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Abstract

Ca2+/calmodulin (CaM)-dependent protein kinase II (CaMKII) is a key molecule in many systems of learning and memory in vertebrates, but roles of CaMKII in invertebrates have not been characterized in detail. We have suggested that serial activation of NO/cGMP signaling, cyclic nucleotide-gated channel, Ca2+/CaM and cAMP signaling participates in long-term memory (LTM) formation in olfactory conditioning in crickets, and here we show participation of CaMKII in LTM formation and propose its site of action in the biochemical cascades. Crickets subjected to 3-trial conditioning to associate an odor with reward exhibited memory that lasts for a few days, which is characterized as protein synthesis-dependent LTM. In contrast, animals subjected to 1-trial conditioning exhibited memory that lasts for only several hours (mid-term memory, MTM). Injection of a CaMKII inhibitor prior to 3-trial conditioning impaired 1-day memory retention but not 1-hour memory retention, suggesting that CaMKII participates in LTM formation but not in MTM formation. Animals injected with a cGMP analogue, calcium ionophore or cAMP analogue prior to 1-trial conditioning exhibited 1-day retention, and co-injection of a CaMKII inhibitor impaired induction of LTM by the cGMP analogue or that by the calcium ionophore but not that by the cAMP analogue, suggesting that CaMKII is downstream of cGMP production and Ca2+ influx and upstream of cAMP production in biochemical cascades for LTM formation. Animals injected with an adenylyl cyclase (AC) activator prior to 1-trial conditioning exhibited 1-day retention. Interestingly, a CaMKII inhibitor impaired LTM induction by the AC activator, although AC is expected to be a downstream target of CaMKII. The results suggest that CaMKII interacts with AC to facilitate cAMP production for LTM formation. We propose that CaMKII serves as a key molecule for interplay between Ca2+ signaling and cAMP signaling for LTM formation, a new role of CaMKII in learning and memory.

Introduction

Ca2+/calmodulin (CaM)-dependent protein kinase II (CaMKII) belongs to the family of serine/threonine-specific protein kinases and is regulated by the Ca2+/CaM complex [1,2]. It is an important mediator of many biological processes, including learning and memory [1]. A notable feature of this kinase is that it can act as a protein switch; once activated by Ca2+/CaM, the enzyme can be autophosphorylated at T286, which is an event necessary for formation of LTM [3]. Pharmacological blockade of CaMKII or knockout of the CaMKII gene results in deficits in LTP of synaptic activity in vertebrates, but roles of CaMKII in invertebrates have not been characterized in detail. We have suggested that serial activation of NO/cGMP signaling, cyclic nucleotide-gated channel, Ca2+/CaM and cAMP signaling participates in long-term memory (LTM) formation in olfactory conditioning in crickets, and here we show participation of CaMKII in LTM formation and propose its site of action in the biochemical cascades. Crickets subjected to 3-trial conditioning to associate an odor with reward exhibited memory that lasts for a few days, which is characterized as protein synthesis-dependent LTM. These results indicate that prolonged activation of CaMKII is necessary for neural plasticity underlying some forms of learning.

Invertebrates such as insects and mollusks have been used as model animals to study molecular and cellular mechanisms of learning and memory [7–11], but knowledge of the roles of CaMKII in invertebrate learning and memory is still limited. In mollusks, CaMKII participates in short-term synaptic potentiation [12], intermediate-term sensitization [13] and consolidation of long-term memory (LTM) [14], but its molecular mechanisms are not well understood. In the courtship conditioning in the fruit-fly Drosophila, in which a male fly exposed to a previously mated female exhibits suppression of courtship to a virgin female, inhibition of CaMKII in the central complex and parts of the lateral protocerebrum impairs memory formation [15,16]. In olfactory conditioning in fruit-flies, it has been reported that synthesis of synaptic proteins including CaMKII in Kenyon cells (intrinsic neurons) of the mushroom body, a multisensory association center participating in olfactory learning [8,17], is necessary for formation of LTM [18–20]. In learning of cockroaches to associate an odor with a visual cue, phosphorylated forms of CaMKII increases in pre- and postsynaptic structures in...
the calyx of the mushroom body after learning [21]. In olfactory conditioning in honey bees, we recently reported that pharmacological blockade of CaMKII impairs formation of protein synthesis-dependent LTM [22]. Despite of the importance in LTM formation as described above, the location of CaMKII in biochemical cascades underlying LTM formation remains unexplored.

