Metal-coated semiconductor nanostructures and simulation of photon extraction and coupling to optical fibers for a solid-state single-photon source
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Abstract. We realized metal-coated semiconductor nanostructures for a stable and efficient single-photon source (SPS) and demonstrated improved single-photon extraction efficiencies by the selection of metals and nanostructures. We demonstrate that inclination of a pillar sidewall, which changes the structure to a nanocone, is effective to improve the photon extraction efficiency with finite-difference time domain (FDTD) simulations. We demonstrate how such nanocone structures with inclined sidewalls are fabricated with reactive ion etching. With the optimized design, the photon extraction efficiency to outer airside as high as ~97% generated from a quantum dot in a nanocone structure is simulated, which is the important step to realize SPS on-demand operations. We also examined the direct contact of such a metal-embedded nanocone structure to a single-mode fiber facet as a simple and practical method to prepare fiber-coupled SPS and demonstrated practical coupling efficiencies of ~16% with the FDTD simulation.

1. Introduction

Secure and safe communication is one of the key technologies to support our present society. Quantum information and communication is guaranteed of its safety with quantum mechanical principle and is expected to be the way to realize secure information networks in near future. Quantum key distribution (QKD) is the practical way to realize secure communication, and faint laser sources (FLS) attenuated to the single-photon level have been employed for QKD experiments. Laser sources are known to have the coherent nature and this benefits optical-fiber communications. However phase fluctuations and photon number fluctuations are related with each other under the uncertainty principle [1], and coherence generally leads to the enhancement of photon number fluctuations. Therefore photon numbers generated from FLS are under the Poisson statistics [2]. For example for an average photon number of one for each pulsed generation from FLS, the ratio of the two-photon generation rate to the one-photon generation rate is as high as 50%. When the average photon number per pulse is reduced to 0.1 or 0.01, the ratio is reduced to 5% or 0.5%, respectively, and this is the reason why most of the QKD experiments have employed the reduced average photon numbers of 0.1 or less per pulse with FLSs. However, this reduces the key generation rate and also the key transmission distance [3].

Single-photon sources (SPS) have been actively studied to replace FLS mainly with semiconductor quantum dots (QDs). The drawback of semiconductors is that they generally have large refractive indices on the order of 3.5 and photons generated from QDs experience total internal reflection (TIR) at air/semiconductor interfaces. With the refractive index of 3.5, the critical angle of TIR is \( \theta_{CR}=16.6^\circ \) and the photon extraction efficiency (PEE) to airside given by \( (1-\cos\theta_{CR})/2 \) is 0.021. Therefore even if the internal quantum efficiency to emit photons from QDs is high, the photon collection efficiency (PCE) to the measurement optics remains low. To realize real SPS, the PEE to external airside and the PCE to couple photons to a guided mode in a single-mode fiber (SMF) should be as high as possible. Toward this direction, there have been active trials [4-18]. The most standard way of these trials is the microcavities based on distributed Bragg reflectors (DBRs). Pelton et al. prepared a pillar microcavity based on a pair of AlAs/GaAs DBRs and observed the PCE of 22% captured by the first lens after the photon emission from a
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QD [4]. Strauf et al. demonstrated a high photon emission rate of 4 MHz with an AlGaAs/GaAs DBR-based microcavity with lateral optical confinement and the high PCE of 38% was observed [6]. Gazzano et al. recently investigated essentially the same structure as the one of Ref. [4] and reported the high PCE of 79% [18]. It is also noted that other structures such as a horn structure [5], photonic nanowire structures [7,8,16], a trumpet structure [9], coupling to a nanoantenna [10], coupling to photonic crystal waveguides [11,14], coupling to a nanophotonic directional coupler [12], coupling to a planar dielectric antenna [13], coupling to confined Tamm Plasmon modes [15], and coupling to a reversed pyramidal structure [17] have been proposed for improving the PCE.

