Title	Iridium-catalyzed Vinylic C–H Borylation of Cyclic Vinyl Ethers by Bis(pinacolato)diboron
Author(s)	Kikuchi, Takao; Takagi, Jun; Ishiyama, Tatsuo; Miyaura, Norio
Citation	Chemistry Letters, 37(6), 664-665 https://doi.org/10.1246/cl.2008.664
Issue Date	2008
Doc URL	http://hdl.handle.net/2115/56362
Type	article (author version)
File Information	(49) B-B + H-VinylEther (Com).pdf

[Instructions for use](#)

Iridium-catalyzed Vinylic C–H Borylation of Cyclic Vinyl Ethers by Bis(pinacolato)diboron

Takao Kikuchi, Jun Takagi, Tatsuo Ishiyama,* and Norio Miyaura*

Division of Chemical Process Engineering, Graduate School of Engineering, Hokkaido University, Sapporo 060-8628

(Received March 4, 2008; CL-080241; E-mail: ishiyama@eng.hokudai.ac.jp)

Vinylic C–H borylation of cyclic vinyl ethers by bis(pinacolato)diboron was effectively catalyzed by iridium complexes comprised of $1/2[\text{Ir}(\text{OMe})(\text{COD})]_2$ and 4,4'-di-*tert*-butyl-2,2'-bipyridine in hexane or octane to give the corresponding vinylboron compounds in good yields. The reaction of 1,4-dioxene occurred even at room temperature, whereas the reactions of dihydropyran and dihydrofuran derivatives required a temperature above 80 °C. Although dihydropyran and dihydrofuran themselves produced regioisomeric mixtures of α - and β -borylated products, similar substrates possessing substituents at the γ -position selectively underwent borylation at the α -position.

Vinylic boron derivatives are an important class of compounds as versatile intermediates in synthetic organic chemistry, the utility of which has been amply demonstrated in the synthesis of natural products, biologically active compounds, and functional organic materials by application of numerous carbon–carbon bond-forming reactions.¹ Although they have been generally obtained by hydroboration² of alkynes or transmetalation³ between trialkylborates with vinylic lithium or magnesium reagents, transition-metal-catalyzed borylation has emerged as an interesting strategy for their synthesis.⁴ Among these methods, vinylic C–H borylation of alkenes by hydroboranes or diborons has attracted considerable attention from the viewpoints of economy, efficiency, and environmental benignity.⁵ Recently, we demonstrated that iridium complexes generated from $1/2[\text{IrCl}(\text{COD})]_2$ or $1/2[\text{Ir}(\text{OMe})(\text{COD})]_2$ and 2,2'-bipyridine (bpy) or 4,4'-di-*tert*-butyl-2,2'-bipyridine (dtbpy) efficiently catalyzed aromatic C–H borylation⁶ by bis(pinacolato)diboron (pin_2B_2 , $\text{pin} = \text{Me}_4\text{C}_2\text{O}_2$) or pinacolborane (pinBH). The combination of $1/2[\text{Ir}(\text{OMe})(\text{COD})]_2$ and dtbpy showed a high level of catalytic activity and allowed room temperature borylation of arenes and heteroarenes with a stoichiometric amount of substrate to produce the corresponding aromatic boron compounds in high yields. This high level of activity prompted us to apply the catalyst to the borylation of alkenes that have sp^2 C–H bonds similar to aromatic substrates. We report herein the vinylic C–H borylation of cyclic vinyl ethers by pin_2B_2 catalyzed by iridium complexes generated from $1/2[\text{Ir}(\text{OMe})(\text{COD})]_2$ and dtbpy to afford the corresponding vinylic boron compounds in good yields (Scheme 1).