In insects, cAMP signaling plays critical roles in the formation of protein synthesis-dependent olfactory long-term memory (LTM) [23]. Activation of adenyl cyclase (AC) leads to production of cAMP and subsequent activation of protein kinase A (PKA), which phosphorylates the transcription factor cAMP responsive element-binding protein (CREB). The CREB leads to transcription and translation of synaptic proteins necessary to elevate efficacy of synaptic transmission that underlies LTM [23]. The NO/cGMP system also plays critical roles in LTM formation in olfactory learning in crickets [24,25], honey bees [26,27] and cockroaches [28] and in visual learning in crickets [29]. In crickets, results of our pharmacological studies suggested that cAMP signaling is a downstream target of NO/cGMP signaling, cyclic nucleotide-gated (CNG) channel and Ca$^{2+}$/CaM signaling [24,25], which provides a solid basis for further studies on signaling cascades underlying LTM formation.

In this study, we investigated the roles of CaMKII in LTM formation in crickets and examined the relationship of CaMKII with other signaling pathways.

**Materials and Methods**

**Insects**

Adult male crickets, *Gryllus bimaculatus*, at 1–2 weeks after the imaginal molt were used. They were reared in a 12 h:12 h light:dark cycle (photophase: 8:00–20:00) at 27±2°C and were fed a diet of insect pellets and water *ad libitum*. Four days before the start of the experiment, a group of 20–30 animals was placed in a container and fed a diet of insect pellets *ad libitum* but deprived of drinking water to enhance their motivation to search for water. On the day of the experiment, they were individually placed in 100-ml glass beakers.

**Conditioning**

We used classical conditioning and operant testing procedures described previously [30,31]. Banana or apple odor was used as conditioned stimulus (CS), and water was used as unconditioned stimulus (US). A syringe containing water was used for conditioning. A filter paper soaked with banana or apple essence was attached to the needle of the syringe. The filter paper was placed above the cricket’s head so as to present an odor, and then water reward was presented to the mouth. After the conditioning trials, the air in the beaker was ventilated. The crickets were subjected to one or three pairing trials. For the latter, the inter-trial interval (ITI) was 5 min.

**Preference test**

The procedure for the odor preference test was described previously [30,31]. In short, all groups of animals were subjected to odor preference tests before and after conditioning. The floor of the test chamber of the test apparatus has two holes that connected the chamber with two odor sources. Each odor source consisted of a plastic container containing a filter paper soaked with 3 μl solution of banana or apple essence, covered with fine gauze net. Three containers were mounted on a rotative holder and two of three odor sources could be located simultaneously beneath the

---

**Figure 1. Effects of CaMKII inhibitors on 1-day retention.** Two control groups were each injected with 3 μl of saline or saline containing 1% DMSO (designated as saline (D)) 20 min prior to 3-trial conditioning. Another four groups were each injected with 3 μl of saline containing 2 mM KN-62, 2 mM KN-93, 500 μM KN-62 or 500 μM KN-93 (dissolved in 1% DMSO) 20 min prior to 3-trial conditioning. Relative preference between the rewarded odor and control odor was tested before and at 1 day after training. Preferences indexes (PIs) for the rewarded odor before (white bars) and after (grey bars) training are shown as box and whisker diagrams. The line in the box is the median and the box represents the 25–75 percentiles. Whiskers extend to extreme values as long as they are within a range of 1.5× box length from the upper or lower quartiles. Any data not included between the whiskers are plotted as outliers with dots. Odor preferences before and after training are compared by WCX test. Odor preferences after training of different groups were compared by the M-W test. The results of statistical comparisons are shown by asterisks (**P<0.001, **P<0.01, * P<0.05, NS P>0.05, adjusted by Holm’s method). The number of animals tested is shown at each data point in this figure and in subsequent figures.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0107442.g001
holes of the test chamber. Before the odor preference test, a cricket was transferred to the waiting chamber and left for about 4 min to become accustomed to the surroundings. Then the cricket was allowed to enter the test chamber and the test started. Two min later, the relative positions of the banana and apple sources were exchanged by rotating the container holder. The preference test lasted for 4 min. If the total time of visits of an animal to either source was less than 10 sec, we considered that the animal was less motivated to visit odor sources, possibly due to a poor physical condition, and the data were rejected. No significantly different levels of conditioning effects were observed between groups in which banana odor or apple odor was used as CS, and thus we pooled the data from the two groups.