Although the present relevant researches are mostly focused to GaAs-based SPSs emitting in the wavelength range of 880–960 nm [4,6,9,11,12,14-18], the extension to the longer-wavelength telecommunication band is important. There have been studies on SPS in telecommunication band with InAs QDs grown on GaAs substrates [19,20]. However from the viewpoint of materials, InAs QDs grown on InP substrates have the advantage due to the smaller lattice mismatch of 3.2% for InAs QDs on GaAs, where the excess strain is crucial to satisfy both conditions of high optical quality and the extension to the telecommunication band. The problem with the InP-based materials system is that efficient DBR structures are missing due to the smaller refractive-index differences among the possible combinations of layer structures. Therefore the efficient DBR-based SPS described above is difficult to extend to the telecommunication band.

One of the general methods to cope with this problem is the employment of metals that show high reflectance at semiconductor/metal interfaces in wide optical-wavelength range. Metal-coated nanocavities have been actively studied mainly for laser applications and demonstrated to operate as nanolasers with low threshold [21-28]. However studies on metal-coated nanostructures from the viewpoint of SPS applications have hardly been investigated. Maksumov et al. analyzed a metal-coated nanocylinder cavity and simulated the high PEE of ~80% with the cylinder height less than 100 nm [29], but such an extremely shallow structure is difficult to prepare and no experimental reports have been made. We have proposed a metal-coated semiconductor nanostructure for SPS applications with improved PEE [30]. We experimentally verified single-photon emission from GaAs-based pillar structures embedded in metals [31, 32] and from GaAs-based nanocone structures [33]. In this paper, we discuss the future prospect of this kind of metal-coated nanostructures toward realizing practical on-demand SPS. The paper is arranged as follows: In section 2, we introduce our first trial to prepare the metal-coated pillar nanostructures with a cleavage method with the main emphasis on the fabrication details. From the viewpoint of the cleavage, hard metals are more convenient but they show inferior optical properties. We discuss the improvement of PEE by replacing the embedding metal to silver (Ag). In section 3, we demonstrate that the inclination of a pillar sidewall, which changes the structure to a nanocone, is really effective to improve the PEE with systematic finite-difference time-domain (FDTD) simulations. In section 4, we demonstrate how such nanocone structures with inclined sidewalls are realized by changing the reactive ion etching (RIE) conditions from section 2. We also demonstrate that the photon extraction efficiency to the outer airside can reach as high as ~97% of the photons generated from a quantum dot in a nanocone structure. In section 5, we discuss the previous trials to couple SPS to SMF and examine the direct contact of such a metal-embedded nanocone structure to a SMF facet as a simple and practical method to prepare fiber-coupled SPS and demonstrate practical coupling efficiencies of ~16% with the FDTD simulation. Finally we summarize and discuss the prospect of realizing practical on-demand SPS.