1,4-Dioxene (2.0 mmol), which does not cause regioselectivity problems, was initially chosen as a substrate, and its reactions with pin_2B_2 (1.0 mmol) were investigated at 25 °C for 8 h in hexane to optimize Ir^I precursors (0.03

Scheme 1.

mmol/Ir) and ligands (0.03 mmol) (Table 1). Among the catalysts examined, the combination of $1/2[\text{Ir}(\text{OMe})(\text{COD})]_2$ and dtbpy, which exhibited a high level of activity toward

Table 1. Reaction conditions for 1,4-dioxene^a

Entry	Ir^I precursor	Ligand	Yield/(%) ^b
1	$1/2[\text{Ir}(\text{OMe})(\text{COD})]_2$	dtbpy	81
2	$1/2[\text{Ir}(\text{OH})(\text{COD})]_2$	dtbpy	71
3	$1/2[\text{Ir}(\text{OPh})(\text{COD})]_2$	dtbpy	72
4	$1/2[\text{Ir}(\text{OAc})(\text{COD})]_2$	dtbpy	0
5	$1/2[\text{IrCl}(\text{COD})]_2$	dtbpy	0
6	$[\text{Ir}(\text{COD})_2]\text{BF}_4$	dtbpy	0
7	$1/2[\text{Ir}(\text{OMe})(\text{COD})]_2$	none	0
8	$1/2[\text{Ir}(\text{OMe})(\text{COD})]_2$	bpy	50
9	$1/2[\text{Ir}(\text{OMe})(\text{COD})]_2$	3,3'-di-Me-bpy	0
10	$1/2[\text{Ir}(\text{OMe})(\text{COD})]_2$	4,4'-di-Me-bpy	75
11	$1/2[\text{Ir}(\text{OMe})(\text{COD})]_2$	5,5'-di-Me-bpy	55
12	$1/2[\text{Ir}(\text{OMe})(\text{COD})]_2$	6,6'-di-Me-bpy	0
13	$1/2[\text{Ir}(\text{OMe})(\text{COD})]_2$	4,4'-di-MeO-bpy	71
14	$1/2[\text{Ir}(\text{OMe})(\text{COD})]_2$	4,4'-di-Cl-bpy	0
15	$1/2[\text{Ir}(\text{OMe})(\text{COD})]_2$	4,4'-di- O_2N -bpy	0

^a A mixture of a pin_2B_2 (1.0 mmol), 1,4-dioxene (2.0 mmol), an Ir^I precursor (0.03 mmol/Ir), a ligand (0.03 mmol), and hexane (6 mL) was stirred at 25 °C for 8 h. ^bGC yields based on boron atom in pin_2B_2 .

aromatic C–H borylation,⁶ again catalyzed the vinylic C–H borylation to form 2-boryl-1,4-dioxene in 81% yield based on boron atoms in the diboron (Entry 1). The choice of catalyst precursor was crucial for the reaction. Although the combinations of the Ir^I precursor possessing basic hydroxy or phenoxy ligand with dtbpy showed similar catalytic activities (Entries 2 and 3), the less-basic Ir^I acetate complex, the chloride complex, or the cationic complex in combination with dtbpy formed no borylated products (Entries 4–6). The high catalyst efficiency of the alkoxide or hydroxide complex can be attributed to the more facile formation of a tris(boryl)Ir^{III} intermediate,^{6,7} which is reactive toward borylation of vinylic C–H bonds. 2,2'-Bipyridine and derivatives of this structure were particularly effective as ligands for Ir (Entry 7). Catalysts bearing dtbpy, bpy, 4,4'-di-Me-bpy, and 5,5'-di-Me-bpy displayed similar reactivity (Entries 1, 8, 10, and 11), but there was no reaction in the case of catalysts bearing 3,3'-di-Me-bpy and 6,6'-di-Me-bpy (Entries 9 and 12). These results indicated the importance of a parallel arrangement of two pyridine rings and a relatively unhindered coordination sphere at Ir.

Table 2. C–H borylation of cyclic vinyl ethers^a

Entry	Product	Yield/(%) ^b
1		64 (75:25)
2		61
3		65
4		81
5		75 (49:51)
6		71 (86:14)
7		73 (95:5)

^aAll reactions were carried out at 80 °C for 8 h by using pin₂B₂ (1.0 mmol), a cyclic vinyl ether (3.0 mmol), [Ir(OMe)(COD)]₂ (0.015 mmol), dtbpy (0.03 mmol), and octane (6 mL) in a sealed tube. ^bGC yields based on boron atom in pin₂B₂.