Pharmacology

Each animal was injected with 3 µl of cricket saline containing drugs into the hemolymph through a hole made on the head capsule using a microsyringe. 8-Bromoguanosine 3’,5’-cyclic monophosphate (8-br-cGMP), 8-bromoadenosine 3’,5’-cyclic monophosphate (8-br-cAMP), dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO), ionomycin, forskolin, 1,9-dideoxyforskolin, KN-62 and KN-93 were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (Tokyo, Japan). Ionomycin, forskolin, 1,9-dideoxyforskolin, KN-62 and KN-93 were dissolved in saline containing 1% DMSO, and other drugs were dissolved in cricket saline.

Data analysis

We considered a cricket visited an odor source when the cricket probed the gauze net covering the odor source with its mouth or pulpi. The time spent visiting each odor source was measured cumulatively. Relative preference for the rewarded odor was determined using the preference index (PI), defined as \( \frac{t_r}{t_r + t_{nr}} \times 100 \) (%), where \( t_r \) was the time spent exploring the odor paired with reward and \( t_{nr} \) was the time spent exploring the odor not used in training. Because many of our data violated the assumption of normal distribution, we used non-parametric tests. We compared odor preferences after training with those before training in each animal group by the Wilcoxon signed-rank test (WCX test). We also compared preferences after training between different groups by the Mann-Whitney U test (M-W test). For multiple comparisons, Holm’s method was used for adjusting the P value. We found no significant different odor preferences among the different groups of animals before training (Kruskal-Wallis test, \( p > 0.05 \)).

Results

Effect of CaMKII inhibitor on LTM formation

We have shown that crickets subjected to 2 or 3 conditioning trials to associate an odor with water reward (with an inter-trial interval of 5 min) exhibit memory that lasts for at least one day, which is characterized as protein synthesis-dependent LTM [30,32]. In contrast, crickets subjected to 1-trial conditioning exhibit memory that lasts for several hours, which does not require protein synthesis and is characterized as mid-term memory (MTM).

Table 1. Drug doses used in the present study.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Drug</th>
<th>Concentration (mM)</th>
<th>Dose (mg/Kg)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>KN-62</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>5.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KN-93</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>4.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8br-cGMP</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8br-cAMP</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ionomycin</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>forskolin</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,9-dideoxyforskolin</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.27</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Injected volume: 3 µl; averaged body weight: 850 mg.

