak{H}_1 \times \dots \times \mathfrak{H}_t$ with cyclic \mathfrak{H}_i . If we set $V_i=V(\mathfrak{H}_i)$ (a field), then $V=V_1 \dots V_t$ and $[V_i:C]=\#\mathfrak{H}_i$ by Corollary 3. Now, one will easily see the following:

Theorem 7. *Let A be of characteristic p . In order that $[A:B]$ coincides with p^e , it is necessary and sufficient that $V_1 \dots V_t=V_1 \otimes_C \dots \otimes_C V_t$.*

Example 2. Let $\Phi=GF(p)$, and $C=\Phi(x_1, \dots, x_e)$ with e indeterminates x_1, \dots, x_e . $B=C(x_1^{\frac{1}{p}}, \dots, x_e^{\frac{1}{p}})$ is evidently a p^e -dimensional purely inseparable extension over C with exponent 1. Let A be the ring of $p^e \times p^e$ matrices with entries in C . Then, C is the center of A , B is a maximal subfield of A and $[A:B]=p^e$. We consider here inner automorphisms σ_i induced by $x_i^{\frac{1}{p}}$ ($i=1, \dots, e$). To be easily verified, $\mathfrak{H}_1=[\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_e]=[\sigma_1] \times \dots \times [\sigma_e]$ is a *DF*-group of order p^e with $J(\mathfrak{H}_1, A)=B$. If $e>1$, we consider further the inner automorphism σ_0 induced by $\sum_1^e x_i^{\frac{1}{p}}$. $\mathfrak{H}_2=[\sigma_0, \sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_e]=[\sigma_0] \times [\sigma_1] \times \dots \times [\sigma_e]$ is then a *DF*-group of order p^{e+1} with $J(\mathfrak{H}_2, A)=B$.

References

- [1] F. KASCH: Über den Endomorphismenring eines Vektorraums und den Satz von der Normalbasis, Math. Ann., 126, (1953), 447-463.
- [2] K. KISHIMOTO, T. NAGAHARA and H. TOMINAGA: Supplementary remarks to the previous papers, Math. J. Okayama Univ., 11 (1963), 159-163.
- [3] T. NAGAHARA, T. ONODERA and H. TOMINAGA: On normal basis theorem and strictly Galois extension of simple rings, Math. J. Okayama Univ., 8 (1958), 133-148.
- [4] T. NAGAHARA and H. TOMINAGA: On Galois and locally Galois extensions of simple rings, Math. J. Okayama Univ., 10 (1961), 143-166.
- [5] T. NAGAHARA and H. TOMINAGA: On Galois theory of simple rings, Math. J. Okayama Univ., 11 (1963), 79-117.

5) If C is a Galoisfeld of characteristic p , \mathfrak{H} is outer in reality. Moreover, we can prove that if A is of characteristic p and \mathfrak{H} is not outer then every element of $V \setminus C$ is transcendental over its prime field (cf. Example 2).

- [6] T. NAKAYAMA : Galois theory of simple rings, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 73 (1952), 276-292.
- [7] T. ONODERA : On semi-linear normal basis, *J. Fac. Sci. Hokkaido Univ., Ser. I*, 18 (1964), 23-33.
- [8] T. TAKAZAWA and H. TOMINAGA : On a simple ring with a Galois group of order p^e , *J. Fac. Sci. Hokkaido Univ., Ser. I*, 15 (1961) 198-201.
- [9] B. L. van der WAERDEN : *Moderne Algebra*, Bd. I, Berlin (1950).

Hokkaido Gakugei University
Hokkaido University
Hokkaido University

(Received April 14, 1964)