2. Preparation of metal-coated semiconductor nanopillars

We fabricated metal-coated GaAs-based nanopillars employing cleavage to remove GaAs substrates [30, 31]. InAs QDs were employed as the photon emitter and were grown with metal-organic (MO) molecular-beam epitaxy with MO sources of triethylgallium (TEGa), triethylindium (TEIn), and trisdimethylaminoarsenic (TDMAAs) for supplying Ga, In and As, respectively, to the growing semiconductor surface. An epi-ready (001) semi-insulating GaAs substrate is thermally cleaned at 560°C with simultaneous TDMAAs injection of 1.0x10^-4 Pa beam equivalent pressure [34]. After growth of a 100-nm-thick GaAs buffer layer at 510°C, the substrate temperature is reduced to 480°C for the growth of InAs QDs. Formation of InAs QDs is identified by in-situ change of reflection high-energy electron diffraction (RHEED) patterns from streaky to spotty ones. After the RHEED pattern change, the InAs growth is stopped and the temperature is reduced to 450°C for the growth of a 50-nm-thick GaAs capping layer. Then the substrate temperature was reduced to room temperature. The average height, diameter, and density of InAs QDs are 6.2 nm, 32 nm, and 4x10^7 cm^-2, respectively. The grown QD sample is etched into a pillar structure with the following process. Firstly, about 300-nm-thick silicon dioxide (SiO2) layer is deposited on the top GaAs layer surface with plasma-enhanced chemical-vapor deposition (PECVD) to increase adhesion of negative-type electron beam (EB) resist, hydrogen silsequioxane (HSQ) (Dow Corning Toray Co., Ltd.). After prebaking at 150°C, HSQ resist mask is prepared with EB lithography. The resist mask pattern is transferred to the SiO2 layer with RIE employing CHF3 gas and then transferred to GaAs pillars with inductively coupled plasma (ICP)-RIE by using Cl2 and argon (Ar) mixture gas. The typical etching condition is as follows: process pressure of 0.04 Pa, Cl2 and Ar flow rates of 0.1 and 0.1 standard cc per minute (sccm), respectively, RF ICP and bias powers of 60 W and 100 W, and the
Figure 1. Schematic of the fabrication process. (a) GaAs nanopillars with InAs QDs inside are fabricated with ICP-RIE and 20-nm-thick SiO$_2$ and then a 20-nm-thick Au layers are evaporated. (b) Pillars are embedded with Ti/Nb metal layers. (c) The sample is turned upside down and is bonded to a supporting Si plate with In (~5 µm thick). (d) GaAs substrate is separated at the SiO$_2$/Au interface and is removed from the pillars with cleavage at the bottom of the pillars.

substrate temperature of 50°C. This results in the etching rate of ~23 nm/min. The SiO$_2$ layer remaining on top of GaAs pillars is etched off with buffered HF.

The completed pillars are typically 250–500 nm high and the diameter ranged from 100 nm to 1 µm. They are sequentially covered with a 20-nm-thick SiO$_2$ layer and then a 20-nm-thick gold (Au) layer with vacuum evaporation as shown in figure 1(a). This combination originates from general experience that adhesion of the SiO$_2$ and Au surfaces is generally weak and is expected to assist the separation of the GaAs substrate and the cleavage of nanopillars from the GaAs substrate. This is followed by the evaporation of metal layers on the surface as shown in figure 1(b). From the viewpoint of higher optical reflectivity at the metal-semiconductor interface, Au or Ag is known to exhibit high reflectivity in the ~1-µm emission band of InAs QDs. However in this fabrication process, mechanical hardness of the metal layers is required for the cleavage. Titanium (Ti) and niobium (Nb) have the high Vickers hardness of 970 and 1320 MPa, respectively, while Au and Ag have the much lower hardness of 216 and 251 MPa [35]. Metal layers of ~150-nm-thick Ti/~100-nm-thick Nb/~150-nm-thick Ti are evaporated as shown in figure 1(b). The sample is then turned upside down and is bonded with a supporting plate (Si substrate) employing ~5-µm-thick Indium (In) adhesion layer (figure 1(c)). Finally, the GaAs substrate is removed from the pillars by sliding the substrate parallel to the supporting plate. The GaAs pillars are cleaved near their bottom by the lateral force during this process. The lower adhesion of the SiO$_2$ and Au surfaces as well as the mechanical hardness of the Ti/Nb/Ti layers contributes to this cleavage process.

Figure 2. (a) SEM observation of fabricated GaAs-based nanopillar array. (b) SEM observation of cleaved GaAs-based nanopillar arrays embedded in Ti/Nb/Ti metal layers.
Figure 3. Luminescence spectrum measured from a single InAs QD in the GaAs pillar embedded in Ti/Nb/Ti (inset). $X^-$, $X^0$ and $X^{00}$ are negatively charged exciton, neutral excitation, and neutral biexciton, respectively. The excitation power dependence of the $X^-$ emission photon count rate is plotted.