Figure 2. No effects of CaMKII inhibitors on 1-hour retention. Three groups of animals were each injected with 3 µl of saline containing 1% DMSO (designated as saline (D)) or saline containing 2 mM KN-62 or 2 mM KN-93 (dissolved in 1% DMSO) 20 min prior to 3-trial conditioning. Relative preference between the rewarded odor and control odor was tested before and at 1 hour after training. PIs for the rewarded odor before (white bars) and after (grey bars) training are shown as box and whisker diagrams. Odor preferences before and after training are compared by WCX test. Odor preferences after training of different groups were compared by the M-W test. The results of statistical comparisons are shown by asterisks (** P < 0.001, NS P > 0.05, adjusted by Holm’s method).
We first studied the effects of KN-62 and KN-93, which specifically inhibit insect CaMKII [33,34], on LTM formation. KN-62 and KN-93 bind to the CaM binding site of the regulatory subunit of CaMKII and prevent its activation by CaM. Four groups of animals were each injected with 3 µl of cricket saline containing 500 mM KN-62, 2 mM KN-62, 500 mM KN-93 or 2 mM KN-93 into the hemolymph of the head at 20 min prior to 3-trial conditioning. The drugs were dissolved in 1% DMSO, and another two control groups were each injected with 3 µl of saline or saline containing 1% DMSO (saline (D)) at 20 min prior to 3-trial conditioning. The relative preference of animals between the conditioned odor and control odor was tested before and 1 day after conditioning. The groups injected with saline or saline (D) exhibited significantly increased preference for the conditioned odor at 1 day after conditioning compared to that before conditioning (Fig. 1; saline group: W = 44, p < 0.000001, saline (D) group: W = 231, p < 0.000001, WCX test, adjusted by Holm’s method, sample numbers shown in legends). On the other hand, the group injected with 2 mM KN-62 or 2 mM KN-93 exhibited no significant level of memory retention at 1 day after conditioning (KN-62: W = 538, p = 0.17, KN-93: W = 229, p = 0.52, WCX test). Between-group comparison also showed that the level of 1-day retention of KN-62 group or KN-93 group was significantly less than that of the saline (D) group (KN-62: U = 2468, p = 0.000040; KN-93: U = 1527, p = 0.00038, M-W test, adjusted by Holm’s method). The effect of the CaMKII inhibitors was dose-dependent: The group injected with 500 µM KN-62 or 500 µM KN-93 exhibited a significant level of 1-day retention (KN-62: W = 160, p = 0.00047; KN-93: W = 86, p = 0.012, WCX test). The results indicate that CaMKII participates in formation of 1-day memory. The relationship between drug concentration and dose (mg/Kg) is shown in Table 1.

We next tested whether KN-62 or KN-93 impairs formation of 1-hour memory (MTM). The group injected with 3 µl of saline containing 2 mM KN-62 or 2 mM KN-93 at 20 min prior to 3-trial conditioning exhibited a significant level of 1-hour memory retention (Fig. 2; KN-62: W = 8, p = 0.0000037, KN-93: W = 48, p = 0.000011, WCX test, adjusted by Holm’s method), as did the control group injected with saline (D) (W = 24, p = 0.000027, WCX test, adjusted by Holm’s method). Between-group comparison showed that the level of 1-hour retention of KN-62 group or KN-93 group did not significantly differ from that of the control group (KN-62: U = 278, p = 0.51; KN-93: U = 461, p = 0.41, M-W test, adjusted by Holm’s method). The results indicate that CaMKII is not required for formation of 1-hour memory. Animals injected with KN-62 or KN-93, or any other drugs used in this study, exhibited normal responses to water US in conditioning trials: They drank water eagerly when water was presented to the mouth, as did intact or saline-injected animals. Drug-injected animals also exhibited normal locomotory activity and exploration of odor sources during testing. The results indicate that KN-62 or KN-93 did not impair 1) sensory and motor functions necessary for performing conditioned response, 2) initial acquisition of memory...
or 3) memory retention up to 1 hour after conditioning. We conclude that CaMKII specifically participates in LTM formation.

Effective time window of CaMKII inhibitor

Next, we investigated the effective time window of the injected CaMKII inhibitor. The group injected with 3 μl of saline containing 2 mM KN-62 at 20 min after conditioning exhibited a significant level of 1-day memory retention (Fig. 3; W = 17, p = 0.0054; WCX test, adjusted by Holm’s method), but that at 60 min before conditioning was marginal (W = 54, p = 0.12; WCX test, adjusted by Holm’s method). The control group injected with saline (D) at 60 min before conditioning or that 20 min after conditioning exhibited a significant level of memory retention (60 min before: W = 26, p = 0.010; 20 min after: W = 45.5, p = 0.0059, WCX test, adjusted by Holm’s method). The control group injected with saline (D) at 60 min before conditioning or that 20 min after conditioning exhibited a significant level of memory retention (60 min before: W = 26, p = 0.010; 20 min after: W = 45.5, p = 0.0059, WCX test, adjusted by Holm’s method). Between-group comparison showed that the level of one-day retention of the group injected at 60 min prior to conditioning or that injected at 20 min after conditioning did not significantly differ from that of saline-injected group (60 min before: U = 264, p = 0.16; 20 min after: U = 214.5, p = 0.56, M-W test, adjusted by Holm’s method). In short, injection of KN-62 at 20 min prior to conditioning, but not at 20 min after conditioning, impaired LTM formation and that at 60 min prior to conditioning had a marginal effect. The results suggest that normal activities of CaMKII are needed at the time of conditioning.