Reactions catalyzed by complexes containing electron-rich derivatives of bpy generated more active catalysts than did reactions catalyzed by complexes containing electron-poor derivatives (Entries 1, 10, and 13–15). The results described above are comparable to those observed in aromatic C–H borylation.⁶

Representative results of vinylic C–H borylation of dihydropyran, dihydrofuran, and their derivatives by pin₂B₂ catalyzed by the combination of 1/2[Ir(OMe)(COD)]₂ and dtbpy are summarized in Table 2. Since these substrates were less reactive than 1,4-dioxene, the reactions were carried out by using 3.0 equiv of the substrates at 80 °C in octane in a sealed tube. At that time, we assumed that the borylation of these substrates selectively proceeds at the α-position, because an electronegative oxygen atom would activate the α-C–H bond. However, dihydropyran and dihydrofuran themselves produced regioisomeric mixtures of α- and β-borylated products in ratios of 75:25 and 49:51, respectively (Entries 1 and 5). Our previous studies on aromatic C–H borylation demonstrated that the reaction does not occur at sterically hindered C–H bonds ortho to a substituent.⁶ Thus, we examined substrates possessing substituents at the γ-position to increase the α-selectivity of the borylation. Actually, introduction of substituents at the γ-position sufficiently improved the α-selectivity. The reactions of γ-substituted and γ,γ-disubstituted dihydropyran derivatives yielded α-borylated products as single isomers (Entries 2–4), while those of the corresponding dihydrofuran derivatives still yielded small amounts of β-borylated products (Entries 6 and 7). It is notable that substrates having both vinylic and aromatic C–H bonds selectively underwent borylation at the vinylic C–H bond to produce only vinylboron compounds (Entry 4).

This work was supported by a Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research on Priority Areas "Advanced Molecular Transformations of Carbon Resources" from the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology, Japan.

References and Notes

- M. Vaultier, B. Carboni, in *Comprehensive Organometallic Chemistry II*, ed. by E. W. Abel, F. G. A. Stone, G. Wilkinson, Pergamon Press, Oxford, **1995**, Vol. 11, p. 191.
- K. Smith, A. Pelter, in *Comprehensive Organic Synthesis*, ed. by B. M. Trost, Pergamon Press, Oxford, **1991**, Vol. 8, p. 703.
- N. Miyaura, K. Maruoka, in *Synthesis of Organometallic Compounds*, ed. by S. Komiyama, Wiley, Chichester, **1997**, p. 345.
- N. Miyaura, in *Catalytic Heterofunctionalization*, ed. by A. Togni, H. Grützmaier, Wiley-VCH, Chichester, **2001**, Chap. 1.
- a) J. M. Brown, G. C. Lloyd-Jones, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1994**, *116*, 866. b) C. M. Vogels, P. G. Hayes, M. P. Shaver, S. A. Westcott, *Chem. Commun.* **2000**, 51. c) M. Murata, K. Kawakita, T. Asana, S. Watanabe, Y. Masuda, *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.* **2002**, *75*, 825. d) R. B. Coapes, F. E. S. Souza, R. L. Thomas, J. J. Hall, T. B. Marder, *Chem. Commun.* **2003**, 614.
- a) H. Chen, S. Schlecht, T. C. Semple, J. F. Hartwig, *Science* **2000**, *287*, 1995. b) S. Shimada, A. S. Batsanov, J. A. K. Howard, T. B. Marder, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2001**, *40*, 2168. c) J.-Y. Cho, M. K. Tse, D. Holmes, R. E. Maleczka, Jr., M. R. Smith, III., *Science* **2002**, *295*, 305; (d) T. Ishiyama, J. Takagi, K. Ishida, N. Miyaura, N. R. Anastasi, J. F. Hartwig, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2002**, *124*, 390.
- P. Nguyen, H. P. Blom, S. A. Westcott, N. J. Taylor, T. B. Marder, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1993**, *115*, 9329.