Figure 2(a) is the scanning electron microscope (SEM) image of a two-dimensional (2-D) array of GaAs pillars prepared with ICP-RIE. The pillars in this example are arranged with the periodicity of 1.5 $\mu$m. Each pillar is ~500-nm high and is slightly tapered. The diameter is ~300 nm at the top and ~500 nm at the bottom. Figure 2(b) is the 2-D array of GaAs pillars embedded in the Ti/Nb/Ti metal layers. The diameter of each pillar surface is ~500 nm and corresponds to the diameter at the pillar bottom in figure 2(a). Although some pillars show surface features, the flat pillar surfaces demonstrate the successful cleavage near the pillar bottom to prepare the metal-embedded pillar structures.

Optical properties were studied on one of the nanopillars with the pillar height of 250 nm and the diameter of 500 nm. A sharp emission line was observed at the wavelength of 944.3 nm (1.31 eV) at 20 K and was assigned as the negatively charged exciton ($X^-$) and the other two peaks as neutral exciton ($X^0$) and neutral biexciton ($XX^0$) [31] as shown in the inset of figure 3. The InAs QDs were located at the plane 50 nm above the meta/semiconductor bottom interface. Photon autocorrelation measurements were performed with the Hanbury-Brown and Twiss (HBT) setup [36]. Incident photons are divided into two optical paths with a non-polarized beam splitter and are detected with a single-photon counting module (SPCM) in each path. The SPCM quantum efficiency at the detection wavelength of

Figure 4. Second-order intensity autocorrelation function, $g^{(2)}(\tau)$, measured with the photon coincidence counts in the HBT setup. The excitation power was set at the saturation level of 7 $\mu$W. The solid curve in red is the theoretical fit to the measured data to get the $g^{(2)}(0)$ value with the known formula given in Ref. 38.
dependence of the photon extraction and absorption efficiencies. Figure 5 shows the schematic of the nancone structure and the calculated cone angle (sidewall inclination angle) dependence of the photon extraction and absorption efficiencies.

The photon detection rate measured at the saturation level of 7 μW was 31,800 cps as shown in figure 3. Then the single photon detection rate is estimated to be 30,500 cps by multiplying the factor of \([1-g^2(0)]^{1/2}\) to correct the multi-photon emission probability [4]. The photon detection efficiency of our measurement setup at this stage was 0.0045 and therefore the single-photon flux at the first photon collection lens with the numerical aperture (NA) of 0.42 is 6.8 MHz. The excitation pulse repetition rate is 82 MHz which is the repetition frequency of a mode-locked Ti:Sapphire laser with the pulse duration of ~5 ps used in this experiment, and the PCE with the first lens is estimated to be 8.3%.

The above sample structure was prepared with cleavage to remove the GaAs substrate employing the hard metals of Nb and Ti. However their optical reflectivity is low at the GaAs/metal interfaces. Ag is a softer metal but shows higher optical reflectivity. We prepared longer pillars with the height of 750 nm so that the pillars withstand the cleavage force with Ag-embedding. We also introduced a 5-nm-thick silane coupling agent (Siliconize L-25) at the metal/SiO\(_2\) interface. This coupling agent forms stable chemical bonds to the SiO\(_2\) surface, while the other surface is hydrogen-terminated and shows the hydrophobic nature to the Ag surface. This made it easy to separate GaAs substrates from the Ag surface and made it possible to prepare the Ag-embedded nanopillar structures with high yield [32]. We studied the photon extraction properties on an Ag-embedded GaAs-based pillar with the height of 750 nm and the bottom and top diameters of 400 and 620 nm, respectively. In this case we observed the higher photon detection rate of 72,000 cps. With the photon autocorrelation measurements, the \(g^2(0)\) was measured to be 0.06 at the photon emission saturation level. Therefore the single-photon detection rate is re-evaluated to be 69,800 cps. The photon detection efficiency of the measurement setup was 0.0051 in this case and the single-photon flux at the first collection lens is 13.7 MHz. With the excitation pulse repetition rate of 76 MHz of the mode-locked laser for this measurement, the PCE by the first lens is estimated to be 18%. This increase of the PCE is attributed to the higher optical reflectivity of Ag replacing Nb/Ti.