Relationship between CaMKII and cGMP signaling or cAMP signaling for LTM formation

We have shown that crickets injected with a membrane-permeable cAMP analog or cGMP analog prior to 1-trial conditioning exhibited 1-day retention when peppermint odor is used as CS [24]. We first confirmed this finding by using apple odor or banana odor as CS. The group injected with saline at 20 min prior to 1-trial conditioning exhibited no significant level of 1-day retention (Fig. 4; W = 380, p = 0.69, WCX test). In contrast, the group injected with 3 μl of saline containing 8br-cGMP (500 μM) or 8br-cAMP (200 μM) at 20 min prior to 1-trial conditioning exhibited a significant level of 1-day retention (8br-cGMP: W = 81, p = 0.016; 8br-cAMP: W = 283, p = 0.027, WCX test, adjusted by Holm’s method). Between-group comparison also showed that the preference for the conditioned odor of the 8br-cGMP group or the 8br-cAMP group was significantly greater than that of the saline group (8br-cGMP: U = 294, p = 0.0051; 8br-cAMP: U = 469.5, p = 0.00072, M-W test, adjusted by Holm’s method). The effect was dose-dependent: the group injected with 3 μl of saline containing 200 μM 8br-cGMP or 50 μM 8br-cAMP at 20 min prior to 1-trial conditioning exhibited no significant level of 1-day retention (data not shown).

Next, we examined the relationship between CaMKII and other biochemical processes involved in LTM formation. We have evidence that in crickets LTM is formed by serial activation of NO/cGMP signaling, CNG channel, Ca2+/CaM, and cAMP signaling [24,25]. In order to evaluate whether CaMKII is upstream or downstream of cGMP signaling or cAMP signaling, we studied the effect of co-injection of 8br-cGMP and KN-62 or that of 8br-cAMP and KN-62 at 20 min prior to 1-trial conditioning. The group co-injected with 8br-cGMP and KN-62 exhibited no significant level of 1-day retention (W = 608, p = 0.61, WCX test). In contrast, the group co-injected with 8br-cAMP and KN-62 exhibited a significant level of 1-day retention (W = 336, p = 0.0060, WCX test). The findings that KN-62 impaired LTM
induction by a cGMP analogue but not that by a cAMP analogue suggests that CaMKII is downstream of cGMP production and upstream of cAMP production in signaling cascades for LTM formation.

**Activation of CaMKII by Ca^{2+} for LTM formation**

We have suggested that Ca^{2+} entry and the resulting activation of CaM are upstream of AC activation for LTM formation [24]. We next studied whether CaMKII is stimulated by Ca^{2+} entry for LTM formation. The control group injected with saline at 20 min prior to 1-trial conditioning exhibited no significant level of 1-day memory retention (Fig. 5; W = 218, p = 0.29, WCX test). The group injected with 3 μl of saline containing 200 μM ionomycin, a Ca^{2+} ionophore, at 20 min prior to 1-trial conditioning exhibited a significant level of 1-day retention (W = 93, p = 0.0051, WCX test, adjusted by Holm’s method). The level of 1-day retention of the ionomycin group was significantly greater than that of saline group (U = 253.3, p = 0.034, M-W test, adjusted by Holm’s method). The effect of ionomycin was dose-dependent: there was no significant level of 1-day retention in the group injected with 3 μl of saline containing 20 μM ionomycin (W = 117, p = 0.14, WCX test). In another group, in which ionomycin and KN-62 were co-injected at 20 min prior to 1-trial conditioning, no significant level of 1-day retention was observed (W = 194, p = 0.19, WCX test). These results indicate that an increase of Ca^{2+} concentration stimulates CaMKII for LTM formation.

**Relationship between CaMKII and AC for LTM formation**

Finally, we studied the relationship between CaMKII and AC for LTM formation. We have shown that animals injected with forskolin, an activator of AC, prior to 1-trial conditioning exhibit 1-day retention when peppermint odor is used as CS [24]. We first confirmed this finding with apple odor or banana odor as CS. The group injected with 3 μl of saline containing 200 μM forskolin exhibited a significant level of 1-day retention (Fig. 6; W = 61, p = 0.000070, WCX test, adjusted by Holm’s method). A control group injected with 3 μl of saline containing 200 μM 1,9-dideoxyforskolin, an analogue of forskolin that does not activate AC [35], exhibited no significant level of 1-day retention (W = 54, p = 0.50, WCX test). Between-group comparison also showed that the level of 1-day retention of forskolin group was significantly greater than that of 1,9-dideoxyforskolin group (U = 479, p = 0.00032, M-W test, adjusted by Holm’s method). The effect of forskolin was dose-dependent: the group injected with 3 μl of saline containing 20 μM forskolin exhibited no significant level of 1-day retention (W = 224, p = 0.65, WCX test). Another two groups were each co-injected with 200 μM forskolin and KN-62 or 200 μM forskolin and KN-93 at 20 min prior to 1-trial conditioning. Both groups exhibited no significant level of 1-day retention (forskolin+KN-62: W = 439.5, p = 0.91; forskolin+KN-93: W = 383, p = 0.74 WCX test). The finding that blockade of CaMKII by KN-62 or KN-93 prevents induction of LTM by forskolin is surprising, because blockade of CaMKII by KN-62 does not prevent induction of LTM by cAMP analogue (Fig. 4). This finding is best explained if CaMKII interacts with AC by forming a multimolecular complex (see Discussion).

**Discussion**

The roles of CaMKII in learning and memory have been extensively studied in rodents [1]. Once activated by Ca^{2+}/CaM, CaMKII can maintain an active state by auto-phosphorylation after removal of Ca^{2+} and thus can act as a switch for memory retention in some systems of learning [2]. Knowledge of the roles of CaMKII in learning and memory in invertebrates, however, is limited. In this study, we showed that, in olfactory learning in crickets, injection of the CaMKII inhibitor KN-62 or KN-93 prior to conditioning impairs formation of 1-day memory (LTM), but it does not impair learning acquisition and formation of 1-hour memory (MTM), thus we suggest that CaMKII specifically participates in LTM formation in crickets. We should be cautious about possible side effects of CaMKII inhibitors: KN-62 might inhibit P2×7 receptor [36] and KN-93 might inhibit L-type calcium channel [37]. However, the common effect of KN-62 and KN-93 and their non-overlapping side effects strongly argue for their effect on CaMKII, KN-62 or KN-93 inhibits Ca^{2+}/CaM-dependent CaMKII but not Ca^{2+}/CaM-insensitive, constitutively activated CaMKII [35], and thus the CaMKII involved in LTM formation should be a Ca^{2+}/CaM-dependent type. We observed no impairment of LTM formation when KN62 was injected 20 min after conditioning, indicating that CaMKII activation is required at the time of conditioning. This observation, however, does not rule out the possibility that activation of CaMKII needs to be maintained for some time after conditioning for LTM formation, because KN-62 or KN-93 does not inhibit CaMKII when it is in an activated state [38].

The findings in crickets described above are in accordance with our recent findings in honey bees that KN-62 specifically impairs formation of protein synthesis-dependent LTM but not that of protein synthesis-independent MTM in olfactory conditioning [22]. Therefore, participation of CaMKII in the formation of...
protein synthesis-dependent LTM may be ubiquitous among different insect species. However, in the fruit-fly *Drosophila*, in which the molecular basis of learning and memory has been extensively studied [8], there has been no evidence suggesting participation of CaMKII in signaling cascades for activation of cAMP/PKA/CREB signaling system leading to protein synthesis-dependent LTM. More studies on the generality and specificity of the roles of CaMKII for LTM formation in different species of insects are needed.