3. FDTD simulation of photon extraction efficiency: role of sidewalls

For pursuing the higher efficiency to extract photons from the emitters, we have worked on the FDTD simulations. The FDTD simulation is a computational electrodynamic modeling technique to calculate the Maxwell’s differential equations directly by replacing the partial differential terms with finite difference equations and is known to give
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accurate results with a small enough grid size [39,40]. In the present simulation the grid size of $1/60$ of $\lambda/n_{\text{semiconductor}}$ is employed. Here the wavelength $\lambda$ is assumed at 1550 nm in the telecommunication band. The grid size is 8.2 nm for InP-based nanostructures and is small enough for high-accuracy three-dimensional modeling.

The schematic of the calculated structure is shown in figure 5(a). Among several parameters of the pillar structures, here we focus to the sidewall inclination angle dependence of the photon extraction properties. The height direction of the nanostructure is assigned as the $z$ direction and the top and bottom planes of the nanostructures as the $x$-$y$ plane. The height is given as 300 nm and the QD emitter is set at the center of the plane separated by 150 nm from the bottom plane. The photon emission from the QD is modeled with a small (5-nm long) dipole directed in the $y$ direction. A 50-nm-thick SiO$_2$ layer is inserted at the interface between the semiconductor (InP) and embedding Ag to prevent the plasmon loss. This plasmon loss is especially sensitive to the Ag surface roughness [41] and care should be taken to smoothen the SiO$_2$ surface to prevent the light absorption.

Figure 5(b) shows the calculated sidewall inclination angle $\theta$ dependence of the photon absorption and extraction efficiencies for the constant bottom plane diameter of 200 nm. The inclination of the sidewall of a nanopillar will change the structure into a nanocone with truncated apex, and therefore we will call the structure as nanocone. In this structure the optical absorption of Ag dominates for the small cone angle $\theta$ but is reduced for the larger cone angle. With the decrease of the metal absorption, the PEE and PCE toward the outer airside increases. The PCE to a lens with the numerical aperture (NA) of 0.4 (detection angle of $\pm 23.6^\circ$) or 0.8 ($\pm 53.1^\circ$) is also plotted. This simulation result demonstrates that higher PEE and PCE are expected with nanocones with the larger sidewall inclination angles.

4. Silver-embedded nanocones

Based on the above simulation on the improvement of the PEE with the larger sidewall inclination angle, we tried to fabricate the nanocone structures employing the same InAs QD/GaAs sample as the one used in Sec. 2. The sample surface is covered with the 300-nm-thick SiO$_2$ with PECVD. The HSQ-resist circular mask was prepared with the EB lithography on the SiO$_2$ surface and the resist pattern was transferred to the SiO$_2$ layer with RIE. The etching condition is as follows: process pressure of 2.0 Pa, CHF$_3$ flow rate of 10 sccm, radio frequency (RF) power of 175 W, and etching time of 7 min. and half. This gives the etching rate of ~40 nm/s. This results in a side-etched SiO$_2$ mask, that is, the periphery of the top 200-nm region has the taper angle of ~30° and the lower 100-nm region retained a cylinder with the vertical sidewall.