For evaluation of the precise location of CaMKII in signaling cascades for LTM formation, we summarized some of the relevant findings in this study and in our previous studies [24,25] in Table 2. As shown in Table 2, we have reported that an AC inhibitor (DDA) impairs induction of LTM by a Ca²⁺ ionophore (A23187), whereas a CaM inhibitor (W-7) does not impair LTM induction by an AC activator (forskolin), indicating that Ca²⁺/CaM activation is upstream of AC activation for LTM formation. In this study, we showed that KN-62 impairs LTM induction by a Ca²⁺ ionophore (ionomycin) but not that by a CAMP analogue.

On the basis of these findings, we propose that Ca²⁺/CaM activation leads to CaMKII activation and this in turn activates CAMP signaling for LTM formation. In Fig. 7, this proposal is incorporated into the signaling cascades for LTM formation we proposed in our previous studies [24,25]. Our proposal of serial biochemical pathway is based on the assumption that inhibitors used in this study and in our previous studies affected the same neural networks, not in different networks acting in parallel for LTM formation. We assume this because we observed that all inhibitors used in this and in our previous studies [24,25] induced complete impairment of LTM formation, with no significant level of 1-day memory retention: we expect partial inhibition of LTM formation if one of pathways acting in parallel is inhibited by the inhibitors used in this study.

![Figure 6. Effects of co-injection of a CaMKII inhibitor and forskolin on LTM formation.](image)

Figure 6. Effects of co-injection of a CaMKII inhibitor and forskolin on LTM formation. Five groups of animals were each injected with 3 μl of saline containing 200 μM 1,9-dideoxyforskolin, 200 μM forskolin, 20 μM forskolin, 200 μM forskolin and 2 mM KN-62 or 200 μM forskolin and 2 mM KN-93 20 min prior to 1-trial conditioning. All of these drugs were dissolved in DMSO (1%). Relative preference between the rewarded odor and control odor was tested before and at 1 day after training. Pls for the rewarded odor before (white bars) and after (grey bars) training are shown as box and whisker diagrams. Odor preferences before and after training are compared by WCX test. Odor preferences after training of different groups were compared by the M-W test. The results of statistical comparisons are shown by asterisks (**P<0.001, NS P>0.05, adjusted by Holm’s method).

Table 2. Summary of the effects of inhibitors on 30- or 60-min memory and 24-hr memory.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Memory investigated</th>
<th>Inhibitor (its target)</th>
<th>W-7 (CAM)</th>
<th>KN-62 (CaMKII)</th>
<th>DDA (AC)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>30- or 60-min memory after multiple-trial conditioning</td>
<td>no effect</td>
<td>no effect</td>
<td>no effect</td>
<td>no effect</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24-hr memory after multiple-trial conditioning</td>
<td>fully impaired</td>
<td>fully impaired</td>
<td>fully impaired</td>
<td>fully impaired</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24-hr memory after calcium ionophore +1 trial conditioning</td>
<td>fully impaired</td>
<td>fully impaired</td>
<td>fully impaired</td>
<td>fully impaired</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24-hr memory after forskolin +1 trial conditioning</td>
<td>no effect</td>
<td>fully impaired</td>
<td>fully impaired</td>
<td>fully impaired</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24-hr memory after cAMP analogue +1 trial conditioning</td>
<td>no effect</td>
<td>no effect</td>
<td>no effect</td>
<td>no effect</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CAM: calmodulin, AC: adenyl cyclase, DDA: 2’5’-dideoxyadenosine.

Data for W7 and DDA experiments are from [24]; Data for KN-62 experiments are from this study.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0107442.g006
drugs. An alternative possibility is that CaM/CaMKII and AC/cAMP signaling systems work in parallel in different biochemical network and both play necessary roles for LTM formation. If this is the case, we expect that inhibition of either of the two signaling systems impairs LTM induction by activators of another system, but we observe that inhibition of CaMKII does not impair LTM induction by 8-bromo cAMP (Fig. 4). It should be cautioned, however, that there is a possibility that both of the two parallel systems need to be activated for LTM formation by 3-trial conditioning but not for LTM induction by activators, namely, synergistic effects of moderate activation of two parallel systems lead to LTM formation by 3-trial conditioning but excessive activation of AC/cAMP system leads to LTM formation without activation of CaM/CaMKII system in induction experiment. Future quantitative pharmacological analysis, as well as cellular and biochemical studies, are needed to better clarify the validity of our proposal.