Employing the nanocone-shaped SiO$_2$ mask, we prepared GaAs-based nanocone structures with ICP-RIE by using Cl$_2$ and argon (Ar) mixture gas. The typical etching condition is as follows: process pressure of 0.5 Pa, Cl$_2$ and Ar flow rates of 0.2 and 1.8 sccm, respectively, RF ICP and bias powers of 150 W each, and the substrate temperature of 50°C. One of the etched nanocones is shown in figure 6(a). The etching time is 2 min. and half and the nanocone height is ~500 nm. The SiO$_2$ mask diameter transferred from the computer-aided design (CAD) pattern of the EB lithography is 300 nm. During etching of 500-nm-deep GaAs, side etching occurred by ~120 nm from the periphery of the SiO$_2$ mask and the flat top surface with the diameter of ~60 nm remained. The sidewall angle is 23.5° on average [41]. The higher process pressure than that employed in section 2 increases the scattering of the incident ions and assists the chemical reactions on the etching surface. Ar generally acts as a buffer gas in plasma etching and can modify the etching profile [42-44] with the relative increase of the Ar ratio. The formation of the nanocone structure in the present work is also assisted with the nanocone-shaped SiO$_2$ mask. With the CAD pattern diameters larger than ~300 nm, top flat areas increase in the prepared nanocone structures.

Figure 6. (a) As-etched GaAs-based nanocone prepared with ICP-RIE. The height is ~500 nm. (b) GaAs-based nanocone with the size similar to (a) after embedded in Ag. The center circle is the bottom of the GaAs nanocone and the other surface is Ag covered with 60-nm-thick SiO$_2$. 
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These nanocone structures were uniformly covered with a 60-nm-thick SiO$_2$ layer employing PECVD and then covered with 3-µm-thick Ag layer employing an electron-beam evaporator. The Ag surface was pasted to a ~0.5-mm-thick cover glass with a ultra-violet (UV) resin at room temperature, and the contact was hardened with UV-light irradiation. The ~450-µm-thick GaAs substrate was thinned to ~30 µm with mechanical polishing. The remaining GaAs substrate was etched with ICP-RIE with an accelerated etching rate of ~ 400 nm/min. The etching condition is as follows: process pressure of 0.2 Pa, Cl$_2$ and Ar flow rates of 1.0 and 0.1 sccm, respectively, RF ICP and bias powers of 150 and 300 W, and the substrate temperature of 50°C. One of the nanocones embedded in Ag is shown in figure 6(b). The CAD pattern diameter is the same as that of figure 6(a). The Ag surface is covered with the 60-nm-thick SiO$_2$ and is protected from the Cl plasma during the ICP-RIE. The darkened area around the embedded GaAs nanocone surface was formed during the SEM observation and is not essential to the prepared samples.

The single photon emission properties were examined on one of the nanocones prepared with the CAD pattern diameter of 900 nm. The dominant peak was the $X^-$ emission accompanied with the smaller $X^0$ peak as shown in the inset of figure 7. The photon detection rate of the $X^-$ emission was 196,000 cps as shown in figure 7. With the photon autocorrelation measurement, the $g^{(2)}(0)$ was measured to be 0.30 at the saturation level excitation. Then the re-evaluated single photon detection rate is 164,000 cps. The photon detection efficiency of our measurement setup in this case was 0.010 and therefore the single-photon flux at the first photon collection lens with the NA of 0.42 is 16.4 MHz. With the excitation pulse repetition rate of 76 MHz, the PCE by the first lens is estimated to be 22%. Since $X^-$ and $X^0$ emissions are anti-bunching with each other [33], the inclusion of the both emissions results in the PCE of 24.6%. This further improved PEE is attributed to the difference of the nanocone with the inclined sidewall and the previous nano-pillars with nearly vertical sidewalls.
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**Figure 7.** Luminescence spectrum measured from a single InAs QD in the GaAs nanocone embedded in Ag (inset). The excitation power dependence of the $X^-$ emission photon count rate is plotted.

Toward the further improvement of the PEE and PCE of the nanocone structures, we have worked on the FDTD simulations of the Ag-embedded GaAs nanocone. Since 3-D FDTD simulation needs extended too long simulation time for a larger-sized nanocone, we assumed the height of 300 nm, the smaller bottom surface diameter of 100 nm, and the sidewall angle of 24°. This results in the top surface diameter of 367 nm. Since the main factor that influences the PEE is the metal optical absorption, we calculated the dependence on the interface SiO$_2$ layer thickness dependence. Based on the fabricated nanocones, the QD emitter was assigned at the center of the plane 50 nm separated from the bottom surface. The emission wavelength is given at 950 nm in accordance with experiments. The simulation results are summarized in figure 8. One of the main findings is that the PEE to airside remains low without the insertion of the interface SiO$_2$ layer. This is because surface plasmon is excited at the Ag/GaAs interface and induces excess metal optical absorption (identified with the simulated electric field localized to the Ag/GaAs interface, not shown). With the increase of the SiO$_2$ layer thickness more than ~50 nm, the metal optical absorption is much reduced and the PEE to outer airside can approach ~97%. PCE to a lens with NA=0.4 is limited to below
The PEE and PCE as well as the metal optical absorption are summarized. Our measured PCE of ~25% is lower than this value, but this is not surprising since the QD position can not be fixed at the center of the nanostructure at present. However, figure 8 shows that much higher PCE is expected by replacing the lens with NA=0.4 to the one with NA=0.8. For realizing the PCE close to PEE, the directionality of the emitted photon beam should be controlled by modifying the sidewall structure. One of the examples is the horn structure [5].

### 5. Coupling to single-mode fiber

For practical applications of SPS to QKD, efficient coupling of SPS to SMF is important. There have been extensive studies to couple nanoemitters to SMF. One of the efficient methods is the use of tapered nanofibers [45-47]. Tapered nanofibers have the tapered fiber diameter reduced to the order of 1 μm or less to extend the optical field to the external air region, where nanoemitters are in contact on the fiber surface. This method has achieved efficient photon coupling of 22% to a SMF with the fiber diameter of 350 nm at the wavelength of 780 nm [47]. One of the remaining issues for their practical applications is the mechanical stability of the fiber system. Another interesting trial has been reported by pasting a photonic crystal (PC) nanocavity on a SMF facet [48]. In this method, the size difference of SMF cores (5~10 μm in diameter) and PC nanocavities (~1 μm in size) is the remaining issue. Since many QDs are present outside the nanocavity area, their photon emission couples to the core of the SMF and this tends to mask the single photon emission from a single QD in the nanocavity. As a preliminary trial, we demonstrated a QD emitter coupled to SMF facets in bidirectional configuration [49].

In addition to the efficient photon coupling to SMF, preparation of efficient telecommunication-band SPS is also necessary. The horn structure based on InAs QDs/InP is the one example [5]. In the previous section, we have demonstrated the fabrication of Ag-embedded GaAs nancones and their efficient photon extraction properties. We are trying to apply this method to InP-based nanostructures for SMF telecommunication-band applications. InP-based nanostructures are normally processed with ICP-RIE at elevated temperature to enhance InCl₃ desorption from processing surfaces [42]. However we found that InP-based semiconductors show higher tendency of inclined sidewalls than GaAs with ICP-RIE at room temperature [50]. An example of the prepared InP-based nanocones is shown in figure 9. The etching condition is as follows: process pressure of 0.2 Pa, Cl₂ and Ar flow rates of 0.8 and 0.1 sccm, respectively, RF ICP and bias powers of 100 and 300 W, and the sample not intentionally heated. The height of the nanocones is ~350 nm and the most of the nanocone is In₀.₅₅Al₀.₂₂Ga₀.₂₅As barriers grown on a (311)B InP substrate. The etching rate is ~230 nm/min and the sidewall inclination angle is ~20°. The inclination angle increases with the lower process pressure [51]. One of the etched nanostructure was embedded in Ag and bright emission at 1550 nm was observed. The comparison of the luminescence intensity with the as-etched sample showed

![Figure 8. FDTD simulation of the interface SiO₂ thickness dependence of a Ag-embedded GaAs-based nanocone. The PEE and PCE as well as the metal optical absorption are summarized.](image)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Interface SiO₂ Thickness (nm)</th>
<th>PEE and PCE</th>
<th>Metal Optical Absorption</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>40%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>60%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>60%</td>
<td>80%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>80%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 8.** FDTD simulation of the interface SiO₂ thickness dependence of a Ag-embedded GaAs-based nanocone. The PEE and PCE as well as the metal optical absorption are summarized.
~30-times enhancement under the same excitation power [51]. For the quantitative estimation of PCE, reproducible and accurate estimation of the optical coupling efficiencies to SMF connected to superconducting single-photon detectors is necessary and it is now under progress.