Our observation that KN-62 or KN-93 impairs LTM induction by an AC activator (forskolin) is rather surprising, because KN-62 did not impair LTM induction by a cAMP analogue (see Table 2) and thus CaMKII should be upstream, not downstream, of the cAMP production in the pathway for LTM formation. These observations are best accounted for if CaMKII interacts with AC, probably by forming a macromolecular complex as it does with target molecules in mammals [1,2], so that binding of KN-62 or KN-93 to CaMKII prevents activation of AC by forskolin, although immunohistochemical and biochemical studies are needed to confirm this speculation. Alternatively, CaMKII might inhibit phosphodiesterase (PDE), which degrades cAMP, so that inhibition of CaMKII activates PDE and prevents an increase in cAMP concentration even when AC is activated by forskolin. This possibility, however, is unlikely because it does not match our previous observation that a CaM inhibitor (W7), which should prevent activation of CaMKII, does not impair LTM induction by an AC activator (forskolin) (see Table 2). We thus propose that CaMKII stimulates cAMP production by activating AC for LTM formation in crickets (Fig. 4), a function previously not ascribed to CaMKII in any animals.

A notable feature of CaMKII is that it can maintain prolonged activation after it is activated by Ca²⁺/CaM. In honey bees, prolonged activation of PKA in the antennal lobe (primary olfactory center) for up to 90 sec is needed for LTM formation in olfactory conditioning [27]. Whether CaMKII acts as a molecular switch to maintain long-term activation of downstream cAMP signaling for LTM induction is a fascinating future subject.

We suggest that AC is a target molecule of CaMKII for LTM formation in crickets. Several biochemical types of AC isoforms have been identified in mice [39], fruit-flies [40] and honey bees [41]. So far, an AC type activated by CaMKII has not yet been reported in any animals, although a type inhibited by CaMKII has been reported in mice [42]. In crickets, AC plays critical roles in LTM formation but not in formation of short-term memory (STM) or MTM [24] as in honey bees [22]. In contrast, in the fruit-fly Drosophila, a type of AC (rutabaga AC) that is activated by Ca²⁺/CaM and G-protein plays critical roles in STM formation [8]. Characterization of biochemical type of AC that participates in LTM formation in crickets is awaited.

We suggest that CaMKII activates cAMP signaling for LTM formation in crickets, but it is evident that CaMKII plays diverse roles in insect learning. In courtship conditioning in fruit-flies, constitutively activated CaMKII in cholinergic neurons of the antennal lobe plays roles in behavioral change during training [16,43], and CaMKII in neurons of the lateral protocerebrum or the central complex plays roles in acquisition of memory [15]. In olfactory learning in fruit-flies, it has been reported that CREB-
dependent transcription and translation of CaMKII proteins in Kenyon cells of the mushroom body [19,20] or dorsal-anterior-lateral [DAL] neurons [44] are necessary for LTM formation. In cockroaches, the density of the activated (phosphorylated) form of CaMKII is increased by conditioning of odor with a visual target, where Kenyon cells receive synaptic inputs [21]. It is most likely that CaMKII is a critical molecule in many systems of learning in insects, as it is in mammals [1,2].

One of the important subjects that we should address in the future is to clarify brain areas and types of neurons in which activation of CaMKII leads to LTM formation. In fruit-flies, the participation of Kenyon cells of the mushroom body in LTM formation is well established [8], and this most likely applies to cockroaches, the density of the activated (phosphorylated) form of Kenyon cells of the mushroom body [19,20] or dorsal-anterior-dependent transcription and translation of CaMKII proteins in formation is well established [8], and this most likely applies to participation of Kenyon cells of the mushroom body in LTM formation.
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