Based on these situations, we study the coupling efficiency of the Ag-embedded nanocone to a SMF with the FDTD simulation at the wavelength of 1550 nm. The structure of the nanocone is essentially the same as the one dealt with in figure 5. The nanocone bottom plane diameter of 100 nm and the sidewall inclination angle of 26° are assumed and this results in the top plane diameter of 393 nm for the height of 300 nm. A photon source with right-circular polarization is assumed at the center of the plane 150 nm apart from the bottom plane. The circularly polarized photon source is constructed by the superposition of two nanoscale dipoles directed in the x and y directions with $\pi/2$ phase shift with each other. The Ag/nanocone interface insulator was assumed as 60-nm-thick Si$_3$N$_4$ in this case. This InP-based nanocone is pasted to the facet of a SMF with the core diameter of 4 µm and the refractive-index difference of 0.042 (relative difference of 2.9%) as shown in figure 10(a). The simulated propagation field (electric field in the y direction) is shown in figure 10(b). For a 100-nm-thick paste resin at the interface between the fiber edge and the Ag-embedded nanocone, the PEE from the nanocone to the fiber side is 78.3% and the PCE to the guided mode is 15.9%. Although the simulated electric field distribution shown in figure 10(b) suggests efficient coupling to the guided mode, substantial part of the generated photons leaks away into the cladding area. It is noted that the increase of the paste resin to the thickness of 1 µm reduces the PCE to 11.3% and therefore the efficiency is critically dependent on how the nanocone is pasted to the fiber edge. For the higher coupling efficiencies, further effort to collimate the far-field pattern of the nanocone output beam is necessary. One of the method is to modify the sidewall to a parabolic one that will collimate the output photon directionality [5].

Figure 9. SEM image of etched InP-based nanocones (the substrate is InP and the nanocone is mostly In$_{0.53}$Al$_{0.22}$Ga$_{0.25}$As).

Figure 10. (a) Schematic of InAs/InP QD nanocone embedded in Ag pasted at the SMF facet. (b) Simulated light propagation along the core of the SMF.
6. Conclusion

We demonstrated the successful fabrication of metal-embedded GaAs nanostructures. Photon extraction efficiency was initially 8.3% and was low because of employing hard metals due to the fabrication process. The replacement of the metal with Ag improved the efficiency up to 18% because of the higher optical reflectivity at the Ag/GaAs interface. Higher efficiency of ~25% was achieved with the modification of GaAs nanopillars to nanocones. All these measurements were performed with photon collection lens with NA=0.42. Therefore the higher efficiency is expected by replacing the lens with the one with higher NA as is demonstrated in figures 5(b) and 8. FDTD simulation of the Ag-embedded nanocone showed that the photon extraction to airside can approach as high as ~97%. The photon collection efficiency to the first lens is limited to ~70% with the presently assumed straight nanocone sidewall. The efficiency will be further improved by modifying the sidewall to a parabolic one that will collimate the output photon directionality. Direct contact of such a nanocone structure to the facet of a single-mode fiber was also simulated and the photon extraction efficiency of ~78% and the coupling efficiency of ~16% to the guided mode was obtained. This coupling efficiency will also be improved by incorporating the parabolic-shaped nanocone sidewalls to the Ag-embedded SPS. These results demonstrate that metal-coated semiconductor nanostructures offer practical and efficient fiber-based single photon sources that will approach to the on-demand operations with the further optimization of the semiconductor nanostructures.
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